

AD-A075 190

FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIV WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OH  
DEPENDENCE OF THE EXTRACTION CAPACITY OF AMINES ON THEIR IONIZA--ETC(U)  
APR 79 V M MAKAROV


F/6 7/3

UNCLASSIFIED


FTD-ID(RS)T-0509-79

NL

| OF |  
AD  
A075190



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
11-79  
DDC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

PHOTOGRAPH THIS SHEET

**1**  
INVENTORY

ADA075190

DDC ACCESSION NUMBER

FTD-ID(RS)T-0509-79  
DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION

**DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A**

Approved for public release;  
Distribution Unlimited

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist.	Avail and/or special
A	

DISTRIBUTION STAMP

DDC
RECEIVED
OCT 19 1979
RECEIVED
E

DATE ACCESSIONED

*See document*

DATE RECEIVED IN DDC

PHOTOGRAPH THIS SHEET

AND RETURN TO DDC-DDA-2

AD A075190

FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION



DEPENDENCE OF THE EXTRACTION CAPACITY OF AMINES  
ON THEIR IONIZATION POTENTIALS

by

V. M. Makarov



Approved for public release;  
distribution unlimited.

79 08 03 088

## EDITED TRANSLATION

FTD-ID(RS)T-0509-79

9 April 1979

MICROFICHE NR: *AD-79-C-000530*

DEPENDENCE OF THE EXTRACTION CAPACITY OF AMINES  
ON THEIR IONIZATION POTENTIALS

By: V. M. Makarov

English pages: 10

Source: Radiokhimiya, Vol. 12, Nr. 4, 1970,  
pp. 584-590

Country of origin: USSR

Translated by: Victor Mesenzeff

Requester: PHE

Approved for public release; distribution  
unlimited.

THIS TRANSLATION IS A RENDITION OF THE ORIGINAL FOREIGN TEXT WITHOUT ANY ANALYTICAL OR EDITORIAL COMMENT. STATEMENTS OR THEORIES ADVOCATED OR IMPLIED ARE THOSE OF THE SOURCE AND DO NOT NECESSARILY REFLECT THE POSITION OR OPINION OF THE FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION.

PREPARED BY:

TRANSLATION DIVISION  
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION  
WP-AFB, OHIO.

FTD-ID(RS)T-0509-79

Date 9 Apr 19 79

U. S. BOARD ON GEOGRAPHIC NAMES TRANSLITERATION SYSTEM

Block	Italic	Transliteration	Block	Italic	Transliteration
А а	<i>А а</i>	A, a	Р р	<i>Р р</i>	R, r
Б б	<i>Б б</i>	B, b	С с	<i>С с</i>	S, s
В в	<i>В в</i>	V, v	Т т	<i>Т т</i>	T, t
Г г	<i>Г г</i>	G, g	У у	<i>У у</i>	U, u
Д д	<i>Д д</i>	D, d	Ф ф	<i>Ф ф</i>	F, f
Е е	<i>Е е</i>	Ye, ye; E, e*	Х х	<i>Х х</i>	Kh, kh
Ж ж	<i>Ж ж</i>	Zh, zh	Ц ц	<i>Ц ц</i>	Ts, ts
З з	<i>З з</i>	Z, z	Ч ч	<i>Ч ч</i>	Ch, ch
И и	<i>И и</i>	I, i	Ш ш	<i>Ш ш</i>	Sh, sh
Й й	<i>Й й</i>	Y, y	Щ щ	<i>Щ щ</i>	Shch, shch
К к	<i>К к</i>	K, k	Ъ ъ	<i>Ъ ъ</i>	"
Л л	<i>Л л</i>	L, l	Ы ы	<i>Ы ы</i>	Y, y
М м	<i>М м</i>	M, m	Ь ь	<i>Ь ь</i>	'
Н н	<i>Н н</i>	N, n	Э э	<i>Э э</i>	E, e
О о	<i>О о</i>	O, o	Ю ю	<i>Ю ю</i>	Yu, yu
П п	<i>П п</i>	P, p	Я я	<i>Я я</i>	Ya, ya

\*ye initially, after vowels, and after ъ, ь; e elsewhere.  
When written as ë in Russian, transliterate as yë or ë.

RUSSIAN AND ENGLISH TRIGONOMETRIC FUNCTIONS

Russian	English	Russian	English	Russian	English
sin	sin	sh	sinh	arc sh	sinh <sup>-1</sup>
cos	cos	ch	cosh	arc ch	cosh <sup>-1</sup>
tg	tan	th	tanh	arc th	tanh <sup>-1</sup>
ctg	cot	cth	coth	arc cth	coth <sup>-1</sup>
sec	sec	sch	sech	arc sch	sech <sup>-1</sup>
cosec	csc	csch	csch	arc csch	csch <sup>-1</sup>


Russian	English
rot	curl
lg	log

FIRST LINE OF TEXT


## DEPENDENCE OF THE EXTRACTION CAPACITY OF AMINES ON THEIR IONIZATION POTENTIALS

V. M. Makarov

The extraction of metal salts and acids by the salts of high-molecular amines can be considered as one which occurs both by means of the ion-exchange mechanism and a combination mechanism [1-11]. Independently of the extraction mechanism, the removal of metal salts is due to the formation of a complex compound:  $[\text{Amine} \cdot \text{H}]_n \text{MA}_{m+n}$ , which, almost totally, changes into an organic phase [12-15]. In the organic phase this complex is solvated by the molecules of a solvent (Solv.), amine salt (Amine HA) and, under certain conditions, it is necessary to take into account the formation of dimers and other polyparticles. Consequently, in a general form, the composition of a complex in an organic phase can be presented by the formula



When investigating the effect of the composition and the amine structure on the extraction capability of its salts, it is assumed that the polymerization and solvation of the complex in an organic phase do not vary. In order to obtain quantitative regularities, Rozen and Nagnibeda used the effective extraction constants  $\bar{K}$  as a characteristic of the extraction capacity, which were calculated from the supposition that the composition of the plutonium (IV) and uranyl complexes in an organic phase corresponds to the formulas



and does not vary with a change in the amine composition. Taft constants  $\sigma$  and the electronegativity of the substituent group were used as a characteristic of the extractant structure [16]. Earlier, these structure characteristics were used to correlate the basicity of amines [17-19]. The authors obtained a satisfactory correlation between the extracting capacity of the amine salts and their structure during the extraction of the nitrous acid and uranyl and plutonium (IV) nitrates. The low accuracy, especially in the case of amines which have long-chain radicals, is due to the effect of the steric and entropic factors, as explained by the authors.

If the logarithms of the effective extraction constants are functions which yield to an analytical expression in a form of the Hammett equation, then they must obey the rule of polylinearity [20]. Fig. 1 shows the dependence of the logarithm of the effective extraction constant of the plutonium nitrate (IV) on the logarithm of the effective extraction constant of the uranyl nitrate into solutions of amines in  $\text{CCl}_4$  according to the data of [16]. As seen from Fig. 1, there is a significant deviation of the experimental data from the linear dependence. The absence of any sort of regularity in the nature of deviations of the experimental points from a linear dependence makes it possible to assume that they are caused, apparently, either by the presence of impurities in the amines or by the insufficient accuracy of the experimental data. It is possible that this explains the relatively low accuracy in the correlation of the logarithm of the effective extraction constant with the Taft constants and the electronegativity of the substituent group [16].

The extractability of the amines is due to the fact that the nitrogen atom has an unshared pair of electrons which forms a coordination bond with the electro-acceptor atoms and ions [21-25]. In the extracting compounds the bond is formed as a result of an electron moving from the higher filled molecular orbit of a donor to a vacant molecular orbit of an acceptor; in this case, a complex is formed with a transfer of charge [26, 27]. The ground

STOP HERE

STOP HERE

state 1-1 complex,  $D+A=D \cdot A=D^+A^-$ , is described by the wave function

$$\psi_R = a\psi_0(D \cdot A) + b\psi_1(D^+A^-), \quad (1)$$

where  $\psi_0$  - wave function corresponding to the van der Waals bond,  $\psi_1$  - wave function of ionic state, corresponding to a complete transfer of an electron from D to A. The square of the coefficient

$$b = \frac{cS}{I_D - E_A} \quad (2)$$

is a measure of the transfer charge. Here,  $c$  - constant,  $S$  - integral of overlapping between the donor and acceptor orbitals and it reflects the degree of superposition of both structures,  $I_D$  - donor ionization potential, and  $E_A$  - affinity of an acceptor to an electron. Since  $E_A < I_D$ , as a rule, complete ionization does not occur. From an analysis of the equation (2) we can see that the smaller the ionization potential of amine the higher the polarity of the bond and the greater is its strength.

A similar analysis carried out by Pechalin and Panchenkov [28] on the role of the donor-acceptor interaction in the processes of isotope separation by chemical exchange resulted in a satisfactory correlation between a single isotope separation coefficient and the ionization potential:

$$a = m - n \cdot I_D, \quad (3)$$

where  $m$  and  $n$  are constants.

Unfortunately, the data on the ionization potentials of amines are limited and pertain to low-molecular amines which are not used as extractants. Lenard-Jones and Hall [29] have proposed an operational method for calculating the ionization potentials of the saturated hydrocarbons employing the concept of an equivalent orbital. Later this method was extended for calculating the ionization potentials of radicals [30] and other molecules [31].

According to the Lenard-Jones and Hall method, the vertical ionization potential of methane and its analogs is determined by diagonalization of the matrix of an equivalent orbital which has the form

FIRST LINE OF TEXT

$$e_{mn} = \begin{vmatrix} a & b & b & b \\ b & a & b & b \\ b & b & a & b \\ b & b & b & a \end{vmatrix}.$$

The final formula for the calculation of the ionization potential has the form

$$I = -(a - 3b). \quad (4)$$

If we know the ionization potentials of several terms in a series, it is possible to determine the values of the  $a$  and  $b$  parameters in the equation (4) and calculate the ionization potential of the other terms of the same series.

From the form of the equation (4) it is evident that the values of the ionization potentials must obey the rule of polylinearity and, consequently, it is possible to assume that a linear dependence should be observed between the values of the ionization potentials of the radicals and the saturated hydrocarbons. Fig. 2 shows the dependence of the ionization potential of the saturated hydrocarbon on the sum of the ionization potentials of the radicals which can compose a molecule. In this case, each saturated hydrocarbon molecule was assumed to consist of the  $-\text{CH}_2-$  group with the appropriate radicals attached to each end. The values for the ionization potential were taken from [32]. The ionization potentials of the long-chain saturated radicals were calculated using the Hall equation [33]

$$I_{\text{rad.}} = 8.565 - 0.153 \cos\left(\frac{m}{\pi} + 1\right), \quad (5)$$

where  $I_{\text{rad.}}$  - radical ionization potential in eV,  $m$  - number of the C-C bonds in the radical. The calculated values of the ionization potentials are given in Table 1.

As can be seen from the data presented in Fig. 2, a satisfactory correlation is obtained and the ionization potential of the saturated hydrocarbon can be determined using a straight-line equation:  $I = 6.01 + 0.252 \Sigma I_{\text{rad.}}$ . The result that was obtained made it possible to assume that, apparently, one should be able to observe a linear dependence of the ionization potential of amine on the sum of the ionization potentials of the radicals which are its

STOP HERE

STOP HERE

constituents. The literature values of the ionization potentials of amines are presented in Table 2 [32].

Figure 3 shows a dependence of the ionization potential of amine on the sum of the ionization potentials of the radicals which are its constituents. The observed linear dependence of the ionization potential of amine on the sum of the ionization potentials of radicals is expressed by the straight-line equation

$$I_{am.} = 3.20 + 0.173 \sum I_{rad.} \quad (6)$$

where  $I_{am.}$  - ionization potential of amine and  $I_{rad.}$  - ionization potential of a radical. In this case, unique correlation is observed for the primary, secondary, and tertiary amines.

Table 3 shows the values of the effective constants of extraction of the nitrous acid and uranyl and plutonium (IV) nitrates according to the data of [16] and the ionization potentials of amines, calculated using equation (6).

Since the difference in the values of the ionization potentials of the radicals with a normal structure and isostructure decreases with an increase in the number of the carbon atoms, the n.-C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>17</sub> ionization potential was accepted for the iso-C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>17</sub> radical. For extractant having a cycle (position 6), the ionization potential was calculated from a comparison of the ionization potentials of cyclic amines and cyclic radicals [32] and it should comprise 7.6-7.7 eV. Logarithms of the effective extraction constants are compared with the ionization potentials on Fig. 4. We observed a linear dependence in an analytical form which is expressed by the equation

$$\lg K = A - B \cdot I_{am.} \quad (7)$$

and with the consideration of the dependence of the ionization potential of amine on the sum of the ionization potentials of the radicals by the equation

$$\lg K = A' - B' \cdot \sum I_{rad.} \quad (8)$$

Constants of equations (7) and (8) have the following values:

	A	B	A'	B'
Uranium (VI)	22.7	2.85	13.58	0.493
Plutonium (IV)	39.87	4.48	25.53	0.775
HNO <sub>3</sub>	9.26	1.28	5.17	0.222

STOP HERE

STOP HERE

The rather low accuracy of correlation is explained, apparently, not only by the effect of the entropic and steric factors but also by a low purity of the amines used in the experiment.

The determined linear dependence of the logarithm of the effective constant of extraction by the amine salts on the value of the ionization potential of the amine is an empirical rule, since it does not take into account the effect of solvation of the amine and its salt, steric factors, variations in the ionization potentials of amines during the formation of salts and complexes, affinity of amine to electron which should acquire a particularly large significance in the case of amines, which have substituents with high affinity to an electron and a low ionization potential, of the phenyl type, and other reasons.

From the nature of the dependence of the logarithm of an effective extraction constant on the ionization potential (Fig. 4) it is evident that the difference in the extraction capacity of the amine salt increases with a decrease in the ionization potential of the amine relative to the uranyl and plutonium. In this case the logarithm of an effective separation coefficient determined by the ratio of the effective extraction constants has a linear dependence on the ionization potential of the amine:

$$\lg \alpha_{\text{pu,ur}} = \lg K_{\text{pu}}/K_{\text{ur}} = 17.17 - 1.63 \cdot J_{\text{am}} \quad (9)$$

Equation (9) does not take into account the effect of steric factors on the nature of variation in the effective separation coefficient during a change in the composition and structure of the amines which, apparently, can have a higher value, under certain conditions, than the energy factors [34].

#### CONCLUSIONS

1. It was shown that the ionization potentials of primary, secondary, and tertiary amines have a linear dependence on the sum of the ionization potentials of the substituents.
2. It was established that the logarithm of an effective extraction constant is a linear function of the amine's ionization potential and the logarithm of the effective coefficient of separa-

STOP HERE

STOP HERE

tion of the plutonium (IV) and uranyl nitrates during their extraction by the amine salts increases with a decrease in the ionization potential of the amine.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] E. L. Smith, J. E. Page, J. Chem. Soc., 67, 48 (1948).
- [2] K. G. Allen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 16, 4133 (1958).
- [3] В. В. Фомиц, П. А. Загорец, А. Ф. Моргунов, ЖНХ, 4, 3, 700 (1959).
- [4] В. Б. Шевченко, В. С. Шмидт, Э. А. Ненарокомов, К. А. Петров, ЖНХ, 5, 8, 1852 (1960).
- [5] В. М. Вдовенко, А. А. Линовский, С. А. Никитина, Радиохимия, 3, 4, 396 (1961).
- [6] Э. А. Межов, А. А. Пушков, В. С. Шмидт, ЖНХ, 7, 4, 993 (1962).
- [7] C. F. Coleman, Nucl. Sci. and Eng., 17, 2, 274 (1963).
- [8] А. А. Пушков, В. С. Шмидт, В. Н. Шестериков, Тр. МХТИ им. Д. И. Менделеева, 43, 12 (1963).
- [9] В. С. Смелов, А. В. Страхова, Радиохимия, 5, 4, 509 (1963).
- [10] В. С. Шмидт, Э. А. Межов, Усп. хим., 34, 8, 1388 (1965).
- [11] В. В. Фомиц, В. Г. Потапова, ЖНХ, 8, 4, 990 (1963).
- [12] J. Brothers, R. Hart, W. Mathers, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 7, 1, 85 (1958).
- [13] А. С. Уилсон, Докл. иностр. ученых на II Женевской конференции, 7, Атомиздат, М. (1960).
- [14] В. Б. Шевченко, В. С. Шмидт, Э. А. Ненарокомов, ЖНХ, 5, 10, 2354 (1960).
- [15] W. E. Keder, A. S. Wilson, Nucl. Sci. and Eng., 17, 2, 287 (1963).
- [16] А. М. Розен, З. И. Пагнибеда, ДАН СССР, 170, 4, 855 (1966).
- [17] H. Hall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 79, 20, 5441 (1957).
- [18] H. Hall, J. Phys. Chem., 60, 63 (1956).
- [19] А. М. Розен, И. А. Константинова, ДАН СССР, 166, 1, 132 (1966).
- [20] В. А. Наль, Основы количественной теории органических реакций. Изд. «Химия», Л. (1967).
- [21] J. Pihet, M. Krasij, J. Phys. Chem., 70, 11, 3755 (1966).
- [22] А. Ремик, Электронные представления в органической химии. ИЛ., М. (1950).
- [23] К. Ингольд. Механизм и строение в органической химии. ИЛ., М. (1959).
- [24] О. А. Реутов. Теоретические основы органической химии. Изд. МГУ, М. (1964).
- [25] Л. Эндрюс, Р. Кифер. Молекулярные комплексы в органической химии. ИЛ., М. (1967).
- [26] R. S. Milliken, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 72, 3, 600 (1950).
- [27] S. P. McGlenn, Chem. Rev., 58, 6, 1113 (1958).
- [28] Л. И. Печалин, Г. М. Панченко, ДАН СССР, 168, 2, 382 (1966).
- [29] I. Leonard-Jones, G. G. Hall, Disc. Farad. Soc., 10, 18 (1951).
- [30] A. G. Harrison, F. P. Lossing, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 5, 1052 (1960).
- [31] F. M. Field, I. K. Franklin, Electron Impact Phenomena, Academic Press, N. Y. (1957).
- [32] В. И. Веденеев, Л. В. Гурвич, В. Н. Кондратьев, В. А. Мельников, Е. Л. Франкевич. Энергия разрыва химических связей. Потенциалы ионизации и средство к электрону. Изд. АН СССР, М. (1962).
- [33] G. G. Hall, Proc. Roy. Soc., A205, 1083, 541 (1951).
- [34] В. С. Шмидт, В. Н. Лисицын, Э. А. Межов, С. С. Новиков, ЖНХ, 12, 2, 571 (1967).

STOP HERE

STOP HERE




Fig. 1. Dependence of the logarithms of the effective constants of extraction of the plutonium (IV) and uranyl nitrates [16]. Numbers of points correspond to the numbers of amines in Table 3.




Fig. 2. Dependence of the ionization potentials of saturated hydrocarbons on the sum of the ionization potentials of radicals.

- 1 -  $CH_4$ , 2 -  $C_2H_6$ , 3 -  $C_3H_8$ ,  
 4 -  $C_4H_{10}$ , 5 -  $C_5H_{12}$ , 6 -  $C_7H_{16}$ ,  
 7 -  $C_{10}H_{22}$ .




Fig. 3. Dependence of the ionization potentials of amines on the sum of the ionization potentials of radicals.

- 1 -  $NH_3$ , 2 -  $CH_3NH_2$ , 3 -  $C_2H_5NH_2$ , 4 -  
 $C_3H_7NH_2$ , 5 -  $C_4H_9NH_2$ , 6 -  $(CH_3)_2NH$ ,  
 7 -  $(C_2H_5)_2NH$ , 8 -  $(CH_3)_3N$ , 9 -  $(C_2H_5)_3N$ .

TABLE 1

Values of the ionization potentials of the long-chain saturated radicals

Radical Радикал	$i_{\text{in}}$ $I$ (eV)
$C_3H_{11}$	8.597
$C_6H_{13}$	8.573
$C_7H_{15}$	8.558
$C_8H_{17}$	8.547
$C_9H_{19}$	8.538
$C_{10}H_{21}$	8.532
$C_{12}H_{25}$	8.524

TABLE 2

Values of the ionization potentials of amines

Amine Амин	$i_{\text{in}}$ $I$ (eV)
$NH_3$	10.34
	10.15
$CH_3NH_2$	9.41
$C_2H_5NH_2$	9.5
$C_3H_7NH_2$	9.4
$C_4H_9NH_2$	9.19
$(CH_3)_2NH$	8.93
$(C_2H_5)_2NH$	8.44
$CH_3)_2N$	8.32
$(C_2H_5)_2N$	7.85

TABLE 3

Values of the effective extraction constants of uranyl, plutonium (IV), and nitrous acid; sums of the ionization potentials of radicals and ionization potentials of amines

Extractant Экстракт	$K_{HNO_2}$	$K_{U(VI)}$	$K_{Pu(IV)}$	$\sum i_{\text{rad.}}$ (eV) $i_{\text{in}}$	$I_{\text{am.}}$ (eV) $i_{\text{in}}$
$(C_7H_{15})_2N$	0.156	10.32	$5.7 \cdot 10^5$	25.674	7.64
$(C_8H_{17})_2N$	0.263	11.71	$7.3 \cdot 10^5$	25.641	7.64
$(C_{10}H_{21})_2N$	0.318	14.30	$5.3 \cdot 10^5$	25.594	7.63
$(C_{12}H_{25})_2N$	0.425	6.63	$0.46 \cdot 10^5$	25.572	7.62
$(n\text{-}C_8H_{17})_2N$	—	7.00	$2.1 \cdot 10^5$	25.641	7.64
$CH_3(CH_2)_9NC_{10}H_{21}$	—	4.50	$4.22 \cdot 10^5$	—	7.6-7.7
$(C_8H_{17})_2NH$	0.023	$2.42 \cdot 10^{-2}$	491.6	30.689	8.51
$(n\text{-}C_8H_{17})_2NH$	0.027	$3.10 \cdot 10^{-2}$	53.6	30.689	8.51
$C_7H_{15}CH_2NHCH_3$	0.010	$1.54 \cdot 10^{-2}$	0.407	31.185	8.71
$C_7H_{15}CH_2NHC_{12}H_{25}$	—	$2.55 \cdot 10^{-2}$	82.9	29.849	8.36
$n\text{-}C_8H_{17}NH_2$	—	$3.10 \cdot 10^{-2}$	11.0	35.737	9.39

STOP HERE

STOP HERE

FIRST LINE OF TEXT

FIRST LINE OF TITLE




Fig. 4. Dependence of the effective extraction constants on the ionization potentials of amines. The numbers of points correspond to the numbers of the amines in Table 3.

A - nitrate Pu<sup>IV</sup>, B - uranyl nitrate, C - nitrous acid.

STOP HERE

STOP HERE

DISTRIBUTION LIST

DISTRIBUTION DIRECT TO RECIPIENT

<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>MICROFICHE</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>MICROFICHE</u>
A205 DMATC	1	E053 AF/INAKA	1
A210 DMAAC	2	E017 AF/RDXTR-W	1
B344 DIA/RDS-3C	9	E403 AFSC/INA	1
C043 USAMIIA	1	E404 AEDC	1
C509 BALLISTIC RES LABS	1	E408 AFWL	1
C510 AIR MOBILITY R&D	1	E410 ADTC	1
LAB/FIO			
C513 PICATINNY ARSENAL	1	FTD	
C535 AVIATION SYS COMD	1	CCN	1
C591 FSTC	5	ASD/FTD/NIIS	3
C619 MIA REDSTONE	1	NIA/PHS	1
D008 NISC	1	NIIS	2
H300 USAICE (USAREUR)	1		
P005 DOE	1		
P050 CIA/CRB/ADD/SD	2		
NAVORDSTA (50L)	1		
NASA/KSI	1		
AFIT/LD	1		
ILL/Code L-389	1		
NSA/1213/TDL	2		