

AD A 077837

DDC FILE COPY

14
CNA-PA-1
9
PROFESSIONAL PAPER 264
November 1979
12
42
2
7

6
**THE U.S. NAVY IN THE
PACIFIC: PAST, PRESENT, AND
GLIMPSES OF THE FUTURE**

10
Robert G. Weinland

DDC
RECEIVED
DEC 7 1979
A

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited



403 542
CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES

2000 North Beauregard Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22311

033

PROFESSIONAL PAPER 264 / November 1979

THE U.S. NAVY IN THE PACIFIC: PAST, PRESENT, AND GLIMPSES OF THE FUTURE

Robert G. Weinland



Institute of Naval Studies ✓

CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES

2000 North Beauregard Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22311

Robert G. Weinland
Center for Naval Analyses

Availability Codes	
Dist.	Avail and/or special
A	

This is a personal, not an official, assessment. As such, it does not necessarily reflect the views of the Center for Naval Analyses, the U.S. Navy, or any other component of the U.S. Government.

INTRODUCTION

It is one thing to observe the changes that have taken place over the last ten years in the size and character of the U.S. military presence in East Asia and the Western Pacific. It is quite another to forecast the nature of that presence ten years from now. One is, of course, tempted simply to extrapolate the past into the future.

Some succumb to that temptation. They look at the U.S. withdrawal from Vietnam and Thailand. They look at the reduction in the strength of the U.S. forces that remain in Korea and the Western Pacific. They look at the refocusing of U.S. security concerns on Europe. And based on what they see they conclude that the United States is retreating from Asia.

But simple extrapolation often misleads; and it clearly does so in this case. One reason is obvious: in concentrating on change one tends to neglect stability, and in certain fundamental respects the U.S. military presence in the Asian-Pacific region hasn't changed at all. There is another, less obvious but in the long run more important reason as well: the processes that brought about the changes that have taken place in that presence over the last ten years, and those likely to produce change during the next ten years, are quite different.

Ten years ago the U.S. Pacific Command had far more men, ships, and aircraft than it has today. But ten years ago the United States was fighting a high-intensity war in Southeast Asia,

and the Pacific Command's requirements for men and materiel (tactical air and ground forces in particular) were understandably very large. Today the United States is no longer at war and those requirements have been revised accordingly. Pacific Command's efforts are now concentrated on maintaining stability in Northeast Asia. It must also be prepared to conduct crisis-management operations in the Indian Ocean -- most importantly, to insure the continued flow of oil from the Persian Gulf to the United States and its allies in both Europe and Asia. A different set of forces is required to carry out these tasks.¹

This modification both in the use and in the strength and composition of U.S. forces in the Asian-Pacific region reflects more than just the end of the War in Vietnam. Other processes have had an impact as well. One was a fundamental rethinking of the role the United States ought to play in the international arena. A second was a redefinition of the threat posed to the United States, its allies, and its interests in Asia. A third has been an attempt to redress the imbalances that were allowed to develop in Europe while the United States was preoccupied with Vietnam. A fourth, the reduction in the overall strength of the U.S. Navy, will be discussed at some length below.

The magnitude and the origins of the changes that already have taken place in the U.S. posture, the changes that are occurring now in the international environment in Asia and the

Pacific, and the changes that might result from the current U.S. reappraisal of its naval forces all raise legitimate questions regarding the future of the Pacific Command and especially its naval component: the United States Pacific Fleet. The objectives of this discussion are to review and explain the most significant change that has taken place over the last decade in the Pacific Fleet -- the reduction in its strength by more than half -- and on the basis of what is going on now, to outline some of the changes that are and are not likely to be made in the future, especially in that component of the fleet deployed forward in Asian waters.

This concentration on naval forces should not obscure two facts: although probably the most useful (and certainly the most used), the Navy is not the only element of U.S. military power present or employable in Asia; and military forces are not the only instruments available to the United States for the implementation of its foreign policy.² Nor, as indicated earlier, should concentration on what has changed obscure the fact that some things -- such as the U.S. commitment to honor its obligations to its allies -- have not changed.

Reappraisal, even revision, of means does not necessarily imply revision of ends.

DETERMINANTS OF THE U.S. POSTURE

Three factors play a major role in determining the strength and composition of the U.S. Pacific Fleet and the character of its deployments in Asian waters. None is completely independent of the others. All are important.

The first factor is the complex of threats perceived to be posed to the United States, its allies and its interests in the region. Now and for the foreseeable future, the Soviet Union can be judged the most important source of such threats.* This has not always been the case, however, and the Soviet Union should not be seen as their only source.³

The second factor is the estimated requirement for U.S. forces in the region. This estimate has two components. One is derived from assessments of the ability of allies to defend themselves and their vital interests. The other is derived from identification of the forces necessary for the direct defense of the United States (given a certain strategy for the conduct of that defense), the additional forces necessary to augment allies' defenses (given established policies regarding the nature and extent of such assistance and a certain strategy for providing

*This is not the appropriate point for an extended discussion of threats, either real or perceived. Suffice it to say that, in the opinion of this observer, and in regard to the three values noted (self, allies, interests), the Soviets are the primary source of threat in northeast Asia and the Pacific. That threat is significant. And it is increasing -- albeit gradually.

it), and whatever else may be involved in the protection and promotion of U.S. overseas interests.

The third factor is the actual availability of U.S. forces for use in the region. Availability has three antecedents. One is the size and configuration of the U.S. force structure. Another is competition among requirements for those forces. The last is the priorities according to which those forces are allocated to meet these requirements.

This discussion concentrates on two of those factors: requirements for and availability of forces. Neither is as well or as widely understood as it should be; and understanding the dynamics of both is important. It is especially important when, as in this discussion, one focuses not on a whole (the U.S. Navy) but on one of its parts (a constituent fleet). It is natural to assume that changes in that fleet are linked to developments in its operating environment, and very often that is so. But sometimes it is not.

Some of the changes that have taken place in the Pacific Fleet over the last ten years are direct reflections of specific developments in the region; they would not have taken place had those developments not occurred. Other changes, however, although played out in the Pacific, had their origins elsewhere; they would have taken place regardless of what was going on in the region. Confusing the two can lead to misunderstanding both the intentions behind, and the limits on, such changes.

WHAT HAS CHANGED

There are two quite different and equally valid viewpoints from which to examine what has happened to the U.S. naval posture in the Pacific over the last decade. One is the view from Asia. The other is the view from the United States.

Both focus on the same fact. The U.S. Pacific Fleet has been reduced in strength by more than half. It had 503 ships in 1968; in early 1978 it had 206 (see table 1).

From the Asian perspective, among the first things likely to be noted are the consequences of this reduction. One of the most obvious of these is the reduction in the strength of that portion of the force deployed in the Western Pacific: the U.S. Seventh Fleet. In mid 1968, 178 ships were operating with the Seventh Fleet; in early 1978 only 45 were deployed there (see table 2). The reduction in the size of the Seventh Fleet's immediate backup force -- the remainder of the Pacific Fleet -- is not likely to escape attention either.

From the U.S. perspective, the first thing likely to be noted is the primary cause of the reduction in the strength of the Pacific Fleet: the reduction in the overall size of the U.S. Navy. In 1968, its active general purpose force strength was 932 ships; by early 1978 that number had dropped to 418 (see table 1).

Changes of this magnitude are dramatic under any circumstances. This reduction in the overall strength of the U.S. Navy

TABLE 1: US NAVY GENERAL PURPOSE FORCE
STRENGTH AND DISPOSITION -- 1958, 1968, 1978 (1)(2)

SHIP TYPES	1958		1968		1978	
	ATLANTIC	PACIFIC	ATLANTIC	PACIFIC	ATLANTIC	PACIFIC
<u>Aircraft Carriers</u>						
Attack	6*	9	6*	9	7*	6
ASW	6	5	4	4	---	---
<u>Surface Combatants</u>						
Battleship				(1)		
Cruiser	7	9		(13)	11	16
Destroyer	140	104		(240)	34	30
Escort/Frigate	49	37		(59)	32	33
Patrol					2	1
Attack Submarines	74	43		(115)	43	36
<u>Amphibious Warfare Vessels</u>						
Vessels	39	86		(157)	31	32
Mine Warfare Vessels	42	43		(86)	3	---
Auxiliaries	122	124		(247)	49	52
<u>Fleet Totals</u>						
(General Purpose Forces)	487	463	429	503	212	206
<u>Navy Totals</u>						
(General Purpose Forces)		901		932		418

*Includes one unit for pilot training (no active air wing).

(1) Sources:

- 1958 -- Author's estimates, based on information presented in: James C. Fahey, The Ships and Aircraft of the United States Fleet, 7th Ed., Falls Church, VA.: Ships and Aircraft, 1958.
- 1968 -- Detailed information on fleet disposition in 1968 is not available. That presented here is extracted from: Department of Defense Annual Report for Fiscal Year 1968, Washington, D.C.: GPO, 1971, pp. 317, 318. Information on overall strength (in parentheses) supplied by the Office of Naval History.
- 1978 -- "Statement of Admiral James L. Holloway III, USN, Chief of Naval Operations, Concerning the Fiscal Year 1979 Military Posture and Fiscal Year 1979 Budget of the United States Navy," np (Washington, D.C.) processed, nd (1978), p. 73.

- (2) Data for 1958 and 1968 are illustrative only. Since the structure of the active fleet changes continuously, as new units are commissioned and old units retired, it is exceedingly difficult to reconstruct the precise composition of the force at any particular point.

TABLE 2: U.S. SEVENTH FLEET GENERAL
PURPOSE FORCE STRENGTH -- 1968 AND 1978

	1968 ¹	1978 ²
Aircraft Carriers	6*	2**
Cruisers	4	5
Destroyers	40	6
Escorts/Frigates	10	8
Attack Submarines	9	6
Amphibious Warfare Vessels	32	8
Mine Warfare Vessels	13	---
Auxiliaries	64	10
Fleet Total	178	45

*4 CVA, 2 CVS

**2 CV

¹As of 15 July 1968. Information supplied by the Office of Naval History.

²As of "a representative day," (presumably in early 1978). Extracted from a table describing fleet readiness status in: "Statement of Admiral James L. Holloway III, USN, Chief of Naval Operations, Concerning the Fiscal Year 1979 Military Posture and Fiscal Year 1979 Budget of the United States Navy," np (Washington, D.C.) processed, nd (1978), p. 78. Actually, the forces listed here are depicted in that table only as being assigned to CINCPACFLT, and deployed. Seventh Fleet forces are, however, both.

was especially so. For one thing, it brought an end to an era of relatively high and stable force levels. Fluctuations in the size of the Navy had occurred before, most notably the reduction in strength from the World War II high of 5718 ships to the pre-Korean War low of 647.⁴ But after the Korean War there had been only comparatively minor fluctuations around a comparatively high figure: the average active strength of the Navy between 1946 and 1972 was 978 ships.⁵ Secondly, in contrast to the immediate post World War II reduction in strength, which was for the most part accomplished by transferring units to the reserve and as a result could be readily reversed, this reduction was accomplished primarily by sending them to the scrap yard.

The differences between these two reductions in overall U.S. naval strength are important. The immediate post World War II cutback was initiated because then existing naval force levels were considered to be in excess of requirements. The reduction in strength in the early 1970s was carried out despite the fact that then existing naval force levels were considered to be below requirements -- because, to put it bluntly, it had to be.⁶ A significant fraction of the Navy was reaching the end of its useful life. These ships had been built during or shortly after World War II. Many had been modified subsequently in the attempt to keep pace with developments in sensors and weaponry, but technology was forging ahead and it was not considered cost-effective to attempt to modify them further. They had to be replaced. In or-

der to help pay for their replacements, which clearly were going to be expensive, current costs had to be reduced substantially.* This meant significant numbers of the older, less effective ships in the force had to go. They went.

The ending of the War in Vietnam certainly facilitated this process. So did the redefinition of the threat considered to be posed to the United States, its allies, and its interests in the Asian-Pacific region -- in particular, the recognition of the impact on the region of intensified Sino-Soviet conflict,** the concomitant reduction in the perceived likelihood that the United States would have to fight a major war against the Peoples Republic of China, and the consequent modification in overall U.S. military requirements (from force levels sufficient to fight two and one half to those sufficient to fight one and one half simultaneous wars).

But neither of these developments was the driving factor in the reduction in the strength of the U.S. Navy. Given the resource reallocations brought about by Vietnam, it would have occurred anyway.

*Ordinarily, resources would have been provided well before such retirements became necessary so this replacement process could proceed in an orderly fashion. In this case, the War in Vietnam had absorbed those resources.

**Perceived as a potential inhibition to aggressive action on the mainland by either party.

The reduction in the overall strength of the U.S. Navy, however, was the driving factor in the reduction in the strength of the Pacific Fleet. And the latter, rather than any U.S. desire to withdraw from Asia, was the cause of the eventual reduction in the strength of its forward deployments to the Western Pacific. U.S. ends had not changed. The Pacific Fleet simply no longer possessed the means to continue steaming as before.

WHAT HAS NOT CHANGED

Two apparent constants stand out against the background of these changes. One is the strength of the U.S. commitment to honor its obligations to its allies. The other is the pattern of disposition and deployment of those naval forces the United States has available. Theoretically, both of these could have changed as well; but they haven't.*

The U.S. commitment to honor its obligations is a matter of principle, not expediency. As such, it applies at all times and in equal measure to each alliance relationship. The current U.S. emphasis on increasing the military capabilities of NATO does not, for instance, imply that the United States considers its obligations to its European allies more important than its obligations to its allies in Asia, only that it considers the current situation in Europe to be more threatening militarily than the current

*Some of those obligations have, however, been modified in treaty renegotiations,

situation in Asia, and hence more in need of attention -- and corrective military action.⁷

Evidence for the consistency of the U.S. commitment can be found in a variety of places, including the geographical disposition of its naval forces. For years, these forces have been divided almost equally (55%-45%) between the Atlantic and Pacific Fleets. Most deviations from this norm are readily explicable.⁸ Some reflect technical considerations.* Others reflect operational considerations. The latter are more indicative of U.S. intent. The most significant of these have occurred when the United States was engaged in combat (in Asia) and forces were withdrawn from the fleet that was not fighting (the Atlantic Fleet) to augment the fleet that was (the Pacific Fleet).

During the War in Vietnam, for example, the United States stripped many of the more capable systems from its forces deployed in or earmarked for Europe and sent them to Southeast Asia. When the war ended, it acted to reverse that process and restore the geographical balance.⁹ Throughout, its commitment to honor its obligations to its European allies remained unchanged, even though the means it had on hand to do so varied significantly -- as good an indication as any that the strength of U.S. forces

*The major deviation is in strategic forces. Target locations and missile ranges dictate that some 75% of the U.S. ballistic missile submarine force be located in the Atlantic.

immediately available to assist its allies is only, and should not be taken as more than, an imperfect indicator of the strength of this commitment. Means are not ends.

This comment notwithstanding, many hold that a second major feature of U.S. naval policy, the importance accorded the maintenance of substantial forward deployments (the Sixth Fleet in the Mediterranean, the Seventh Fleet in the Western Pacific), does provide additional evidence for the consistency of this commitment.¹⁰ And this view obviously has had a significant effect on U.S. naval practice. Ten years ago, when the U.S. Navy had a much larger force to draw upon for such deployments, maintaining substantial forces forward tended to stretch capabilities uncomfortably. Those forward deployments continue today, despite the fact that with a much smaller force to draw upon they stretch capabilities painfully.*¹¹ One of the principal reasons why the United States maintains this posture, in spite of the sharply increased costs of doing so, is the apprehension that its allies (and their potential opponents) would interpret a change in its forward deployments as a reflection of a change in its willingness to honor its obligations.¹² And this is one area where the

*Overseas home-porting, like that of the aircraft carrier Midway and other forces in Japan, helps relieve, but does not eliminate, this burden.

United States considers it vital that its intentions not be misunderstood -- i.e., that means not be taken for ends.

THE CURRENT SITUATION

Most of the processes that brought about the changes outlined above have run their course. New forces are at work now, and it is these, rather than their predecessors, that will have the greatest impact on the future strength and composition of the U.S. Pacific Fleet, and the character of its deployments in Asian waters. All three of the determinants of the U.S. naval posture in the Pacific identified at the outset of this discussion are involved.

The first of those determinants was the perceived threat to the U.S., its allies, and its interests. Certainly the most immediate threat to these values now and for the foreseeable future is that represented by the combination of the increasing dependence of the industrialized nations on Persian Gulf oil and the obvious fragility of the flow of oil to them from the Gulf -- a fragility that on the one hand already has been demonstrated at the source and on the other hand is inherent along sea lines of communication.¹³ A less imminent but potentially far more important threat to these values is that posed by another combination: increasing Soviet naval capabilities in the Pacific and increasing Soviet willingness to employ its forces in peacetime to advance its own state and ideological interests overseas -- especially,

but by no means exclusively, in the Third World.¹⁴ The threat posed by conflicts resulting from increasing competition for control of the resources located in and under the sea is difficult to assess, but cannot be overlooked.¹⁵

The second determinant was the requirement for U.S. forces. Allied capabilities to defend themselves and their vital interests are increasing, and should continue to do so. However, they are not likely to increase to the point where they offset the principal threats outlined above. Thus the requirement for U.S. forces to augment allied defenses -- particularly those of Japan and Korea -- in time of need can be taken as a given for the foreseeable future. On the other hand, precisely how the United States will render that assistance appears not to be as certain. The strategies that have prevailed until now are being reconsidered, and the outcome of that process is likely to have a significant impact on the composition of Pacific Fleet forces, the stance they adopt in peacetime, and the character of the operations they will undertake in wartime.¹⁶ The fact that the introduction of new weapons and sensors has already increased the combat capabilities of the Soviet navy substantially, and the prospect that it will continue to do so, also will have a significant impact on U.S. requirements.¹⁷

The third determinant of the U.S. naval posture in the Pacific was the availability of forces. This remains the key to the

future of that posture, but on entirely different grounds now. The decline in overall U.S. Naval strength appears to have ended. Active strength can now be expected to stabilize near its present level and then grow somewhat.* Meanwhile, the capabilities of existing units are being upgraded significantly as they receive new types of offensive and defensive weapons systems and sensors. HARPOON, the F-14/PHOENIX combination and towed array sonars are good examples of these improvements. To some extent, this increase in unit capability offsets the decline that has taken place in the Navy's numerical strength and at the same time reduces the number of replacement units that must be acquired -- 600 or so of today's units should provide a greater overall capability than the 900 or so of years past. The capabilities of potential opponents have increased as well, however, and, while the "reach" of naval combat systems has increased markedly, the simple fact remains that no ship can be in two places at the same time.

Competing requirements, and priorities for the allocation of forces among those requirements, are likely to play an increasing role in determining the availability of forces for use in the Pacific. Some of this competition is geographical: Asian versus European requirements, the requirement to not only assist in the direct defense of allies in Europe and Asia but insure the flow of

*As indicated below, the extent of this future growth remains to be determined.

but by no means exclusively, in the Third World.¹⁴ The threat posed by conflicts resulting from increasing competition for control of the resources located in and under the sea is difficult to assess, but cannot be overlooked.¹⁵

The second determinant was the requirement for U.S. forces. Allied capabilities to defend themselves and their vital interests are increasing, and should continue to do so. However, they are not likely to increase to the point where they offset the principal threats outlined above. Thus the requirement for U.S. forces to augment allied defenses -- particularly those of Japan and Korea -- in time of need can be taken as a given for the foreseeable future. On the other hand, precisely how the United States will render that assistance appears not to be as certain. The strategies that have prevailed until now are being reconsidered, and the outcome of that process is likely to have a significant impact on the composition of Pacific Fleet forces, the stance they adopt in peacetime, and the character of the operations they will undertake in wartime.¹⁶ The fact that the introduction of new weapons and sensors has already increased the combat capabilities of the Soviet navy substantially, and the prospect that it will continue to do so, also will have a significant impact on U.S. requirements.¹⁷

The third determinant of the U.S. naval posture in the Pacific was the availability of forces. This remains the key to the

future of that posture, but on entirely different grounds now. The decline in overall U.S. Naval strength appears to have ended. Active strength can now be expected to stabilize near its present level and then grow somewhat.* Meanwhile, the capabilities of existing units are being upgraded significantly as they receive new types of offensive and defensive weapons systems and sensors. HARPOON, the F-14/PHOENIX combination and towed array sonars are good examples of these improvements. To some extent, this increase in unit capability offsets the decline that has taken place in the Navy's numerical strength and at the same time reduces the number of replacement units that must be acquired -- 600 or so of today's units should provide a greater overall capability than the 900 or so of years past. The capabilities of potential opponents have increased as well, however, and, while the "reach" of naval combat systems has increased markedly, the simple fact remains that no ship can be in two places at the same time.

Competing requirements, and priorities for the allocation of forces among those requirements, are likely to play an increasing role in determining the availability of forces for use in the Pacific. Some of this competition is geographical: Asian versus European requirements, the requirement to not only assist in the direct defense of allies in Europe and Asia but insure the flow of

*As indicated below, the extent of this future growth remains to be determined.

oil to them from the Persian Gulf. But much of this competition isn't geographical.

Competition among differing peacetime requirements and between peacetime and wartime requirements in the same region is increasing. As noted above, the costs of maintaining substantial forward deployments in peacetime have increased significantly. Some of the direct costs of these deployments can be offset readily; many of the indirect costs cannot, especially where opportunities to employ resources differently have been foregone in order to keep forces forward. One of these opportunity costs is a reduction in the overall combat readiness of the force supporting those deployments. Another is a reduction in the ability of that force to deploy substantial numbers of additional units on short notice for crisis-management operations.¹⁸ As the capabilities of potential opponents increase, raising the threshold of credibility for the deterrent arrayed against them, the importance of having the flexibility to concentrate larger and more powerful groupings of forces whenever and wherever necessary also increases, and with it the true magnitude of those opportunity costs.

THE FUTURE

It is of course impossible to predict what the future will bring. It is possible, on the other hand, to narrow the range of uncertainty about the future -- to identify things that are not

likely to occur, and say something about the things that are. Or, it is possible to do so provided some understanding of the relevant processes and knowledge of what impels them is available.

Some of both is available in this case, enough to make it possible to avoid drawing the wrong conclusions, but not enough to make it possible to state with certainty what will happen. On the one hand, decisions crucial to the future of the U.S. Navy as a whole and by extension the future of the Pacific Fleet have yet to be made. On the other hand, not all the consequences of those decisions that already have been made are readily predictable. These decisions must first be transformed into policies, and those policies put into practice, before their results can be known with any confidence.

What follows is an attempt to outline three areas in which change in the U.S. naval posture in the Pacific is conceivable, the conditions under which such changes might occur, and the likelihood that they will in fact take place. One such area concerns the composition of the fleet and reflects the current U.S. reappraisal of the utility of naval forces. The second has to do with the role of the Pacific Fleet in conflicts outside the Pacific. The third deals with forward deployments and the problems of meeting Allied expectations.

Reappraisal

Several years ago, the United States began an intensive reappraisal of its fundamental requirements for naval forces. It is still underway. Three principal questions are being addressed: the specific roles that naval forces should play in peacetime as well as wartime, the forces most appropriate for those roles -- in terms of both the characteristics of individual ship (and aircraft) types and of the number of each type that should be included in the force -- and the optimal geographical disposition and mode of deployment of this force.* Two additional questions are involved in this reappraisal. One concerns the threat posed to existing U.S. naval forces by the forces of potential opponents -- especially the Soviet Union -- and the impact of that threat on the ability of U.S. naval forces to accomplish their assigned tasks.¹⁹ The second question concerns the ability of the United States to design and construct a different navy, one that will be not only less vulnerable to, but more effective in the face of, that opposition.

There are few, if any, obvious answers to these questions (if there were, this reappraisal would have been completed in short order). And it is difficult to believe that the more fundamen-

*The balance between the forces maintained in the Atlantic and those maintained in the Pacific; the balance between forces continuously deployed forward and those kept at the ready in home waters for deployment in specific contingencies.

tal issues involved will be resolved satisfactorily in the near term. The eventual outcome of this process is bound to be influenced heavily not only by estimates of present and future threats and the forces best suited to countering them, but also by the specific strategies considered most appropriate for the employment of those forces. As a result, it is difficult to predict what that outcome will be.

On the other hand, it is not difficult to identify the two issues that will go furthest in determining the eventual shape of the U.S. Navy. One concerns the continuing utility of the aircraft carrier, the other concerns the criteria by which decisions about the future naval force structure are made -- in particular, the extent to which the immediate, theater-specific requirements of one particular scenario, a full-scale conventional war in Europe, are to govern the selection of optimal unit capabilities and a mix of forces for the Navy as a whole, including that portion of the Navy intended for use in other theaters like the Pacific.²⁰

The resolution of these issues will have little immediate impact on the shape of the Navy, and by extension the Pacific Fleet. Fundamental modification of the force structure can take

place only in the long run, and the U.S. Navy of ten years hence necessarily will look very much like that of today.*

But modification of the force structure is not the only option theoretically available. Significant changes in the posture of the Navy -- modifications in the geographical disposition and mode of deployment of existing forces -- could be effected in a comparatively brief period. Many factors argue against such changes. Not the least of these is the concern noted above that alteration of long established patterns of operation might be perceived not as what it would be (a revision of means) but as what it would not be (a revision of ends). Like the earlier reduction in overall naval strength, however, such modifications might prove unavoidable in the end. And there are good reasons, most military but some political, for making those changes.²¹

Wartime Employment

It can be argued (although one shouldn't attempt to take the argument too far) that earlier, when the U.S. Navy was numerically stronger, when many of the tasks it might be called upon to perform were less demanding, and when the combat capabilities of potential opponents like the Soviet Navy were not what they are today, it could concentrate in any region forces adequate to accomplish those tasks, and sustain them there for an extended

*For instance, even if it were concluded that the aircraft carrier should be abandoned -- which is extremely unlikely -- that decision could not be implemented until alternative means of performing the carriers' current functions had been developed and those new forces had been acquired in adequate numbers.

period, without placing excessive strain on the entire system -- in particular, without necessitating the withdrawal of forces in significant numbers from one ocean to augment those in another. That clearly is not the case today (and has not been for some time).²²

There are three regions of major concern to the United States in which employment of its military forces is readily conceivable. These are the European-North Atlantic region, the Middle East, and the Asian-Pacific region. The United States maintains significant military forces in the first and last of these regions, but very little in the middle. The threats posed in each, and the forces immediately available to deal with them, are, however, not necessarily in balance.

The military threat in the European-North Atlantic region is clearly the most intense. The availability of forces to deal with threats in the Middle East is clearly the most problematic. Should one or another of these threats materialize, the United States will have to concentrate forces in the appropriate place that are adequate to deal with the problem. Given the situation outlined above, that probably will require shifting forces in significant numbers from one region to another.

Precisely what forces might be transferred from where to where would, of course, depend on what was going on in the region to which they might be sent. But it would also depend on what was considered likely to occur in the region from which they might be

withdrawn -- especially, what might occur if those forces were withdrawn that probably would not occur if they remained, the sequence in which those events might take place, and the amount of time likely to be available to cope with them.

The Pacific Fleet is often viewed as the prime source of augmenting forces for contingencies in other areas, especially a war in the European-North Atlantic region. In the absence of viable alternatives, it clearly is. If a European war did occur, it is not difficult to foresee one thing that might and one thing that would not happen. First, if necessary, forces undoubtedly would be withdrawn from the Pacific for use in the Atlantic. But, second, what was withdrawn undoubtedly would be determined by net requirements. Such transfers would not take place unless it seemed clear that they would solve a greater problem in the Atlantic than they created in the Pacific.

The Pacific Fleet is also a prime source of forces for operations in the Middle East. This is especially the case in situations where it is necessary to insure the continued flow of oil to the United States and its allies. Should that flow be threatened, forces undoubtedly would be moved from the Pacific to the Indian Ocean to protect it. But again, net requirements would prevail. The United States, for instance, has an obligation to assist Japan in defending itself. The defense of Japan, however, clearly now includes the defense of its oil supplies.²³ Where threatened, both must be protected; but this must be accomplished without

creating excessive imbalance between the forces protecting the nation itself and those protecting its lifelines. And the United States must act to protect the flow of oil to itself, as well as to meet its obligations to its allies. All of which reinforces the observation that in the end net requirements, rather than those specific to one or another conflict scenario, are most likely to determine what forces, if any, are redeployed in wartime.

That, in turn, raises another point. Naval forces can, and under certain circumstances undoubtedly would, be transferred from the Pacific to other regions. But this is not a one way street. Under other circumstances, should the net requirement there be greater, forces undoubtedly would be transferred from other regions to the Pacific. It is worth remembering that, twice now, this already has occurred.

Peacetime Deployment

The fact that the United States is on the one hand demonstrably willing and able to move forces when and where they are needed most in wartime, and is on the other hand extremely hesitant to modify the established pattern of forward deployment in peacetime, appears paradoxical. As indicated above, however, its reservations about modifying its peacetime deployments reflect real concerns, most of which are political in nature.²⁴

One of these is the possibility that, should the Fleet's presence in the forward area be other than continuous, the local balance of power would be subject to temporary distortions, and potential opponents might find the temptations of adventurism irresistible. Another, and in many ways more compelling concern is that, unless U.S. forces were present to provide visible assurance, allies might begin to doubt the strength of the U.S. commitment to honor its obligations to them. Those are good reasons for retaining the present posture.

There are also good reasons for changing that posture -- making it easier to concentrate forces when and where they are needed most, not only in wartime but in peacetime as well. Most of these reasons are military in nature; some, however, are political.²⁵ The primary costs of maintaining the present posture have been noted above: reduction in the overall combat readiness of the force, reduction in surge capability for crisis-management operations. Reducing the requirement to maintain a substantial portion of the fleet deployed forward at all times would permit a larger proportion of the whole force to be deployed when contingencies arose, and should insure that the forces deployed in those situations were more fully combat ready. Enhancing combat readiness enhances deterrence; enhancing the strength of forces available for crisis-management operations does the same. Given the increasing naval and other military capabilities of the Soviet Union, and their demonstrated readiness to employ those capabili-

ties in pursuit of their overseas interests, such enhancement appears necessary.²⁶

This brings up a second apparent paradox. Potential opponents -- including the Soviet Union -- recognize the fact that, when required, the United States has the willingness and capability to marshal formidable forces wherever required to counter their actions. This tends to make adventurism a potentially more costly and hence less attractive proposition for them.

Soviet behavior in the Indian Ocean, for example, has been remarkably restrained. Although they maintain naval forces in the region (roughly 18 units, somewhat less than half of which are combatants) that are on the average superior to those the United States maintains there (a command ship and two destroyers, augmented for brief periods several times each year by a carrier or cruiser battlegroup), they do very little with these forces. It can be argued (although, once again, one shouldn't attempt to take the argument too far) that a major reason for this Soviet restraint has been the likelihood that the United States would deploy forces into the region that could both deny them the achievement of adventuristic objectives there and in the process make them pay an excessive price for having tried. In short, it can be argued, to the extent that the Soviets have had adventuristic ambitions in the region they have been deterred from prosecuting them. However, to the extent that the potential U.S. reaction to their actions has an important influence on their behavior -- and

it is difficult to think of an external factor of greater potential importance to the Soviets -- it is the prospect of marshaling this commanding force, not the routine presence of those two destroyers, that exerts this inhibiting influence.

Paradoxically, it is not at all clear that allies have the same appreciation of the situation as potential opponents, that what most effectively deters one's enemies -- the ability to muster a superior force where and when it counts the most -- reassures one's friends. As a matter of fact, it is not at all clear precisely what does reassure allies.

Is it appearances? Or is it realities? Is it the continuous presence of the Sixth and Seventh Fleets in the vicinity, or the overall combat capabilities of the Atlantic and Pacific Fleets from which they are drawn? Surely the forces deployed forward can in any event render significant services; but, just as surely, given the increasing capabilities of potential opponents and the expanding scope of the threats that must be dealt with, should those threats actually materialize, it is the capabilities of the entire force that will in the end decide the issue.

If it is the case that what effectively deters potential opponents also adequately reassures allies, then the allies should say so. This would relieve U.S. apprehensions about revamping its peacetime deployments to increase the readiness of its forces for crisis-management and combat, in the process enhancing its ability

to assist its allies when that assistance is most needed -- in a "crunch." It could then feel free to treat its forces not as what they can never become -- ends in themselves -- but as what they can only be -- simply means, hopefully adequate, to do whatever most needs to be done, wherever it needs doing, in peacetime as well as in wartime.

If this is not the case, if more is required to reassure allies than to deter potential opponents, the allies should feel free to say so. This is one area where they can have a direct impact on what the United States does. Although liable to prove dysfunctional in the long run, continued compromise for immediate ends is possible. Only means are involved.

SOURCES

1. For a recent and authoritative discussion of the U.S. Pacific Command see: Admiral Maurice F. Weisner, USN, "The U.S. Posture in Asia and the Pacific: The View from CINCPAC," Strategic Review 6-3 (Summer 1978), pp. 41-47. For a good general discussion of the U.S. Navy see: Bruce F. Powers, "The United States Navy" in: James E. Dornan, Jr. (ed.), "The American Military Machine," London: Hamlyn/Salamander Books, forthcoming (1978). A somewhat dated but still very useful description of the U.S. Seventh Fleet can be found in: Vice Admiral George P. Steele II, USN (ret.), "Ready Power for Peace -- The U.S. Seventh Fleet," U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings, 102-1 (January 1976), pp. 24-30.
2. Recent U.S. use of its military forces -- particularly its naval forces -- is analyzed in: Barry M. Blechman and Stephen S. Kaplan, Force Without War: The U.S. Armed Forces as a Political Instrument, Washington DC: The Brookings Institution, forthcoming.
3. See, for instance: Guy J. Pauker, Military Implications of a Possible World Order Crisis in the 1980s, Santa Monica, CA.: Rand Corporation, R-2003-AF, November 1977.
4. Information supplied by the Office of Naval History.
5. Ibid.
6. How and why this reduction in the strength of the U.S. Navy took place is described in some detail in: Admiral Elmo R. Zumwalt, Jr., USN (ret.), On Watch: A Memoir, N.Y.: Quadrangle/The New York Times Book Co., 1976. See especially "Part II, Platforms and Weapons," pp. 57-164.
7. "Remarks Prepared for Delivery by the Honorable Harold Brown, Secretary of Defense, to the Los Angeles World Affairs Council, Beverly Wilshire Hotel, Los Angeles, California, Monday, February 20, 1978," Washington, DC: Department of Defense, processed, February 20, 1978.
8. Lieutenant Commander Kenneth R. McGruther, USN, "The Dilemma of the U.S. Pacific Fleet," U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings, 104-6 (June 1978), pp. 27-33.
9. Zumwalt, op. cit.

10. For a well-considered exposition of this position see: F.J. West et.al., "Toward the Year 1985: The Relationship Between U.S. Policy and Naval Forces in the Pacific," in: Environments for U.S. Naval Strategy in the Pacific-Indian Ocean Area, 1985-1995, Newport, R.I.: U.S. Naval War College, 1977, pp. 308-460; see also Weisner, op. cit.
11. The Navy's efforts to maintain this posture are discussed in: Robert G. Weinland, "A Somewhat Different View of the Optimal Naval Posture," paper prepared for delivery at the 1976 Convention of the American Political Science Association (APSA/IUS Panel on "Changing Strategic Requirements and Military Posture"), The Palmer House, Chicago, IL., September 2, 1976, (reprinted as Professional Paper No. 214 by the Center for Naval Analyses, Arlington, VA., June 1978).
12. "Sea Plan 2000, Naval Force Planning Study, Unclassified Executive Summary," np (Washington DC, Department of the Navy), processed, nd (April 1978).
13. Brown, op. cit.
14. Soviet activism in the international arena is analyzed in depth in: Bradford Dismukes and James M. McConnell et. al., Soviet Naval Diplomacy, Arlington, VA.: Center for Naval Analyses (to be published commercially in 1979).
15. One of the more cogent discussions of this problem is to be found in: Admiral of the Fleet of the Soviet Union S.G. Gorshkov, "Navies in War and Peace: Some Problems in Mastering the World Ocean," Morskoi sbornik no. 2, 1973, (February 1973), pp. 13-25.
16. McGruther, op. cit.
17. A comprehensive treatment of naval forces in Asian waters can be found in: Barry M. Blechman and Robert P. Berman (eds.) Guide to Far Eastern Navies, Annapolis, MD.: U.S. Naval Institute, forthcoming (November 1978). See especially the chapter by the two editors entitled "The Naval Balance in the Western Pacific."
18. "Statement of Admiral James L. Holloway III, USN, Chief of Naval Operations, Concerning the Fiscal Year 1979 Military Posture and Fiscal Year 1979 Budget of the United States Navy," np (Washington, DC), processed, nd (1978).

19. Admiral Stansfield Turner, USN, and Commander George Thibault, USN, "Countering the Soviet Threat in the Mediterranean," U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings 103-7 (July 1977), pp. 25-32; Barry M. Blechman et. al., The Soviet Military Buildup and U.S. Defense Spending, Washington, DC.: The Brookings Institution, 1977.
20. "Sea Plan 2000" op. cit.
21. Weinland, op. cit.
22. West et. al., op. cit.
23. Leslie H. Brown, American Security Policy in Asia, London: The International Institute for Strategic Studies, Adelphi Papers no. 132, 1977.
24. Ibid., "Sea Plan 2000" op. cit.
25. Weinland, op. cit.
26. The dynamics of superpower interaction are discussed at length in Dismukes and McConnell et. al., op. cit.

CNA Professional Papers – 1973 to Present*

- PP 103
Friedheim, Robert L., "Political Aspects of Ocean Ecology" 48 pp., Feb 1973, published in *Who Protects the Oceans*, John Lawrence Hargrove (ed.) (St. Paul: West Publ'g Co., 1974), published by the American Society of International Law AD 757 936
- PP 104
Schick, Jack M., "A Review of James Cable, Gunboat Diplomacy: Political Applications of Limited Naval Forces," 5 pp., Feb 1973, (Reviewed in the American Political Science Review, Vol. LXVI, Dec 1972)
- PP 105
Corn, Robert J. and Phillips, Gary R., "On Optimal Correction of Gunfire Errors," 22 pp., Feb 1973, AD 761 674
- PP 106
Stoloff, Peter H., "User's Guide for Generalized Factor Analysis Program (FACTAN)," 35 pp., Feb 1973, (Includes an addendum published Aug 1974) AD 758 824
- PP 107
Stoloff, Peter H., "Rotating Factor Analytically Derived Measures to Exogenous Variables," 17 pp., Mar 1973, AD 758 820
- PP 108
McConnell, James M. and Kelly, Anne M., "Superpower Naval Diplomacy in the Indo-Pakistani Crisis," 14 pp., 8 Feb 1973, (Published, with revisions, in *Survival*, Nov/Dec 1973) AD 761 675
- PP 109
Berghofer, Fred G., "Salaries—A Framework for the Study of Trend," 8 pp., Dec 1973, (Published in *Review of Income and Wealth*, Series 18, No. 4, Dec 1972)
- PP 110
Augusto, Joseph, "A Critique of Cost Analysis," 9 pp., Jul 1973, AD 766 376
- PP 111
Henjck, Robert W., "The USSR's 'Blue Belt of Defense' Concept: A Unified Military Plan for Defense Against Seaborne Nuclear Attack by Strike Carriers and Polaris/Poseidon SSBNs," 18 pp., May 1973, AD 766 375
- PP 112
Ginsberg, Lawrence H., "ELF Atmosphere Noise Level Statistics for Project SANGUINE," 29 pp., Apr 1974, AD 766 969
- PP 113
Ginsberg, Lawrence H., "Propagation Anomalies During Project SANGUINE Experiments," 5 pp., Apr 1974, AD 766 968
- PP 114
Maloney, Arthur P., "Job Satisfaction and Job Turnover," 41 pp., Jul 1973, AD 768 410
- PP 115
Silverman, Lester P., "The Determinants of Emergency and Elective Admissions to Hospitals," 145 pp., 18 Jul 1973, AD 766 377
- PP 116
Rehm, Allan S., "An Assessment of Military Operations Research in the USSR," 19 pp., Sep 1973, (Reprinted from *Proceedings, 30th Military Operations Research Symposium (U)*, Secret Dec 1972) AD 770 116
- PP 117
McWhite, Peter B. and Ratliff, H. Donald, "Defending a Logistics System Under Mining Attack," 24 pp., Aug 1976 (to be submitted for publication in *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly*), presented at 44th National Meeting, Operations Research Society of America, November 1973, AD A030 464
*University of Florida
**Research supported in part under Office of Naval Research Contract N00014-68 0273 0017
- PP 118
Barfoot, C. Bernard, "Markov Duels," 18 pp., Apr 1973, (Reprinted from *Operations Research*, Vol. 22, No. 2, Mar-Apr 1974)
- PP 119
Stoloff, Peter and Lockman, Robert F., "Development of Navy Human Relations Questionnaire," 2 pp., May 1974, (Published in *American Psychological Association Proceedings*, 81st Annual Convention, 1973) AD 779 240
- PP 120
Smith, Michael W. and Schimper, Ronald A., "Economic Analysis of the Intracity Dispersion of Criminal Activity," 30 pp., Jun 1974, (Presented at the Econometric Society Meetings, 30 Dec 1973) AD 780 838
*Economics North Carolina State University
- PP 121
Devine, Eugene J., "Procurement and Retention of Navy Physicians," 21 pp., Jun 1974, (Presented at the 49th Annual Conference, Western Economic Association, Las Vegas, Nev., 10 Jun 1974) AD 780 539
- PP 122
Kelly, Anne M., "The Soviet Naval Presence During the Iraq-Kuwait Border Dispute: March-April 1973," 34 pp., Jun 1974, (Published in *Soviet Naval Policy*, ed. Michael McGwire; New York: Praeger) AD 780 592
- PP 123
Petersen, Charles C., "The Soviet Port Clearing Operation in Bangladesh, March 1972-December 1973," 35 pp., Jun 1974, (Published in Michael McGwire, et al. (eds) *Soviet Naval Policy: Objectives and Constraints*, (New York: Praeger Publishers, 1974) AD 780 540
- PP 124
Friedheim, Robert L. and Jehn, Mary E., "Anticipating Soviet Behavior at the Third UN. Law of the Sea Conference: USSR Positions and Dilemmas," 37 pp., 10 Apr 1974, (Published in *Soviet Naval Policy*, ed. Michael McGwire; New York: Praeger) AD 783 701
- PP 125
Weinland, Robert G., "Soviet Naval Operations—Ten Years of Change," 17 pp., Aug 1974, (Published in *Soviet Naval Policy*, ed. Michael McGwire; New York: Praeger) AD 783 962
- PP 126 – Classified.
- PP 127
Dragnich, George S., "The Soviet Union's Quest for Access to Naval Facilities in Egypt Prior to the June War of 1967," 64 pp., Jul 1974, AD 786 318
- PP 128
Stoloff, Peter and Lockman, Robert F., "Evaluation of Naval Officer Performance," 11 pp., (Presented at the 82nd Annual Convention of the American Psychological Association, 1974) Aug 1974, AD 784 012
- PP 129
Holan, Arlene and Horowitz, Stanley, "Partial Unemployment Insurance Benefits and the Extent of Partial Unemployment," 4 pp., Aug 1974, (Published in the *Journal of Human Resources*, Vol. IX, No. 3, Summer 1974) AD 784 010
- PP 130
Dismukes, Bradford, "Roles and Missions of Soviet Naval General Purpose Forces in Wartime: PROSSBN Operation," 20 pp., Aug 1974, AD 786 320
- PP 131
Weinland, Robert G., "Analysis of Gorskho's *Navies in War and Peace*," 45 pp., Aug 1974, (Published in *Soviet Naval Policy*, ed. Michael McGwire; New York: Praeger) AD 786 319
- PP 132
Kleinman, Samuel D., "Racial Differences in Hours Worked in the Market: A Preliminary Report," 77 pp., Feb 1975, (Paper read on 28 Oct 1974 at Eastern Economic Association Convention in Albany, N.Y.) AD A 006 517
- PP 133
Squires, Michael L., "A Stochastic Model of Regime Change in Latin America," 42 pp., Feb 1975, AD A 007 812
- PP 134
Root, R. M. and Cuniff, P. F., "A Study of the Shock Spectrum of a Two-Degree-of-Freedom Non-linear Vibratory System," 39 pp., Dec 1975, (Published in the condensed version of the *Journal of the Acoustic Society*, Vol 80, No. 6, Dec 1976, pp. 1314
*Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Maryland
- PP 135
Goudreau, Kenneth A.; Kuzmack, Richard A.; Wiedemann, Karen, "Analysis of Closure Alternatives for Naval Stations and Naval Air Stations," 47 pp., 3 Jun 1975 (Reprinted from "Hearing before the Subcommittee on Military Construction of the Committee on Armed Services," U.S. Senate, 93rd Congress, 1st Session, Part 2, 22 Jun 1973)
- PP 136
Stallings, William, "Cybernetics and Behavior Therapy," 13 pp., Jun 1975
- PP 137
Petersen, Charles C., "The Soviet Union and the Reopening of the Suez Canal: Mineclearing Operations in the Gulf of Suez," 30 pp., Aug 1975, AD A 015 376

*CNA Professional Papers with an AD number may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22151. Other papers are available from the author at the Center for Naval Analyses, 2000 North Beauregard Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22311.

- PP 138
Stallings, William, "BRIDGE: An Interactive Dialogue-Generation Facility," 5 pp., Aug 1975 (Reprinted from IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Vol. 5, No. 3, May 1975)
- PP 139
Morgan, William F., Jr., "Beyond Folklore and Fables in Forestry to Positive Economics," 14 pp., (Presented at Southern Economic Association Meetings, November, 1974) Aug 1975, AD A 015 293
- PP 140
Mahoney, Robert and Druckman, Daniel, "Simulation, Experimentation, and Context," 36 pp., 1 Sep 1976, (Published in Simulation & Games, Vol. 8, No. 3, Sep 1975)
*Mathematics, Inc.
- PP 141
Mirzahi, Maurice M., "Generalized Hermite Polynomials," 5 pp., Feb 1976 (Reprinted from the Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 1, No. 4 (1975), 273-277).
*Research supported by the National Science Foundation
- PP 142
Lockman, Robert F., John, Christopher, and Shugart, William F. II, "Models for Estimating Premature Losses and Recruiting District Performance," 36 pp., Dec 1975 (Presented at the RAND Conference on Defense Manpower, Feb 1976; to be published in the conference proceedings) AD A 020 443
- PP 143
Horowitz, Stanley and Sherman, Allan (LCDR, USN), "Maintenance Personnel Effectiveness in the Navy," 33 pp., Jan 1976 (Presented at the RAND Conference on Defense Manpower, Feb 1976; to be published in the conference proceedings) AD A021 681
- PP 144
Dunck, William J., "The Navy of the Republic of China - History, Problems, and Prospects," 66 pp., Aug 1976 (To be published in "A Guide to Asiatic Fleets," ed. by Barry M. Blechman; Naval Institute Press) AD A030 460
- PP 145
Kelly, Anne M., "Port Visits and the "Internationalist Mission" of the Soviet Navy," 36 pp., Apr 1976 AD A023 436
- PP 146
Palmour, Vernon E., "Alternatives for Increasing Access to Scientific Journals," 6 pp., Apr 1975 (Presented at the 1975 IEEE Conference on Scientific Journals, Cherry Hill, N.C., Apr 28 30; published in IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication, Vol. PC-18, No. 3, Sep 1975) AD A021 798
- PP 147
Kessler, J. Christian, "Legal Issues in Protecting Offshore Structures," 33 pp., Jun 1976 (Prepared under task order N00014-68-A-0091-0023 for ONR) AD A028 389
- PP 148
McConnell, James M., "Military-Political Tasks of the Soviet Navy in War and Peace," 62 pp., Dec 1975 (Published in Soviet Oceans Development Study of Senate Commerce Committee October 1976) AD A022 590
- PP 149
Squires, Michael L., "Counterforce Effectiveness: A Comparison of the Tsipis "K" Measure and a Computer Simulation," 24 pp., Mar 1976 (Presented at the International Study Association Meetings, 27 Feb 1976) AD A022 591
- PP 150
Kelly, Anne M. and Petersen, Charles, "Recent Changes in Soviet Naval Policy: Prospects for Arms Limitations in the Mediterranean and Indian Ocean," 28 pp., Apr 1976, AD A 023 723
- PP 151
Horowitz, Stanley A., "The Economic Consequences of Political Philosophy," 8 pp., Apr 1976 (Reprinted from Economic Inquiry, Vol. XIV, No. 1, Mar 1976)
- PP 152
Mirzahi, Maurice M., "On Path Integral Solutions of the Schrodinger Equation, Without Limiting Procedure," 10 pp., Apr 1976 (Reprinted from Journal of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 17, No. 4 (Apr 1976), 566-575).
*Research supported by the National Science Foundation
- PP 153
Mirzahi, Maurice M., "WKB Expansions by Path Integrals, With Applications to the Anharmonic Oscillator," 137 pp., May 1976, AD A025 440
*Research supported by the National Science Foundation
- PP 154
Mirzahi, Maurice M., "On the Semi-Classical Expansion in Quantum Mechanics for Arbitrary Hamiltonians," 19 pp., May 1976 (Published in Journal of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 18, No. 4, p. 786, Apr 1977), AD A025 441
- PP 155
Squires, Michael L., "Soviet Foreign Policy and Third World Nations," 26 pp., Jun 1976 (Prepared for presentation at the Midwest Political Science Association meetings, Apr 30, 1976) AD A028 388
- PP 156
Stallings, William, "Approaches to Chinese Character Recognition," 12 pp., Jun 1976 (Reprinted from Pattern Recognition (Pergamon Press), Vol. 8, pp. 87-98, 1976) AD A028 692
- PP 157
Morgan, William F., "Unemployment and the Pentagon Budget: Is There Anything in the Empty Pork Barrel?" 20 pp., Aug 1976 AD A030 455
- PP 158
Haskell, LCDR. Richard D (USN), "Experimental Validation of Probability Predictions," 25 pp., Aug 1976 (Presented at the Military Operations Research Society Meeting, Fall 1976) AD A030 458
- PP 159
McConnell, James M., "The Gorshkov Articles, The New Gorshkov Book and Their Relation to Policy," 93 pp., Jul 1976 (Published in Soviet Naval Influence: Domestic and Foreign Dimensions, ed. by M. McGwire and J. McDonnell, New York, Praeger, 1977) AD A029 227
- PP 160
Wilson, Desmond P., Jr., "The U.S. Sixth Fleet and the Conventional Defense of Europe," 50 pp., Sep 1976 (Submitted for publication in Adelphi Papers, I.I.S.S., London) AD A030 457
- PP 161
Melich, Michael E. and Post, Vice Adm. Ray (USN, Retired), "Fleet Commanders: Afloat or Ashore?" 9 pp., Aug 1976 (Reprinted from U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings, Jun 1976) AD A030 466
- PP 162
Friedheim, Robert L., "Parliamentary Diplomacy," 106 pp., Sep 1976 AD A033 306
- PP 163
Lockman, Robert F., "A Model for Predicting Recruit Losses," 9 pp., Sep 1976 (Presented at the 84th annual convention of the American Psychological Association, Washington, D.C., 4 Sep 1976) AD A030 459
- PP 164
Mahoney, Robert B., Jr., "An Assessment of Public and Elite Perceptions in France, The United Kingdom, and the Federal Republic of Germany, 31 pp., Feb 1977 (Presented at Conference "Perception of the U.S. - Soviet Balance and the Political Uses of Military Power" sponsored by Director, Advanced Research Projects Agency, April 1976) AD 036 609
- PP 165
Jondrow, James M., "Effects of Trade Restrictions on Imports of Steel," 67 pp., November 1976, (Delivered at ILAB Conference in Dec 1976)
- PP 166
Feldman, Paul, "Impediments to the Implementation of Desirable Changes in the Regulation of Urban Public Transportation," 12 pp., Oct 1976, AD A033 322
- PP 166 - Revised
Feldman, Paul, "Why It's Difficult to Change Regulation," Oct 1976
- PP 167
Kleinman, Samuel, "ROTC Service Commitments: a Comment," 4 pp., Nov 1976, (To be published in Public Choice, Vol. XXIV, Fall 1976) AD A033 305
- PP 168
Lockman, Robert F., "Revalidation of CNA Support Personnel Selection Measures," 36 pp., Nov 1976
- PP 169
Jacobson, Louis S., "Earnings Losses of Workers Displaced from Manufacturing Industries," 38 pp., Nov 1976, (Delivered at ILAB Conference in Dec 1976), AD A031 809
- PP 170
Brechtling, Frank P., "A Time Series Analysis of Labor Turnover," Nov 1976 (Delivered at ILAB Conference in Dec 1976)
- PP 171
Ralston, James M., "A Diffusion Model for GaP Red LED Degradation," 10 pp., Nov 1976, (Published in Journal of Applied Physics, Vol. 47, pp. 4518-4527, Oct 1976)

- PP 172
Classen, Kathleen P., "Unemployment Insurance and the Length of Unemployment," Dec 1976, (Presented at the University of Rochester Labor Workshop on 16 Nov 1976)
- PP 173
Kleinman, Samuel D., "A Note on Racial Differences in the Added-Worker/Discouraged-Worker Controversy," 2 pp., Dec 1976, (Published in the American Economist, Vol. XX, No. 1, Spring 1976)
- PP 174
Mahoney, Robert B., Jr., "A Comparison of the Brookings and International Incidents Projects," 12 pp. Feb 1977 AD 037 208
- PP 175
Layne, Daniel; Stoloff, Peter and Spruill, Nancy, "Public Drug Treatment and Addict Crime," June 1976, (Published in Journal of Legal Studies, Vol. 5, No. 2)
- PP 176
Felix, Wendy, "Correlates of Retention and Promotion for USNA Graduates," 38 pp., Mar 1977, AD A039 040
- PP 177
Lockman, Robert F. and Warner, John T., "Predicting Attrition: A Test of Alternative Approaches," 33 pp. Mar 1977. (Presented at the OSD/ONR Conference on Enlisted Attrition Xerox International Training Center, Leesburg, Virginia, 4-7 April 1977), AD A039 047
- PP 178
Kleinman, Samuel D., "An Evaluation of Navy Unrestricted Line Officer Accession Programs," 23 pp. April 1977, (To be presented at the NATO Conference on Manpower Planning and Organization Design, Stresa, Italy, 20 June 1977), AD A039 048
- PP 179
Stoloff, Peter H. and Balut, Stephen J., "Vacate: A Model for Personnel Inventory Planning Under Changing Management Policy," 14 pp. April 1977, (Presented at the NATO Conference on Manpower Planning and Organization Design, Stresa, Italy, 20 June 1977), AD A039 049
- PP 180
Horowitz, Stanley A. and Sherman, Allan, "The Characteristics of Naval Personnel and Personnel Performance," 16 pp. April 1977, (Presented at the NATO Conference on Manpower Planning and Organization Design, Stresa, Italy, 20 June 1977), AD A039 050
- PP 181
Balut, Stephen J. and Stoloff, Peter, "An Inventory Planning Model for Navy Enlisted Personnel," 35 pp., May 1977, (Prepared for presentation at the Joint National Meeting of the Operations Research Society of America and The Institute for Management Science, 9 May 1977, San Francisco, California), AD A042 221
- PP 182
Murray, Russell, 2nd, "The Quest for the Perfect Study or My First 1138 Days at CNA," 57 pp., April 1977
- PP 183
Kassing, David, "Changes in Soviet Naval Forces," 33 pp., November, 1976, (Published as part of Chapter 3, "General Purpose Forces: Navy and Marine Corps," in Arms, Men, and Military Budgets, Francis P. Hoerber and William Schneider, Jr. (eds.), (Crane, Rusak & Company, Inc.: New York), 1977), AD A040 106
- PP 184
Lockman, Robert F., "An Overview of the OSD/ONR Conference on First Term Enlisted Attrition," 27 pp., June 1977, (Presented to the 39th MJRS Working Group on Manpower and Personnel Planning, Annapolis, Md., 28-30 June 1977), AD A043 518
- PP 185
Kassing, David, "New Technology and Naval Forces in the South Atlantic," 22 pp. (This paper was the basis for a presentation made at the Institute for Foreign Policy Analyses, Cambridge, Mass., 28 April 1977), AD A043 619
- PP 186
Mizrahi, Maurice M., "Phase Space Integrals, Without Limiting Procedure," 31 pp., May 1977, (Invited paper presented at the 1977 NATO Institute on Path Integrals and Their Application in Quantum Statistical, and Solid State Physics, Antwerp, Belgium, July 17-30, 1977) (Published in Journal of Mathematical Physics 19(1), p. 298, Jan 1978), AD A040 107
- PP 187
Coile, Russell C., "Nemography for Operations Research," 35 pp., April 1977, (Presented at the Joint National Meeting of the Operations Research Society of America and The Institute for Management Science, San Francisco, California, 9 May 1977), AD A043 620
- PP 188
Durch, William J., "Information Processing and Outcome Forecasting for Multilateral Negotiations: Testing One Approach," 53 pp., May 1977 (Prepared for presentation to the 18th Annual Convention of the International Studies Association, Chase-Park Plaza Hotel, St. Louis, Missouri, March 16-20, 1977), AD A042 222
- PP 189
Coile, Russell C., "Error Detection in Computerized Information Retrieval Data Bases," July, 1977, 13 pp. Presented at the Sixth Cranfield International Conference on Mechanized Information Storage and Retrieval Systems, Cranfield Institute of Technology, Cranfield, Bedford, England, 26-29 July 1977, AD A043 580
- PP 190
Mahoney, Robert B., Jr., "European Perceptions and East West Competition," 96 pp., July 1977 (Prepared for presentation at the annual meeting of the International Studies Association, St. Louis, Mo., March, 1977), AD A043 661
- PP 191
Sawyer, Ronald, "The Independent Field Assignment: One Man's View," August 1977, 45 pp.
- PP 192
Holen, Arlene, "Effects of Unemployment Insurance Entitlement on Duration and Job Search Outcome," August 1977, 6 pp., (Reprinted from Industrial and Labor Relations Review, Vol. 30, No. 4, Jul 1977)
- PP 193
Horowitz, Stanley A., "A Model of Unemployment Insurance and the Work Test," August 1977, 7 pp. (Reprinted from Industrial and Labor Relations Review, Vol. 30, No. 40, Jul 1977)
- PP 194
Classen, Kathleen P., "The Effects of Unemployment Insurance on the Duration of Unemployment and Subsequent Earnings," August 1977, 7 pp. (Reprinted from Industrial and Labor Relations Review, Vol. 30, No. 40, Jul 1977)
- PP 195
Brechling, Frank, "Unemployment Insurance Taxes and Labor Turnover: Summary of Theoretical Findings," 12 pp. (Reprinted from Industrial and Labor Relations Review, Vol. 30, No. 40, Jul 1977)
- PP 196
Ralston, J. M. and Lorimer, O. G., "Degradation of Bulk Electroluminescent Efficiency in Zn, O-Doped GaP LED's," July 1977, 3 pp. (Reprinted from IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices, Vol. ED 24, No. 7, July 1977)
- PP 197
Wells, Anthony R., "The Centre for Naval Analyses," 14 pp., Dec 1977, AD A049 107
- PP 198
Classen, Kathleen P., "The Distributional Effects of Unemployment Insurance," 25 pp., Sept. 1977 (Presented at a Hoover Institution Conference on Income Distribution, Oct 7-8, 1977)
- PP 199
Durch, William J., "Revolution From A.F.A.R. - The Cuban Armed Forces in Africa and the Middle East," Sep 1977, 16 pp., AD A048 268
- PP 200
Powers, Bruce F., "The United States Navy," 40 pp. Dec 1977. (To be published as a chapter in The U.S. War Machine by Salamander Books in England during 1978), AD A049 10C
- PP 201
Durch, William J., "The Cuban Military in Africa and The Middle East: From Algeria to Angola," Sep 1977, 67 pp., AD A045 675
- PP 202
Feldman, Paul, "Why Regulation Doesn't Work," (Reprinted from Technological Change and Welfare in the Regulated Industries and Review of Social Economics, Vol. XXIX, March, 1971, No. 1.) Sep 1977, 8 pp
- PP 203
Feldman, Paul, "Efficiency, Distribution, and the Role of Government in a Market Economy," (Reprinted from The Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 79, No. 3, May/June 1971.) Sep 1977, 19 pp., AD A045 675

- PP 204
Wells, Anthony R., "The 1967 June War: Soviet Naval Diplomacy and The Sixth Fleet - A Re-appraisal," Oct 1977, 36 pp., AD A047 236
- PP 205
Cole, Russell C., "A Bibliometric Examination of the Square Root Theory of Scientific Publication Productivity," (Presented at the annual meeting of the American Society for Information Science, Chicago, Illinois, 29 September 1977.) Oct 1977, 6 pp., AD A047 237
- PP 206
McConnell, James M., "Strategy and Missions of the Soviet Navy in the Year 2000," 48 pp., Nov 1977, (Presented at a Conference on Problems of Sea Power as we Approach the 21st Century, sponsored by the American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research, 6 October 1977, and subsequently published in a collection of papers by the Institute), AD A047 244
- PP 207
Goldberg, Lawrence, "Cost-Effectiveness of Potential Federal Policies Affecting Research & Development Expenditures in the Auto, Steel and Food Industries," 36 pp., Oct 1977, (Presented at Southern Economic Association Meetings beginning 2 November 1977)
- PP 208
Roberts, Stephen S., "The Decline of the Overseas Station Fleets: The United States Asiatic Fleet and the Shanghai Crisis, 1932," 18 pp., Nov 1977, (Reprinted from The American Neptune, Vol. XXXVII, No. 2 May 1977), AD A047 245
- PP 209 - Classified.
- PP 210
Kassling, David, "Protecting The Fleet," 40 pp., Dec 1977 (Prepared for the American Enterprise Institute Conference on Problems of Sea Power as We Approach the 21st Century, October 6-7, 1977), AD A048 109
- PP 211
Mirzahi, Maurice M., "On Approximating the Circular Coverage Function," 14 pp., Feb 1978
- PP 212
Mangel, Marc, "On Singular Characteristic Initial Value Problems with Unique Solutions," 20 pp., Jun 1978 (To be submitted for publication in Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Its Applications)
- PP 213
Mangel, Marc, "Fluctuations in Systems with Multiple Steady States: Application to Lanchester Equations," 12 pp., Feb 78, (Presented at the First Annual Workshop on the Information Linkage Between Applied Mathematics and Industry, Naval PG School, Feb 23-25, 1978)
- PP 214
Weinland, Robert G., "A Somewhat Different View of The Optimal Naval Posture," 37 pp., Jun 1978 (Presented at the 1976 Convention of the American Political Science Association (APSA/IUS Panel on "Changing Strategic Requirements and Military Posture"), Chicago, Ill., September 2, 1976)
- PP 215
Cole, Russell C., "Comments on: Principles of Information Retrieval by Manfred Kochen, 10 pp., Mar 78, (Published as a Letter to the Editor, Journal of Documentation, Vol. 31, No. 4, pages 298-301, December 1975)
- PP 216
Cole, Russell C., "Lotka's Frequency Distribution of Scientific Productivity," 18 pp., Feb 1978, (Published in the Journal of the American Society for Information Science, Vol. 28, No. 6, pp. 366-370, November 1977)
- PP 217
Cole, Russell C., "Bibliometric Studies of Scientific Productivity," 17 pp., Mar 78, (Presented at the Annual meeting of the American Society for Information Science held in San Francisco, California, October 1978.)
- PP 218 - Classified.
- PP 219
Huntzinger, R. LaVar, "Market Analysis with Rational Expectations: Theory and Estimation," 60 pp., Apr 78 (To be submitted for publication in Journal of Econometrics)
- PP 220
Maurer, Donald E., "Diagonalization by Group Matrices," 26 pp., Apr 78
- PP 221
Weinland, Robert G., "Superpower Naval Diplomacy in the October 1973 Arab-Israeli War," 76 pp., Jun 1978
- PP 222
Mirzahi, Maurice M., "Correspondence Rules and Path Integrals," 30 pp., Jun 1978 (Invited paper presented at the CNRS meeting on "Mathematical Problems in Feynman's Path Integrals," Marseille, France, May 22-26, 1978)
- PP 223
Mangel, Marc, "Stochastic Mechanics of Molecular Reaction," 21 pp., Jun 1978 (To be submitted for publication in Journal of Mathematical Physics)
- PP 224
Mangel, Marc, "Aggregation, Bifurcation, and Extinction in Exploited Animal Populations," 4b pp., Mar 1978 (To be submitted for publication in American Naturalist)
"Portions of this work were started at the Institute of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., Canada
- PP 225
Mangel, Marc, "Oscillations, Fluctuations, and the Hopf Bifurcation," 43 pp., Jun 1978
"Portions of this work were completed at the Institute of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada
- PP 226
Ralston, J. M. and J. W. Mann, "Temperature and Current Dependence of Degradation in Red Emitting GaP LEDs," 34 pp., Jun 1978
- PP 227
Mangel, Marc, "Uniform Treatment of Fluctuations at Critical Points," 50 pp., May 1978 (To be submitted for publication in Journal of Statistical Physics)
- PP 228
Mangel, Marc, "Relaxation at Critical Points: Deterministic and Stochastic Theory," 54 pp., Jun 1978 (To be submitted for publication in Journal of Mathematical Physics)
- PP 229
Mangel, Marc, "Diffusion Theory of Reaction Rates. I: Formulation and Einstein-Smoluchowski Approximation," 50 pp., Jan 1978
- PP 230
Mangel, Marc, "Diffusion Theory of Reaction Rates. II: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Approximation," 34 pp., Feb 1978
- PP 231
Wilson, Desmond P., Jr., "Naval Projection Forces: The Case for a Responsive MAF," Aug 1978
- PP 232
Jacobson, Louis, "Can Policy Changes be Made Acceptable to Labor?" Aug 1978 (To be submitted for publication in Industrial and Labor Relations Review)
- PP 233
Jacobson, Louis, "An Alternative Explanation of the Cyclical Pattern of Quits," 23 pp., Sep 1978
- PP 234
Jondrow, James and Levy, Robert A., "Does Federal Expenditure Displace State and Local Expenditure. The Case of Construction Grants," 18 pp., Oct 1978 (To be submitted for publication in Journal of Public Economics)
- PP 235
Mirzahi, Maurice M., "The Semiclassical Expansion of the Anharmonic Oscillator Propagator," 41 pp., Oct 1978 (To appear in the Journal of Mathematical Physics)
- PP 237
Maurer, Donald, "A Matrix Criterion for Normal Integral Bases," 10 pp., Jan 1979
- PP 238
Utgoff, Kathleen Clasen, "Unemployment Insurance and The Employment Rate," 20 pp., Oct 1978
- PP 239
Trost, R. P. and Warner, J. T., "The Effects of Military Occupational Training on Civilian Earnings: An Income Selectivity Approach," 38 pp., Nov 1979 (To be submitted for publication in Review of Economics and Statistics)
- PP 240
Powers, Bruce, "Goals of the Center for Naval Analyses," 13 pp., Dec 1978
- PP 241
Mangel, Marc, "Fluctuations at Chemical Instabilities," 24 pp., Dec 1978 (Published in Journal of Chemical Physics, Vol. 69, No. 3, Oct 15, 1978)

- PP 242
Simpson, William R., "The Analysis of Dynamically Interactive Systems (Air Combat by the Numbers)," 180 pp., Dec 1978
- PP 243
Simpson, William R., "A Probabilistic Formulation of Murphy Dynamics as Applied to the Analysis of Operational Research Problems, 18 pp., Dec 1978 (Submitted for publication in The Journal of Irreproducible Results)
- PP 244
Sherman, Allen and Horowitz, Stanley A., "Maintenance Costs of Complex Equipment," 20 pp., Dec 1978
- PP 245
Simpson, William R., "The Accelerometer Methods of Obtaining Aircraft Performance from Flight Test Data (Dynamic Performance Testing), 403 pp., Jun 1979
- PP 248
Thomas, James A., Jr., "The Transport Properties of Dilute Gases in Applied Fields," 183 pp., Mar 1979
- PP 249
Glasser, Kenneth S., "A Secretary Problem with a Random Number of Choices," 23 pp., Mar 1979 (Submitted for publication in Journal of the American Statistical Association)
- PP 250
Mangel, Marc, "Modeling Fluctuations in Macroscopic Systems," 26 pp., Jun 1979
- PP 251
Trost, Robert P., "The Estimation and Interpretation of Several Selectivity Models," 37 pp., Jun 1979
- PP 252
Nunn, Walter R., "Position Finding with Prior Knowledge of Covariance Parameters," 5 pp., Jun 1979
- PP 253
Glasser, Kenneth S., "The d-Choice Secretary Problem," 32 pp., Jun 1979
- PP 254
Mangel, Marc and Quanbeck, David B., "Integration of a Bivariate Normal Over an Offset Circle," 14 pp., Jun 1979
- PP 255 - Classified
- PP 257
Thaler, R., "Discounting and Fiscal Constraints: Why Discounting is Always Right," 10 pp., Aug 1979
- PP 258
Mangel, Marc S. and Thomas, James A., Jr., "Analytical Methods in Search Theory," 86 pp., Nov 1979
- PP 259
Glass, David V., Hsu, Ih Ching; Nunn, Walter R. and Perin, David A., "A Class of Commutative Markov Matrices," 17 pp., Nov 1978 (To be submitted for publication in Operations Research)
- PP 260
Mangel, Marc S. and Cupe, David K., "Detection Rate and Sweep Width in Visual Search," 14 pp., Nov 1979
- PP 261
Vila, Carlos L.; Zvijac, David J. and Ross, John, "Franck-Condon Theory of Chemical Dynamics. VI. Angular Distributions of Reaction Products," 14 pp., Nov 1979 (Reprinted from Journal Chem. Phys. 70(12), 15 Jun 1979)
- PP 262
Petersen, Charles C., "Third World Military Elites in Soviet Perspective," 50 pp., Nov 1979 (To be submitted for publication in International Security)
- PP 263
Robinson, Kathy L., "Using Commercial Tankers and Containerships for Navy Underway Replenishment," 25 pp., Nov 1979 (To be submitted for publication in the Naval Engineering Journal)
- PP 264
Weinland, Robert G., "The U.S. Navy in the Pacific: Past, Present, and Glimpses of the Future," 31 pp., Nov 1979
- PP 265
Weinland, Robert G., "War and Peace in the North: Some Political Implications of the Changing Military Situation in Northern Europe," 18 pp., Nov 1979