

AD-A078 912


BAI CONSULTANTS INC MONROEVILLE PA
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM NDI I.D. N--ETC(U)
JUN 79

F/6 13/2
DACW31-79-C-0013
NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1 OF 2
AD
A078912

The microfiche card displays a grid of document frames. The top row features two larger frames, likely representing the first two pages of the document. The remaining frames are smaller and contain detailed data, including what appears to be a large table with multiple columns and rows of text and numbers in the lower half of the card.



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD A078912

Distribution Unlimited
Approved for Public Release
Contract No. DACW31-79-C-0013

15

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DDC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

PREFACE


This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through frequent inspections can unsafe conditions be detected and only through continued care and maintenance can these conditions be prevented or corrected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established guidelines, the spillway design flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. The spillway design flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition, and the downstream damage potential.

ORIGINAL CONTAINS COLOR PLATES: ALL DDC
REPRODUCTIONS WILL BE IN BLACK AND WHITE



PHASE I REPORT
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

ABSTRACT

Upper Bridgeport Dam: NDI I.D. No. PA-00465

Owner: Municipal Authority of Westmoreland
County

State Located: Pennsylvania (PennDER I.D. No. 65-5)

County Located: Westmoreland

Stream: Jacobs Creek

Inspection Date(s): 12 December 1978
23 April 1979

Inspection Team: GAI Consultants, Inc.
570 Beatty Road
Monroeville, Pennsylvania 15146

[Contd from
p. 1]

Based on the visual inspection, operational history, and available engineering data, the dam is considered to be in poor condition. The facility has been essentially abandoned by the current owner and has not been in operation or maintained since 1972.

The size classification of the facility is intermediate and its hazard classification is considered to be high. In accordance with the recommended guidelines, the Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for this facility is the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). Results of the hydrologic and hydraulic analysis indicate that the facility can accommodate approximately 20 percent of the PMF before overtopping of the embankment occurs. Since the dam's hazard rating is high, and embankment failure would result in an increase in the potential for loss of life downstream from the dam, the present spillway system is assessed as being seriously inadequate. The facility, on the whole, is considered unsafe, but dam failure is not considered imminent. Consequently, it is recommended that the owner immediately develop an emergency warning system to notify downstream residents in the event hazardous conditions develop. Included in the plan should be provisions for around-the-clock surveillance during periods of unusually heavy precipitation.

Since the dam no longer serves its original purpose (water supply), and, in essence, has been abandoned, it is recommended that the owner immediately:

a. Reactivate or rehabilitate the drawdown facilities and subsequently drawdown and maintain the reservoir at a minimum pool level.

b. Formulate plans and initiate procedures for demolishing and removing the entire facility in accordance with the regulations of PennDER's Division of Dam Safety.

If the facility is to be reactivated for water supply or for other purposes, it is recommended that the owner:

c. Retain the services of a registered professional engineer experienced in hydraulics and hydrology to study the facility, and take appropriate measures to make the facility hydraulically adequate.


d. Retain the services of a registered professional engineer experienced in the design of earth dams to perform a detailed geotechnical evaluation of the facility and take appropriate remedial measures as dictated by the study.

Accession For	
PLM 01.11	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DCU TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dis	Avail and/or special
A 23	GA

GAI Consultants, Inc.

Approved by:



Bernard M. Mihalcin, P.E.


JAMES W. PECK
Colonel, Corps of Engineers
District Engineer





Date 25 July 1979

Date 13 August 1979



Photographs from April, 1979.



Photograph from April, 1923.

OVERVIEW PHOTOGRAPH

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PREFACE	i
ABSTRACT.	ii
OVERVIEW PHOTOGRAPH	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
SECTION 1 - GENERAL INFORMATION	1
1.0 Authority	1
1.1 Purpose	1
1.2 Description of Project.	1
1.3 Pertinent Data.	2
SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA.	6
2.1 Design.	6
2.2 Construction Records.	7
2.3 Operating Procedures.	7
2.4 Other Investigations.	7
2.5 Evaluation.	7
SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION	8
3.1 Observations.	8
3.2 Evaluation.	9
SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES.	10
4.1 Normal Operational Procedures	10
4.2 Maintenance of Dam.	10
4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities	10
4.4 Warning System.	10
4.5 Evaluation.	10
SECTION 5 - HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC EVALUATION	11
5.1 Design Data	11
5.2 Experience Data	11
5.3 Visual Observations	11
5.4 Method of Analysis.	11
5.5 Summary of Analysis	11
5.6 Spillway Adequacy	14
SECTION 6 - EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY.	16
6.1 Visual Observations	16
6.2 Design and Construction Techniques.	16
6.3 Past Performance.	17
6.4 Seismic Stability	17
SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REMEDIAL MEASURES	18
7.1 Dam Assessment.	18
7.2 Recommendations/Remedial Measures	18

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDIX A - CHECK LIST - ENGINEERING DATA
APPENDIX B - CHECK LIST - VISUAL INSPECTION
APPENDIX C - HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS
APPENDIX C-1 - SUPPLEMENTAL CALCULATIONS
APPENDIX D - PHOTOGRAPHS
APPENDIX E - GEOLOGY
APPENDIX F - FIGURES
APPENDIX G - REGIONAL VICINITY AND WATERSHED BOUNDARY MAPS

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM
UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM
NDI# PA-465, PENNDER# 65-5

SECTION 1
GENERAL INFORMATION

1.0 Authority.

The Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a program of inspection of dams throughout the United States.

1.1 Purpose.

The purpose is to determine if the dam constitutes a hazard to human life or property.

1.2 Description of Project.

a. Dam and Appurtenances. Upper Bridgeport Dam is an earth embankment approximately 1,020 feet long (including spillways), with a maximum height of 21 feet. The embankment is divided into three sections by two spillways (see Figure 1, Appendix F). The service spillway is a combination masonry and concrete trapezoidal-shaped weir structure with a 101-foot long crest located about 140 feet from the left abutment. The emergency spillway is a concrete broad-crested weir structure with a 250-foot crest length located about 320 feet to the left of the right abutment.

The dam has two 16-inch diameter cast iron pipe (C.I.P.) outlets, one located in each of the service spillway abutments. Each conduit is controlled by a 16-inch diameter gate valve, with the valves contained in valve pits along either wingwall (see Figures 3 and 4).

b. Location. Upper Bridgeport Dam is located on Jacobs Creek, Mount Pleasant Township, Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania, about two miles south of Mount Pleasant. The dam and reservoir are located on the Connellsville and Mount Pleasant, Pennsylvania, U.S.G.S. 7.5 minute topographic quadrangles (see Appendix G). The coordinates of the dam are N40° 8.0' and W79° 31.0'.

c. Size Classification. Intermediate (21 feet high, 1,250 acre-feet storage capacity at top of dam).

d. Hazard Classification. High (see Section 3.1.e).

e. Ownership. Municipal Authority of Westmoreland
County
P. O. Box 730
Greensburg, Pennsylvania 15601

f. Purpose. Originally water supply (now defunct); presently used for unauthorized recreation.

g. Historical Data. Upper Bridgeport Dam was constructed in 1886 as a domestic and industrial water supply facility serving the communities of Mount Pleasant and Scottdale, Pennsylvania. The facility was originally owned and operated by the Mount Pleasant Water Company which was, at that time, a wholly owned subsidiary of the H. C. Frick Coke Company of Scottdale, Pennsylvania. Construction of the facility was performed by Stark Brothers, contractors from Greensburg, Pennsylvania.

The dam has been modified several times since its completion in 1886. In 1888, the embankment was raised by two feet, and it was again raised in 1894. The service spillway was modified and a concrete corewall was installed during an 1894 reconstruction. In 1906, the present emergency spillway was constructed in direct response to a flood which overtopped the dam. No recent modifications have been made to the facility under its current ownership.

In 1942, the Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County acquired the facility and immediately established it, along with the Lower Bridgeport Dam, as an integral part of their water supply system. The facility remained in operation until 1972 when the owner began to fulfill the water demands of the local area via their Youghiogheny system.

1.3 Pertinent Data.

a. Drainage Area (square miles). 28.9 (local)
31.5 (total)

b. Discharge at Dam Site. Discharge records are not available.

c. Elevation (feet above mean sea level). The following elevations were obtained through field measurements that

were based on the elevation of the service spillway crest at 1053.5 feet.

Top of Dam	1059 (measured low spot along embankment)
Maximum Pool Design	Not known
Maximum Pool of Record	Not known
Service Spillway Crest	1053.5
Emergency Spillway Crest	1056.6
Normal Pool	1053.5
Upstream Outlet Invert	1040
Downstream Outlet Invert	1038
Streambed at Dam Centerline	1039
Streambed at Emergency Spillway	1038
Maximum Tailwater	Not known
d. <u>Reservoir Length (miles).</u>	
Top of Dam	2.3
Normal Pool	1.0
e. <u>Storage (acre-feet).</u>	
Top of Dam	1250
Service Spillway Crest	530
Emergency Spillway Crest	870
f. <u>Reservoir Surface (acres).</u>	
Top of Dam	180
Service Spillway Crest	80
Emergency Spillway Crest	140
g. <u>Dam.</u>	
Type	Earth embankment
Length	1020 feet (field measured; includes service and emergency spillways)
Height	Maximum field measured section = 21 feet.
Top Width	Varies; 15 to 25 feet to the right of the service spillway and 70 to 140 feet to the left of the service spillway.

Zoning	Homogeneous earth with concrete corewall.
Impervious Core	A concrete core wall, according to PennDER records, was installed in 1894. No other information pertaining to its design or construction are available.
Cutoff	According to available information the embankment is founded on clay; however, there is no specific mention of a cutoff.
Grout Curtain	None indicated.
h. <u>Diversion Canal.</u>	None
i. <u>Outlet Conduit.</u>	
Type	Two 16-inch diameter cast iron outlets, one located in each of the abutments of the service spillway.
Length	Each outlet pipe is 40 feet, inlet to gate valve control. Beyond the gate valves, discharge is channeled into masonry tunnels and eventually released at the downstream bases of the wingwalls of the service spillway.
Closure	Discharge through the outlets is controlled by 16-inch diameter gate valves housed at the bases

	of two separate valve pits located on either side of the service spillway crest.
Access	The valve pits are accessible from the embankment crest.
j. <u>Spillways.</u>	
Types	Service: Concrete faced stone masonry, trapezoidal-shaped weir structure, with a cut stone coped crest. Emergency: concrete broad-crested weir structure.
Crest Lengths	Service: 101 feet Emergency: 250 feet
Upstream Channels	Not applicable
Downstream Channels	Service: the service spillway discharges flow directly into the pool formed by the Lower Bridgeport Dam. Emergency: the emergency spillway discharges into the heavily wooded area to the right of the Lower Bridgeport Reservoir, and eventually into the lower reservoir several hundred feet downstream from the Upper Bridgeport embankment.
k. <u>Regulating Outlets.</u>	See "Outlet Conduit" above.

SECTION 2
ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design.

a. Design Data Availability and Sources. No design data, calculations, or reports are available concerning any aspect of this facility. Design features, presented below, are derived from information and correspondence contained in PennDER files. Included in the files were drawings, dated photographs, and state inspection reports.

b. Design Features.

1. Embankment. The embankment, as shown on Figure 1, was constructed of earth atop a clay foundation. No records of compaction procedures or construction techniques are available. A concrete corewall was installed in 1894 which reportedly extends from the right abutment to the left wingwall of the service spillway, a length of about 800 feet. No outer corewall details are available. Cross-sectional dimensions, specifically, heights, crest widths, and slope angles vary significantly along the embankment.

2. Appurtenant Structures.

a. Service Spillway. The service spillway is a concrete faced cut stone masonry, trapezoidal-shaped weir structure located approximately 140 feet from the left abutment (see Photograph 4). The overflow section is 101 feet long and 6.7 feet below the top of the wingwall (5.5 feet below the low spot of the embankment).

b. Emergency Spillway. The emergency spillway is a concrete broad-crested weir structure (see Photograph 2) located about 320 feet from the right abutment, and approximately 215 feet to the right of the service spillway. The crest measures 250 feet across, and is about 3 feet deep (2.4 feet below the low spot of the embankment).

c. Outlet Conduits. The dam was designed with two 16-inch diameter C.I.P. outlet conduits, one located in each of the abutments of the service spillway (see Figure 4). The conduits are controlled by 16-inch diameter gate valves located at the bases of valve pits built into the spillway wingwalls. The inlet ends of the conduits are apparently equipped with trash screens. The outlet ends terminate below the surface of the water in the reservoir formed by the Lower Bridgeport Dam.

c. Design Data and Procedures. No specific design data are available for any aspect of this facility.

2.2 Construction Records.

No construction records are available.

2.3 Operating Records.

No records of operation are available.

2.4 Other Investigations.

Aside from periodic Pennsylvania State Inspection Reports contained in PennDER files, no records of other investigations are available.

2.5 Evaluation.

No formal engineering data are available; however, sufficient information, in the form of drawings and historical records, are available to make a reasonable Phase I assessment of the facility.

SECTION 3
VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Observations.

a. General. The general appearance of the structure, based on the visual inspection, suggests that it is in poor condition.

b. Embankment. Observations made during the visual inspection revealed the embankment to be in poor condition. The embankment is heavily overgrown with trees as well as thick brush (see Photographs 1 and 3). The crest is extensively rutted between the emergency spillway and right abutment where vehicular access is available (see Photograph 1). Several of the ruts were measured to be in excess of 12 inches deep. Several eroded areas, completely void of riprap protection, were observed along the upstream slope. The exposed concrete retaining wall, which defines the downstream slope along most of the embankment, displays numerous cracks and spalls, but overall, was considered to be in fair condition (see Photograph 6). The downstream toe area was found to be heavily wooded (see Photograph 7). Seepage was observed in the swamp-like area between the right abutment and emergency spillway. A sinkhole, approximately 3 feet in diameter and 3 feet deep, was observed several feet to the left of the service spillway (see Figure 1). In general, the embankment, as observed during the inspection, displays numerous signs of deterioration and a lack of maintenance.

c. Appurtenant Structures.

1. Service Spillway. Based on the visual inspection, the service spillway is considered to be in fair condition. The block masonry and concrete structure is well aligned along the crest and at both wingwalls. Extensive cracking and spalling were, however, observed along concrete portions of both wingwalls upstream from the crest (see Photograph 9).

2. Emergency Spillway. The emergency spillway is in a poor condition, characterized by extensive spalling, cracking, and overall concrete deterioration (see Photographs 2 and 8).

3. Outlet Conduits. The intakes and outlets of the outlet conduits are submerged by design and, consequently, were not observed by the inspection team. The valve pit at

the right wingwall was covered with a steel door and locked, making it inaccessible. The valve pit at the left wingwall was not covered; however, the valve was equally unobservable due to several feet of debris within the pit. The owner's representative did not know whether or not either conduit valve was operable, as neither has been operated since at least 1972.

d. Reservoir Area. The reservoir is surrounded by moderate to steep slopes comprised of pastureland and moderate to thickly wooded areas. No evidence of slope distress was observed in the surrounding area.

e. Downstream Area. Discharge from both spillways of Upper Bridgeport Dam flows into the pool formed by the Lower Bridgeport Dam. The lower dam is located approximately 2,400 feet downstream from the upper dam (see Photographs 10 and 12). The southern (left) shore of the Lower Bridgeport Reservoir is lined by approximately six homes (see Photograph 11) which could possibly be affected by an embankment breach of the Upper Bridgeport Dam. Another seven structures are located along the northern (right) bank of the lower reservoir at somewhat higher elevations. In addition, the bulk of the community of Bridgeport is located along the right bank of Jacobs Creek downstream from the Lower Bridgeport Dam. Thus, the hazard classification of the facility is considered to be "high".

3.2 Evaluation.

The overall appearance of the facility indicates it to be in poor condition. The facility is minimally maintained and has, in essence, been abandoned. In accordance with PennDER, Division of Dam Safety regulations, the facility should be drained and the embankment dismantled.

SECTION 4
OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 Normal Operational Procedures.

The facility has not been in active operation since 1972. As a result, there are no formal operating procedures associated with it. Presently, excess inflow is discharged over the service spillway and into the pool formed by the Lower Bridgeport Dam. If the reservoir level reaches elevation 1056.6, or 3.1 feet above the service spillway crest, the emergency spillway will discharge excess inflow into the Lower Bridgeport Reservoir in tandem with the service spillway. The outlet conduits were last operated in 1972.

4.2 Maintenance of Dam.

Since the facility was phased out of operation in 1972, no formal maintenance has been routinely performed.

4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities.

See "Maintenance of Dam" above.

4.4 Warning System.

No formal warning system is in effect.

4.5 Evaluation.

No formal operational or maintenance procedures are available relative to any aspect of the facility. The facility was phased out of active operation in 1972, and is now defunct. Additionally, there is no formal warning system in effect.

SECTION 5
HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC EVALUATION

5.1 Design Data.

No design data, calculations, or reports are available. Information relative to the design of the facility is limited to that which can be inferred from the several drawings, photographs, and state inspection reports available in PennDER files.

5.2 Experience Data.

No records of spillway and/or outlet conduit discharges are available. Data contained in PennDER files indicates that the emergency spillway was constructed in 1906 in direct reaction to a flood which overtopped the embankment. Photographs dated 1941 indicate damage to the emergency spillway, presumably after a significant discharge.

5.3 Visual Observations.

On the date of inspection no conditions were observed that would indicate the service spillway would not perform satisfactorily during a flood event. The discharge capacity of the emergency spillway, on the other hand, may be reduced due to the dense overgrowth immediately beyond the downstream embankment toe (see Photograph 7). The present operability of the outlet works is questionable.

5.4 Method of Analysis.

The facility has been analyzed in accordance with the procedures and guidelines established by the U. S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, for Phase I hydrologic and hydraulic evaluations. The analysis has been performed utilizing a modified version of the HEC-1 program developed by the U. S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, Davis, California. Analytical capabilities of the program are briefly outlined in the preface contained in Appendix C.

5.5 Summary of Analysis.

a. Spillway Design Flood (SDF). In accordance with procedures and guidelines contained in the National Guidelines

for Safety Inspection of Dams for Phase I Investigations, the Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for Upper Bridgeport Dam is the PMF. That is, based on the relative size (intermediate) and hazard potential (high) of Upper Bridgeport Dam, the facility is required to have sufficient spillway and storage capabilities to safely discharge the PMF without embankment overtopping.

b. Results of Analysis. Upper Bridgeport Dam was analyzed under assumed normal operating conditions. That is, the Upper Bridgeport Dam reservoir was initially at its normal pool or service spillway elevation of approximately 1053.5 feet (MSL), with the low-level blowoff lines closed. The service spillway of the facility is composed of a combination masonry and concrete trapezoidal-shaped weir structure which discharges directly into the Lower Bridgeport Dam reservoir. The emergency spillway of the facility is composed of a concrete broad-crested weir structure which discharges into the woods that are located directly beyond the embankment toe.

The PA-657 Dam, located about 9.0 miles upstream from the Upper Bridgeport Dam, was also evaluated in this analysis to assess its effects on the Upper Bridgeport Dam. It too was investigated under near normal operating conditions. That is, the PA-657 Dam reservoir was initially at its normal or recreation pool elevation of 1827.5 feet (MSL) with the service spillway (drop-inlet structure) assumed to be non-functional for the purpose of analysis. Design information concerning the PA-657 Dam reservoir's elevation-storage relationship was available and used in the evaluation. Design information was also available regarding the elevation-discharge relationship of the emergency spillway, but this data was felt to be too conservative for analysis and was not used. The emergency spillway is a vegetated chute channel with a flat, vegetated, critical flow control crest.

Finally, the effects of the Lower Bridgeport Dam on the Upper Bridgeport facility were considered via the computation of a tailwater curve, since the Lower Bridgeport Dam's reservoir extends to the toe of the upper dam's service spillway. All downstream channel routing was done under the assumption that the stream was dry prior to the inflow of the dam outflows. All pertinent engineering calculations relative to the evaluation of Upper Bridgeport Dam are provided in Appendix C, while calculations relative to the evaluation of the upstream PA-657 Dam are provided in Appendix C-1. (Lower Bridgeport Dam computations are contained on Sheets 16 through 22 of Appendix C.)

Overtopping analysis (using the Modified HEC-1 computer program) indicated that the discharge/storage capacity of Upper Bridgeport Dam can accommodate only about 20 percent of the PMF (the SDF) prior to the overtopping of its embankment, while the discharge/storage capacity of PA-657 Dam can accommodate the full PMF (Appendix C, Summary Input/Output Sheets, Sheets S and R). The low top of embankment of Upper Bridgeport Dam was inundated by depths of water of 1.1 feet under 1/2 PMF conditions, and 5.0 feet under full PMF conditions. Therefore, since the SDF of each of these facilities is the PMF, only the Upper Bridgeport Dam has a high potential for overtopping, and thus, for breaching under floods of much less than SDF magnitude.

Since the Upper Bridgeport Dam facility cannot safely handle a flood of at least 1/2 PMF magnitude, the possibility of embankment failure under floods of 1/2 PMF intensity or less was investigated (in accordance with ETL-1110-2-234). Several feasible alternatives were analyzed, since it is difficult, if not impossible, to determine exactly how or if a specific dam will fail. The major concern of the breaching evaluations is with the impact of the various breach discharges on increasing downstream water surface elevations above those to be expected if breaching did not occur.

The Modified HEC-1 computer program was used for the breaching analysis with the assumption that the breaching of a dam would begin once its reservoir level reached the low top of dam elevation.

Two sets of breach geometry were analyzed for the Upper Bridgeport Dam for each of two failure times (Appendix C, Sheet 23). The two sets of breach sections chosen were considered to be the minimum and maximum probable failure sections. The two failure times (total time for each breach section to reach its final dimensions) under which the two breach sections were evaluated were assumed to be a moderately rapid time (0.75 hours) and a prolonged time (4.0 hours), so that the possible upper and lower limits of this most sensitive variable might be examined. In addition, an average or more probable set of breach conditions was analyzed, with a failure time of 1.5 hours.

The Upper Bridgeport Dam peak breach outflows (resulting from a 0.22 PMF overtopping) ranged from about 8020 cfs for the minimum section-prolonged fail time scheme, to about 18550 for the maximum section-minimum fail time scheme (Summary Input/Output Sheets, Sheet BB). The outflow for the average breach condition was about 11890 cfs compared to the non-breach 0.22 PMF peak facility outflow of about 8180 cfs (Summary Input/Output Sheets, Sheet S). The water surface elevation corresponding to the non-breach 0.22 PMF

peak discharge at a section (Section 7) located 550 feet downstream from the dam was approximately 1053.1 feet (MSL) and approximately 1051.3 feet (MSL) at a section (Section 8) located 2,400 feet downstream from the dam (Summary Input/Output Sheets, Sheet S). The water surface elevations corresponding to the average condition peak breach outflow at the two above-mentioned downstream sections were about 1054.5 feet (MSL) and 1052.6 feet (MSL), respectively (Summary Input/Output Sheets, Sheet BB). The approximate elevation of the first house at Section 7, as well as of the other structures which line the left bank of the Lower Bridgeport Dam Reservoir is 1050 feet (MSL). The approximate elevation of the structure at Section 8 (excluding the abandoned building which abuts the lower dam) as well as of the other structures which line the right bank of the Lower Bridgeport Dam reservoir is 1053 feet (MSL), as determined by field measurement and observation. Therefore, the increase in the water surface at Section 7 caused by the failure of Upper Bridgeport Dam was about 1.4 feet, with the breach water surface above the damage levels of the structures along the left bank of the lower reservoir. The increase in the water surface at Section 8, caused by the failure of the dam, was about 1.3 feet with the approximate breach water surface just below the damage levels of the structures along the right bank of the lower reservoir. Although the houses along the left bank area would probably be somewhat damaged even if the dam did not fail, the water surface increases resulting from dam breaching could conceivably cause much more significant damage to the structures, if not only the increase in the height of the floodwave, but also the increase in the momentum of the larger and probably swifter moving volume of water was considered.

Analysis of embankment breaching under 1/2 PMF base conditions indicated that failure of the dam during a flood of such magnitude would probably not increase the damage to downstream structures (Appendix C, Sheets 25 and 26). However, the analysis indicated that there is a flood between the 0.22 PMF and the 1/2 PMF under which dam failure would result in increased damage to the residences on the right bank of the Lower Reservoir. Therefore, the failure of Upper Bridgeport Dam caused by floods of less than 1/2 PMF magnitude is quite possible, and will most probably lead to increased property damage and loss of life in the downstream community.

5.6 Spillway Adequacy.

As presented previously, under existing conditions Upper Bridgeport Dam can accommodate only about 20 percent

of the PMF (the SDF) prior to overtopping of its embankment. Should a 0.22 PMF or somewhat larger event occur, the dam will be overtopped and will possibly fail, endangering many residences in the immediate downstream area. Therefore, the spillway system of Upper Bridgeport Dam is considered to be seriously inadequate.

SECTION 6
EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY

6.1 Visual Observations.

a. Embankment. The conditions observed during the field inspection suggest the embankment is in poor condition and suffers from a general lack of maintenance and care. This condition is considered serious in light of the age and hazard classification of the facility.

Specific deficiencies which are related to a lack of maintenance include: 1) heavy vegetation and overgrowth along the embankment and downstream toe area; 2) deep rutting of the embankment crest between the emergency spillway and right abutment; and 3) several eroded areas along the upstream face that are completely void of riprap protection. The above items are undesirable, and over the long term, could present a serious threat to embankment stability.

Other deficiencies include the: 1) 3-foot deep sink-hole located several feet to the left of the service spillway; and 2) the seepage and swamp-like condition along the downstream toe between the emergency spillway and right abutment indicating possible structural deficiencies which may pose a threat to embankment stability under flood conditions.

b. Appurtenant Structures.

1. Service Spillway. The service spillway is in fair condition. Its general appearance suggests it to be structurally stable. The major deficiency associated with the structure is its extensively cracked and badly spalled concrete wingwalls.

2. Emergency Spillway. The emergency spillway is in poor condition. The concrete structure is badly deteriorated as evidenced by extensive spalling, scaling and deep structural cracking. Its structural integrity and overall stability under high flows is questionable.

3. Outlet Conduits. The outlet conduits were last operated in 1972, and their present operability is questionable.

6.2 Design and Construction Techniques.

No information is available that details the methods of design and/or construction.

6.3 Past Performance.

Correspondence contained in PennDER files indicate that the facility was constructed in 1886. In 1906, the embankment was overtopped which prompted the construction of the present emergency spillway. Two photographs contained in PennDER files indicate that in 1941, the emergency spillway suffered a partial collapse from apparent settlement (possibly internal piping) of the supporting soil. Otherwise, since 1906, the facility has apparently performed adequately. However, no flood records are available.

6.4 Seismic Stability.

The dam is located within Seismic Zone No. 1 and may be subject to minor earthquake induced dynamic forces. Due to its relatively small cross-section, it is believed the embankment can withstand the expected minor earthquake induced forces. However, no calculations or investigations were performed to confirm this opinion.

SECTION 7
ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment.

a. Safety. Visual observations indicate the structure to be in poor condition. The facility, which was originally designed to serve the water supply needs of nearby communities, has not been in operation since 1972. Its physical condition has deteriorated steadily over the last decade as it has essentially been abandoned by the present owner.

The general lack of maintenance has contributed to many of the deficiencies presently associated with the facility including: 1) an overgrown embankment and downstream areas; 2) rutted crest; 3) bare unprotected areas along the upstream slope; 4) severely deteriorated concrete; 5) questionable operability of the outlet conduits; and 6) an apparent sinkhole and other low areas along the crest.

Hydrologic and hydraulic calculations indicate the spillways can accommodate approximately 20 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) before overtopping of the embankment occurs. As the facility's hazard rating is high, the present spillway system is assessed as being seriously inadequate and the facility is considered to be unsafe, non-emergency.

b. Adequacy of Information. The available data is considered sufficient to make a reasonable Phase I assessment of the facility.

c. Urgency. Because of the seriously inadequate spillway system, a formal warning system should be implemented immediately. It is further recommended that the actions required by the recommendations listed in Section 7.2 be promptly initiated.

d. Necessity for Additional Investigations. No additional investigations are recommended at this time.

7.2 Recommendations/Remedial Measures.

Because of the seriously inadequate spillway system, the facility is considered unsafe. Embankment failure is not considered imminent; however, failure could significantly increase the hazard to downstream communities. As a consequence, it is recommended that the owner immediately develop a warning system to notify downstream residents

should hazardous conditions develop. Included in the plan should be provisions for around-the-clock surveillance of the facility during periods of unusually heavy precipitation.

In light of the fact that the dam no longer serves its original purpose, and has, in essence, been abandoned, it is recommended that the owner immediately:

a. Reactivate or rehabilitate the drawdown facilities and subsequently drawdown and maintain the reservoir at a minimum pool level.

b. Formulate plans and initiate procedures for demolishing and removing the entire facility in accordance with the regulations of PennDER's, Division of Dam Safety.

If the facility is to be reactivated for water supply or for other purposes, it is recommended that the owner:

c. Retain the services of a registered professional engineer experienced in hydraulics and hydrology to study the facility, and take appropriate measures to make the facility hydraulically adequate.

d. Retain the services of a registered professional engineer experienced in the design of earth dams to perform a detailed geotechnical evaluation of the facility, and take appropriate remedial measures as dictated by the study.

APPENDIX A
CHECK LIST - ENGINEERING DATA

CHECK LIST
ENGINEERING DATA
PHASE I

NAME OF DAM: Upper Bridgeport Dam
 NDI#: PA-465 PENNDR#: 65-5

ITEM	REMARKS	NDI# PA - 465
PERSONS INTERVIEWED AND TITLE	Ken Baker - Engineer, Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County Paul Mance - Assistant Superintendent of Scottsdale Division	
REGIONAL VICINITY MAP	Dam and reservoir contained on Connellsville and Mount Pleasant, PA., 7.5 minute U.S.G.S topographic quadrangles (see Appendix G).	
CONSTRUCTION HISTORY	Good historical report in PennDER files, dated April 7, 1915. Dam built in 1886 for Mount Pleasant Water Company (owned by H. C. Frick Coke Company) Eventually purchased by Westmoreland Authority in 1942 or 1943.	
AVAILABLE DRAWINGS from from	Owner: 1971 Land Survey PennDER: Four (4) drawings showing plans of dam and spillways with few details.	
TYPICAL DAM SECTIONS	No drawings. Described in 1915 PennDER report.	
OUTLETS: PLAN DETAILS DISCHARGE RATINGS	See Figure 4, Appendix F.	

ENGINEERING DATA (CONTINUED)

ITEM	REMARKS	NDI# PA - 465
SPILLWAY: PLAN SECTION DETAILS	See Figures 3 through 6, Appendix F.	
OPERATING EQUIPMENT PLANS AND DETAILS	See Figure 4, Appendix F.	
DESIGN REPORTS	None	
GEOLOGY REPORTS	None	
DESIGN COMPUTATIONS: HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS STABILITY ANALYSES SEEPAGE ANALYSES	None	
MATERIAL INVESTIGATIONS: BORING RECORDS LABORATORY TESTING FIELD TESTING	None	

ITEM	REMARKS	NDI# PA - 465
BORROW SOURCES	Not known. Probably from within reservoir.	
POST CONSTRUCTION DAM SURVEYS	1917 property survey conducted, available from PennDER files.	
POST CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING STUDIES AND REPORTS	None by present owner.	
HIGH POOL RECORDS	PennDER files infer emergency spillway passed flow in 1941. Representatives of present owner cannot recall any flow over emergency spillway (since 1942).	
MONITORING SYSTEMS	None	
MODIFICATIONS	None since acquired by Westmoreland Authority. Several modifications described in 1915 PennDER report include re.ovation of service spillway and construction of emergency spillway in 1916.	

ENGINEERING DATA (CONTINUED)

PAGE 4 OF 5

ITEM	REMARKS
PRIOR ACCIDENTS OR FAILURES	None during use by present owner. PENNDEE files indicate: a) Overtopped in 1906 - emergency spillway added b) Downstream face of emergency spillway lost support and collapsed in 1941 - apparently repaired.
MAINTENANCE: RECORDS MANUAL	None
OPERATION: RECORDS MANUAL	None
OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	Currently out of operation. Previously, right drain (16" diameter outlet) was used to supplement water supply to Lower Bridgeport Dam where supply was drawn off and filtered. Valve was operated manually with 20-foot long key.
WARNING SYSTEM AND/OR COMMUNICATION FACILITIES	None - No observers during flood conditions.
MISCELLANEOUS	Water supply now taken from Youghiogheny system.

NDIH PA - 465

CHECK LIST
HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC
ENGINEERING DATA

NDI ID # PA-00465
PENN DER ID # 65-5
PAGE 5 OF 5

SIZE OF DRAINAGE AREA: 28.9 square miles (local); 31.5 square miles (total)

ELEVATION TOP NORMAL POOL: 1053.5 STORAGE CAPACITY: 530 acre-feet

ELEVATION TOP FLOOD CONTROL POOL: - STORAGE CAPACITY: -

ELEVATION MAXIMUM DESIGN POOL: - STORAGE CAPACITY: -

ELEVATION TOP DAM: 1059.0 STORAGE CAPACITY: 1250 acre-feet
(measured low point)

SPILLWAY DATA

CREST ELEVATION: 1053.5 (service); 1056.6 (emergency)

TYPE: service: concrete and masonry, trapezoidal-shaped weir structure; emergency: concrete, broad-crested weir

WIDTH: 101 feet (service); 250 feet (emergency)

LENGTH: N/A

SPILOVER LOCATION: service: about 140 feet right of left abutment; emergency: about 320 feet left of right abutment

NUMBER AND TYPE OF GATES: Neither spillway is gated

OUTLET WORKS

TYPE: two 16-inch diameter CIP outlets

LOCATION: one outlet located in each of the abutments of the service spillway

ENTRANCE INVERTS: approximately 1040 feet (estimated)

EXIT INVERTS: approximately 1038 feet (estimated)

EMERGENCY DRAWDOWN FACILITIES: Each 16-inch pipe is valved within pits along either spillway wingwall. Operability of the valves is questionable.

HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL GAGES

TYPE: None

LOCATION: -

RECORDS: -

MAXIMUM NON-DAMAGING DISCHARGE: Not known

APPENDIX B .

CHECK LIST - VISUAL INSPECTION

CHECK LIST
VISUAL INSPECTION
PHASE 1

PAGE 1 OF 8

NAME OF DAM Upper Bridgeport Dam STATE Pennsylvania COUNTY Westmoreland
NDI# PA - 465 PENNDR# 65-5
TYPE OF DAM earth SIZE intermediate HAZARD CATAGORY high
DATE(S) INSPECTION 12 December 1978 WEATHER overcast TEMPERATURE 30° @ 9:00AM.
POOL ELEVATION AT TIME OF INSPECTION 1053.5 M.S.L.
TAILWATER AT TIME OF INSPECTION 1042.5 M.S.L.

INSPECTION PERSONNEL

B. M. Mihalcin Ken Baker
D. L. Bonk Paul Mance
W. J. Veon
S. R. Michalski
OTHERS

RECORDED BY D. L. Bonk

ITEM	OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS	NDI# PA - 465
SURFACE CRACKS	None observed. Deep and extensive rutting is evident across the embankment crest between the right abutment and the emergency spillway.	
UNUSUAL MOVEMENT OR CRACKING AT OR BEYOND THE TOE	None observed.	
SLOUGHING OR EROSION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT SLOPES	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Upstream face approximately 75 feet from the right abutment. 10 to 15 feet of this area is unprotected and without riprap, and it is evident that there has been a loss of soil. 2) Behind left wingwall of service spillway (nearest left abutment). 3) Slight erosion observed behind right wingwall of emergency spillway. 	
VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT OF THE CREST	Horizontal alignment generally conforms to available drawings. Vertical alignment, as indicated by field measurements, is irregular with apparent differential settlements in excess of 1-foot. The lowest area is located at the right abutment.	
RIPRAP FAILURES	Hand-placed slabby sandstones provide riprap protection along the upstream face of the embankment. The riprap extends across the entire length of the embankment and approximately 3 feet above pool level. Several areas (near the right abutment and near the spillway wingwalls) are lacking any riprap protection and display signs of erosion.	
JUNCTION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT, SPILLWAY AND DAM	Good condition.	

EMBANKMENT

ITEM	OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS	NDI# PA - 465
DAMP AREAS IRREGULAR VEGETATION (LUSH OR DEAD PLANTS)	Swamp-like area immediately downstream of emergency spillway and right portion of embankment. (see Seepage below)	
ANY NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE	None through face of embankment. However, area below and to right of emergency spillway is swamp-like with several concentrated seeps as close as 5 feet to the downstream retaining wall. This could be significant as there is little head that is causing flow.	
STAFF GAGE AND RECORDER	None	
DRAINS	Weep holes observed in concrete wall along downstream face of embankment. All were apparently clear; however none were discharging.	

OUTLET WORKS
OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS

NDI# PA - 465

ITEM	
INTAKE STRUCTURE	Submerged - not observed.
OUTLET CONDUIT (CRACKING AND SPALLING OF CONCRETE SURFACES)	Submerged - not observed.
OUTLET STRUCTURE	Separate identical outlet conduits are located on either side of the service spillway near the left abutment. Control valves are located at the base of masonry chambers located several feet to each side of the spillway wingwalls.
OUTLET CHANNEL	see Sheet 6 of 8 - "Discharge Channel"
GATE(S) AND OPERATIONAL EQUIPMENT	Left conduit valve is covered with debris and the chamber is unprotected. The right chamber was covered with a steel plate that was locked and bolted in place. The owner did not have a key to allow the field team to observe the valve. The present operability of the outlet works is not known. The dam and reservoir no longer serve a useful purpose, and consequently, there has been no reason to operate the outlets. Reportedly, the outlet conduits have not been operated since at least 1972, although even this date is in doubt.

EMERGENCY SPILLWAY

PAGE 5 OF 8

ITEM	OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS	NDI# PA - 465
TYPE AND CONDITION	Concrete broad-crested weir structure in poor condition.	
APPROACH CHANNEL	No actual channel. However, flow could be obstructed by brush, trees, and tall grass observed along upstream side of spillway structure.	
SPILLWAY CHANNEL AND SIDEWALLS	Spillway crest severely deteriorated. Sidewalls show severe cracking.	
STILLING BASIN PLUNGE POOL	None	
DISCHARGE CHANNEL	Heavily overgrown with trees and brush.	
BRIDGE AND PIERS	None	
EMERGENCY GATES	None	

SERVICE SPILLWAY

ITEM	OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS	NDI# PA - 465
TYPE AND CONDITION	Concrete and cut stone trapezoidal-shaped weir structure. Crest is 5-foot wide stone coping. Upstream walls are concrete in fair to poor condition. Crest section in good condition.	
APPROACH CHANNEL	N/A	
OUTLET STRUCTURE	N/A	
DISCHARGE CHANNEL	Flows discharged into rock-lined stilling basin and which is contained within upstream portion of Lower Bridgeport Reservoir.	

INSTRUMENTATION

NDI# PA - 465

OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS

ITEM	OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS
MONUMENTATION SURVEYS	None observed.
OBSERVATION WELLS	None observed.
WEIRS	None observed.
PIEZOMETERS	None observed.
OTHERS	

RESERVOIR AREA AND DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL
OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS

ITEM	OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS
SLOPES: RESERVOIR	Moderate to steep. Primarily thickly wooded (75 percent) with some pasturelands (25 percent). No instability noted around reservoir.
SEDIMENTATION	None observed.
DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL (OBSTRUCTIONS, DEBRIS, ETC.)	Unobstructed reservoir pool to Lower Bridgeport Dam which is located about 2,400 feet downstream. Highway bridge crosses Jacobs Creek about 500 feet further downstream.
SLOPES: CHANNEL VALLEY	Downstream channel slope is gentle. Downstream valley slopes are quite variable. A broad, flat floodplain occurs just downstream from the nearest residential center (see below).
APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF HOMES AND POPULATION	Approximately 6 homes are located along the southern (left) shore of the Lower Bridgeport Reservoir which could be affected by high flows (estimated population of 20). Another seven structures are located along the higher northern (right) shore of the Lower Reservoir. The remainder of the community of Bridgeport is located along the northern bank of Jacobs Creek, downstream from the Lower Bridgeport Dam.

APPENDIX C
HYDRAULICS/HYDROLOGY

PREFACE

The modified HEC-1 program is capable of performing two basic types of hydrologic analyses: (1) the evaluation of the overtopping potential of the dam; and (2) the estimation of the downstream hydrologic-hydraulic consequences resulting from assumed structural failures of the dam. Briefly, the computational procedures typically used in the dam overtopping analysis are as follows:

- a. Development of an inflow hydrograph(s) to the reservoir.
- b. Routing of the inflow hydrograph(s) through the reservoir to determine if the event(s) analyzed would overtop the dam.
- c. Routing of the outflow hydrograph(s) from the reservoir to desired downstream locations. The results provide the peak discharge(s), time(s) of the peak discharge(s), and the maximum stage(s) of each routed hydrograph at the downstream end of each reach.

The evaluation of the hydrologic-hydraulic consequences resulting from an assumed structural failure (breach) of the dam is typically performed as outlined below.

- a. Development of an inflow hydrograph(s) to the reservoir.
- b. Routing of the inflow hydrograph(s) through the reservoir.
- c. Development of a failure hydrograph(s) based on specific breach criteria and normal reservoir outflow.
- d. Routing of the failure hydrograph(s) to desired downstream locations. The results provide estimates of the peak discharge(s), time(s) to peak, and maximum water surface elevation(s) of the failure hydrograph(s) for each location.

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJY DATE 4-24-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-4-79 SHEET NO. 1 OF 26



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

DAM STATISTICS

HEIGHT OF DAM \approx 21 FT (FIELD MEASURED)
(FROM BASE OF SPILLWAY TO
LOW POINT OF EMBANKMENT)

MAXIMUM POOL STORAGE CAPACITY \approx 1250 AC-FT (SHEET 5)
@ TOP OF DAM

NORMAL POOL STORAGE CAPACITY \approx 530 AC-FT (SEE NOTE 1)

DRAINAGE AREA \approx 31.5 SQ.MI. (TOTAL)
29.9 SQ.MI. (LOCAL)

PLANIMETERED OFF USGS 7.5
MINUTE SERIES QUADS: CONEY
MAMMOTH, MOUNT PLEASANT, AND
CONNELSVILLE, PA

NOTE 1: STORAGE CAPACITY VALUE OBTAINED FROM "REPORT
UPON THE UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM OF THE MOUNT
PLEASANT WATER COMPANY" AS FOUND IN FEUD DEB
FILES. THE ACTUAL GIVEN VALUE WAS 172 MILLION
GALLONS OF STORAGE

DAM CLASSIFICATION

DAM SIZE - INTERMEDIATE (REF 1, TABLE 1)
(DUE TO STORAGE POTENTIAL)

HAZARD CLASSIFICATION - HIGH (FIELD OBSERVATION)

REQUIRED SDF - PMF (REF 1, TABLE 3)

SUBJECT

DAM SAFETY INSPECTIONUPPER BRIDGEPORT DAMBY WJV

DATE

4-25-79

PROJ. NO.

79-617-465CHKD. BY DLB

DATE

6-4-79

SHEET NO.

2OF 26

Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

HYDROGRAPH PARAMETERS

LENGTH OF LONGEST WATERCOURSE (L) \approx 10.9 MI

LCA \approx 4.9 MI

[MEASURED ALONG THE LONGEST WATERCOURSE
TO THE CENTROID OF THE DRAINAGE AREA]

NOTE 2: VALUES OF L AND LCA ARE MEASURED FROM USGS 7.5 MINUTE QUADS - DONEGAL, MAMMOTH, MOUNT PLEASANT, AND CONNELLSVILLE, PA. ALL VARIABLES ARE DEFINED IN REFERENCE 2 IN THE SECTION ENTITLED "SNYDER SYNTHETIC UNIT HYDROGRAPH".

$C_t \approx 1.0$

$C_p \approx 0.40$

}

[SUPPLIED BY COE; ZONE 25
OHIO RIVER BASIN]

$t_p = \text{SNYDER'S STANDARD LAG} \approx 1.0 (L \times LCA)^{0.3}$

$\therefore t_p \approx 1.0 (10.9 \times 4.9)^{0.3} \approx 3.30 \text{ HRS.}$

RESERVOIR STORAGE VOLUMES

NORMAL POOL STORAGE CAPACITY @ EL. 1053.5 \approx 530 AC FT

NOTE 3: NORMAL POOL ELEVATION OF 1053.5 OBTAINED FROM THE U.S. SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE, WASHINGTON, PA OFFICE WHICH HAS RECENTLY CONDUCTED A SURVEY OF THE AREA. ALTHOUGH FIGURES 3 TO 6 IN APPENDIX F HAVE THE NORMAL POOL LEVEL @ EL 1068.5 (TOP OF MAJORITY SERVICE SPILLWAY), THE DATUM THAT THIS ELEVATION WAS BASED ON IS UNKNOWN. THEREFORE, NORMAL POOL LEVEL WILL BE ASSUMED TO BE @ EL 1053.5 THROUGHOUT THE CALCULATIONS.

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 4-30-79 PROJ. NO. 79-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-4-79 SHEET NO. 3 OF 26



- ASSUME THE RESERVOIR IS APPROXIMATELY PARABOLIC IN CROSS-SECTION (CROSS-SECTIONS ARE TAKEN PERPENDICULAR TO SPILLWAY), SO THAT CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA (BELOW EL 1053.5) CAN BE COMPUTED BY THE RELATIONSHIP:

$$A = \frac{4}{3} D W^2 \quad (\text{REF. 14, PG 13})$$

WHERE A = AREA IN FT², D = DEPTH OF RESERVOIR IN FT @ THE MOST DOWNSTREAM SECTION, AND W = AVERAGE TOP WIDTH OF THE RESERVOIR IN FT.

- MAXIMUM RESERVOIR DEPTH ≈ 14 FT (APPENDIX C, FIG 6) @ NORMAL POOL

AVERAGE RESERVOIR TOP WIDTH ≈ 450 FT (ESTIMATED FROM USGS MAP) @ NORMAL POOL

MAXIMUM RESERVOIR LENGTH (RL) ≈ 5500 FT (ESTIMATED FROM USGS MAP) @ NORMAL POOL

ASSUME THAT BOTH RESERVOIR LENGTH AND TOP WIDTH VARY LINEARLY WITH DEPTH. ALSO ASSUME THAT AREA VARIES FROM A @ THE MOST DOWNSTREAM SECTION TO 0.0 @ THE MOST UPSTREAM SECTION.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\Delta RL}{\Delta D} = \frac{5500 \text{ FT}}{14 \text{ FT}} \approx 393 \text{ FT/FT} ; \quad \frac{\Delta W}{\Delta D} = \frac{450 \text{ FT}}{14 \text{ FT}} \approx 32 \text{ FT/FT}$$

∴ COMPUTED STORAGE VOLUME @ NORMAL POOL EL. 1053.5:

$$\text{VOLUME} = \text{AVERAGE AREA} \times \text{RESERVOIR LENGTH} / 43560 \frac{\text{FT}^2}{\text{AC}}$$


$$V = \left(\frac{A + 0.0}{2} \right) \times \left(\frac{\Delta RL}{\Delta D} \times D \right) / 43560$$

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 4-20-79 PROJ. NO. 79-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-4-79 SHEET NO. 4 OF 26



$$A = \frac{4}{3} D \left(\frac{4W}{2D} \times D \right) / 2 = \frac{4}{3} (14 \text{ FT}) (32 \frac{\text{FT}}{\text{FT}} \times 14 \text{ FT}) / 2 \approx 4200 \text{ FT}^2$$

$$V = \left(\frac{4200 + 0}{2} \right) \times (393 \frac{\text{FT}}{\text{FT}} \times 14 \text{ FT}) / 43500 \approx 265 \text{ AC-FT}$$

- ACTUAL ASSUMED STORAGE VOLUME $\approx 530 \text{ AC-FT}$ (SHEET 1)
 \Rightarrow CORRECTION FACTOR $\approx 530 \text{ AC-FT} / 265 \text{ AC-FT} \approx 2.0$
- ELEVATION-STORAGE RELATIONSHIP BELOW NORMAL POOL EL 1053.5:

ELEVATION (FT)	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR DEPTH D (FT)	AVERAGE RESERVOIR WIDTH W (FT)	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR X-SECT. AREA A (FT ²)	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR LENGTH RL (FT)	COMPUTED STORAGE VOLUME V (AC-FT)	CORRECTED STORAGE VOLUME V (AC-FT)
1039.5	0	-	-	-	0	0
1041.5	2	64	95	786	0.9	1.6
1043.5	4	128	341	1572	6.2	12.4
1045.5	6	192	768	2358	20.8	41.6
1047.5	8	256	1365	3144	49.3	98.6
1049.5	10	320	2133	3930	96.2	192
1051.5	12	384	3072	4716	166	332
1053.5	14	450	4200	5500	265	530

- ABOVE EL 1053.5 \Rightarrow ASSUME THE MODIFIED PRISMOIDAL RELATIONSHIP CAN ADEQUATELY REPRESENT THE TRUE STORAGE VALUES:

$$\Delta V_{1,2} = \frac{h}{3} (A_1 + A_2 + \sqrt{A_1 \times A_2}) \quad (\text{REF 14, P. 15})$$

WHERE $\Delta V_{1,2}$ = INCREMENTAL VOLUME BETWEEN ELEVATIONS 1 AND 2,
 A_1 = SURFACE AREA @ ELEVATION 1,
 A_2 = SURFACE AREA @ ELEVATION 2, AND
 h = ELEVATION 2 - ELEVATION 1

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 4-30-79 PROJ. NO. 79-017-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-4-79 SHEET NO. 5 OF 26



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

- SURFACE AREA (SA) @ EL 1053.5 ≈ 91 AC
(SEE REF IN NOTE, SHEET 1)

PLANIMETERED OFF
USGS QUADS: CONNELLYVILLE
AND MOUNT PLEASANT, PA

SURFACE AREA @ EL 1060 ≈ 200 AC ⇒

∴ RATE OF RESERVOIR SA CHANGE PER FOOT OF RESERVOIR RISE:

$$\Delta SA / \Delta h \approx (200 AC - 91 AC) / (1060 FT - 1053.5 FT) \approx 19.3 AC/FT$$

$$\Rightarrow A_c \approx 91 AC + (19.3 AC/FT \times h)$$

WHERE h = ELEVATION Z - 1053.5 FT

- ELEVATION-STORAGE RELATIONSHIP ABOVE EL 1053.5:

	ELEVATION (FT)	h (FT)	A _c (ACRES)	ΔV ₁₋₂ (AC-FT)	CUMULATIVE VOLUME (AC-FT)
SERVICE SPILLWAY CREST	- 1053.5	0	91	-	530 *
	1054.0	0.5	90	43	570
	1055.0	1.5	103	99	670
	1056.0	2.5	127	117	790
	1057.0	3.5	145	136	930
	1058.0	4.5	163	154	1080
LOW TOP OF DAM	- 1059.0	5.5	182	172	1250
	1060.0	6.5	200	191	1440
	1061.0	7.5	218	209	1650
	1062.0	8.5	237	227	1880
	1063.0	9.5	255	246	2130
	1064.0	10.5	273	264	2390
	1065.0	11.5	291	282	2670

* SEE SHEET 4

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM
 BY WJV DATE 5-1-79 PROJ. NO. 79-617-465
 CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-4-79 SHEET NO. 6 OF 26



PMP CALCULATIONS

- APPROXIMATE RAINFALL INDEX = 24 IN (CORRESPONDING TO A DURATION OF 24 HOURS AND AN AREA OF 200 SQ MI IN SOUTHWESTERN PENNSYLVANIA) (REF 3, FIG 1)
- DEPTH - AREA - DURATION ZONE #7 (REF 3, FIG 1)
- LOCAL DRAINAGE AREA \approx 23.9 SQ MI. HOWEVER, THE STORM WILL BE CENTERED OVER THE TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF 31.5 SQ MI.
 \Rightarrow DEPTH-DURATION RELATIONSHIP BASED ON DA = 31.5 SQ MI. :

DURATION (HR)	PERCENT OF INDEX RAINFALL (%)
6	90
12	108
24	118
48	128


(REF 3, FIG 2)

- HOB BROOK FACTOR (ADJUSTMENT FOR BASIN SHAPE AS WELL AS FOR THE LESSE LIKELIHOOD OF A SEVERE STORM CENTERING OVER A SMALLER BASIN) CORRESPONDING TO A DA \approx 31.5 SQ MI.
 \Rightarrow 0.937 (AS COMPUTED BY HEC-1)


SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM
 BY WJV DATE 5-1-79 PROJ. NO. 73-617-465
 CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-4-79 SHEET NO. 7 OF 26

SPILLWAY CAPACITY (SERVICE)

- PROFILE OF SERVICE SPILLWAY : (NOT TO SCALE)
 (FROM FIG 3 AS WELL AS FIELD MEASUREMENT AND OBSERVATION)



- CROSS-SECTION OF SERVICE SPILLWAY : (NOT TO SCALE)
 (FROM FIG 4 AS WELL AS FIELD MEASUREMENT AND OBSERVATION)



SECTION IS TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM
 TOWARDS DAM

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEBAY DAM

BY WTY DATE 5-1-79 PROJ. NO. 73-617-425

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-4-79 SHEET NO. 8 OF 26



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

- THE DISCHARGE OVER THE TRAPEZOIDAL-SHAPED SPILLWAY WEIR CAN BE DEFINED BY THE EQUATION:

$$Q = CLH^{3/2} \quad (\text{REF 5, PG 5-3})$$

WHERE C = DISCHARGE COEFFICIENT = f (WEIR SHAPE),
L = LENGTH OF WEIR \approx 101 FT, AND
H = HEAD OVER THE WEIR OR THE HEIGHT OF THE RESERVOIR LEVEL ABOVE THE CREST OF THE WEIR IN FT (SEE SKETCH ON SHEET 7). (ASSUME APPROX. VELOCITY IS NEGLIGIBLE)

- THE APPROXIMATE DISCHARGE COEFFICIENT (C) FOR THE WEIR SHAPE GIVEN IN THE SKETCH ON SHEET 7 IS ASSUMED TO BE 3.6 (REF 5, PG 5-9, TABLES 5-9 AND 5-10) FOR THE MAXIMUM HEAD OF 5.5 FT.

$$\therefore Q = CLH^{3/2} = (3.6)(101\text{ft})(5.5\text{ft})^{3/2}$$

$$Q \approx 4690 \text{ cfs} = \text{SERVICE SPILLWAY CAPACITY}$$

SPILLWAY RATING CURVE (SERVICE)

AS THE HEAD OVER THE WEIR BECOMES SMALL, THE ROUGHNESS OF THE CREST AND THE CONTACT PRESSURE BETWEEN THE WATER AND THE CREST EXERT A LARGER INFLUENCE ON DISCHARGE. THAT IS, THE C-VALUES DECREASE WITH DECREASING HEAD. THE OPPOSITE TREND OCCURS FOR HIGHER HEADS. THEREFORE, ASSUME THAT THE DISCHARGE COEFFICIENT - HEAD RELATIONSHIP FOR AN OGEE-CRESTED WEIR (REF 4, PG 378, FIG 25) CAN REPRESENT THE ACTUAL DISCHARGE COEFFICIENT - HEAD RELATIONSHIP

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM
 BY WJV DATE 5-15-79 PROJ. NO. 73-G17-465
 CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-4-79 SHEET NO. 9 OF 26



FOR THIS TRAPEZOIDAL WEIR. THE MAXIMUM HEAD PRIOR TO OVERTOPPING OF THE DAM, 5.5 FT, WILL BE ASSUMED TO BE THE DESIGN HEAD (H_0). THE DESIGN DISCHARGE COEFFICIENT (C_0) OF 3.6 IS GIVEN ON SHEET 8. ALL DISCHARGES ARE DEFINED BY $Q = CLH^{3/2}$ AS ON SHEET 8, W/ THE C-VALUE ADJUSTED FOR SUBMERGENCE ACCORDING TO TAILWATER LEVEL AND THE SUBMERGENCE RELATIONSHIP FOR AN Ogee-CRESTED SPILLWAY (REF 4, PG 382)

RESERVOIR ELEVATION (FT)	H (FT)	H/H ₀ (F/F)	(A) C ₀	(B) C	(C) INITIAL "UNSUBMERGED" Q (CFS)	(D) TAILWATER ELEVATION (FT)	(E) h _d (FT)	(F) h ₀ /H (F/F)	(G) C _s /C	(G) C _s	(H) FINAL Q (CFS)
1053.5	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0
1054.5	1	0.2	0.85	3.1	310	1043.3	10.7	10.7	1.0	3.1	310
1055.5	2	0.4	0.90	3.2	910	1045.0	10.5	5.3	1.0	3.2	910
1056.5	3	0.55	0.92	3.3	1730	1046.6	9.9	3.3	1.0	3.3	1730
1057.5	4	0.7	0.96	3.5	2830	1048.9	8.6	2.2	1.0	3.5	2830
1058.5	5	0.9	0.99	3.6	4070	1051.6	6.9	1.4	1.0	3.6	4070
1059.0	5.5	1.0	1.0	3.6	4690	1052.6	6.4	1.2	1.0	3.6	4690
1059.5	6	1.1	1.01	3.6	5340	1053.5	6.0	1.0	1.0	3.6	5340
1060.5	7	1.3	1.04	3.7	6920	1055.3	5.2	0.74	1.0	3.7	6920
1061.5	8	1.5	1.06	3.8	8690	1057.2	4.3	0.54	0.98	3.7	8450
1062.0	8.5	1.55	1.07	3.9	9760	1058.3	3.7	0.44	0.96	3.7	1200
1063.0	9.5	1.7	1.07	3.9	11530	1060.3	2.7	0.28	0.92	3.6	10650
1064.0	10.5	1.9	1.08	3.9	13400	1062.2	1.8	0.17	0.81	3.2	11000
1065.0	11.5	2.1	1.08	3.9	15360	1064.2	0.8	0.07	0.54	2.1	8275

- (A) FROM REF 4, FIG 246 (PG 378), BASED ON H/H_0 VALUE
- (B) $C = 3.6 \times C_0$ (C) $Q = CLH^{3/2}$
- (D) TW ELEVATION BASED ON TOTAL "UNSUBMERGED" FLOWS OVER THE SERVICE + EMERGENCY SPILLWAYS + EMBANKMENT (SHEETS 12, 14 AND 17)
- (E) $h_d =$ ELEVATION OF RESERVOIR - TAILWATER ELEVATION
- (F) FROM REF 4, FIG 254 (PG 382), BASED ON h_0/H VALUE
- (G) $C_s = C \times C_s/C$
- (H) $Q = C_s L H^{3/2}$

SUBJECT

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV

DATE

5-3-79

PROJ. NO.

78-017-465

CHKD. BY DLB

DATE

6-4-79

SHEET NO.


10 OF 26

gai
CONSULTANTS, I


Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

SPILLWAY CAPACITY (EMERGENCY)

- PROFILE OF EMERGENCY SPILLWAY : (NOT TO SCALE)
(FROM FIG 5 AS WELL AS FIELD MEASUREMENT AND OBSERVATION)



- CROSS-SECTION OF EMERGENCY SPILLWAY : (NOT TO SCALE)
(FROM FIG 5 AS WELL AS FIELD MEASUREMENT AND OBSERVATION)



SECTION IS TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM
TOWARDS DAM

SUBJECT

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV

DATE

5-15-79

PROJ. NO.

73-G17-465CHKD. BY DLB

DATE

6-4-79

SHEET NO.

11

OF

26

Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

- THE DISCHARGE OVER THE "BROAD-CRESTED" EMERGENCY SPILLWAY WEIR IS ALSO DEFINED BY THE EQUATION:

$$Q = CLH^{3/2} \quad (\text{SHEET 8})$$

HOWEVER, IN THIS CASE $L \approx 250 \text{ FT}$

- SINCE THE WEIR IS ESSENTIALLY BROAD-CRESTED W/ A CREST WIDTH OF $\approx 16 \text{ FT}$, AND A MAXIMUM HEAD OF $2.4 \text{ FT} \Rightarrow "C" \approx 2.63$ (REF 6, PG 21-71).

$$\therefore Q = CLH^{3/2} \approx (2.63)(250 \text{ FT})(2.4 \text{ FT})^{3/2} \approx 2440 \text{ CFS}$$

- TOTAL DAM DISCHARGE PRIOR TO OVERTOPPING:

$$Q_{\text{TOTAL}} = 2440 \text{ CFS} + 4690 \text{ CFS} \approx 7130 \text{ CFS}$$

↳ (SHEET 9)

\Rightarrow TAILWATER ELEVATION CORRESPONDING TO 7130 CFS
 $\approx \text{EL } 1052.6$ (SHEET 19).

SINCE THE BROAD-CREST OF THE EMERGENCY SPILLWAY IS @ $\approx \text{EL } 1056.1 \text{ FT} \Rightarrow$ NO SUBMERGENCE EFFECTS

\therefore EMERGENCY SPILLWAY CAPACITY $\approx 2440 \text{ CFS}$

SUBJECT

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV

DATE

5-15-79

PROJ. NO.

78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB

DATE

6-4-79

SHEET NO.

12

OF 26


 gai
CONSULTANTS, INC.
Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental SpecialistsSPILLWAY RATING CURVE (EMERGENCY)

AS INDICATED IN REF 6 (TABLE 21-15, PG 21-71), THE DISCHARGE COEFFICIENT FOR A 16 FT WIDE BROAD-CRESTED WEIR DOES NOT VARY TOO MUCH FROM THE VALUE OF 2.63 \Rightarrow ALL DISCHARGES ARE DEFINED BY $Q = CLH^{3/2}$ AS ON SHEET 11 W/ $C \approx 2.63$.

THE EFFECT OF SUBMERGENCE ON DECREASING DISCHARGE CAPACITY WILL BE CONSIDERED AS IT WAS FOR THE SERVICE SPILLWAY (SHEET 11). THE ELEVATION OF THE BROAD CREST OF THE SPILLWAY IS ≈ 1056.1 FT

ELEVATION (FT)	H (FT)	INITIAL Q "UNSUBMERGED" (CFS)	(A) TAILWATER ELEVATION (FT)	(B) h_d (FT)	h_d/H	(C) C_s/C	(D) C_s	(E) FINAL Q
* 1056.6	0	0	1046.7	-	-	-	-	0
1057.5	0.9	560	1048.9	8.6	9.6	1.0	2.63	560
1058.5	1.9	1720	1051.6	6.9	3.6	1.0	2.63	1720
LOW TOP OF DAM - 1059.0	2.4	2440	1052.6	6.4	2.7	1.0	2.63	2440
1059.5	2.9	3250	1053.5	6.0	2.1	1.0	2.63	3250
1060.5	3.9	5060	1055.3	5.2	1.3	1.0	2.63	5060
1061.5	4.9	7130	1057.2	4.3	0.88	1.0	2.63	7130
1062.0	5.4	8250	1058.3	3.7	0.69	1.0	2.63	8250
1063.0	6.4	10650	1060.3	2.7	0.42	0.96	2.52	10200
1064.0	7.4	13240	1062.2	1.8	0.24	0.90	2.37	11930
1065.0	8.4	16010	1064.2	0.8	0.10	0.65	1.71	10410

(A) TWELEV BASED ON TOTAL UNSUBMERGED FLOW (SEE (D) ON SHEET 9)

(B) h_d = ELEVATION OF RESERVOIR - ELEVATION OF TAILWATER(C) C_s/C OBTAINED FROM REF 4, PG 382, BASED ON h_d/H VALUE(D) $C_s = 2.63 \times (C_s/C)$ (E) $Q = C_s (250 FT) (H)^{3/2}$

* ELEVATION OF 1056.6 CORRESPONDS TO THE ELEVATION OF THE TOP OF THE SMALL 0.5' CONCRETE WEIR SECTION AT THE U. END OF THE EMERGENCY SPILLWAY (SEE SKETCH ON SHEET 10).

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM
 BY WJV DATE 5-15-79 PROJ. NO. 79-617-465
 CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-4-79 SHEET NO. 13 OF 26



EMBANKMENT RATING CURVE

- LENGTH OF EMBANKMENT SUBMERGED VS RESERVOIR ELEVATION
 (BASED ON FIELD MEASUREMENTS)

EMBANKMENT LENGTH (FT)	RESERVOIR ELEVATION (FT)
50	1059.0
250	1059.5
320	1060.0
620	1060.5
670	1061.0
670	1061.5
670	1062.0
670	1063.0

- ASSUME THE EMBANKMENT ACTS LIKE A BROAD-CRESTED WEIR WHEN OVERTOPPED W/ DISCHARGE DEFINED BY:

$$Q = CLH^{3/2} \quad \text{(SHEET 11)}$$

HOWEVER IN THIS CASE (ASSUMING A TRAPEZOIDAL SHAPED WEIR W/ BOTTOM WIDTH \approx 50 FT), $H =$ WEIGHTED VALUE = $\left[(H_1 \text{ ABOVE LEVEL, 50 FT, SECTION} \times \text{CORRESPONDING FLOW AREA}) + (\text{AVERAGE } H_2 \text{ ABOVE INCLINED SECTION WHICH VARIES FROM 0 TO } H_1, \times \text{CORRESPONDING FLOW AREA}) \right] / (\text{TOTAL FLOW AREA})$
 $C =$ DISCHARGE COEFFICIENT = $f(H/L, w/L = \text{CREST WIDTH } \approx 25 \text{ FT; AND REF 12, PG 46})$
 POSSIBLE SUBMERGENCE UNDER HIGH FLOWS WILL BE CONSIDERED W/ ADJUSTMENTS MADE TO C VIA THE SUBMERGENCE CURVE OF REF 12, PG 46.

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 6-9-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-11-79 SHEET NO. 14 OF 26



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

RESERVOIR ELEVATION (FT)	LEVEL CREST PORTION		INCLUDED CREST PORTION		WEIGHTED H (FT)	H/A (FT/FT)	C	L (FT)	INITIAL UNSUBMERGED Q	TAILWATER ELEVATION (FT)	C _s /C	Q (CFS)
	H ₁ (FT)	A ₁ (FT ²)	H ₁ × A ₁ (FT ³)	H ₂ (FT)								
1051.0	0	-	-	0	0	-	-	50	0	1052.9	-	0
1054.5	0.5	25	12.5	0.25	0.33	0.01	2.99	250	140	1053.5	1.0	140
1060.0	1.0	50	50	0.50	0.64	0.03	3.02	320	490	1054.4	1.0	490
1060.5	1.5	75	112.5	0.75	0.86	0.03	3.03	620	1500	1055.3	1.0	1500
1061.0	2.0	100	200	1.00	1.14	0.05	3.04	670	2490	1056.3	1.0	2480
1061.5	2.5	125	312.5	1.50	1.62	0.06	3.04	670	4200	1057.2	1.0	4200
1062.0	3.0	150	450	2.00	2.11	0.08	3.05	670	6260	1058.3	1.0	6260
1063.0	4.0	200	800	3.00	3.10	0.12	3.05	670	11150	1060.3	1.0	11150
1064.0	5.0	250	1250	4.00	4.10	0.16	3.06	670	17020	1062.2	0.64	17020
1065.0	6.0	300	1800	5.00	3.00	0.20	3.07	670	23690	1064.2	0.87	23690

① $A_1 = H_1 \times 50 \text{ FT}$ w/ $H_1 = \text{RESERVOIR ELEVATION} - 1051.0 \text{ FT}$; ② $H_2 = \left(\frac{0+H_1}{2} \right)$ BELOW EL 1061, AND $H_2 = [H_1 + (\text{RESERVOIR ELEVATION} - 1061.0)]$ ABOVE EL 1061; ③ $A_2 = [(L - 50 \text{ FT}) \times H_1] / 2$ BELOW EL 1061, AND $A_2 = [(L - 50 \text{ FT}) \times 2H_1 / 2] + [(\text{ELEVATION OF RESERVOIR} - 1061) \times (L - 50)]$ w/ $L = 670 \text{ FT}$ ABOVE EL 1061; d) $H = [(H_1 \times A_1) + (H_2 \times A_2)] / (A_1 + A_2)$; ⑤ REF 12, PG 46; ⑥ $Q = CLH^{3/2}$; ⑦ TAILWATER ELEVATION IS BASED ON TOTAL "UNSUBMERGED" FLOWS OVER THE STRUCTURE EFFICIENCY SPILLWAYS + EMBANKMENT (SHEETS 1, 12 AND 19); ⑧ C_s/C FROM REF 12, PG 46, BASED ON M^2/H_1 (SEE SHEET 12);

6-11-79

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 5-15-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-4-79 SHEET NO. 15 OF 26



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

TOTAL FACILITY RATING CURVE

TOTAL DISCHARGE = SERVICE SPILLWAY Q + EMERGENCY
SPILLWAY Q + EMBANKMENT Q

ELEVATION (FT)	SERVICE SPWY Q (CFS)	EMERGENCY SPWY Q (CFS)	EMBANKMENT Q (CFS)	TOTAL Q (CFS)
1053.5	0	-	-	0
1054.5	310	-	-	310
1055.5	910	-	-	910
1056.5	1730	-	-	1730
1057.5	2830	560	-	3390
1058.5	4070	1720	-	5790
1059.0	4690	2440	0	7130
1059.5	5340	3250	140	8730
1060.0	6130	4160	490	10780
1060.5	6920	5060	1500	13480
1061.0	7690	6100	2490	16270
1061.5	8460	7130	4200	19790
1062.0	9240	8250	6260	23770
1063.0	10650	10200	11150	32000
1064.0	11000	11930	17020	39950
1065.0	8270	10410	23000	41680

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM
BY WJV DATE 5-3-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-445
CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-4-79 SHEET NO. 16 OF 26



LOWER BRIDGEPORT DAM

THE CREST OF LOWER BRIDGEPORT DAM IS LOCATED ABOUT 2400 FT DS FROM THE UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM. THE RESERVOIR OF THE LOWER DAM EXTENDS FOR THE ENTIRE 2400 FT AND IS APPROXIMATELY 100 TO 150 FT WIDE THROUGHOUT. THE DAM IS A "RUN OF THE RIVER" OVERFLOW STRUCTURE. THE DAM IS ESSENTIALLY ABOUT A 90-FT CONCRETE WEIR WITH A 6.5-FT FORBAY DEPTH, AND A 3.5 FT DESIGN HEAD. THE VALLEY SECTION WHICH INCLUDES THE DAM IS SKETCHED ON SHEET 21, SECTION 4.0.

IN ORDER TO CONSIDER THE EFFECTS OF THE LOWER IMPOUNDMENT ON THE UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM OUTFLOWS, BACKWATER CURVES WILL BE DEVELOPED FOR VARIOUS ASSUMED FLOWS. THE BACKWATER CURVES WILL BE COMPUTED VIA THE HEC-2 WATER SURFACE PROFILE COMPUTER PROGRAM*. HEC-2 CALCULATES BACKWATER CURVES BY THE STANDARD STEP METHOD (REF 7, PG 274-280) BASED ON VALLEY AND CHANNEL CROSS-SECTION INFORMATION. THE SPECIFIC CROSS-SECTION INFORMATION TO BE USED IS GIVEN ON SHEETS 20 AND 21. (THE SHAPE OF THE RESERVOIR PORTIONS OF THE SECTIONS WERE ASSUMED FOR THE MOST PART.)

A RATING CURVE FOR THE DAM SECTION (SECTION 4) WILL BE COMPUTED AND USED AS THE STARTING POINT OF THE CALCULATIONS. THE PROFILES WILL THEN PROCEED UPSTREAM 50 FT TO SECTION 3.0, THEN 1100 FT MORE UPSTREAM TO SECTION 2.0 THEN 700 FT FURTHER UPSTREAM TO SECTION 1.0 (THE LOCATION OF THE 1ST STRUCTURE DOWNSTREAM FROM THE UPPER DAM). FINALLY, THE PROFILES WILL PROCEED THE REMAINING 50 FT UPSTREAM TO SECTION 0.0 @ THE UPPER DAM.

* HEC-2 WATER SURFACE PROFILES (USER'S MANUAL), HYDROLOGIC ENGINEERING CENTER, U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, DAVIS, CALIFORNIA, NOV. 1976

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM
 BY WJV DATE 5-11-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465
 CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-4-79 SHEET NO. 18 OF 26



d) RATING INFORMATION BELOW EL 1050 FT :

CREST OF LOWER DAM	WATER SURFACE ELEVATION (FT)	WEIR FLOW		OVERBANK FLOW			TOTAL SECTION FLOW (CFS)
		H (FT)	Q (CFS)	MAXIMUM FLOW DEPTH (FT)	A* (FT ²)	R* (FT)	
-	1042.5	0	0	0	-	-	0
	1043.5	1	290	0	-	-	290
	1044.5	2	790	0	-	-	790
	1045.5	3	1450	0	-	-	1450
	1046.5	4	2230	0.5	6.6	0.4	2230
	1047.5	5	3120	1.5	29.1	1.0	3140
	1048.5	6	4100	2.5	64.1	1.5	4170
	1049.5	7	5170	3.5	111.6	1.9	5300
	1050.0	7.5	5730	4	140.0	2.2	5920

* FROM SECTION 4.0 GEOMETRY (SHEET 21)

e) RATING INFORMATION ABOVE EL 1050 FT :

MAIN CHANNEL (ABOVE DAM CREST) ⇒
 TOTAL AREA BELOW EL 1050 FT ≈ 675 FT²
 TOTAL WETTED PERIMETER BELOW EL 1050 FT ≈ 97 FT
 N ≈ 0.02
 S ≈ 0.001 FT/FT

OVERBANK AREA ⇒
 TOTAL AREA BELOW EL 1050 FT ≈ 140 FT²
 TOTAL WETTED PERIMETER BELOW EL 1050 FT ≈ 64 FT
 N ≈ 0.125
 S ≈ 0.001 FT/FT

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 5-11-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-4-79 SHEET NO. 19 OF 26



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

WATER SURFACE ELEVATION (FT)	MAXIMUM DEPTH ABOVE ELEV. (FT)	MAIN CHANNEL			OVERBANK AREA			TOTAL SECTION FLOW (CFS)
		A (FT ²)	R (FT)	Q (CFS)	A (FT ²)	R (FT)	Q (CFS)	
1050	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	5920
1051	1	765	7.9	7150	840	0.6	230	7380
1052	2	855	8.8	8590	2320	1.5	1150	9740
1053	3	945	9.7	10130	3940	2.5	2620	12790
1054	4	1035	10.7	11840	5370	3.4	4580	16420
1055	5	1125	11.6	13580	6940	4.4	7030	20610
1056	6	1215	12.5	15420	8540	5.3	9780	25200
1057	7	1305	13.5	17430	10160	6.2	12920	30350
1058	8	1395	14.4	19450	11810	7.0	16290	35740
1059	9	1485	15.3	21560	13490	7.9	20160	41720
1060	10	1575	16.2	23760	15190	8.8	24410	48170
1061	11	1665	17.2	26140	16930	9.7	29030	55170
1062	12	1755	18.1	28500	18700	10.6	34010	62510

- HEC-2 ELEVATION-DISCHARGE RELATIONSHIP @ SECTION 0.0


(@ UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM)

ELEVATION (FT)	FLOW (CFS)	ELEVATION (FT)	FLOW (CFS)
1043.7	230		
1046.2	1450		
1048.6	3140		
1051.1	5300		
1051.7	5920	1064.2	55170
1052.8	7380	1065.3	62510
1054.0	9740		
1055.1	12790		
1056.3	16420		
1057.4	20610		
1058.5	25200		
1059.7	30350		
1060.8	35740		
1063.0	48170		

DOWNSTREAM ROUTING SECTIONS


SECTION 0.0 ⇒ JUST DS FROM EMBANKMENT
 AVERAGE CHANNEL SLOPE ≈ 0.001 (ASSUMED)
 (CHANNEL = LOWER BRIDGEPORT RESERVOIR)
 $n_{1008} ≈ 0.09$; $n_{1038} ≈ 0.125$; $n_{1040} ≈ 0.03$
 (ESTIMATED FROM EXPERIENCE AND REF 7, PGS 112-113)
 CHANNEL INVERT ≈ 1038.2 FT (FIELD MEASURED)
 CROSS-SECTION INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM
 FIELD MEASUREMENT AND OBSERVATION, AND
 USGS TOPO MAPS

SECTION 1.0 ⇒ ≈ 550' DS FROM UPPER DAM (@ 1ST STRUCTURE)
 AND ≈ 1350' DS FROM LOWER DAM
 AVERAGE CHANNEL SLOPE ≈ 0.001 (ASSUMED)
 (CHANNEL = LOWER BRIDGEPORT RESERVOIR)
 $n_{1008} ≈ 0.09$; $n_{1038} ≈ 0.125$; $n_{1040} ≈ 0.03$
 CHANNEL INVERT ≈ 1437.7 FT (ESTIMATED)
 CROSS-SECTION INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM
 FIELD MEASUREMENT AND OBSERVATION, AND
 USGS TOPO MAPS



STATION (FT)


ELEVATION (FT)



STATION (FT)


ELEVATION (FT)

SECTION 2.0 \Rightarrow \approx 1250' DS FROM UPPER DAM
 AND \approx 1150' DS FROM LOWER DAM
 AVERAGE CHANNEL SLOPE \approx 0.001 (ASSUMED)
 $n_{log} \approx 0.125$; $n_{reg} \approx 0.125$; $n_{ch} \approx 0.03$
 CHANNEL INVERT \approx 1037.0 FT (ESTIMATED)
 CROSS-SECTION INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM
 FIELD OBSERVATIONS AND USGS TOPO MAPS



SECTION 4.0 \Rightarrow LOWER BRIDGEPORT DAM SECTION \approx 2400 FT DS FROM UPPER DAM
 AVERAGE CHANNEL SLOPE \approx 0.001 (ASSUMED)
 $n_{log} \approx 0.125$, $n_{reg} \approx 0.125$, $n_{ch} \approx 0.02$ (COMPOSITE VALUES)
 CHANNEL INVERT \approx 1036.0 FT
 CREST OF DAM \approx EL 1042.5 FT

NOTE: BELOW EL 1050 \Rightarrow $n_{log} \approx n_{reg} \approx 0.106$,
 HOWEVER ABOVE EL 1050 \Rightarrow $n_{log} \approx n_{reg} \approx 0.125$
 AS A COMPOSITE VALUE



CROSS-SECTION INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM
 FIELD MEASUREMENT AND OBSERVATIONS AS WELL
 AS THE USGS TOPO MAPS

NOTE: SECTION 3.0 LOCATED
 \approx 50 FT US FROM THIS
 LOWER DAM HAS THE
 SAME SECTION CONFIGURATION
 W/ THE DAM REMOVED
 AND $n_{ch} \approx 0.03$

LOWER DAM \Rightarrow \approx 6.5' "RIP OF THE RIVER"
 CONCRETE OVERFLOW DAM
 W/ \approx 3.5' MAXIMUM HEAD



SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 6-4-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-4-79 SHEET NO. 22 OF 26



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

DOWNSTREAM ROUTING RELATIONSHIPS

STORAGE VS OUTFLOW INFORMATION FOR THE DOWNSTREAM ROUTING SECTIONS WAS OBTAINED FROM THE HEC-2 TAILWATER OUTPUT. (SEE SUMMARY INPUT/OUTPUT SHEETS, SHEETS A TO C).

- SECTION 1: LOCATED @ 1ST STRUCTURE @ 550 FT DS FROM UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM (OR @ 1350 FT US FROM LOWER BRIDGEPORT DAM)

STORAGE (AC-FT)	OUTFLOW (CFS)	STORAGE (AC-FT)	OUTFLOW (CFS)
0	0	70.9	12790
6.1	280	82.2	16420
10.3	1450	94.1	20610
17.4	3140	106.3	25200
34.3	5300	119.2	30350
39.4	5920	132.3	35740
49.7	7330	157.9	43170
60.1	9740	174.1	55170
		183.6	62510

- SECTION 4: LOCATED @ LOWER BRIDGEPORT DAM @ 2100 FT DS FROM UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

STORAGE (AC-FT)	OUTFLOW (CFS)	STORAGE (AC-FT)	OUTFLOW (CFS)
0	0	263.8	12790
30.1	280	307.9	16420
44.1	1450	354.4	20610
65.7	3140	402.5	25200
116.4	5300	453.5	30350
136.6	5920	505.6	35740
180.0	7330	616.8	43170
221.4	9740	673.8	55170
		732.1	62510

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 6-23-79 PROJ. NO. 73-617-465


CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-22-79 SHEET NO. 23 OF 26



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

BREACHING ASSUMPTIONS

- TYPICAL BREACH SECTION :



- HEC-1-DAM BREACHING ANALYSIS INPUTS :

(BREACHING BEGINS WHEN RESERVOIR LEVEL REACHES THE TOP OF DAM ELEVATION)

PLAN NUMBER AND COMMENT	BREACH BOTTOM WIDTH (FT)	BREACH DEPTH (FT)	SECTION SIDESLOPES	* BREACH TIME (HR)	WSEL @ START OF FAILURE (FT)
① MIN BREACH SECT; MIN FAIL TIME	10	7	1/2 TO 1	0.75	1059.0
② MAX BREACH SECT; MIN FAIL TIME	460	7	4 TO 1	0.75	1059.0
③ MIN BREACH SECT; MAX FAIL TIME	10	7	1/2 TO 1	4.00	1059.0
④ MAX BREACH SECT; MAX FAIL TIME	460	7	4 TO 1	4.00	1059.0
⑤ AVERAGE POSSIBLE CONDITIONS	200	7	2 TO 1	1.50	1057.0
** ⑥ AVERAGE POSSIBLE CONDITIONS	200	7	2 TO 1	1.50	1057.0

* BREACH TIME = TOTAL TIME NECESSARY TO REACH FINAL BREACH DIMENSIONS

** PLANS ③-⑤ EVALUATED UNDER OVEE PMF, PLAN ⑥ EVALUATED UNDER OSE PMF

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT LAM

BY WJV DATE 6-23-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-22-79 SHEET NO. 24 OF 26



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

- THE ASSUMPTIONS OF SHEET 23 ARE BASED SOMEWHAT ON INFORMATION CONCERNING EARTH DAM BREACHING PROVIDED BY THE COE, BALTIMORE DISTRICT, AND ALSO ON THE PHYSICAL CONSTRAINTS OF THE DAM AND SURROUNDING TERRAIN:

CONSTRAINT	VALUE
- HEIGHT OF DAM	≈ 21 FT (FIELD MEASURED)
- APPROXIMATE ELEVATION OF TAILWATER ON DAM PRIOR TO BREACHING (CORRESPONDING TO DISCHARGE OF 7130 CFS)	≈ 1052.6 FT (FROM REC-2 SHEET 19)
- EFFECTIVE POSSIBLE BREACH DEPTH BELOW LOW TOP OF DAM EL 1059.0 FT	≈ 7 FT
- EMBANKMENT CREST LENGTH:	
TO RIGHT OF EMERGENCY SPWY	≈ 320 FT
BETWEEN SERVICE AND EMERGENCY SPWY	≈ 200 FT
TO LEFT OF SERVICE SPWY	≈ 150 FT
	} FIELD MEASUREMENTS
- VALLEY BOTTOM WIDTH @ EL 1052.6 FT	≈ 1020 FT (FIELD MEASURED)
- VALLEY SIDESLOPES ADJACENT TO DAM:	
RIGHT SIDE	≈ 5.5 to 1
LEFT SIDE	≈ 6 to 1
	(SHEET 20)

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 6-25-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-1-79 SHEET NO. 25 OF 26



Engineers • Geologists • Planner
Environmental Specialists

HIEC-1 - DAM BREACHING ANALYSIS OUTPUT :

RESERVOIR DATA

UNDER 0.22 PMF CONDITIONS (W/ PA-657 DAM ABLE TO PASS THE ENTIRE FLOOD WITHOUT BREACHING) -

* PLAN NUMBER	VARIABLE BREACH BOTTOM WIDTH (FT)	ACTUAL MAX FLOW DURING FAIL TIME (CFS)	CORRESPONDING TIME OF FLOW (HR)	INTERPOLATED OR HEC-1 ROUTED MAX FLOW DURING FAIL TIME (CFS)	CORRESPONDING TIME OF FLOW (HR)	ACTUAL PEAK FLOW THROUGH DAM (CFS)	CORRESPONDING TIME OF PEAK (HR)	TIME OF INITIAL BREACH (HR)
(1)	10	9326	43.50	9326	43.50	8326	43.50	42.75
(2)	460	18546	43.50	18546	43.50	18546	43.50	42.75
(3)	10	8023	44.17	8023	44.25	8023	44.17	42.75
(4)	460	9837	44.50	9837	44.50	9837	44.50	42.75
(5)	200	11890	44.25	11890	44.25	11890	44.25	42.75

UNDER 0.50 PMF BASE FLOW CONDITIONS (W/ PA-657 DAM ABLE TO PASS THE ENTIRE FLOOD WITHOUT BREACHING) -

* PLAN NUMBER	VARIABLE BREACH BOTTOM WIDTH (FT)	ACTUAL MAX FLOW DURING FAIL TIME (CFS)	CORRESPONDING TIME OF FLOW (HR)	INTERPOLATED OR HEC-1 ROUTED MAX FLOW DURING FAIL TIME (CFS)	CORRESPONDING TIME OF FLOW (HR)	ACTUAL PEAK FLOW THROUGH DAM (CFS)	CORRESPONDING TIME OF PEAK (HR)	TIME OF INITIAL BREACH (HR)
(6)	200	19065	41.75	19065	41.75	19065	43.50	40.25

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 6-25-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-1-79 SHEET NO. 26 OF 26



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

HEC-1- DAM BREACHING ANALYSIS OUTPUT :

DOWNSTREAM ROUTING DATA

UNDER 0.22 PMF BASE FLOW CONDITIONS (W/ PA-657 DAM ABLE TO PASS THE ENTIRE FLOOD WITHOUT BREACHING) -

PLAN NUMBER	VARIABLE BREACH BOTTOM WIDTH (FT)	OUTPUT @ SECTION 7 LOCATED 550 FT DS FROM DAM		OUTPUT @ SECTION 8 (LOWER BRIDGEPORT DAM SECTION)	
		PEAK FLOW (CFS)	WSEL 2. (FT)	WSEL 2. (FT)	WSEL 2. (FT)
①	10	8316	1053.1	1051.4	1051.3
②	460	18227	1056.2	1054.0	1051.3
③	10	8023	1053.1	1051.3	1051.3
④	460	9836	1054.8	1052.0	1051.3
⑤	200	11891	1054.5	1052.6	1051.3

UNDER 0.50 PMF BASE FLOW CONDITIONS (W/ PA-657 DAM ABLE TO PASS THE ENTIRE FLOOD WITHOUT BREACHING) -

⑥	200	19692	1056.6	1054.6	1054.8	0.0
---	-----	-------	--------	--------	--------	-----

- 1.) SEE TABLE ON SHEET 23 .
- 2.) WATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS CORRESPONDING TO THE BREACH OUTFLOWS AS IDENTIFIED FROM THE HEC-2 TAILWATER OUTPUT, SUMMARY INPUT/OUTPUT SHEETS, SHEETS B AND C.
- 3.) BASE FLOW ELEVATIONS CORRESPONDING TO THE PEAK 0.22 PMF FOR PLANS ①-⑤, AND CORRESPONDING TO THE PEAK 0.5 PMF FOR PLAN ⑥ FOR DOWNSTREAM ANALYSIS, SUMMARY TABLE/OUTPUT SHEETS.
- 4.) A FLOW CORRECTING WSFL -

SUBJECT

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV

DATE 6-27-79

PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB

DATE 7-2-79

SHEET NO. C OF BB



Engineers • Geologists • Planner
Environmental Specialists

SECNO	XLCH	ELMIN	Q	CWSEL	CRIMS	EG	VCH	AREA	CUMULATIVE STORAGE VOLUME VOL
2.000	1100.00	1037.00	280.00	1043.70	0.0	1043.70	0.33	857.32	18.82
2.000	1100.00	1037.00	1450.00	1046.14	0.0	1046.14	1.19	1238.54	26.47
2.000	1100.00	1037.00	3140.00	1048.52	0.0	1048.52	1.96	1977.83	37.81
2.000	1100.00	1037.00	5300.00	1050.91	0.0	1050.99	2.50	4199.29	63.80
2.000	1100.00	1037.00	5920.00	1051.51	0.0	1051.60	2.59	4849.71	76.61
2.000	1100.00	1037.00	7380.00	1052.66	0.0	1052.76	2.82	6103.05	105.23
2.000	1100.00	1037.00	9740.00	1053.72	0.0	1053.86	3.31	7290.67	132.12
2.000	1100.00	1037.00	12790.00	1054.76	0.0	1054.94	3.91	8505.97	159.27
2.000	1100.00	1037.00	16420.00	1055.79	0.0	1056.03	4.55	9767.12	187.38
2.000	1100.00	1037.00	20610.00	1056.81	0.0	1057.11	5.20	11089.59	216.87
2.000	1100.00	1037.00	25200.00	1057.82	0.0	1058.19	5.83	12447.00	247.24
2.000	1100.00	1037.00	30350.00	1058.84	0.0	1059.28	6.46	13881.30	279.33
2.000	1100.00	1037.00	35740.00	1059.84	0.0	1060.34	7.04	15339.04	312.03
2.000	1100.00	1037.00	48170.00	1061.88	0.0	1062.52	8.17	18424.95	381.62
2.000	1100.00	1037.00	55170.00	1062.91	0.0	1063.62	8.73	19993.75	417.30
2.000	1100.00	1037.00	62510.00	1063.94	0.0	1064.72	9.24	21610.92	453.80
1.000	700.00	1037.70	280.00	1043.70	0.0	1043.71	0.52	550.48	30.13
1.000	700.00	1037.70	1450.00	1046.15	0.0	1046.19	1.76	954.64	44.09
1.000	700.00	1037.70	3140.00	1048.56	0.0	1048.68	2.78	1492.90	65.70
1.000	700.00	1037.70	5300.00	1050.95	0.0	1051.13	3.57	2345.57	116.39
1.000	700.00	1037.70	5920.00	1051.55	0.0	1051.74	3.75	2617.63	136.61
1.000	700.00	1037.70	7380.00	1052.69	0.0	1052.92	4.16	3202.77	180.00
1.000	700.00	1037.70	9740.00	1053.76	0.0	1054.08	4.95	3824.32	221.43
1.000	700.00	1037.70	12790.00	1054.79	0.0	1055.23	5.91	4499.29	263.76
1.000	700.00	1037.70	16420.00	1055.81	0.0	1056.40	6.93	5232.13	307.89
1.000	700.00	1037.70	20610.00	1056.83	0.0	1057.59	7.98	6030.14	354.43
1.000	700.00	1037.70	25200.00	1057.82	0.0	1058.76	8.99	6875.70	402.50
1.000	700.00	1037.70	30350.00	1058.82	0.0	1059.96	9.99	7794.84	453.50
1.000	700.00	1037.70	35740.00	1059.80	0.0	1061.12	10.92	8750.00	505.58
1.000	700.00	1037.70	48170.00	1061.82	0.0	1063.46	12.54	10846.16	616.81
1.000	700.00	1037.70	55170.00	1062.84	0.0	1064.63	13.32	11925.02	673.76
1.000	700.00	1037.70	62510.00	1063.87	0.0	1065.80	14.04	13020.94	732.06
0.0	550.00	1038.20	280.00	1043.71	0.0	1043.72	0.68	421.75	36.27
0.0	550.00	1038.20	1450.00	1046.20	0.0	1046.27	2.16	759.58	54.91
0.0	550.00	1038.20	3140.00	1048.64	0.0	1048.80	3.33	1257.63	83.06
0.0	550.00	1038.20	5300.00	1051.05	0.0	1051.26	3.94	3089.11	150.70
0.0	550.00	1038.20	5920.00	1051.66	0.0	1051.87	4.02	3623.19	176.01
0.0	550.00	1038.20	7380.00	1052.84	0.0	1053.05	4.26	4667.44	229.69
0.0	550.00	1038.20	9740.00	1053.97	0.0	1054.24	4.86	5692.09	281.50
0.0	550.00	1038.20	12790.00	1055.11	0.0	1055.44	5.60	6729.53	334.65
0.0	550.00	1038.20	16420.00	1056.25	0.0	1056.66	6.37	7787.43	390.09
0.0	550.00	1038.20	20610.00	1057.41	0.0	1057.90	7.15	8873.32	448.52
0.0	550.00	1038.20	25200.00	1058.54	0.0	1059.13	7.90	9959.66	508.78
0.0	550.00	1038.20	30350.00	1059.70	0.0	1060.38	8.64	11079.80	572.65
0.0	550.00	1038.20	35740.00	1060.82	0.0	1061.59	9.31	12198.07	637.83
0.0	550.00	1038.20	48170.00	1063.04	0.0	1064.00	10.71	14479.52	776.69
0.0	550.00	1038.20	55170.00	1064.15	0.0	1065.21	11.41	15656.65	847.89
0.0	550.00	1038.20	62510.00	1065.25	0.0	1066.41	12.08	16847.29	920.62

≈ 1150 FT
US FROM
LOWER
BRIDGEPORT
DAM

≈ 1850 FT
US FROM
LOWER
BRIDGEPORT
DAM ;
≈ 550 FT
DS FROM
UPPER
BRIDGEPORT
DAM

@ TOE
OF
UPPER
BRIDGEPORT
DAM

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM
 BY WJV DATE 6-27-79 PROJ. NO. 79-617-465
 CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-2-79 SHEET NO. D OF BB



OVERTOPPING ANALYSIS

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
 UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM W/ US PA-657 DAM + DS LOWER BRIDGEPORT DAM #OVERTOPPING*
 15-MINUTE TIME STEP AND 48-HOUR STORM DURATION

JOB SPECIFICATION
 MW 0 NWN 15 IDAY 0 IMIN 0 METRC 0 IPRT 0 NSTAN 0
 288 0 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 JUPER 5 NWT 0 LKOPT 0 TRACE 0
 5 0 0 0 0

MULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED
 NPLAN= 1 RTIUD= 5 LRTID= 1
 RTIUS= .10 .20 .30 .50 1.00

***** ***** ***** ***** *****

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

INFLOW INTO PA-657 RESERVOIR

ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	IIAPE	JPLT	JPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

HYDROGRAPH DATA

JHYDG	JUNG	JAREA	SNAP	TRSDA	TRSPC	RATIO	ISNOW	ISAME	LOCAL
1	1	2.60	0.00	31.50	0.00	0.000	0	1	0

PRECIP DATA

SPEE	PMS	R6	R12	R24	R48	R72	R96
0.00	24.00	90.00	108.00	118.00	128.00	0.00	0.00

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS .837

LOSS DATA

LRUPT	SEKRR	DLTRK	RTIOL	FRAIN	SINKS	RTIOK	STRTE	CNSTL	ALSMX	RTIMP
0	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	.05	0.00	0.00

INITIAL AND CONSTANT RATIOWALL
 LOSSES, AS PER COE

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA
 TP= 1.54 CPE= .40 HTA= 0

BASE FLOW PARAMETER'S
 AS PER COE

RECESSION DATA
 STRIQR= -1.50 ORCSM= -.05 RTIQR= 2.00

APPROXIMATE CLARK COEFFICIENTS FROM GIVEN SNIDER CP AND TP ARE TC= 6.53 AND RT= 11.49 INTERVALS

24.	315.	132.	55.	23.	10.	4.	181.	244.	101.	46.	19.	8.	3.	371.	222.	93.	39.	18.	7.	3.	426.	204.	85.	36.	15.	6.	450.	187.	78.	33.	14.	6.	464.	171.	72.	30.	13.	5.	375.	151.	66.	27.	11.	5.	343.	144.	60.	25.	11.	4.
-----	------	------	-----	-----	-----	----	------	------	------	-----	-----	----	----	------	------	-----	-----	-----	----	----	------	------	-----	-----	-----	----	------	------	-----	-----	-----	----	------	------	-----	-----	-----	----	------	------	-----	-----	-----	----	------	------	-----	-----	-----	----

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 6-27-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-2-79 SHEET NO. F OF BB



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
 Environmental Specialists

	SS	MGATES	DESRD	APEL	APWID	APLOSS	PUPPTH
	2.75	1	9.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1818.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1827.50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1829.30	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1831.50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1833.50	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1835.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1838.20	1864.	2.2	1864.	1864.	1864.	1864.	1864.
1841.20	1100.	4.3	5242.	5242.	5242.	5242.	5242.
1844.40	1340.	6.6	10182.	10182.	10182.	10182.	10182.

DAM DATA
 TOPEL 1844.4
 COOD 0.0
 EXPD 0.0
 DAMWID 0.

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 4413. AT TIME 42.75 HOURS

	PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
CFS	4413.	3574.	1439.	482.	138955.
INCHES	125.	101.	41.	14.	3935.
MM		324.81	20.59	20.71	526.16
AC-FT		1772.	2853.	2871.	2871.
THOUS CU M		2186.	3520.	3541.	3541.

PMF

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 493. AT TIME 44.75 HOURS

	PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
CFS	493.	397.	141.	47.	13574.
INCHES	14.	11.	4.	1.	384.
MM		1.42	2.02	2.02	2.02
AC-FT		36.05	51.31	51.40	51.40
THOUS CU M		197.	280.	280.	280.
		243.	345.	346.	346.

0.2 PMF

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 2029. AT TIME 43.00 HOURS

	PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
CFS	2029.	1658.	630.	210.	60598.
INCHES	57.	47.	18.	6.	1716.
MM		5.93	9.01	9.03	9.03
AC-FT		150.67	228.84	229.45	229.45
THOUS CU M		822.	1249.	1252.	1252.
		1014.	1540.	1544.	1544.

0.5 PMF

PA-657

DAM

OUTFLOW

HYDROGRAPHS

SUBJECT

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV

DATE 6-27-79

PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB

DATE 7-2-79

SHEET NO. G OF BB



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE FROM PA-657 DAM TO SECTION A-A * 2300 FT DS FROM PA-657 DAM

ISTAU	ICOMP	IECUM	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRI	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
102	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
OCROSS	AVG	IRRES	ISAME	IOPT	IPMP		ISTR	
0.0	0.00	1	1	0	0			
NSTPS	NSTDL	LAG	AMSKK	X	TSK	STORA	ISPRAT	
1	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	-1.	0	

NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

W(1)	W(2)	W(3)	ELRVI	ELMRA	RLNTH	SEL
0.00	0.00	0.00	1796.0	1820.0	2300.	0.1400

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA, ELEV, STA, ELEV--ETC

0.00	1870.00	250.00	1810.00	500.00	1800.00	
520.00	1800.00	600.00	1810.00	800.00	1820.00	

STORAGE	0.00	86.99	114.42	143.14	175.14	2.95	5.42	11.14	20.14	32.42	47.99
OUTFLOW	0.00	14739.11	88.31	293.08	608.25	1139.66	1992.30	3281.68	5111.81	7575.38	83871.51
STAGE	1796.00	1800.63	1809.89	1811.16	1812.42	1813.68	1814.95	1816.21	1817.47	1818.74	1818.74
FLOW	0.00	14739.11	88.31	293.08	608.25	1139.66	1992.30	3281.68	5111.81	7575.38	83871.51

PEAK	4409.	125.									
CFS	3574.	101.									
CMS	12.79	324.77									
INCHES	20.59	522.93									
MM	2853.	1772.									
AC-FT	3520.	2186.									
THOUS CU N											

PMF

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 28.

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1804.4

FLOW @ 2300 FT DS FROM PA-657 DAM

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 6-27-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-2-79 SHEET NO. H OF BB



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

0.2 PMF

PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
493.	397.	141.	47.	13574.
14.	11.	4.	1.	384.
	1.42	2.02	2.02	2.02
	36.05	51.31	51.40	51.40
	197.	280.	280.	280.
	243.	345.	346.	346.

0.5 PMF

PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
2030.	1658.	629.	210.	60597.
57.	47.	18.	6.	1716.
	5.93	9.01	9.03	9.03
	150.66	228.82	229.45	229.45
	822.	1249.	1252.	1252.
	1014.	1540.	1544.	1544.

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 3.

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 11.

FLWS
@ 2300 FT
DS FROM
PA-657
DAM

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1799.3

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1802.4

HIDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE FROM SECTION A-A TO SECTION B-B + 7900 FT DS FROM PA-657 DAM

ISTAO	ICOMP	IECON	IEAPE	JPLT	JPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTU
203	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
ROUTING DATA								
QROSS	CLROSS	AVG	IRRES	ISAME	IUPT	IPMP	ISTR	ISPRAT
0.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0	0
NSRPS	NSFDL	LAG	ANSKK	X	FSK	STORA	ISPRAT	
1	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	-1.	0	

NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

QN(1)	QN(2)	QN(3)	ELNVT	ELMAX	RLNTH	SEL
0.000	0.000	0.000	1750.0	1800.0	5600.	00300

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM
 BY WJV DATE 6-27-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465
 CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-2-79 SHEET NO. I OF BB



CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA, ELEV, STA, ELEV--ETC
 0.00 1800.00 200.00 1780.00 650.00 1763.00
 670.00 1763.00 800.00 1780.00 1000.00 1800.00

STORAGE	0.00	3.00	12.11	38.42	84.17	149.36	233.99	338.06	461.57
	765.91	939.69	1124.87	1321.45	1529.42	1748.79	1979.55	2221.71	2475.27
OUTFLOW	0.00	104.24	428.67	1199.02	2641.95	4951.11	8297.82	12839.30	18722.59
	35473.90	46920.41	60023.47	74809.98	91312.97	109569.51	129619.32	151503.94	175266.13
STAGE	1760.00	1762.11	1764.21	1766.32	1768.42	1770.53	1772.63	1774.74	1776.84
	1781.05	1783.16	1785.26	1787.37	1789.47	1791.58	1793.68	1795.79	1797.89
FLOW	0.00	104.24	428.67	1199.02	2641.95	4951.11	8297.82	12839.30	18722.59
	35473.90	46920.41	60023.47	74809.98	91312.97	109569.51	129619.32	151503.94	175266.13

PMF

0.2 PMF

0.5 PMF

PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
4369.	3554.	1438.	482.	138950.
124.	101.	41.	14.	3935.
	12.71	20.58	20.71	20.71
	322.94	522.70	526.14	526.14
	1762.	2852.	2871.	2871.
	2174.	3518.	3541.	3541.

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 133.

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1770.0

PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
485.	393.	141.	47.	13573.
14.	11.	4.	1.	384.
	1.41	2.02	2.02	2.02
	35.71	51.29	51.39	51.39
	195.	280.	280.	280.
	240.	345.	346.	346.

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 14.

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1764.4

PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
1999.	1645.	629.	210.	60595.
57.	47.	18.	6.	1716.
	5.89	9.01	9.03	9.03
	149.50	228.75	229.44	229.44
	816.	1248.	1252.	1252.
	1006.	1540.	1544.	1544.

FLWS
 @ 740 FT
 DS FROM
 RA-657
 DAM

SUBJECT

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV

DATE 6-27-79

PROJ. NO. 79-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB

DATE 7-2-79

SHEET NO. J OF 3B



Engineers • Geologists • Planner
Environmental Specialists

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE FROM SECTION B-B TO SECTION C-C * 31700 FT DS FROM PA-657 DAM

ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTU
304	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
QLOSS	CLOSS	AVG	IRCS	ISAME	IOPT	IPMP	LSIR	
0.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0	
NSHS	ASTDL	LAG	ARSKK	X	TSK	SIUKA	ISPRAT	
1	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	-1.	0	

MINORAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

QW(1)	QW(2)	QW(3)	FLOW1	ELMAX	REINTH	SEL
.1200	.0350	.1200	1280.0	1320.0	23800.	.03100

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA.,ELEV.,STA.,ELEV.--ETC
 0.00 1320.00 250.00 1300.00 350.00 1284.00 555.00 1280.00 365.00 1280.00
 370.00 1284.00 430.00 1300.00 550.00 1320.00

STORAGE	0.00	14.53	35.22	74.57	141.16	235.00	356.08	504.40	679.97
	1114.72	1307.15	1701.96	2059.14	2458.71	2900.65	3384.97	3911.67	4480.74
OUTFLOW	0.00	271.37	959.76	2295.75	4281.45	7019.98	10604.50	15122.61	20657.79
	34831.13	43550.01	53867.25	65891.67	79737.90	95521.03	113354.63	133350.08	155616.35
STAGE	1280.00	1282.11	1284.21	1286.32	1288.42	1290.53	1292.63	1294.74	1296.84
	1301.05	1303.16	1305.26	1307.37	1309.47	1311.58	1313.68	1315.79	1317.89
FLOW	0.00	271.37	959.76	2295.75	4281.45	7019.98	10604.50	15122.61	20657.79
	34831.13	43550.01	53867.25	65891.67	79737.90	95521.03	113354.63	133350.08	155616.35

PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
4309.	3532.	1437.	482.	138943.
122.	100.	41.	14.	3934.
CFS	INCHES	MM	AC-FT	THOUS CU M
	12.64	320.97	20.56	20.71
	1751.	2850.	526.11	526.11
	2160.	3515.	2871.	2871.
			3541.	3541.

PMF

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 142.

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1288.4

FLOW @ 31700 FT DS FROM PA-657 DAM

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 6-27-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-2-79 SHEET NO. K OF 33



Engineers • Geologists • Planner
Environmental Specialists

O.2 PMF

O.5 PMF

PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
478.	383.	141.	47.	13572.
14.	11.	4.	1.	384.
	1.37	2.02	2.02	2.02
	34.83	51.27	51.39	51.39
	190.	280.	280.	280.
	234.	345.	346.	346.

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 21.

PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
1977.	1635.	629.	210.	60591.
56.	46.	18.	6.	1716.
	5.85	9.00	9.03	9.03
	148.61	228.64	229.43	229.43
	811.	1248.	1252.	1252.
	1000.	1539.	1544.	1544.

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 65.

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 1202.7

MAXIMUM STAGES IS 1205.8

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE FROM SECTION C-C TO SECTION D-D * 4650 FT DS FROM PA-657 DAM

IS10	ICUM	IFCUN	IIAPE	JPLT	JPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTU
405	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
ROUTING DATA								
GLSS	CLSS	AVG	IRRES	ISAME	IOPI	IPMP	LSTR	
0.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0	
MS1S	MS1DL	LAG	ANSKK	X	ISK	SIUKA	ISPRAT	
1	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	-1.	0	

NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

QN(1)	QN(2)	QN(3)	ELNVT	ELMAX	RLNTH	SEL
0.00	0.000	0.1100	1000.0	1100.0	14800.	0.01000

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA,ELEV,SIA,ELEV--ETC
 0.00 1100.00 500.00 1080.00 1500.00 1063.00 1505.00 1060.00 1515.00 1060.00
 1520.00 1063.00 1650.00 1080.00 1750.00 1100.00

FLWS
@ 31700 FT
DS FROM
PA-657
DAM

SUBJECT

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV

DATE 6-27-79

PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB

DATE 7-2-79

SHEET NO. L OF EB



Engineers
Environme

STORAGE	0.00	9.66	40.06	161.97	383.98	706.08	1128.27	1650.56	2272.95
	3+11.15	4678.91	5591.84	6549.95	7553.23	8601.69	9695.33	10834.14	12016.13
OUTFLOW	0.00	190.32	797.03	2400.54	5607.88	10920.98	18781.73	29594.37	43736.16
	8+715.71	113160.49	145532.51	181854.64	222167.56	266524.20	314986.04	367620.70	424500.28
STAGE	1060.00	1062.11	1064.21	1066.32	1068.42	1070.53	1072.63	1074.74	1076.84
	1081.05	1083.16	1085.26	1087.37	1089.47	1091.58	1093.68	1095.79	1097.89
FLOW	0.00	190.32	797.03	2400.54	5607.88	10920.98	18781.73	29594.37	43736.16
	8+715.71	113160.49	145532.51	181854.64	222167.56	266524.20	314986.04	367620.70	424500.28

PMF

0.2 PMF

PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
4100.	3436.	1436.	482.	138934.
116.	97.	41.	14.	3934.
	12.29	20.55	20.71	20.71
	312.24	521.95	526.08	526.08
	1704.	2888.	2871.	2871.
	2102.	3513.	3541.	3541.

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 280.

PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
460.	375.	141.	47.	13570.
13.	11.	4.	1.	384.
	1.34	2.02	2.02	2.02
	34.10	51.25	51.38	51.38
	186.	280.	280.	280.
	229.	345.	346.	346.

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 23.

PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
1858.	1587.	629.	210.	60587.
53.	45.	18.	6.	1716.
	5.68	9.00	9.03	9.03
	144.18	228.54	229.41	229.41

FLAWS
@ 46.50 FT
DS FROM
PA-657
DAM

SUBJECT

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV

DATE 6-27-79

PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB

DATE 7-2-79

SHEET NO. M OF BB



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

LOCAL INFLOW INTO UPPER BRIDGEPORT RESERVOIR

ISIAQ 0 ICUMP 0 IECUN 0 IIAPE 0 JPLT 0 JPRT 0 INAME 1 ISTAGE 0 IAUT0 0

HYDROG DATA: LAYDQ 1 LONG 1 AREA 28.90 SNAP 0.00 TRSDA 31.50 TRSPC 0.00 RATIO 0.000 ISNUM 0 ISAME 1 LOCAL 0

PRECIP DATA: SPCS 0.00 PAS 24.00 K6 90.00 R12 108.00 R24 118.00 R48 128.00 R72 0.00 K96 0.00

TRSPC COMPUTED BY THE PROGRAM IS .837

LOSS DATA: LEQPT 0 STRK 0.00 DISTRK 0.00 KTIOL 1.00 ERAIN 0.00 SIKES 0.00 KTIOK 1.00 SUTPL 1.00 CNSIL .05 ALSMX 0.00 RTIMP 0.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA: TP= 3.30 CV= .40 NTA= 0

RECESSION DATA

APPROXIMATE CLARK COEFFICIENTS FROM GIVEN SNYDER CP AND TP ARE: TC=13.64 AND R=24.54 INTERVALS

Table with columns: STRIDE, KTIOR, END-OF-PERIOD ORDINATES, LAG, CP, VOLUME. Includes values for STRIDE -1.50, KTIOR=2.00, and various ordinates and volumes.

END-OF-PERIOD FLOW

Table with columns: MO.DA, HR.-MM, PERIOD, RAIN, EXCS, LOSS, COMP Q. Includes a summary row: SUM 25.71 23.27 2.44 1704191. (653.)(591.)(62.)(48257.32)

LOCAL INFLOW

Summary table for LOCAL INFLOW: TOTO UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM RESERVOIR. Includes values for PEAK, CFS, CMS, INCHES, NM, AC-FT, THOUS CU M, and TOTAL VOLUME.

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 6-27-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-2-79 SHEET NO. N OF PB



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

	PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
LOCAL INFLOWS INTO UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM RESERVOIR	CFS	7384.	6312.	1183.	340821.
	CMS	209.	3206.	34.	9651.
	INCHES		91.	4.57	4.57
	MM		4.13	116.10	116.10
BRIDGEPORT DAM RESERVOIR	AC-FT	51.61	104.86	8086.	7042.
	THOUS CU M	3130.	6360.	8086.	6686.
		3861.	7844.		
DAM RESERVOIR	PEAK	15781.	8016.	2959.	852052.
	CFS	523.	447.	84.	24127.
	CMS		227.	11.43	11.43
	INCHES		5.08	290.26	290.26
DAM RESERVOIR	MM	129.02	262.14	17604.	17604.
	AC-FT	7825.	15899.	21715.	21715.
	THOUS CU M	9652.	19611.		

0.2 PMF

0.5 PMF

COMBINE HYDROGRAPHS

COMBINE LOCAL INFLOW HYDROGRAPH W/ ROUTED PA-657 OUTFLOW HYDROGRAPH

	PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
TOTAL INFLOW HYDROGRAPHS INTO UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM RESERVOIR	CFS	40433.	17454.	6399.	1843038.
	CMS	1145.	494.	181.	52189.
	INCHES		20.62	22.68	576.02
	MM		523.69	38079.	38079.
DAM RESERVOIR	AC-FT	17281.	34620.	46970.	46970.
	THOUS CU M	21316.	42703.		
DAM RESERVOIR	PEAK	7394.	3343.	1231.	354391.
	CFS	209.	95.	35.	10035.
	CMS		3.95	4.36	110.76
	INCHES		100.29	7322.	7322.
DAM RESERVOIR	MM	48.79	6630.	9032.	9032.
	AC-FT	3225.	8178.		
	THOUS CU M	3978.			
DAM RESERVOIR	PEAK	17207.	8634.	3169.	912639.
	CFS	563.	244.	90.	25843.
	CMS		10.20	11.23	285.23
	INCHES		259.06	18856.	18856.
DAM RESERVOIR	MM	129.07	17126.	23259.	23259.
	AC-FT	8532.	21125.		
	THOUS CU M	10524.			

PMF

0.2 PMF

0.5 PMF

SUBJECT

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV

DATE 6-27-79

PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB

DATE 7-2-79

SHEET NO. 0 OF 32



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE COMBINED HYDROGRAPH THROUGH UPPER BRIDGEPORT RESERVOIR

STAGE	1053.50	1054.50	1055.50	1056.50	1057.50	1058.50	1059.50	1060.00
FLOW	0.00	310.00	910.00	1730.00	3390.00	5790.00	7130.00	8730.00
CAPACITY	790.	930.	1250.	1440.	1650.	1880.	2130.	2390.
ELEVATION	1040.	1044.	1048.	1052.	1056.	1060.	1064.	1068.

ISIAU	ICOMP	IECON	IIAPE	JPLI	JPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTU
606	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

Q135	CLASS	AVG	IRCS	ISAME	IUPT	IPMP	LSTR
0.0	0.00	0.00	1	1	0	0	0

NS1P5	NS1D1	LAG	AMS5K	X	TSK	STUKA	ISPRAT
1	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	530.	-1

CH2L	SPW1D	CU0W	EXPW	ELEVL	CU0L	CAREA	EXPL
1053.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TOPEL	CU0D	EXPD	DAMW1D
1059.0	0.0	0.0	0.

PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
39957.	34706.	17426.	6386.	1839107.

CU0W	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
1131.	983.	493.	181.	52078.

INCHES	MM	AC-FT	CUBIC M
10.25	260.33	522.05	574.79

PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
7126.	6369.	3312.	1227.	353342.

CU0W	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
202.	180.	94.	35.	10006.

INCHES	MM	AC-FT	CUBIC M
1.88	47.77	94.37	110.43

PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
7126.	6369.	3312.	1227.	353342.

CU0W	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
202.	180.	94.	35.	10006.

INCHES	MM	AC-FT	CUBIC M
1.88	47.77	94.37	110.43

PMF

0.2 PMF

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 39957. AT TIME 43.75 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 7126. AT TIME 44.00 HOURS

UPPER
BRIDGEPORT
DAM
OUTFLOW

HYDROGRAPHS
OVERFLOWING
@ 0.2 PMF

SUBJECT

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV

DATE

6-27-79

PROJ. NO.

79-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB

DATE

7-2-79

SHEET NO.

R OF BB



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

	PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
CFS	19633.	17055.	8601.	3155.	908731.
CMS	556.	483.	244.	89.	25732.
INCHES		5.04	10.16	11.18	
MM		127.93	258.05	284.01	
AC-FT	8457.	17059.	18775.	23159.	
THOUS CU M	10431.	21042.			

MAXIMUM STORAGE = 344.

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PA-657 DAM

	INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY CREST	TOP OF DAM
ELEVATION	1827.50	1835.00	1844.40
STORAGE	93.	460.	1540.
OUTFLOW	0.	0.	10182.

RATIO OF PMF	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S. ELEV	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
.10	1834.35	0.00	417.	0.	0.00	0.00	0.00
.20	1835.85	0.00	534.	493.	0.00	44.75	0.00
.30	1836.78	0.00	616.	1036.	0.00	43.75	0.00
.50	1838.35	0.00	758.	2029.	0.00	43.00	0.00
1.00	1840.46	0.00	1012.	4413.	0.00	42.75	0.00

RATIO	PLAN 1				PLAN 1				STATION 1				STATION 2				STATION 3				STATION 4						
	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS	RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS	RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS	RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS	RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS	RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS	RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS
.10	0.	1796.0	.25	.10	0.	0.	.25	.10	0.	1280.0	.25	.10	0.	1090.0	.25	.10	0.	1090.0	.25	.10	0.	1090.0	.25	.10	0.	1090.0	.25
.20	493.	1799.3	44.75	.20	478.	1282.7	45.75	.20	478.	1282.7	45.75	.20	478.	1282.7	45.75	.20	478.	1282.7	45.75	.20	478.	1282.7	45.75	.20	478.	1282.7	45.75
.30	1036.	1800.8	43.75	.30	1006.	1284.3	44.50	.30	1006.	1284.3	44.50	.30	1006.	1284.3	44.50	.30	1006.	1284.3	44.50	.30	1006.	1284.3	44.50	.30	1006.	1284.3	44.50
.50	2030.	1802.4	43.25	.50	1977.	1285.8	44.00	.50	1977.	1285.8	44.00	.50	1977.	1285.8	44.00	.50	1977.	1285.8	44.00	.50	1977.	1285.8	44.00	.50	1977.	1285.8	44.00
1.00	4409.	1804.4	42.75	1.00	4309.	1286.4	43.50	1.00	4309.	1286.4	43.50	1.00	4309.	1286.4	43.50	1.00	4309.	1286.4	43.50	1.00	4309.	1286.4	43.50	1.00	4309.	1286.4	43.50

ROOTING
SECTIONS
BETWEEN
PA-657
AND
UPPER
BRIDGEPORT
DAMS

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 6-27-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-2-79 SHEET NO. S OF BB



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

RATIO OF PMP	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S. ELEV	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
-10	1057.52	0.00	1007.	3426.	0.00	44.25	0.00
-20	1059.00	0.00	1250.	7128.	0.00	44.00	0.00
-30	1060.12	1.12	1464.	11403.	6.75	44.00	0.00
-50	1061.48	2.48	1761.	19653.	11.50	43.75	0.00
1.00	1064.00	5.00	2391.	39957.	17.75	43.75	0.00

INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY CREST	TOP OF DAM
1053.50	1053.50	1059.00
530.	530.	1250.
0.	0.	7130.

ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW

STATION 607

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT
0.1	3422	1048.9
0.2	7125	1052.5
0.3	11407	1054.3
0.5	19657	1056.6
1.0	39969	1060.5

≈ 550 FT
DS FROM UPPER
BRIDGEPORT DAM
@ 1st STRUCTURE

(SECTION 7)

STATION 708

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT
0.1	3405	1047.7
0.2	7084	1050.8
0.3	11378	1052.5
0.5	19633	1054.8
1.0	39464	1058.7

≈ 2400 FT
DS FROM UPPER
BRIDGEPORT DAM
@ LOWER BRIDGEPORT
DAM

(SECTION 6)

* FROM GIFFTS B AND C

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 6-27-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-2-79 SHEET NO. T OF 23



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

BREACHING ANALYSIS

BREACHING OF UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM ONLY, SINCE THE PA-657 DAM WILL NOT BE OVERTOPPED BY THE PMF. (SAME INPUT DATA AS FOR THE OVERTOPPING ANALYSIS W/ THE ADDITION OF THE BREACH DATA GIVEN HERE)

BREACHING*

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM BY US PA-657 DAM + DS LOWER BRIDGEPORT DAM
15-MINUTE TIME STEP AND 48-HOUR STORM DURATION

NO	NHR	NMIN	IDAY	JOB SPECIFICATION				IPLT	IPRT	NSTAN
				IHR	IMIN	METRC	TRACE			
208	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			JUPER	NMT	LROPT	TRACE				
			5	0	0	0				

MULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED
NPLAN= 5 NRTIU= 1 RATIO= 1

.22

RATIO=

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE COMBINED HYDROGRAPH THROUGH UPPER BRIDGEPORT RESERVOIR

PLAN

DAM DATA	
TOPEL	EXPD
1059.0	0.0
DAM BREACH DATA	
Z	ELBM
.50	1052.00
Z	TFALL
.75	1053.50
Z	WSEL
1059.00	1059.00

STATION 606, PLAN 1, RATIO 1

BEGIN DAM FAILURE AT 42.75 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS 6326. AT TIME 43.50 HOURS

①

DAM BREACH DATA	
Z	ELBM
4.00	1052.00
Z	TFALL
.75	1053.50
Z	WSEL
1059.00	1059.00

STATION 606, PLAN 2, RATIO 1

BEGIN DAM FAILURE AT 42.75 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS 18540. AT TIME 43.50 HOURS

②

DAM BREACH DATA	
Z	ELBM
.50	1052.00
Z	TFALL
4.00	1053.50
Z	WSEL
1059.00	1059.00

STATION 606, PLAN 3, RATIO 1

BEGIN DAM FAILURE AT 42.75 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS 6073. AT TIME 44.17 HOURS

③

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM
 BY WJV DATE 6-27-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465
 CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-2-79 SHEET NO. U OF BB



PLAN

DAM BREACH DATA
 GRID Z ELEM TFAIL #SEL FAIPL
 400. 4.00 1052.00 4.00 1053.50 1059.00
 STATION 606, PLAN 4, RATIO 1

BEGIN DAM FAILURE AT 42.75 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 9837. AT TIME 44.50 HOURS

(4)

DAM BREACH DATA
 GRID Z ELEM TFAIL #SEL FAIPL
 200. 7.00 1052.00 1.50 1053.50 1059.00
 STATION 606, PLAN 5, RATIO 1

BEGIN DAM FAILURE AT 42.75 HOURS
 PEAK OUTFLOW IS 11690. AT TIME 44.25 HOURS

(5)

THE DAM BREACH HYDROGRAPH WAS DEVELOPED USING A TIME INTERVAL OF .031 HOURS DURING BREACH FORMATION.
 DOWNSTREAM CALCULATIONS WILL USE A TIME INTERVAL OF .250 HOURS.
 THIS TABLE COMPARES THE HYDROGRAPH FOR DOWNSTREAM CALCULATIONS WITH THE COMPUTED BREACH HYDROGRAPH.
 INTERMEDIATE FLOWS ARE INTERPOLATED FROM END-OF-PERIOD VALUES.

TIME (HOURS)	TIME FROM BEGINNING OF BREACH (HOURS)	INTERPOLATED BREACH HYDROGRAPH (CFS)	COMPUTED BREACH HYDROGRAPH (CFS)	ERROR (CFS)	ACCUMULATED ERROR (CFS)	ACCUMULATED ERROR (AC-F)
42.750	0.000	7133.	7133.	0.	0.	0.
42.781	.031	7261.	7212.	49.	49.	0.
42.813	.063	7390.	7319.	71.	120.	0.
42.844	.094	7519.	7442.	77.	197.	1.
42.875	.125	7648.	7575.	73.	269.	1.
42.906	.156	7777.	7716.	60.	330.	1.
42.938	.188	7905.	7862.	43.	373.	1.
42.969	.219	8034.	8011.	23.	396.	1.
43.000	.250	8163.	8163.	0.	396.	1.
43.031	.281	8311.	8316.	-5.	391.	1.
43.063	.313	8459.	8468.	-9.	382.	1.
43.094	.344	8607.	8619.	-13.	370.	1.
43.125	.375	8755.	8769.	-15.	355.	1.
43.156	.406	8902.	8917.	-15.	341.	1.
43.188	.438	9050.	9063.	-12.	328.	1.
43.219	.469	9198.	9206.	-7.	321.	1.
43.250	.500	9346.	9346.	-0.	321.	1.
43.281	.531	9471.	9484.	-14.	307.	1.

(5)

SUBJECT

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV

DATE

6-27-79

PROJ. NO.

78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB

DATE

7-2-79

SHEET NO.

V OF 23



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

TIME (HOURS)	TIME FROM BEGINNING OF BREACH (HOURS)	INTERPOLATED BREACH HYDROGRAPH (CFS)	COMPUTED BREACH HYDROGRAPH (CFS)	ERROR (CFS)	ACCUMULATED ERROR (CFS)	ACCUMULATED ERROR (AC-FT)
43.313	.563	9595.	9619.	-23.	284.	1.
43.344	.594	9720.	9749.	-29.	255.	1.
43.375	.625	9845.	9876.	-31.	223.	1.
43.406	.656	9969.	9999.	-30.	194.	0.
43.438	.688	10094.	10117.	-24.	170.	0.
43.469	.719	10218.	10232.	-14.	156.	0.
43.500	.750	10343.	10343.	0.	156.	0.
43.531	.781	10437.	10450.	-13.	143.	0.
43.563	.813	10530.	10552.	-22.	121.	0.
43.594	.844	10624.	10651.	-27.	94.	0.
43.625	.875	10718.	10745.	-28.	67.	0.
43.656	.906	10811.	10836.	-25.	42.	0.
43.688	.938	10905.	10923.	-18.	25.	0.
43.719	.969	10999.	11006.	-7.	17.	0.
43.750	1.000	11092.	11092.	0.	17.	0.
43.781	1.031	11167.	11180.	-13.	4.	0.
43.813	1.063	11242.	11265.	-22.	-18.	0.
43.844	1.094	11318.	11345.	-27.	-45.	0.
43.875	1.125	11393.	11421.	-29.	-74.	0.
43.906	1.156	11468.	11494.	-27.	-101.	0.
43.938	1.188	11543.	11564.	-21.	-122.	0.
43.969	1.219	11618.	11630.	-12.	-134.	0.
44.000	1.250	11693.	11693.	0.	-134.	0.
44.031	1.281	11718.	11753.	-35.	-169.	0.
44.063	1.313	11742.	11781.	-39.	-208.	0.
44.094	1.344	11767.	11802.	-35.	-243.	0.
44.125	1.375	11792.	11822.	-30.	-273.	0.
44.156	1.406	11816.	11850.	-34.	-297.	0.
44.188	1.438	11841.	11857.	-16.	-313.	0.
44.219	1.469	11866.	11873.	-8.	-321.	0.
44.250	1.500	11890.	11890.	0.	-321.	0.

5

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION


UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 6-27-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-2-79 SHEET NO. W OF BB



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists



SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 6-27-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-2-79 SHEET NO. X OF BB



Engineers • Geologists • Planner
Environmental Specialists

NOTE:
UNDER
Y/2 PMF
BASE
CONDITIONS

DAM BREACH DATA
Z ELEM TPAIL WSEL FAILL
2.00 1052.00 1.50 1053.50 1059.00

BELOW DAM FAILURE AT 40.25 HOURS
PEAK OUTFLOW IS 19075. AT TIME 43.50 HOURS

THE DAM BREACH HYDROGRAPH WAS DEVELOPED USING A TIME INTERVAL OF .031 HOURS DURING BREACH FORMATION. DOWNSTREAM CALCULATIONS WILL USE A TIME INTERVAL OF .250 HOURS. THIS TABLE COMPARES THE HYDROGRAPH FOR DOWNSTREAM CALCULATIONS WITH THE COMPUTED BREACH HYDROGRAPH. INTERMEDIATE FLOWS ARE INTERPOLATED FROM END-OF-PERIOD VALUES.

TIME (HOURS)	TIME FROM BEGINNING OF BREACH (HOURS)	INTERPOLATED BREACH HYDROGRAPH (CFS)	COMPUTED BREACH HYDROGRAPH (CFS)	ERROR (CFS)	ACCUMULATED ERROR (CFS)	ACCUMULATED ERROR (AC-FT)
40.250	0.000	7573.	7573.	0.	0.	0.
40.281	.031	7797.	7744.	53.	53.	0.
40.313	.063	8020.	7939.	81.	134.	0.
40.344	.094	8244.	8152.	92.	226.	1.
40.375	.125	8468.	8378.	90.	316.	1.
40.406	.156	8692.	8614.	78.	394.	1.
40.438	.188	8915.	8858.	58.	452.	1.
40.469	.219	9139.	9108.	31.	484.	1.
40.500	.250	9363.	9363.	-0.	484.	1.
40.531	.281	9628.	9622.	6.	490.	1.
40.563	.313	9893.	9885.	9.	498.	1.
40.594	.344	10158.	10149.	9.	507.	1.
40.625	.375	10423.	10415.	8.	516.	1.
40.656	.406	10688.	10682.	6.	522.	1.
40.688	.438	10954.	10950.	4.	525.	1.
40.719	.469	11219.	11217.	2.	527.	1.
40.750	.500	11484.	11484.	0.	527.	1.
40.781	.531	11748.	11750.	-4.	523.	1.
40.813	.563	12007.	12015.	-8.	515.	1.
40.844	.594	12269.	12279.	-10.	505.	1.
40.875	.625	12531.	12542.	-12.	493.	1.
40.906	.656	12792.	12804.	-11.	484.	1.
40.938	.688	13054.	13064.	-9.	472.	1.

(6)

Y/2 PMF
CONDITIONS

PLAN

(6)

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM
 BY WJV DATE 6-27-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465
 CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-2-79 SHEET NO. Y OF BB



TIME (HOURS)	TIME FROM BEGINNING OF BREACH (HOURS)	INTERPOLATED BREACH HYDROGRAPH (CFS)	COMPUTED BREACH HYDROGRAPH (CFS)	=	ERROR (CFS)	ACCUMULATED ERROR (CFS)	ACCUMULATED ERROR (AC-FT)
40.969	.719	13316.	13322.		-6.	467.	1.
41.000	.750	13578.	13578.		0.	467.	1.
41.031	.781	13825.	13832.		-7.	459.	1.
41.063	.813	14072.	14083.		-12.	447.	1.
41.094	.844	14319.	14334.		-15.	432.	1.
41.125	.875	14566.	14582.		-17.	415.	1.
41.156	.906	14813.	14828.		-16.	399.	1.
41.186	.938	15060.	15072.		-13.	387.	1.
41.219	.969	15307.	15314.		-7.	380.	1.
41.250	1.000	15554.	15554.		0.	380.	1.
41.281	1.031	15783.	15791.		-8.	371.	1.
41.313	1.063	16012.	16026.		-14.	358.	1.
41.344	1.094	16242.	16259.		-17.	340.	1.
41.375	1.125	16471.	16489.		-18.	322.	1.
41.406	1.156	16700.	16717.		-17.	305.	1.
41.438	1.188	16929.	16943.		-14.	291.	1.
41.469	1.219	17158.	17186.		-8.	283.	1.
41.500	1.250	17388.	17388.		0.	283.	1.
41.531	1.281	17597.	17607.		-9.	274.	1.
41.563	1.313	17807.	17823.		-16.	259.	1.
41.594	1.344	18017.	18036.		-19.	249.	1.
41.625	1.375	18226.	18247.		-20.	249.	1.
41.656	1.406	18436.	18455.		-19.	200.	1.
41.688	1.438	18645.	18661.		-15.	185.	0.
41.719	1.469	18855.	18861.		-9.	176.	0.
41.750	1.500	19065.	19065.		0.	176.	0.

(6)

1/2 P.N.F.
 CONDITIONS

AD-A078 912

GAI CONSULTANTS INC MONROEVILLE PA

F/6 13/2

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM NDI I.D. N--ETC(U)


DACW31-79-C-0013

UNCLASSIFIED

NL

2 OF 2


AD
A078912



END
DATE
FILMED

1 -80

DDC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

SUBJECT

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV

DATE

6-27-79

PROJ. NO.

78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB

DATE


7-2-79

SHEET NO.

Z OF BB



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists



6

1/2 PMF
CONDITIONS

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

BY WJV DATE 6-27-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-2-79 SHEET NO. AA OF BB



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PA-657 DAM

INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY CREST	TOP OF DAM
1827.50	1835.00	1844.40
93.	460.	1540.
0.	0.	10182.

ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW

RATIO OF PMF	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
.22	0.00	551.	605.	0.00	44.50	0.00 ← PLANS (D) → (S)
.50	0.00	758.	2029.	0.00	43.00	0.00 ← PLAN (C)

SECTION	RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS	ROUTING SECTIONS BETWEEN PA-657 DAM AND UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM
2	.22	605.	1799.8	44.50	(D) → (S)
	.50	2030.	1802.4	43.25	
3	.22	595.	1764.7	45.00	(D) → (S)
	.50	1999.	1767.5	43.50	
4	.22	587.	1283.1	45.25	(D) → (S)
	.50	1977.	1285.8	44.00	
5	.22	566.	1063.4	46.00	(D) → (S)
	.50	1858.	1065.6	45.00	

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM
 BY WJV DATE 6-27-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-465
 CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-2-79 SHEET NO. 88 OF 88



SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM

PLAN	RATIO OF PNE	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS	INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY CHEST	TOP OF DAM
								1053.50	1053.50	1059.00
								530.	530.	1250.
								0.	0.	7130.
1	.22	.14	1277.	8326.	2.25	43.50	42.75			
2	.22	.02	1254.	18546.	.40	43.50	42.75			
3	.22	.23	1295.	8023.	3.00	44.17	42.75			
4	.22	.08	1765.	9837.	.97	44.50	42.75			
5	.22	.06	1261.	11890.	.72	44.25	42.75			
6	.50	.46	1338.	19693.	3.22	43.50	40.25			

DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL ROUTING

SECTION 7

PLAN	FLOW (CFS) *	ELEVATION (FT) **
1	8316	1053.1
2	18227	1056.2
3	8023	1053.1
4	9836	1053.8
5	11891	1054.5
6	19697	1056.6

SECTION 8

PLAN	FLOW (CFS) *	ELEVATION (FT) **
1	8229	1051.4
2	16454	1054.0
3	8006	1051.3
4	9794	1052.0
5	11652	1052.6
6	19686	1054.8

* FLOWS FROM DETAILED
 HEC-1 OUTPUT
 ** FROM SHEETS B AND C

LIST OF REFERENCES

1. "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams," prepared by Department of the Army Office of the Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C. (Appendix D).
2. "Unit Hydrograph Concepts and Calculations," by Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District (L-519).
3. "Seasonal Variation of Probable Maximum Precipitation East of the 105th Meridian for Areas from 10 to 1,000 Square Miles and Duration of 6, 12, 24, and 48 Hours," Hydrometeorological Report No. 33, prepared by J. T. Riedel, J. F. Appleby and R. W. Schloemer Hydrologic Service Division Hydrometeorological Section, U. S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Washington, D. C., April 1956.
4. Design of Small Dams, U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Washington, D. C., 1973.
5. Handbook of Hydraulic, H. W. King and E. F. Brater, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1963.
6. Standard Handbook for Civil Engineers, F. S. Merritt McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1968.
7. Open-Channel Hydraulics, V. T. Chow, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1959.
8. Weir Experiments, Coefficients, and Formulas, R. E. Horton, Water Supply and Irrigation Paper No. 200, Department of the Interior, United States Geological Survey, Washington, D. C., 1907.
9. "Probable Maximum Precipitation Susquehanna River Drainage Above Harrisburg, Pennsylvania," Hydrometeorological Report 40, prepared by H. V. Goodyear and J. T. Riedel, Hydrometeorological Branch Office of Hydrology, U. S. Weather Bureau, U. S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C., May 1965.
10. Flood Hydrograph Package (HEC-1) Dam Safety Version, Hydrologic Engineering Center, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Davis, California, July 1978.
11. "Simulation of Flow Through Broad Crest Navigation Dams with Radial Gates," R. W. Schmitt, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Pittsburgh District.

12. "Hydraulics of Bridge Waterways," BPR, 1970, Discharge Coefficient Based on Criteria for Embankment Shaped Weirs, Figure 24, page 46.
13. Applied Hydraulics in Engineering, Morris, Henry M. and Wiggert, James N., Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 2nd Edition, The Ronald Press Company, New York, 1972.
14. Standard Mathematical Tables, 21st Edition, The Chemical Rubber Company, 1973, page 15.
15. Engineering Field Manual, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, 2nd Edition, Washington, D. C. 1969.

APPENDIX C-1
SUPPLEMENTAL CALCULATIONS

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

PA-657 DAM

BY WJV DATE 5-16-79 PROJ. NO. 79-617-929

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-2-79 SHEET NO. 1 OF 10



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

DAM STATISTICS

HEIGHT OF DAM \approx 33 FT (FIELD MEASURED)

MAXIMUM POOL STORAGE CAPACITY \approx 1540 AC-FT (SEE SHEET 3)
@ TOP OF DAM

NORMAL POOL STORAGE CAPACITY \approx 93 AC-FT (SEE NOTE 1)
@ TOP OF RECREATION POOL

DRAINAGE AREA \approx 2.6 SQ MI.

PLANIMETERED OFF
USGS 7.5 MINUTE
DONEGAL, PA QUAD

NOTE 1: NORMAL POOL STORAGE CAPACITY OBTAINED FROM THE
"REPORT UPON THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMISSIONERS
OF WESTMORLAND COUNTY [TO CONSTRUCT AND MAINTAIN
A DAM ACROSS JACOB'S CREEK IN MOUNT PLEASANT
TOWNSHIP, WESTMORELAND COUNTY]" (1972), AS
FOUND IN PENN DER FILES; AND ALSO FROM DESIGN
INFORMATION AS PRESENTED ON SHEET 3

DAM CLASSIFICATION

DAM SIZE - INTERMEDIATE (REF 1, TABLE 1)
(DUE TO STORAGE CAPACITY)

HAZARD CLASSIFICATION - HIGH (FIELD OBSERVATION)

REQUIRED SDF - PMF (REF 1, TABLE 3)

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
PA-657 DAM
BY WJV DATE 5-21-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-829
CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-2-79 SHEET NO. 2 OF 10



Engineers • Geologists • Planner
Environmental Specialists

HYDROGRAPH PARAMETERS

LENGTH OF LONGEST WATERCOURSE \approx 3.0 MI

$L_{CA} \approx$ 1.4 MI. (MEASURED ALONG THE LONGEST WATERCOURSE
FROM THE DAM CREST TO THE CENTROID OF THE BASIN)

NOTE 2: VALUES OF L AND L_{CA} ARE MEASURED FROM THE
USGS 7.5 MINUTE DONEGAL, PA QUAD. ALL
HYDROGRAPH VARIABLES ARE DEFINED IN REF. 2
IN THE SECTION ENTITLED "SNYDER SYNTHETIC
UNIT HYDROGRAPH".

$$C_t \approx 1.0$$

$$C_p \approx 0.40$$

[SUPPLIED BY COE ; ZONE 25]
OHIO RIVER BASIN

$$t_p = \text{SNYDER'S STANDARD LAG} \approx 1.0 (L \times L_{CA})^{0.3}$$

$$\therefore t_p = 1.0 (3.0 \times 1.4)^{0.3} \approx 1.54 \text{ HRS}$$

RESERVOIR ELEVATION - STORAGE RELATIONSHIP

THE ACTUAL DESIGN RELATIONSHIP FOR THE DAM
WAS OBTAINED FROM THE SCS DESIGN FILES AND
IS PROVIDED ON SHEET 3. THIS RELATIONSHIP
WILL BE USED IN THE ANALYSIS.

DA-657
 Jacobs Creek

WPC
 Feb 16, 1966


Stage vs Storage

EA - DAM IN PLACE

SHEET 3 OF 10

NOTE - CHANGE TO EB INSIGNIFICANT JG 3/15/66
 (SEE OFFICE COPY OF MAP)

(THIS PLOT WAS OBTAINED
 FROM THE DESIGN FILES
 FOR THE DAM THROUGH
 THE WASHINGTON, PA OFFICE
 OF THE SCS)



MEASURED
 TOP OF DAM EL 1944.4
 => ~1540 AC-FT
 OF AVAIL. STORAGE

EMERGENCY SPILLWAY CREST
 EL 1835.0 => ~460 AC-FT
 OF AVAIL. STORAGE

NORMAL POOL EL 1827.5
 => ~93 AC-FT OF AVAIL. STORAGE

100

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

PA-657 DAM

BY WJV DATE 5-21-79 PROJ. NO. 79-617-929

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-7-79 SHEET NO. 4 OF 10



Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

PMP CALCULATIONS

- APPROXIMATE RAINFALL INDEX = 24 IN (REF 3, FIG 1)
(CORRESPONDING TO A DURATION OF 24 HOURS AND AN AREA OF 200 SQ. MI. IN SOUTHWESTERN PENNSYLVANIA)
- DEPTH - AREA - DURATION ZONE #7 (REF 3, FIG 1)
- LOCAL DRAINAGE AREA \approx 2.6 SQ MI. HOWEVER, THE STORM WILL BE CENTERED OVER THE TOTAL DRAINAGE AREA OF 31.5 SQ MI ABOVE THE UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM \Rightarrow DEPTH - DURATION RELATIONSHIP BASED ON DA = 31.5 SQ MI. :

DURATION (HR)	PERCENT OF INDEX RAINFALL (%)
6	90
12	109
24	119
48	128

(REF 3, FIG 2)

- HOP BROOK FACTOR (ADJUSTMENT FOR BASIN SHAPE AS WELL AS FOR THE LESSER LIKELIHOOD OF A SEVERE STORM CENTERING OVER A SMALLER BASIN) CORRESPONDING TO A DA \approx 31.5 SQ MI \Rightarrow 0.937 (AS COMPUTED BY HEC-1)

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
PA-657 DAM
 BY WJV DATE 5-21-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-020
 CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-2-79 SHEET NO. 5 OF 10




SPILLWAY CAPACITY (SERVICE)


THE PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY IS A CONVENTIONAL SCS DROP-INLET, VERTICAL RISER STRUCTURE W/ A 30" OUTLET PIPE. ACCORDING TO DESIGN INFORMATION (OBTAINED FROM THE SCS), THE CAPACITY OF THE SERVICE OR PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY IS ONLY ABOUT 120 CFS PRIOR TO OVERTOPPING OF THE EMBANKMENT ⇒ IGNORE THE EFFECTS OF THE SERVICE SPILLWAY.

SPILLWAY CAPACITY (EMERGENCY)

- PROFILE OF EMERGENCY SPILLWAY: (NOT TO SCALE)
 (FROM DESIGN DRAWINGS, AND FIELD MEASUREMENT AND OBSERVATION)



- CROSS-SECTION OF EMERGENCY SPILLWAY: (NOT TO SCALE)
 (FROM DESIGN DRAWINGS, AND FIELD MEASUREMENT AND OBSERVATION)



SECTION TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM @ EMERGENCY SPILLWAY

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION
PA-657 DAM
 BY WJV DATE 5-21-79 PROJ. NO. 73-617-329
 CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-7-79 SHEET NO. 6 OF 10



- ASSUME THAT THE WATER SURFACE PROFILE PASSES THROUGH CRITICAL DEPTH @ ② (AS SHOWN ON SKETCH ON SHEET 5): ENERGY BALANCE BETWEEN ① AND ② \Rightarrow

$$Y_m + \frac{V_1^2}{2g} + Z_1 = Y_c + \frac{V_c^2}{2g} + Z_2 + H_L \quad (\text{REF 7, PG 40})$$

WHERE V_1 = RESERVOIR APPROACH VELOCITY ≈ 0
 Z_1 = ELEVATION @ ① IN FT,
 V_c = CRITICAL VELOCITY @ ② IN FPS,
 Z_2 = ELEVATION @ ② IN FT, AND
 H_L = HEAD LOSS BETWEEN ① AND ② ≈ 0 FT

SINCE $Z_1 - Z_2 \approx 0$ (BOTH LOCATED ON FLAT CONTROL SECTION)

$$\therefore Y_m \approx 9.4 \text{ FT} \approx Y_c + \frac{V_c^2}{2g}$$

- FOR A TRAPEZOIDAL SHAPED CONTROL SECTION W/ CRITICAL DEPTH $\Rightarrow \frac{V_c^2}{2g} = \frac{A_c}{2}$ (REF 7, PG 43)

WHERE A_c = HYDRAULIC DEPTH = FLOW AREA / TOP WIDTH = A_c / W_c

$$\begin{aligned} A_c &= 100 Y_c + \frac{1}{2} (3 Y_c \times Y_c) + \frac{1}{2} (2.5 Y_c \times Y_c) \\ &= 100 Y_c + 2.75 Y_c^2 \\ W_c &= 100 + 3 Y_c + 2.5 Y_c = 100 + 5.5 Y_c \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{FROM GEOMETRY} \\ \text{ON} \\ \text{SHEET 5} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\therefore 9.4 = Y_c + \frac{A_c}{2} = Y_c + \frac{100 Y_c + 2.75 Y_c^2}{2(100 + 5.5 Y_c)}$$

SOLVE FOR $Y_c \Rightarrow$

$$9.4 (200 + 11 Y_c) = Y_c (200 + 11 Y_c) + (100 Y_c + 2.75 Y_c^2)$$

$$0 = 13.75 Y_c^2 + 196.6 Y_c - 1890$$

SUBJECT

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

PA-657 DAM

BY WJV

DATE

5-21-79

PROJ. NO.

79-617-929CHKD. BY DLB

DATE

6-2-79

SHEET NO.

7 OF 10Engineers • Geologists • Planners
Environmental Specialists

$$\Rightarrow Y_c \approx 6.6 \text{ FT} \quad (\text{VIA THE QUADRATIC EQUATION})$$

$$A_c \approx 730 \text{ FT}^2$$

$$v_c^2/2g \approx 2.9 \text{ FT}$$

$$v_c \approx 13.4 \text{ FPS}$$

$$\therefore Q = A_c v_c \approx (730 \text{ FT}^2)(13.4 \text{ FPS}) \approx 10450 \text{ CFS} \quad (\approx 10182 \text{ CFS})$$

AS COMPUTED BY HEC-1 DUE TO
COMPUTER ACCURACY

- CHECK TO SEE IF CRITICAL FLOW ACTUALLY DOES CONTROL
 \Rightarrow CHANNEL SLOPE DS FROM CONTROL SECTION SHOULD BE A
 SUPERCRITICAL SLOPE ($>$ CRITICAL SLOPE)

CRITICAL SLOPE CAN BE DEFINED BY MANNING'S
EQUATION (REF 13, PG 143):

$$S_c \approx \left(\frac{n v_c}{1.49 R_c^{2/3}} \right)^2$$

WHERE S_c = CRITICAL SLOPE CORRESPONDING TO A FLOW
WITH VELOCITY v_c ,

n = ROUGHNESS FACTOR ≈ 0.032 BASED ON
 $v_c R_c$ PRODUCT ≈ 75.0 AND VEGETATIVE
RETARDANCE CLASSIFICATION "C" (REF 15, PG 7-12)

$$R_c = \text{HYDRAULIC RADIUS} = \frac{\text{FLOW AREA}}{\text{NETTED PERIMETER}}$$

$$= \frac{730 \text{ FT}^2}{[100 + \sqrt{3(60)^2 + 100^2}] + \sqrt{25(60)^2 + 100^2}} \approx 5.6 \text{ FT}$$

$$\therefore S_c \approx \left[\frac{(0.032)(13.4)}{1.49 (5.6)^{2/3}} \right]^2 \approx 0.01 \text{ FT/FT}$$

$< 0.027 \text{ FT/FT}$
(ACTUAL)

- \Rightarrow CRITICAL FLOW CONTROLS AND EMERGENCY
SPILLWAY CAPACITY $\approx 10450 \text{ CFS}$

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

PA-657 DAM

BY WJV DATE 5-21-79 PROJ. NO. 78-G17-829

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 6-2-79 SHEET NO. 8 OF 10



Engineers • Geologists • Planner
Environmental Specialists

SPELLWAY RATING CURVE (EMERGENCY)

COMPUTED INTERNALLY BY HEC-1 VIA THE TRAPEZOIDAL RATING CURVE ROUTINE, BASED ON THE SPELLWAY GEOMETRY AS PRESENTED ON SHEET 5. THE TRAPEZOIDAL ROUTINE CALCULATES CRITICAL CONTROL DISCHARGES IN A WAY SIMILAR TO THAT OUTLINED ON SHEETS 6 AND 7 (SEE SUMMARY INPUT/OUTPUT SHEETS)

DOWNSTREAM ROUTING SECTIONS

SECTION A-A ≈ 2300 FT DS FROM PA-657

INVERT ELEVATION ≈ 1796 FT

$n_{ch} ≈ 0.030$, $n_{log} ≈ 0.09$, $n_{avg} ≈ 0.07$
(ESTIMATED FROM FIELD OBSERVATION AND
REF 7, PGS 112, 113)

CHANNEL SLOPE ≈ 1.4 %

CROSS-SECTION INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM
FIELD OBSERVATION AND USGS TOPO MAPS


SECTION B-B ≈ 5600 FT DS FROM SECTION A-A
(≈ 7900 FT DS FROM PA-657)

INVERT ELEVATION ≈ 1760 FT

$n_{ch} ≈ 0.030$, $n_{log} ≈ 0.07$, $n_{avg} ≈ 0.06$
(ESTIMATED FROM FIELD OBSERVATION
AND REF 7, PG 112, 113)

CHANNEL SLOPE ≈ 0.3 %

CROSS-SECTION INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM
FIELD OBSERVATION AND USGS TOPO MAPS




DOWNSTREAM ROUTING SECTIONS

SECTION C-C \approx 23800 FT DS FROM SECT. B-B
 (\approx 31700 FT DS FROM PA-G57)
 INVERT ELEVATION \approx 1280 FT
 $n_{ch} \approx 0.035$, $n_{log} \approx n_{rob} \approx 0.12$
 (ESTIMATED FROM FIELD OBSERVATION
 AND REF 7, PGS 112, 113)
 CHANNEL SLOPE $\approx 3.1\%$

CROSS-SECTION INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM FIELD
 OBSERVATION AND USGS TOPO MAPS

SECTION D-D \approx 14000 FT DS FROM SECTION C-C
 (\approx 46500 FT OR 8.9 MI DS FROM PA-G57)
 ALSO LOCATED \approx 9300 FT US FROM UPPER
 BRIDGEPORT DAM @ TOP OF DAM LEVEL)
 CHANNEL INVERT \approx 1060 FT
 $n_{ch} \approx 0.03$, $n_{log} \approx 0.10$; $n_{rob} \approx 0.11$
 (ESTIMATED FROM FIELD OBSERVATION
 AND REF 7, PGS 112, 113)
 CHANNEL SLOPE $\approx 1.0\%$

CROSS-SECTION INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM
 FIELD OBSERVATION AND USGS TOPO MAPS



APPENDIX D
PHOTOGRAPHS



1



2




APPENDIX D
PHOTOGRAPHS

- PHOTOGRAPH 1 View of the embankment to the right of the emergency spillway, as seen from the right abutment. Note the eroded and unprotected area along the upstream face.
- PHOTOGRAPH 2 View of the emergency spillway looking toward the left abutment.
- PHOTOGRAPH 3 View of that portion of the embankment between the service and emergency spillways, as seen from the service spillway area.
- PHOTOGRAPH 4 Downstream view of the service spillway and left abutment.



2



1



PHOTOGRAPH 5 View of a typical section of the upstream embankment slope.

PHOTOGRAPH 6 View of the concrete retaining wall along the downstream slope of the embankment section between the two spillways. The wall extends to the right abutment but is interrupted by the emergency spillway.

PHOTOGRAPH 7 View showing the extent of overgrowth immediately beyond the emergency spillway.

PHOTOGRAPH 8 View of the deteriorated left concrete wingwall of the emergency spillway.



6



8



5



7

PHOTOGRAPH 9 View, from upstream, of the service spillway looking toward the left abutment. Note the badly spalled concrete wingwall.

PHOTOGRAPH 10 View from the service spillway looking downstream toward Lower Bridgeport Dam.

PHOTOGRAPH 11 View of several residences situated along the left shore of the Lower Bridgeport Reservoir.

PHOTOGRAPH 12 View of Lower Bridgeport Dam situated approximately 2,400 feet downstream from Upper Bridgeport Dam.



9



10



11



12

APPENDIX E

GEOLOGY

Geology¹

The Upper Bridgeport Dam is located approximately 1.5 miles southeast of Mount Pleasant, Pennsylvania, within the Pittsburgh Plateaus Section of the Appalachian Plateaus Physiographic Province. The Pittsburgh Plateaus Section is characterized by flat-lying to very gently folded sedimentary rock strata of Pennsylvanian age. Major structural axes strike from southwest to northeast.


The dam site is located on the northwest flank of the Chestnut Ridge Anticline. The anticlinal axis strikes about N30°E and lies approximately 4 miles east of the dam site. Rock strata underlying the dam and reservoir dip to the northwest, at approximately 6 degrees, to a structural low elevation along the axis of the Latrobe Syncline.

Two major coal seams occur in the area. The Pittsburgh seam lies above drainage with respect to the dam and reservoir whereas the Upper Freeport seam lies approximately 400 to 500 feet beneath the dam and reservoir. The latter seam is currently not being mined in the immediate vicinity of the dam and reservoir.²

At the dam site, the Jacobs Creek floodplain is about 1,000 feet in width. The floodplain below the dam increases in width and is generally poorly drained. The valley is floored with moderate to thick deposits of alluvium consisting of clay, silt, sand, and gravel.

¹Hickok, IV, W. O., and F. T. Moyer, "Geology and Mineral Resources of Fayette County, Pennsylvania," Harrisburg: Topographic and Geologic Survey, Bul. C-26, 1940.

²Dowd, James J., et. al., "Estimate of Known Recoverable Reserves of Coking Coal in Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania," U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, Report of Investigations 4803, January 1951.



MOUNT PLEASANT, PA.
 N4007.5—W7930/7.5
 1964
 PHOTOREVISED 1973
 AMS 5064 II NE—SERIES V831

UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM
 NDI# PA- 465

LEGEND	
RECENT	Qa1 Alluvium (Sand, gravel & clay)
PENNSYLVANIAN	
CONEMAUGH	Pm Cyclic sequences of shale, limestone, sandstone & coal; contains Pittsburgh coalbed at base
ALLEGHENY	Pc Cyclic sequences of sandstone, shale, red beds & thin limestone & coal, several fossiliferous limestone beds
ALLEGHENY	Pa Cyclic sequences of shale, sandstone, limestone & coal, Upper Freeport coal at top

UPPER FREEPORT COAL SEAM

ALLEGHENY FORMATION
GEOLOGY MAP

CONNELLSVILLE, PA.
 N4000—W7930/7.5
 1964
 PHOTOREVISED 1973
 AMS 5064 II SE—SERIES V831

APPENDIX F
FIGURES

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Description/Title</u>
1	General Plan - Field Inspection Notes
2	Property Plan Showing Upper and Lower Bridgeport Reservoirs
3	Plan for Revision to Service Spillway Wingwalls (1894)
4	Plan for Raising Service Spillway (1894)
5	Details of Emergency Spillway Return Walls (1906)
6	Plan of Embankment and Spillways (undated)





FIGURE 1 - UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM


GENERAL PLAN

FIELD INSPECTION NOTES



MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY OF WESTMORELAND COUNTY
 BRIDGEPORT AREA BOARDS
 MT. PLEASANT DIVISION
 DATA FROM DEEDS AND LAND TAX MAPS
 SCALE - 1" = 200' DATED - 1947


1




OF WESTYORKLAND COUNTY
 REA PROPERTIES
 NT DIVISION
 C LAND TAX MAPS
 DATED 1927

FIGURE 2

2




End View of
Wing Wall.



Side Elevation
Section of
(See pl

Plan for changing Wing Walls.
Upper Dam.
Mt. Pleasant Water Co.
Scale 1/4 in per foot.
Oct. 21, 1887
H. A. A.




Side Elevation of Wing Wall, and
 Section of Dam on line A B.
 (See plan for raising spillway)

H. O. FRICK COKE CO
 DRAWING No. 4
 COPY B DRAWN 3

2


FIGURE 3



Plan for Raising Spillway
at Upper Dam.

Mt. Pleasant Water Co.

Scale 1/4" = 1 ft. Oct 24, 1918



Plan for Raising Spillway
at Upper Dam.

Mt. Pleasant Water Co.

Scale 1/4 in. = 1 ft.

Oct 24. 1894.
H.L.A.
Traced & Engr'd by H.N.E.

168-1

TRICK COPY CO
No. 1
B. 3
No. 8

FIGURE 4



2

Section of 1947

Scillway

250'

65-5 = 10



250'-0"


Section

EN 597

Section

250'-0"

11-C-216



General Plan of Bridgeport Reservoir
 Mt Pleasant Water Company
 also.

Detail with Cross Sections of Return Walls
 at Flood Spillway


Scale: (Plan 1"=50'
 Detail 1"=5'

Sept 17, 1906.

76-8
 168-3

2

FIGURE 5



2.

FIGURE 6


APPENDIX G

REGIONAL VICINITY AND WATERSHED BOUNDARY MAPS

MOUNT PLEASANT, PA

N4007.5—W7930/7.5

1964
PHOTOREVISED 1973
AMS 5064 II NE—SERIES V831




REGIONAL VICINITY MAP

CONNELLSVILLE, PA.

N4000—W7930/7.5

1964
PHOTOREVISED 1973
AMS 5064 II SE—SERIES V831



WATERSHED BOUNDARY


UPPER BRIDGEPORT DAM
NDI# PA - 465

MOUNT PLEASANT, PA
N40075-W7930/7.5
1964
PHOTOREVISED 1973
ANS 5064 II SE - SERIES V831

----- LONGEST WATERCOURSE
● CENTROID OF DRAINAGE AREA

CONNELLSVILLE, PA.
N4000-W7930/7.5
1964
PHOTOREVISED 1973
ANS 5064 II SE - SERIES V831

SCALE 1:24,000
CONTOUR INTERVAL 20 FEET
DATUM IS MEAN SEA LEVEL



MAMMOTH, PA.
SW-4 DONEGAL 15 QUADRANGLE
NADOD 5 - W-7922 5/7 5
1967
PHOTOREVISED 1973
AMS 5184 III NW - SERIES 981

PA - 657 DAM
NDI# PA - 828

SCALE 1:24,000
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
0 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 7000 FEET
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 KILOMETERS
CONTOUR INTERVAL 20 FEET
DATUM IS MEAN SEA LEVEL

DONEGAL, PA.
SW-4 DONEGAL 15 QUADRANGLE
NADOD 5 - W-7922 5/7 5
1967
PHOTOREVISED 1973
AMS 5184 III NW - SERIES 981