

AD-A077 356

MASSACHUSETTS INST OF TECH LEXINGTON LINCOLN LAB F/G 11/6
MAGNETIC MOMENT VERSUS TEMPERATURE CURVES OF RARE-EARTH IRON GA--ETC(U)
JUL 79 G F DIONNE F19628-78-C-0002

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-534

ESD-TR-79-179

NL

OF 2
AD
A077356



END
PAGE
FRMED
R-79
000
CONT.

12

LEVEL

AD A 077356

Technical Report

534

Magnetic Moment Versus Temperature Curves of Rare-Earth Iron Garnets

G. F. Dionne

DDC RECEIVED NOV 27 1979 A


11 July 1979

Prepared for the Department of the Army under Electronic Systems Division Contract F19628-78-C-0002 by

Lincoln Laboratory

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

LEXINGTON, MASSACHUSETTS



Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

See 1473

79 11 26 103

The work reported in this document was performed at Lincoln Laboratory, a center for research operated by Massachusetts Institute of Technology. This program is sponsored by the Ballistic Missile Defense Program Office, Department of the Army; it is supported by the Ballistic Missile Defense Advanced Technology Center under Air Force Contract F19628-78-C-0002. This report may be reproduced to satisfy needs of U.S. Government agencies.

The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the contractor and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the United States Government.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.

FOR THE COMMANDER

Joseph C. Syiek

Joseph C. Syiek
Project Officer
Lincoln Laboratory Project Office

Non-Lincoln Recipients

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN

Permission is given to destroy this document
when it is no longer needed.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
LINCOLN LABORATORY

MAGNETIC MOMENT VERSUS TEMPERATURE CURVES
OF RARE-EARTH IRON GARNETS

G. F. DIONNE

Group 33

TECHNICAL REPORT 534

11 JULY 1979

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

LEXINGTON

MASSACHUSETTS

79 11 26 103

ABSTRACT

Molecular-field coefficients of the heavy rare-earth iron garnets (Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, and YbIG) have been determined by fitting calculated and experimental magnetic-moment-vs-temperature curves. For these compositions, spin canting appears to cause a significant reduction in the net moment of the c sublattice. The results are compared with values of coefficients derived from paramagnetic susceptibility measurements and with other values determined without taking into account c-sublattice canting. The capability of the computer program has been expanded to permit magnetization-temperature calculations of compositions that contain as many as three different rare earth ions in the c sublattice.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or special
A	

CONTENTS

Abstract	iii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THEORETICAL METHODS	1
III. RESULTS OF CALCULATIONS	1
IV. DISCUSSION	3
Acknowledgment	8
References	9
APPENDIX A	11
APPENDIX B - Computer Program	13

MAGNETIC MOMENT VERSUS TEMPERATURE CURVES OF RARE-EARTH IRON GARNETS

I. INTRODUCTION

The magnetic-moment-versus-temperature (n_B -vs- T) characteristics of rare-earth iron garnets have been important in microwave ferrite development and more recently in the emergence of ferrimagnetic thin-film technology for bubble memory devices. By determining molecular-field coefficients, the curves of these materials may be computed from the Néel theory,¹ and a basis may be established for predicting the curves of compositions with nonmagnetic ions substituted for Fe^{3+} or rare-earth ions.

In addition, it is also possible to compute curves for compositions containing more than one type of rare-earth ion. The purpose of this report is to present the results of investigations with a computer program that was used to determine the molecular-field coefficients and effective angular momentum quantum numbers of heavy rare-earth iron garnets.

II. THEORETICAL METHODS

In work reported previously, the molecular-field coefficients N_{ij} of $Y_3Fe_5O_{12}$ were determined by a trial-and-error procedure.²⁻⁴ To arrive at a set of coefficients, N_{ij} were adjusted until the computed n_B -vs- T curves produced exact fits with the experimental curves for the same materials. For the above two materials, it was found that the octahedral (a) and tetrahedral (d) intrasublattice and intersublattice coefficients, N_{aa} , N_{dd} and N_{ad} , originally determined for $Y_3Fe_5O_{12}$, were equally suitable for $Gd_3Fe_5O_{12}$. However, the effects of magnetic Gd^{3+} ions in the dodecahedral (c) sublattice were accounted for with values of N_{ac} and N_{cd} listed in Table I. For the purpose of establishing a good fit to experimental results, it was convenient to assume that the small values of N_{cc} were negligible (see Fig. 1).

Since quenching of the orbital angular momentum is insignificant in the rare-earth family, the value of the spectroscopic splitting factor g_c is equal to the pure spin value of 2.0 only in the case of Gd^{3+} (an S-state ion). With every other ion, it is derived from the relation $g_c = (L_c + 2S_c)/(L_c + S_c)$ where L_c and S_c are the orbital and spin quantum numbers of the ground term, and should be combined with the total angular momentum J_c and the Bohr magneton μ_B in the Néel theory. In these cases, J_c replaces S_c and the magnetic moment per ion becomes $g_c \mu_B J_c$.

In Appendix A, the format for the modified Néel theory is presented for the general case with as many as three different c-sublattice rare-earth ions. Because the intrasublattice coefficients N_{cc} are negligible, it may be assumed that any rare-earth ion is coupled only to the iron in the a and d sublattices and does not interact any other rare-earth ion. In this way, it is possible to treat these cases as if separate c-sublattices existed for each type of rare-earth ion, with variables x, y, and z designating the respective fractions of the different rare-earth ions in the dodecahedral sites. A complete listing of the Fortran program (compatible with the IBM/370 computer) is given in Appendix B.

III. RESULTS OF CALCULATIONS

With a trial-and-error procedure identical to that used in the previous work, molecular-field coefficients N_{ac} and N_{cd} were determined for Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, and Yb iron garnets⁵⁻⁸.

TABLE I
MOLECULAR-FIELD COEFFICIENTS OF RARE-EARTH IRON GARNETS

c-site ion	g_c	J_c	J'_c	ϕ (deg)	N_{ac}^3 (moles/cm ³)	N_{cd}^3 (moles/cm ³)	N_{ac}^* (moles/cm ³)	N_{cd}^* (moles/cm ³)	N_{ac}^\dagger (moles/cm ³)	N_{cd}^\dagger (moles/cm ³)
Gd ³⁺	2	3.5	3.50	0	-3.44	6.0	-1.2	3.4	-	-
Tb ³⁺	3/2	6.0	4.60	40.0	-4.5	6.5	-4.4	4.6	-1.80	3.40
Dy ³⁺	4/3	7.5	5.30	45.0	-5.2	6.0	-3.2	3.6	-3.35	3.95
Ho ³⁺	5/4	8.0	4.98	51.5	-1.5	4.0	-4.0	2.4	-0.75	1.50
Er ³⁺	6/5	7.5	4.62	52.0	-0.5	2.2	-0.6	1.0	-0.75	1.25
Tm ³⁺	7/6	6.0	1.085	79.6	-1.0	17.0	0	0	-1.00	8.00
Yb ³⁺	8/7	3.5	1.49	64.8	-6.0	8.0	-1.0	8.8	-1.70	2.00

*Data derived from paramagnetic susceptibility measurements of Aleonard (Ref. 11).

†Results reported by Brandle and Blank (Ref. 12).




Fig. 1. Comparison between theory and experiment for n_B -vs- T curves of $Y_z Gd_{3-z} Fe_5 O_{12}$, using data of Vassiliev *et al.* (Ref. 13), Harrison and Hodges (Ref. 9), and Anderson *et al.* (Ref. 14).

Examples of the precision of the fits between calculated curves and experimental data points are given in Figs. 2 through 7. For these compositions, it was necessary to introduce an effective angular momentum $J'_c < J_c$ to produce an exact fit at $T = 0$ K. The values of J'_c required for this purpose are given in Table I, and suggest that a canting effect or departure from collinearity within the c sublattice likely accounts for the reduced c -sublattice moments. The extent of canting has been estimated by computing a canting angle ϕ , defined by the relation $J'_c = J_c \cos \phi$ (see Table I).

Because of the generally weak magnetic exchange coupling associated with c sublattices, this effect may be attributed to magnetocrystalline anisotropy. This argument is consistent with the fact that the correction of J_c for canting was not necessary with Gd^{3+} , the only ion of the group without an orbital contribution to J_c and the spin-orbit coupling energy required for a first-order anisotropy effect.

To demonstrate the program's capability with different rare-earth ions in the same composition, complete curves are presented in Fig. 8 for the system $Y_{2.7-x} Gd_x Dy_{0.3} Fe_5 O_{12}$ together with available experimental data,⁹ and a comparison between theoretical and experimental compensation temperatures¹⁰ for $Er_x Gd_{3-x} Fe_5 O_{12}$ is given in Fig. 9.

IV. DISCUSSION

In work reported by Aleonard,¹¹ molecular-field coefficients were determined for these compositions from paramagnetic susceptibility measurements above the Curie temperatures. Although these values (see Table I) could not be expected to produce accurate n_B -vs- T curves, it is interesting that their relative magnitudes agree at least qualitatively with those determined in this work.

More recent values of these coefficients have been reported by Brandle and Blank¹² and are also included in Table I for comparison. These values were determined in a manner similar to




Fig. 2. Comparison between theory and experiment for the n_B -vs- T curve of $Tb_3Fe_5O_{12}$, using data of Pauthenet (Ref. 5).




Fig. 3. Comparison between theory and experiment for the n_B -vs- T curve of $Dy_3Fe_5O_{12}$, using data of Pauthenet (Ref. 5) and Vassiliev et al. (Ref. 7).




Fig. 4. Comparison between theory and experiment for the n_B -vs- T curve of $\text{Ho}_3\text{Fe}_5\text{O}_{12}$, using data of Pauthenet (Ref. 5) and Vassiliev *et al.* (Ref. 7).




Fig. 5. Comparison between theory and experiment for the n_B -vs- T curve of $\text{Er}_3\text{Fe}_5\text{O}_{12}$, using data of Pauthenet (Ref. 5).




Fig. 6. Comparison between theory and experiment for the n_B -vs-T curve of $Tm_3Fe_5O_{12}$, using data of Geller *et al.* (Ref. 8).




Fig. 7. Comparison between theory and experiment for the n_B -vs-T curve of $Yb_3Fe_5O_{12}$, using data of Pauthenet (Ref. 5).




Fig. 8. Comparison between theory and experiment for n_B -vs- T curves of $Y_{2.7-x}Gd_xDy_{0.3}Fe_5O_{12}$, using data of Harrison and Hodges (Ref. 9).




Fig. 9. Comparison between theory and experiment for compensation temperatures of $Er_xGd_{3-x}Fe_5O_{12}$, using data of Villers *et al.* (Ref. 10).

the procedure used here, but the work did not, in general, include a fit to the low-temperature points at $T \sim 0$ K. Consequently, the effect of canting was not taken into account and reduced values of J_c were not used in the determination of N_{ac} and N_{cd} . With these higher values of J_c , the molecular-field coefficients required to produce accurate n_B -vs- T curves over the higher temperature range would necessarily have to be smaller than those reported in this work. The differences in magnitude of the coefficients are discernible in Table I by inspection of the respective values and are consistent with this observation. However, it should also be pointed out that for practical purposes either set of coefficients will produce accurate fits over the higher temperature ranges where most applications are likely to be found, provided that the appropriate values of J_c are employed with each set.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is indebted to Dr. Paul F. Tumelty of Allied Chemical Corporation for helpful suggestions on the work reported, as well as Rodney Lewis and Susan Rajunas for composing the computer program listed in Appendix B.

REFERENCES

1. L. Néel, *Ann. Phys. (Leipzig)* 3, 137 (1948).
2. G. F. Dionne, *J. Appl. Phys.* 41, 4874 (1970).
3. G. F. Dionne, "Magnetic Moment Versus Temperature Curves of Ferri-magnetic Garnet Materials," Technical Report 480, Lincoln Laboratory, M.I.T. (9 September 1970), DDC AD-715284.
4. G. F. Dionne, *J. Appl. Phys.* 42, 2142 (1971).
5. R. Pauthenet, thesis (University of Grenoble, 1958) (unpublished).
6. A. Vassiliev, J. Nicolas, and M. Hildebrandt, *C. R. Acad. Sci.* 252, 2681 (1961).
7. A. Vassiliev, J. Nicolas, and M. Hildebrandt, *C. R. Acad. Sci.* 253, 242 (1961).
8. S. Geller, J. P. Remeika, R. C. Sherwood, H. J. Williams, and G. D. Espinosa, *Phys. Rev.* 137, A1034 (1965).
9. G. R. Harrison and L. R. Hodges, Jr., *J. Appl. Phys.* 33, 1375S (1962).
10. G. Villers, J. Loriers, and C. Claudel, *C. R. Acad. Sci.* 247, 710 (1958).
11. R. Aleonard, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* 15, 167 (1960).
12. C. D. Brandle and S. L. Blank, *IEEE Trans. Magn.* MAG-12, 14 (1976).
13. A. Vassiliev, J. Nicolas, and M. Hildebrandt, *C. R. Acad. Sci.* 252, 2529 (1961).
14. E. E. Anderson, J. R. Cunningham, Jr., and G. E. McDuffie, *Phys. Rev.* 116, 624 (1959).

APPENDIX A

For the three sublattice case with as many as three different magnetic ions in the c sublattice, the Néel theory must be augmented as follows:

$$M = |M_d - M_a - M_{cx} - M_{cy} - M_{cz}| \quad , \quad (A-1)$$

where the subscripts d, a, and c refer to the tetrahedral, octahedral, and dodecahedral sublattices, and x, y, and z represent different c-sublattice magnetic ions. For each sublattice, $M_i(T) = M_i(0) B_{S_i}(x_i)$, with

$$\begin{aligned} x_d &= \frac{S_d g_d \mu_B}{kT} (N_{dd} M_d + N_{ad} M_a + N_{cdx} M_{cx} + N_{cdy} M_{cy} + N_{cdz} M_{cz}) \quad , \\ x_a &= \frac{S_a g_a \mu_B}{kT} (N_{ad} M_d + N_{aa} M_a + N_{acx} M_{cx} + N_{acy} M_{cy} + N_{acz} M_{cz}) \quad , \\ x_{cx} &= \frac{J'_{cx} g_{cx} \mu_B}{kT} (N_{cdx} M_d + N_{acx} M_a + N_{ccx} M_{cx}) \quad , \\ x_{cy} &= J'_{cy} g_{cy} \mu_B (N_{cdy} M_d + N_{acy} M_a + N_{ccy} M_{cy}) \quad , \\ x_{cz} &= J'_{cz} g_{cz} \mu_B (N_{cdz} M_d + N_{acz} M_a + N_{ccz} M_{cz}) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (A-2)$$

where B_s is the Brillouin function, k is Boltzmann's constant, and μ_B is the Bohr Magneton. For practical purposes, it may be assumed that N_{ccx} , N_{ccy} , and $N_{ccz} \approx 0$.

At $T = 0$ K, the magnetic moments per formula unit are given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_d(0) &= 3g_d S_d \mu_B N(1 - k_d) (1 - 0.1 k_a) \quad , \\ M_a(0) &= 2g_a S_a \mu_B N(1 - k_a) (1 - k_d^{5.4}) \quad , \\ M_{cx}(0) &= 3xg_{cx} J'_{cx} \mu_B N(1 - k_c) \quad , \\ M_{cy}(0) &= 3yg_{cy} J'_{cy} \mu_B N(1 - k_c) \quad , \\ M_{cz}(0) &= 3zg_{cz} J'_{cz} \mu_B N(1 - k_c) \quad , \end{aligned}$$

where N is Avogadro's number, and k_d , k_a , and k_c are the fractions of nonmagnetic ions in the respective sublattices, and x, y, and z represent the respective fractions of magnetic ions in the c sublattice (i.e., $x + y + z = 1$).

APPENDIX B
COMPUTER PROGRAM

```

C   MAIN PROGRAM FOR 3 MOMENTS-- USING SUBROUTINE MOM3 FOR CON-
C   VERGENCE. G. DIONNE
      IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,K,M,N,O-Z)
      REAL*8 JCPX,JCPY,JCPZ
      REAL*4 TT,YY
      COMMON /CM1/ SD,SA,JCPX,JCPY,JCPZ,MUB,K,BN,GD,GA,GCX,GCY,GCZ,X,Y,Z
      COMMON /CM2/ NDD,NAA,NAD,NACX,NACY,NACZ,NCDX,NCDY,NCDZ,NCCX,NCCY,
1     NCCZ
      COMMON /CM3/ KD,KA,KC
      COMMON /ANS/ N,ND1,NA1,MCX1,MCY1,MCZ1,NBANS
      DIMENSION TEMP(200),NB(200),KAA(21),KDD(21),NBB(21,200),MSAVE(21),
1     KCC(5)
C   THE FOLLOWING ARRAYS ARE USED IN PLOTTING ONLY
      DIMENSION TITLE(9),YY(1700),TT(1700),LC(21)
C   T1 AND T2 ARE LABELS FOR THE X AND Y AXES
      DATA T1/'TEMP'/,T2/'NB '/
      DATA KCC/.8D0,.7D0,.6D0,.5D0,.4D0/
C   THE FOLLOWING TWO LINES REFER TO ROUTINES ACCESSIBLE TO USERS OF
C   LINCOLN'S 360/67 AND PERTAIN ONLY TO THE PLOTTING
      CALL TEKZZ(2)
C   CALL STOIDV(' G. DIONNE',9,0)
      CALL ENTERG('G. DIONNE',9)
      NGRAPH = 2
      SD=2.5
      SA=2.5
      MUB=9.27E-21
      K=1.38E-16
      BN=6.023E+23
      GD=2.0
      GA=2.0
      WRITE(6,208)
208  FORMAT(' INPUT KA,KC,KD')
      READ(5,*) KA,KC,KD
      WRITE(6,209)
209  FORMAT(' INPUT X,Y,Z')
      READ(5,*) X,Y,Z
      WRITE(6,210)
210  FORMAT(' INPUT GCX,GCY,GCZ')
      READ(5,*) GCX,GCY,GCZ
      WRITE(6,212)
212  FORMAT(' INPUT JCPX,JCPY,JCPZ')
      READ(5,*) JCPX,JCPY,JCPZ
      IF(Y.EQ.0.) JCPY = 2.
      IF(Z.EQ.0.) JCPZ = 2.
      IF(Y.EQ.0.) GCY = 2.
      IF(Z.EQ.0.) GCZ = 2.
      WRITE(6,211)
211  FORMAT(' INPUT NACX,NACY,NACZ,NCDX,NCDY,NCDZ')
      READ(5,*) NACX,NACY,NACZ,NCDX,NCDY,NCDZ
      NCCY = 0.
      NCCZ = 0.
      NCCX = 0.
C   INITIAL KA VALUE
      KAA(1)=-.00
C   INITIAL KD VALUE

```

```

KDD(1)=-.00
C INCREMENT FOR KA AND KD
DK=.01
C INCREMENT FOR TEMPERATURE
DT=10.
C NUMBER OF CURVES PER PAGE (NUMBER OF KD'S USED)
ICURVE=1
DO 59 L=1,ICURVE
KAA(L)=KAA(1)+(L-1)*DK
59 KDD(L)=KDD(1)+(L-1)*DK
C DO 218 LRR=1,5
C KC=KCC(LRR)
C CHANGED 1, 6 TO 1,1
DO 100 LKA=1,1
C KA=KAA(LKA)
C THE FOLLOWING 3 LINES ARE RELEVANT ONLY TO THE PLOTTING
IXZ=0
NMAX=-25.E+25
NMIN=25.E+25
DO 200 LKD=1,ICURVE
C KD=KDD(LKD)
NDD=-30.4*(1.-.87*KA)
NAA=-65.*(1.-1.26*KD)
NAD=97.*(1.-.25*KA-.38*KD)
TEMP(1)=0.0
TEMP(2)=20.
IF(KD.LT..10.OR.KA.LT..10.OR.KC.LT..10) TEMP(2)=40.
IF(TEMP(2).EQ.40.) GO TO 21
TEMP(29)=293.
TEMP(35)=343.
DO 31 L=2,28
31 TEMP(L)=TEMP(2)+(L-2)*DT
DO 32 L=30,34
32 TEMP(L)=TEMP(28)+(L-29)*DT
DO 33 L=36,72
33 TEMP(L)=TEMP(34)+(L-35)*DT
GO TO 22
21 TEMP(28)=293.
TEMP(34)=343.
DO 34 L=2,27
34 TEMP(L)=TEMP(2)+(L-2)*DT
DO 35 L=29,33
35 TEMP(L)=TEMP(27)+(L-28)*DT
DO 36 L=35,72
36 TEMP(L)=TEMP(33)+(L-34)*DT
22 CALL MOM3
M1=1.
M2=-9999.
DO 70 L=1,72
T=TEMP(L)
CALL CONTIN(T,ITER,IER)
IF(IER.EQ.1) GO TO 150
IF(TEMP(2).EQ.20..AND.L.EQ.29) M1=M
IF(TEMP(2).EQ.20..AND.L.EQ.35) M2=M
IF(TEMP(2).EQ.40..AND.L.EQ.28) M1=M

```

```

IF (TEMP(2).EQ.40..AND.L.EQ.34) M2=M
NBB(LKD,L)=NBANS
70 CONTINUE
150 IF (IER.EQ.1) LC(LKD)=L-1
IF (IER.EQ.0) LC(LKD)=L
MSAVE(LKD)=(M1-M2)/M1
LE=LC(LKD)
C THE FOLLOWING DO LOOP FORMS THE ARRAY OF ABSCISSAS FOR THE POINTS
C TO BE PLOTTED
DO 77 LA=1,LE
IXZ=IXZ+1
IF (TEMP(LA).EQ.150.) I150 = IXZ
77 TT (IXZ)=TEMP(LA)
200 CONTINUE
WRITE (6,4) KC
4 FORMAT (//' KC=',F5.2)
WRITE (6,888)
DO 98 LN=1,ICURVE
98 WRITE (6,999) KA,KDD(LN),NBB(LN,1),TEMP(LC(LN)),MSAVE(LN)
888 FORMAT (/10X,'KA',7X,'KD',7X,'NB AT TEMP=0',7X,'TEMP LIMIT',
17X,'(M1-M2)/M1')
999 FORMAT (8X,F5.3,4X,F5.3,8X,F8.3,10X,F8.3,7X,E12.5)
C THE FOLLOWING DO LOOP FORMS THE ARRAY OF ORDINATES FOR THE POINTS
C TO BE PLOTTED
IN=0
DO 61 LKD=1,ICURVE
LP=LC(LKD)
DO 61 LZ=1,LP
IN=IN+1
IF (LC(LKD).EQ.1) GO TO 61
IF (NBB(LKD,LZ).GT.NMAX) NMAX=NBB(LKD,LZ)
IF (NBB(LKD,LZ).LT.NMIN) NMIN=NBB(LKD,LZ)
61 YY(IN)=NBB(LKD,LZ)
C WRITE OUT VALUE OF NB AT 150 DEGREES
WRITE (6,1001) YY(I150)
1001 FORMAT (' NB AT 150 DEGREES = ',E13.6)
CALL TEKERS(1)
CALL PLOTLN(LE,TT,0.0,0.0,YY,0.0,0.0,0,'T(DEG. KELVIN)',14,
1'NB',2,'MAGNETIC MOMENT',15,NGRAPH)
CALL FILFRG(1)
100 CONTINUE
218 CONTINUE
C THIS CALL SIGNALS THE END OF PLOTTING
99 CALL EXIT
STOP
END
C CALCULATION OF M VS TEMP FOR GARNETS WITH GD (+03)
SUBROUTINE MON3
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,K,M,N,O-Z)
REAL*8 JCPX,JCPY,JCPZ
COMMON /CM1/ SD,SA,JCPX,JCPY,JCPZ,MUB,K,BN,GD,GA,GCX,GCY,GCZ,X,Y,Z
COMMON /CM2/ NDD,NAA,NAD,NACK,NACY,NACZ,NCDK,NCDY,NCDZ,NCCX,NCCY,
1NCCZ
COMMON /CM3/ KD,KA,KC
COMMON /ANS/ M,MD1,MA1,MCX1,MCY1,MCZ1,NB

```

```

P=HUB*BN
MDO=3.*GD*SD*P*(1.-KD)*(1.-1*KA)
MAO=2.*GA*SA*P*(1.-KA)*(1.-KD** (5.4))
MCXO = 3.0*X*GCX*JCPX*P*(1.-KC)
MCYO = 3.0*Y*GCY*JCPY*P*(1.-KC)
MCZO = 3.0*Z*GCZ*JCPZ*P*(1.-KC)
C1=1./(2.*SD)
C2=(2.*SD+1.)*C1
C3=1./(2.*SA)
C4=(2.*SA+1.)*C3
C5X = 1./(2.*JCPX)
C5Y = 1./(2.*JCPY)
C5Z = 1./(2.*JCPZ)
C6X = (2.*JCPX+1.)*C5X
C6Y = (2.*JCPY+1.)*C5Y
C6Z = (2.*JCPZ+1.)*C5Z
MD=MDO
MA=MAO
MCX=MCXO
MCY=MCYO
MCZ=MCZO
MD1=MDO
MA1=MAO
MCX1=MCXO
MCY1=MCYO
MCZ1=MCZO
RETURN
C*****
ENTRY CONTIN(T, ITER, IER)
ITER=0
IER=0
IF (T.EQ.0.0) H=DABS(MD-MA-MCX-MCY-MCZ)
IF (T.EQ.0.0) GO TO 55
FR = HUB/(K*T)
IX=1
9 ITER=ITER+1
IF (ITER.GE.300) GO TO 999
XD=GD*SD*FR*(NDD*MD+NAD*MA+NCDX*MCX+NCDY*MCY+NCDZ*MCZ)
XA=GA*SA*FR*(NAA*MA+NAD*MD+NACX*MCX+NACY*MCY+NACZ*MCZ)
XCX=GCX*JCPX*FR*(NCCX*MCX+NCDY*MD+
1NACX*MA)
XCY=GCY*JCPY*FR*(NCCY*MCY+NCDY*MD+
1NACY*MA)
C WRITE (6,*) GCZ, JCPZ, FR, NCCZ, MCZ, NCDZ, MD, NACZ, MA
XCZ=GCZ*JCPZ*FR*(NCCZ*MCZ+
1NCDZ*MD+NACZ*MA)
C WRITE (6,*) XCZ
C WRITE (6,*) XCZ
BSD=C2*DCOSH(XD*C2)/DSINH(XD*C2)-C1*DCOSH(XD*C1)/DSINH(XD*C1)
BSA=C4*DCOSH(XA*C4)/DSINH(XA*C4)-C3*DCOSH(XA*C3)/DSINH(XA*C3)
IF (X.NE.0.0) BSCX=C6X*DCOSH(XCX*C6X)/DSINH(XCX*C6X)-C5X*DCOSH(XCX*
1C5X)/DSINH(XCX*C5X)
IF (Y.NE.0.0) BSCY=C6Y*DCOSH(XCY*C6Y)/DSINH(XCY*C6Y)-C5Y*DCOSH(XCY*
1C5Y)/DSINH(XCY*C5Y)
IF (Z.NE.0.0) BSCZ=C6Z*DCOSH(XCZ*C6Z)/DSINH(XCZ*C6Z)-C5Z*DCOSH(XCZ*

```

FILE: DI3PUB2 FORTRAN A 1/08/79 15:07 M.I.T. LINCOLN LABORATORY

```
1C5Z)/DSINH(XCZ*C5Z)
IF(X.EQ.0.0) BSCX = 0.0
IF(Y.EQ.0.0) BSCY = 0.0
IF(Z.EQ.0.0) BSCZ = 0.0
MD2=MDO*BSD
MA2=MAO*BSA
MCX2=MCXO*BSCX
MCY2=MCYO*BSCY
MCZ2=MCZO*BSCZ
GO TO (13,14),IX
13 IX=2
MD1=MD
MA1=MA2
MCX1=MCX2
MCY1=MCY2
MCZ1=MCZ2
GO TO 15
14 IX=1
MD1=MD2
MA1=MA
MCX1=MCX2
MCY1=MCY2
MCZ1=MCZ2
15 IF((DABS(MA1-MA).LT..0001.AND.DABS(MD1-MD).LT..0001)
1.AND.(DABS(MCX1-MCX).LT..0001.AND.(DABS(MCY1-MCY).LT..0001.AND.
1DABS(MCZ1-MCZ).LT..0001))) GOTO 8
MD=MD1
MA=MA1
MCX=MCX1
MCY=MCY1
MCZ=MCZ1
IF(MD.LT.0.0.OR.MA.LT.0.0.OR.MCX.LT.0.0.OR.MCY.LT.0.0.OR.MCZ.LT.0.
1) GOTO 999
GO TO 9
8 M=DABS(MD-MA-MCX-MCY-MCZ)
55 NB=M/(HUB*BN)
GO TO 888
999 IER=1
888 RETURN
END
```

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

19 REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 19 ESD-TR-79-179	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) 6 Magnetic Moment Versus Temperature Curves of Rare-Earth Iron Garnets.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 9 Technical Report
7. AUTHOR(s) 10 Gerald F. Dionne		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER Technical Report 534
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Lincoln Laboratory, M.I.T. P.O. Box 73 Lexington, MA 02173 14 TR-534		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 15 F19628-78-C-0002
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Ballistic Missile Defense Program Office Department of the Army 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333 22		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Project No. 8X363304D215 16
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Electronic Systems Division Hanscom AFB Bedford, MA 01731		12. REPORT DATE 11 11 July 1979
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 24
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES None		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) molecular-field coefficients magnetic-moment-vs-temperature curves spin canting paramagnetic susceptibility c sublattice magnetization-temperature calculations heavy rare-earth iron garnets		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Molecular-field coefficients of the heavy rare-earth iron garnets (Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, and YbIG) have been determined by fitting calculated and experimental magnetic-moment-vs-temperature curves. For these compositions, spin canting appears to cause a significant reduction in the net moment of the c sublattice. The results are compared with values of coefficients derived from paramagnetic susceptibility measurements and with other values determined without taking into account c-sublattice canting. The capability of the computer program has been expanded to permit magnetization-temperature calculations of compositions that contain as many as three different rare earth ions in the c sublattice.		

AD-A077 356

MASSACHUSETTS INST OF TECH LEXINGTON LINCOLN LAB

F/G 11/6

MAGNETIC MOMENT VERSUS TEMPERATURE CURVES OF RARE-EARTH IRON GA--ETC(U)

JUL 79 G F DIONNE

F19628-78-C-0002

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-534

ESD-TR-79-179

NL

2 OF 2
AD
A077356



SUPPLEMENTARY
INFORMATION

[Illegible text]


[Illegible text]

END
DATE
FILMED
10-81
DTIC

OF

AD

A077356



SUPPLEMENTARY

INFORMATION

ESD-TR-79-179

AD A077356

ERRATA SHEET

for

TECHNICAL REPORT 534

The author of Technical Report 534 (G. F. Dionne, "Magnetic Moment versus Temperature Curves of Ferrimagnetic Garnet Materials," 11 July 1979) has discovered that incorrect values of the parameter N_{ac} were presented in Table I (page 2). The revised Table I contains the correct values, and should replace the original Table I.

On line 6 of page 11, a more precise definition of the Brillouin function

$B_{s_i}(x_i)$ is $B_{J'_i}(x_i)$, where $J'_a = S_a$ and $J'_d = S_d$.

27 July 1981

Publications
M. I. T. Lincoln Laboratory
P. O. Box 73
Lexington, MA 02173

81 8 10 136

TABLE I
MOLECULAR-FIELD COEFFICIENTS OF RARE-EARTH IRON GARNETS

c-site ion	g_c	J_c	J'_c	ϕ (deg)	N_{ac}^* (moles/cm ³)	N_{cd}^* (moles/cm ³)	N_{ac}^\dagger (moles/cm ³)	N_{cd}^\dagger (moles/cm ³)
Gd ³⁺	2	3.5	3.50	0	-3.44	6.0	-1.2	3.4
Tb ³⁺	3/2	6.0	4.60	40.0	-4.2	6.5	-4.4	4.6
Dy ³⁺	4/3	7.5	5.30	45.0	-4.0	6.0	-3.2	3.6
Ho ³⁺	5/4	8.0	4.98	51.5	-2.1	4.0	-4.0	2.4
Er ³⁺	6/5	7.5	4.62	52.0	-0.2	2.2	-0.6	1.0
Tm ³⁺	7/6	6.0	1.085	79.6	-1.0	17.0	0	0
Yb ³⁺	8/7	3.5	1.49	64.8	-4.0	8.0	-1.0	8.8

*Data derived from paramagnetic susceptibility measurements of Leonard (Ref. 11).

†Results reported by Brandle and Blank (Ref. 12).