

AD-A076 908

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY CA

F/0 12/1

STATISTICAL METHODS OF PROBABLE USE FOR UNDERSTANDING REMOTE SE--ETC(U)

OCT 79 D P SAVER

UNCLASSIFIED

NPS55-79-020

NL

OF
AD
A076908




END

DATE

FILMED

12-79

DDC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD A 076908

② LEVEL II

14
NPS55-79-020

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California



DDC
RECEIVED
NOV 19 1979
B

9 Technical rept.

DDC FILE COPY

6

STATISTICAL METHODS OF PROBABLE USE
FOR UNDERSTANDING REMOTE SENSING DATA.

by

10 Donald P. | Gaver 12 4p

11 October 1979

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Prepared for:

Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, Ca. 93940


251 450
70 11 16 011
LB

Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California

Rear Admiral T. F. Dedman
Superintendent

Jack R. Borsting
Provost


This report was prepared by:




D. P. Gaver, Professor
Department of Operations Research

Reviewed by:

Released by:



Michael G. Sovereign, Chairman
Department of Operations Research



William Tolles
Dean of Research

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

DD FORM 1473
1 JAN 73

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

STATISTICAL METHODS OF PROBABLE USE
FOR UNDERSTANDING REMOTE SENSING DATA

Donald P. Gaver
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940

1. INTRODUCTION

Statistical methodology has long been a familiar tool for use in understanding our natural environment. Classical examples of applications of statistics are seen in weather forecasting, in evaluation of attempts at weather modification by cloud seeding, and in descriptions of the fluctuations in the sea surface. Now the accessibility of new and extensive data from a variety of remote sensing sources, such as earth orbiting and geostationary satellites, again calls for the development and application of appropriate statistical methodology. Classical methods of statistics and of probability modeling frequently must be adapted to the new needs. The process of adaptation will proceed most efficiently if statisticians work cooperatively with the scientists actually obtaining data and studying the associated natural phenomena. Conferences such as PRIMARS I are of great value in promoting the necessary interchange of information and the stimulus to approach novel and difficult problems in a realistic manner.

This paper describes new approaches to the analysis of data, in particular to quite "noisy" data of the sort that is likely to be encountered when observing the natural environment. The descriptions given will necessarily be brief, but an attempt will be made to show how the methods and viewpoints presented may be applied to problems arising in remote sensing.

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section ☒
DDC	Buff Section ☐
UNANNOUNCED	☐
JUSTIFICATION _____	
BY _____	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	AVAIL. and/or SPECIAL
A	

2. ROBUST METHODOLOGY: REQUIREMENTS AND POSSIBILITIES

Many scientists who have closely examined real data have encountered occasional, or even frequent, anomalous behavior. Apparent anomalies in data may be with respect to either

- (a) preconceptions as to "proper" data behavior, these perhaps being buttressed by (physical) theory, or
- (b) the nature of the general pattern of the data, especially those data points in the immediate neighborhood, e.g., in time or space.

2.1. Plots

In simple circumstances graphical plots will quickly reveal those points that are blatant anomalies. For instance suppose that one wishes to investigate data concerning the relationship between wind velocity and whitecap cover in the ocean. Theory may suggest a specific relationship, e.g. that white-cap cover, C , be nearly a cubic function of wind velocity v , so that it will be tempting to plot C vs v and note an appearance as shown on Fig. 1; there solid black dots represent (simulated) raw data. Since the eye finds it difficult to distinguish curves of the form $C = \alpha v^2$, $C = \alpha v^3$, $C = \alpha v^{7/2}$, etc., from one another, and yet is sensitive to departures from linearity, a graph of C vs v^3 suggests itself, but is not included here. A plot on log-log paper may be still better.

As presented, the data conforms in general to the theorized relationship or scaling, with the obvious exception of the circled point to the right. Such an anomalous point, or points, represents a challenge both to statistical technology and to the ultimate user of the data. Statistical technology assumes the responsibility for revealing the presence of such points, and, if possible, for providing a meaningful and useful summary of the remaining points. It falls to the consumer or ultimate user of the data, preferably with the help of a subject-matter specialist (physicist or oceanographer) to interpret the apparently anomalous maverick--or exotic, or outlying--data point: is it

- (i) an evidence of the failure of the relation $C = \alpha v^3$, say for large velocities,

or is it

- (ii) an outright error in data recording, and to be disregarded?
- being just two possible options.

Note that simple graphs are invaluable for pointing out extreme outliers in simple, one explanatory variable, situations. If more variables are required, informative plots are more difficult without the use of more statistical technology. We next show that classical, least-squares, technology may be quite misleading, but that replacements are available. See Mosteller and Tukey (1977), abbreviated MT hereafter.

2.2. Fits and Residual Plots

Suppose that one wishes to summarize data such as that in Fig. 1 by fitting the relationship $C = \alpha v^3$, i.e., determining the parameter α from the data. The classical and automatic way of doing so is to apply least squares; computer programs are universally available, even for handheld calculators (the TI 59, or HP 67). What are we likely to find? A least-squares line (treating $w = v^3$ as the independent variable presents $C = \alpha w$; one can also plot and fit $C^{1/3} = (\alpha)^{1/3} v$, and there may be reasons for this choice) is quite apt to fatally misrepresent the situation, responding much too sensitively to the single (here encircled) outlying value, and straying systematically away from the main body of the data; see the points represented by $\circ$ in Fig. 1.

An alternative method for fitting, described in MT, is less susceptible to outlier influence--is far more robust to departures from basic assumptions--than is the ordinary least squares (OLS) method. This new method; termed biweight fitting, is carried out by a procedure that uses the OLS computation iteratively. In the course of the computations weights are automatically developed that reduce the influence of the encircled value of Fig. 1, permitting the fit to more closely approximate the main body of the data. We now describe and illustrate the biweight fitting procedure as it is adapted to the problem of determining the parameter α in the relation y_i vs αx_i .

Biweight Fitting Calculation

- (1) Compute the k th ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) iterative estimate of α , denoted by $\alpha^{(k)}$ by solving

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \alpha^{(k)} x_i) x_i w_i^{(k-1)} = 0 ,$$

to obtain

$$\alpha^{(k)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i x_i w_i^{(k-1)}}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 w_i^{(k-1)}} ,$$

- (2) the weights, $w_i^{(k-1)}$, are of this form:

$$w_i^{(k-1)} = \begin{cases} \left[1 - \left(\frac{y_i - \alpha^{(k-1)} x_i}{c s^{(k-1)}} \right)^2 \right] & \text{if } (\cdot) \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } (\cdot) > 1 \end{cases}$$

where $(\cdot)$ refers to the term $[(y_i - \alpha^{(k-1)} x_i) / s^{(k-1)}]$; $s^{(k-1)}$ is a scale factor (robust replacement for the standard deviation) that may be computed in the following manner.

- (3) The $k-1^{\text{st}}$ iterated value of the scale factor is

$$s^{(k-1)} = \text{median}\{|y_i - \alpha^{(k-1)} x_i|\},$$

c being a constant of value 6, or 9;

- (4) the first value, $\alpha^{(1)}$, of the iterative sequence can be obtained by equalizing all weights ($w_i^{(0)} = 1$), which is equivalent to OLS; alternatively, one can utilize a "robust start," suggestions for which can be found in MT.

The iteration is carried on until the difference between successive values is small; usually 4 to 8 iterations is sufficient. The resulting α -estimate can be denoted by $\hat{\alpha}$.

Following the fitting it is informative to plot the residual values:

$$r_i = y_i - \hat{\alpha}x_i \equiv y_i - \hat{y}_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$\hat{y}_i$ being shorthand for the predicted y value. In case there is a single outlier, as in Fig. 1, the fitted line will tend to hug the major point cloud, and a histogram of the residuals will dramatically reveal the presence of the outlier, suggesting further investigation. A plot of r_i vs y_i is also useful. See MT for further suggestions.

2.3. Numerical Illustration

The following are a set of (simulated) whitecap percentages and corresponding wind velocities. Alongside are values for white cap coverage estimated by OLS and by the biweight procedure.

Velocity	Cover ("Actual")	Cover (OLS Estimate)	Cover (Robust Estimate)
2	0.011	0.011	0.0067
7	0.63	0.49	0.29
10	1.30	1.43	0.84
15	3.89	4.82	2.83
18	2.89	8.33	4.88
21	8.16	13.2	7.76
24	<u>25.7</u>	<u>19.7</u>	<u>11.6</u>

It is clear from the above table, and perhaps clearer from Fig. 1, that the OLS solution, in its attempt to fit the point $C(24) = 25$, systematically and considerably over-estimates the points at $v = 15$ and above. The biweight estimator performs much better, allowing a closer fit to all data other than $C(24)$. A residual plot brings attention to bear on that point.

Since the values of "Actual Cover" were actually constructed by forming $0.008v^3$ and adding Gaussian random noise with value proportional to $C(v)$, and since the sequence of values of $0.008v^3$ were 0.0064, 0.27, 0.80, 2.7, 4.67, 7.41, 11.06, we cannot fault the manner in which the biweight procedure functioned in this example and are encouraged to use it more widely.

2.4. Possible Application to Remote Sensing Data

In a paper in this conference proceedings by Depriest (1979), and in Fleming (1979), a problem arising from partial cloud cover contamination of remote sensing data is described and addressed. This problem has the following origin. A series of measurements are made on a physical quantity (sea surface temperatures) but are contaminated. That is, in the case of sea surface temperatures, if no clouds are present the measurements are approximately normally distributed around μ (the true temperature). However, if clouds are present a fraction of the measurements are made artificially smaller, cloud temperatures being lower than those at earth surface. The problem is to estimate μ . Techniques for doing so are described by Depriest (1979) and by Fleming (1979). We describe a possible alternative approach that uses robust regression. Operational characteristics of the two procedures have not yet been compared.

- (1) Arrange the measurements in order: $y_1 < y_2 < y_3 < \dots < y_{n-1} < y_n$. The largest observations may well appear similar to the largest order statistics of a normal distribution with (unknown) mean μ and standard deviation σ (sometimes assumed known, although caution is in order), while the smaller ones are likely to depart systematically.
- (2) Carry out a preliminary plot of

$$y_k \text{ vs } \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{n+1}\right), \quad k = n, n-1, n-2, \dots$$

where $\phi^{-1}(p)$ is the inverse function of the unit normal:
recall that if

$$\Phi(y) = \int_{-\infty}^y \exp(-\frac{1}{2} z^2) \frac{dz}{\sqrt{2\pi}},$$

is the unit normal distribution, then the solution of the
equation $\Phi(y) = p$ gives

$$y(p) = \phi^{-1}(p);$$

Φ , and hence ϕ^{-1} , are widely tabulated. Alternatively,
use Arithmetic Probability Paper. If y_k is an ordered
observation from a normal population, then the plot should
appear straight, while a systematic departure from linearity
indicates a departure from normality. Suppose departures
begin to occur at $k = D$; sometimes D may be greater than
 $n/2$. One may first eye-fit a straight line to the points
 $k = n, n-1, \dots, D$. Then $\hat{y}_{n/2} = \hat{\mu}$ (estimated temperature).
i.e. the value of the fitted line at $n/2$ should give a
reasonable value for μ .

- (3) Going further in a formal direction, one may wish to fit a
line to the data points. Here a biweight fit should behave
well, tending to be oblivious to spurious (cloud contamina-
tion) points. One can proceed to fit the relation

$$y_k \text{ vs } \mu + \sigma x_k$$

with

$$x_k = \phi^{-1}\left(\frac{k}{n+1}\right), \quad k = n, n-1, n-2, \dots;$$

a start using the eye-fit to points $k = n, n-1, \dots, D$ may be worthwhile. Finally, quote the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mu} = \text{med } \hat{y}_k &= \frac{1}{2} (\hat{y}_{n/2} + \hat{y}_{(n/2)+1}), & n \text{ even;} \\ &= \hat{y}_{(n+1)/2}, & n \text{ odd.}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{y}_k = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\sigma}x_k.$$

The above procedure seems worth further investigation and refinement. One important step may be to adjust for the effect of correlation between order statistics when carrying out the regression.

3. SMOOTHING DATA

If one plots certain environmental data, e.g. monthly total rainfall, or perhaps daily maximum temperature, at a particular location, systematic regularities seem to appear, but may be masked by noise. Often there is a seasonal pattern, i.e. one that is roughly cyclic in nature. Attempts to fit such a pattern with polynomials is doomed to failure, and selection of a set of sines and cosines that does well (Fourier series) may lead to many terms. Some method of smoothing the original series that lays bare the regularities is to be desired. After such is made available, one can study the residuals around it. Spectral analysis or some such formal procedure may then be of use.

Classical smoothing procedures involve some form of moving average, and are susceptible to the python-swallowing-the pig difficulty: imagine using the linear smoothing operation

$$sy_t = \frac{y_{t-1} + y_t + y_{t+1}}{3}$$

on the y_t series

t	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
y_t	11	9	7	8	8	<u>29</u>	10	8	6	9
sy_t	(11)	9	8	7.7	<u>15</u>	<u>15.7</u>	<u>15.7</u>	8	7.7	(10)
Ry_t	(11)	9	8	8	8	10	10	8	8	(10)

clearly the entry of 29 at $t = 5$ into the smoothed value series Sy_t gives a serious distortion, travelling as it does in partially digested form through the next two terms of the smoothed series. If further smoothing is attempted the bulge is reduced slightly, but spreads out in time.

On the other hand, the non-linear operation of taking running medians, as suggested by Tukey (1977), performs effectively (in both cases circled and values are copied from the original series; more sophisticated procedures can be invented as well). The last row in the table, labelled Ry_t , gives the result of this robust smoothing; note that it behaves in an intuitively appealing manner, essentially ignoring the outlying value 29. Further steps can be taken to improve the "smooths," but we refer to Tukey (1977) Chapters 7 and 16 for details.

Two further points may be made. The first is that the analysis of a sequence of data points, and their projection or forecasting in space or time, should not end with providing a smoothed or averaged version. Examination of the remaining variation, e.g. the sequence $y_t - Ry_t$, called the "rough" by Tukey may well be rewarding; presence and suggestiveness of various outliers is much more evident in the rough (residuals) sequence than in the original sequence of data points. Secondly, the procedure described for smoothing simple sequences of data points must be adapted to planar (two-dimensional) data; some work has been done, but much remains.

4. STOCHASTIC MODELING OF ICE PRESSURE RIDGES

The dynamics of ice formation in the Earth's cold regions results in the development of irregular ice pile-ups, or pressure ridges. These ridges occur in an apparently random fashion in space; in fact the following regularities are observed by remote sensing methods (courtesy of Dr. W. Weeks, in a seminar at the Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, Winter, 1979; see also Weeks, et al. (1979)):

- 1) Along a sampling line (e.g. airplane flight path, or straight submarine track) ice ridges seem to appear in accordance with a stationary Poisson process, so if $R(x)$ is the number of such ridges encountered over a distance x , then approximately

$$P\{R(x) = n\} = e^{-\lambda x} \frac{(\lambda x)^n}{n!}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is the density of ice ridges.

- 2) The probability distribution of ridge "sail heights" (or "keel depths") may be approximated by the forms $F(y) = 1 - e^{-\mu y}$, or $1 - e^{-\nu y^2}$; the best-fitting distribution may well depend upon the method of observation (averaging properties).

For further details see work referenced in Weeks et al. (1979).

Now it may be of interest to compute the distribution of the maximum sail height, or keel depth, that one is to encounter over a course of length x . This is very simple, given the particular distributions of sail number and size and furthermore

assuming independent between ridge heights. Let $\bar{H}(x)$ be the maximum sail height; then

$$P\{\bar{H}(x) \leq y\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda x} \frac{(\lambda x)^n}{n!} [F(y)]^n$$

since all of the Poisson-distributed heights must be less than y in order for the maximum to be below y .

Sum out to obtain

$$P\{\bar{H}(x) \leq y\} = \exp\{-\lambda x[1-F(y)]\}$$

Depending upon which distribution is picked for ridge heights, we get

$$a) \quad P\{\bar{H}(x) \leq y\} = \exp(-\lambda x e^{-\mu y})$$

$$b) \quad P\{\bar{H}(x) \leq y\} = \exp(-\lambda x e^{-\nu y^2})$$

These closely resemble classical extreme value distributions. Note that if logs are taken simplicity occurs:

$$a') \quad \ln P\{\bar{H}(x) \leq y\} = -\lambda x e^{-\mu y};$$

$$\ln(-\ln P\{\bar{H}(x) \leq y\}) = \ln(\lambda x) - \mu y$$

$$b') \quad \ln P\{\bar{H}(x) \leq y\} = -\lambda x e^{-\nu y^2};$$

$$\ln(-\ln P\{\bar{H}(x) \leq y\}) = \ln(\lambda x) - \nu y^2.$$

If either of these formulas are to be used for practical purposes, values of the parameters must be obtained. In order to estimate parameters λ , μ , ν in the above models from data one naturally thinks of the method of maximum likelihood. Suppose that we have observed $R(x) = n$ ridges of heights $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$. Then the maximum likelihood estimates are

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{x}{n}, \quad \hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{\bar{y}}, \quad \hat{\nu} = \frac{1}{\bar{y}^2}$$

where as usual we have put

$$\bar{y}^k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^k$$

Hence our estimates are of the form

$$a") \quad \text{est } \ln(-\ln P\{\bar{H}(x) \leq y\}) = \ln \hat{\lambda} + \ln x - \hat{\mu} y$$

$$b") \quad \text{est } \ln(-\ln P\{\bar{H}(x) \leq y\}) = \ln \hat{\lambda} + \ln x - \hat{\nu} y^2$$

If rather large samples are available and if distributional assumptions are well satisfied one may feel comfortable with conventional standard errors based on Fisher information and normality; see Cramér (1946). On the other hand, it is of interest to apply the jackknife technique (see R. G. Miller (1974) for a review) to obtain estimates of the variance of estimate due particularly to the ridge heights. To carry out the calculation, (i) compute $\hat{\nu}_{\text{all}} = n/\bar{y}^2$; then (ii) compute

$$\hat{\nu}_{(-j)} = \frac{n-1}{y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \dots + y_{j-1}^2 + 0 + y_{j+1}^2 + \dots + y_n^2}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$; then (iii) compute the pseudovalues $\hat{v}_j = n\hat{v}_{\text{all}} - (n-1)\hat{v}_{(-j)}$, and (iv) average to obtain a jackknifed point estimate $\hat{v}_{JK} = (1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{v}_j$, and its variance

$$\frac{1}{r} \left[\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (\hat{v}_j - \hat{v}_{JK})^2 \right] = s_{\hat{v}_{JK}}^2.$$

Then we can estimate the standard error of the probability prediction, e.g. b") by computing

$$\begin{aligned} \text{S.E.} &= (\text{Var}[\text{est } \ln(-\ln P\{\bar{H}(x) \leq y\})])^{1/2} \\ &\simeq \left(\frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}_{JK}} s_{\hat{v}_{JK}}^2 + y^2 s_{\hat{v}_{JK}}^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation is easily performed for model a); details are omitted. From the above results, approximate confidence intervals may be constructed for the probability of encountering a (maximum) ridge sail height less than y in magnitude.

Fairly recent theoretical results of Efron and Hinkley (1978) suggest that if a traditional maximum likelihood approach is taken, one is better off using observed Fisher information rather than expected Fisher information in order to establish an approximate standard error in either case a) or b). However, work of Reeds (1978) suggests that use of the jackknife in conjunction with maximum likelihood yields results that tend

to be rather independent of the basic model chosen. Both of these suggestions must be validated by further work, a good deal of which will necessarily involve Monte Carlo simulation. Such work should be of great importance and interest to those who must assess the probabilities of extreme, rare, events, and who furthermore wish to provide some reasonably valid estimates of the error of their estimates.

Acknowledgment. The writer is much indebted to LCDR C. F. Taylor, Jr., for his assistance in example robust regression computations. He is also indebted to the Office of Naval Research for support of this research.

REFERENCES

- Cramér, H. (1946), Mathematical Methods of Statistics, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J.
- Depriest, D. (1979), "Consideration using a truncated normal distribution for remote sensing data." Paper presented at PRIMARS-1 Conference.
- Efron, B., and Hinkley, D. (1978). "Assessing the accuracy of the maximum likelihood estimator: observed versus expected Fisher information," Biometrika 65, No. 3, pp. 457-488.
- Fleming, H. E. (1979). Application of the truncated normal distribution technique to the derivation of sea surface temperatures," to appear in Remote Sensing of Atmospheres and Oceans (1980), ed. by A. Deepak; Academic Press.
- Miller, R. G. (1974). "The Jackknife--a review." Biometrika 61, No. 1, pp 1-16.
- Mosteller, F., and Tukey, J. W. (1977), Data Analysis and Regression. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.
- Reeds, J. A. (1978). "Jackknifing maximum likelihood estimates." Annals of Statistics 6, No. 4, pp. 727-739.
- Tukey, J. W. (1977). Exploratory Data Analysis, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.
- Weeks, N. F., Tucker, W. B., Frank, M., and Fungcharoen, S. (1979). "Characterization of the surface roughness and floe geometry of the sea ice over the continental shelves of the Beaufort and Chukchi seas." In "Sea Ice Processes and Models, Proc. AIDJEX/ICSI Sympos." (R.S. Pritchard, ed.), University of Washington Press (in press).

WHITECAP COVERAGE vs. WIND SPEED (SIMULATED DATA)




Fig. 1

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	No. o Copies
Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314	2
Library Code Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940	2
Library Code 55 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940	1
Dean of Research Code 012A Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940	1
Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940	
Attn: A. Andrus, Code 55	1
D. Barr, Code 55	1
D.P. Gaver, Code 55	25
P.A. Jacobs, Code 55	1
P.A.W. Lewis, Code 55	1
P. Milch, Code 55	1
R. Richards, Code 55	1
M. G. Sovereign, Code 55	1
R. J. Stampfel, Code 55	1
R. R. Read, Code 55	1
Mr. Peter Badgley ONR Headquarters, Code 102B 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217	1
Dr. James S. Bailey, Director Geography Programs, Department of the Navy ONR Arlington, VA 22217	1

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

STATISTICS AND PROBABILITY PROGRAM
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
CODE 436
ARLINGTON
VA

1

22217

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
NEW YORK AREA OFFICE
715 BROADWAY - 5TH FLOOR
ATTN: CR. ROGER GRAFTON
NEW YORK, NY

1

10003

DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH BRANCH OFF
536 SOUTH CLARK STREET
ATTN: DEPUTY AND CHIEF SCIENTIST
CHICAGO, IL

1

60605

LIBRARY
NAVAL OCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER
SAN DIEGO
CA

1

92152

NAVY LIBRARY
NATIONAL SPACE TECHNOLOGY LAB
ATTN: NAVY LIBRARIAN
BAY ST. LOUIS
MS

1

39522

NAVAL ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS COMMAND
NAVELEX 320
NATIONAL CENTER NO. 1
ARLINGTON
VA

1

20360

DIRECTOR NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
ATTN: LIBRARY (ONRL)
CODE 2026
WASHINGTON, D.C.

1

20375

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
WASHINGTON, D. C.

1

20375

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY REPRODUCTION
FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDC

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
SAN FRANCISCO AREA OFFICE
760 MARKET STREET
SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA 94102

1

TECHNICAL LIBRARY
NAVAL ORDANCE STATION
INDIAN HEAD MARYLAND 20640

1

NAVAL SHIP ENGINEERING CENTER
PHILADELPHIA
DIVISION TECHNICAL LIBRARY
PHILADELPHIA PENNSYLVANIA 19112

1

BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
TECHNICAL LIBRARY
WASHINGTON D. C. 20370

1

PROF. M. AEDEL-FAHEED
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLOTTE
NC

1

28223

PROF. T. W. ANDERSON
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA 94305

1

PROF. F. J. ANSCOMBE
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
YALE UNIVERSITY
NEW HAVEN
CONNECTICUT 06520

1

PROF. L. A. ARCIA
INSTITUTE OF INDUSTRIAL
ADMINISTRATION
UNION COLLEGE
SCHENECTADY,
NEW YORK 12308

1

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE
FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDC

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

PROF. C. R. BAKER
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHAPEL HILL,
NORTH CAROLINA
27514

1

PROF. R. E. DECHOFER
DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH
CORNELL UNIVERSITY
ITHACA
NEW YORK 14850

1

PROF. N. J. BERSHAD
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
IRVINE,
CALIFORNIA
92664

1

P. J. BICKEL
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

1

94720

PROF. F. W. BLOCK
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH
PITTSBURGH
PA

1

15260

PROF. JOSEPH BLUM
DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS
AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
THE AMERICAN UNIVERSITY
WASHINGTON
DC

1

20016

PROF. R. A. BRADLEY
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306

1

PROF. R. E. BARLOW
OPERATIONS RESEARCH CENTER
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKLEY
CALIFORNIA 94720

1

MR. C. A. BENNETT
NAVAL COASTAL SYSTEMS LABORATORY
CODE P761
PANAMA CITY,
FLORIDA
32401

1

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICAL
FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDC

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

PROF. L. N. BHAT
COMPUTER SCIENCE / OPERATIONS
RESEARCH CENTER
SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY
DALLAS
TEXAS 75275

1 -

PROF. W. R. ELISCHKE
DEPT. OF QUANTITATIVE
BUSINESS ANALYSIS
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
90007

1

DR. DERRILL J. BERDELON
NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER
COCE 21
NEWPORT
RI

1

02840

J. E. BOYER JR
DEPT. OF STATISTICS
SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY
DALLAS
TX

1

75275

DR. J. CHANDRA
U. S. ARMY RESEARCH
P. O. BOX 12211
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK,
NORTH CAROLINA
27706

1

PROF. F. CHERNOFF
DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS
MASS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
CAMBRIDGE,
MASSACHUSETTS 02139

1

PROF. C. GERMAN
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
AND ENGINEERING MECHANICS
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY
NEW YORK
NEW YORK

1

10027

PROF. R. L. DISNEY
VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE
AND STATE UNIVERSITY
DEPT. OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING
AND OPERATIONS RESEARCH
BLACKSBURG, VA

1

24061

DISTRIBUTION LIST

MR. GENE F. GLEISSNER
APPLIED MATHEMATICS LABORATORY
CAROL TAYLOR NAVAL SHIP RESEARCH
AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER
BETHESDA
MD

20084

1

PROF. S. S. GUPTA
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
PURDUE UNIVERSITY
LAFAYETTE
INDIANA 47907

1

PROF. C. L. HANSEN
DEPT OF MATH. SCIENCES
STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK,
BINGHAMTON
BINGHAMTON
NY

13901

1

PROF. M. J. FINCH
DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS
VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE
AND STATE UNIVERSITY
BLACKSBURG
VIRGINIA 24061

1

Dr. A. Deepak
Institute of Optics and Remote Sensing
Hampshire, VA

1

Dr. D. Depriest,
ONR, Code 102B
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217

1

Mr. F. Diemer
ONR, Code 102B
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217

1

Professor P. B. Fellgett
Dept. of Engineering and Cybernetics
University of Reading
3 Earley Gate
Whiteknights, Reading RG6 2AL
ENGLAND

1

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE
FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDC

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

PROF. W. M. FIRSCH
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
NEW YORK UNIVERSITY
NEW YORK
NEW YORK 10453

1

PROF. D. L. IGLEHART
DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD,
CALIFORNIA
94350

1

PROF. J. B. KACANE
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
CARNEGIE-MELLON
PITTSBURGH,
PENNSYLVANIA
15213

1

DR. RICHARD LAU
DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH BRANCH OFF
1030 EAST GREEN STREET
PASADENA
CA

1

91101

DR. A. R. LAUFER
DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH BRANCH OFF
1030 EAST GREEN STREET
PASADENA
CA

1

91101

PROF. M. LEADBETTER
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHAPEL HILL
NORTH CAROLINA 27514

1

DR. J. S. LEE
J. S. LEE ASSOCIATES, INC.
2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY
SUITE 802
ARLINGTON
VA

1

22202

PROF. L. E. LEE
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE
AND STATE UNIVERSITY
BLACKSBURG
VA

1

24061

PROF. R. S. LEVENWORTH
DEPT. OF INDUSTRIAL AND SYSTEMS
ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA
GAINESVILLE,
FLORIDA 32611

1

DISTRIBUTION LIST

	No. of copies
FRCE G. LIEPERMAN STANFORD INIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH STANFORD CALIFORNIA 94305	1
DR. JAMES R. MAAR NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY FORT MEADE, MARYLAND 20755	1
FPCF. R. W. MACSEN DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI COLUMBIA MO 65201	1
DR. N. R. MANN SCIENCE CENTER ROCKWELL INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION P.O. BOX 1085 TUCUSAND CAKS, CALIFORNIA 9136C	1
DR. W. H. MARLOW PROGRAM IN LOGISTICS THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY 707 22ND STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20037	1
PROF. E. MASRY DEPT. APPLIED PHYSICS AND INFORMATION SERVICE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LA JOLLA CALIFORNIA 92093	1
DR. BRUCE J. MCCONALD SCIENTIFIC DIRECTOR SCIENTIFIC LIAISON GROUP OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH AMERICAN EMBASSY - TOKYO AFC SAN FRANCISCO 96503	1

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE
FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDO

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

DR. JANET M. MYHRE
THE INSTITUTE OF DECISION SCIENCE
FOR BUSINESS AND PUBLIC POLICY
CLAREMONT MEN'S COLLEGE
CLAREMONT
CA

1

51711

MR. F. NISSELSCH
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
ROOM 2025
FEDERAL BUILDING 3
WASHINGTON,
D. C. 2023

1

MISS B. S. CRLEANS
NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND
(SEA OFF)
RM 1050
ARLINGTON VIRGINIA 20360

1

FRCF. D. E OWEN
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY
DALLAS
TEXAS
75222

1

PROF. E. PARZEN
STATISTICAL SCIENCE DIVISION
STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK
AT BUFFALO
AMHERST
NEW YORK

1

14226

DR. A. PETRASOVITS
RCCM 207B, FCCD AND CRLG BLDG.
TUNNEY'S PASTURE
OTTAWA, ONTARIO K1A-CL2,
CANADA

1

FRCF. S. L. PHOENIX
SIELEY SCHOOL OF MECHANICAL AND
AEROSPACE ENGINEERING
CORNELL UNIVERSITY
ITHACA
NY

1

14850

DR. A. L. POWELL
DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH BRANCH OFF
495 SUMMER STREET
BOSTON
MA

1

02210

MR. F. R. FRICFI
CODE 224 OPERATIONS TEST AND ONRS
EVALUATION FORCE (OPTVFOR)
NORFOLK,
VIRGINIA
20360

1

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

PROF. M. L. PURI
DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS
P.O. BOX F
INDIANA UNIVERSITY FOUNDATION
BLOOMINGTON
IN 47401

1

PROF. H. ROBBINS
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY
NEW YORK,
NEW YORK 10027

1

PROF. M. ROSENBLATT
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO
LA JOLLA
CALIFORNIA 92093

1

PROF. S. M. ROSS
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY
CA 94720

1

PROF. I. RUBIN
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND APPLIED
SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA 90024

1

PROF. I. R. SAVAGE
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
YALE UNIVERSITY
NEW HAVEN,
CONNECTICUT
06520

1

PROF. L. L. SCHARF JR
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING
COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY
FT. COLLINS,
COLORADO
80521

1

PROF. R. SERFLING
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY
TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 32306

1

PROF. W. R. SCHLICK
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY
DALLAS,
TEXAS
75222

1

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

PROF. C. C. SIEGMUND
DEPT. OF STATISTICS
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD
CA

1

54305

PROF. M. L. SEGOMAN
DEPT. OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING
POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE OF NEW YORK
BROOKLYN,
NEW YORK
11201

1

DR. A. L. SLAFKOSKY
SCIENTIFIC ADVISOR
COMMANDANT OF THE MARINE CORPS
WASHINGTON,
D. C.
20380

1

DR. C. E. SMITH
DESMATICS INC.
P.O. BOX 618
STATE COLLEGE
PENNSYLVANIA
16801

1

PROF. W. L. SMITH
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHAPEL HILL
NORTH CAROLINA 27514

1

Dr. H. J. Solomon
ONR
223/231 Old Marylebone Rd
London NW1 5TH, ENGLAND

1

MR. GLENN F. STAPLY
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY
FORT MEADE
MARYLAND 20755

1

Mr. J. Gallagher
Naval Underwater Systems Center
New London, CT

1

Dr. E. C. Monahan
Dept. of Oceanography
University College
Galway, Ireland

1

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

DR. R. M. STARK
STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCI.
UNIV. OF DELAWARE
NEWARK
DELAWARE

1

19711

PROF. RICHARD VANSLYKE
RES. ANALYSIS CCRP.
BEECHWOOD
OLD TAPPEN ROAD
GLEN COVE, NEW YORK

1

11542

PROF. JOHN W. TUKEY
FINE HALL
PRINCETON UNIV.
PRINCETON
NEW JERSEY

1

08540

DR. THOMAS C. VARLEY
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
CODE 434
ARLINGTON
VA

1

22217

PROF. G. WATSON
FINE HALL
PRINCETON UNIV.
PRINCETON
NEW JERSEY

1

08540

MR. DAVID A. SWICK
ADVANCED PROJECTS GROUP
CODE 8103
NAVAL RESEARCH LAB.
WASHINGTON
DC

1

20375

MR. WENDELL G. SYKES
ARTHUR C. LITTLE, INC.
ACORN PARK
CAMBRIDGE
MA

1

02140

PROF. J. R. THOMPSON
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE
RICE UNIVERSITY
HOUSTON,
TEXAS
77001

1

PROF. W. A. THOMPSON
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI
COLUMBIA,
MISSOURI
65201

1

THIS PAGE IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE
FROM COPY FURNISHED TO DDO

DISTRIBUTION LIST

	No. of Copies
PROF. F. A. TYLLMAN DEPT. OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY MANHATTAN KS	1
66506	
PROF. J. W. TUKEY DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS PRINCETON UNIVERSITY PRINCETON, N. J. 08540	1
PROF. A. F. VEINOTT DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH STANFORD UNIVERSITY STANFORD CALIFORNIA 94305	1
DANIEL H. WAGNER STATION SQUARE ONE FAIRBURY, PENNSYLVANIA 19301	1
PROF. GRACE WAHBA DEPT. OF STATISTICS UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN MADISON WI	1
53706	
PROF. K. T. WALLENUS DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CLEMSON UNIVERSITY CLEMSON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29631	1
PROF. G. S. WATSON DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS PRINCETON, N. J. 08540	1
PROF. BERNARD WIDRICH STANFORD ELECTRONICS LAB STANFORD UNIVERSITY STANFORD CA	1
94305	

DISTRIBUTION LIST

FRFC. G. E. WHITEHOUSE
DEPT. OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING
LEHIGH UNIVERSITY
BETHLEHEM
PA

No. of Copies

1

18015

PROF. S. ZACKS
DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
CASE WESTERN RESERVE UNIVERSITY
CLEVELAND.,
OHIO
44106

1

PROF. M. ZIA-HASSAN
DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL AND
SYSTEMS ENGINEERING
ILLINOIS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
CHICAGO
IL

1

60616

HEAD, MATH. SCI SECTION
NAT. SCIENCE FOUNDATION
1800 G STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C.

1

20550

Dr. L. Rouse
Coastal Studies Institute
Louisiana State University
Baton Rouge, LA

1

Dr. H. Sittrop
Physics Lab., TNO
P.O. Box 96964
2509 JG, The Hague
The Netherlands

DR. R. ELASHOFF
BIOMATHEMATICS
UNIV. OF CALIF.
LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA

1

90024

PROF. GEORGE S. FISHMAN
UNIV. OF NORTH CAROLINA
CUR. IN OR AND SYS. ANALYSIS
PHILLIPS ANNEX
CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA

1

20742

DR. R. GNANACESIKAN
BELL TELEPHONE LAB
MOLDEL, N. J.

1

07733

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

DR. A. J. COLEMAN
CHIEF, CR
DIV. 2C5.C2, ADMIN. A428
U.S. DEPT. OF COMMERCE
WASHINGTON, D.C.

1

20234

DR. H. FIGGINS
53 BONN 1, POSTFACH 589
MASSESTRASSE 2

1

WEST GERMANY

DR. P. T. HOLMES
DEPT. OF MATH.
CLEMSON UNIV.
CLEMSON
SOUTH CAROLINA

1

29631

DR. J. A. HOCKI
BELL TELEPHONE LABS
HOLMDEL
NEW JERSEY

1

07733

DR. ROBERT HOCKE
MATH. DEPT.
WEST INGHUSE RES. LABS
CHURCHILL BCFC
PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA

1

15235

DR. D. L. IGLEHART
DEPT. OF C.R.
STANFORD UNIV.
STANFORD
CALIFORNIA

1

94305

Dr. D. Trizna, Mail Code 5323
Naval Research Lab
Washington, D.C. 20375

1

Dr. E. J. Wegman,
ONR, Cdoe 436
Arlington, VA 22217

1

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

DR. H. KOBAYASHI
IBM
AIRPORT HEIGHTS
NEW YORK

1

10598

DR. JOHN LEBOCZKY
STATISTICS DEPARTMENT
CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIVERSITY
PITTSBURGH
PENNSYLVANIA

1

15213

DR. A. LEMOINE
1020 GUINCA ST.
FALO ALTO,
CALIFORNIA

1

94301

DR. J. MACQUEEN
UNIV. OF CALIF.
LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA

1

90024

FRFC. K. T. MARSHALL
DEPT. OF DEF.
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
MONTEREY
CALIFORNIA

1

93940

DR. M. MAZUMCAR
MATH. DEPT.
ESTINGHOUSE RES. LABS
CHURCHILL BCRF
PITTSBURGH
PENNSYLVANIA

1

15235

DR. LEON F. MCGINNIS
SCHOOL OF IND. AND SYS. ENG.
GEORGIA INST. OF TECH.
ATLANTA
GEORGIA

1

30332

Dr. K. A. Ulbricht,
DFVLT
Inst. for Comm. Tech.
German Aerospace Res. Estab.
8931 Webling,
Oberhaffenhoven, West Germany

1

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

DR. D. R. MCNEIL
DEPT. OF STATISTICS
PRINCETON UNIV.
PRINCETON
NEW JERSEY

1

08540

DR. F. MOSTELLER
STAT. DEPT.
HARVARD UNIV.
CAMBRIDGE
MASSACHUSETTS

1

02139

DR. M. REISER
IBM
THOMAS J. WATSON RES. CTR.
YORKTOWN HEIGHTS
NEW YORK

1

10598

DR. J. RICHMAN
DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS
ROCKEFELLER UNIV.
NEW YORK
NEW YORK

1

10021

DR. LINUS SCHRAGE
UNIV. OF CHICAGO
GRAD. SCHOOL OF BUS.
5836 GREENWOOD AVE.
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

1

60637

DR. PAUL SCHWEITZER
THOMAS J. WATSON RESEARCH CTR
POST OFFICE BOX 218
YORKTOWN HEIGHTS
NEW YORK

1

10598

DR. RICHARD SCHRANS
CODE 303 NPKCC
271 CATALINA BLVD.
SAN DIEGO
CALIFORNIA

1

92152

DR. V. SRINIVASAN
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF BUSINESS
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD
CALIFORNIA

1

94305

Dr. Roy Welsch
M.I.T. SLOAN SCHOOL
Cambridge, MA 02139

1