

AD-A075 686

VANDERBILT UNIV NASHVILLE TENN
SYSTEM FOR GENERATING DYNAMIC RANDOM-ELEMENT STEREOGRAMS.(U)
SEP 79 S S SHETTY , A J BRODERSEN , R FOX
N14-1101-79C-0003

F/G 14/5

N00014-76-C-1101

NL

UNCLASSIFIED


1 OF 1
ADA
075686



END
DATE
FILMED

12-79

DDC



Report N14-1101 79C-0003

LEVEL

P
5

AD A 075686

SYSTEM FOR GENERATING DYNAMIC RANDOM ELEMENT STEREOGRAMS

Sudhakar S. Shetty, Arthur J. Brodersen, and Robert Fox
Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37240

DDC
RECEIVED
OCT 26 1979
E

September 1979

Technical Report

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the
United States government. Distribution of this document is unlimited.

Prepared for:

Engineering Psychology Programs
Office of Naval Research
800 North Quincy Street, Code 455
Arlington, Virginia 22217

DDC FILE COPY


79 10 25 004

Report N14-1101 79C-0003

P

SYSTEM FOR GENERATING DYNAMIC RANDOM ELEMENT STEREOGRAMS

Sudhakar S. Shetty, Arthur J. Brodersen, and Robert Fox
Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37240



September 1979

Technical Report

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States government. Distribution of this document is unlimited.

Prepared for:

Engineering Psychology Programs
Office of Naval Research
800 North Quincy Street, Code 455
Arlington, Virginia 22217

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)

Vanderbilt University
Nashville, TN 37240

2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

unclassified

2b. GROUP

3. REPORT TITLE

⑥ System for generating dynamic random-element stereograms,

4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates)

⑨ Technical Report,

5. AUTHOR (Last name, first name, middle initial, last name)

⑩ Sudhakar S. Shetty, Arthur J. Brodersen and Robert Fox

6. REPORT DATE

⑪ Sep 1979

7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES

20

7b. NO. OF REFS

8

8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO.

⑮ N00014-76-C-1101

9a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)

⑭ N14-1101-79C-0003

8b. PROJECT NO.

NR 197-036

9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)

10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

For public release; distribution unlimited

11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY

Office of Naval Research
800 North Quincy Street, Code 455
Arlington, Virginia 22217

13. ABSTRACT

Truly dynamic stereograms can be generated by a new system that produces an anaglyphic display on a commercial television receiver. Location, size, and disparity (both direction and magnitude) of the stereographic form are controlled by means of a TTL unit.

unclassified

Security Classification

14 KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
stereopsis stereograms random-element stereograms stereogram generation system depth apparatus						

Accession For

NTIS GRA&I ☒

DDC TAB ☐

Unannounced

Justification

By

Distribution/

Availability Codes

Dis ☒ Avail and/or special

INTRODUCTION

Random-element stereograms and their extensive application to both applied and basic lines of inquiry are well known (e.g., Julesz, 1971). The most widely used technique for stereogram construction involves generating a matrix of achromatic dots (matrix size usually 100 x 100) on a computer-controlled graphic display and then making a hard copy (i.e., a photograph) of the displayed matrix. These stereograms are referred to as random-element because the positions of elements within the matrix have been specified on a random basis, yet in other respects the stereograms are static in that all attributes of the embedded stereoscopic form--shape, disparity value, and so on--cannot be changed. But for many applications it is highly desirable if not essential to use truly dynamic stereograms in which stereoscopic forms appear to move continuously about in stereoscopic space. An early method for producing truly dynamic stereograms used cinematographic techniques. A number of films showing stereographic forms in motion have been made (see Julesz, 1971). But film is a cumbersome and expensive method that still imposes many restrictions on the way stereoscopic contours can be manipulated.

Recently, advances in microcomputer and integrated-circuit technology have made it possible to generate dynamic dot matrices directly on CRT displays. The left-eye and right-eye matrices are presented either on separate CRTs or spatially separated on a single CRT and then brought into binocular coincidence by optical devices such as haploscopes and prisms. Stereogram generation systems of this type have been described by Miller (Note 3), Ross and Hogben (1974), Bouldin (Note 1), Uttal, Fitzgerald, and Eskin (1975), and Julesz, Breitmeyer, and Kropfl (1976). Typically, these systems require both a minicomputer and an external hard-wired special purpose electronic device. The external device is

used for high-speed repetitive tasks such as the continuous generation of random dots. The minicomputer keeps track of the displayed positions of the dots and inserts disparity at those points in the X-Y coordinate space of the display that are specified by the program. Although these systems do generate truly dynamic stereograms, they have several limitations: a) The use of a minicomputer restricts portability and makes the system relatively expensive. b) Alignment of matrices for dichoptic stimulation requires the active cooperation of the observer--note also that haploscopic viewing systems can disrupt normal accommodation and convergence relationships and thus may impair the perception of stereopsis. c) Matrix size and dot density are often reduced by the restrictions imposed by computer cycle time. d) The luminance of the dot matrices is inherently low. e) Changes in display parameters can require changes in software, which may be formidable.

We now describe a system that overcomes these limitations by capitalizing upon even more recent advances in microelectronic technology. The system can use as a display almost any type of commercial color television receiver. Large matrices of red and green dots are continuously generated and the dichoptic stimulation requisite for stereopsis is produced by placing appropriate chromatic filters before the eyes of the observer. The filters physically block wavelengths so that only one matrix, either red or green, can stimulate a single eye. This is, of course, the well-known anaglyph method for stereoscopic presentation (Woodworth, 1938). The system for generating and controlling the display consists of a hard-wired network of approximately sixty 7400-series TTL chips housed in a self-contained unit. Parameters of the stereoscopic form that can be quickly varied include disparity magnitude and direction, X-Y locus, and rectilinear configuration. Several versions

of the system have been successfully used in investigations of stereopsis in both animals and humans (Fox, Lehmkuhle, & Bush, 1977; Fox, Lehmkuhle, & Leguire, 1978; Lehmkuhle & Fox, Note 2).

OVERVIEW OF THE SYSTEM

The red and green dot matrices are produced on the color video display by modulating the inputs to the red and green electron guns as they sweep the display in the raster-scan mode. All dots comprising the matrices can be randomly replaced at the completion of every frame by means of a random-number generator. Delaying the onset of one gun at some point during the scan produces a spatial difference in the X-Y positions of the red and green dots; this difference in the location of the dots is the sine qua non of the retinal disparity required for stereopsis. The spatial difference produced by the time delay is camouflaged by adding random dots that are not correlated with the left-eye and red-eye dot matrices. By maintaining control of the X-Y positions of the guns as they sweep the display, disparity and the correlative stereoscopic form can be introduced at any position within the display. In Figure 1 a block diagram is given illustrating the main components of the electronic unit that governs the display.

The electronic unit connects with the display at the level of the output amplifiers, and synch signals are injected at the level of the synch separator. These inputs require only modest modifications of a color video receiver and do not permanently alter the receiver's normal functions. In the circuit, shown in Figure 2, the X_1 input would be from the green color amplifier of Figure 1 and the video input would be grounded. An identical connection would be made for the red color amplifier. The specific method for interfacing with a commercial television receiver will vary with the manufacturer and model. See Lancaster (1976)




Figure 1. Block diagram of stereogram generator.




Figure 2. Typical output of television color amplifier.

for a practical discussion of methods.

SWEEP GENERATOR

A functional schematic of the sweep generator is shown in Figure 3. The generator divides the 0.5-mHz input from the clock into vertical and horizontal synchronization pulses. The entire circuit consists of three dual-D flip-flops (7474), two 4-bit binary counters (7493), two quadruple NAND gates (7400), one quadruple NOR gate (7402), and one hex converter (7404). The flip-flop outputs, in conjunction with the NAND and NOR gates, generate the horizontal output, which has a period of 54 microsec and a retrace time of 10 microsec. Note that the actual horizontal frequency of a commercial television is 53.5 microsec, in contrast to the 54 microsec produced by this circuit, but this difference does not affect the performance of the system. The binary counters and the NAND and NOR gates are used to generate the timing pulses for the vertical output. The slight deviation of this vertical period (16.5 msec) from that of a commercial unit does not affect the display.

The vertical and horizontal signals are combined into a single waveform at the NOR gate. The combined signal is then fed to the base of the separator stage of the receiver.

STEREOSCOPIC FORM CIRCUIT

Figure 4 represents a functional schematic of the stereoscopic form circuit. This circuit designates a portion of the sweep for conversion to stereoscopic presentation. Provision is made for varying the position and the dimensions of the form. The circuit consists of four negative-edge triggered, monostable multivibrators and a NAND gate. The four variable resistors, R_1 through R_4 in Figure 4, are used to vary



Figure 3. Sweep generator




Figure 4. Stereoscopic form circuit.

the shape, size, and position of the stereoscopic form. R_1 and R_2 vary the position and the size, respectively, of the form in the X or horizontal dimension. R_3 and R_4 vary the position and size, respectively, in the Y or vertical dimension. By adjustment of R_2 and R_4 any rectilinear shape of any size can be presented. By continuous variation of R_1 or R_3 the shape can be made to appear to move continuously in either horizontal or vertical directions.

DISPARITY CIRCUIT

The system produces retinal disparity by spatially shifting the form, with respect to the background, in one matrix. The random pulses that comprise the form are delayed independently in the red and green matrices but remain in correlation, i.e., both are delayed separately by equal amounts and then combined via a NAND gate. The correlation is necessary to keep the red and green matrices aligned.

A complete schematic of the disparity circuit is shown in Figure 5. The heart of the circuit is a set of six 8-bit parallel-out serial-shift registers. Three shift registers are used for delay of the stereoscopically presented form and three others are used for delay of the random pulses. The circuit generates eight delay steps of two dots each, i.e., two columns of dots for each delay step. To avoid monocular cues, the delayed matrix is carefully interlaced between the background dots by selecting only odd dot delays. The addressing system of these eight delay steps is comprised of two 8-line/1-line data selectors (74150). Provision for automatic delay selection is made by using a 555 timer (two 7473 circuits). The timer controls the shift rate.




Figure 5. Disparity circuit.

RANDOM DOT GENERATOR

The display can be presented in two modes, static or dynamic. In the static display, the same set of random dots is repeatedly displayed on every frame, and the resulting display is much like a photograph. In the dynamic display, a different set of random dots is presented on each frame, and the resulting display appears to have a sparkling surface due to the apparent motion of the dots. However, this continual motion is correlated between the red and green matrices, and there is absolutely no interference with the stereoscopic form itself, which remains as sharp and clear as the form presented in the static mode.

A functional schematic of the random-dot generator is shown in Figure 6. The circuit uses a pseudorandom sequence generated by a chain of shift registers. The length of the sequence for an n -stage register is $2^n - 1$. Three shift registers (74164) with an exclusive OR gate produce a sequence of length $2^{24} - 1 = 16,766,977$. In this application, using a 2-mHz clock, the length of the sequence necessary to fill the screen is 33,000. Therefore, the sequence of random dots will be repeated every 500 frames or 8,000 msec. Since the sequence changes every frame without repetition for 500 frames, the display appears to be truly random. Other dot sequences could be obtained by changing the feedback of the exclusive OR gate from pins 19 and 24 of the shift register to any of the following: Pins 18 and 23, 21 and 22, 19 and 21, or 17 and 20.

To produce a static display, in which the same random-dot pattern is presented at every frame, the shift registers are cleared after every vertical blanking. The first-stage output of the shift register is used to fill the small area left blank by the shift. This output, which differs from the 24th-stage output, fills in the gap without producing disparity.




Figure 6. Random dot generator.

COMMENTS ON THE ANAGLYPH METHOD

Although the anaglyph method for producing dichoptic stimulation for stereoscopic presentation is certainly not a new technique, some of its characteristics are still not common knowledge. For instance, it is occasionally suggested that the method requires color vision on the part of the observer. This is not correct. The chromatic filters produce image separation by physically blocking wavelength. When an anaglyphic display is viewed without chromatic filters, the spatial separation between portions of the display containing disparity can be seen. This gives rise to the concern that the separation may give clues about the nature of the stereoscopic form. Such clues, of course, are inevitable whenever the left and right views of any stereogram are optically superimposed. But they are not present when the views are segregated and seen by separate eyes.

With respect to view segregation, it is sometimes suggested that filter methods such as the anaglyphic and polarization schemes are less effective (i.e., permit more crosstalk) than optical methods such as provided by haploscopes. While, in principle, crosstalk can be present in almost any filter segregation system, it probably has no practical significance. For instance, in a truly dynamic random-element stereogram, the continual replacement of elements and the absence of binocularly visible contours suppress the presence of useful monocular cues, even when crosstalk is considerable.

In applications of the present stereogram generation system, it turns out that the perceptual consequences of crosstalk have proven to be negligible. Using Wratten filters for the eyes of the observers (29 "red", 58 "green"), a variety of television receivers has been used quite successfully. These include Hitachi, Zenith, and RCA

commercial solid-state 19-inch color television receivers and Advent 1000 and 750 projection-type video receivers. For these applications, the degree of crosstalk has been assessed not only by visual inspection but also by psychophysical experiments wherein a sophisticated observer wearing identical filters before both eyes is required to make a forced-choice judgment of the spatial position of a stereoscopic form in X-Y coordinates. Even with feedback after every trial and extended practice, the observers have not been able to make discriminations above the chance level. These kinds of tests are a more conservative and conclusive way of determining the effect of crosstalk than efforts to match phosphors and filters in terms of their nominal spectral characteristics.

CONSTRUCTION NOTES

Construction is straightforward, but, because of high chip density, certain precautions should be taken to minimize capacitance and inductance effects. Components should be placed to minimize connection length. A .01-microfarad bypass capacitor should be placed across each chip. Separate bus lines should be run for each row of chips. Construction should probably be undertaken only by someone with considerable experience in integrated circuit assembly. Wiring mistakes and chip failure are almost inevitable; hence, the use of wire-wrap methods is highly recommended.

The choice of a color television receiver does not seem to be critical from the electronic standpoint. Note that most receivers have the power line connected to the chassis. This raises the possibility of a severe shock hazard. It is essential that both devices remain isolated from an earth ground. Two-prong plugs and/or isolation transformers should be used when connecting to the power line. For a discussion of shock hazard and methods of construction, see Lancaster (1976).

The cost of parts for the stereogram generator should not exceed \$300. The single most expensive component is the 5-volt power supply (\$30). The integrated circuits, transistors, and capacitors are all commercial grade.

Reference Notes

1. Bouldin, D. W. Visually evoked cortical potentials elicited by dynamic random-dot stereograms. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Vanderbilt University, 1975.
2. Lehmkuhle, S. W., & Fox, R. Global stereopsis in the cat. Paper presented at the meeting of the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology, Sarasota, May 1977.
3. Miller, D. D. A device for the generation and display of dynamic random dot stereograms. Paper presented at the meeting of the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology, Sarasota, May 1974.

References

- Fox, R., Lehmkuhle, S. W., & Bush, R. C. Stereopsis in the falcon. Science, 1977, 197, 79-81.
- Fox, R., Lehmkuhle, S. W., & Leguire, L. E. Stereoscopic contours induce optokinetic nystagmus. Vision Research, 1978, 18, 1189-1192.
- Julesz, B. Foundations of Cyclopean Perception. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1971.
- Julesz, B., Breitmeyer, B., & Kropfl, W. Binocular-disparity-dependent upper-lower hemifield anisotropy and left-right hemifield isotropy as revealed by dynamic random-dot stereograms. Perception, 1976, 5, 129-141.
- Lancaster, D. TV Typewriter Cookbook. Indianapolis: Howard W. Sams, 1976.
- Ross, J., & Hogben, J. H. Short-term memory in stereopsis. Vision Research, 1974, 14, 1195-1201.
- Uttal, W. R., Fitzgerald, J., & Eskin, T. E. Parameters of tachistoscopic stereopsis. Vision Research, 1975, 15, 705-712.
- Woodworth, R. S. Experimental Psychology. New York: Holt, 1938.

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH, CODE 455
TECHNICAL REPORTS DISTRIBUTION LIST

CDR Paul R. Chatelier
Military Assistant for Training and
Personnel Technology
Office of the Deputy Under Secretary
of Defense
OUSDRE (E&LS)
Pentagon, Room 3D129
Washington, D.C. 20301

Director
Engineering Psychology Programs
Code 455
Office of Naval Research
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, Virginia 22217 (5 cys)

Director
Electromagnetics Technology Programs
Code 221
Office of Naval Research
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, Virginia 22217

Director
Physiology Program
Code 441
Office of Naval Research
800 North Quincy Street
Arlington, Virginia 22217

Commanding Officer
ONR Branch Office
ATTN: Dr. J. Lester
Building 114, Section D
666 Summer Street
Boston, MA 02210

Commanding Officer
ONR Branch Office
ATTN: Dr. C. Davis
536 South Clark Street
Chicago, IL 60605

Commanding Officer
ONR Branch Office
ATTN: Mr. R. Lawson
1030 East Green Street
Pasadena, CA 91106

Commanding Officer
ONR Branch Office
ATTN: Dr. E. Gloye
1030 East Green Street
Pasadena, CA 91106

Office of Naval Research
Scientific Liaison Group
American Embassy, Room A-407
APO San Francisco 96503

Director
Naval Research Laboratory
Technical Information Division
Code 2627
Washington, D.C. 20375 (6 cys)

Dr. Jerry C. Lamb
Display Branch
Code TD112
Naval Underwater Systems Center
New London, CT 06320

Naval Training Equipment Center
ATTN: Technical Library
Orlando, FL 32813

Human Factors Department
Code N215
Naval Training Equipment Center
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Alfred F. Smode
Training Analysis and Evaluation Group
Naval Training Equipment Center
Code N-00T
Orlando, FL 32813

Dr. Gary Poock
Operations Research Department
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940

Mr. H. Talkington
Ocean Engineering Department
Naval Ocean Systems Center
San Diego, CA 92152

Mr. Paul Heckman
Naval Ocean Systems Center
San Diego, CA 92152

Mr. Warren Lewis
Human Engineering Branch
Code 8231
Naval Ocean Systems Center
San Diego, CA 92152

Dr. Robert French
Naval Ocean Systems Center
San Diego, CA 92152

Dr. Ross L. Pepper
Naval Ocean Systems Center
Hawaii Laboratory
P.O. Box 997
Kailua, Hawaii 96734

Commander
Naval Electronics Systems Command
Human Factors Engineering Branch
Code 4701
Washington, D.C. 20360

Dr. George Moeller
Human Factors Engineering Branch
Submarine Medical Research Lab
Naval Submarine Base
Groton, CT 06340

Chief
Aerospace Psychology Division
Naval Aerospace Medical Institute
Pensacola, FL 32512

Dr. Lloyd Hitchcock
Human Factors Engineering Division
Naval Air Development Center
Warminster, PA 18974

Mr. Ronald A. Erickson
Human Factors Branch
Code 3175
Naval Weapons Center
China Lake, CA 93555

Human Factors Section
Systems Engineering Test
Directorate
U.S. Naval Air Test Center
Patuxent River, MD 20670

Human Factors Engineering Branch
Naval Ship Research and Development
Center, Annapolis Division
Annapolis, MD 21402

Director, Organizations and
Systems Research Laboratory
U.S. Army Research Institute
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria, VA 22333

Technical Director
U.S. Army Human Engineering Labs
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific
Research
Life Sciences Directorate, NL
Bolling Air Force Base
Washington, D.C. 20332

Dr. Donald A. Topmiller
Chief, Systems Engineering Branch
Human Engineering Division
USAF AMRL/HES
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

Dr. Gordon Eckstrand
AFHRL/ASM
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

Defense Documentation Center
Cameron Station, Bldg. 5
Alexandria, VA 22314 (12 cys)

Dr. Stephen J. Andriole
Director, Cybernetics Technology Office
Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
1400 Wilson Blvd.
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Stanley Deutsch
Office of Life Sciences
National Aeronautics and Space
Administration
600 Independence Avenue
Washington, D.C. 20546

Dr. Arthur B. Baggeroer
Department of Ocean and Electrical
Engineering
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dr. Robert G. Pachella
University of Michigan
Department of Psychology
Human Performance Center
330 Packard Road
Ann Arbor, MI 48104

Dr. Richard R. Rosinski
Department of Information Sciences
University of Pittsburgh
Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Dr. R. B. Sheridan
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dr. Harry Snyder
Department of Industrial Engineering
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and
State University
Blacksburg, VA 24061

Dr. William R. Uttal
Department of Psychology
Gartley Hall
University of Hawaii at Manoa
Honolulu, Hawaii 96822

Director, Human Factors Wing
Defense and Civil Institute of
Environmental Medicine
Post Office Box 2000
Downsville, Toronto, Ontario
CANADA

Dr. A. D. Baddeley
Director, Applied Psychology Unit
Medical Research Council
15 Chaucer Road
Cambridge, CB2 2EF
UNITED KINGDOM

