

AD-A078 290

BROWN UNIV PROVIDENCE R I DIV OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS
PARTIALLY OBSERVED STOCHASTIC CONTROL SYSTEMS. (U)
1979 W H FLEMING , E PARDOUX

F/G 12/1

AFOSR-77-3063

UNCLASSIFIED

AFOSR-TR-79-1166

NL

OF
AD
A078290



END
DATE
FILMED
1-80
DDC

AD A 078290

DDC FILE COPY

19 REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER AFOSR-TR-79-1166	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. (2)	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER LEVEL II	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) PARTIALLY OBSERVED STOCHASTIC CONTROL SYSTEMS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim repl.	
7. AUTHOR(s) WENDELL H. FLEMING & ETIENNE PARDOUX		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) AFOSR-77-3063 NSF-MCS76-17261	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS DIVISION OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS BROWN UNIVERSITY PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND 02912		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 61102F 2304/A5	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH BOLLING AIR FORCE BASE WASHINGTON, D.C.		12. REPORT DATE 1979	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) (12) (12)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 3	
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DDC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) In this paper, ^{the authors} we are concerned with the existence of optimal controls for problems. ^{Our} method depends on introducing another stochastic control problem which we call a "separated" problem. For several years the question of proving a general theorem about existence of optimal controls in the strict sense has been open. ^{The authors} We do not obtain such a result here. However, ^{they} we obtain an existence theorem in which a somewhat wider class of control processes is admitted.			

DDC
RECEIVED
DEC 14 1979
B

Wendell H. Fleming
Division of Applied Mathematics
Brown University
Providence, R.I. 02912 U.S.A.

Etienne Pardoux
IRIA-Laboria
B.P. 105 78150 Le Chesnay
France

1. Introduction.

In this paper we are concerned with the existence of optimal controls for problems of the following kind. Let X_t denote the process which we wish to control, Y_t the observation process and U_t the control process, $0 < t \leq T$, with T fixed. The state and observation processes are governed by stochastic differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad dX_t &= b(t, X_t, Y_t, U_t)dt + \sigma(t, X_t, Y_t)dW_t \\ (b) \quad dY_t &= h(t, X_t)dt + d\tilde{W}_t. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

X_t has values in N -dimensional R^N , Y_t values in R^1 , and U_t values in $\mathcal{U} \subset R^V$. [Only some notational complications are involved if vector-valued observations Y_t are considered.] X_0 has given distribution, with density $p_0(x)$, and $Y_0 = 0$. In (1.1), W_t and $\tilde{W}_t$ are independent Wiener processes.

The problem is to minimize a criterion of the form

$$J = E \left\{ \int_0^T F(t, X_t, U_t)dt + G(X_T) \right\}. \quad (1.2)$$

It is customary to require that U_t be measurable with respect to the σ -algebra generated by observations Y_s , $0 \leq s \leq t$. We call this the strict sense version of the problem. For several years the question of proving a general theorem about existence of optimal controls in the strict sense has been open. We do not obtain such a result here. However, we obtain an existence theorem in which a somewhat wider class of control processes is admitted. Roughly speaking, this wider class of controls is obtained as follows. Let

$$Z_t = \exp \left[\int_0^t h(s, X_s) dY_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t h^2(s, X_s) ds \right]. \quad (1.3)$$

Then W_t, Y_t are independent Wiener processes under a new probability measure $\tilde{P}$ related to the original probability measure P by $\frac{d\tilde{P}}{dP} = Z_T$. In the wide sense formulation we wish to require merely that U_s for $s < t$ be independent of future increments $Y_r - Y_\rho$ for $t \leq \rho < r$ with respect to $\tilde{P}$. In §2 we give a precise formulation of this idea, in which we define the control as the joint distribution measure of the processes Y, U .

Our method depends on introducing another stochastic control problem, which we call a "separated" problem. This separated problem is

equivalent to the one formulated in §2. In the separated problem the "state" $p(t, \cdot)$ at time t is a function obeying a linear, parabolic partial differential equation (3.4). The coefficients of (3.4) depend on the observations Y_t and controls U_t , $0 \leq t \leq T$. The solution $p(t, x)$ is related in a simple way to the unnormalized conditional density $q(t, x)$ of X_t , given observations Y_s and controls U_s for $s \leq t$. See (3.6). The proof of this fact makes use of probabilistic solutions to a "backward" partial differential equation adjoint to the "forward" equation (3.4), an idea already exploited in [3] for the nonlinear filter problem. However, unlike [3] we work with (3.4) instead of the Zakai equation (3.7) for q . In this way, Itô stochastic integrals and results about stochastic PDE's are avoided in the analysis. For the nonlinear filter problem, equation (3.4) was derived by Davis [1].

2. Formulation of the problem.

We make the following assumptions about the functions b, σ, h in (1.1).

(A₁) σ and its partial derivatives $\partial \sigma / \partial x_j$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, are bounded, continuous functions of (t, x, y) . Moreover, σ has an inverse σ^{-1} , which is a bounded function of (t, x, y) .

(A₂) $b(t, x, y, u) = b^0(t, x, y) + ub^1(t, x, y)$, where b^0 and b^1 are bounded, continuous functions of (t, x, y) .

(A₃) $h, \partial h / \partial t, \partial h / \partial x_i, \partial^2 h / \partial x_i \partial x_j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ are bounded, continuous functions.

We also assume:

(A₄) $\mathcal{U}$ is a convex, compact subset of R^V .

(A₅) The density $p_0(x)$ of X_0 is in $L^2(R^N)$,

and $\int_{R^N} |x|^\ell p_0(x) dx < \infty$ for some $\ell \geq 1$.

We formulate the problem on the "canonical" sample space

$$\Omega = C([0, T]; R^N) \times C([0, T]; R^1) \times L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{U}),$$

whose elements ω satisfy

$$\omega(t) = (X_t(\omega), Y_t(\omega), U_t(\omega)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

We give $C([0, T]; R^d)$ for $d = 1, N$ the usual norm topology; and we give $L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{U})$ the weak topology, which is metrizable since $\mathcal{U}$ is compact. We consider the following increasing families of σ -algebras:

* This research was supported in part by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under AF-AFOSR 77-3063, in part by the National Science Foundation under MCS 76-07261, and in part by C.N.R.S.

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_s, s \leq t)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_t = \sigma\left\{Y_s, \int_0^s U_\theta d\theta, s \leq t\right\}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee \mathcal{G}_t.$$

Definition. An admissible control is a probability measure π on $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T)$ such that Y_t is a $\pi, \mathcal{G}_t$ Wiener process.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ denote the set of all admissible controls π . Each $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}$ determines the joint distribution measure P_π of (X, Y, U) as follows. Given $Y \in C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^1)$ and $U \in L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{U})$ let $\bar{P}^{Y, U}$ be the unique probability measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T)$ such that $\bar{P}^{Y, U}$ is the solution to the martingale problem [6] associated with (1.1)(a), and

$$\bar{P}^{Y, U}(X_0 \in B) = \int_B p_0(x) dx$$

for all Borel $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Let

$$\bar{P}_\pi(dX, dY, dU) = \bar{P}^{Y, U}(dX) \pi(dY, dU),$$

and define P_π by

$$\frac{dP_\pi}{d\bar{P}_\pi} = Z_T \quad (2.1)$$

with Z_T as in (1.3). It can be shown that there exist independent P_π Wiener processes W_t and $\tilde{W}_t$ such that (1.1) holds P_π -almost surely.

Let us write $E_\pi, \bar{E}_\pi$ for expectations with respect to $P_\pi, \bar{P}_\pi$ respectively. Then (1.2) becomes

$$J(\pi) = E_\pi \left\{ \int_0^T F(t, X_t, U_t) dt + G(X_T) \right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

We make the following assumptions about F and G .

(A6) F, G are measurable. For fixed (t, x) , $F(t, x, \cdot)$ is continuous and convex on $\mathcal{U}$. For some $C, m \geq 0$,

$$0 \leq F(t, x, u) \leq C(1 + |x|)^m$$

$$0 \leq G(x) \leq C(1 + |x|)^m.$$

In (A₅) we take $\ell \geq m$, which implies that $J(\pi) < \infty$.

Our result about existence of an optimal control is:

Theorem. There exists $\pi^* \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $J(\pi^*) \leq J(\pi)$ for all $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}$.

In §'s 3, 4 we indicate the method of proof. A detailed proof will be given elsewhere.

The projection of any $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}$ under $(Y, U) \rightarrow Y$ is Wiener measure μ on $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^1)$. Let

$\pi^Y(dU)$ be a regular conditional distribution for U given Y . We call π admissible in the strict sense if $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}$ and π^Y is a Dirac measure, concentrated at a point $U(Y) \in L^2([0, T]; \mathcal{U})$, μ -almost surely. It can be shown that $J(\pi^*)$ equals the infimum of

$J(\pi)$ among strict sense admissible controls; but it has not been shown that a strict sense optimal control exists. By admitting wider sense controls $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}$, we in effect allow the control U_t to depend on auxiliary randomizations in addition to the observations Y_s for $s \leq t$.

3. The filtering equations.

Given trajectories Y and U for the observation and control processes, consider the elliptic partial differential operators associated with (1.1)(a):

$$L_t = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + b \cdot \nabla, \quad (3.1)$$

where $a = \sigma \sigma'$ and ∇ is the gradient in x . Let

$$\tilde{L}_t = L_t - Y_t \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$e(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} Y_t^2 (a \nabla h, \nabla h) - Y_t \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + L_t h \right) - \frac{h^2}{2}. \quad (3.3)$$

Let $p(t, x)$ be the unique solution in $L^2([0, T]; H^1) \cap C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^N)$ to the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = (\tilde{L}_t)^* p + e(t, x) p \quad (3.4)$$

with $p(0, x) = p_0(x)$. The following key formula can be proved. Given $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}$, then for every bounded continuous f

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} p(t, x) \exp[Y_t h(t, x)] f(x) dx = \bar{E}_\pi[f(X_t) Z_t | \mathcal{G}_t], \quad (3.5)$$

π -almost surely. The proof involves the backward partial differential equation adjoint to (3.4), to whose solutions an appropriate version of the Feynman-Kac formula is applied.

Let

$$q(t, x) = p(t, x) \exp[Y_t h(t, x)]. \quad (3.6)$$

Equation (3.5) implies that $q(t, x)$ is the unnormalized conditional density of X_t given $\mathcal{G}_t$ (in other words, given past observations and controls Y_s, U_s for $s \leq t$.) It can be shown that q satisfies the Zakai equation

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = (L_t)^* q + h q dY_t \quad (3.7)$$

with $q(0, x) = p_0(x)$. The conditional density of X_t given $\mathcal{G}_t$ is

$$\tilde{q}(t, x) = \frac{q(t, x)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} q dx}. \quad (3.8)$$

4. A separated control problem.

A well known idea is to introduce the conditional distribution of a partially observed state X_t as the "state" in a new "separated" control problem. This idea is the key to the classical separation principle

for linear-quadratic problems [2, Chap. VI.11]. Similar ideas occur in the control of partially observed Markov chains [5] and of jump processes [4].

In the present context, we may take $p(t, \cdot)$ as the state at time t in a separated problem, since the conditional distributions of X_t are determined from $p(t, \cdot)$ through (3.6) and (3.8). The dynamics of the state process in the separated control problem are (3.4). Both e and the coefficients of

Y_t depend on trajectories Y and U for the observation and control processes. Let

$$\tilde{\Omega} = C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^1) \times L^2([0, T]; \mathbb{Z}).$$

For each $(Y, U) \in \tilde{\Omega}$, $p = p^{Y, U}$ is the unique solution to (3.4) with the given initial data $p(0, x) = p_0(x)$.

In (3.6) we also write $q = q^{Y, U}$ for the unnormalized conditional density. From (2.1) and elementary properties of conditional expectations with respect to $\mathcal{F}_\pi$ and $\mathcal{G}_t$, (2.2) can be rewritten as

$$J(\pi) = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(t, x, U_t) q^{Y, U}(t, x) dx dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x) q^{Y, U}(T, x) dx \right] d\pi.$$

The separated problem is to show that there exists $\pi^* \in \mathfrak{M}$ minimizing (4.1). Once this is shown, the Theorem in §2 follows immediately.

The proof of existence of π^* proceeds as follows. Let π_n be any minimizing sequence in $\mathfrak{M}$. The sequence of probability measures π_n is tight, and hence a subsequence converges weakly to a limit π^* . Moreover, $\pi^* \in \mathfrak{M}$. Finally, it is shown that

$$J(\pi^*) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(\pi_n);$$

the proof depends on linearity of b and convexity of F in the control variable u (see assumptions (A_2) , (A_6) in §2.) as well as results from PDE about continuous dependence on Y, U of solutions $p^{Y, U}$ to (3.4).

References

- [1] M.H.A. Davis, A pathwise solution of the equations of nonlinear filtering, submitted to Teoria Veroyat. Primen.
- [2] W.H. Fleming and R.W. Rishel, Deterministic and Stochastic Optimal Control, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [3] E. Pardoux, Stochastic partial differential equations and filtering of diffusion processes, Stochastics (to appear)
- [4] R.W. Rishel, The minimum principle, separation principle and dynamic programming for partially observed jump processes, IEEE Trans. on Auto. Control, 1978.
- [5] A. Segall, Optimal control of noisy finite state Markov processes, IEEE Trans. on Auto. Control, AC-22(1977), 179-186.
- [6] D.W. Stroock and S.R.S. Varadhan, Multi-dimensional Diffusion Processes, Springer-Verlag, 1979.

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section ☒
DDC	Buff Section ☐
UNANNOUNCED ☐	
JUSTIFICATION	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	SPECIAL
A	