

AD-A077 291

COAST GUARD RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER GROTON CT F/G 13/10
AIR CUSHION VEHICLE (ACV) ICEBREAKER TEST AND EVALUATION PROGRA--ETC(U)
JUL 78 J BUCK , C W PRITCHETT DOT-C6-81-1856
UNCLASSIFIED CGR/DC-12/78-VOL-1 USCG-D-21-79-VOL-1 NL

| OF |
ADA
077291




ADA 077291

100-77291

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
UNITED STATES COAST GUARD
OFFICE OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20390

79 11 26 123



⑨ Final rept. Dec 77 - Mar 78

① 19 D-21-79-VOL-1

Technical Report Documentation Page


1. Report No. CG-D-21-79	2. Government Accession No. ① 18 USCG	3. Recipient's Catalog No. ① 11 ② 39	
4. Title and Subtitle Air Cushion Vehicle (ACV) Icebreaker Test and Evaluation Program. Volume I. Executive Summary.		5. Report Date July 1978	6. Performing Organization Code CGRDC-12/78-VOL-1
7. Author(s) ⑩ J. Buck, C.W. Pritchett	8. Performing Organization Report No. NOV 27 1978		10. Work Unit No. (TRIS)
9. Performing Organization Name and Address U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center Avery Point Groton, Connecticut 06340	11. Contract or Grant No. ⑮ DOT-CG-81-1856 A	13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final 12/77-3/78	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Department of Transportation U.S. Coast Guard Office of Research and Development Washington, D.C. 20590	14. Sponsoring Agency Code		
15. Supplementary Notes This report is an Executive Summary of the work reported in Volume II, "Operational and Engineering Analysis: ACV Icebreaker Test and Evaluation Program."			
16. Abstract During the winter ice season, November 1977 through March 1978, the U.S. Coast Guard R&D Center, Avery Point, Connecticut, conducted an operational test program to evaluate air cushion vehicles as icebreakers. The vehicles used were the MACKACE ACV barge and the self-propelled LACV-30. Tests were conducted on the Illinois and Mississippi Rivers with operations centered around Peoria, Illinois. The MACKACE ACV was used solely to facilitate river commerce while the LACV-30 was used in this role and also for flood control purposes. Extensive operational tests were conducted which produced data concerning icebreaking techniques and the effects of icebreaking on river commerce. Tests also established information on design criteria for ACV icebreakers, their maneuverability and control, operating costs and impact on Coast Guard personnel and facilities. As a result of the test program, significant advances were made in understanding ACV icebreaking procedures. The characteristics of ice were studied, and the necessity for developing ice classification and an icebreaking effectiveness methodology was identified.			
17. Key Words Coast Guard, icebreaking, air cushion vehicle, river, towboat, barge, ice-breaker, river commerce	18. Distribution Statement Document is available to the public through National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161		
19. Security Classif. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	20. Security Classif. (of this page) UNCLASSIFIED	21. No. of Pages	22. Price

408 730 LB

METRIC CONVERSION FACTORS

Approximate Conversions to Metric Measures		Approximate Conversions from Metric Measures	
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply by	To Find
LENGTH			
in	inches	2.5	centimeters
ft	feet	30	centimeters
yd	yards	0.9	meters
mi	miles	1.6	kilometers
AREA			
in ²	square inches	6.5	square centimeters
ft ²	square feet	0.09	square meters
yd ²	square yards	0.8	square meters
mi ²	square miles	2.6	square kilometers
	acres	0.4	hectares
MASS (weight)			
oz	ounces	28	grams
lb	pounds	0.45	kilograms
	short tons (2000 lb)	0.9	tonnes
VOLUME			
tsp	teaspoons	5	milliliters
fl oz	fluid ounces	15	milliliters
c	cups	30	milliliters
pt	pints	0.24	liters
qt	quarts	0.47	liters
gal	gallons	0.95	liters
ft ³	cubic feet	3.8	liters
yd ³	cubic yards	0.03	cubic meters
		0.76	cubic meters
TEMPERATURE (exact)			
°F	Fahrenheit temperature	5/9 (after subtracting 32)	Celsius temperature
°C	Celsius temperature	9/5 (then add 32)	Fahrenheit temperature

Approximate Conversions from Metric Measures		Approximate Conversions to Metric Measures	
Symbol	When You Know	Multiply by	To Find
LENGTH			
mm	millimeters	0.04	inches
cm	centimeters	0.4	inches
m	meters	3.3	feet
m	meters	1.1	yards
km	kilometers	0.6	miles
AREA			
cm ²	square centimeters	0.16	square inches
m ²	square meters	1.2	square yards
km ²	square kilometers	0.4	square miles
ha	hectares (10,000 m ²)	2.5	acres
MASS (weight)			
g	grams	0.035	ounces
kg	kilograms	2.2	pounds
t	tonnes (1000 kg)	1.1	short tons
VOLUME			
ml	milliliters	0.03	fluid ounces
l	liters	2.1	pints
l	liters	1.06	quarts
l	liters	0.26	gallons
m ³	cubic meters	35	ft ³
m ³	cubic meters	1.3	yd ³
TEMPERATURE (exact)			
°C	Celsius temperature	9/5 (then add 32)	Fahrenheit temperature
°F	Fahrenheit temperature		Celsius temperature



*1 in = 2.54 (exact). For other units, conversions and more detailed tables, see NBS Mon., Publ. 286, Units of Weights and Measures, Price \$2.25, SO Catalog No. C13.10-286.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The efforts of the following Commands and Organizations and their contributions to the Test and Evaluation are greatly appreciated:

Second Coast Guard District and participating units and commands

U.S. Army, MERADCOM, Ft. Belvoir, Virginia

U.S. Army, Transportation School, Ft. Eustis, Virginia

U.S. Army, CRREL, Hanover, New Hampshire

U.S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Chicago District and Peoria and Joliet project offices.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or special
A	

ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

In response to the Chief, Office of Operations, the Office of Research and Development (G-D) was assigned the responsibility of conducting a Test and Evaluation Program to determine the feasibility of using Air Cushion Vehicles (ACV's) as icebreakers in a river ice environment (Reference 1). The Chief of Operations forwarded a task statement to the Chief, Office of Research and Development (Reference 2), who assigned the responsibility for developing the test plan, conducting the operational and engineering tests, and preparing the final documentation to the Research and Development Center (Reference 3).

To carry out the ACV icebreaking operational/engineering test and evaluation program, two ACV craft, the MACKACE ACV barge and the self-propelled LACV-30, were obtained. The MACKACE ACV was obtained under a lease contract (Reference 4) from Mackley Ace, Inc. (USA), for the period of 1 December 1977 to 28 February 1978. The LACV-30 was obtained through the cooperation of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers through a memorandum of understanding for the approximate period of 15 November 1977 to 15 March 1978 (Reference 5).

Engineering support services were obtained by the Research and Development Center through a contract with the firm of Chi Associates, Inc., Arlington, Virginia, who employed as part of their team a subcontractor, Giannotti and Buck Associates, Inc., Riverdale, Maryland (Reference 6).

The ACV icebreaking operational/engineering test and evaluation program was conducted on the Illinois and Mississippi Rivers with operations centered around Peoria, Illinois, in accordance with OPORDER CG 2-78. The operational base was the Coast Guard ANFAC (Aid to Navigations Facility) in East Peoria within the Second Guard District.

CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION	iv
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 BACKGROUND	1
3.0 VEHICLE DESCRIPTION.	3
4.0 TEST OBJECTIVES.	7
5.0 OPERATIONAL SUMMARY.	9
6.0 RESULTS.	12
7.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.	18
REFERENCES	20
ENCLOSURES: PHOTOGRAPHIC DOCUMENTATION	

ILLUSTRATIONS

	Page
Figure	
3-1. MACKACE ACV Profile	5
3-2. LACV-30 ACV General Dimensions	6
3-3. United States Coast Guard Cutter - SUMAC	8
5-1. Ice Conditions: Illinois River	11
5-2. Ice Profile, Peoria Lake	11
 Table	
5-1. Operation Time Summary SUMAC-ACV and LACV-30	10
6-1. Summary of Nonself-Propelled ACV Icebreaking Operations Procedures	14
6-2. Summary of Self-Propelled ACV Icebreaking Operations Procedures	15

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This document is Volume 1 of a two-part report concerning ACV icebreaking operations conducted by the U.S. Coast Guard on the Illinois and Mississippi Rivers during the winter of 1977-1978. Volume 1, The Executive Summary, presents a comprehensive description of key elements of the test program including an operational summary, results, conclusions and recommendations. Volume 2 of the report, Operational and Engineering Analysis: ACV Icebreaker Test and Evaluation Program, provides detailed operational and engineering information, including complete data on testing procedures, analysis and results (Reference 7).

2.0 BACKGROUND

The U.S. Coast Guard is charged with providing icebreaking services in the Great Lakes and on coastal and inland waterways in order to satisfy reasonable demands of commerce and control the potential of flooding. The severity of the 1976-1977 winter pointedly emphasized the consequences of inadequate icebreaking capabilities on inland waterways and their subsequent impact on river commerce. Heavy river ice affects the ability of towboats to transit rivers by reducing the number of barges pushed and increasing transit times. In addition, fuel consumption is significantly higher, and the vessels are subject to ice damage. The net result to the towboat industry is decreased revenues and increased costs.

Conventional icebreakers are restricted by draft so their effectiveness is limited in shallow waters. The Coast Guard has had vessels operating on

the Mississippi River system for many years, but they are primarily engaged in servicing aids to navigation and have proven ineffective for icebreaking purposes. In considering alternative methods of breaking ice, it was decided that air cushion vehicles should be studied more carefully.

Air cushion vehicles of various configurations had previously been tested as icebreakers in Canada and were considered to have potential for use on shallow or otherwise restricted waterways, such as the U.S. inland waterway system (Reference 8). The two basic ACV configurations successfully employed in the Canadian tests were nonself-propelled barges and self-propelled vehicles.

While it was apparent that ACV icebreaking technology had potential for application in the Coast Guard's icebreaking programs, it was not clear what its capabilities or limitations were nor what impact it would have in the areas of personnel, facilities and support services. To gain experience in some of these areas, the Coast Guard decided to conduct an Air Cushion Vehicles Icebreaker Test and Evaluation Program during the 1977-1978 ice season. Action was, therefore, initiated to obtain an existing nonself-propelled ACV which could be made available within the established time frame. This resulted in leasing a barge-type vehicle from Mackley Ace, Inc. (USA). The MACKACE ACV required a pusher tow boat, and the CGC SUMAC (WLR-311) was assigned to perform this task. Later, the U.S. Army made available, in a joint Army/Coast Guard venture, a LACV-30 (Lighter Air Cushion Vehicle with a 30-ton payload) self-propelled craft and crew.

Since the purpose of the study was to demonstrate and evaluate ACV ice-breaking capabilities, it was necessary to emphasize operational testing rather than engineering and technological development. Hard engineering data were obtained by incorporating engineering measurements into as many

tests as possible. As a result, a significant amount of engine data was obtained. Additional tests commenced on 1 December 1977 and terminated on 15 December 1978. Over 450 hours of ACV icebreaking tests were conducted by the LACV-30 during that time. The craft were used primarily to facilitate towboat commerce. In addition, the LACV-30 successfully demonstrated its versatility by breaking ice for flood control.

E DESCRIPTION

Typically an air cushion vehicle is a craft whose weight is supported by a cushion of air supplied by lift fans. The air cushion is maintained around the craft by a flexible rubber-coated fabric skirt system. An ACV may be a nonself-propelled lift platform, i.e., barge-type, or it may have an active propulsion system and thus be self-propelled.

The LACV-30 is a nonself-propelled steel barge, simply designed and constructed according to conventional boat construction techniques. Lift is obtained by two standard-type diesel engines which drive two centrifugal fans. The speed of the barge-type ACV is limited to that of its pusher. Figure 3-1 gives the general characteristics of this craft.

The LACV-30 is a hardened version of the standard commercial Bell Helicopter Textron "Voyageur" air cushion vehicle. It is a fully amphibious craft designed to transport military cargo payloads up to 30 short tons as part of the logistics over-the-shore (LOTS) mission. The LACV-30 is powered by two Pratt & Whitney ST6T-76 engines which have a normal shaft horsepower rating of 1,400 and a maximum horsepower rating of 1,800. The craft's

air management system is powered by a 140-horsepower auxiliary power unit (APU) manufactured by Alturdyne Corporation. The air management system provides filtered, positive pressure air for the engines. The system includes an axial fan, moisture separators, and filters. Figure 3-2 gives the general characteristics of the craft.

3.0 VEHICLE DESCRIPTION

The LACY-30 is a hardened version of the standard commercial Bell Helicopter Textron "Hoyasaur" air cushion vehicle. It is a fully amphibious high-speed craft designed to transport military cargo payloads up to 30 short tons in support of the logistics over-the-shore (LOTS) mission. The LACY-30 is powered by two Pratt & Whitney 567-7B engines which have a normal shaft horsepower rating of 1,400 and a maximum horsepower rating of 1,800. The craft's hull is constructed of aluminum alloy and is designed to provide a high level of protection against small arms fire and fragmentation. The hull is supported by a cushion of air supplied by 417 fans. The air cushion is maintained under the craft by a flexible rubber-coated fabric skirt system. An ACV may either be a nonself-propelled lift platform, i.e., barge-type, or it may have an active propulsion system and thus be self-propelled. The LACY-30 is a nonself-propelled steel barge, simply designed and built according to conventional boat construction techniques. Lift is obtained from two standard-type diesel engines which drive two centrifugal fans. The speed of the barge-type ACV is limited to that of its pusher vessel. Figure 3-1 gives the general characteristics of this craft.

MACKACE ACV GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS

- GENERAL DIMENSIONS 45 FT. x 55 FT.
- TWO GENERAL MOTORS DIESEL ENGINES
600 HP EACH (MODEL 16 V 71-N, 2100 RPM)
- TWO ALLDAY & PEACOCK CENTRIFUGAL FANS SINGLE INLET,
FLOW RATE : 4800 CFM EACH (1200 B.A. SWS, DESIGN RPM = 2000)
- TWO 1000 GALLON FUEL TANKS
- HOVER HEIGHT BETWEEN 4 FT. & 5 FT.
- CUSHION PRESSURE MAINTAINED BETWEEN
0.95 PSI & 1.3 PSI (DEPENDING ON BALLAST)

IDENTIFICATION TABLE

- ① BOW RUNNING LIGHT
- ② ENGINE #1 EXHAUST
- ③ ENGINE #1
- ④ FAN #1
- ⑤ STEPS TO OBSERVATION
DECK & CONTROL CABIN
- ⑥ STORAGE AREA
- ⑦ GENERATOR
- ⑧ PUSHING PAD
- ⑨ DECK FLOOD LIGHTS
- ⑩ CONTROL CABIN
- ⑪ SPRAY SUPPRESSION
SKIRT
- ⑫ FINGER SEGMENTS OF
SKIRT SYSTEM




Figure 3-1: Mackace ACV-Profile

(NOTE : SPRAY SKIRT CUT BACK TO REVEAL SEGMENTS)

GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS

- OVERALL LENGTH 76'-6"
- MAIN DECK AREA 1674 SQ. FT.
- GROSS WEIGHT 125,000 LBS.
- POWER 2 P & W STGT GAS TURBINES
1800 SHP MAX. PER UNIT
1400 SHP NORMAL PER UNIT
- CUSHION PRESSURE = 0.5 PSI
- BAG & FINGER SKIRT SYSTEM

IDENTIFICATION TABLE:

- 1 RADAR ANTENNA
- 2 STAIRS TO CONTROL CABIN
- 3 BAG OF SKIRT SYSTEM
- 4 GUARD RAIL
- 5 LOADING DECK
- 6 CONTROL CABIN
- 7 AIR MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
- 8 PROPELLER BLADE
- 9 RUDDER
- 10 FINGERS OF SKIRT SYSTEM
- 11 LIFT FAN INTAKE




Figure 3-2: LACV-30 General Dimensions

The U.S. Coast Guard Cutter SUMAC (WLR-311) is the largest Coast Guard buoy tender in the Second District. For pushing the MACKACE ACV, SUMAC was modified by the addition of ice deflectors to protect its keel coolers, rudders, and propellers. Figure 3-3 gives the general characteristics of the SUMAC.

4.0 TEST OBJECTIVES

The test and evaluation program for both the nonself-propelled MACKACE ACV and the self-propelled LACV-30 was structured to meet specific operational objectives. As specified in the Test Plan (Reference 8), the ACV's were to be operationally tested to collect data which were necessary both to satisfy the objectives and to evaluate the craft's suitability for ice-breaking operations on the Illinois and/or Mississippi Rivers.

The major objectives to be met during the testing of the ACV's were as follows:

- Demonstrate the use of ACV's to facilitate marine transportation on the Illinois and/or Mississippi River.
- Develop operational procedures for ACV's on Western Rivers.
- Evaluate the cost effectiveness of icebreaking ACV's for facilitation of river commerce.
- Determine personnel training and support needs of ACV icebreaking operations.
- Determine design criteria for future ACV icebreaking operations.
- Demonstrate the potential of self-propelled ACV in ice jam control and flood control.

GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS

- OVERALL LENGTH 114'-6"
- LENGTH BETWEEN PERPENDICULARS 108'-6"
- BEAM (EXTREME) 30'-3"
- DEPTH (MOLDED TO MAIN DECK) 10'-0"
- DRAFT MEAN (FULL LOAD) 7'-0"
- DISPLACEMENT (FULL LOAD) 390 S. TONS
- THREE DIESEL ENGINES 800 SHP MAX./UNIT

IDENTIFICATION TABLE

- 1 RADAR ANTENNA
- 2 SEARCH LIGHT
- 3 BRIDGE
- 4 "SPUD" ANCHORS
- 5 PUSHING KNEES
- 6 9" "V" SHAPED ICE DEFLECTORS BETWEEN KEEL COOLERS
- 7 8" ICE FENCE DEFLECTOR PLATES FOR SIDES OF KEEL COOLERS
- 8 9" "V" SHAPED ICE DEFLECTOR
- 9 14" ICE KNIFE (1 OF 6)
- 10 FLANKING RUDDER (1 OF 4)
- 11 PROPELLER
- 12 RUDDER (1 OF 3)
- 13 LIFE BOAT
- 14 LIFEBOAT CRANE




Figure 3-3: United States Coast Guard Cutter - Sumac

The test plan scenarios were constructed to collect operational and technical data which could be used to satisfy these objectives. However, it became apparent very early in the program that complete quantitative information could not be obtained for some of the objectives because of problems with equipment, weather, ice environment, barge traffic, scheduling and operational limitations. Accordingly, little information was actually acquired on the cost effectiveness objectives. Also, whereas training and support needs and design criteria were not addressed in depth, sufficient data were collected and subjective observations were made by experienced investigators to produce valid conclusions and recommendations.

A Steering Committee was formed which met periodically in Peoria to redirect the priorities of the individual tests within the overall objectives of the Test Plan.

5.0 OPERATIONAL SUMMARY

As part of the Test Plan, a set of 14 specific tests was identified. Initially, the individual test descriptions were developed for the MACKACE ACV and subsequently adapted to the LACV-30. In all, 57 different tests were conducted and documented for both craft. On the MACKACE alone, 35 individual tests were conducted with multiple runs for a total of 105 data items. Details of engineering data items recorded are contained in Volume 2 of this report.

A summation of activities of each craft is presented in Table 5-1.

SUMAC/MACKAGE CRAFT			
	OPERATIONS (DAYS)	MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS (DAYS)	OTHER (DAYS)
12-31 DEC	6	0	14
1-31 JAN	4	16	11
1-28 FEB	3	18	2
1- 8 MAR	3	1	4
TOTALS	21	35	31
LACV-30			
	OPERATIONS (DAYS)	MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS (DAYS)	OTHER (DAYS)
15-31 JAN	1	14	2
1-28 FEB	14	7	7
1-18 MAR	12	6	0
TOTALS	27	27	9

TABLE 5-1. OPERATION TIME SUMMARY SUMAC-ACV AND LACV-30

The significant characteristics of the growth of river ice are related to the action of towboats in breaking the ice and churning the channel. Barge traffic in the channel is virtually an ice-making machine. As the ice is broken, a region of very heavy brash and slush ice is created in the channel by the towboats' propellers. Measurements revealed slush ice as much as 15 feet thick extending to the bottom of the channel. In addition, plates of ice 10 to 15 inches thick, which had broken away from the edge of the undisturbed ice field along the channel, would often become embedded in the slush. Some of this slush ice would then refreeze and form modules of ice five to eight feet across and three to six feet thick.

Figure 5-1 shows ice conditions on the Illinois River near Peoria, Illinois. Figures 5-2 shows an ice profile across the channel in Peoria Lake.

in Peoria Lake.




FIGURE 5-1: ICE CONDITIONS: ILLINOIS RIVER




FIGURE 5-2: ICE PROFILE, PEORIA LAKE

(Note: Figure 5-2 Ice Profile taken 75 yards north of Sand Point Light, looking North upstream.)

6.0 TEST RESULTS

The results of the test program provided information relative to the requirements of the Test Plan and also yielded additional information on ACV technology in icebreaking. Major results are summarized below.

Facilitation of Marine Commerce

The operational feasibility of facilitating marine commerce was evaluated by conducting tests in escorting towboat traffic. Specifically, assistance provided to commercial towboats passing through ice-clogged channels demonstrated the utility of the icebreaking operations. When the SUMAC/MACKACE craft cleared the channel directly ahead of towboats and barges the towboat operators reported that less horsepower was required to operate their vessels at a given speed and that greater speed could be achieved at constant horsepower. Although these results proved that an ACV is capable of providing a broken ice channel to move towboat traffic efficiently, it was also determined that the broken ice quickly reformed in the wake of the SUMAC and that the river current was not strong enough to flush it downstream. Thus, it was apparent that ice removal constituted as serious a problem as icebreaking.

The LACV-30 was less effective because of the deep and heavily packed brash ice in the clogged channels. The craft was, however, very effective in operating in the shallow water just outside of the clogged channel. By operating in this area, and breaking ice, the LACV-30 did assist in freeing barges or boats stuck or frozen in the ice. Breaking ice in the shallow areas relieved the ice pressure on stranded vessels and allowed them to free themselves. This was demonstrated during several operational tests.

Operational Procedures

One of the objectives of the test and evaluation effort was to determine ACV icebreaking operational procedures for both barge-type and self-propelled ACV's. The operational procedures are based on observations and measurements taken during the test and evaluation effort and are to be used as a guide, not procedures to which either type of ACV must rigidly adhere.

- Nonself-propelled ACV Icebreaking -- The recommended operational procedure for a nonself-propelled ACV is broken down according to required maneuver vs. the ice environment (Table 6.1).
- Self-propelled ACV Icebreaking -- Only those operational procedures with a self-propelled ACV which are unique to a river ice environment are listed. Although some icebreaking results from cushion pressure, the most effective mechanism is by wave generation. In order to operate effectively, the ACV pilot must be aware of the status of the wave he is generating. This is presently obtained by a crew member who relays the information to the pilot in the cabin (Table 6.2).

Facilities/Personnel Requirements

The MACKACE ACV is a simple, conventionally designed barge, while the LACV-30 is more representative of aerospace design. However, neither is outside the technical capability of Coast Guard personnel because the sole unique features of either ACV is its specialized fabric skirt system. Some on-the-job training for both operators and maintenance personnel will be necessary, since the river ice environment is extremely harsh and constant vigilance is necessary to guard against serious malfunctions. It is clear that, since the LACV-30 is a much more sophisticated craft, personnel training and maintenance facilities requirements for this vessel would be

ICE ENVIRONMENT MANEUVER	THICK UNIFORM PLATE ICE	HEAVY BRASH ICE
Channel Clearance	Speed should be maintained (4 to 5 mph) to keep the momentum up and to sweep the channel as wide as possible. ACV should be bow up 1 to 1.5 degrees. Ballasted only as much to equal cushion pressure and ice thickness plus a pressure margin.	Speed should be maintained (3 to 4 mph) as long as cushion area does not become clogged. If clogged, push boat must back down to clear cushion. Bow up 1. to 1.5 degrees. Increase fan flow rate to reduce possibility of tuck-under of skirt.
Enlargement of Turns In Channel	Break out channel width on outside of turns in channel to allow the towboats to swing stern around to expedite turn.	By starting on the largest radius of the turn make an icebreaking run to widen the turn, each one closer in to the inside of the turn. This is to move the heavy brash away from the outside of the turn.
Towboat Assistance	Use channel clearance description. Stopping to allow towboat to catch up if channel closes up too quickly.	Speed of icebreaking should be geared to speed of the towboat which is being assisted. Because heavy brash closes in much more quickly than plate ice, the icebreaker must operate more closely to towboat.
Passing	When passing a towboat or barge speed should be kept up to maintain controllability.	To pass in a narrow channel one of the two passing vessels may have to stop to allow the other to move around and into the channel ahead or behind passed boat. There is a tendency in brash to be "sucked" into a boat or barge being passed if it is in the channel and the passing boat must go outside.
Beaching	Make a pass along beach to clear ice from beach area. Get a running start to push the ACV up on the beach as far as possible. Stand by to drop speed to reduce slide back.	Same as uniform plate ice.
Turn Around 180°	Wide radius turns should be made with caution because of potential hazard to rudders. Turn in narrow channel should be made by alternate ahead and back movements to move the ice away from the stern in order to make the turn.	A wide radius turn may be made if channel width is sufficient (300 ft.). Full rudder, inside engine full astern, outboard engine full ahead. If channel width will not permit a full turn, backing will be necessary. Care should be taken to protect turning rudder by keeping them aligned with boat. Flanking rudder may be used for turning.
Backing Down	Turning rudder must be straight with track. Use flanking rudder if a turn is desired.	Turning rudder must be straight with track. Use flanking rudder if a turn is desired.

Table 6-1: Summary of Nonself-Propelled ACV Icebreaking Operations Procedures

MANEUVER	USE/ICE CONDITION
High Speed Low Amplitude Wave	Good for relatively thin ice, 6 to 8 inch wave propagates out bank to bank.
High Speed, Quick Slow Down to Hump Speed	Used on heavy fast ice (>18") to maximize wave for short duration.
Hump Speed	Carries maximum wave. Good for normal icebreaking rate up to 20 mph where ice pressure may be relieved by open water. Also used to reduce size of ice plates once broken out of large ice field.
Hump Speed, Side Slip	Used to carry maximum wave into thick ice and amplify wave at edge of ice field for short distance--from open water.
Edge Work	Using hump speed technique work edges of river where surface runoff water has weakened ice provided water depth is adequate.
Figure "8" or Crescent Passes	Used on edge of ice from open water where maneuvering room is available.
Criss-Cross	Used for hole enlargement when starting from a solid cover ice field repeated criss-cross is necessary to break up ice chunks as small as possible to reduce wave damping.

Table 6-2: Summary of Self-Propelled ACV Icebreaking Operations Procedure

more stringent and extensive. In addition to personnel training, specific facilities and support equipment for ACV's must be provided to facilitate field repairs.

Design Criteria

Of the many design criteria developed through the test and evaluation program, one of the most important was the determination of the type of skirt fabric that can best withstand the impact of river ice. The 60 oz. per sq. yard single weave, nylon fabric bonded with natural rubber that was used on the MACKACE ACV was unable to survive the ice environment. The LACV-30 used a two-ply material of several different weights, including 60 oz. per sq. yd., which was considerably more durable than the single-weave fabric.

The MACKACE craft design incorporated an anti-spray skirt, which contributed significantly to minimizing the amount of spray generated by the cushion. A spray suppression system would be desirable on self-propelled ACV's.

Characterization of Ice Conditions

During the test and evaluation program, there was a need to compare results from the various locations and time frames of test runs. In addition, it was necessary to compare ice environments in order to assess the effectiveness of icebreaking under varying craft configurations. A methodology for characterizing ice conditions was developed with the purpose of standardizing river ice environments. It is apparent that further work must be undertaken in this area if a full understanding of an operational ice environment is to be attained.

ing Mechanisms

Technology of ACV icebreaking is relatively new, and some new ideas making mechanisms are presented in Volume 2 of this report. Based on observation, these ideas represent an initial attempt to explain the responses of the two ACV's and the ice during icebreaking. From a further study of the icebreaking phenomenon under more controlled conditions, it may be possible to acquire knowledge which would be fundamental in the design of more effective icebreaking vehicles.

ing Effectiveness

To measure icebreaking effectiveness, it is necessary to correlate the amount of ice broken, the type of vehicle used and the effect the broken ice has on the vessel or tows following behind. It would appear that the most straightforward way of defining icebreaking is to compare the area of ice broken per hour under the same conditions of ice thickness, strength, snow cover, etc. For example, the SUMAC/MACKACE craft broke ice on an average of 20 acres per hour and the LACV-30 recorded 17 acres per hour. However, these figures give no indication of the degree of resistance of the ice to the breaking process nor do they relate to how well the ice was broken. What is meant by that effective icebreaking requires breaking the ice and clearing a navigable channel. Using data from the Test and Evaluation Program, an attempt has been made to develop a method for describing more accurately icebreaking effectiveness. Further development of this methodology is required.

Icebreaking for Flood Control

The LACV-30 was deployed for a flood control operation on the Kankakee River (Illinois) for 18 days. The LACV-30 effort was highly successful and, according to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District, it was responsible for averting possible serious flooding in the lower Kankakee River area.

7.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based upon the analysis and results previously presented, and observations made during the operational tests, the following conclusions and recommendations are suggested.

Conclusions

- Air cushion vehicles did break ice in a river ice environment.
- The major problem on the Illinois River was ice clearance rather than icebreaking.
- There is a potential environmental problem associated with the high sound levels and wakes of gas turbine propelled ACV's.
- The Coast Guard can operate and maintain air cushion vehicles with selected additional training and additional support facilities.
- The design of the skirt material used on the barge-type ACV was inadequate for the river ice environment. Adequate materials are, however, available.
- The self-propelled ACV was effective in breaking ice to reduce potential river flooding.

Recommendations

- Perform a complete study of the Coast Guard ice-breaking requirements in the Western Rivers.
- Consider evaluating self-propelled ACV's in a long-term multi-mission role.
- Investigate new techniques for better defining the river ice environment and develop better icebreaking effectiveness measures.
- Consider the development and operation of barge-type ACV's which are compatible with Coast Guard WYTL or WYTM icebreakers.
- Keep the towing industry informed, through a formal Technology Transfer Communication System, of the capabilities of nonself-propelled ACV's.
- Continue evaluation of self-propelled ACV potential in ice jam and flood control.


REFERENCES

1. Commandant (G-CPA/84) Memo 7100/1 of 3 May 1977.
2. Commandant (G-000-2/74) Memo 3900-15 of 3 June 1977.
3. G-DOE-3 letter 3936 Ser 30175 of 14 June 1977.
4. Contract (DOT-CG-74401-A) of 31 August 1977 to Mackley Ace (USA), Inc., Suite 1205, 1101 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.
5. Memorandum of Understanding, 29 December 1977, between the Commandant, Coast Guard (G-D) and the Army (MERADCOM 3).
6. Contract (DOT-CG-81-78-1856) Engineering and Support Services of 29 November 1977, to Chi Associates, Inc., Arlington, Virginia.
7. Air Cushion Vehicle Icebreaker Test and Evaluation Program, Volume 2: Operational and Engineering Analysis. Report, U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center, Avery Point, Connecticut, August 1978.
8. The Application of Air Cushion Technology to Ice-Breaking: Canadian Experience, Technical Report 1/77, Air Cushion Vehicle Division, Canadian Coast Guard, Transport Canada, January 1977.
9. Test Plan for Air Cushion Vehicle Icebreaking, U.S. Coast Guard Research and Development Center, Avery Point, Connecticut, January 1978.


PHOTOGRAPHIC DOCUMENTATION



TYPICAL TOWBOAT TRAFFIC IN
OPEN WATER AND PLATE ICE



TOWS PASSING IN HEAVY BRASH
NOTE TWO BOATS PUSHING ONE BARGE




SUMAC & MACKACE ACV




MACKACE SEGMENT REPAIR




MACKACE ON HOVER SHOWING RIP IN SEGMENT




MACKACE REPAIR OF SEGMENT DAMAGE




LACV-30 ICE DAMAGE TO SKIRT




MACKACE ICE ACCUMULATION
ON FAN INLET




SUMAC/ACV - UNIFORM ICE BREAKING
2 TIMES WIDTH OF ACV



SUMAC/ACV - BRASH ICE CLOSING IN
AROUND HULL OF SUMAC




POLING ICE TO DETERMINE THICKNESS




SAMPLING OF ICE FOR PROFILES




LACV-30 ICEBREAKING OPERATIONS
ILLINOIS RIVER



LACV-30 OPERATING IN TOWBOAT CHANNEL



LACV-30 BREAKING ICE FOR FLOOD
CONTROL KANKAKEE RIVER



LACV-30 COMING UP RAMP DURING
ICEBREAKING OPERATION

thickness, strength, snow cover, etc.
broke ice on an average of 20 acres
acres per hour. However, these
degree of resistance of the ice to the
how well the ice was broken. What is
quires breaking the ice and clearing
ta from the Test and Evaluation Program,
velop a method for describing more
Further development of this methodology

7

ND

ATE

MED

79