

AD-A075 058

FLORIDA STATE UNIV TALLAHASSEE DEPT OF STATISTICS

F/6 12/1

FURTHER MONOTONICITY PROPERTIES FOR SPECIALIZED RENEWAL PROCESS--ETC(U)

JUL 79 M BROW

F49620-79-C-0157

UNCLASSIFIED

FSU-STATISTICS-M504

AFOSR-TR-79-1040

NL


| OF |

AD
A075058



END
DATE
FILMED
11-79

DDC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data is Available)

19 REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER AFOSR TR-79-1040	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) FURTHER MONOTONICITY PROPERTIES FOR SPECIALIZED RENEWAL PROCESSES.	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim rept.	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Mark Brown	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) F49620-79-C-0157	9. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 61102F / 2304 / A5
10. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS The Florida State University Department of Statistics Tallahassee, FL 32306	11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Office of Scientific Research/NM Bolling, AFB, Washington, DC 20332	12. REPORT DATE Jul 1979
13. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) LEVEL II	14. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) 12 14 Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 14 / FSU-STATISTICS-M504, TR-79-BL-AFOSR		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) phi		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) 400 277 Define $Z(t)$ to be the forward recurrence time at t for a renewal process with interarrival time distribution, F , which is assumed to be IMRL (increasing mean residual life). It is shown that $E\phi(Z(t))$ is increasing in $t \geq 0$ for all increasing convex ϕ . An example demonstrates that $Z(t)$ is not necessarily stochastically increasing nor is the renewal function necessarily concave. Both of these properties are known to hold for F DFR (decreasing failure rate).		

DDC FILE COPY

DD FORM 1475

Unclassified

AFOSR-TR. 79 - 1040

**FURTHER MONOTONICITY PROPERTIES FOR
SPECIALIZED RENEWAL PROCESSES**

By Mark Brown

**FSU Statistics Report M504
AFOSR Technical Report No. 79-B1 -**

**July, 1979
Department of Statistics
The Florida State University
Tallahassee, Florida 32306**

**Research supported by Air Force Office of Scientific Research Grant No.
AFOSR F49620-79-C-0157**

**Approved for public release;
distribution unlimited.**

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFSC)
NOTICE OF TRANSMITTAL TO DDC
This technical report has been reviewed and is
approved for public release IAW AFR 190-12 (7b).
Distribution is unlimited.
A. D. BLOSE
Technical Information Officer

Further Monotonicity Properties for
Specialized Renewal Processes.

by
Mark Brown

Summary. Define $Z(t)$ to be the forward recurrence time at t for a renewal process with interarrival time distribution, F , which is assumed to be IMRL (increasing mean residual life). It is shown that $E\phi(Z(t))$ is increasing in $t \geq 0$ for all increasing convex ϕ . An example demonstrates that $Z(t)$ is not necessarily stochastically increasing nor is the renewal function necessarily concave. Both of these properties are known to hold for F DFR (decreasing failure rate).

1. Introduction. It is shown in Brown [3] that if F is IMRL (increasing mean residual life) and $Z(t)$ is the forward recurrence time at t for a renewal process with interarrival time distribution F , then $EZ(t)$ is increasing in $t \geq 0$. In this paper the result is strengthened to $E\phi(Z(t))$ increasing in t for all increasing convex ϕ . An example is given to show that the result cannot be extended to general increasing functions, equivalently that $Z(t)$ need not be stochastically increasing in t . The same example also shows that F IMRL does not imply that the renewal function $M(t)$ is concave, nor that $EA(t)$, the expected renewal age at time t , is increasing. The table below summarizes the monotonicity results of this paper and [3].

	IMRL	DFR
Z(t) (forward recurrence time)	$E\phi(Z(t)) \uparrow$ for increasing convex ϕ ; Z(t) not necessarily stochastically increasing	Z(t) stochastically increasing in $t \geq 0$.
A(t) (renewal age)	EA(t) not necessarily increasing	A(t) stochastically increasing in $t \geq 0$.
M(t) (renewal function)	$M(t) - \frac{t}{\mu} \uparrow$ in $t \geq 0$; M(t) not necessarily concave.	M(t) concave. The renewal density exists on $(0, \infty)$ and is decreasing.

The result $E\phi(Z(t)) \uparrow$ for increasing convex ϕ does not appear to be provable by the methodology employed in [3]. A new approach is followed based on a renewal theory identity (theorem 1) which may be of independent interest and use.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DDC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or special
A	

2. Definitions and preliminaries. A random variable X with c.d.f. F is defined to have an IMRL (increasing mean residual life) distribution on $[0, \infty)$ if $\mu_1 = EX < \infty$, $F(0-) = 0$, $F(0) < 1$, and $E(X - t | X > t)$ is increasing in $t \geq 0$. The term increasing (decreasing) is used for monotone non-decreasing (non-increasing). X is defined to have a DFR distribution on $[0, \infty)$ if $F(0-) = 0$, $F(0) < 1$, and $X - t | X > t$ (the conditional distribution of $X - t$ given that $X > t$) is stochastically increasing in $t \geq 0$. Lemma 1 below reviews several properties of IMRL and DFR distributions.

Lemma 1 (i) F DFR $\iff \log \bar{F}(x)$ convex $\iff F$ is absolutely continuous on $(0, \infty)$ and possesses a version of its pdf, f , for which the hazard function

$h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{\bar{F}(x)}$ is decreasing.

(ii) F DFR with finite mean implies F IMRL; F IMRL does not imply F DFR.

(iii) F IMRL $\iff G$ DFR where $G(x) = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \int_0^x \bar{F}(y) dy$.

(iv) F IMRL $\iff E[\phi(X - t) | X > t] \uparrow$ in $t \geq 0$ for all convex increasing ϕ .

(v) Mixtures of DFR distributions are DFR. A mixture of IMRL distributions possessing a finite mean is IMRL.

(vi) Define $Z(t)$ to be the forward recurrence time at t for a renewal process with interarrival time distribution F . Then F IMRL (DFR) implies $Z(t)$ IMRL (DFR).

Proof (i) The fact that a DFR distribution on $[0, \infty)$ is absolutely continuous on $(0, \infty)$ (it can have an atom at $\{0\}$) can be proved by following an argument of Barlow and Proschan [1] p. 77. The other implications are straightforward.

(ii) The first part is trivial. The distribution discussed in section 5 (i) is IMRL but not DFR.

(iii) $E(X - t | X > t) = 1/h_G(t)$, where h_G is the hazard function of G .

(iv) Let ϕ be an increasing convex function. Then

$\phi(x) = \phi(0) + \int_0^x \phi'(y)dy$ with $\phi' \uparrow$. The expectations

$E_F \phi(X)$, $E_F[\phi(X - t) | X > t]$, $E_G[\phi'(X - t) | X > t]$ are all well defined with $+\infty$ as a possible value. If $E_F \phi(X) = \infty$ then $E_F[\phi(X - t) | X > t] = \infty$ for all t and the result is trivially true. If $E_F \phi(X) < \infty$ then all the above expectations are finite. Integration by parts gives:

$$(1) \quad E_F[\phi(X - t) | X > t] = \phi(0) + E_F(X - t | X > t)E_G[\phi'(X - t) | X > t]$$

Since G is DFR (iii), ϕ' is increasing, and $E_F(X - t | X > t) \uparrow$, the result follows.

(v) The closure of DFR under mixtures is found in Barlow and Proschan [1] p. 103. Consider $\bar{F}(t) = \int \bar{F}_\alpha(t) dP(\alpha)$, where F_α is IMRL, and P a probability measure. Define $\mu(\alpha) = E_{F_\alpha} X$ and $\bar{G}_\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{\mu(\alpha)} \int_t^\infty \bar{F}_\alpha(x) dx$. Then

$$\bar{G}(t) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_t^\infty \bar{F}(x) dx = \int \bar{G}_\alpha(t) \left(\frac{\mu_\alpha}{\mu} dP(\alpha) \right). \quad \text{Each } G_\alpha \text{ is DFR by (iii), therefore}$$

G is a mixture of DFR's and is therefore DFR. By (iii) F is IMRL.

(vi) The distribution of $Z(t)$ is a mixture of the distributions of $\{X - s | X > s, 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. Thus the result for F DFR follows directly from (v). For F IMRL we can apply (v) and obtain the desired result provided that we show that $EZ(t) < \infty$. But

$$EZ(t) \leq E(X - t | X > t) \leq \frac{1}{\bar{F}(t)} \int_t^\infty \bar{F}(x) dx \leq \frac{\mu}{\bar{F}(t)} < \infty.$$

3. Identity. We will be working with two renewal processes, one with interarrival time distribution F , the other with distribution G where $G(t) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^t \bar{F}(x) dx$. It is important to note that the renewal process with interarrival time distribution G is not the stationary renewal process corresponding to F (which would have its first renewal governed by G and all subsequent renewals governed by F) but rather a renewal process with all interarrival times distributed as G . Define $Z_F(t)$ ($Z_G(t)$) to be the forward recurrence time at t for the renewal process with interarrival time distribution F (G). Define $\bar{F}_{Z_F(t)}^{(x)} = \Pr(Z_F(t) > x)$, and $g_{Z_G(t)}^{(x)}$ to be

the pdf of $Z_G(t)$ evaluated at x . Note that G is absolutely continuous and thus so is $Z_G(t)$. Define M_F , M_G to be the renewal functions for the two processes. Both start with a renewal epoch at $\{0\}$, so that for example

$$M_F(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F^{(k)}(t) \text{ rather than } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F^{(k)}(t). \text{ By Wald's identity } EZ_F(t) = \mu M_F(t) - t.$$

Since $M_F(t) - t$ is of bounded variation on $[0, t]$ it makes sense to talk about $dEZ_F(y) = \mu dM_F(y) - dy$. Note that $dE(Z_F(y))$ has an atom of size $\mu/\bar{F}(0)$ at $y = 0$.

In theorem 1 below we use the following version of $g_{Z_G(t)}$:

$$(2) \quad g_{Z_G(t)}^{(x)} = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{0-}^t \bar{F}(t - y + x) dM_G(y)$$

Thus we regard $g_{Z_G(t)}$ as having the above well defined value for each x rather than as an equivalence class of a.e. equal functions.

Theorem 1 For all $t \geq 0$, $x \geq 0$:

$$(3) \quad \bar{F}_{Z_F(t)}^{(x)} = \int_{0-}^t g_{Z_G(t-y)}^{(x)} dE(Z_F(y)) = \frac{\mu}{\bar{F}(0)} g_{Z_G(t)}^{(x)} + \int_{0+}^t g_{Z_G(t-y)}^{(x)} dE(Z_F(y))$$

Proof For $s > 0$ define the following Laplace transforms:

$$\psi_1(s, x) = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-st} g_{Z_G}(t) dt, \quad \psi_2(s, x) = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-st} \bar{F}_{Z_F}(t) dt,$$

$$R_x(s) = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-st} \bar{F}(t+x) dt, \quad \psi_{M_F}(s) = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-st} dM_F(t),$$

$$\psi_F(s) = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-st} dF(t), \text{ and similarly } \psi_{M_G}(s) \text{ and } \psi_G(s).$$

By (2):

$$(4) \quad \psi_1(s, x) = \mu^{-1} R_x(s) \psi_{M_G}(s)$$

Noting that $\bar{F}_{Z_F}(t) = \int_0^t \bar{F}(t-y+x) dM_F(y)$ it follows that:

$$(5) \quad \psi_2(s, x) = R_x(s) \psi_{M_F}(s)$$

From (4) and (5) we obtain:

$$(6) \quad \frac{\psi_2(s, x)}{\psi_1(s, x)} = \frac{\mu \psi_{M_F}(s)}{\psi_{M_G}(s)}$$

From (6) we see that ψ_2/ψ_1 is independent of x . This suggests that $\bar{F}_{Z_F}(t)$ is the convolution of $g_{Z_G}(\cdot)$ and a function which does not depend on x . Next:

$$(7) \quad \mu \psi_{M_F}(s) = \mu(1 - \psi_F(s))^{-1}$$

$$(8) \quad \psi_{M_G}(s) = (1 - \psi_G(s))^{-1} = (1 - [(1 - \psi_F(s))/s\mu])^{-1}$$

From (6), (7) and (8):

$$(9) \quad \frac{\psi_2(s, x)}{\psi_1(s, x)} = \mu(1 - \psi_F(s))^{-1} - s^{-1}$$

But $\mu(1 - \psi_F)^{-1}$ is the Laplace transform of $\mu\psi_{M_F}(s)$ and s^{-1} is the Laplace transform of $f(t) = t$. Thus $\mu\psi_{M_F}(s)/\psi_{M_G}(s)$ is the Laplace transform of $\mu M_F(t) - t = EZ_F(t)$. The result now follows.

Splitting the range of integration $[0, t]$ into $\{0\}$ and $(0, t]$ and recalling that $dEZ_F(y)$ has an atom of size $\mu/\bar{F}(0)$ at 0, gives the alternative expression in (1). $\square$

4. Monotonicity Result. The main monotonicity result is now derived.

Theorem 2 If F is IMRL then $E\phi(Z(t))$ is increasing in $t \geq 0$ for all increasing convex functions ϕ .

Proof Since ϕ is an increasing convex function, $\phi(t) = \phi(0) + \int_0^t \phi'(x)dx$ with $\phi' \uparrow$. It is straightforward to show that the expectations $E(\phi(Z_F(t)))$, $E(\phi'(Z_G(t)))$, $E_G \phi'(X)$ are all well defined and are either finite or equal to $+\infty$ depending on whether $E_F \phi(X)$ is finite or equals $+\infty$.

Start with (3) (the identity of theorem 1), multiply both sides by $\phi'(x)$ and integrate x from 0 to ∞ . The left side, after integration by parts reduces to $E\phi(Z_F(t)) - \phi(0)$. It is finite (equals $+\infty$) if and only if $E\phi(X)$ is finite (equals $+\infty$). The right side, after interchanging the order of integration reduces to $\int_{0-}^t E\phi'(Z_G(t-y))dE(Z_F(y))$, and it too is finite (equals $+\infty$) if and only if $E\phi(X)$ is finite (equals $+\infty$). Thus:

$$(10) \quad E\phi(Z_F(t)) = \phi(0) + \int_{0-}^t E\phi'(Z_G(t-y))dE(Z_F(y))$$

Since ϕ' is increasing and G is DFR (lemma 1 (iii)) it follows from Brown [3], theorem 3, that $E\phi'(Z_G(t-y))$ is increasing in t for each y . Since $EZ_F(y)$ is increasing (Brown [3], theorem 2), $\phi' \geq 0$, and $E\phi'(Z_G(t-y))$ is increasing, it follows from (10) that $E\phi(Z_F(t)) \uparrow$. $\square$

5. Examples. (i) In this example F is IMRL, $Z(t)$ is not stochastically increasing, m is not decreasing (and thus M is not concave) and $EA(t)$ is not increasing. The hazard function of F is given by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ 4 & 1 \leq x < 2 \\ .01 & x \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

For $t \geq 1$, $X - t | X > t$ is stochastically increasing and thus increasing in mean. For $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $E(X - t | X > t) = 1 + ce^t$ where $c = e^{-1}(99.75e^{-4} - .75) > 0$. Thus F is IMRL.

For $0 < \delta < 1$, $\Pr(Z(0) > \delta) = e^{-\delta}$. For $t = 1$, the hazard function, $h_{Z(1)}^{(x)}$, for each $x \in [0, \delta]$ is a weighted average of 1 and 4, with 4 receiving positive weight. Thus $h_{Z(1)}^{(x)} > 1$ for $x \in [0, \delta]$ and consequently $\Pr(Z(1) > \delta) < e^{-\delta} = \Pr(Z(0) > \delta)$. Therefore $Z(t)$ is not stochastically increasing.

Finally for $s < 1$, $E[A(1 + \epsilon) | A(1) = s] = s + \epsilon(1 - s) + o(\epsilon)$, while $E(A(1 + \epsilon) | A(1) = 1) = 1 - 3\epsilon + o(\epsilon)$. Thus $E(A(1 + \epsilon)) = 1 - e^{-1} - 2\epsilon e^{-1} + o(\epsilon) = EA(1) - 2\epsilon e^{-1} + o(\epsilon)$; thus $\frac{d}{dt}EA(t) \Big|_{t=1} = -2e^{-1}$ and $EA(t)$ is not increasing.

(ii) Berman [2] p. 429 raised the question of whether F NWU implies that the renewal density is decreasing. In the example below F is DFRA (and thus NWU) and the renewal density is not decreasing. Recall that F is defined to be NWU if $X - t | X > t$ is stochastically greater than X for all $t \geq 0$, and DFRA if $\frac{H(t)}{t} = \frac{-\ln \bar{F}(t)}{t}$ is decreasing in $t > 0$.

$$F \text{ is defined by the hazard function } h(x) = \begin{cases} 100 & 0 \leq x < 1 \\ 1 & 1 \leq x < 2 \\ 2 & x \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

Clearly F is DFRA (and thus NWU). Define $p(t) = \Pr(1 < A(t) < 2)$. Note that $p(2) = p(2-) - \Pr(N(2) = 0) = p(2-) - e^{-101}$. Thus

$m(2-) = 100(1 - p(2-)) + p(2-)$ while $m(2) = 100(1 - p(2-)) + (p(2-) - e^{-101}) + 2e^{-101} = m(2-) + e^{-101}$. It follows that for some $\epsilon > 0$, $m(t) > m(s)$ for $2 + \epsilon > t > 2 > s > 2 - \epsilon$, and thus m is not decreasing.

(iii) It appears that the prospects are not good for deriving monotonicity results for renewal processes with IFR interarrival times. Berman [2] points out that the convolution of three exponentials with common parameter does not have an increasing renewal density. Moreover, it is easy to construct absolutely continuous IFR distributions which are arbitrarily close to a degenerate distribution, say for example a degenerate distribution at $\{1\}$. Such a distribution has a renewal function which for small to moderate t differs little from that of the degenerate distribution at $\{1\}$.

References

- [1] Barlow, R. E. and Proschan, F. (1975). Statistical Theory of Reliability and Life Testing: Probability Models. Holt, Rhinehart and Winston, New York.
- [2] Berman, M. (1978). "Regenerative multivariate point processes". Adv. Appl. Prob., 10, 411-30.
- [3] Brown, M. (1979). "Bounds, inequalities, and monotonicity properties for some specialized renewal processes". To appear in Ann. Prob. .
- [4] Marshall, A. W., and Proschan, F. (1972). "Classes of distributions applicable in replacement, with renewal theory implications". Proceedings of the Sixth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Vol. I, edited by LeCam, L., Neyman, J. and Scott, E. L., University of California Press, 395-415.

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification of this Page

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1. REPORT NUMBERS FSU No. M504 AFOSR No. 79-B1	2. GOVT. ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE Further Monotonicity Properties for Specialized Renewal Processes	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report	
	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER FSU Statistics Report M504	
7. AUTHOR(s) Mark Brown	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) AFOSR F49620-79-C-0157	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME & ADDRESS The Florida State University Department of Statistics Tallahassee, Florida 32306	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA AND WORK UNIT NOS.	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME & ADDRESS The U.S. Air Force Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bolling Air Force Base, D.C. 20332	12. REPORT DATE July, 1979	
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 11	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS (of this report) Unclassified	
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release: distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS		

20. ABSTRACT

Define $Z(t)$ to be the forward recurrence time at t for a renewal process with interarrival time distribution, F , which is assumed to be IMRL (increasing mean residual life). It is shown that $E\phi(Z(t))$ is increasing in $t \geq 0$ for all increasing convex ϕ . An example demonstrates that $Z(t)$ is not necessarily stochastically increasing nor is the renewal function necessarily concave. Both of these properties are known to hold for F DFR (decreasing failure rate).