

AD-A073 693

MARYLAND UNIV SOLOMONS NATURAL RESOURCES INST  
HYDROGRAPHIC AND ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF ENLARGEMENT OF THE CHESA--ETC(U)  
SEP 73 M H TAYLOR, W R HALL, R W SMITH

F/G 8/8









DACW61-71-C-0062

NL


UNCLASSIFIED

| OF |


AD  
A073693



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
10-19  
DDC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A



REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Appendix IV <b>(6)</b>	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Hydrographic and ecological effects of enlargement of the Chesapeake and Delaware Canal Benthos of <del>Delaware</del> Delaware Waters in and Near C&D Canal.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Appendix IV. App. 1971-72
7. AUTHOR(s) Taylor, Malcom Hall, William Smith, Ronal		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Dept. of Biological Sciences College of Marine Studies Lewes, Del. 19958		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DACW61-71-C-0062
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Philadelphia District Customs House, 2nd & Chestnut Sts. Philadelphia, Pa. 19106		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) <b>(12)</b> 52 p.		12. REPORT DATE <b>(11)</b> Sept 1973
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 50
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) For public release; distribution unlimited		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) <b>(9)</b> Final rept. 1971-1972		
18. SUPPLEMENT <b>(10)</b> Malcolm H./Taylor, William R./Hall, Ronald W./Smith, Lanny M./Katz Franklin C./Daiber		<b>(11)</b> <b>(12)</b> <b>(13)</b> SEP 12 1979 C
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Chesapeake and Delaware Canal Benthic communities Fishes		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) → This report presented the results of sampling of grab and dredge samples from 25 stations in the Del. portion of the C & D Canal system during 1971-72. Patterns of distribution within the study area were identified. Possible effects of the enlargement of the canal are discussed.		

407 036 Au



**NOTICE**

**THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED  
FROM THE BEST COPY FURNISHED US BY  
THE SPONSORING AGENCY. ALTHOUGH IT  
IS RECOGNIZED THAT CERTAIN PORTIONS  
ARE ILLEGIBLE, IT IS BEING RELEASED  
IN THE INTEREST OF MAKING AVAILABLE  
AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE.**

**C & D CANAL ECOLOGICAL SURVEY**

**Biological Survey of the Canal  
and its Approaches**

**- Quarterly Benthic Survey -**

**Final Report**

**Appendix IV - Delaware Benthos**

**Malcolm H. Taylor  
William R. Hall  
Ronald W. Smith  
Lanny M. Katz  
Franklin C. Daiber  
Victor Lotrich**

**Department of Biological Sciences**

**University of Delaware**

**Newark, Delaware 19711**

**College of Marine Studies**

**University of Delaware**

**Lewes, Delaware 19958**

**September 1973**

**Approved for public release;  
distribution unlimited**



Table of Contents

	Page
List of illustrations .....	ii
Acknowledgement .....	iii
Abstract .....	iv
Methods .....	1
Results & Discussion .....	3
Summary .....	11
References Cited .....	13
Figure .....	14
Tables .....	15

Accession For	
NTIS GNA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	<input type="checkbox"/>
By _____	
Distribution/ _____	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or special
A	

List of Illustrations

<u>Illust. No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1	Benthos sampling stations in Delaware .....	14
Table I	Benthic study field operations personnel .....	15
Table II	Comparison of 0.1 m <sup>2</sup> Petersen and Van-Veen grabs (December 1972) .....	16
Table III	Invertebrates sampled in Delaware end of C & D Canal system .....	17
Table IV	Quarterly totals for grab samples .....	18
Table V	Quarterly totals in detritus-sledge collection (1972) .....	19
Table VI	Total benthic invertebrates per year in grabs at representative stations .....	20
Table VII	Description of sediment types at benthic stations in the Delaware study area .....	21
Table VIII	Dry weight biomass at selected stations in the Delaware part of the C & D Canal system .....	22
Table IX - XVI	Summary benthic data - March 1971 - December 1972 ..	23
Table XVII -XIX	Summary benthic data - June - December 1972- dredge .....	39
Table XX	Summary benthic data - December 1972 - grab comparison .....	42
Table XXI	Benthic Station description for C & D Canal Survey .	43

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This field study and the Fish Survey described in Appendix J required the support of many individuals other than the personnel directly involved in the collection of data. The authors gratefully acknowledge the efforts of the administrative staff of the College of Marine Studies, particularly Cheryl Hopkins and Art Hanby of the Lewes Field Station. Special recognition is also due the members of the Wolverine crew who, by participating beyond the requirements of their jobs, became integral members of the research team. Mr. Wilbert Hocker built or supervised construction of much of the specialized equipment used in this study.

Preparation of this report and the preceding status reports was made easier by the efforts of Ann Taylor who did the illustrations, and the following CMS secretaries: Patty Bradford, Anna LeCates, Doris Mitchell and Marybeth Sparpaglione.

Significant contributions in data processing and statistical analysis were made by Art Olsen of the Biology Department, University of Delaware.

Mr. Joseph Phillips of the Philadelphia District, Corps of Engineers, provided essential liaison and background information.

Abstract

Twenty-five stations in the Delaware portion of the C & D Canal system were sampled between March 1971 and December 1972. Both grab and dredge samples were collected for four stations during 1972.

More than 50,000 invertebrates of 23 species were collected and identified. The dominant organism in grab and dredge samples, as well as in fish stomachs, was Gammarus daiberi, Garveia franciscana, Limnodrilus sp., Neomysis americana, Crangon septemspinosa, Cyathura polita and Chiridotea almyra were also abundant.

Patterns of distribution within the study area seemed to be associated with water chemistry parameters and substrate.

Possible effects of enlargement of the C & D Canal are discussed.

### Methods

Benthic invertebrates were sampled quarterly between March 1971 and December 1972 at 15 stations in the Delaware River approaches and the eastern half of the C & D Canal proper (Figure 1). An additional 10 stations were sampled in the first year of the study. Canal stations were located near the center of the channel, while river stations were usually on the 18 ft. contour at the edge of the channel and located by triangulation from shore land marks plus radar fixes (Table XXI).

The individuals involved in field operations are listed in Table I. Three replicate samples were taken at each station from the University of Delaware's R.V. Wolverine, a 52 ft. research vessel. A subsample for sediment size analysis was usually taken from one of the replicates. The sediment analyses will be described in Part B of this appendix.

Sampling was carried out with a  $0.1\text{m}^2$  Van Veen type grab for the last five quarters of the study. A  $0.1\text{m}^2$  Petersen grab was used for the first three collections because a Van Veen grab was not available. When this instrument was obtained, it was found necessary to modify it by the addition of 50 lbs. of lead to the top of the grab bucket. A large door was cut in the top to facilitate sediment sampling and reduce shock-wave scattering of animals (Wigley, 1967).

Epifaunal organisms were sampled with biological dredges on several occasions. A Menzies trawl (100cm X 10cm opening, X 300cm long) was tested in September and December 1971. A smaller rectangular biological dredge (42.5 X 24.5 X 43cm) was also used in December 1971.

In June 1972 a Detritus-Sledge built to the design of Ockelmann (1964) was tested. This device was about twice the size of Ockelmann's and carried a 50cm X 20cm X 100cm bag. The wide runners on this sledge reduced digging in soft substrates and gave a satisfactory sampling of the epifauna. The sledge was used at 4 stations in the last three sampling periods of this project to supplement the regular infaunal sampling schedule.

Salinity, dissolved oxygen, water temperature, and turbidity were measured at each station. The techniques used are described in Appendix VII.

Dry weight biomass was calculated for samples from Stations 2, 7, 11, and 19 for all eight quarters of the study. Samples preserved in alcohol were dried in an oven at 70°C to constant weight. Weights were checked at 12 and 24 hours. Covered aluminum dishes were used for drying the samples.

Identification of organisms was based on a number of sources (Smith, 1964; Edmondson, 1959; Pennak, 1953; Watling and Maurer, 1973).

### Results & Discussion

Eight quarterly collections were made in the Delaware portion of the C & D Canal study area between March 1971 and December 1972. The combined catch in grabs and epifaunal dredges total 23 species which are listed in Table III. About half of these organisms were caught very infrequently, averaging less than two per quarterly collection.

The dominant organism in these samples, in numbers, frequency of occurrence, and biomass, was the amphipod Gammarus daiberi (Table III). This species was only recently described (Bousfield, 1969), as separate from Gammarus fasciatus, a common fresh water form. Gammarids are epifaunal organisms living in organic debris and vegetation over a variety of substrates.

Fish stomach analyses were carried out on 340 fish of various species. Grab totals are the summation of eight quarters of collections with a total of 459 samples of 0.1m<sup>2</sup> each. The sledge samples represent three collections at each of four stations totaling 1,000m<sup>2</sup>. If both samples were quantitative, a twenty-fold difference would be expected. Since the dredge was frequently filled with sediment when retrieved, it may have sampled only a fraction of the area covered. Interpretation of data collected in this fashion is limited to qualitative comparisons, and the grab samples are, therefore, better estimators of population density.

The second most abundant invertebrate was the hydroid Garveia franciscana. This organism was found in colonies attached to rock or other hard substrate. It would, therefore, be inefficiently

sampled with a bottom grab, and estimates of its abundance are qualitative at best. Substrate materials on which Garveia was found were densely covered, usually with five to ten colonies per  $\text{cm}^2$ . It is to be noted that this organism was not found in fish stomachs, as were the other common invertebrates. Gammarus and Garveia dominated both grab and dredge samples, together comprising 65% and 88.9% of the respective totals.

The third-ranking species in terms of abundance was the tubificid oligochaete Limnodrilus sp. This organism occurred in large numbers at stations 9-11 (Tables IV & V). Tubificid oligochaetes are indicators of suboptimal water quality when they are dominant and other species are eliminated (Aston, 1973). Limnodrilus accounted for approximately 9% of organisms taken in grab samples, but only 2.9% of dredge totals. This discrepancy may be explained by the fact that this worm is primarily a burrower and probably would be picked up in a dredge only when bottom material was scooped up. Its poor representation in fish stomachs may also be due to its burrowing behavior.

The two isopods, Cyathura and Chiridotea, accounted respectively for 6.0% and 8.2% of grab samples and appeared in dredge samples and fish stomachs as well. Scolecopides viridis, a polychaete worm, made up another 5.7% of the total grab collection, but was not a significant contributor to dredge or fish stomach samples. These three species together with Gammarus, Garveia, and Limnodrilus account for more than 90% of the organisms taken in grabs during the study. These can be considered the dominant members of the benthic

community of the Delaware portion of the Canal system. Addition of the two, shrimp-like crustaceans, Crangon and Neomysis, from the dredge rankings and the blue crab which was abundant in fish trawls, completes the list of numerically important macroscopic invertebrates.

Two demersal crustaceans were more frequently found in fish stomachs than abundant infaunal organisms such as Limnodrilus. Neomysis americana, a mysid shrimp, and Crangon septemspinosus, a decapod, were respectively second and third in abundance in fish stomachs. Neomysis was third in abundance in dredge samples, but neither shrimp was common in grabs. Since both are efficient swimmers, they would be expected to escape the grab. Crangon is found in the water column as well as near the bottom and was sampled more efficiently by the fish than by either sampling device. Neomysis is also a strong swimmer but is less active in daylight hours than Crangon. Both of these animals occurred in large numbers only in the September samples. This pronounced seasonal cycle is supported by the incidental capture of Crangon in fish trawls only during the fall. It is worthy of note that these relatively mobile invertebrate species show seasonal changes in abundance similar to those seen in most of the fish species studied.

Seasonal cycles in numbers of benthic organisms were less well defined. Numbers of animals in grab samples were lower in March than in other quarters, but otherwise highly variable. Gammarus, Chiridotea almyra, Corophium lacustre, and Limnodrilus were most abundant in the summer, while Cyathura polita showed peak populations during colder months. The environmental periodicities to which these fluctuations

were entrained were similar to those shown in the Delaware fish survey (Appendix VII). Temperatures ranged from near zero in winter to 27°C in mid-summer. Salinity was quite variable but tended to be highest in late summer (range 0.1 - 10 ‰). Dissolved oxygen, being an inverse function of both salinity and temperature, dropped in summer. Concentrations in the 2-3 mg/l range were not unusual. The physical data collected in conjunction with the benthic survey are shown in Tables IX - XVI, which summarizes the individual quarterly collections.

Rangia cuneata shells comprised another 2% of the grab collection, but only six living clams were captured in the entire study. Since most of the shells were collected in the Canal proper, it is hypothesized that the shells are washing through from the upper Chesapeake Bay, where a viable population exists (Appendix III). The amphipod, Corophium lacustre, also accounted for about 2% of individuals in grab samples and might be considered of marginal significance in the ecology of the area.

Comparison of grab totals for 1971 and 1972 (Table IV), suggests a significant increase in numbers of organisms in the second year of the study. The average number of organisms per station in 1972 was 137.6 as compared to 69.2 in 1971 (Table IV), but the difference is attributable to the large number of Garveia in the June 1972 collection. It was mentioned previously that these hydroids are distributed irregularly, because they require hard substrate. Of the 3072 collected in June 1972, 2885 were on rocks in the sample from a single station, number 15, which is in a rocky area east of Pea Patch Island. This

sample also contained over 1000 Gammarus, many of which were in association with the hydroids. If, as frequently happens, the grab had failed to pick up the rocks, the collection would have been reduced by about 4000 animals, and the average per station in June would have been about 150 instead of 369.2. Extending this hypothetical calculation to the yearly total, yielded an average for 1972 of 70.9 animals per station as compared to 69.2 in 1971. Since our observations of the distribution of Garveia support the chance occurrence of isolated populations of high density, it seems reasonable to conclude that this extremely large sample was not representative of the overall population. Thus, the apparent increase in number of benthic invertebrates in the Canal area was a sampling artifact produced by the non-random distribution of Garveia.

Grouping of stations by geographic location brought out some differences in the species makeup of benthic populations in representative parts of the study area (Table VI). Stations 1-3 were in the Canal proper; stations 9, 11, 12 north of the Canal near the Pea Patch Island jetty; and 17, 19, 21 south of the Canal near Reedy Island. There were no significant differences in the total numbers of organisms, but individual species were distributed in consistent patterns throughout the two-year study.

Garveia franciscana appeared to be less abundant in the Canal proper than in the Delaware River approaches, presumably because the necessary hard substrate was scarce in the Canal proper. The isopod Cyathura polita was also relatively rare in the Canal, but common at representative stations both north and south of the Canal. This is a

burrowing organism which might be expected to be most successful in fine sediments. Distribution of sediment types in the study area was considered as a potential source of variability in benthic populations. Description of sediment types at bottom sampling stations is presented in Table VII, and additional detail will be found in Part B of this appendix. In general, the Delaware portion of the Canal had a well sorted medium to fine sand substrate prior to dredging. This has been altered by siltation at station 2 and 3, with the change correlating well with the times of dredging.

The dominant benthic species in the Canal proper were the polychaete Scolecopidus viridis, the isopod Chiridotea almyra and the amphipod Gammarus daiberi. All are characteristic of sandy crustaceans and in this study was more limited in distribution. Gammarus, on the other hand being an epifaunal organism is probably less limited by substrate. Chiridotea was also common at sandy stations in the river such as stations 12 and 17 in June 1971 (Table X). The empty valves of Rangia cuneata which are thought to wash through the Canal from its western end, were concentrated in the Canal proper and also to the south of the Canal. This is presumably a function of current movement rather than substrate. Relatively few Rangia shells were found in the area west of Pea Patch Island which dye studies have shown to receive flow from the Canal when flood tides coincide in the two bodies of water.

The area north of the Canal was distinctive in being dominated by the oligochaete, Limnodrilus sp. This worm can thrive in heavy sulphurous silts with low oxygen concentrations. Much of the bottom

west of Pea Patch Island was of this type. Grab samples contained oily black silt which had a strong hydrogen sulfide odor. Clay and sand were relatively minor constituents. Limnodrilus occurred only rarely south of the Canal although suitable substrate was available. Possibly the slightly higher average salinity limited its invasion of this area.

Stations to the south of the Canal were dominated by silty substrate with the exception of #17 and #21 which were characterized by moderately well sorted fine to medium sand. Both of these were included in the group of stations representing the area south of the Canal, and may bias the results in favor of organisms associated with sandy substrates.

The organisms associated with this area (Table VI) were Corophium lacustre and Rhithropanopeus harrisi. Corophium is a tube-dwelling amphipod found in brackish waters (Brown, 1971) and Rhithropanopeus is a euryhaline decapod. It is likely that salinity rather than substrate is the most important factor in the localization of these two species south of the Canal.

Biomass values were obtained for four selected stations throughout the two year study (Table VIII). Variation between weights amounted to several orders of magnitude as was the case for the numbers of animals per sample. Replicate grabs totaling  $0.3\text{m}^2$ /station were extrapolated to  $1.0\text{m}^2$  for purposes of comparison with data from other sources. These values ranged from 0.023 to  $1.265\text{ g/m}^2$ .

Station #7 west of Pea Patch Island was most productive based on a quarterly average. Gammarus and Limnodrilus were the dominant

organisms in these samples as in most of those collected north of the Canal. The Canal biomass average was only  $0.038 \text{ g/m}^2$  which could be an underestimate since stations #2 produced fewer organisms than others in the Canal. Lack of biomass in the Canal proper is also probably due to lack of substrate variation and high current velocities.

Summary

The invertebrate populations in the Delaware part of the Canal system were dominated by the same eight species throughout the two year study. Gammarus daiberi, Garveia franciscana, Limnodrilus sp., Chiridotea almyra, Cyathura polita and Scolecoclepidis viridis each accounted for more than 5% of the organisms collected in grab samples. Neomysis americana and Crangon septemspinosus were rare in grabs, but abundant in dredge collections. All of the above, with the exception of Garveia, were significant in the diets of local fish, as evidenced by their presence in stomachs examined during the study.

Although variation between samples was large, it was possible to document patterns in the distribution of the more abundant species. Garveia was always associated with hard substrate such as wood or stones and seemed to be less abundant in the Canal proper than at River stations, possibly because frequent dredging prevents accumulation of suitable substrate.

If the siltation observed at Canal stations (Part B of this appendix) persists, it is possible that the present benthic species will be replaced by a community of animals which is better adapted to fine substrates. Cyathura polita and Limnodrilus are potential invaders. It is reasonable to assume that any permanent change in the water quality of the area resulting from the Canal enlargement, would be limited to a slight drop in salinity. Since most of the species now present are able to tolerate fresh water, one would expect only a few brackish forms such as Rhithropanopeus and Corophium to be affected, and overall impact of the salinity drop would be minor.

Examination of fish stomach contents and invertebrate biomass data supports the conclusion that the Delaware end of the Canal is at present relatively unproductive and serves primarily as a highway for transfer of mobile fish species, and their eggs and larvae between the Chesapeake Bay and the Delaware River. Of the species which utilize the Canal, the white perch and catfish should be most sensitive to changes in the invertebrate populations, since they depend on invertebrates as a source of food, and remain within the Canal system year round. It is uncertain what changes in sedimentation will occur in the first few years after completion of the enlargement, but reduced dredging frequency might permit expansion of the Canal's benthic community. This would increase the probability of the Canal supporting a significant resident fish population.

References Cited

- Aston, R. J. 1973. Tubificids and water quality: A review. Environ. Pollut. 5:1-10.
- Birkett, L. 1958. A basis for comparing grabs. J. Cons. Int. Explor. Mer. 22:289-92.
- Bousfied, E. L. 1969. New records of Gammarus (Crustacea: Amphipoda) from the Middle Atlantic Region. Chesapeake Science 10:1-17.
- Brown, Alison. 1971. Ecology of fresh water. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- Edmondson, W. T. Ed. 1959. Fresh-water biology, 2 Ed. Wiley, New York.
- Ockelmann, K. W. 1964. An improved detritus - sledge for collecting meiobenthos. Ophelia 1 (2):217-22.
- Pennak, R. W. 1953. Fresh-water invertebrates of the United States. Ronald Press Co., New York.
- Smith, R. I. 1964. Keys to marine invertebrates of the Woods Hole Region. Marine Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, Mass.
- Watling, L. and D. Maurer. 1973. Guide to macroscopic estuarine and marine invertebrates of the Delaware Bay Region. College of Marine Studies, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware.
- Wigley, R. L. 1967. Comparative efficiencies of the Van Veen and Smith-McIntyre grab samplers as revealed by motion pictures. Ecology 48(1):168-9.

Figure I - BENTHOS SAMPLING STATIONS IN DELAWARE




Table I - Benthic Study Field Operations Personnel

<u>NAME</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>Responsibility</u>
Malcolm H. Taylor, Ph.D.	Research Associate	Field Coordinator
William R. Hall, M.S.	Biologist	Identification and Enumeration of Organisms
Ronal W. Smith, M.S.	Resident Biologist	Field Operations
Lanny M. Katz	Graduate Assistant	Field Operations
Neal Parker	Graduate Assistant	Field Operations
Milton W. Cooper	Boat Captain	Vessel Operations
W. F. Carlsten	Utilities Mechanic	Vessel Operations
David Matthews	Boat Engineer	Vessel Operations

2  
 Table II - Comparison of 0.1 m<sup>2</sup> Petersen and  
 Van-Veen Grabs (Dec. 1972)

Station #	2		7		12		Total	
	Pet.	Van V.	Pet.	Van V.	Pet.	Van V.	Pet.	Van V.
Grab Type	Pet.	Van V.	Pet.	Van V.	Pet.	Van V.	Pet.	Van V.
Bottom Material	Sand	Silt	Silt	Silt	sand	sandy silt		
Grab (% Full)	100	100	70%		100%	85		
Species								
<u>Garveia franciscana</u>					10		10	0
<u>Scolecopides viridis</u>		2		20	6	13	6	35
<u>Rangia cuneata</u>						1	0	1
<u>Chiridotea almyra</u>		2		8	3	2	3	12
<u>Cyathura polita</u>			4		4	5	8	5
<u>Corophium lacustre</u>			4				4	0
<u>Gammarus daiberi</u>	1	6	11	8			12	14
<u>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</u>			2				2	0
<u>Limnodrilus sp.</u>	9	1		2			9	3
<u>Callinectes sapidus</u>			1				1	0
Total	10	11	22	38	23	21	55	70

Table III - Invertebrates Sampled in Delaware End of C & D Canal System.

Species	Totl. <sup>1</sup> in Grabs	Rank- Grab Sampl.	Cum. %	Totl. <sup>2</sup> Sledge	Rank- Sledge Sampl.	Cum %	Totl. <sup>3</sup> Fish Stom.	Rank- Stom. Samp.	Cum. %
Hydrozoa									
<u>Garveia franciscana</u>	4591	2	65.0	9159	2	88.9			
Nematoda									
Unidentified	8			0			12	9	
Hirudinea									
<u>Helobdella stagnalis</u>	6			2					
Oligochaeta									
<u>Limnodrilus</u> sp.	1314	3	73.9	1099	4	95.8	14	8	
Polychaeta									
<u>Scolecopides viridis</u>	835	6	93.8	20				1	
<u>Nereis succinea</u>	8			3					
Cirripedia									
<u>Balanus balanoides</u>	37			1			5	10	
<u>Chthamalus fragilis</u>	1			0					
Isopoda									
<u>Cyathura polita</u>	888	5	88.1	222	8	99.0	26	6	
<u>Chiridotea almyra</u>	1210	4	82.1	404	5	96.9	22	7	
Amphipoda									
<u>Monoculodes edwardsi</u>	4			221	9	99.6			
<u>Gammarus daiberi</u>	4969	1	33.8	25,001	1	65.1	3477	1	88.7
<u>Corophium lacustre</u>	272	8		80	10	99.8	27	5	
Mysidacea									
<u>Neomysis americana</u>	71	10	97.4	1530	3	93.0	165	2	92.9
Decapoda									
<u>Crangon septemspinosa</u>	8			367	6	97.8	98	3	95.4
<u>Palaemonetes</u> sp.	1			7					
<u>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</u>	171	9	97.0	225	7	98.5	3		
<u>Callinectes sapidus</u>				1					
Insecta (Larvae)									
<u>Cryptochironomus</u> sp.	5			0			69	4	
<u>Chaoborus</u> sp.	1								
Pelecypoda									
<u>Rangia cuneata</u> (valves)	286	7	95.8	7	† living				
<u>Anadara ovalis</u>	3			0					
<u>Modiolus</u> sp.	2			0					
Ectoprocta									
<u>Amathia vidovici</u>	17	12		0					
<b>TOTAL NO.</b>	<b>14,707</b>			<b>38,384</b>			<b>3919</b>		
<b>No. of Samples</b>	<b>153</b>			<b>12</b>			<b>340</b>		
<b>Avg. No./Samples</b>	<b>96.1</b>			<b>3198.7</b>			<b>11.5</b>		

1. Grab totals are for 15-25 stations sampled in 1971 and 1972 as summarized in Table V.
2. Sledge totals are for 4 stations sampled three times in 1972 as summarized in Table V.
3. Fish stomach totals are for 340 stomachs of 6 species as summarized in Table IX - XVII of Appendix J."

Table IV - Quarterly Totals for Grab Samples

	1971				Total	1972				Total	Grand Total
	Mar	June	Sept	Dec		Mar	June	Sept	Dec		
<u>G. franciscana</u>	10	26	102	736	874	120	3072	11	514	3717	4591
<u>Nematode</u>	6				6					0	6
<u>H. stagnalis</u>	1			1	2	2	2			4	6
<u>Limnodrilus sp.</u>	139	189	182	86	596	78	506	95	39	718	1314
<u>S. viridis</u>	197	55	25	41	318	17	177	198	125	517	235
<u>N. succinea</u>	2	4	1	1	8	0	0	0		0	8
<u>B. balanoides</u>		4			4		1		32	33	37
<u>C. fragilis</u>		1			1					0	1
<u>C. polita</u>	207	147	62	200	616	78	90	35	69	272	888
<u>C. almyra</u>	44	236	388	51	791	4	185	266	36	491	1210
<u>M. edwardsi</u>					0	2	2			4	4
<u>G. daiberi</u>	12	728	887	1229	2856	12	1403	79	619	2113	4969
<u>C. lacustre</u>	9	9	91	61	126	13	7	81	1	102	272
<u>N. americana</u>	5	5	5	1	16		1	42	2	45	71
<u>C. septemspinosa</u>	2		1		3			5		5	8
<u>Palaemonetes sp.</u>			0		0		1			1	1
<u>R. harrisi</u>	16	17	18	39	90	17	26	21	17	81	171
<u>Insect larvae</u>	4			1	5		2			2	7
<u>R. cuneata (valves)</u>	37	34	32	34	137	47	61	33	8	149	286
<u>A. ovalis</u>	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	3
<u>Modiolus sp.</u>				1	1		1			1	2
<u>A. vidovici</u>	5	7	1	3	16	0	1	0	0	1	17
<b>Total Organisms</b>	698	1462	1795	2485	6440	390	5538	856	1463	8257	
<b>No. Stations</b>	23	24	24	22	93	15	15	15	15	60	1376
<b>avg/station(0.3m<sup>2</sup>)</b>	30.3	60.9	74.8	113.0	69.2	26.0	369.2	57.7	97.5	137.6	
<b>est. organisms/m<sup>2</sup></b>	101.0	203.0	249.3	376.7		86.7	1230.7	192.3	325.0		

Table V - Quarterly Totals in Detritus - Sledge Collections 1972

Species	Station #2			Station #7			Station #11			Station #19							
	June	Sept	Dec	Total	June	Sept	Dec	Total	June	Sept	Dec	Total					
<u>C. franciscana</u>					20				20	75	8	4000	4083	21	5000	35	5056
<u>H. sternalis</u>										2			2				
<u>Limedrilus sp.</u>	3			3	3				3	15	1000	7	1022		71		71
<u>A. viridis</u>		1	10	11						1	1		2	2	1	4	7
<u>N. succinea</u>														3			3
<u>B. balanoides</u>												1	1				
<u>C. polite</u>	5	2		7	9				38	57	23	46	126	39	6	6	51
<u>C. almyra</u>	4	5	15	24	5				329	7	19	2	28	7	1	15	23
<u>M. edwardsi</u>										1	220		221				
<u>G. daiberi</u>	531	26	93	650	3300	175	363	3838	16100	1166	107	17373	2170	363	607	3140	
<u>C. lacustre</u>					1				1		16		16	62		1	63
<u>N. americana</u>		328		328	100				100	701			701		401		401
<u>C. septemspinosa</u>					50				50	255			255		62		62
<u>Palaeomonetes sp.</u>														7			7
<u>R. harrisi</u>					9	1	3	13	4	8	5	17	185	28	12		225
<u>C. aspidus</u>										1		1					
<u>R. cuneata</u>											12	12					
<b>Total/Station/quarter</b>	343	362	118	1013	3663	346	383	4392	16252	8402	4196	23860	2496	5935	680	9109	
<b>Total/Station</b>																	

Table VI - TOTAL BENTHIC INVERTEBRATES PER YEAR IN GRABS AT REPRESENTATIVE STATIONS

Station Numbers Location	1971			1972			Mean of All Station + S.D.	Individual Means <sup>2</sup>		
	(1-3) Canal	9,11,12 North	17,19,21 South	(1-3) Canal	9,11,12 North	17,19,21 South		1-3 Canal	9,11,13 North	17,19,21 South
	<i>Garveia franciscana</i>	7	3	149	51	117		105	72.0+60.8	29.0
<i>Nereis succinea</i>	1	3	4	0	0	0		0.5	1.5	2.0
<i>Scolecopidos vividis</i>	123	10	54	243	34	134	99.6+85.7	183	22	94
<i>Rangia cuneata</i>	54	6	38	62	10	53	37.2+23.9	58	8	45.5
<i>Chironomus almyra</i>	173	82	117	235	144	71	137.0+61.0	204	113	94
<i>Cyathura polita</i>	6	93	93	8	79	54	55.5+40.2	7.0	86.0	73.5
<i>Corophium lacustre</i>	0	0	47	7	1	71	21.0+30.5	3.5	0.5	59
<i>Gammarus daiberi</i>	64	378	195	176	64	215	182.0+116.0	120	221	205
<i>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</i>	0	1	14	2	1	30	8.0+12.0	1.0	1.0	17.0
<i>Amathia viduici</i>	0	2	1	2	0	0		1.0	1.0	0.5
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>	0	1	0	0	1	0		0	1.0	0
<i>Limodrilus</i> sp.	4	265	8	5	479	2	127.2+201.4	4.5	372	5.0
<i>Neomysis americana</i>	0	2	2	0	0	0		0	1.0	1.0
<i>Crangon septempinosus</i>	0	0	1	0	0	2		0	0	1.5
<i>Helobdella stegialis</i>	0	0	0	0	2	0		0	1.0	0
<i>Balanus</i> sp.	0	0	0	1	0	0		0.5	0	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>427</b>	<b>847</b>	<b>724</b>	<b>811</b>	<b>932</b>	<b>741</b>				

1. Mean of three groups of stations over two years + Standard Deviation.

2. Means of two yearly totals for each group of stations.

Table VII - DESCRIPTION OF SEDIMENT TYPES AT BENTHIC STATIONS IN THE DELAWARE STUDY AREA

<u>STATION</u>		
1	Well sorted fine sand	(generally)
2	Well sorted medium sand	(before dredging)
	Poorly sorted silt	(after dredging)
3	Well sorted medium sand	(before dredging)
	Poorly sorted silt	(after dredging)
4	Well sorted medium sand	
6	Moderately well sorted fine silt	
7	Poorly sorted fine silt and clay	
8	Poorly sorted fine sand and silt	
9	Moderately well sorted silt	
10	Well sorted very fine sand with trace of silt	
11	Poorly sorted silt	
12	Well sorted medium to coarse sand	
13	Well sorted fine silt	
14	Poorly sorted silt and very fine sand	
15	Extremely variable	
	Predominantly poorly sorted silt	
16	Moderately well sorted coarse silt	
17	Moderately well sorted fine to medium sand	
18	Very poorly sorted fine silt and clay	
19	Poorly sorted silt	
20	Poorly sorted fine silt and clay	
21	Moderately well sorted medium sand	

Table VIII - DRY WEIGHT BIOMASS AT SELECTED STATIONS IN THE DELAWARE PART OF THE C & D CANAL SYSTEM.

STATION #	Biomass/Station (g/0.3m <sup>2</sup> )			
	2 (Canal)	7 (3 Mi.North)	11 (5 Mi.North)	19 (3 Mi.South)
March 1971	0.021	0.326	0.003	0.138
1972	0.002	0.027	0.002	0.233
Average Average/m <sup>2</sup> (1)	0.012 0.040	0.177 0.590	0.013 0.043	0.185 0.616
June 1971	0.016	0.065	0.119	0.046
1972	0.002	0.347	0.062	0.425
Average Average/m <sup>2</sup>	0.009 0.023	0.206 0.686	0.091 0.303	0.236 0.789
Sept. 1971	0.027	0.173	0.100	0.067
1972	0.001	0.587	0.025	0.144
Average Average/m <sup>2</sup>	0.014 0.047	0.380 1.265	0.066 0.220	0.106 0.353
Dec. 1971	0.004	0.639	0.055	0.215
1972	0.022	0.029	0.033	0.012
Average Average/m <sup>2</sup>	0.013 0.043	0.334 1.112	0.044 0.147	0.114 0.380
(2) Average/quarter/m <sup>2</sup>	0.038	0.913	0.178	0.535

(1.) Averages per m<sup>2</sup> were extrapolated from 0.3m<sup>2</sup> data.  
 (2.) Mean of average per m<sup>2</sup> for each station

Table IX - Summary Benthic Data - March & April 1971

Station No.	1	2	3	4	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	12
Date	3/31	3/31	3/31	4/1	4/1	3/30	3/30	3/30	3/30	3/30	3/30	4/1
Time	16:35	16:18	14:30	08:50	11:15	10:20	11:25	12:55	13:40		15:25	13:45
Tide	ebb	ebb	flood	flood	ebb		flood	flood			slack	flood
Water Temp (°C)	6.4	6.3		6.5	6.6	6.5	6.5	6.6	6.7			6.9
Salinity (‰)	1.351	1.352		1.134	0.527	0.327	0.5035	0.420	0.603	0.385	0.911	0.266
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> (mg/l)	5.325	6.34		3.86	5.70	4.87	6.585	6.585	6.43	6.14	6.50	5.79
pH												
Sample Disc (cm.)	17. cm	24cm		16cm	22cm							26cm
Grab (% Full)	23%	23%	67%	62%	30%	97%	95%	100%	100%	100%	90%	100%
Bottom type	sand	sand	sand & gravel	coarse sand & clay	black mud & clay	black mud or clay	black mud	black mud & detritus	black mud & detritus	black mud & detritus	black mud & detritus	black mud & detritus
Species												
<i>Garveia franciscana</i>												1
<i>Nereis (neanthes) succinea</i>	1								4	1		
<i>Scolecopoides viridis</i>	5	15	1	10		1				2	1	2
<i>Rangia cuneata</i>		5	4	3	2					2	2	1
<i>Chiridotea almyra</i>	1											1
<i>Cyathura polita</i>	2		2	2		133	2			1	4	1
<i>Corophium lacustre</i>												
<i>Gammarus daiberi</i>						5						
<i>Leptocheirus pinguis</i>						6						
<i>Rhithropanopeus harris</i>			2									1
<i>Amathia vidovici</i>						1		1	2	1		
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>								8		1	14	1
<i>Limnodrilus</i> sp.								1		1		
<i>Chaoborus</i> sp.								1				
Nematode (Unidentified)								33		2		
<i>Chryptochironomus</i>												
Total animals Per Station	62	19	5	17	9	4	146	2	9	29	21	10

Table IX - Summary Benthic Data - March & April 1971

Station No.	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	Total Animals
Date	4/1	4/1	4/1	3/31	3/31	3/31	3/31	3/31	3/31	3/31			
Time	12:43	15:48	14:50	12:00	13:55	0:910	10:00	10:43	---				
Tide	flood	flood	flood	flood	flood	Ebb	Ebb	Ebb	---				
Water Temp (°C)	6.9		6.7	6.4	6.2	6.1	6.3	6.0	---				
Salinity (‰)	0.232	0.981	0.795	0.729	1.274	0.933	0.783	0.886	---				
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> (m <sup>3</sup> /2)	4.13	6.077	5.93	6.285	6.850	6.21	---	5.875	---				
pH													
Secchi Disc (cm.)	24cm		17cm		20cm		24cm	26cm	---				
Grab (%Full)	57%	57%	43%	69%	28%	33%	10%	57%	100%				
Bottom type	black mud & gravel in sand	mud & gravel in sand	hard gray clay & sand	black mud & sand	black mud & some sand	black mud & some clay	black sand & gravel	black sand & gravel	black mud & sand				
Species													
<i>Garveia franciscana</i>	1					1							10
<i>Nereis (nereides) acanthura</i>							1						2
<i>Scolecoides viridis</i>	5				4	7			5	34			197
<i>Rangia cuneata</i>			1	7	2			4	8				37
<i>Chiridotea almyra</i>	2		11	1	3			2	10				44
<i>Cyathura polita</i>	5		5	4	2	41			3				207
<i>Torophium lacustre</i>													0
<i>Gammarus dalberi</i>	1							1	2				12
<i>Leptocheirus pinguis</i>													9
<i>Rhithropanopeus harrissi</i>						1		1					16
<i>Amathia vidovici</i>	1					6							5
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>													2
<i>Limnodrilus</i> sp.	3		6						3				139
<i>Chaoborus</i> sp.													2
Nematode (Unidentified)													1
<i>Chryptochironomus</i>													1
<i>Streblospio benedicti</i>													10
<i>Streblospio</i>													4
Total Animals Per Station	18	95	25	12	11	56	2	12	61	66			
<i>Helobdella stagnalis</i>													
<i>Neomysis americana</i>			1										5

\*

Table X - Summary Benthic Data - JUNE 1971

Station No.	1	2	3	4	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Date	6/8	6/8	6/7	6/7	6/7	6/10	6/10	6/10	6/10	6/10	6/9	6/9
Time	17:25	16:45	16:30	16:30	15:50	09:20	09:50	10:30	11:00	14:25	13:50	11:27
Tide	east strong	east strong	ebb	ebb	ebb	flood	flood	flood	flood	ebb	ebb	flood
Water Temp (°C)	22.00	21.90	21.80	21.30	22.30	22.30	22.30	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5
Salinity (‰)	1.087	1.3865	2.0965	2.314	0.833	0.833	0.833	0.628	0.628	1.281	1.281	1.281
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> (m <sup>2</sup> /2)												
pH			7.1	6.85								
Secchi Disc (cm.)		58 cm.				38 cm.		57 cm.			57 cm.	
Grab (% Full)	37%	62%	47%	40%	47%	42%	66%	76%	100%	70%	43%	43%
Bottom type	clay, some fine sand	black mud, fine sand	fine sand, detritus	fine sand, gravel, mud	clay & mud	clay & mud	clay & mud	mud, clay sand	clay, gilly clay	black mud, some clay	mud & clay	clay & muddy
Species												
<i>Carvela franciscana</i>		2	1	1	1		2					
<i>Nereis (neanthes) succinea</i>												
<i>Scolecopelides viridis</i>	15	7	5									
<i>Rengia cuneata</i>	4	8	7	1								
<i>Chiridatea almyra</i>	35	14	6	3			7	3	3	6	55	5
<i>Cyathura polita</i>		2	1		5	32	2	1		24	5	
<i>Corophium lacustre</i>												
<i>Gammarus daiberi</i>	1	13	9	16	7	1	20	8		88	26	12
<i>Leptocheirus pinguis</i>												
<i>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</i>						2						
<i>Anathia vidovici</i>				1	4			4	54	84		
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>												
<i>Limnodrilus</i> sp.	1											
Total Animals Per Station	56	46	29	22	17	35	31	16	57	202	86	17



Table XI - Summary Benthic Data - September 1971

Station No.	1	2	3	4	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Date	9/10	9/9	9/9	9/10	9/10	9/9	9/9	9/9	9/9	9/8	9/8	9/8
Time	0830	1540	1540	0930	1015	1415	1430	1340	1305	1510	1430	1220
Tide	slack	west	west	slack		flood	flood	flood	flood	flood	flood	flood
Water Temp(°C)	25.4	25.1	25.7	25.4		25.6			25.5	25.2		
Salinity(‰)	1.67	2.58	2.80	2.71		2.04			1.28	2.06		
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> (m <sup>3</sup> /l)	4.12	5.04	4.96	3.84		3.54			3.26	3.49		
pH	6.9	7.0	7.1	7.0		6.9			6.8	6.9		
Secchi Disc(cm.)	55.0	55.0	22	22		30			---	40		
Grab(1/2Full)	40	70	30	50	50	45	65	100	90	70	35	25
Bottom type	sand	m-s	m-s	sbg	m-c	m-c	m-c	black mud	black mud	s-m	m-s	m-s
Species												
<i>Garvia franciscana</i>			1	5	50	1					1	
<i>Nereis succinea</i>			3	1							6	
<i>Scolecoplepides viridis</i>	1	6	2	6							2	
<i>Rangia cuneata</i>	8	1	19	3	1		1		1	7	4	7
<i>Chironomus almyra</i>	56	39	1								4	2
<i>Cyathura polita</i>						18					4	
<i>Corophium lacustre</i>					2	30					4	
<i>Gammarus deliberi</i>	12	7	20	22	40	16	47	2	3	213	38	184
<i>Leptocheirus pinguis</i>	1					16					1	
<i>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</i>				1		8						
<i>Amathia viduovici</i>												1
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>								80	69	24		
<i>Limnodrilus</i> sp.		1	2									
<i>Palaeomonetes</i>												
<i>Crangon septemspinosa</i>			2							1		
<i>Neomysis americana</i>												
Total Animals Per Station	78	55	49	38	93	83	48	82	72	247	55	194



Table XII. Summary Benthic Data - December 1971

Station No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Date	12/7/71	12/7/71	12/7/71	12/7/71	12/9/71	12/9/71	12/9/71	12/9/71	12/8/71	12/8/71	12/8/71	12/8/71	12/8/71
Time	15:10	08:50	10:25	11:45	15:07	13:30	14:20	15:30	13:55	14:35	13:50	13:50	11:53
Tide	West 1K	East 1.5k	East 1K	East 1.5k	East 2k	East 2k	East 2k	Flood 2k	Flood 2k	Flood 2k	Flood 2k	Flood 2k	near flood slack
Water Temp (°C)	5.0	5.5	5.5	5.5	7.5	6.3	---	6.1	---	6.2	---	---	---
Salinity (‰)	1.116	1.054	1.060	1.055	0.563	0.208	---	0.504	---	0.212	---	---	---
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> (mg/l)	6.0	5.19	4.81	5.48	4.25	3.95	---	4.84	---	3.90	---	---	---
pH	6.8	7.1	6.8	7.1	6.95	6.8	---	7.1	---	7.0	---	---	---
Secchi Disc (cm.)	20.0	30.0	20.	20.	30.	30.	---	40.	---	30.	---	---	---
Grab (% Full)	77.	90.	80.	77.	80.	84.	92.	88.	94.	100.	57.	94.	94.
Bottom type	Silty- Sand	Silty- Sand	Sand	Dinitrus sand	organ silt	organ silt	organ silt	organic mud	mud	mud	mud clay	mud clay	oily mud & clay
Species													
<i>Carveia franciscana</i>	1		2	8							1		
<i>Nereis (Neanthes) succinea</i>													
<i>Scolecopelides viridis</i>		2	6	1			1						
<i>Rangia cuneata</i>		1	11					1					
<i>Chironomus salinarius</i>		1			1			2		3		2	
<i>Cyathura polita</i>					1		40	16		31			
<i>Corophium lacustre</i>							4						
<i>Gammarus dalmani</i>					3		5	53	2		1		4
<i>Leptocheirus pinguis</i>													
<i>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</i>						20							
<i>Amathia viduicci</i>			1					1					
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>													
<i>Neomysis</i> sp.													
<i>Limnodrilus</i> sp.										16	50		
<i>Modiolus</i> sp.			1										
<i>Chryptochironomus</i>											1		
<i>Helobdella stagnalis</i>													
Total animals per station	11	6	21	9	5	79	55	22	17	87	8	6	

Table XII - Summary Benthic Data - December 1971

Station No.	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Date	12/8/71	12/8/71	12/8/71	12/9/71	12/9/71	12/9/71	12/9/71	12/9/71	12/9/71	12/8/71	12/8/71	12/8/71
Time	11:15	09:27	10:15	09:15	10:00	09:48	11:20	10:34	10:34	12:30	13:15	13:15
Tide	Neg Slack	Late Ebb	Late Ebb	Ebb	Ebb.5k	Ebb.5k	Ebb 1k	Ebb 1k	Ebb 1k	11:50	flood	flood
Water Temp (°C)	6.3	6.1	---	6.2	---	---	6.0	---	---	---	---	6.0
Salinity (‰)	0.184	0.351	---	0.740	---	---	0.624	---	---	---	---	0.139
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> (ml/l)	4.65	4.18	---	5.01	---	---	4.45	---	---	---	---	4.72
pH	6.9	6.8	---	6.8	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	6.9
Secchi Disc (cm.)	30	30	---	20	---	---	30	---	---	---	---	50
Grab (% Full)	80	87	63	93	83	73	.21	50	---	---	53	80
Bottom type	Oil, mud & silt & clay	mud & silt & clay	mud & clay	black mud	silt & gravel	organic silt & strat	sand & gravel	mud	---	---	mud & silt & clay	black clay
Species												
<i>Garveia franciscana</i>	---	365	---	1	4	---	38	140	---	---	1	175
<i>Nereis (Neanthes) succinea</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	1	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Scolecopoides viridis</i>	---	2	---	4	---	1	2	16	---	---	---	---
<i>Rangia cuneata</i>	---	---	---	2	---	---	---	21	---	---	---	---
<i>Chironomus almyra</i>	1	---	1	7	5	---	---	4	---	---	---	6
<i>Cyathura polita</i>	---	18	5	---	---	17	5	12	---	---	9	53
<i>Corophium lacustre</i>	---	1	34	---	---	12	---	10	---	---	1	---
<i>Gammarus daiberi</i>	1	18	981	2	13	5	29	97	---	---	1	2
<i>Leptocheirus pinguis</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</i>	---	4	10	---	---	5	---	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Anathia viduicci</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	1	---	---	---	---
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Neomysis</i> sp.	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Limnodrilus</i> sp.	---	5	3	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Modiolus</i> sp.	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Chryptochironomus</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Helobdella stagnalis</i>	---	1	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Total animals per station	2	414	1034	16	22	40	75	298	---	---	.12	246



Table XIII Summary Benthic Data - March 1972

Station No.	14	15	17	19	21	Total
Date	3/7	3/7	3/6	3/6	3/6	Animals
Time	12:12	11:30	15:15	1330	1430	
Tide	1/2-1/4	1/2	1/2	1/2	1/2	
Water Temp (°C)	5.7	5.7	4.8	---	4.0	
Salinity (‰)	0.164	0.155	0.567		0.326	
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> (mg/l)						
pH						
Secchi Disc (cm.)	35cm	40cm	30	---	30	
Grab (% Full)	62	44	100	54	54	
Bottom type	silt & fine sand	gravel	silty sand	silty sand	silty sand	
Species						
<i>Garveia franciscana</i>		45			61	120
<i>Nereis (neanthes) succinea</i>						17
<i>Scolecopoides viridis</i>	1	4	2	1	2	47
<i>Rangia cuneata</i>		1	1	1	4	6
<i>Chironomus tentaculatus</i>						78
<i>Cyathura polita</i>		7		23	5	13
<i>Corophium lacustre</i>		1		10		12
<i>Gammarus daiberi</i>	1	1	1	3		17
<i>Leptocheirus pinguis</i>						
<i>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</i>	2			2		78
<i>Amathia viduici</i>						2
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>			1			2
<i>Limnodrilus</i> sp.	9					
<i>Monoculides edwardsi</i>				1		
<i>Helobdella stagnalis</i>						
Total Animals Per Station	13	59	5	52	73	390

Table XIV - Summary Benthic Data - June 1972

Station No.	1	2	3	4	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Date	6/6	6/6	6/5	6/5	6/5	6/6	6/6	6/6	6/6	6/6	6/6	6/6
Time	15:50	1500	15:50	10:56	11:35	09:10	10:05	10:45	11:20	11:20	12:00	
Tide	fast frc	fast frc	ebb	ebb	ebb	ebb	ebb	ebb	ebb	ebb	ebb	ebb
Water Temp(°C)	21.8	21.7	21.1	21.6	21.9							
Salinity(‰)												
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> ( <sup>m</sup> l/2)												
pH												
Secchi Disc(cm.)		40	50	40	---	50		60				
Crab(%Full)		100	89	89		75	90	100			84	
Bottom type		sand silty clay	sand detritus	sand gravel		hard silty clay	silty clay	soft silt		silt	silty sand	
Species												
<i>Garveia franciscana</i>	1	50	5	5	1						105	
<i>Nereis</i> (Neanthes) <i>succinea</i>												
<i>Scolecoplepides viridis</i>	112		4	2						6	10	
<i>Kangia cuneata</i>	4	1	24	2		1					1	
<i>Chiridotea almyra</i>	83		44			1					5	
<i>Cyathura polita</i>						22	3			24	4	
<i>Corophium lacustre</i>						1						
<i>Gammarus daiberi</i>	42	6	42	12		28	33	1		18	31	
<i>Leptocheirus pinguis</i>												
<i>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</i>	1					8						
<i>Anadara viduovi</i>												
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>												
<i>Barnacle</i> ( <i>Balanus</i> )												
<i>Modiolus</i> sp.			1								1	
<i>Neomysis americana</i>												
<i>Chryptochironomus</i> sp.								64		297	10	
<i>Limnodrilus</i> sp.												
Total Animals Per Station	243	57	115	21	1	61	36	65		265	167	

Table XIV - Summary Benthic Data - June 1972

Station No.	June 1972				Total Animals
	14	15	17	19	
Date	6/6	6/6	6/5	6/5	
Time	13:08	13:35	15:10	13:25	1210
Tide	ebb	ebb	flood	ebb	1.8
Water Temp (°C)	22.0	21.8	24.1		
Salinity (‰)					
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> (ml/l)					
pH					
Secchi Disc (cm.)	50				47
Grab (% Full)	88	80	73		47
Bottom type	silt sand & gravels	silt sand & clay	silty clay	silt sand & silt	
Species					
<i>Garveia franciscana</i>		2885	4		3172
<i>Nereis (Neanthes) succinea</i>				21	3
<i>Scolecoides viridis</i>		18	6		181
<i>Rangia cuneata</i>			5		63
<i>Chironomus salmella</i>			47		560
<i>Cyathura polita</i>		32		3	220
<i>Corophium lacustre</i>		1		5	70
<i>Gammarus daiberi</i>	1	1096	6	29	24261
<i>Leptocheirus pinguis</i>					
<i>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</i>		6	1	11	224
<i>Amathia viduicci</i>					1
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>					8
<i>Barnacle (Balanus)</i>					3
<i>Modiolus</i> sp.		1			4
<i>Neomysis americana</i>		2			4
<i>Chyptochironomus</i> sp.		32			506
<i>Limnodrilus</i> sp.	165				
Total Animals Per Station	166	4073	69	48	29,280

Table XV - Summary Benthic Data - September 1972

Station No.	1	2	3	4	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Date	9/11	9/11	9/11	9/11	9/12	9/12	9/12	9/12	9/12	9/12	9/12	9/12
Time	1000 east	1550 west	1100 east	14:50 flood	15:10 flood	10:05 ---	09:40 ebb	11:10 early	11:10	12:35 flood	13:45	
Tide										0.5		
Water Temp (°C)	23.1	23.2	22.9	22.8	22.5	---	22.8	21.0		23.2		
Salinity (‰)	5.5925	5.739	5.874	6.881	6.8315		3.481	2.607		2.119		
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> (mg/l)	4.681	5.2736	5.8844	4.9109			5.7434	3.794		3.9707		
pH												
Secchi Disc (cm.)	35	70	40	40	---	---	50	50		55		
Grab (% Full)	84	100	64	80	---	70	87	100		90		
Bottom type	sand	soft silt	sand	silt & sand	clay & silt	silt clay.	black silt	soft clay		black oily silt		
Species												
<i>Garveia franciscana</i>												
<i>Nereis (neanthes) succinea</i>												
<i>Scolecopides viridis</i>	94						20				5	
<i>Rangia cuneata</i>	2	1	8	7			1				1	
<i>Chiridotea almyra</i>	4		97	23	1		1			1	135	
<i>Cyathura polita</i>							1				4	
<i>Corophium lacustre</i>	6				2		15	1				
<i>Gammarus daiberi</i>	1		5	1	3		9	8		2	1	
<i>Leptocheirus pinguis</i>												
<i>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</i>							14	1				
<i>Amathia vidovici</i>	1											
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>												
<i>Limodrilus</i> sp.								29				
<i>Neomysis americana</i>	8	5	4	1	14		1			64	2	
<i>Crangon septemspinosus</i>							2					
Total Animals Per Station	116	6	123	32	20	74	13	41		67	148	

Table XV - Summary Benthic Date - September 1972

Station No.	14	15	17	19	21	Animals
Date	9/12	9/12	9/11	9/11	9/11	
Time	1935	1420	1155	1300	1345	
Tide	flood	flood	flood	flood	flood	
Water Temp (°C)	22.6	---	22.9	21.0	---	
Salinity (‰)	4.3545	4.7405	5.276	7.421		
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> (mg/l)	3.7607	.6028	5.789	5.0827		
pH						
Secchi Disc (cm.)	40	25	40	40		
Grab (% Full)	70	66	80	64	54	
Bottom type	sandy silt	silty sand	silt	hard silt	silty clay	
Species						
<i>Carveia franciscana</i>	---	---	---	11	---	11
<i>Nereis (Neanthes) succinea</i>	---	---	40	1	---	---
<i>Scolecoides viridis</i>	---	---	2	1	27	198
<i>Rangia cuneata</i>	---	---	3	---	11	33
<i>Chironomus salinarius</i>	---	1	1	13	1	266
<i>Cyathura polita</i>	---	---	---	53	3	35
<i>Corophium lacustre</i>	---	1	---	17	---	81
<i>Gammarus dalmani</i>	---	26	---	---	---	79
<i>Leptochelirus pinguis</i>	---	---	---	6	---	---
<i>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</i>	---	---	---	---	---	21
<i>Amathia viduicci</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Limnodrilus</i> sp.	---	4	---	---	---	95
<i>Neomysis americana</i>	---	---	1	4	---	42
<i>Crangon septempinnata</i>	---	---	---	1	---	5
Total Animals Per Station	---	32	47	106	42	867

Table XVI - Summary Benthic Data - December 1972

Station No.	1	2	3	4	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Date	12/72	12/72	12/72	12/72	12/72	12/72	12/72	12/72	12/72	12/72	12/72	12/72
Time	1015	0850	1105	0900	1530	1205	1445	1415	1330	1330	1140	
Tide	2.5 E	< 0.5K E	2K	1.5K West	1.5K Flood	2K	0.5K Flood	2K Flood	1.5K Flood	1.5K Flood	8.95K Flood	
Water Temp (°C)									6.3	6.3	6.2	
Salinity (‰)	.310	.2353	.3065	.1655	.1755	.283				.1235		
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> (m <sup>3</sup> /2)	13.520	9.0699	13.5147	10.2888	10.2876	9.6558				10.8163	13.0979	
pH												
Secchi Disc (cm.)	25	25	30	35	35	--	--	--	35	--	--	
Grab (% Full)	80	100	64	50	80	100	54	95	74	84	84	
Bottom type	Sand & Clay	Silt	Silt	Sand & Gravel	Silt	Mud	Silt & Clay	black silt	black silt	black silt	black silt w/ some sand	
Species												
<i>Garveia franciscana</i>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	2	--	--
<i>Nereis</i> (Neanthes)	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>succinea</i>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>Scolecoplepides</i>	5	2	10	1	5	20	--	--	--	--	13	--
<i>viridis</i>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	--	--	1	--
<i>Rangia cuneata</i>	2	2	1	2	--	8	--	--	--	1	2	--
<i>Chiridotes almyra</i>	1	--	1	--	10	--	1	6	17	5	--	--
<i>Cyathura polita</i>	--	--	--	--	1	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>Corophium lacustris</i>	73	6	--	6	315	8	6	3	--	--	--	--
<i>Gammarus daiberi</i>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>Leptocheirus pinguis</i>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>Rhithropanopeus</i>	--	--	--	--	3	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>harrisi</i>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>Anathia vidovici</i>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	--	--	--	--
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	32	--	--
<i>Limodrilus</i> sp.	--	1	1	--	--	2	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>Neomysis americana</i>	--	--	2	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
<i>Balanus balanoides</i>	--	--	--	--	31	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
Total Animals Per Station	81	11	15	9	365	38	7	11		52	21	

Table XVI - Summary Benthic Data - December 1972

Station No.	14	15	17	19	21	
Date	12/72	12/72	13/72	13/72	13/72	
Time	1100	1015	1015	1132	1050	
Tide	Slack	Ebb .5K	Fbk Slack	Slack	Ebb 1.5K	
Water Temp (°C)	6.0	6.1	6.3			
Salinity (‰)						
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> (m <sup>3</sup> /2)	10.9402	10.305	10.1121	13.573	--	
pH						
Secchi Disc (cm.)	--	--	--	35	--	
Grab (% Full)	100	64	97	65	47	
Bottom type	Black Stones	Silt Stones	Silty Sand	Silt & Clay	Silty Sand	
Species						TOTAL
<u>Garveia franciscana</u>		504	--		8	514
<u>Nereis (Neanthes)</u>						
<u>succinea</u>	--	--	--	--	--	--
<u>Scolecopleides</u>	--	1	31	1	36	125
<u>viridis</u>	--	--	6	--	--	8
<u>Rangia cuneata</u>	--	--	12	1	5	36
<u>Chiridotea almyra</u>	--	20	2	2	2	69
<u>Cyathura polita</u>	--	--	--	--	1	1
<u>Corophium lacustre</u>	2	99	12	8	81	619
<u>Gammarus daiberi</u>	--	--	--	--		
<u>Leptocheirus pinguis</u>	--	--	--	--		
<u>Rhithropanopeus</u>	--	14	--	--		17
<u>harrisi</u>	--	--	--	--		
<u>Amathia vidovici</u>	--	--	--	--		1
<u>Anadara ovalis</u>	--	--	--	--	2	39
<u>Limodrilus sp.</u>	--	1	--	--		2
<u>Neomysis americana</u>	--	--	--	--		2
<u>Balanus balanoides</u>	--	1	--	--		32
		(unident.)				.
<b>Total Animals Per Station</b>	2	640	63	12	136	<b>TOTAL</b> 1463

Table XVII. Summary Benthic Data - June 1972 Dredge

Station No.	2, 3, 7, 11, 19					Total	2, 7, 11, 19 Total
	6/6	6/5	6/6	6/6	6/5		
Date	6/6	6/5	6/6	6/6	6/5	Total	
Time	15:10	16:15	.0925	11:30	13:40		
Tide	E. 1.5k	E. 1.5k	Ebb l.k	Ebb l.k	Ebb slight		
Water Temp (°C)							
Salinity (‰)							
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> (ml/l)							
pH							
Secchi Disc (cm.)							
Grab (% Full)	60(?)						
Bottom type				Rocky	Silty		
Species				Detritus	Clay		
<i>Garveia franciscana</i>				75	21	96	100
<i>Nereis (Neanthes) succinea</i>	4						3
<i>Scolecoides viridis</i>	1			1	3	3	4
<i>Rangia cuneata</i>	2					0	2
<i>Chironomus salmella</i>	4			7	7	342	375
<i>Cyathura polita</i>	5	324		57	39	130	130
<i>Corophium lacustre</i>		29		1	62	63	63
<i>Gammarus daiberi</i>		1		16,100	2170	22,101	22,868
<i>Leptocheirus pinguis</i>	531	767	3300				198
<i>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</i>			9	4	185		
<i>Amathia viduici</i>							
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>							
<i>Palaeomonetes vulgaris</i>					7	7	7
<i>Monoculodes edwardsi</i>				1	1	1	1
Rond Snail (Shell only)				2	2	2	2
<i>Helobdella stagnalis</i>				2	2	2	2
<i>Limnodrilus</i> sp.				15	18	18	18
Total Animals Per Station	543	807	3663	16,254	2496	22956	23,763

Table XVIII Summary Benthic Data - September 1972 Dredge

Station No.	2	7	11	19	Total Animals
Date	9/11	9/12	9/12	9/11	
	1615	10:20	12:10	13:30	
Tide	wgt	ebb	flg	flg	
Water Temp (°C)			23.4	---	
Salinity (‰)					
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> (m <sup>3</sup> /2)					
pH					
Secchi Disc (cm.)					
Crab (Full)	50	25	---	50	
Bottom type	silt	silty	clay	silt	
Species					
<i>Garveia franciscana</i>	---	20	8	5000	5028
<i>Nereis</i> (Neanthes) succinea	---	---	1	1	3
<i>Rangia cuneata</i>	---	---	19	1	25
<i>Chiridotea almyra</i>	5	---	23	6	31
<i>Cyathura polita</i>	2	---	---	1	1
<i>Corophium lacustre</i>	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Gammarus daiberi</i>	26	175	1166	363	1730
<i>Leptocheirus pinguis</i>	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</i>	---	1	8	28	37
<i>Amathia viduici</i>	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>	---	---	1000	71	1071
<i>Limodrilus</i> sp.	---	---	100	401	1530
<i>Neomyia americana</i>	328	50	255	62	367
<i>Crangon septempinnosa</i>	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Callinectes sapidus</i>	---	---	1	---	1
<i>Monoculodes edwardsi</i>	---	---	220	---	221
Total Animals	362	345	3402	5935	10,045
Per Station					

Table XIX - Summary Benthic Data - December 1972

Station No.	2		7		11		19		Dredge Total Animals
	12/11	12/11	12/11	12/11	12/12	12/12	12/12		
Date	12/11	12/11	12/11	12/11	12/12	12/12	12/12		
Time	0900	1345	1345	1345	1230	1230	1230		
Tide	0.5k flood	2k flood	2k flood	2k flood	1k flood	1k flood	1k flood		
Water Temp(°C)									
Salinity(‰)									
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> ( <sup>m3</sup> /2)									
pH									
Secchi Disc(cm.)									
Grab(%Full)	80%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%	70%		
Bottom type	silt	silt	silt	silt	silt	silt	silt & detritus		
Species									4035
<i>Gerveia franciscana</i>	---	---	---	---	400	---	---		---
<i>Nereis</i> ( <i>Neanthes</i> ) <i>succinea</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		---
<i>Scolecolepides viridis</i>	10	---	---	---	4	---	---		14
<i>Rangia cuneata</i>	---	---	---	---	12	---	---		12
<i>Chiridotes almyra</i>	15	5	2	2	15	---	---		37
<i>Cyathura polita</i>	---	9	46	6	6	---	---		61
<i>Corophium lacustre</i>	---	---	16	1	1	---	---		17
<i>Gammarus daiberi</i>	93	363	107	607	---	---	---		1170
<i>Leptocheirus pinguis</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		---
<i>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</i>	---	3	5	12	---	---	---		20
<i>Amathia vidovici</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		---
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	---		---
<i>Limodrilus</i> sp.	---	3	7	---	---	---	---		10
<i>Barnacle</i> (unident.) ( <i>Balanus</i> )	---	---	1	---	---	---	---		1
Total Animals Per Station	118	383	4196	680					5377

Table XX - Summary Benthic Data - December 1972 Grab Comparison

Station No.	2P		7P		12P		Total Animals
	12/12	12/12	12/12	12/12	12/12	12/12	
Date	12/12	12/12	12/12	12/12	12/12	12/12	
Time	0823	1325	1245	1245			
Tide	slack	2 flood	0.75R	0.75R			
Water Temp (°C)	---	---	---	---			
Salinity (‰)							
Dissolved O <sub>2</sub> (ml/2)							
pH							
Secchi Disc (cm.)							
Grab (% Full)	100%	70%	100%	100%			
Bottom type	sand	silt	sand	silt	sand		
Species							
<i>Garveia franciscana</i>	---	---	10	---	---	10	---
<i>Nereis</i> (Neanthes) <i>succinea</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Scolecoplepides viridis</i>	---	---	6	---	---	6	---
<i>Rangia cuneata</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Chiridotea almyra</i>	---	---	3	---	---	3	---
<i>Cyathura polita</i>	---	---	4	---	---	4	---
<i>Corophium lacustre</i>	---	---	4	---	---	4	---
<i>Gammarus dabberi</i>	1	---	11	---	---	12	---
<i>Leptocheirus pinguis</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Rhithropanopeus harrisi</i>	---	---	2	---	---	2	---
<i>Amathia vidovici</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
<i>Anadara ovalis</i>	9	---	---	---	---	9	---
<i>Limnodrilus</i> sp.	---	---	1	---	---	1	---
<i>Callinectes sapidus</i>	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Total Animals Per Station	10	22	23	55			

Table XXI

BENTHIC STATION DESCRIPTION FOR C & D CANAL SURVEY

Stations in the Canal are located at mid-channel and those in the River predominately on 18 ft. contour. Use C & G.S chart #570 in Canal and 294 in the Delaware River.

1. Second light east of overhead pipeline; approximately .75 mile east of Summit bridge in canal.
2. West of St. Georges Bridge approximately .75 of a mile, second light past pier in canal.
3. Approximately .5 miles west of Delaware City Branch Channel, 1st light in water after rock pile starts south side (off Ice House Point) in Canal.
4. A beam, quick flashing R "2" at Canal entrance.
6. C & D Canal entrance Range-Move east to 28 ft. depth beyond shipping Channel.
7. Place most southerly storage tank at Getty terminal in line with front range light of Delaware City range. Sample at western edge of channel.
8. Located on same east-west range as 7, at intersection with range formed by Spar bouy R "8" and buoy "1" at entrance to Branch Canal.
9. East-west range Fl "B" on bulkhead bar (Pea Patch) and "F14 sec 30 ft. 7M" on western point of Killicohook National Wildlife Refuge, N.J. Move west across deep slough to western edge, use 18 ft. depth.
10. Same East-west range as "9". At intersection with north south range formed by beacon "A" on Bulkhead Bar Jetty and buoy "1" at entrance to Delaware City branch canal.
11. Bulkhead bar range at intersection with range formed by beacon "E" on Bulkhead Bar and bouy "40" (Deep water point Range)
12. Approximately 75-100 ft. southeast of beacon "E" on Bulkhead Bar.
13. North-south range, buoy "2B" on Bulkhead Bar Range and "F1 G 2½ sec" on Pea Patch Island. Sample at intersection with range formed by beacon "B" on Bulkhead Bar and "1D" on Deepwater point range.
14. Range formed by beacon on Killicohook Refuge, N.J. and buoy "1D" on Deepwater Point range, 75-100 ft. northwest of beacon.

15. Range formed by monument on Killlicoohook Refuge and tank to east-northeast ( $65^{\circ}$ ), approximately 100 ft. from small sand island located 1500 yards north west of "F1 G" on Pea Patch Island.
16. Same range as "15" at intersection with range formed by "2B" on Bulkhead Bar and "F1 2 sec B" at spoil pumping site.
17. North-south range formed by "C 27" in anchorage 3 and "R 2" on Canal jetty. Sample 0.3 mile south of "C 27".
18. Northwest-southeast range formed by "C 27" and fork 0.3 miles east of Delaware City Branch Canal. North-south range, "IN" (QK F1) and "8R".
19. East-west range "5R" and spire at Port Penn. approximately 100 feet from Dolphins on East side of Reedy Island.
20. East-west range formed by "5R" and spire at Port Penn. and North-south, "WR10R" Reedy Island Range and "2R" (QK F1)
21. Same range as "20" at intersection with range formed by North-south "WR10R" and anchorage white nun "B"
22. East West range "C27" and "IN" (QK F1). Sample at .5 mile,  $265^{\circ}$  "C27"
23. Same range as "22" intersection "1" (Qk F1) south jetty C & D anal and "3" Delaware City ship canal.
24. North-south range "C29" and "IC" (Qk F1) and intersection formed by East-west standpipe and tank .5 mile north of New Castle.
25. North-south range, army maintained structure (F1. 2 sec) .5 mile south Delaware Memorial Bridge and front range light deep water range and intersection of standpipe and tank .5 mile North of New Castle.

C&D CANAL ECOLOGICAL SURVEY  
Biological Survey of the Canal  
and its Approaches  
Appendix IV - Delaware Benthos  
Part B - Sediment Analyses

Christian A. Wethe

Malcolm H. Taylor

College of Marine Studies

University of Delaware

Newark and Lewes, Delaware

September, 1973

Table of Contents

	Page
Methods .....	1
Results .....	3
Figures .....	5

METHODS

Grain size analysis was performed on a representative portion of the sediment obtained at each benthic sampling station. Sieve analysis was used on the coarse grained, sand and gravel portion and pipette analysis was used on the fine grained, silt and clay material. The results of these analyses were examined for both geographic and seasonal variations in the sediment composition.

The coarse and fine grained portions of the sediment were separated by wet sieving the entire sample through a 62 micron sieve. The sand-gravel fraction was then oven dried and weighed. Using a sample splitter, a thirty to seventy gram sample was obtained. This sample was poured into a stack of sieves graded in 1/2ϕ intervals, in order, coarsest sieve at the top, pan at the bottom. The sieves were placed in a Ro-Tap machine and shaken for fifteen minutes. The sand trapped by each sieve was then weighed on a Mettler top-loading balance to 0.01 gm.

The silt-clay portion of the sample was poured into a liter cylinder. One gram of dispersant was added along with enough distilled water to fill the cylinder to exactly 1000 ml. The cylinder was then vigorously shaken to distribute the sediment uniformly throughout the column. When the shaking was stopped, a timer was started; and after fifteen seconds on pipette was inserted into the suspension to a depth of twenty centimeters and exactly twenty milliliters of suspension was withdrawn. Additional pipette

withdrawals (20 ml each) were made at specified time intervals and depths. The suspension removed was expelled into a pre-weighed fifty milliliter beaker. The pipette was then rinsed with distilled water, and the rinse water expelled into the same beaker. The beakers were placed in an oven and evaporated to dryness. They were then removed from the oven, cooled and weighed to 0.001 gm. The weight of the sediment in each twenty milliliter withdrawal was determined, then multiplied by fifty and the weight of the dispersant subtracted.

Knowing the weight of the sediment removed from the suspension at each withdrawal time, the grain size distribution of the sediment can be determined. Since the silt-clay material was uniformly distributed throughout the 1000 ml cylinder, the twenty milliliter withdrawal represents 1/50 of the total amount of sediment remaining in suspension at the time and at the depth of withdrawal. All particles larger than a given diameter have settled past the point of withdrawal after a given time, according to Stokes Law. With each successive withdrawal, the diameter of the largest particle removed by the pipette becomes smaller and smaller. Based on time and withdrawal depth, the maximum particle size present in each withdrawal can be calculated. Therefore, the difference in weight of two successive withdrawals represents the weight of a particular size fraction. By making pipette withdrawals at the proper times and depths, a complete grain size distribution is obtained. These distributions combined with the data from the sieve analysis were obtained for all the sampling stations.

RESULTS

Reviewing the grain size distribution data for the sampling stations within the Canal, some interesting differences before and after dredging are revealed. In June 1971, Station 2, just west of St. Georges Bridge, was a well-sorted, medium sand. After dredging, in December 1971, a fine, moderately-sorted sand was obtained at that location. By March 1972, a coarse, poorly-sorted silt was discovered and subsequent sampling revealed the same material (December 1972 is typical).

At Station 3, in the area where the Delaware City Branch Channel intersects the Canal, a similar shift in bottom sediment type occurred. September 1972 is typical of the pre-dredging grain size distribution, revealing a well-sorted, medium sand. Just after dredging, in October 1972, a slightly finer but still well-sorted sand was observed. By July 1973, with dredging completed in the area, the appearance of a coarse silt was noted at this station also.

The introduction of the coarse silt at both Stations 2 and 3 is probably the result of silt washing out of the dredged bottom material, becoming suspended in the canal waters, and finally settling out in the quieter waters of the deeper canal sections. It is quite possible that this coarse silt will be washed away when the canal deepening has been completed. The bottom sediment will probably return to being a well-sorted sand.

Comparisons are possible with the canal sediments and with bottom deposits found in the Delaware River adjacent to the canal. Station 12, east of the Pea Patch Island Jetty, and Station 17, in mid-channel south of Reedy Point, are both well-sorted, coarse sands similar to the pre-dredging canal samples. All the Stations west of Pea Patch Island (Station 11 provides the best match) and Station 19, south of Reedy Point, are similar to the poorly-sorted silt sediments observed in the canal after dredging.

FIGURE 1




FIGURE 2

Sediment Size Analysis - Benthic Station #3




FIGURE 3

Sediment Size Analysis - Benthic Stations 12, 11, 19

