

AD-A074 898


ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH INST OF MICHIGAN ANN ARBOR IN--ETC F/G 8/6  
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TERRAIN BACKGROUNDS AT CAMP A.P. HILL, --ETC(U)  
AUG 79 A J LARocca N60530-78-C-0009  
ERIM-132300-4-F NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1 of 2

AD  
A074898





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

132300-4-F

ADA 074898

DDC FILE COPY.

12  
**LEVEL**

DDC  
RECEIVED  
OCT. 8 1979  
E

Final Report

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TERRAIN  
BACKGROUNDS AT CAMP A. P. HILL,  
VIRGINIA**

ANTHONY J. LaROCCA  
Infrared and Optics Division

AUGUST 1979

Approved for public release.  
Distribution unlimited.

This document has been approved  
for public release and sale; its  
distribution is unlimited.

Prepared for:  
Naval Weapons Center  
China Lake, California  
Contract No. N60530-78-C-0009

ENVIRONMENTAL  
**RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MICHIGAN**  
BOX 8618 • ANN ARBOR • MICHIGAN 48107

79 10 05 007

## NOTICES

Sponsorship: The work reported herein was conducted by the Environmental Research Institute of Michigan for the Naval Weapons Center, China Lake, California, under Contract Number N60530-78-C-0009. The Project Manager was Dr. Jon Wunderlich. Contracts and grants to the Institute for the support of sponsored research are administered through the Office of Contracts Administration.

Disclaimer: The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the author and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Department of Defense or of the U.S. Government.

Final Disposition: After this document has served its purpose, it may be destroyed. Please do not return it to the Environmental Research Institute of Michigan.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 132300-4-F	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 9
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Statistical Analysis of Terrain Backgrounds at Camp A.P. Hill, Virginia.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Report.
7. AUTHOR(s) Anthony J./LaRocca	14 ERIM	6. PERFORMING ORG REPORT NUMBER -132300-4-F
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Environmental Research Institute of Michigan P.O. Box 8618 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107	15	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N60530-78-C-0009
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Dr. Jon Wunderlich, Code 39403 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555	11	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 12 119
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Receiving Officer Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555		12. REPORT DATE Aug 1979
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 109
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Terrain Backgrounds, IR Imagery, Statistical Analyses, Multispectral Data		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Data from infrared imagery on various terrain backgrounds have been collected by the Environmental Research Institute of Michigan and have been analyzed to present their statistical features. This work is being funded by the Optical Signatures Program to Support Navy Requirements. This report describes some of the characteristics in the form of histograms,		

RECEIVED  
OCT 10 1979  
ERIM

DD FORM 1473 1 JAN 73 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

408 259

*micrometers*

Abstract (Cont.)

→ ellipse "pictures", and power spectra for the following infrared spectral bands: 2.0-2.6, 4.5-5.5, and 8.0-14.0  $\mu\text{m}$ . Special areas were chosen to demonstrate the variation in results with changes in the diurnal cycle.

Accession For	
NTIS	GRA&I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC TAB	
Unannounced	
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or special
A	

## SUMMARY

The work described herein was funded by the Optical Signatures Program to Support Navy Requirements. Data from infrared (IR) imagery on various terrain backgrounds in the vicinity of Camp A.P. Hill, Virginia have been collected by the Environmental Research Institute of Michigan and have been analyzed to present their statistical features. The data were collected by the ERIM M-7 scanner, a multispectral scanner which operates in several wavelength bands in the visible and infrared portions of the electromagnetic spectrum. The imagery was collected with the scanner looking directly downward.

The area analyzed in this report is depicted in photographic imagery and in greymaps shown in the body of the report. The characteristics of the IR imagery and of the flight conditions are described in detail. The scanner footprint in the processed data is approximately a 1.6 foot square. The statistics calculated have been presented in figures and tables in the body of the report as histograms, spectral correlations, ellipses, and power spectra.

Histograms of probability-of-occurrence of the signal values are presented in terms of spectral radiance for the 2.0-2.6  $\mu\text{m}$  wavelength band, and in terms of apparent temperature in the 4.5-5.5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 8.0-14.0  $\mu\text{m}$  wavelength bands. The relationship between the temperature and radiance statistics of the three thermal bands is discussed in the text.

Spectral correlations are presented to show relationships between the signals of the various channels. These are given along with means and standard deviations for the different bands and the various scenes.

Ellipse representations of scene features are presented, depicting area sizes which occur above (or below) given threshold levels. The

basis for the formation of ellipses is described in an earlier report [1]. Each ellipse represents certain features in the scene in area and general orientation, except that the area is specialized to a simple geometric form.

Power spectra of each of the scenes are presented for comparing results of different spectral regions.

1. R. Spellacy, J. Beard, and J. R. Maxwell, Statistical Analysis of Terrain Background Measurements Data, Report 120500-12-F, ERIM, March 1977.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge the invaluable assistance of Ms. Abby Liskow in performing the extensive computer operations necessary for the successful completion of the analyses.

Under the supervision of Mr. Stephen Stewart, data were collected on the flight by instrumentation specialists Mr. Jimmie Ladd and Mr. William Juodawlakis. The data collection effort was supported by the Night Vision Laboratory of the Army's Mobility Equipment Research and Development Command at Ft. Belvoir, Virginia, whose assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
	SUMMARY. . . . .	iii
1	INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
2	HISTOGRAMS . . . . .	13
3	SPECTRAL CORRELATIONS. . . . .	27
4	ELLIPSES . . . . .	33
5	POWER SPECTRA. . . . .	97

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Aerial Photograph of Camp A.P. Hill Test Area. . . . .	2
2	Camp A.P. Hill Area Imagery - Morning. . . . .	3
3	Camp A.P. Hill Area Imagery - Afternoon. . . . .	4
4	Camp A.P. Hill Area Imagery - Midnight . . . . .	5
5	Greymap of Camp A.P. Hill Area - Morning . . . . .	7
6	Greymap of Camp A.P. Hill Area - Afternoon . . . . .	8
7	Greymap of Camp A.P. Hill Area - Evening . . . . .	9
8	Greymap of Camp A.P. Hill Area - Midnight. . . . .	10
9a-c	Histogram of Camp A.P. Hill Area (Morning) . . . . .	14-16
10a-c	Histogram of Camp A.P. Hill Area (Afternoon) . . . . .	17-19
11a-b	Histogram of Camp A.P. Hill Area (Evening) . . . . .	20-21
12a-b	Histogram of Camp A.P. Hill Area (Midnight). . . . .	22-23
13a-h	Equivalent Elliptical Areas for Camp A.P. Hill (Morning). . . . .	34-41
14a-i	Equivalent Elliptical Areas for Camp A.P. Hill (Afternoon). . . . .	42-50
15a-f	Equivalent Elliptical Areas for Camp A.P. Hill (Evening). . . . .	51-56
16a-g	Equivalent Elliptical Areas for Camp A.P. Hill (Midnight) . . . . .	57-63
17a	Power Spectra - Camp A.P. Hill Area (Morning Cross- Track) . . . . .	98
17b	Power Spectra - Camp A.P. Hill Area (Morning In-Track)	99
18a	Power Spectra - Camp A.P. Hill Area (Afternoon Cross- Track) . . . . .	100
18b	Power Spectra - Camp A.P. Hill Area (Afternoon In- Track) . . . . .	101
19a	Power Spectra - Camp A.P. Hill Area (Evening Cross- Track) . . . . .	102
19b	Power Spectra - Camp A.P. Hill Area (Evening In-Track)	103
20a	Power Spectra - Camp A.P. Hill Area (Midnight Cross- Track) . . . . .	104
20b	Power Spectra - Camp A.P. Hill Area (Midnight In-Track)	105

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Pertinent Information About Camp A.P. Hill Data. . . . .	11
2	Camp A.P. Hill Area - Morning. . . . .	28
3	Camp A.P. Hill Area - Afternoon. . . . .	29
4	Camp A.P. Hill Area - Evening. . . . .	30
5	Camp A.P. Hill Area - Midnight . . . . .	31
6	Camp A.P. Hill Area - Morning (Area Distributions) . . .	64
7	Camp A.P. Hill Area - Afternoon (Area Distributions) . .	72
8	Camp A.P. Hill Area - Evening (Area Distributions) . . .	81
9	Camp A.P. Hill Area - Midnight (Area Distributions). . .	87

## INTRODUCTION


As part of a program to derive statistical information on the electromagnetic (EM) characteristics of various terrain backgrounds, selected areas of multispectral imagery obtained during March, 1978 in the vicinity of Camp A.P. Hill, Va. with ERIM's M7 scanner were analyzed. The backgrounds investigated at this particular site were primarily small stands of leafless deciduous and coniferous trees interspersed among open areas of forest carpet. Adjacent to this rugged scrub-brush area was a large field of bare soil. In general, the terrain could be classified as typical of a military tactical environment.

An aerial photography of the site is shown in Figure 1. As can be seen, the test site also contains several classes of vehicular targets under differing amounts of ground cover. Although these targets (which were continually repositioned throughout the data collection effort) were incidental to this program's interests and, therefore, were essentially ignored in the analysis reported herein, they do indeed stand out in certain of the results as will be evident later.

The actual IR thermal images analyzed in this study are shown in Figures 2, 3, and 4. These images are actually reversed left-to-right with respect to the actual scene since the computer display which produced them normally progresses from left to right while the M7 infrared sensor scans in the opposite direction. This nuance was not corrected as it has only cosmetic impact upon the reported results. For the sake of consistency, the aerial photograph (Figure 1) was purposely reversed to coincide with the imagery.


The M7 infrared sensor used to collect these data is a multispectral scanner operating in several bands in the visible and IR regions of the EM spectrum. The scene image data, along with signals from several calibration sources, are digitally recorded on High Density Digital Tape (HDDT) and later reformatted to Computer Compatible Tapes (CCT) from which the analyses are made. More detailed information on the scanner system are given in Reference 1.

1. R. Spellicy, J. Beard, and J. R. Maxwell, Statistical Analysis of Terrain Background Measurements Data, Report 120500-12-F, ERIM, March 1977.



**FIGURE 1. AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH OF CAMP A. P. HILL TEST AREA. Photo image is reversed left-to-right for compatibility with imagery scan direction.**

Flight Direction



(a) 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$


(b) 8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 2. CAMP A. P. HILL AREA IMAGERY - MORNING

Flight Direction  
→




(a) 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$




(b) 8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 3. CAMP A. P. HILL AREA IMAGERY - AFTERNOON



(b) 8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

Flight  
Direction  
→



(a) 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 4. CAMP A. P. HILL AREA IMAGERY - MIDNIGHT

One of the features of the Camp A.P. Hill data set which provided added impetus to its analysis was the availability of background imagery at several different times in the diurnal cycle. Figures 2, 3, and 4 show imagery from three of the four times of day during which data were collected. Although imagery from the 1830 hours flight were not reproduced in this report, the results presented herein encompass all four collection times, namely, 0930 hours, 1330 hours, 1830 hours, and 2330 hours. General data parameters are described in Table 1.


The weather was clear on all flights so that the only significant environmental effects inherent in the data should be those due to the solar heating/cooling process and the attenuation effects of the atmosphere intervening between the sensor and the terrain. The flight line direction and areal site coverage was essentially identical for all data collection times.

There were occasional anomalies in this data set which were caused by instrumentation problems.\* Wherever possible, these anomalies were digitally corrected before proceeding with the analysis. Those which could not be corrected and, therefore, increase the uncertainty of the report results are noted in the text.

The actual regions from the Camp A.P. Hill data which were analyzed for background statistics are delineated by the imagery boundaries shown in Figures 2, 3, and 4, and in the greymaps of Figures 5, 6, 7 and 8. The sections analyzed are found on the greymaps with the line numbers given on the left-edge and pixel numbers given on the top and bottom. Every seventh line and pixel number is shown. Since the data were collected with contiguous sampling at 1.6 ft intervals in both dimensions, the areas analyzed can be determined exactly. The dimensions in feet shown on the greymaps are approximate. The analyses for this effort take the following forms: histograms, spectral correlations, ellipses, and power spectra.


---

\* For example, loss of electronic synchronization would sometimes cause the recorder to lose a few scan lines of data.




Note: Because of vertical-to-horizontal asymmetry of scale in the line printer, measured picture sizes are not consistent with indicated actual area sizes.

FIGURE 5. GREYMAP OF CAMP A.P. HILL AREA - MORNING  
(Time: 0930,  $\Delta\lambda$ : 4.5-5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ )



See note on Figure 5.

FIGURE 6. GREYMAP OF CAMP A.P. HILL AREA - AFTERNOON  
(Time: 1330,  $\Delta\lambda$ : 4.5-5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ )



Note: Because of vignetting on the left side, only that portion starting with pixel #344 was used.  
See also note on Figure 5.

FIGURE 7. GREYMAP OF CAMP A.P. HILL AREA - EVENING  
(Time: 1830,  $\Delta\lambda$ : 4.5-5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ )




to line #1

1600 ft

to line #1000

800 ft

to pixel #895



Note: Because of vignetting on the left side, only that portion starting with pixel #384 was used. See also note on Figure 5.

FIGURE 8. GREYMAP OF CAMP A.P. HILL AREA - MIDNIGHT  
(Time: 2330,  $\Delta\lambda$ : 4.5-5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ )

TABLE 1  
PERTINENT INFORMATION ABOUT CAMP A.P. HILL DATA  
(Dates of Flight: 28, 29, 30 March 1978)

Morning

Wavelength Bands: 2.0-2.6  $\mu\text{m}$ , 4.5-5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ , 8.0-14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

IFOV: 2.0 mrad

Altitude: 800 ft

Depression Angle: 90°

Time: 0930 hrs

Flight Direction: West

Ground Speed: 168 ft-sec<sup>-1</sup>

Area Covered (Approx.): 1100 ft long x 1400 ft wide

Weather: Clear

Afternoon

Wavelength Bands: 2.0-2.6  $\mu\text{m}$ , 4.5-5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ , 8.0-14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

IFOV: 2.0 mrad

Altitude: 800 ft

Depression Angle: 90°

Time: 1330 hrs

Flight Direction: West

Ground Speed: 168 ft-sec<sup>-1</sup>

Area Covered (Approx.): 1100 ft long x 1400 ft wide

Weather: Clear

TABLE 1 (Concluded)

EveningWavelength Bands: 4.5-5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ , 8.0-14.0  $\mu\text{m}$ I FOV: 2.0 mradAltitude: 800 ftDepression Angle: 90°Time: 1830 hrsFlight Direction: WestGround Speed: 168 ft-sec<sup>-1</sup>Area Covered (Approx.): 1100 ft long x 800 ft wideWeather: ClearMidnightWavelength Bands: 4.5-5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ , 8.0-14.0  $\mu\text{m}$ I FOV: 2.0 mradAltitude: 800 ftDepression Angle: 90°Time: 2330 hrsFlight Direction: WestGround Speed: 168 ft-sec<sup>-1</sup>Area Covered (Approx.): 1600 ft long x 800 ft wideWeather: Clear

## HISTOGRAMS


After the images are calibrated and computer-processed to achieve line-by-line contiguity, the data are stored on computer-compatible magnetic tape. For a given run, the pixel values are sorted by magnitude into data bins and counted for the purpose of creating histograms and computing mean values and standard deviations. From these results, apparent temperature histograms are plotted for the thermal bands while, in the 2.0-2.6  $\mu\text{m}$  band, histograms are plotted in terms of spectral radiance. Histograms for the different runs are shown in Figures 9, 10, 11, and 12 for the morning, afternoon, evening and midnight cases, respectively. The curve defined by the circles in each figure is a plot of the Gaussian distribution corresponding to the same mean and standard deviation as for the actual data. The circles are separated by  $1/2 \sigma$  ( $\sigma$ =standard deviation).

Because of the sun's influence, the data tend to be broader for the short wavelength regions (i.e., below 4  $\mu\text{m}$ ). The 2.0-2.6  $\mu\text{m}$  histograms are plotted in terms of spectral radiance inasmuch as a reflected solar irradiance, and not temperature, represents the dominant source in this band.

We could have analyzed all of the spectral regions in terms of radiance, but reducing the values to equivalent temperature values makes intercomparison of data easier. In fact, in Reference 2, we did reduce the results in the thermal regions in terms of both temperature and radiance, and found that, within less than a 10% error, the radiance statistics can be deduced directly\* from the temperature statistics


2. A. J. LaRocca and J. R. Maxwell, Statistical Analysis of Terrain Data, Report 132300-2-F, ERIM, February 1979.

\* Strictly speaking, because of the non-linearity of the Planck equation, we should not expect to derive radiance statistics from temperature statistics through the Planck equation. However, the temperature range of values is sufficiently small to allow this to be done without incurring a large error.



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Morning Wavelength = 2.0 - 2.6  $\mu\text{m}$   
 Mean = 59.36  
 Std. Dev. = 27.03

FIGURE 9a. HISTOGRAM OF CAMP A.P. HILL AREA



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Morning Wavelength = 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$   
 Mean = 283.77  
 Std. Dev. = 2.59

FIGURE 9b. HISTOGRAM OF CAMP A.P. HILL AREA






FIGURE 9c. HISTOGRAM OF CAMP A.P. HILL AREA



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Afternoon Wavelength = 2.0 - 2.6  $\mu\text{m}$   
 Mean = 62.36  
 Std. Dev. = 24.79

FIGURE 10a. HISTOGRAM OF CAMP A.P. HILL AREA



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Afternoon Wavelength = 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$   
 Mean = 285.69  
 Std. Dev. = 2.29

FIGURE 10b. HISTOGRAM OF CAMP A.P. HILL AREA






FIGURE 10c. HISTOGRAM OF CAMP A.P. HILL AREA




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Evening Wavelength = 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$   
 Mean = 282.89  
 Std. Dev. = 1.17

FIGURE 11a. HISTOGRAM OF CAMP A.P. HILL AREA



Area: Camp A.P. Hill 111 - Evening Wavelength = 8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$   
Mean = 281.16  
Std. Dev. = 1.70

FIGURE 11b. HISTOGRAM OF CAMP A.P. HILL AREA



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Midnight Wavelength = 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$   
 Mean = 282.00  
 Std. Dev. = 1.26

FIGURE 12a. HISTOGRAM OF CAMP A.P. HILL AREA




FIGURE 12b. HISTOGRAM OF CAMP A.P. HILL AREA

through the Planck function. In view of this, we therefore omitted the radiance statistics for the thermal spectral region, and merely added to this histogram a scale of radiance in the band corresponding to a given temperature.

Histograms are produced in this report for the areas and conditions indicated in Table 1. The greymaps of Figures 5, 6, 7, and 8 were obtained from the digitized, calibrated imagery in the 4.5-5.5  $\mu\text{m}$  band for all times of day. The imagery were all obtained from an altitude of 800 feet with the scanner "looking" directly downward. Usually with the M-7 scanner we have found that, in this case, all of the pixels in a line corresponding to scenery video are usable, which was the case for the daylight runs. However, for night runs vignetting caused the introduction of radiation along the edge of the scene which was falsely hot and had to be removed from the statistics. For this region, the left-edge of the image is excluded from the statistics of the night-time data. Of course, vignetting occurs also in the daylight imagery, but, relatively, its effect is unnoticeable because it does not introduce artifacts above the level of the background scene.

The fence-like character of all the data shown in the histograms, but particularly in the 2.0-2.6  $\mu\text{m}$  band, are due to slight faulty actions of the digitizer, and cause mainly cosmetic problems, since the dropping and adding of bits of data here and there is evident. Some events at the high-temperature ends of the 4.5-5.5 and 8.0-14.0  $\mu\text{m}$  bands may be suspect due either to digitizing problems or to the possibility that all of the vignetting was not accounted for. However, as pointed out earlier in the report, there are targets in the imagery and some of these events at the high temperature end are accounted for by their presence. As will be seen later, these discrete events are quite evident in the ellipse representation of the statistics.

It will be noted that the mean temperatures in the 4.5-5.5 and 8.0-14.0  $\mu\text{m}$  histograms agree very closely, contrary to what we found in the Port Hueneme data (see Reference 3), where the 9.0-11.4  $\mu\text{m}$  temperatures tended to be considerably warmer than those in the 4.5-5.5  $\mu\text{m}$  band. This was explained by the conjecture that probably a non-equilibrium thermodynamics existed in which the air temperature was cooler than the surface temperature, and the humidity was high enough to cause considerable absorption in the 4.5-5.5  $\mu\text{m}$  band.

In the night time situations in the Camp A.P. Hill data reported here, the temperatures are very close, but tend in the other direction; i.e., 4.5-5.5  $\mu\text{m}$  temperatures are slightly warmer than those for the 8.0-14.0  $\mu\text{m}$  band. If there is absorption to account for some of these observations, a reasonable explanation is that the 8.0-14.0  $\mu\text{m}$  band of these data is considerably less transparent than the 9.0-11.4  $\mu\text{m}$  band of the Port Hueneme data. Furthermore, part of it is due to  $\text{CO}_2$  which is not humidity-dependent. In addition, the nights were very clear which would account for a rapid drop in ground temperature. The warmer, perhaps slightly humid, air could radiate at a warmer temperature and cause the 4.5-5.5  $\mu\text{m}$  band temperature to show higher than that in the 8.0-14.0  $\mu\text{m}$  band. Of course, the differences are small enough that experimental and calculational errors offer non-negligible contributions.

The above events are a good argument for representing the distributions in terms of temperature. Normalization of the Planck curve makes it easier to observe differences in the behavior of different thermal regions whether imaginary or real.

---

3. A. J. LaRocca, Statistical Analysis of Terrain and Water Backgrounds in the Vicinity of Port Hueneme, California, Report 132300-3-T, ERIM, April 1979.

## SPECTRAL CORRELATIONS

The overall statistics of the various regions are given in Tables 2 through 5, where the means and standard deviations are recorded, as well as the correlations between the different spectral bands. The spectral bands are designated by channel number with the following correspondence:

Channel 2: 2.0-2.6  $\mu\text{m}$  ( $\mu\text{W-cm}^{-2}\text{-sr}^{-1}\text{-}\mu\text{m}^{-1}$ )

Channel 4: 4.5-5.5  $\mu\text{m}$  ( $^{\circ}\text{K}$ )

Channel 5: 8.0-14.0  $\mu\text{m}$  ( $^{\circ}\text{K}$ )

The units for the means and standard deviations in the different spectral bands are given in parentheses.

In light of the statement made earlier (in Section 2) about radiance and temperature statistics, we felt sufficiently confident to compare the results of the 2.0-2.6  $\mu\text{m}$  band with those of the thermal region. One must keep in mind, however, that different radiative mechanisms are in force owing to the sun's dominant influence in the short wavelength region.

TABLE 2  
Camp A.P. Hill Area - Morning

Number of Subregions = 1  
 Pixel Subarea Divisions at: 1 855  
 Line Subarea Divisions at: 1 700  
 Line Increment Used = 1  
 Pixel Increment Used = 1  
 Correlation Channels: 2 (2.0 - 2.6  $\mu\text{m}$ )  
                           4 (4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ )  
                           5 (8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$ )

Correlation	2	4	5
2	1.000		
4	0.841	1.000	
5	0.760	0.905	1.000

Channels	2 ( $\mu\text{W-cm}^{-2}\text{-sr}^{-1}\text{-}\mu\text{m}^{-1}$ )	4 ( $^{\circ}\text{K}$ )	4 ( $^{\circ}\text{K}$ )
Mean	5.9364E+01	2.8377E+02	2.8344E+02
St. Dev.	2.7030E+01	2.5920E+00	2.3146E+00
Total Points	589260.	589260.	589260.

TABLE 3  
Camp A.P. Hill Area - Afternoon

Number of Subregions = 1  
 Pixel Subarea Divisions at: 1 855  
 Line Subarea Divisions at: 1 704  
 Line Increment Used = 1  
 Pixel Increment Used = 1  
 Correlation Channels: 2 (2.0 - 2.6  $\mu\text{m}$ )  
                           4 (4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ )  
                           5 (8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$ )

	Correlation		
	2	4	5
2	1.000		
4	0.782	1.000	
5	0.636	0.882	1.000
Channels	2	4	5
	( $\mu\text{W-cm}^{-2}\text{-sr}^{-1}\text{-}\mu\text{m}^{-1}$ )	( $^{\circ}\text{K}$ )	( $^{\circ}\text{K}$ )
Mean	6.2356E+01	2.8569E+02	2.8654E+02
St. Dev.	2.4785E+01	2.2922E+00	2.7390E+00
Total Points	597800.	597800.	597800.

TABLE 4  
Camp A.P. Hill Area - Evening

Number of Subregions = 1  
 Pixel Subarea Divisions at: 344 855  
 Line Subarea Divisions at: 1 700  
 Line Increment Used = 1  
 Pixel Increment Used = 1  
 Correlation Channels: 4 (4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ )  
                           5 (8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$ )

Correlation	4	5
4	1.000	
5	0.767	1.000
Channels	4	5
	( $^{\circ}\text{K}$ )	( $^{\circ}\text{K}$ )
Mean	2.8289E+02	2.8116E+02
St. Dev.	1.1683E+00	1.7043E+00
Total Pts.	357700.	357700.

TABLE 5  
Camp A.P. Hill Area - Midnight

Number of Subregions = 1  
 Pixel Subarea Divisions at: 384 895  
 Line Subarea Divisions at: 1 1000  
 Line Increment Used = 1  
 Pixel Increment Used = 1  
 Correlation Channels: 4 (4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ )  
 5 (8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$ )

Correlation	4	5
4	1.000	
5	0.669	1.000
	4	5
Channels	( $^{\circ}\text{K}$ )	( $^{\circ}\text{K}$ )
Mean	2.8200E+02	2.7950E+02
St. Dev.	1.2626E+00	1.5362E+00
Total Pts.	504357.	504357.


## ELLIPSES

One of the statistics that is being gathered on various scenes as part of this backgrounds analysis program is "ellipse" statistics. These statistics are two-dimensional analogs of threshold crossing and pulse length statistics in one dimension. They are generated by identifying those contiguous areas in the image with data values that exceed some threshold value. The area corresponding to each cluster of contiguous pixels is then determined and tabulated. The first and second moments for each area are also determined to define an equivalent elliptical area, and tabulations are made of the distribution of contiguous areas in the image that exceed the threshold by area, perimeter, shape factor, or ratio of major-to-minor axis. These elliptic statistics are determined for each of several threshold settings. Single pixel and contiguous two-pixel exceedances are not included in the ellipse tabulations, but the number of such exceedances are noted separately. The threshold levels are given in units of multiples (or fractions) of one standard deviation. Examples of ellipses for the various scenes are illustrated in Figures 13, 14, 15, and 16. Certain features in the ellipses are clearly associated with comparable details in the imagery shown in Figures 2, 3, and 4, and the greymaps of Figures 5, 6, 7, and 8.

Tables 6 through 9 show how the contiguous areas that exceed several thresholds are distributed by area (square meters), perimeter (meters), and shape factor. The shape factor is defined to be the ratio of the perimeter/ $2\pi$  and the square root of the area/ $\pi$ , i.e.,


$$\text{shape factor} = \frac{\text{perimeter}/2\pi}{(\text{area}/\pi)^{1/2}}$$

For a circular area, the shape factor would achieve its minimum value of unity.



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Morning  
Radiance Threshold  
= Ave. + 1.50  $\sigma$   
Wavelength = 2.0 - 2.6  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 13a. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Morning  
Radiance Threshold  
= Ave. + 2.50  $\sigma$   
Wavelength = 2.0 - 2.6  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 13b. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Morning  
Temperature Threshold  
= Ave. + 2.50  $\sigma$   
Wavelength = 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 13c. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Morning  
Temperature Threshold  
= Ave. + 3.00  $\sigma$   
Wavelength = 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 13d. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Morning  
Temperature Threshold  
= Ave. + 3.50  $\sigma$   
Wavelength = 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 13e. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Morning  
Temperature Threshold  
= Ave. + 2.50  $\sigma$   
Wavelength = 8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 13f. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Morning  
Temperature Threshold  
= Ave. + 3.00  $\sigma$   
Wavelength = 8.0 -14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 13g. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Morning  
Temperature Threshold  
= Ave. + 4.00  $\sigma$   
Wavelength = 8.0 -14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 13h. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Afternoon  
 Radiance Threshold  
 = Ave. + 2.00  $\sigma$   
 Wavelength = 2.0 - 2.6  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 14a. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Afternoon  
Radiance Threshold  
= Ave. + 2.50  $\sigma$   
Wavelength = 2.0 - 2.6  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 14b. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Afternoon  
 Radiance Threshold  
 = Ave. + 3.00  $\sigma$   
 Wavelength = 2.0 - 2.6  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 14c. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Afternoon

Temperature Threshold

= Ave. + 2.50  $\sigma$


Wavelength = 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 14d. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Afternoon  
Temperature Threshold  
= Ave. + 3.00  $\sigma$   
Wavelength = 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 14e. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Afternoon  
Temperature Threshold  
= Ave. + 3.50  $\sigma$   
Wavelength = 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 14f. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Afternoon  
 Temperature Threshold  
 = Ave. + 2.50  $\sigma$   
 Wavelength = 8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 14g. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Afternoon  
Temperature Threshold  
= Ave. + 3.00  $\sigma$   
Wavelength = 8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 14h. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Afternoon  
Temperature Threshold  
= Ave. + 3.50  $\sigma$   
Wavelength = 8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 14i. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Evening  
 Temperature Threshold  
 = Ave. + 1.00  $\sigma$   
 Wavelength = 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 15a. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Evening  
 Temperature Threshold  
 = Ave. + 2.00  $\sigma$   
 Wavelength = 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 15b. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Evening

Temperature Threshold

= Ave. + 3.00  $\sigma$

Wavelength = 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 15c. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Evening

Temperature Threshold

= Ave. + 2.00  $\sigma$

Wavelength = 8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 15d. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Evening

Temperature Threshold

= Ave. + 2.50  $\sigma$

Wavelength = 8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 15e. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Evening

Temperature Threshold

= Ave. + 3.00  $\sigma$

Wavelength = 8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 15f. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Midnight

Temperature Threshold

= Ave. + 1.00  $\sigma$

Wavelength = 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 16a. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Midnight

Temperature Threshold

= Ave. + 1.50  $\sigma$

Wavelength = 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 16b. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Midnight

Temperature Threshold

= Ave. + 2.50  $\sigma$

Wavelength = 4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 16c. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Midnight

Temperature Threshold

= Ave. + 1.00  $\sigma$


Wavelength = 8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 16d. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Midnight  
 Temperature Threshold  
 = Ave. + 1.50  $\sigma$   
 Wavelength = 8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 16e. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Midnight

Temperature Threshold

= Ave. + 2.00  $\sigma$

Wavelength = 8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 16f. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Midnight

Temperature Threshold

= Ave. + 3.00  $\sigma$

Wavelength = 8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

FIGURE 16g. EQUIVALENT ELLIPTICAL AREAS FOR CAMP A.P. HILL



TABLE 6  
Camp A.P. Hill Area - Morning  
AREA DISTRIBUTIONS

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT

(Threshold = Ave. + 1.5  $\sigma$ )  
2.0 - 2.6  $\mu\text{m}$ )

BY AREA

SQUARE METERS	FREQUENCY
0.0 TO 5.0	485
5.0 TO 10.0	75
10.0 TO 15.0	24
15.0 TO 20.0	19
20.0 TO 25.0	14
25.0 TO 30.0	2
30.0 TO 35.0	9
35.0 TO 40.0	3
40.0 TO 45.0	5
45.0 TO 50.0	3
50.0 TO 75.0	4
75.0 TO 100.0	4
100.0 TO 150.0	3
150.0 TO 200.0	2
200.0 TO 250.0	3
250.0 TO 300.0	1
300.0 TO 400.0	2
400.0 TO 500.0	3
OVER 500.0	5

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 666

925 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	302	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	96	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	42	1.1 TO 1.2	19
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	31	1.2 TO 1.3	17
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	22	1.3 TO 1.4	108
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	6	1.4 TO 1.5	54
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	24	1.5 TO 1.6	69
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	13	1.6 TO 1.7	93
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	15	1.7 TO 1.8	48
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	7	1.8 TO 1.9	39
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	7	1.9 TO 2.0	30
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	8	2.0 TO 2.4	79
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	14	2.4 TO 2.6	30
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	9	2.6 TO 2.8	15
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	11	2.8 TO 3.0	16
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	12	3.0 TO 3.5	16
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	14	3.5 TO 4.0	13
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	8	4.0 TO 4.5	3
OVER 100	OVER 328	25	OVER 4.5	17



TABLE 6 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT

(Threshold = Ave. + 3.5  $\sigma$ )  
2.0 - 2.6  $\mu\text{m}$

BY AREA

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	1
5.0 TO	10.0	0
10.0 TO	15.0	0
15.0 TO	20.0	0
20.0 TO	25.0	0
25.0 TO	30.0	0
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	0
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	0
75.0 TO	100.0	0
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT		= 1

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

METERS		FEET		FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR		FREQUENCY
0 TO	7	0 TO	22	0	0.0 TO	1.0	0
7 TO	10	22 TO	32	0	1.0 TO	1.1	0
10 TO	12	32 TO	39	0	1.1 TO	1.2	0
12 TO	14	39 TO	45	0	1.2 TO	1.3	0
14 TO	16	45 TO	52	1	1.3 TO	1.4	0
16 TO	17	52 TO	55	0	1.4 TO	1.5	0
17 TO	20	55 TO	65	0	1.5 TO	1.6	0
20 TO	22	65 TO	72	0	1.6 TO	1.7	0
22 TO	24	72 TO	78	0	1.7 TO	1.8	0
24 TO	26	78 TO	85	0	1.8 TO	1.9	1
26 TO	28	85 TO	91	0	1.9 TO	2.0	0
28 TO	30	91 TO	98	0	2.0 TO	2.4	0
30 TO	32	98 TO	104	0	2.4 TO	2.6	0
32 TO	39	104 TO	127	0	2.6 TO	2.8	0
39 TO	45	127 TO	147	0	2.8 TO	3.0	0
45 TO	55	147 TO	180	0	3.0 TO	3.5	0
55 TO	71	180 TO	232	0	3.5 TO	4.0	0
71 TO	100	232 TO	328	0	4.0 TO	4.5	0
OVER	100	OVER	328	0	OVER	4.5	0

TABLE 6 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 2.5  $\sigma$ )  
4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	267
5.0 TO	10.0	50
10.0 TO	15.0	18
15.0 TO	20.0	10
20.0 TO	25.0	7
25.0 TO	30.0	3
30.0 TO	35.0	2
35.0 TO	40.0	3
40.0 TO	45.0	2
45.0 TO	50.0	3
50.0 TO	75.0	3
75.0 TO	100.0	2
100.0 TO	150.0	2
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 372

205 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

BY PERIMETER			BY SHAPE	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	165	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	50	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	28	1.1 TO 1.2	17
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	27	1.2 TO 1.3	17
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	9	1.3 TO 1.4	80
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	6	1.4 TO 1.5	49
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	23	1.5 TO 1.6	27
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	6	1.6 TO 1.7	39
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	5	1.7 TO 1.8	31
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	8	1.8 TO 1.9	24
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	6	1.9 TO 2.0	19
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	2	2.0 TO 2.4	36
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	2	2.4 TO 2.6	9
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	8	2.6 TO 2.8	5
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	9	2.8 TO 3.0	5
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	2	3.0 TO 3.5	8
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	4	3.5 TO 4.0	3
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	6	4.0 TO 4.5	2
OVER 100	OVER 328	6	OVER 4.5	1

TABLE 6 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 3.0  $\sigma$ )  
4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ )

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	174
5.0 TO	10.0	22
10.0 TO	15.0	8
15.0 TO	20.0	3
20.0 TO	25.0	2
25.0 TO	30.0	2
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	0
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	0
75.0 TO	100.0	0
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 211

131 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

BY PERIMETER			BY SHAPE	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	101	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	44	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	14	1.1 TO 1.2	9
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	8	1.2 TO 1.3	8
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	11	1.3 TO 1.4	42
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	1	1.4 TO 1.5	28
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	10	1.5 TO 1.6	24
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	6	1.6 TO 1.7	29
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	4	1.7 TO 1.8	18
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	0	1.8 TO 1.9	17
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	2	1.9 TO 2.0	11
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	3	2.0 TO 2.4	21
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	2	2.4 TO 2.6	3
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	3	2.6 TO 2.8	0
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	1	2.8 TO 3.0	0
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	1	3.0 TO 3.5	1
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	0	3.5 TO 4.0	0
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	0	4.0 TO 4.5	0
OVER 100	OVER 328	0	OVER 4.5	0

TABLE 6 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 3.5  $\sigma$ )  
4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	42
5.0 TO	10.0	4
10.0 TO	15.0	2
15.0 TO	20.0	0
20.0 TO	25.0	0
25.0 TO	30.0	0
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	0
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	0
75.0 TO	100.0	0
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 48

58 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

METERS		FEET		FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO	7	0 TO	22	36	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO	10	22 TO	32	3	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO	12	32 TO	39	1	1.1 TO 1.2	3
12 TO	14	39 TO	45	1	1.2 TO 1.3	4
14 TO	16	45 TO	52	2	1.3 TO 1.4	16
16 TO	17	52 TO	55	0	1.4 TO 1.5	6
17 TO	20	55 TO	65	3	1.5 TO 1.6	4
20 TO	22	65 TO	72	0	1.6 TO 1.7	4
22 TO	24	72 TO	78	2	1.7 TO 1.8	4
24 TO	26	78 TO	85	0	1.8 TO 1.9	0
26 TO	28	85 TO	91	0	1.9 TO 2.0	1
28 TO	30	91 TO	98	0	2.0 TO 2.4	3
30 TO	32	98 TO	104	0	2.4 TO 2.6	1
32 TO	39	104 TO	127	0	2.6 TO 2.8	0
39 TO	45	127 TO	147	0	2.8 TO 3.0	0
45 TO	55	147 TO	180	0	3.0 TO 3.5	0
55 TO	71	180 TO	232	0	3.5 TO 4.0	0
71 TO	100	232 TO	328	0	4.0 TO 4.5	0
OVER	100	OVER	328	0	OVER 4.5	0

TABLE 6 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 2.5  $\sigma$ )  
8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$ )

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	319
5.0 TO	10.0	59
10.0 TO	15.0	15
15.0 TO	20.0	14
20.0 TO	25.0	5
25.0 TO	30.0	3
30.0 TO	35.0	4
35.0 TO	40.0	0
40.0 TO	45.0	2
45.0 TO	50.0	1
50.0 TO	75.0	4
75.0 TO	100.0	2
100.0 TO	150.0	1
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 429

311 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

METERS		FEET		FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO	7	0 TO	22	198	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO	10	22 TO	32	56	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO	12	32 TO	39	29	1.1 TO 1.2	18
12 TO	14	39 TO	45	30	1.2 TO 1.3	35
14 TO	16	45 TO	52	18	1.3 TO 1.4	76
16 TO	17	52 TO	55	5	1.4 TO 1.5	54
17 TO	20	55 TO	65	15	1.5 TO 1.6	29
20 TO	22	65 TO	72	15	1.6 TO 1.7	47
22 TO	24	72 TO	78	7	1.7 TO 1.8	27
24 TO	26	78 TO	85	6	1.8 TO 1.9	23
26 TO	28	85 TO	91	5	1.9 TO 2.0	20
28 TO	30	91 TO	98	6	2.0 TO 2.4	55
30 TO	32	98 TO	104	4	2.4 TO 2.6	15
32 TO	39	104 TO	127	10	2.6 TO 2.8	8
39 TO	45	127 TO	147	5	2.8 TO 3.0	6
45 TO	55	147 TO	180	2	3.0 TO 3.5	4
55 TO	71	180 TO	232	6	3.5 TO 4.0	6
71 TO	100	232 TO	328	8	4.0 TO 4.5	5
OVER	100	OVER	328	4	OVER 4.5	1

TABLE 6 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 3.0  $\sigma$ )  
8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$ )

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	214
5.0 TO	10.0	15
10.0 TO	15.0	4
15.0 TO	20.0	4
20.0 TO	25.0	0
25.0 TO	30.0	1
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	0
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	0
75.0 TO	100.0	0
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 238

229 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER				BY SHAPE	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY	
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	141	0.0 TO 1.0	0	
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	43	1.0 TO 1.1	0	
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	12	1.1 TO 1.2	10	
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	11	1.2 TO 1.3	26	
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	5	1.3 TO 1.4	58	
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	4	1.4 TO 1.5	36	
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	6	1.5 TO 1.6	20	
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	4	1.6 TO 1.7	31	
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	1	1.7 TO 1.8	15	
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	3	1.8 TO 1.9	8	
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	1	1.9 TO 2.0	9	
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	1	2.0 TO 2.4	15	
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	2	2.4 TO 2.6	6	
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	0	2.6 TO 2.8	1	
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	2	2.8 TO 3.0	2	
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	2	3.0 TO 3.5	1	
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	0	3.5 TO 4.0	0	
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	0	4.0 TO 4.5	0	
OVER 100	OVER 328	0	OVER 4.5	0	



TABLE 6 (Concluded)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 4.0  $\sigma$ )  
8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$ )

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	6
5.0 TO	10.0	1
10.0 TO	15.0	0
15.0 TO	20.0	0
20.0 TO	25.0	0
25.0 TO	30.0	0
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	0
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	0
75.0 TO	100.0	0
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT		= 7

6 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER				BY SHAPE		
METERS		FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY	
0 TO	7	0 TO	22	5	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO	10	22 TO	32	1	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO	12	32 TO	39	0	1.1 TO 1.2	1
12 TO	14	39 TO	45	0	1.2 TO 1.3	0
14 TO	16	45 TO	52	0	1.3 TO 1.4	3
16 TO	17	52 TO	55	1	1.4 TO 1.5	0
17 TO	20	55 TO	65	0	1.5 TO 1.6	1
20 TO	22	65 TO	72	0	1.6 TO 1.7	1
22 TO	24	72 TO	78	0	1.7 TO 1.8	1
24 TO	26	78 TO	85	0	1.8 TO 1.9	0
26 TO	28	85 TO	91	0	1.9 TO 2.0	0
28 TO	30	91 TO	98	0	2.0 TO 2.4	0
30 TO	32	98 TO	104	0	2.4 TO 2.6	0
32 TO	39	104 TO	127	0	2.6 TO 2.8	0
39 TO	45	127 TO	147	0	2.8 TO 3.0	0
45 TO	55	147 TO	180	0	3.0 TO 3.5	0
55 TO	71	180 TO	232	0	3.5 TO 4.0	0
71 TO	100	232 TO	328	0	4.0 TO 4.5	0
OVER	100	OVER	328	0	OVER 4.5	0

TABLE 7

Camp A.P. Hill Area - Afternoon  
AREA DISTRIBUTIONS

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT

(Threshold = Ave. + 2.0  $\sigma$ )  
2.0 - 2.6  $\mu\text{m}$ )

BY AREA

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	237
5.0 TO	10.0	25
10.0 TO	15.0	9
15.0 TO	20.0	7
20.0 TO	25.0	4
25.0 TO	30.0	2
30.0 TO	35.0	2
35.0 TO	40.0	4
40.0 TO	45.0	2
45.0 TO	50.0	1
50.0 TO	75.0	5
75.0 TO	100.0	2
100.0 TO	150.0	2
150.0 TO	200.0	1
200.0 TO	250.0	1
250.0 TO	300.0	1
300.0 TO	400.0	2
400.0 TO	500.0	1
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT ■ 308

541 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

BY PERIMETER			BY SHAPE	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	158	0.0 TO 1.0	1
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	42	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	20	1.1 TO 1.2	2
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	10	1.2 TO 1.3	8
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	10	1.3 TO 1.4	61
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	2	1.4 TO 1.5	35
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	6	1.5 TO 1.6	20
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	6	1.6 TO 1.7	40
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	2	1.7 TO 1.8	29
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	5	1.8 TO 1.9	12
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	1	1.9 TO 2.0	16
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	4	2.0 TO 2.4	34
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	2	2.4 TO 2.6	7
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	5	2.6 TO 2.8	8
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	3	2.8 TO 3.0	8
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	7	3.0 TO 3.5	9
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	5	3.5 TO 4.0	3
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	6	4.0 TO 4.5	5
OVER 100	OVER 328	14	OVER 4.5	10

TABLE 7 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 2.5  $\sigma$ )  
2.0 - 2.6  $\mu\text{m}$ )

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	120
5.0 TO	10.0	8
10.0 TO	15.0	2
15.0 TO	20.0	3
20.0 TO	25.0	0
25.0 TO	30.0	2
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	1
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	1
75.0 TO	100.0	3
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	1
200.0 TO	250.0	1
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 142

197 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

BY PERIMETER			BY SHAPE	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	79	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	20	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	3	1.1 TO 1.2	3
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	13	1.2 TO 1.3	6
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	6	1.3 TO 1.4	35
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	1	1.4 TO 1.5	13
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	1	1.5 TO 1.6	7
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	0	1.6 TO 1.7	16
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	2	1.7 TO 1.8	8
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	1	1.8 TO 1.9	12
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	1	1.9 TO 2.0	9
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	1	2.0 TO 2.4	19
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	0	2.4 TO 2.6	3
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	3	2.6 TO 2.8	1
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	0	2.8 TO 3.0	1
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	2	3.0 TO 3.5	4
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	2	3.5 TO 4.0	1
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	2	4.0 TO 4.5	1
OVER 100	OVER 328	5	OVER 4.5	3

TABLE 7 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 3.0  $\sigma$ )  
2.0 - 2.6  $\mu\text{m}$

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	33
5.0 TO	10.0	3
10.0 TO	15.0	1
15.0 TO	20.0	2
20.0 TO	25.0	0
25.0 TO	30.0	1
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	0
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	1
50.0 TO	75.0	1
75.0 TO	100.0	0
100.0 TO	150.0	1
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT     ■     43

83 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

METERS		FEET		FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO	7	0 TO	22	22	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO	10	22 TO	32	5	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO	12	32 TO	39	3	1.1 TO 1.2	0
12 TO	14	39 TO	45	2	1.2 TO 1.3	0
14 TO	16	45 TO	52	2	1.3 TO 1.4	9
16 TO	17	52 TO	55	2	1.4 TO 1.5	6
17 TO	20	55 TO	65	1	1.5 TO 1.6	2
20 TO	22	65 TO	72	0	1.6 TO 1.7	8
22 TO	24	72 TO	78	0	1.7 TO 1.8	3
24 TO	26	78 TO	85	0	1.8 TO 1.9	1
26 TO	28	85 TO	91	0	1.9 TO 2.0	3
28 TO	30	91 TO	98	0	2.0 TO 2.4	5
30 TO	32	98 TO	104	0	2.4 TO 2.6	0
32 TO	39	104 TO	127	0	2.6 TO 2.8	3
39 TO	45	127 TO	147	1	2.8 TO 3.0	1
45 TO	55	147 TO	180	1	3.0 TO 3.5	1
55 TO	71	180 TO	232	1	3.5 TO 4.0	1
71 TO	100	232 TO	328	3	4.0 TO 4.5	0
OVER	100	OVER	328	0	OVER 4.5	0

TABLE 7 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 2.5  $\sigma$ )  
4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ )

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	222
5.0 TO	10.0	33
10.0 TO	15.0	11
15.0 TO	20.0	4
20.0 TO	25.0	5
25.0 TO	30.0	5
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	1
40.0 TO	45.0	1
45.0 TO	50.0	1
50.0 TO	75.0	3
75.0 TO	100.0	1
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT ■ 207

199 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE:

BY PERIMETER			BY SHAPE:	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	136	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	44	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	30	1.1 TO 1.2	21
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	9	1.2 TO 1.3	22
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	14	1.3 TO 1.4	57
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	4	1.4 TO 1.5	38
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	13	1.5 TO 1.6	27
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	4	1.6 TO 1.7	27
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	4	1.7 TO 1.8	22
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	0	1.8 TO 1.9	23
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	6	1.9 TO 2.0	12
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	2	2.0 TO 2.4	17
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	3	2.4 TO 2.6	11
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	3	2.6 TO 2.8	4
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	4	2.8 TO 3.0	1
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	3	3.0 TO 3.5	1
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	3	3.5 TO 4.0	3
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	3	4.0 TO 4.5	1
OVER 100	OVER 328	2	OVER 4.5	0

TABLE 7 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 3.0  $\sigma$ )  
4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

SQUARE METERS	FREQUENCY
0.0 TO 5.0	105
5.0 TO 10.0	10
10.0 TO 15.0	3
15.0 TO 20.0	3
20.0 TO 25.0	1
25.0 TO 30.0	0
30.0 TO 35.0	1
35.0 TO 40.0	0
40.0 TO 45.0	0
45.0 TO 50.0	0
50.0 TO 75.0	1
75.0 TO 100.0	0
100.0 TO 150.0	0
150.0 TO 200.0	0
200.0 TO 250.0	0
250.0 TO 300.0	0
300.0 TO 400.0	0
400.0 TO 500.0	0
OVER 500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 124

96 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	70	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	22	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	8	1.1 TO 1.2	9
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	6	1.2 TO 1.3	13
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	5	1.3 TO 1.4	29
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	0	1.4 TO 1.5	18
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	4	1.5 TO 1.6	20
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	0	1.6 TO 1.7	6
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	0	1.7 TO 1.8	9
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	1	1.8 TO 1.9	3
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	0	1.9 TO 2.0	3
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	0	2.0 TO 2.4	9
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	4	2.4 TO 2.6	2
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	1	2.6 TO 2.8	1
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	1	2.8 TO 3.0	1
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	1	3.0 TO 3.5	1
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	0	3.5 TO 4.0	0
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	1	4.0 TO 4.5	0
OVER 100	OVER 328	0	OVER 4.5	6

TABLE 7 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 3.5  $\sigma$ )  
4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ )

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	35
5.0 TO	10.0	2
10.0 TO	15.0	0
15.0 TO	20.0	1
20.0 TO	25.0	1
25.0 TO	30.0	0
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	0
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	0
75.0 TO	100.0	0
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 39

28 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER			BY SHAPE	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	22	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	5	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	6	1.1 TO 1.2	3
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	1	1.2 TO 1.3	6
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	2	1.3 TO 1.4	9
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	0	1.4 TO 1.5	5
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	0	1.5 TO 1.6	6
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	0	1.6 TO 1.7	2
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	1	1.7 TO 1.8	2
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	0	1.8 TO 1.9	0
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	0	1.9 TO 2.0	0
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	0	2.0 TO 2.4	4
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	0	2.4 TO 2.6	1
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	0	2.6 TO 2.8	0
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	2	2.8 TO 3.0	1
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	0	3.0 TO 3.5	0
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	0	3.5 TO 4.0	0
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	0	4.0 TO 4.5	0
OVER 100	OVER 328	0	OVER 4.5	0

TABLE 7 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 2.5  $\sigma$ )  
8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$ )

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	339
5.0 TO	10.0	50
10.0 TO	15.0	10
15.0 TO	20.0	5
20.0 TO	25.0	3
25.0 TO	30.0	3
30.0 TO	35.0	3
35.0 TO	40.0	2
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	5
75.0 TO	100.0	1
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 421

342 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER			BY SHAPE	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	217	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	64	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	38	1.1 TO 1.2	22
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	21	1.2 TO 1.3	39
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	14	1.3 TO 1.4	79
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	5	1.4 TO 1.5	69
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	13	1.5 TO 1.6	46
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	9	1.6 TO 1.7	46
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	5	1.7 TO 1.8	19
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	4	1.8 TO 1.9	18
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	3	1.9 TO 2.0	14
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	3	2.0 TO 2.4	41
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	3	2.4 TO 2.6	11
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	4	2.6 TO 2.8	4
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	4	2.8 TO 3.0	2
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	3	3.0 TO 3.5	8
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	3	3.5 TO 4.0	1
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	6	4.0 TO 4.5	2
OVER 100	OVER 328	2	OVER 4.5	0

TABLE 7 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 3.0  $\sigma$ )  
8.0 - 14.0  $\mu$ m

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	165
5.0 TO	10.0	9
10.0 TO	15.0	4
15.0 TO	20.0	2
20.0 TO	25.0	2
25.0 TO	30.0	1
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	1
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	1
75.0 TO	100.0	0
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT ■ 185

167 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

METERS		FEET		FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO	7	0 TO	22	109	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO	10	22 TO	32	35	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO	12	32 TO	39	12	1.1 TO 1.2	6
12 TO	14	39 TO	45	4	1.2 TO 1.3	14
14 TO	16	45 TO	52	3	1.3 TO 1.4	50
16 TO	17	52 TO	55	4	1.4 TO 1.5	37
17 TO	20	55 TO	65	4	1.5 TO 1.6	18
20 TO	22	65 TO	72	0	1.6 TO 1.7	15
22 TO	24	72 TO	78	2	1.7 TO 1.8	13
24 TO	26	78 TO	85	0	1.8 TO 1.9	6
26 TO	28	85 TO	91	3	1.9 TO 2.0	2
28 TO	30	91 TO	98	1	2.0 TO 2.4	16
30 TO	32	98 TO	104	1	2.4 TO 2.6	3
32 TO	39	104 TO	127	3	2.6 TO 2.8	0
39 TO	45	127 TO	147	0	2.8 TO 3.0	1
45 TO	55	147 TO	180	2	3.0 TO 3.5	4
55 TO	71	180 TO	232	1	3.5 TO 4.0	0
71 TO	100	232 TO	328	1	4.0 TO 4.5	0
OVER	100	OVER	328	0	OVER 4.5	0

TABLE 7 (Concluded)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 3.5  $\sigma$ )  
8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	45
5.0 TO	10.0	5
10.0 TO	15.0	1
15.0 TO	20.0	1
20.0 TO	25.0	1
25.0 TO	30.0	0
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	0
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	0
75.0 TO	100.0	0
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 53

52 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

BY PERIMETER			BY SHAPE		
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY	
0 TO	7	0 TO 22	28	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO	10	22 TO 32	12	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO	12	32 TO 39	4	1.1 TO 1.2	2
12 TO	14	39 TO 45	0	1.2 TO 1.3	7
14 TO	16	45 TO 52	1	1.3 TO 1.4	8
16 TO	17	52 TO 55	1	1.4 TO 1.5	7
17 TO	20	55 TO 65	3	1.5 TO 1.6	8
20 TO	22	65 TO 72	0	1.6 TO 1.7	5
22 TO	24	72 TO 78	2	1.7 TO 1.8	6
24 TO	26	78 TO 85	0	1.8 TO 1.9	2
26 TO	28	85 TO 91	0	1.9 TO 2.0	2
28 TO	30	91 TO 98	0	2.0 TO 2.4	2
30 TO	32	98 TO 104	0	2.4 TO 2.6	2
32 TO	39	104 TO 127	0	2.6 TO 2.8	1
39 TO	45	127 TO 147	1	2.8 TO 3.0	0
45 TO	55	147 TO 180	1	3.0 TO 3.5	1
55 TO	71	180 TO 232	0	3.5 TO 4.0	0
71 TO	100	232 TO 328	0	4.0 TO 4.5	0
OVER	100	OVER 328	0	OVER 4.5	0

TABLE 8  
Camp A.P. Hill Area - Evening  
AREA DISTRIBUTIONS

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA (Threshold = Ave. + 1.0  $\sigma$   
4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ )

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	339
5.0 TO	10.0	47
10.0 TO	15.0	22
15.0 TO	20.0	9
20.0 TO	25.0	9
25.0 TO	30.0	8
30.0 TO	35.0	2
35.0 TO	40.0	1
40.0 TO	45.0	4
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	5
75.0 TO	100.0	3
100.0 TO	150.0	1
150.0 TO	200.0	1
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	1
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	1
OVER	500.0	6

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 459

721 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER				BY SHAPE	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY	
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	192	0.0 TO 1.0	0	
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	72	1.0 TO 1.1	0	
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	32	1.1 TO 1.2	8	
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	29	1.2 TO 1.3	9	
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	24	1.3 TO 1.4	72	
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	3	1.4 TO 1.5	49	
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	16	1.5 TO 1.6	45	
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	8	1.6 TO 1.7	55	
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	5	1.7 TO 1.8	29	
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	5	1.8 TO 1.9	26	
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	7	1.9 TO 2.0	31	
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	6	2.0 TO 2.4	59	
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	5	2.4 TO 2.6	14	
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	11	2.6 TO 2.8	15	
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	8	2.8 TO 3.0	6	
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	7	3.0 TO 3.5	12	
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	7	3.5 TO 4.0	9	
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	4	4.0 TO 4.5	4	
OVER 100	OVER 328	18	OVER 4.5	16	

TABLE 8 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT

(Threshold = Ave. + 2.0  $\sigma$ )  
4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ )

BY AREA

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	311
5.0 TO	10.0	35
10.0 TO	15.0	10
15.0 TO	20.0	2
20.0 TO	25.0	3
25.0 TO	30.0	2
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	1
40.0 TO	45.0	1
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	0
75.0 TO	100.0	1
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	1

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 367

611 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

METERS		FEET		FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO	7	0 TO	22	171	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO	10	22 TO	32	75	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO	12	32 TO	39	25	1.1 TO 1.2	8
12 TO	14	39 TO	45	17	1.2 TO 1.3	11
14 TO	16	45 TO	52	14	1.3 TO 1.4	61
16 TO	17	52 TO	55	3	1.4 TO 1.5	20
17 TO	20	55 TO	65	15	1.5 TO 1.6	15
20 TO	22	65 TO	72	4	1.6 TO 1.7	52
22 TO	24	72 TO	78	11	1.7 TO 1.8	30
24 TO	26	78 TO	85	4	1.8 TO 1.9	19
26 TO	28	85 TO	91	3	1.9 TO 2.0	33
28 TO	30	91 TO	98	5	2.0 TO 2.4	61
30 TO	32	98 TO	104	2	2.4 TO 2.6	17
32 TO	39	104 TO	127	7	2.6 TO 2.8	10
39 TO	45	127 TO	147	2	2.8 TO 3.0	7
45 TO	55	147 TO	180	1	3.0 TO 3.5	12
55 TO	71	180 TO	232	2	3.5 TO 4.0	5
71 TO	100	232 TO	328	2	4.0 TO 4.5	1
OVER	100	OVER	328	4	OVER 4.5	5

TABLE 8 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 3.0  $\sigma$ )  
4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	11
5.0 TO	10.0	2
10.0 TO	15.0	0
15.0 TO	20.0	0
20.0 TO	25.0	0
25.0 TO	30.0	0
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	0
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	0
75.0 TO	100.0	0
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	1
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT ■ 14

2 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER				BY SHAPE		
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY		
0 TO	7	0 TO	22	5	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO	10	22 TO	32	5	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO	12	32 TO	39	0	1.1 TO 1.2	2
12 TO	14	39 TO	45	1	1.2 TO 1.3	3
14 TO	16	45 TO	52	1	1.3 TO 1.4	3
16 TO	17	52 TO	55	0	1.4 TO 1.5	2
17 TO	20	55 TO	65	1	1.5 TO 1.6	2
20 TO	22	65 TO	72	0	1.6 TO 1.7	0
22 TO	24	72 TO	78	0	1.7 TO 1.8	0
24 TO	26	78 TO	85	0	1.8 TO 1.9	0
26 TO	28	85 TO	91	0	1.9 TO 2.0	1
28 TO	30	91 TO	98	0	2.0 TO 2.4	0
30 TO	32	98 TO	104	0	2.4 TO 2.6	0
32 TO	39	104 TO	127	0	2.6 TO 2.8	0
39 TO	45	127 TO	147	0	2.8 TO 3.0	0
45 TO	55	147 TO	180	0	3.0 TO 3.5	0
55 TO	71	180 TO	232	0	3.5 TO 4.0	0
71 TO	100	232 TO	328	0	4.0 TO 4.5	0
OVER	100	OVER	328	1	OVER 4.5	1

TABLE 8 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 2.0  $\sigma$ )  
8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$ )

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	237
5.0 TO	10.0	39
10.0 TO	15.0	19
15.0 TO	20.0	4
20.0 TO	25.0	2
25.0 TO	30.0	1
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	1
40.0 TO	45.0	1
45.0 TO	50.0	1
50.0 TO	75.0	2
75.0 TO	100.0	1
100.0 TO	150.0	2
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	1
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 311

165 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

BY PERIMETER			BY SHAPE	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	146	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	61	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	21	1.1 TO 1.2	16
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	15	1.2 TO 1.3	25
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	13	1.3 TO 1.4	74
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	5	1.4 TO 1.5	42
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	9	1.5 TO 1.6	27
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	2	1.6 TO 1.7	41
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	2	1.7 TO 1.8	20
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	5	1.8 TO 1.9	11
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	7	1.9 TO 2.0	7
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	1	2.0 TO 2.4	22
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	7	2.4 TO 2.6	5
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	3	2.6 TO 2.8	9
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	3	2.8 TO 3.0	4
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	2	3.0 TO 3.5	2
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	1	3.5 TO 4.0	1
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	3	4.0 TO 4.5	2
OVER 100	OVER 328	5	OVER 4.5	3

TABLE 8 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 2.5  $\sigma$ )  
8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$ )

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	23
5.0 TO	10.0	2
10.0 TO	15.0	0
15.0 TO	20.0	0
20.0 TO	25.0	0
25.0 TO	30.0	0
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	0
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	0
75.0 TO	100.0	0
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 25

20 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

BY PERIMETER			BY SHAPE	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	14	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	5	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	2	1.1 TO 1.2	4
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	1	1.2 TO 1.3	2
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	0	1.3 TO 1.4	6
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	0	1.4 TO 1.5	5
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	3	1.5 TO 1.6	2
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	0	1.6 TO 1.7	2
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	0	1.7 TO 1.8	2
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	0	1.8 TO 1.9	1
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	0	1.9 TO 2.0	0
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	0	2.0 TO 2.4	0
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	0	2.4 TO 2.6	0
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	0	2.6 TO 2.8	1
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	0	2.8 TO 3.0	0
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	0	3.0 TO 3.5	0
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	0	3.5 TO 4.0	0
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	0	4.0 TO 4.5	0
OVER 100	OVER 328	0	OVER 4.5	0

TABLE 8 (Concluded)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT

(Threshold = Ave. + 3.0  $\sigma$ )  
8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$ )

BY AREA

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	12
5.0 TO	10.0	1
10.0 TO	15.0	0
15.0 TO	20.0	0
20.0 TO	25.0	0
25.0 TO	30.0	0
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	0
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	0
75.0 TO	100.0	0
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 13

3 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

BY PERIMETER			BY SHAPE	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	7	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	4	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	2	1.1 TO 1.2	4
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	0	1.2 TO 1.3	3
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	0	1.3 TO 1.4	1
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	0	1.4 TO 1.5	1
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	0	1.5 TO 1.6	1
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	0	1.6 TO 1.7	0
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	0	1.7 TO 1.8	1
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	0	1.8 TO 1.9	1
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	0	1.9 TO 2.0	0
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	0	2.0 TO 2.4	1
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	0	2.4 TO 2.6	0
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	0	2.6 TO 2.8	0
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	0	2.8 TO 3.0	0
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	0	3.0 TO 3.5	0
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	0	3.5 TO 4.0	0
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	0	4.0 TO 4.5	0
OVER 100	OVER 328	0	OVER 4.5	0

TABLE 9  
Camp A.P. Hill Area - Midnight  
AREA DISTRIBUTIONS

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 0.5  $\sigma$ )  
4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	1132
5.0 TO	10.0	81
10.0 TO	15.0	34
15.0 TO	20.0	24
20.0 TO	25.0	11
25.0 TO	30.0	7
30.0 TO	35.0	11
35.0 TO	40.0	2
40.0 TO	45.0	4
45.0 TO	50.0	6
50.0 TO	75.0	8
75.0 TO	100.0	3
100.0 TO	150.0	1
150.0 TO	200.0	2
200.0 TO	250.0	1
250.0 TO	300.0	2
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	7

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 1336

3462 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

BY PERIMETER			BY SHAPE	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	741	0.0 TO 1.0	2
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	202	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	70	1.1 TO 1.2	25
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	48	1.2 TO 1.3	29
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	35	1.3 TO 1.4	260
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	15	1.4 TO 1.5	112
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	29	1.5 TO 1.6	70
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	22	1.6 TO 1.7	220
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	16	1.7 TO 1.8	89
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	16	1.8 TO 1.9	56
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	15	1.9 TO 2.0	90
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	5	2.0 TO 2.4	169
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	10	2.4 TO 2.6	49
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	21	2.6 TO 2.8	34
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	14	2.8 TO 3.0	29
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	20	3.0 TO 3.5	46
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	16	3.5 TO 4.0	16
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	12	4.0 TO 4.5	13
OVER 100	OVER 328	29	OVER 4.5	27

TABLE 9 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY ARFA

(Threshold = Ave. + 1.0  $\sigma$ )  
4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ )

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	661
5.0 TO	10.0	70
10.0 TO	15.0	15
15.0 TO	20.0	8
20.0 TO	25.0	9
25.0 TO	30.0	3
30.0 TO	35.0	5
35.0 TO	40.0	2
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	2
50.0 TO	75.0	4
75.0 TO	100.0	6
100.0 TO	150.0	2
150.0 TO	200.0	1
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	1
300.0 TO	400.0	1
400.0 TO	500.0	1
OVER	500.0	6

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 797

1749 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER				BY SHAPE	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY		SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	417	0.0 TO 1.0	0	
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	126	1.0 TO 1.1	0	
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	35	1.1 TO 1.2	13	
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	30	1.2 TO 1.3	17	
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	26	1.3 TO 1.4	128	
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	12	1.4 TO 1.5	70	
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	31	1.5 TO 1.6	43	
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	14	1.6 TO 1.7	128	
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	9	1.7 TO 1.8	60	
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	10	1.8 TO 1.9	35	
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	7	1.9 TO 2.0	47	
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	6	2.0 TO 2.4	122	
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	7	2.4 TO 2.6	33	
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	9	2.6 TO 2.8	26	
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	12	2.8 TO 3.0	11	
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	9	3.0 TO 3.5	16	
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	5	3.5 TO 4.0	17	
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	6	4.0 TO 4.5	3	
OVER 100	OVER 328	26	OVER 4.5	28	

AD-A074 898

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH INST OF MICHIGAN ANN ARBOR IN--ETC F/G 8/6  
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TERRAIN BACKGROUNDS AT CAMP A.P. HILL, --ETC(U)  
AUG 79 A J LAROCCA N60530-78-C-0009


UNCLASSIFIED

ERIM-132300-4-F


NL

2 of 2

AD  
A074898



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
11-79  
DDC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

TABLE 9 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 1.5  $\sigma$ )  
4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ )

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	422
5.0 TO	10.0	30
10.0 TO	15.0	9
15.0 TO	20.0	4
20.0 TO	25.0	5
25.0 TO	30.0	1
30.0 TO	35.0	3
35.0 TO	40.0	1
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	3
75.0 TO	100.0	1
100.0 TO	150.0	3
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	1
300.0 TO	400.0	1
400.0 TO	500.0	1
OVER	500.0	1

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 486

1234 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER				BY SHAPE	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY	
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	259	0.0 TO 1.0	0	
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	78	1.0 TO 1.1	0	
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	33	1.1 TO 1.2	9	
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	23	1.2 TO 1.3	9	
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	10	1.3 TO 1.4	86	
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	7	1.4 TO 1.5	48	
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	16	1.5 TO 1.6	29	
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	5	1.6 TO 1.7	70	
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	6	1.7 TO 1.8	31	
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	5	1.8 TO 1.9	21	
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	4	1.9 TO 2.0	39	
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	3	2.0 TO 2.4	63	
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	4	2.4 TO 2.6	18	
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	5	2.6 TO 2.8	11	
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	4	2.8 TO 3.0	11	
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	3	3.0 TO 3.5	16	
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	3	3.5 TO 4.0	4	
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	5	4.0 TO 4.5	3	
OVER 100	OVER 328	13	OVER 4.5	18	

TABLE 9 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 2.5  $\sigma$ )  
4.5 - 5.5  $\mu\text{m}$ )

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	7
5.0 TO	10.0	3
10.0 TO	15.0	1
15.0 TO	20.0	0
20.0 TO	25.0	0
25.0 TO	30.0	0
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	0
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	0
75.0 TO	100.0	0
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	1

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 12

7 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER			BY SHAPE	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	2	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	5	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	2	1.1 TO 1.2	2
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	1	1.2 TO 1.3	1
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	0	1.3 TO 1.4	3
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	1	1.4 TO 1.5	3
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	0	1.5 TO 1.6	1
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	0	1.6 TO 1.7	1
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	0	1.7 TO 1.8	0
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	0	1.8 TO 1.9	0
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	0	1.9 TO 2.0	0
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	0	2.0 TO 2.4	0
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	0	2.4 TO 2.6	0
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	0	2.6 TO 2.8	0
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	0	2.8 TO 3.0	0
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	0	3.0 TO 3.5	0
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	0	3.5 TO 4.0	0
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	0	4.0 TO 4.5	0
OVER 100	OVER 328	1	OVER 4.5	1



TABLE 9 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 0.5  $\sigma$ )  
8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	478
5.0 TO	10.0	102
10.0 TO	15.0	52
15.0 TO	20.0	18
20.0 TO	25.0	16
25.0 TO	30.0	9
30.0 TO	35.0	6
35.0 TO	40.0	4
40.0 TO	45.0	3
45.0 TO	50.0	3
50.0 TO	75.0	9
75.0 TO	100.0	4
100.0 TO	150.0	6
150.0 TO	200.0	3
200.0 TO	250.0	1
250.0 TO	300.0	3
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	9

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 726

389 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER				BY SHAPE	
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY	
0 TO 7	0 TO 22	284	0.0 TO 1.0	3	
7 TO 10	22 TO 32	124	1.0 TO 1.1	0	
10 TO 12	32 TO 39	48	1.1 TO 1.2	33	
12 TO 14	39 TO 45	41	1.2 TO 1.3	56	
14 TO 16	45 TO 52	32	1.3 TO 1.4	153	
16 TO 17	52 TO 55	16	1.4 TO 1.5	89	
17 TO 20	55 TO 65	40	1.5 TO 1.6	77	
20 TO 22	65 TO 72	14	1.6 TO 1.7	67	
22 TO 24	72 TO 78	8	1.7 TO 1.8	44	
24 TO 26	78 TO 85	6	1.8 TO 1.9	37	
26 TO 28	85 TO 91	12	1.9 TO 2.0	32	
28 TO 30	91 TO 98	8	2.0 TO 2.4	62	
30 TO 32	98 TO 104	7	2.4 TO 2.6	17	
32 TO 39	104 TO 127	17	2.6 TO 2.8	13	
39 TO 45	127 TO 147	11	2.8 TO 3.0	11	
45 TO 55	147 TO 180	14	3.0 TO 3.5	11	
55 TO 71	180 TO 232	11	3.5 TO 4.0	6	
71 TO 100	232 TO 328	9	4.0 TO 4.5	4	
OVER 100	OVER 328	24	OVER 4.5	11	



TABLE 9 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 1.0  $\sigma$ )  
8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$ )

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	414
5.0 TO	10.0	83
10.0 TO	15.0	19
15.0 TO	20.0	25
20.0 TO	25.0	4
25.0 TO	30.0	7
30.0 TO	35.0	6
35.0 TO	40.0	0
40.0 TO	45.0	1
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	3
75.0 TO	100.0	2
100.0 TO	150.0	3
150.0 TO	200.0	1
200.0 TO	250.0	2
250.0 TO	300.0	1
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	1
OVER	500.0	9

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 581

324 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

METERS		FEET		FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO	7	0 TO	22	251	0.0 TO 1.0	2
7 TO	10	22 TO	32	110	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO	12	32 TO	39	34	1.1 TO 1.2	38
12 TO	14	39 TO	45	37	1.2 TO 1.3	45
14 TO	16	45 TO	52	24	1.3 TO 1.4	125
16 TO	17	52 TO	55	8	1.4 TO 1.5	89
17 TO	20	55 TO	65	22	1.5 TO 1.6	70
20 TO	22	65 TO	72	15	1.6 TO 1.7	52
22 TO	24	72 TO	78	11	1.7 TO 1.8	32
24 TO	26	78 TO	85	9	1.8 TO 1.9	27
26 TO	28	85 TO	91	4	1.9 TO 2.0	19
28 TO	30	91 TO	98	5	2.0 TO 2.4	39
30 TO	32	98 TO	104	3	2.4 TO 2.6	9
32 TO	39	104 TO	127	9	2.6 TO 2.8	9
39 TO	45	127 TO	147	7	2.8 TO 3.0	1
45 TO	55	147 TO	180	7	3.0 TO 3.5	8
55 TO	71	180 TO	232	3	3.5 TO 4.0	4
71 TO	100	232 TO	328	3	4.0 TO 4.5	1
OVER	100	OVER	328	19	OVER 4.5	11



TABLE 9 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT

(Threshold = Ave. + 1.5  $\sigma$ )  
8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

BY AREA

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	257
5.0 TO	10.0	48
10.0 TO	15.0	16
15.0 TO	20.0	14
20.0 TO	25.0	8
25.0 TO	30.0	1
30.0 TO	35.0	2
35.0 TO	40.0	4
40.0 TO	45.0	1
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	3
75.0 TO	100.0	0
100.0 TO	150.0	2
150.0 TO	200.0	2
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	2
400.0 TO	500.0	1
OVER	500.0	3

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 364

248 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

METERS		FEET		FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO	7	0 TO	22	162	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO	10	22 TO	32	60	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO	12	32 TO	39	15	1.1 TO 1.2	27
12 TO	14	39 TO	45	29	1.2 TO 1.3	26
14 TO	16	45 TO	52	13	1.3 TO 1.4	87
16 TO	17	52 TO	55	8	1.4 TO 1.5	47
17 TO	20	55 TO	65	18	1.5 TO 1.6	48
20 TO	22	65 TO	72	8	1.6 TO 1.7	28
22 TO	24	72 TO	78	5	1.7 TO 1.8	16
24 TO	26	78 TO	85	3	1.8 TO 1.9	17
26 TO	28	85 TO	91	5	1.9 TO 2.0	12
28 TO	30	91 TO	98	1	2.0 TO 2.4	30
30 TO	32	98 TO	104	2	2.4 TO 2.6	4
32 TO	39	104 TO	127	9	2.6 TO 2.8	2
39 TO	45	127 TO	147	4	2.8 TO 3.0	3
45 TO	55	147 TO	180	2	3.0 TO 3.5	7
55 TO	71	180 TO	232	6	3.5 TO 4.0	2
71 TO	100	232 TO	328	5	4.0 TO 4.5	0
OVER	100	OVER	328	9	OVER 4.5	8

TABLE 9 (Cont'd)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 2.0  $\sigma$ )  
8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$ )

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	219
5.0 TO	10.0	30
10.0 TO	15.0	9
15.0 TO	20.0	6
20.0 TO	25.0	5
25.0 TO	30.0	1
30.0 TO	35.0	1
35.0 TO	40.0	2
40.0 TO	45.0	1
45.0 TO	50.0	1
50.0 TO	75.0	3
75.0 TO	100.0	1
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	1
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	1

TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT = 281

187 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER

BY SHAPE

METERS		FEET		FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY
0 TO	7	0 TO	22	132	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO	10	22 TO	32	56	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO	12	32 TO	39	15	1.1 TO 1.2	16
12 TO	14	39 TO	45	19	1.2 TO 1.3	21
14 TO	16	45 TO	52	11	1.3 TO 1.4	82
16 TO	17	52 TO	55	3	1.4 TO 1.5	29
17 TO	20	55 TO	65	7	1.5 TO 1.6	25
20 TO	22	65 TO	72	3	1.6 TO 1.7	21
22 TO	24	72 TO	78	2	1.7 TO 1.8	20
24 TO	26	78 TO	85	4	1.8 TO 1.9	11
26 TO	28	85 TO	91	2	1.9 TO 2.0	11
28 TO	30	91 TO	98	2	2.0 TO 2.4	21
30 TO	32	98 TO	104	2	2.4 TO 2.6	10
32 TO	39	104 TO	127	5	2.6 TO 2.8	3
39 TO	45	127 TO	147	6	2.8 TO 3.0	4
45 TO	55	147 TO	180	2	3.0 TO 3.5	0
55 TO	71	180 TO	232	2	3.5 TO 4.0	3
71 TO	100	232 TO	328	3	4.0 TO 4.5	3
OVER	100	OVER	328	5	OVER 4.5	1



TABLE 9 (Concluded)

DISTRIBUTION OF RECOGNIZED HOT SPOT  
BY AREA

(Threshold = Ave. + 3.0  $\sigma$ )  
8.0 - 14.0  $\mu\text{m}$

SQUARE METERS		FREQUENCY
0.0 TO	5.0	8
5.0 TO	10.0	1
10.0 TO	15.0	0
15.0 TO	20.0	0
20.0 TO	25.0	0
25.0 TO	30.0	0
30.0 TO	35.0	0
35.0 TO	40.0	0
40.0 TO	45.0	0
45.0 TO	50.0	0
50.0 TO	75.0	0
75.0 TO	100.0	0
100.0 TO	150.0	0
150.0 TO	200.0	0
200.0 TO	250.0	0
250.0 TO	300.0	0
300.0 TO	400.0	0
400.0 TO	500.0	0
OVER	500.0	0
TOTAL NUMBER OF HOT SPOT		9

4 FEATURES WITH AREAS LESS THAN 0.60 SQ. METERS WERE ALSO RECOGNIZED

BY PERIMETER			BY SHAPE		
METERS	FEET	FREQUENCY	SHAPE FACTOR	FREQUENCY	
0 TO	7	0 TO 22	3	0.0 TO 1.0	0
7 TO	10	22 TO 32	2	1.0 TO 1.1	0
10 TO	12	32 TO 39	3	1.1 TO 1.2	2
12 TO	14	39 TO 45	1	1.2 TO 1.3	1
14 TO	16	45 TO 52	0	1.3 TO 1.4	2
16 TO	17	52 TO 55	0	1.4 TO 1.5	2
17 TO	20	55 TO 65	0	1.5 TO 1.6	1
20 TO	22	65 TO 72	0	1.6 TO 1.7	0
22 TO	24	72 TO 78	0	1.7 TO 1.8	0
24 TO	26	78 TO 85	0	1.8 TO 1.9	1
26 TO	28	85 TO 91	0	1.9 TO 2.0	0
28 TO	30	91 TO 98	0	2.0 TO 2.4	0
30 TO	32	98 TO 104	0	2.4 TO 2.6	0
32 TO	39	104 TO 127	0	2.6 TO 2.8	0
39 TO	45	127 TO 147	0	2.8 TO 3.0	0
45 TO	55	147 TO 180	0	3.0 TO 3.5	0
55 TO	71	180 TO 232	0	3.5 TO 4.0	0
71 TO	100	232 TO 328	0	4.0 TO 4.5	0
OVER	100	OVER 328	0	OVER 4.5	0


Statistics for the 2.0 - 2.6 micron channel are not included in Figures 15 and 16, and Tables 8 and 9. This is because of the lack of sufficient solar energy at these collection times to produce enough reflected radiant energy to obtain a signal in this wavelength region.

It is interesting to note how details drop out of the successive ellipse "pictures" as the threshold is increased. For the nighttime Figures 15 and 16, the thresholding technique results in a clear identification of the targets. This is not true for the daytime imagery, Figures 13 and 14, because solar heating has caused many other elements in the scene to be as warm or warmer than the targets. The targets are not identified in Figures 13 and 14 as there are not ellipses to specifically represent them. However, as is evident from Figures 2 and 3, they are in the lower-left quadrant of the scene.

## POWER SPECTRA


Included in the statistics of the areas analyzed are power spectra of the Camp A.P. Hill area for the morning, afternoon, evening and midnight runs. The power spectra are quite useful in showing the relative amplitudes of the various features in the scenes, but one must be careful in drawing conclusions from them based on conventional analysis for which the form of the distribution of events is not adequately described mathematically. Note from the histograms that the distributions do not reproduce the normal curve very well, and so, are not amenable to a simple mathematical analysis.

The abscissa on the spectra of Figures 17, 18, 19, and 20 shows (amplitude)<sup>2</sup> in order that we may put multiple curves on the same figure. However, for the 2.0-2.6  $\mu\text{m}$  band, the amplitude is actually ( $\mu\text{W-cm}^{-2}\text{-sr}^{-1}\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ ), and for the other (thermal) bands the amplitude is temperature in Kelvins. Each figure is the one-dimensional power spectrum identified in its a or b part, respectively, as cross-track and in-track; i.e., evaluated along and orthogonal to the scan direction.




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Morning CROSSTRACK Wavelength = 2.0-2.6 (\*), 4.5-5.5 (+), 8.0-14.0 (x)

FIGURE 17a. POWER SPECTRA - CAMP A.P. HILL AREA




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Morning INTRACK Wavelength = 2.0-2.6 (\*), 4.5-5.5 (+), 8.0-14.0 (X)

FIGURE 17b. POWER SPECTRA - CAMP A.P. HILL AREA




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Afternoon CROSSTRACK Wavelength = 2.0-2.6 (\*), 4.5-5.5 (+), 8.0-14.0 (X)

FIGURE 18a. POWER SPECTRA - CAMP A.P. HILL AREA




Area: Camp A.P. Hill- Afternoon INTRACK Wavelength = 2.0-2.6 (\*), 4.5-5.5 (+), 8.0-14.0 (x)

FIGURE 18b. POWER SPECTRA - CAMP A.P. HILL AREA




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Evening CROSSTRACK Wavelength = 4.5-5.5 (+), 8.0-14.0 (x)

FIGURE 19a. POWER SPECTRA - CAMP A.P. HILL AREA




Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Evening INTRACK Wavelength = 4.5-5.5 (+), 8.0-14.0 (x)

FIGURE 19b. POWER SPECTRA - CAMP A.P. HILL AREA



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Midnight Crosstrack Wavelength = 4.5-5.5 (+), 8.0-14.0 (x)

FIGURE 20a. POWER SPECTRA - CAMP A.P. HILL AREA



Area: Camp A.P. Hill - Midnight INTRACK Wavelength = 4.5-5.5 (+), 8.0-14.0 (x)

FIGURE 20b. POWER SPECTRA - CAMP A.P. HILL AREA



DISTRIBUTION LIST

Commander, Code 5754  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D.C. 20375  
Attn: Dr. E.M. Alexander

Institute for Defense Analysis  
400 Army-Navy Drive  
Arlington, VA 22202  
Attn: L. Biberman

David Taylor Naval Ship R&D Center  
Annapolis Lab, Code 2833  
Annapolis, MD 21402  
Attn: R. Burns

Commander, Code AIR 53631F  
Naval Air Systems Command  
Rm 822 JP2  
Washington, D.C. 20361  
Attn: D. Caldwell

Commander, Code AIR-5333  
Naval Air Systems Command  
Washington, D.C. 20361  
Attn: E. Cosgrove

AIL, Division of Cutter-Hammer  
Melville, Long Island, NY 11746  
Attn: A.J. DiNardo

Commander, Code 0141  
Pacific Missile Test Center  
Pt. Mugu, CA 93042  
Attn: C. Elliott

Air Force Geophysics Lab (OPA)  
Hanscom Air Force Base  
Bedford, MA 01730  
Attn: R. Fenn

Scientific Technology Assoc., Inc.  
CN 5203  
Princeton, NJ 08540  
Attn: Stokes Fishburn

Systems Planning Corporation  
1500 Wilson Blvd.  
Arlington, VA 22209  
Attn: Daniel Friedman

Commander, AFATL/DLMT  
Eglin Air Force Base, Florida 32542  
Attn: Mach Gay

Commander, Code 08T22  
Naval Material Command  
Washington, D.C. 20360  
Attn: R. Gaylord

Commander, Code ELEX 03G  
Naval Electronic Systems Command  
Washington, D.C. 20360  
Attn: R. Golding

Commander, DELAS-EO-MO  
Atmospheric Sciences Lab (ERADCOM)  
White Sands Missile Range, NM 88022  
Attn: T. Hall

Commander, Code NSWC-213  
Naval Surface Weapons Center-White Oak  
Silver Springs, MD 20910  
Attn: A. Hirshman

Institute for Defense Analysis  
400 Army-Navy Drive  
Arlington, VA 22202  
Attn: W. Holzer

Commander, DRDMI-TEI  
U.S. Army Missile Research &  
Laboratory, Advanced Sensors  
Directorate  
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809  
Attn: H.T. Jackson

Commander, Code 08T2211  
Naval Material Command  
Washington, D.C. 20360  
Attn: S. Jacobson



DISTRIBUTION LIST (Cont.)

Commander, Code 3941  
Naval Weapons Center  
China Lake, CA 93555  
Attn: D. Kummer

Environmental Research Institute  
of Michigan  
P.O. Box 8618  
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107  
Attn: R. Legault

Commander, Code 1409  
Naval Research Laboratory  
4555 Overlook Ave. S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20375  
Attn: J. MacCallum

Commander, Code AIR-03P2  
Naval Air Systems Command  
Washington, D.C. 20361  
Attn: J. Malloy

Commander, Code 1253  
Pacific Missile Test Center  
Pt. Mugu, CA 93042  
Attn: Gary Mathews

Air Force Geophysics Lab/OPI/STOP 30  
Hanscom Air Force Base  
Bedford, MA 01730  
Attn: R. McClatchey

Director, Code DRSEL-NV-VI  
Night Vision Electro-Optical Lab  
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060  
Attn: R. Moulton

ODDR&E (EPS), Room 3D1079  
Pentagon  
Washington, D.C. 20301  
Attn: E. Myers

Commanding Officer, NISC-50  
Naval Intelligence Support Center  
4301 Suitland Rd  
Washington, D.C. 20390  
Attn: Robert Nelson

Commander, Code PME-107  
Naval Electronic Systems Command  
Washington, D.C. 20360  
Attn: J. Obrian

Chief of Naval Operations-Pentagon  
Washington, D.C. 20350  
Attn: CAPT. L.E. Pellock, USN,  
Code CNO-982F3

Aeronautical Research Associates  
of Princeton  
50 Washington Road  
Princeton, N.J. 08540  
Attn: H. Pergament

Commander, PMA-262  
Naval Air Systems Command Headquarters  
Jefferson Plaza  
Arlington, VA 22212  
Attn: CDR James H. Quinn

Commander, Code 532T  
Naval Ocean Systems Center  
San Diego, CA 95152  
Attn: J. Richter

Commander, Code PME-107-52  
Naval Electronic Systems Command  
Washington, D.C. 20380  
Attn: A. Ritter

Commanding Officer, NISC-40  
Naval Intelligence Support Center  
4301 Suitland Rd  
Washington, D.C. 20390  
Attn: Mr. Perry Roberts

SWL Division  
General Research Corp.  
7926 Jone Branch Drive  
McLean, VA 22101  
Attn: Russ Rollins

Commander, Code 8320  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Washington, D.C. 20375  
Attn: L. Ruhnke



DISTRIBUTION LIST (Cont.)

Commander, AFAL/WRP-1  
Wright Patterson Air Force Base,  
Ohio 45433  
Attn: Dr. Richard Sanderson

Air Force Geophysics Lab-OPR/STOP 30  
Hanscom Air Force Base  
Bedford, MA 01730  
Attn: B. Sandford

Commander, Code AIR-370  
Naval Air Systems Command  
Washington, D.C. 20361  
Attn: M. Schaefer

Research Dept, Grumman Aerospace Corp.  
588/35 Bethpage, NY 11714  
Attn: John Selby

Northrup Corp.  
Aircraft Group  
3901 W. Broadway  
Hawthorne, CA 90250  
Attn: Lloyd Tanabe

Commander, AIR-360E  
Naval Air Systems Command  
JP Building #1  
Arlington, VA 20360  
Attn: V.A. Tarulis

Commander, Code NAVSEA-03  
Naval Sea Systems Command  
Washington, D.C. 20362  
Attn: T. Tasaka

Chief of Naval Operations-Pentagon  
Washington, D.C. 20350  
Attn: L. Triggs, Code CNO-35E

ASNRE&S  
Office of Asst Secretary of the Navy  
Pentagon, 5 E 813  
Washington, D.C. 20350  
Attn: Dr. Hubert Wang

Commander, Code AIR-5332  
Naval Air Systems Command  
Washington, D.C. 20361  
Attn: W. Whiting

Commander, Code 39042  
Naval Weapons Center  
China Lake, CA 93555  
Attn: Dr. L. Wilkins

Institute for Defense Analysis  
400 Army-Navy Drive  
Arlington, VA 22202  
Attn: Hans Wolfhard

Defense Advanced Research  
Projects Agency  
1400 Wilson Blvd.  
Arlington, VA 22209  
Attn: S. Zakanycz

Commander, Code 08  
Naval Material Command  
Washington, D.C. 20360

High Energy Laser Program, PM-22  
Washington, D.C. 20360