

AD-A078 999

GAI CONSULTANTS INC MONROEVILLE PA  
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM. VIRGIN RUN DAM (NDS I.D. NUMBE--ETC(U)  
AUG 79 B M MIHALCIN

F/G 13/13  
DACW31-79-C-0013


UNCLASSIFIED

NL

| OP |  
AD  
A078999



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
2-80  
DDC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

ADA 078999


OHIO RIVER BASIN  
VIRGIN RUN, FAYETTE COUNTY

PENNSYLVANIA  
VIRGIN RUN DAM

NDS I.D. No. PA - 00196  
PENNDER I.D. No. 26 - 85

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

ORIGINAL CONTAINS COLOR PLATES: ALL DDC  
REPRODUCTIONS WILL BE IN BLACK AND WHITE



Distribution Unlimited  
Approved for Public Release  
Contract No. DACW31-79-C-0013

PREPARED FOR

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY  
Baltimore District, Corps of Engineers  
Baltimore, Maryland 21203

PREPARED BY

GAI CONSULTANTS, INC.  
570 BEATTY ROAD  
MONROEVILLE, PENNSYLVANIA 15146

AUGUST 1979 1 7

80 - ~~7~~ - 1 - 103

DDC FILE COPY

DDDC  
RECEIVED  
JAN 9 1980  
A

LEVEL #

52

## **DISCLAIMER NOTICE**

**THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED TO DDC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.**

## PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through frequent inspections can unsafe conditions be detected and only through continued care and maintenance can these conditions be prevented or corrected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established guidelines, the spillway design flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. The spillway design flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition, and the downstream damage potential.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
IDC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced Justification	<input type="checkbox"/>
By	
Organization/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or Special	

A *[Signature]*

PHASE I REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

ABSTRACT

Virgin Run Dam: NDI I.D. No. PA-00196

Owner: Pennsylvania Fish Commission  
State Located: Pennsylvania (PennDER I.D. No. 26-85)  
County Located: Fayette  
Stream: Virgin Run  
Inspection Date: 5 July 1979  
Inspection Team: GAI Consultants, Inc.  
570 Beatty Road  
Monroeville, Pennsylvania 15146

Based on a visual inspection, operational history, and available engineering data, the dam is considered to be in fair condition.

The size classification of the facility is small and its hazard classification is considered to be high. In accordance with the recommended guidelines, the Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for the facility is the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). Results of the hydrologic and hydraulic analysis indicate the facility will pass and/or store about 62 percent of the PMF prior to embankment overtopping. Consequently, the spillway is assessed as being inadequate, but not seriously inadequate.

Deficiencies noted by the inspection team included heavy overgrowth across the downstream toe, a questionable structural and foundation design associated with the spillway, and an inadequate emergency warning system.

It is recommended that the owner immediately:

- a. Clear the downstream embankment slope and toe area (to a distance at least 100 feet beyond the embankment toe) of all trees and overgrowth that hinder visual evaluation of the facility.
- b. Restore foundation support to the lower end of the right spillway endwall just beyond the plunge pool.

c. Reevaluate the present spillway design and investigate the condition beneath the channel floor to determine the extent of erosion which has contributed to a loss of foundation support. Subsequent remedial work should be performed as deemed necessary.

d. Reevaluate and revise the present flood emergency procedures for Virgin Run Dam in accordance with, but not limited to, the following items:

1. The inclusion of a definite procedure to notify downstream residents of a possible emergency.
2. Provisions for an alternate means of communications in the event telephone lines become inoperative.
3. Provisions for around-the-clock surveillance of the facility during periods of unusually heavy precipitation.

6 National Dam Inspection Program,  
Virgin Run Dam (NDS I.D. Number  
PA-00196, PennDer I.D. Number 26-85),  
Ohio River Basin, Virgin Run, Fayette County,  
Pennsylvania. Phase I Inspection Report,

10 Bernard M. Mihalein


11 Aug 79


12 85

15 DACW31-79-C-0013

GAI Consultants, Inc.

Approved by:

  
Bernard M. Mihalcin, P.E.

  
JAMES W. PECK  
Colonel, Corps of Engineers  
District Engineer



Date 28 August 1979

Date 18 Sep 79



OVERVIEW PHOTOGRAPH

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
PREFACE . . . . .	i
ABSTRACT. . . . .	ii
OVERVIEW PHOTOGRAPH . . . . .	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS . . . . .	vi
SECTION 1 - GENERAL INFORMATION . . . . .	1
1.0 Authority . . . . .	1
1.1 Purpose . . . . .	1
1.2 Description of Project. . . . .	1
1.3 Pertinent Data. . . . .	2
SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA. . . . .	5
2.1 Design. . . . .	5
2.2 Construction Records. . . . .	6
2.3 Operational Records . . . . .	7
2.4 Other Investigations. . . . .	7
2.5 Evaluation. . . . .	7
SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION . . . . .	8
3.1 Observations. . . . .	8
3.2 Evaluation. . . . .	9
SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES. . . . .	10
4.1 Normal Operating Procedure. . . . .	10
4.2 Maintenance of Dam. . . . .	10
4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities . . . . .	10
4.4 Warning System. . . . .	10
4.5 Evaluation. . . . .	11
SECTION 5 - HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC EVALUATION . . . . .	12
5.1 Design Data . . . . .	12
5.2 Experience Data . . . . .	12
5.3 Visual Observations . . . . .	12
5.4 Method of Analysis. . . . .	12
5.5 Summary of Analysis . . . . .	13
5.6 Spillway Adequacy . . . . .	13
SECTION 6 - EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY. . . . .	15
6.1 Visual Observations . . . . .	15
6.2 Design and Construction Techniques. . . . .	15
6.3 Past Performance. . . . .	16
6.4 Seismic Stability . . . . .	16
SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REMEDIAL MEASURES . . . . .	17
7.1 Dam Assessment. . . . .	17
7.2 Recommendations/Remedial Measures . . . . .	17

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- APPENDIX A - CHECK LIST - ENGINEERING DATA
- APPENDIX B - CHECK LIST - VISUAL INSPECTION
- APPENDIX C - HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS
- APPENDIX D - PHOTOGRAPHS
- APPENDIX E - GEOLOGY
- APPENDIX F - FIGURES
- APPENDIX G - REGIONAL VICINITY AND WATERSHED BOUNDARY MAP

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT  
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM  
VIRGIN RUN DAM  
NDI# PA-196, PENNDR# 26-85

SECTION 1  
GENERAL INFORMATION

1.0 Authority.

The Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a program of inspection of dams throughout the United States.

1.1 Purpose.

The purpose is to determine if the dam constitutes a hazard to human life or property.

1.2 Description of Project.

a. Dam and Appurtenances. Virgin Run Dam is a zoned earth embankment approximately 34 feet high and 400 feet long (not including spillway). The facility is provided with a trapezoidal-shaped, concrete chute channel spillway, with discharges controlled by a concrete weir structure, located at the left abutment. The spillway overflow weir measures 78 feet in length. The outlet works consists of a 3-foot square reinforced concrete culvert that discharges at the downstream embankment toe. Flow through the culvert is regulated via stop logs set within a concrete vertical riser positioned along the upstream embankment face.

b. Location. Virgin Run Dam is located on Virgin Run in Franklin and Perry Townships, Fayette County, Pennsylvania, about two miles east of Perryopolis, Pennsylvania. The dam, reservoir, and watershed are contained within the Dawson, Pennsylvania, U.S.G.S. 7.5 minute topographic quadrangle. The coordinates of the dam are N40° 02' and W79° 43' (see Appendix G).

c. Size Classification. Small (34 feet high; 690 acre-feet storage capacity at top of dam).

d. Hazard Classification. High (see Section 3.1.e).

e. Ownership. Pennsylvania Fish Commission  
P. O. Box 1673  
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

f. Purpose. Public fishing.

g. Historical Data. Virgin Run Dam was designed for the Pennsylvania Fish Commission by the Chester Engineers of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. One of the principal designers, Mr. Charles A. Tanner, eventually formed the contracting firm which subsequently constructed the facility in 1953. Periodic progress reports were issued by the Commission and the construction site was visited frequently by PennDER personnel. No indications of major problems are contained within the available PennDER correspondence and the project was completed as scheduled.

1.3 Pertinent Data.

a. Drainage Area (square miles). 3.3

b. Discharge at Dam Site.

Discharge Capacity of the Outlet Conduit - Discharge curves are not available.

Discharge Capacity of the Spillway at Maximum Pool = 4610 cfs.

c. Elevations (feet above mean sea level). The following elevations were obtained from design drawings and through field measurements based on the elevation of the spillway crest at 1,175 feet.

Top of Dam	1182.0 (design)
	1181.6 (field)
Maximum Design Pool	Not known
Maximum Pool of Record	Not known
Normal Pool	1175
Upstream Inlet Invert	1150.7
Downstream Outlet Invert	1148.4 (design)
	1147.7 (field)
Streambed at Dam Centerline	1149
Maximum Tailwater	Not known

d. Reservoir Length (feet).

Top of Dam	3800
Normal Pool	2200

e. Storage (acre-feet).

Top of Dam  
Normal Pool  
Design Surcharge

690  
420  
Not known

f. Reservoir Surface (acres).

Top of Dam  
Normal Pool  
Maximum Design Pool

49  
32  
Not known

g. Dam.

Type

Length

Height

Top Width

Upstream Slope

Downstream Slope

Zoning

Zoned earth.

400 feet (not including spillway).

34 feet (field measured; crest to downstream toe).

10 feet (field)  
8 feet (design)

3H:1V

2.5H:1V

Two zones (see Figure 4). Class "A" fill is defined as selected impervious material free from vegetable matter and stones greater than 6 inches in maximum dimension. Class "B" fill is defined as pervious material that may contain stones, but, no vegetable matter.

See "Zoning" above.

8-foot wide trench excavated 3 feet into clayey material along dam center-line.

Impervious Core

Cutoff

e. Storage (acre-feet).

Top of Dam	690
Normal Pool	420
Design Surcharge	Not known

f. Reservoir Surface (acres).

Top of Dam	49
Normal Pool	32
Maximum Design Pool	Not known

g. Dam.

Type	Zoned earth.
Length	400 feet (not including spillway).
Height	34 feet (field measured; crest to downstream toe).
Top Width	10 feet (field) 8 feet (design)
Upstream Slope	3H:1V
Downstream Slope	2.5H:1V
Zoning	Two zones (see Figure 4). Class "A" fill is defined as selected impervious material free from vegetable matter and stones greater than 6 inches in maximum dimension. Class "B" fill is defined as pervious material that may contain stones, but, no vegetable matter.
Impervious Core	See "Zoning" above.
Cutoff	8-foot wide trench excavated 3 feet into clayey material along dam centerline.

	Grout Curtain	None indicated.
h.	<u>Diversion Canal and Regulating Tunnels.</u>	None.
i.	<u>Spillway.</u>	
	Type	Trapezoidal-shaped concrete chute chan- nel, with discharges controlled by a concrete weir struc- ture, located at the left abutment.
	Crest Elevation	1175 feet.
	Crest Length	78 feet.
j.	<u>Outlet Works.</u>	
	Type	3-foot square reinforced concrete culvert.
	Length	160 feet (inlet to outlet).
	Closure and Regulating Facilities	Flow through outlet is controlled via removable stop logs set in grooves within a reinforced concrete riser situated along the upstream embankment face.
	Access	Control tower riser accessible from embankment crest.

SECTION 2  
ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design.

a. Design Data Availability and Sources. No design reports or calculations are available for any aspect of the facility. Design drawings are available from both the Pennsylvania Fish Commission and PennDER. Narratives detailing the design features are also contained in PennDER files. Contract specifications and information regarding minor design changes are available from Pennsylvania Fish Commission files.

b. Design Features.

1. Embankment. The contract drawings indicate that the embankment is a zoned earth structure 32 feet high and 490 feet in length.

The embankment is comprised of two zones as shown on Figure 4. The larger zone is composed of material designated as class "A" fill and extends from the upstream toe to the downstream edge of the embankment crest. The remainder of the structure is composed of material designated as class "B" fill. Construction specifications define class "A" fill as "selected impervious and structurally sound material, free from vegetable matter and stones greater than 6 inches in maximum dimension." Class "B" fill is defined as "structurally sound material, sufficiently pervious to drain the embankment, and may contain stones but no vegetable matter." No information is available pertaining to the engineering properties of the fill; however, it was reportedly placed in 6-inch layers and compacted with a sheepsfoot roller.

A cutoff trench was reportedly excavated along the centerline of the dam to a depth of 3 feet into clayey material. The bottom width of the trench is 8 feet.

The dam is 10 feet wide at the crest and has slopes of roughly 3H:1V upstream and 2.5H:1V downstream. Field measurements indicate that additional material was placed along the crest, increasing the top width from the design 8 feet and locally steepening the upper portions of both the upstream and downstream embankment slopes. The upstream face is protected from wave action by a layer of hand-placed concrete block riprap, 8 inches thick, resting on a 6-inch layer of gravel or crushed stone. The riprap layer extends 2.5 feet below and 3 feet above normal pool (see Figure 3 and Photograph 4).

## 2. Appurtenant Structures.

a) Spillway. The spillway at Virgin Run Dam is a trapezoidal-shaped, concrete chute channel, with discharges controlled by a reinforced concrete overflow weir structure, located at the left abutment (see Photograph 2). The spillway channel is partially unlined as it was cut into durable rock (see Figure 5 and Photograph 3). The original design was altered somewhat during construction to include the addition of a concrete apron as shown on Figure 6.

b) Outlet Works. The outlet works consist of a reinforced concrete riser (see Figure 4 and Photograph 4) and 3-foot square horizontal box culvert which discharges at the downstream embankment toe (see Photograph 6). Flow through the outlet is controlled via removable stop logs set in grooves within the riser (see Photograph 5).

### c. Specific Design Data and Criteria.

1. Hydrology and Hydraulics. Although no formal design reports or calculations are contained in PennDER or Pennsylvania Fish Commission files, the capacity of the spillway is referenced in much of the available correspondence. The spillway was designed prior to the development of the Pennsylvania "C" Curve, which for a drainage area of 3.4 square miles, would have required the spillway capacity to equal approximately 1100 csm (cubic feet per second per square mile). The Chester Engineers originally designed the spillway with a capacity of about 2700 csm. At that time, the owner considered this to be excessive, and subsequently, persuaded state officials and the designer to accept a lower final design capacity equivalent to approximately 1500 csm.

2. Embankment. No design data are available.

3. Appurtenant Structures. No design data are available.

## 2.2 Construction Records.

Contract drawings, specifications, construction progress reports, and construction photographs are available from PennDER and Pennsylvania Fish Commission files. No field testing records are available.

2.3 Operational Records.

No records of the day-to-day operation of this facility are maintained.

2.4 Other Investigations.

No formal investigations have been performed on this facility subsequent to its construction.

2.5 Evaluation.

Engineering data in the form of drawings, specifications, miscellaneous calculations, correspondence, and photographs are available from PennDER and Pennsylvania Fish Commission files. The data are considered adequate to make a reasonable Phase I assessment of the facility.

SECTION 3  
VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Observations.

a. General. The general appearance of the facility suggests the dam and its appurtenances are currently in fair condition.

b. Embankment. Observations made during the visual inspection indicate the embankment is in good condition. No evidence of seepage through the embankment was observed nor were there any signs of sloughing, erosion, excess settlement or animal burrows. Heavy overgrowth, including trees and high brush, covers the entire downstream embankment toe and extends a minimum of about 12 feet up the slope (see Photographs 6 and 7). The remainder of the embankment is well maintained. The hand-placed block riprap, protecting the upstream slope, is in excellent condition while the grass covered portions of the embankment have been recently mowed.

c. Appurtenant Structures.

1. Spillway. The visual inspection revealed the spillway to be in fair condition. Minor cracking was observed along various portions of the spillway channel floor and sidewalls. For the most part, the larger cracks were sealed and filled with bitumen. The inspection team probed the area beneath the concrete spillway floor slab with a standard 6-foot rule and found extensive voids, some in excess of the rule length (see Figure 1). Some undercutting of the right spillway endwall, just beyond the plunge pool, has also occurred.

2. Outlet Works. The outlet works at Virgin Run Dam is in excellent condition. No signs of deterioration were observed on any of the visible exposed concrete surfaces, including a large portion of the interior of the control tower riser (see Photographs 4 and 5). The stop logs were in place although exhibiting some leakage. The 3-foot square discharge culvert could not be entered, however, due to tailwater and discharge through the stop logs (see Photograph 6).

d. Reservoir Area. The area surrounding the reservoir is characterized by gentle to moderate slopes that are generally heavily forested along the southern and eastern shores of the lake (see Photograph 1), but only partially wooded to the west (see Photograph 4). Virgin Run Lake is a

recreational facility and parts of its shoreline have been equipped with parking, fishing, and boating facilities.

e. Downstream Channel. The channel downstream of Virgin Run Dam is contained within a narrow, partially wooded and partially agricultural valley with steep confining slopes. Several residences are located in the 2-mile long valley that leads to the Youghiogheny River; however, only one dwelling is considered sufficiently near the stream to possibly be affected by the floodwave resulting from an embankment breach. The dwelling is situated on the left downstream bank at a distance approximately 1,300 feet from the embankment and about 15 feet above the streambed (see Photograph 8). Therefore, the hazard classification is considered to be high.

### 3.2 Evaluation.

The overall appearance of the facility indicates it to be in fair condition. Heavy overgrowth across the downstream embankment toe should be removed and repairs should be performed on the spillway where required.

SECTION 4  
OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 Normal Operating Procedure.

Virgin Run Dam is essentially a self-regulating facility. Excess inflows are automatically discharged through the spillway located at the right abutment whose overflow weir crest is set at elevation 1175.0. The outlet conduit is generally used only for the purpose of drawing down the reservoir with flows being manually controlled via stop logs set in grooves within the control tower riser. The top stop log is normally set at elevation 1175.5. Thus, under high flows, the outlet conduit will also begin to discharge once the reservoir has risen 0.5-foot above the crest of the spillway.

The Pennsylvania Fish Commission has recently developed a formal "Operation and Maintenance Manual" for Virgin Run Dam that outlines both routine and emergency operating procedures.

4.2 Maintenance of Dam.

Maintenance of Virgin Run Dam is performed in accordance with the procedures and guidelines set forth in the "Operation and Maintenance Manual" developed by the Pennsylvania Fish Commission. Included in the manual is a maintenance checklist covering the entire facility.

4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

See Section 4.2 above.

4.4 Warning System.

The "Operation and Maintenance Manual" contains procedures for operation of the facility during a flood emergency.

Review of the procedures indicates possible deficiencies in the plan which include the lack of:

a. Definite procedures to notify downstream residents of an impending emergency situation.

b. Provisions for an alternative means of communication in the event telephone lines are inoperative.

c. Provisions for around-the-clock surveillance of the facility during periods of unusually heavy precipitation.

#### 4.5 Evaluation.

Adequate operation and maintenance procedures for Virgin Run Dam have been established by the Pennsylvania Fish Commission and set forth in a formal manual. The manual also contains procedures for operation of the facility during a flood emergency; however, consideration should be given to modifying the emergency plan in accordance with, but not limited to, the items listed in Section 4.4, herein.

SECTION 5  
HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC EVALUATION

5.1 Design Data.

No formal design reports or calculations are contained in PennDER or Pennsylvania Fish Commission files. Available correspondence indicates that the spillway was designed prior to the development of the Pennsylvania "C" Curve, which for a drainage area of 3.4 square miles, would have required the spillway capacity to equal approximately 1100 cubic feet per second per square mile (csm). The Chester Engineers originally designed the spillway with a capacity of about 2700 csm. At that time, the owner considered this to be excessive, and subsequently, persuaded state officials and the designer to accept a lower final design capacity equivalent to approximately 1500 csm.

5.2 Experience Data.

Daily records of reservoir levels and/or spillway discharge are not available.

5.3 Visual Observations.

Observations made during the visual inspection indicate that the structural integrity of the spillway channel is questionable. Extensive voids were discovered beneath the individual concrete slabs of the channel floor which are located in the portion of the spillway that is not founded on durable rock (see Figure 5). It can be speculated that, under high flows, sufficient pressures could develop on top and beneath the slabs to break and/or dislodge them, leading to a possibly dangerous condition. In addition, the right spillway endwall beyond the plunge pool has been undercut, apparently by water discharging over the end sill of the spillway plunge pool, and has lost some foundation support. This condition, if left unchecked, could lead to cracking and deterioration of the lower spillway.

5.4 Method of Analysis.

The facility has been analyzed in accordance with the procedures and guidelines established by the U. S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, for Phase I hydrologic and hydraulic evaluations. The analysis has been performed utilizing a modified version of the HEC-1 program developed by the U. S. Army, Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic

Engineering Center, Davis, California. Analytical capabilities of the program are briefly outlined in the preface contained in Appendix C.

## 5.5 Summary of Analysis

a. Spillway Design Flood (SDF). In accordance with the procedures and guidelines contained in the National Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams for Phase I Investigations, the Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for Virgin Run Dam ranges between the 1/2 PMF (Probable Maximum Flood) and the PMF. This classification is based on the relative size of the dam (small), and the potential hazard of dam failure to downstream developments (high). Due to the large volume of stored water that could be released should the embankment fail ( $\approx 690$  acre-feet), the SDF for this facility is considered to be the PMF.

b. Results of Analysis. Virgin Run Dam was evaluated under near normal operating conditions. That is, the reservoir was initially at its normal pool or spillway elevation of 1175.0 feet, with the spillway weir discharging freely. However, the usually discharging outlet conduit was assumed to be non-functional for the purpose of analysis. In any event, the flow capacity of the outlet conduit is not such that it would significantly increase the total discharge capabilities of the facility. The spillway is a trapezoidal-shaped, concrete chute channel with discharges controlled by a concrete weir structure. All pertinent engineering calculations relative to the evaluation of this facility are provided in Appendix C.

Overtopping analysis (using the Modified HEC-1 Computer Program) indicated that the discharge/storage capacity of Virgin Run Dam can accommodate only about 62 percent of the PMF (SDF) prior to overtopping of the embankment (Appendix C, Summary Input/Output Sheets, Sheet C). The peak PMF inflow of approximately 7900 cfs was somewhat attenuated by the discharge/storage capabilities of the dam and reservoir such that the resulting peak PMF outflow was about 7640 cfs (Summary Input/Output Sheets, Sheets B and C). Under the PMF, the embankment would be overtopped for approximately 3.8 hours, with a maximum depth of inundation equal to about 1.6 feet above the low top of dam elevation of 1181.6 feet (Summary Input/Output Sheets, Sheet C).

## 5.6 Spillway Adequacy.

Although Virgin Run Dam cannot accommodate its SDF (the PMF), the possible downstream consequences of embankment

failure due to overtopping were not evaluated. Breaching analysis of the dam was not performed, since the facility can safely pass a flood of at least 1/2 PMF magnitude. Since Virgin Run Dam cannot accommodate a PMF-size flood, its spillway is considered to be inadequate, but not seriously inadequate.

SECTION 6  
EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY

6.1 Visual Observations.

a. Embankment. Based on visual observations, the embankment appears to be in good condition. No evidence of seepage through the face of the embankment was detected nor were any signs of sloughing, erosion, excess settlements, or animal borrows observed. The heavy overgrowth along the downstream toe hinders expedient inspection of a most vital area of the structure. More importantly, such extensive overgrowth, if neglected for a long period, will deeply root itself into the embankment and present a potential structural hazard.

b. Appurtenant Structures.

1. Spillway. Observations made during the visual inspection indicate that the structural integrity of the spillway channel is questionable. Extensive voids were discovered beneath the individual concrete slabs of the channel floor which are located in the portion of the spillway that is not founded on durable rock. It can be speculated that, under high flows, sufficient pressures could develop on top and beneath the slabs to break and/or dislodge them, leading to a possibly dangerous condition. In addition, the right spillway endwall beyond the plunge pool has been undercut, apparently by water discharging over the end sill of the spillway plunge pool, and has lost some foundation support. This condition, if left unchecked, could lead to cracking and deterioration of the lower spillway.

2. Outlet Works. The outlet works, including the 3-foot square discharge culvert and control tower riser, appear to be well designed. All visible portions were found in excellent condition.

6.2 Design and Construction Techniques.

No formal design reports or calculations are available. Some design data is available in the form of design drawings, construction specifications, and correspondence contained in both PennDER and Pennsylvania Fish Commission files. Based on the limited information available, the facility appears to be adequately designed and constructed with the possible exception of the spillway channel floor (see 6.1.b above).

6.3 Past Performance.

According to Pennsylvania Fish Commission personnel, the facility has operated virtually problem-free throughout its 26-year history.

6.4 Seismic Stability.

The dam is located within Seismic Zone No. 1. It is thought that the static stability of the structure is sufficient to withstand possible minor earthquake induced dynamic forces. However, no calculations and/or investigations were performed to confirm this belief.

SECTION 7  
ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment.

a. Safety. The visual inspection, operational history, and available engineering data suggest that the facility is in fair condition.

The size classification of the facility is small and its hazard classification is considered to be high. In accordance with the recommended guidelines, the Spillway Design Flood (SDF) for the facility is the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). Results of the hydrologic and hydraulic analysis indicate the facility will pass and/or store about 62 percent of the PMF prior to embankment overtopping. Consequently, the spillway is assessed as being inadequate, but not seriously inadequate.

Deficiencies noted by the inspection team included heavy overgrowth across the downstream embankment toe, a questionable structural and foundation design associated with the spillway floor slab, and an inadequate emergency warning system.

b. Adequacy of Information. The available data are considered sufficient to make an accurate assessment of the facility.

c. Urgency. It is suggested that the recommendations listed below be implemented as soon as possible.

d. Necessity for Additional Investigations. Additional investigations are considered necessary and are listed in Section 7.2 below.

7.2 Recommendations/Remedial Measures.

It is recommended that the owner immediately:

a. Clear the downstream embankment slope and toe area (to a distance at least 100 feet beyond the embankment toe) of all trees and overgrowth that hinder visual evaluation of the facility.

b. Restore foundation support to the lower end of the right spillway endwall just beyond the plunge pool.

c. Reevaluate the present design and investigate the condition beneath the spillway channel floor to determine the extent of erosion which has contributed to a loss of foundation support and perform remedial work as deemed necessary.

d. Reevaluate and revise the present flood emergency procedures for Virgin Run Dam in accordance with, but not limited to, the following items:

1. The inclusion of a definite procedure to notify downstream residents of a possible emergency.

2. Provisions for an alternate means of communications in the event telephone lines become inoperative.

3. Provisions for around-the-clock surveillance of the facility during periods of unusually heavy precipitation.

APPENDIX A

CHECK LIST - ENGINEERING DATA

NAME OF DAM: Virgin Run Dam CHECK LIST  
 ENGINEERING DATA  
 PHASE I  
 NDI#: PA-196 PENNDR#: 26-85 NDI# PA - 196  
 PAGE 1 OF 5

ITEM	REMARKS
PERSONS INTERVIEWED AND TITLE	PA Fish Commission (PFC) E. Jon Grindall - Engineer Clyde Buell - District Two Facilities Manager Dan O'Neill - Maintenance Superintendent
REGIONAL VICINITY MAP	See Appendix G (U.S.G.S. 7.5 minute topographic quadrangle, Dawson, Pennsylvania).
CONSTRUCTION HISTORY	From PennDR files: Charles W. Tanner (Pittsburgh, PA) Contractor (previously engineer with Chester) Chester Engineers - Designer Good series of photos in PFC files just after construction and during reservoir fill-up.
AVAILABLE DRAWINGS	Design drawings available from PennDR. As-built data on sketches from Pennsylvania Fish Commission.
TYPICAL DAM SECTIONS	See Figures 3 and 4, Appendix F.
OUTLETS: PLAN DETAILS DISCHARGE RATINGS	See Figure 3, Appendix F. See Figure 4, Appendix F. None available.

ITEM	REMARKS
SPILLWAY: PLAN SECTION DETAILS	See Figure 5, Appendix F. Modifications shown on Figure 6, Appendix F, (available from PFC files).
OPERATING EQUIPMENT PLANS AND DETAILS	See Figure 4, Appendix F.
DESIGN REPORTS	None available.
GEOLOGY REPORTS	None from owner. Geology briefly discussed in PennDER review report.
DESIGN COMPUTATIONS: HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS STABILITY ANALYSES SEEPAGE ANALYSES	None available. Considerable H&H discussions in PennDER correspondence. Rainfall and stream records in PFC files for the years 1938 through 1948. Stability or seepage analyses are not available.
MATERIAL INVESTIGATIONS: BORING RECORDS LABORATORY TESTING FIELD TESTING	One deep well log in PennDER and PFC files.

NDIH PA - 196

ITEM	REMARKS
BORROW SOURCES	Spillway excavation and possibly within reservoir area.
POST CONSTRUCTION DAM SURVEYS	None by PFC.
POST CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING STUDIES AND REPORTS	One Pennder inspection report since construction contained in Pennder files.
HIGH POOL RECORDS	Not known.
MONITORING SYSTEMS	None.
MODIFICATIONS	None since construction. Spillway apron added during construction (see Figure 6, Appendix F).

## ENGINEERING DATA (CONTINUED)

PAGE 4 C. 5

ITEM	REMARKS	NDI#	PA 196
PRIOR ACCIDENTS OR FAILURES	None.		
MAINTENANCE: RECORDS MANUAL	Maintenance records by C. Buell available at Somerset office of PFC. Formal "Operations and Maintenance Manual" by PFC staff is complete and has been recently instituted as part of this facility (copy received by inspection team).		
OPERATION: RECORDS MANUAL	See above.		
OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	Procedure detailed in new manual. Basically self-regulating.		
WARNING SYSTEM AND/OR COMMUNICATION FACILITIES	Emergency Plan detailed in new manual.		
MISCELLANEOUS			

CHECK LIST  
HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC  
ENGINEERING DATA

NDI ID # PA-196  
PENN DER ID # 26-85  
PAGE 5 OF 5

SIZE OF DRAINAGE AREA: 3.3 square miles  
ELEVATION TOP NORMAL POOL: 1175 STORAGE CAPACITY: 420 acre-feet  
ELEVATION TOP FLOOD CONTROL POOL: - STORAGE CAPACITY: -  
ELEVATION MAXIMUM DESIGN POOL: - STORAGE CAPACITY: -  
ELEVATION TOP DAM: 1181.6 STORAGE CAPACITY: 690 acre-feet

SPILLWAY DATA

CREST ELEVATION: 1175.0  
TYPE: Trapezoidal -shaped chute channel with concrete overflow wei  
CREST LENGTH: 78 feet  
CHANNEL LENGTH: 145 feet  
SPILLOVER LOCATION: Left abutment  
NUMBER AND TYPE OF GATES: None

OUTLET WORKS

TYPE: 3-foot square concrete conduit with control tower riser  
LOCATION: Near centerline of embankment  
ENTRANCE INVERTS: 1150.7 feet  
EXIT INVERTS: 1147.7 feet  
EMERGENCY DRAWDOWN FACILITIES: Stop logs set in control tower riser

HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL GAGES

TYPE: None  
LOCATION: -  
RECORDS: -

MAXIMUM NON-DAMAGING DISCHARGE: Not known

APPENDIX B  
CHECK LIST - VISUAL INSPECTION

CHECK LIST  
VISUAL INSPECTION  
PHASE 1

PAGE 1 OF 8

NAME OF DAM Virgin Run Dam STATE Pennsylvania COUNTY Fayette  
NDI# PA - 196 PENNER# 26-85  
TYPE OF DAM Zoned Earth SIZE Small HAZARD CATEGORY High  
DATE(S) INSPECTION 5 July 1979 WEATHER Partly Cloudy TEMPERATURE 60° @ 1:00 p.m.  
POOL ELEVATION AT TIME OF INSPECTION 1175 M.S.L.  
TAILWATER AT TIME OF INSPECTION 1149.7 M.S.L.

INSPECTION PERSONNEL

B. M. Mihalcin  
W. J. Veon  
D. L. Bonk  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

OWNER REPRESENTATIVES

PA Fish Commission  
E. Jon Grindall  
Dan O'Neill  
Clyde Buell  
\_\_\_\_\_

OTHERS

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

RECORDED BY D. L. Bonk

EMBANKMENT

ITEM	OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS	NDI# PA - 196
SURFACE CRACKS	None observed.	
UNUSUAL MOVEMENT OR CRACKING AT OR BEYOND THE TOE	None observed.	
SLOUGHING OR EROSION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT SLOPES	None observed.	
VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL ALIGNMENT OF THE CREST	Vertical - good. Horizontal - good; minor settlement measured on the order of 0.4-foot maximum.	
RIPRAP FAILURES	Riprap spotty along spillway channel wall. Additional riprap required. Embankment riprap consists of hand-placed concrete block riprap.	
JUNCTION OF EMBANKMENT AND ABUTMENT, SPILLWAY AND DAM	Good condition.	

EMBANKMENT

ITEM	OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS	NDI# PA - 196
DAMP AREAS IRREGULAR VEGETATION (LUSH OR DEAD PLANTS)	No damp areas were observed. Heavy overgrowth, including trees and high brush, covers the entire downstream embankment toe and extends a minimum of about 12 feet up the slope.	
ANY NOTICEABLE SEEPAGE	None observed through embankment or near toe.	
STAFF GAGE AND RECORDER	None.	
DRAINS	None observed.	

OUTLET WORKS

ITEM	OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS	NDI# PA - 196
INTAKE STRUCTURE	Submerged, not observed.	
OUTLET CONDUIT (CRACKING AND SPALLING OF CONCRETE SURFACES)	Good condition. No evidence of concrete deterioration on exposed surfaces including a large portion of the interior of the control tower riser. Conduit was discharging during the inspection and was not entered for observation.	
OUTLET STRUCTURE	Concrete headwall in good condition. Headwall is surrounded by trees and brush that characterize the general area at the downstream toe of the embankment.	
OUTLET CHANNEL	Unlined trapezoidal-shaped channel.	
GATE(S) AND OPERATIONAL EQUIPMENT	Flow through outlet is controlled via stop logs set in grooves within the control tower riser.	

## EMERGENCY SPILLWAY

PAGE 5 OF 8

ITEM	OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS	NDI# PA - 196
TYPE AND CONDITION	Uncontrolled trapezoidal-shaped chute channel with a reinforced concrete overflow weir structure in fair condition. Minor cracking observed along concrete surfaces. Some cracks have been filled and sealed.	
APPROACH CHANNEL	Rock lined channel floor with significant algae growth. Riprap spotty along left sidewall of channel.	
SPILLWAY CHANNEL AND SIDEWALLS	Minor undercutting observed between the channel floor and right vertical sidewall. Extensive voids, measured in excess of 6 feet, extend beneath the channel floor.	
STILLING BASIN PLUNGE POOL	Rock lined plunge pool in excellent condition.	
DISCHARGE CHANNEL	Unlined trapezoidal-shaped channel.	
BRIDGE AND PIERS	None.	
EMERGENCY GATES	None.	

SERVICE SPILLWAY

NDI# PA - 196

OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS

ITEM	OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS
TYPE AND CONDITION	N/A
APPROACH CHANNEL	N/A
OUTLET STRUCTURE	N/A
DISCHARGE CHANNEL	N/A

INSTRUMENTATION

NDI# PA - 196

OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS

ITEM	OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS
MONUMENTATION SURVEYS	None.
OBSERVATION WELLS	None.
WEIRS	None.
PIEZOMETERS	None.
OTHERS	

## RESERVOIR AREA AND DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL

PAGE 8 OF 8

ITEM	OBSERVATIONS AND/OR REMARKS NDI# PA - 196
SLOPES: RESERVOIR	The area surrounding the reservoir is characterized by gentle to moderate slopes that are generally heavily forested along the southern and eastern shores of the lake, but only partially wooded to the west.
SEDIMENTATION	No evidence of sedimentation observed. Heavy algae growth observed in spillway approach channel.
DOWNSTREAM CHANNEL (OBSTRUCTIONS, DEBRIS, ETC.)	Narrow, partially wooded and partially agricultural valley with steep confining slopes.
SLOPES: CHANNEL VALLEY	See above.
APPROXIMATE NUMBER OF HOMES AND POPULATION	Several residences are located in the 2-mile long valley that leads to the Youghiogheny River; however, only one dwelling is considered sufficiently near the stream to possibly be affected by the floodwave resulting from an embankment breach. The dwelling is situated on the left downstream bank at a distance approximately 1,300 feet from the embankment and about 15 feet above the streambed.

APPENDIX C  
HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS

## PREFACE

The modified HEC-1 program is capable of performing two basic types of hydrologic analyses: (1) the evaluation of the overtopping potential of the dam; and (2) the estimation of the downstream hydrologic-hydraulic consequences resulting from assumed structural failures of the dam. Briefly, the computational procedures typically used in the dam overtopping analysis are as follows:

- a. Development of an inflow hydrograph(s) to the reservoir.
- b. Routing of the inflow hydrograph(s) through the reservoir to determine if the event(s) analyzed would overtop the dam.
- c. Routing of the outflow hydrograph(s) from the reservoir to desired downstream locations. The results provide the peak discharge(s), time(s) of the peak discharge(s), and the maximum stage(s) of each routed hydrograph at the downstream end of each reach.

The evaluation of the hydrologic-hydraulic consequences resulting from an assumed structural failure (breach) of the dam is typically performed as outlined below.

- a. Development of an inflow hydrograph(s) to the reservoir.
- b. Routing of the inflow hydrograph(s) through the reservoir.
- c. Development of a failure hydrograph(s) based on specific breach criteria and normal reservoir outflow.
- d. Routing of the failure hydrograph(s) to desired downstream locations. The results provide estimates of the peak discharge(s), time(s) to peak, and maximum water surface elevation(s) of the failure hydrograph(s) for each location.

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION  
VERGIN RUN DAM  
BY WJV DATE 7-19-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-196  
CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-24-79 SHEET NO. 1 OF 14



DAM STATISTICS

- HEIGHT OF DAM  $\approx$  34 FT (FIELD MEASURED)  
(MEASURED FROM OUTLET INVERT)
- MAXIMUM POOL STORAGE CAPACITY  $\approx$  690 AC-FT (FROM HEC-1)  
@ TOP OF DAM
- NORMAL POOL STORAGE CAPACITY  $\approx$  420 AC-FT (SEE NOTE 1)
- DRAINAGE AREA  $\approx$  3.3 SQ MI

PLANIMETERED OFF USGS  
7.5 MINUTE QUADS: DAWSON  
AND FAYETTE CITY, PA

NOTE 1 : NORMAL POOL STORAGE CAPACITY OBTAINED FROM  
"DAMS, RESERVOIRS, AND NATURAL LAKES", WATER  
RESOURCES BULLETIN No. 5, COMMONWEALTH OF  
PENNSYLVANIA, DEPARTMENT OF FORESTS AND WATERS,  
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA, 1970. THE ACTUAL REPORTED  
VALUE WAS 137 MILLION GALLONS.

DAM CLASSIFICATION

- DAM SIZE - SMALL (REF 1, TABLE 1)
- HAZARD CLASSIFICATION - HIGH (FIELD OBSERVATION)
- REQUIRED SDF - 1/2 PMF TO PMF (REF 1, TABLE 3)

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION  
VIRGIN RUN DAM  
BY WJV DATE 7-21-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-196  
CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-24-79 SHEET NO. 2 OF 14



## HYDROGRAPH PARAMETERS

LENGTH OF LONGEST WATERCOURSE  $\approx$  2.4 MI

$L_{CA} \approx$  0.9 MI (MEASURED ALONG THE LONGEST WATERCOURSE FROM THE DAM CREST TO THE BASIN CENTROID)

NOTE 2: VALUES OF L AND  $L_{CA}$  ARE MEASURED FROM THE USGS 7.5 MINUTE DAWSON AND FAYETTE CITY, PA QUADS. ALL HYDROGRAPH VARIABLES ARE DEFINED IN REF. 2, IN THE SECTION ENTITLED "SNYDER SYNTHETIC UNIT HYDROGRAPH".

$C_t \approx$  1.0  
 $C_p \approx$  0.40

[ SUPPLIED BY COE ; ZONE 25  
OHIO RIVER BASIN ]

$T_p =$  SNYDER'S STANDARD LAG  $\approx$   $1.0 (L \times L_{CA})^{0.3}$

$\therefore T_p \approx 1.0 (2.4 \times 0.9)^{0.3} \approx 1.26$  HR

## RESERVOIR SURFACE AREAS

SURFACE AREA (SA) @ NORMAL POOL EL 1175.0  $\approx$  32 AC

SA @ EL 1190.0  $\approx$  45 AC

SA @ EL 1195.0  $\approx$  58 AC

SA @ EL 1190.0  $\approx$  70 AC

[ SURFACE AREA VALUES  
OBTAINED BY PLANIMETRY OF  
APPROPRIATE CONTOUR AREAS  
AS GIVEN ON FIG 2.  
NORMAL POOL EL 1175.0  
OBTAINED FROM FIG 5. ]

LOW TOP OF DAM @ EL 1191.6 FT

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION  
VIRGIN RUN DAM  
BY WJV DATE 7-21-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-457  
CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-24-79 SHEET NO. 3 OF 14

**gai**  
CONSULTANTS, INC.  
Engineers • Geologists • Planners  
Environmental Specialists

RATE OF RESERVOIR SURFACE AREA INCREASE PER FOOT  
OF RESERVOIR RISE BETWEEN EL 1190.0 AND EL 1195.0  
⇒

$$\Delta SA / \Delta H \approx (53 - 45) \text{ AC} / (1195.0 - 1190.0) \text{ FT} \\ \approx 2.6 \text{ AC/FT}$$

$$\text{SA @ LOW TOP OF DAM EL 1181.6 FT} \approx 45 \text{ AC} + \left[ (2.6 \frac{\text{AC}}{\text{FT}}) (1181.6 - 1190.0) \right] \\ \approx 49 \text{ AC}$$

### RESERVOIR ELEVATION @ "0" STORAGE

NORMAL POOL VOLUME  $\approx \frac{1}{3} HA \approx 420 \text{ AC-FT}$  (CONTIC METHOD)

SA @ NORMAL POOL EL 1175.0  $\approx 32 \text{ AC}$

$$\therefore H \approx (420 \text{ AC-FT}) / (32 \text{ AC}) \approx 39.4 \text{ FT}$$

ZERO VOLUME ELEVATION  $\approx 1175.0 - 39.4 \text{ FT} \approx 1135.6 \text{ FT}$

NOTE 3: ALTHOUGH THE ACTUAL MINIMUM RESERVOIR ELEVATION IS PROBABLY HIGHER THAN THE ABOVE COMPUTED VALUE, IN ORDER TO CALCULATE AN ELEVATION - STORAGE RELATIONSHIP AND STILL MAINTAIN A STORAGE OF 420 AC-FT @ NORMAL POOL EL 1175.0 THE ABOVE "0" STORAGE ELEVATION MUST BE INPUT INTO THE HEC-1 PROGRAM.

### RESERVOIR ELEVATION-STORAGE RELATIONSHIP

COMPUTED INTERNALLY BY THE HEC-1 PROGRAM, BASED ON THE GIVEN ELEVATION VS SURFACE AREA INFORMATION AS PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED (SEE SUMMARY INPUT/OUTPUT SHEETS).

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

VIRGIN RUN DAM

BY WJV DATE 7-22-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-196

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-24-79 SHEET NO. 4 OF 14



Engineers • Geologists • Planners  
Environmental Specialists

### PMP CALCULATIONS

- APPROXIMATE RAINFALL INDEX = 24 IN (REF 3, FIG 1)  
(CORRESPONDING TO A DURATION OF 24 HR  
AND AN AREA OF 200 SQ. MI IN  
SOUTHWESTERN PENNSYLVANIA)
- DEPTH - AREA - DURATION ZONE #7 (REF 3, FIG 1)
- DRAINAGE AREA  $\approx$  3.3 SQ MI  $\Rightarrow$  ASSUME THAT DATA  
CORRESPONDING TO A 10 SQ. MI. AREA IS REPRESENTATIVE  
OF THIS BASIN:


DURATION (HR)	PERCENT OF INDEX RAINFALL (%)
6	102
12	120
24	130
48	140

- HOPBROOK FACTOR (ADJUSTMENT FOR BASIN SHAPE AS WELL AS  
FOR THE LESSER LIKELIHOOD OF A SEVERE STORM  
CENTERING OVER A SMALLER BASIN) CORRESPONDING TO A  
DA  $\approx$  3.3 SQ MI ( $<$  10 SQ MI)  $\Rightarrow$  0.90 (REF 4, PG 49)


SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION  
VIRGIN RUN DAM  
 BY WJV DATE 7-22-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-196  
 CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-24-79 SHEET NO. 5 OF 14

SPILLWAY CAPACITY

- PROFILE OF SPILLWAY : (NOT TO SCALE)  
 (BASED ON FIELD MEASUREMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS, AND FIGURES IN APPENDIX F)



- CROSS-SECTION OF SPILLWAY : (NOT TO SCALE)  
 (BASED ON FIELD MEASUREMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS, AND FIGURES IN APPENDIX F)



SECTION TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM TOWARD SPILLWAY

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION  
VIRGIN RUN DAM  
BY WJV DATE 7-22-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-196  
CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-24-79 SHEET NO. 6 OF 14



- THE SPILLWAY IS A CONCRETE CHUTE CHANNEL WITH DISCHARGE CONTROLLED BY A CONCRETE WEIR STRUCTURE. THE WEIR HAS VERTICAL US AND DS SIDESLOPES, AND A CREST BREADTH OF ABOUT 2 FT. EVEN THOUGH THE CREST IS NOT SHARP-EDGED, IT WILL BE ASSUMED THAT THE DESIGN DISCHARGE COEFFICIENT IS ON THE ORDER OF 3.33. THIS COEFFICIENT VALUE CORRESPONDS TO THAT EXPECTED IF THE CREST WAS ACTUALLY "SHARP-CRESTED" (REF 5, PG 5-7), AND ALSO TO THAT EXPECTED FOR A 2 FT BROAD-CRESTED WEIR W/ HEADS IN EXCESS OF ABOUT 4 FT (REF 5, PG 5-43).
- DISCHARGE OVER THE WEIR CAN BE DEFINED BY THE EQUATION:

$$Q_w = CLH^{3/2} \quad (\text{REF 4, PG 373})$$

WHERE  $Q_w$  = DISCHARGE OVER THE WEIR IN CFS;  
 $L$  = LENGTH OF WEIR CREST  $\approx$  73 FT;  
 $H$  = HEIGHT OF RESERVOIR ABOVE SPILLWAY CREST,  
ASSUMED DESIGN HEAD  $\approx$  6.6 FT;  
 $C$  = DISCHARGE COEFFICIENT  $\approx$  3.33 @ DESIGN HEAD.

- DISCHARGE ALONG THE INCLINED WINGWALL WILL BE ASSUMED TO OCCUR AT THE SAME VELOCITY AS THE DISCHARGE OVER THE WEIR. THEREFORE WINGWALL FLOW CAN BE DEFINED BY THE CONTINUITY EQUATION:

$$Q_{ww} = v_w A_{ww} = \left( \frac{Q_w}{A_w} \right) A_{ww} \quad (\text{REF 5, PG 3-4})$$

WHERE  $Q_{ww}$  = DISCHARGE OVER THE INCLINED WINGWALL  
IN CFS;

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

VERGIN RUN DAM

BY WJV DATE 7-22-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-196

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-24-79 SHEET NO. 7 OF 14



Engineers • Geologists • Planners  
Environmental Specialists

$v_w$  = VELOCITY OF WEIR DISCHARGE IN FPS;  
 $A_{ww}$  = FLOW AREA ABOVE INCLINED WINGWALL IN  $FT^2$ ;  $A_w$   
 $A_w$  = FLOW AREA ABOVE WEIR IN  $FT^2$ .

- APPROACH CHANNEL LOSSES @ DESIGN FLOW :

- a) APPROXIMATE APPROACH CHANNEL WIDTH = 100 FT (FIG 3)  
RIGHT SIDE OF APPROACH CHANNEL (RT WINGWALL)  $\Rightarrow$  VERTICAL SS  
LEFT SIDE OF APPROACH CHANNEL (INCL. LT WINGWALL)  $\Rightarrow$  2H TO 1V SS

$\therefore$  @ RESERVOIR EL 1191.6 (LOW TOP OF DAM) THE MAXIMUM  
APPROACH CHANNEL DEPTH = FOREBAY DEPTH + HEAD OVER  
WEIR CREST  $\approx 3.0 FT + 6.6 FT \approx 9.6 FT$

$\Rightarrow$  AVERAGE APPROACH CHANNEL FLOW AREA  $\approx A_a$

$$A_a \approx (9.6 FT \times 100 FT) + \left[ \frac{1}{2} (9.6 FT \times 2) (9.6 FT) \right]$$
$$A_a \approx 1052 FT^2$$

- b) INITIAL ESTIMATE OF DISCHARGE @ EL 1191.6 FT

$$Q_w = C L H^{3/2} \approx (3.33) (75 FT) (6.6)^{3/2} \approx 4400 CFS$$

$$A_w \approx 6.6 FT \times 75 FT \approx 515 FT$$

$$\therefore Q_{ww} \approx \left( \frac{4400 CFS}{515 FT} \right) \times \left[ \frac{1}{2} (2 \times 6.6 FT) (6.6 FT) \right]$$
$$\approx 370 CFS$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_{TOTAL} \approx 4400 CFS + 370 CFS \approx 4770 CFS$$

- c) AVERAGE APPROACH CHANNEL VELOCITY  $\approx Q_{TOTAL} / A_a$

$$v_a \approx \frac{4770 CFS}{1052 FT^2} \approx 4.5 FPS$$

SUBJECT

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

VIRGTU RUN DAM

BY

WJV

DATE

7-22-79

PROJ. NO.

73-617-196

CHKD. BY

DLB

DATE

7-24-79

SHEET NO.

8 OF 14

Engineers • Geologists • Planners  
Environmental Specialists

$$\Rightarrow \text{AVERAGE APPROACH VELOCITY HEAD} = h_a \approx \frac{v_a^2}{2g}$$

$$h_a \approx \frac{(4.5 \text{ FPS})^2}{2g} \approx 0.31 \text{ FT}$$

ASSUMING THAT THE APPROACH CHANNEL ENTRANCE  
LOSS  $\approx 0.1 h_a$  (REF 4, PG 379)  $\Rightarrow 0.03 \text{ FT}$

$$D) \text{ APPROACH CHANNEL FRICTION LOSS} = h_f \approx \left[ \frac{v_a \eta}{1.49 R_h^{2/3}} \right]^2 \times L_c$$

WHERE  $L_c$  = AVERAGE APPROACH CHANNEL LENGTH  $\approx 135 \text{ FT}$   
(SEE FIG 3);

$\eta$  = MANNING'S ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENT  $\approx 0.04$   
(REF 7, PG 112; EXCAVATED CHANNEL, COBBLE  
BOTTOM AND CLEAN SIDES);

$R_h$  = HYDRAULIC RADIUS = FLOW AREA / WETTED PERIMETER  
FLOW AREA  $\approx A_a \approx 1052 \text{ FT}^2$ , LEFT WALL OF  
APPROACH CHANNEL IS ON A 2H TO 1V SS AND  
VARIES TO 0 FT HEIGHT @ THE ENTRANCE  
(FIG 3), RIGHT WALL (RT WW) OF APPROACH  
CHANNEL AVERAGES ABOUT 6 FT IN EFFECTIVE  
HEIGHT (FIG 5), WETTED PERIMETER  $\approx 100 \text{ FT}$   
 $+ 6 \text{ FT} + 11 \text{ FT} \approx 117 \text{ FT} \Rightarrow R_h \approx \frac{1052 \text{ FT}^2}{117 \text{ FT}}$   
 $\Rightarrow R_h \approx 9.0 \text{ FT}$

$$\therefore h_f \approx (135 \text{ FT}) \left[ \frac{(4.5)(0.04)}{1.49 (9.0)^{2/3}} \right]^2 \approx 0.11 \text{ FT}$$

$$\therefore \text{TOTAL APPROACH CHANNEL LOSS} \approx 0.11 \text{ FT} + 0.03 \text{ FT} \\ \approx 0.14 \text{ FT}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ACTUAL EFFECTIVE HEAD} \approx 6.6 \text{ FT} - 0.14 \text{ FT} \approx 6.46 \text{ FT}$$

- SPELLWAY CAPACITY @ LOW TOP OF DAM EL 1191.6 :

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

VIRGIN RUN DAM

BY WJV DATE 7-22-79 PROJ. NO. 73-617-196

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-24-79 SHEET NO. 9 OF 14



$$Q_w \approx (3.33)(73 \text{ FT})(6.46 \text{ FT})^{3/2} \approx 4260 \text{ CFS}$$

$$A_w \approx 6.46 \text{ FT} \times 73 \text{ FT} \approx 504 \text{ FT}^2$$

$$Q_{ww} \approx (4260 \text{ CFS} / 504 \text{ FT}^2) \times [1/2 (6.46 \text{ FT} \times 2) (6.46 \text{ FT})] \\ \approx 350 \text{ CFS}$$


$$\therefore Q_{\text{TOTAL}} \approx 4260 + 350 \approx 4610 \text{ CFS}$$

### SPILLWAY RATING CURVE

AS THE HEAD ABOVE THE WEIR BECOMES SMALL, THE ROUGHNESS OF THE CREST AND THE CONTACT PRESSURE BETWEEN THE WATER AND THE CREST EXERT A LARGER INFLUENCE ON DISCHARGES. THAT IS, THE C-VALUES DECREASE WITH DECREASING HEAD. THE OPPOSITE TREND OCCURS FOR HIGHER HEADS. THEREFORE, ASSUME THAT THE DISCHARGE COEFFICIENT - HEAD RELATIONSHIP FOR AN OGEE-CRESTED WEIR (REF 4, PG 378, FIG 250) CAN REPRESENT THE ACTUAL DISCHARGE COEFFICIENT - HEAD RELATIONSHIP FOR THE PRESENT CONCRETE WEIR STRUCTURE. THE MAXIMUM HEAD PRIOR TO OVERTOPPING OF THE EMBANKMENT, 6.6 FT, WILL BE ASSUMED TO BE THE DESIGN HEAD ( $H_0$ ). THE DESIGN DISCHARGE COEFFICIENT ( $C_0$ ) WILL BE ASSUMED TO EQUAL 3.33.

ALL DISCHARGES OVER THE WEIR ARE DEFINED BY THE  $Q_w = CLH^{3/2}$  RELATIONSHIP AND ALL DISCHARGES OVER THE INCLINED WINGWALL ARE DEFINED BY THE  $Q_{ww} = (Q_w/A_w) A_{ww}$  RELATIONSHIP AS GIVEN ON SHEET 6. THE HEAD OVER THE WEIR WILL BE ADJUSTED TO ACCOUNT FOR APPROACH CHANNEL LOSSES.

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION  
VIRGIN RUN DAM  
 BY WJV DATE 7-23-79 PROJ. NO. 73-617-196  
 CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-24-79 SHEET NO. 10 OF 14



- SPILLWAY RATING TABLE : (TABLE CONTINUED ON SHEET 11)

RESERVOIR ELEVATION (FT)	H (FT)	H/H <sub>0</sub> (FT/FT)	C/C <sub>0</sub>	C	INITIAL ESTIMATES					A <sub>0</sub> (FT <sup>2</sup> )
					Q <sub>w</sub> (CFS)	A <sub>w</sub> (FT <sup>2</sup> )	A <sub>ww</sub> (FT <sup>2</sup> )	Q <sub>ww</sub> (CFS)	Q <sub>TOT</sub> (CFS)	
1175	0	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	-
1176	1	0.15	0.84	2.90	220	78	1	0	220	416
1177	2	0.30	0.88	2.93	650	156	4	20	670	525
1178	3	0.45	0.91	3.03	1230	234	9	50	1280	636
1179	4	0.61	0.94	3.13	1950	312	16	100	2050	749
1180	5	0.76	0.97	3.23	2820	390	25	180	3000	864
1181	6	0.91	0.99	3.30	3780	468	36	290	4070	981
1181.6	6.6	1.0	1.0	3.33	4400	515	43.6	370	4770	1052
1183	8	1.21	1.025	3.41	6020	624	64	620	6640	1221
1184	9	1.36	1.04	3.46	7290	702	81	840	8130	1344
1185	10	1.52	1.06	3.53	8710	780	100	1120	9830	1469
1186	11	1.67	1.07	3.56	10130	858	121	1430	11560	1596

① C/C<sub>0</sub> OBTAINED FROM REF 4, PG 378, FIG. 250; BASED ON 1/10 VALUE

- ② C = C/C<sub>0</sub> × 3.33
- ③ Q<sub>w</sub> = C (78 FT) H<sup>3/2</sup>
- ④ A<sub>w</sub> = (78 FT) × H
- ⑤ A<sub>ww</sub> = 1/2 (2 × H) (H) = H<sup>2</sup>
- ⑥ Q<sub>ww</sub> = (Q<sub>w</sub> / 70 FT) (H<sup>2</sup>)
- ⑦ Q<sub>TOT</sub> = Q<sub>w</sub> + Q<sub>ww</sub>
- ⑧ A<sub>0</sub> = [100 FT × (2 FT + H)] + { 1/2 [2 (2 FT + H)] (3 FT + H) } = [100 FT × (2 FT + H)] + (3 FT + H)<sup>2</sup>

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

VIRGIN RUN DAM

BY WJV DATE 7-23-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-19

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-24-79 SHEET NO. 11 OF 14



Engineers • Geologists • Planners  
Environmental Specialists

- SPILLWAY RATING TABLE : ( CONTINUED FROM SHEET 10 )

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7) FINAL VALUES				RESERVOIR ELEVATION (FT)
						(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	
$T_{OL}$ (FPS)	$h_a$ (FT)	ENTRANCE LOSS (FT)	$h_f$ (FT)	EFFECTIVE $H_e$ (FT)	$Q_w$ (CFS)	$A_w$ (FT <sup>2</sup> )	$A_{ww}$ (FT <sup>2</sup> )	$Q_{ww}$ (CFS)	$Q_{TOT}$ (CFS)	
-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	0	0	1175
0.5	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.0	220	78	1	0	220	1176
1.3	0.03	0.00	0.02	1.98	640	154	4	20	660	1177
2.0	0.06	0.01	0.04	2.95	1200	230	9	50	1250	1178
2.7	0.11	0.01	0.06	3.93	1900	307	15	90	1990	1179
3.5	0.19	0.02	0.08	4.90	2730	382	24	170	2900	1190
4.1	0.26	0.03	0.09	5.88	3670	459	35	280	3950	1191
4.5	0.31	0.03	0.11	6.46	4260	504	42	350	4610	1191.6
5.4	0.45	0.05	0.13	7.82	5820	610	61	580	6400	1193
6.0	0.56	0.06	0.14	8.80	7050	686	77	790	7840	1194
6.7	0.70	0.07	0.16	9.77	8410	762	95	1050	9460	1195
7.2	0.80	0.08	0.16	10.76	9800	831	116	1350	11150	1196

$T_{OL} = \frac{Q_{TOT}}{A_{OL}}$   
 $h_a = \frac{V^2}{2g}$   
 (8) REF 1 PG 2711  
 (9)  $h_f = (135 \text{ FT}) \left[ \frac{(C)(0.004)}{(1.49) P^{0.75}} \right]$  w/ DEPARTURES GIVEN ON SHEET 8 ; w/  

$$H_e = \sqrt{2 \times (3.0 \text{ FT} + H + 0 \text{ FT}) / 2} + \sqrt{[(3.0 \text{ FT} + H + 0 \text{ FT}) / 2]^2 + [(3.0 \text{ FT} + H + 0 \text{ FT}) / 2]^2}$$
  

$$+ \left\{ \frac{(3.0 \text{ FT} + H) \times 15 \text{ FT} + \left[ \frac{(3.0 \text{ FT} + H)}{2} \times 10 \text{ FT} \right]}{2} \right\} / 33 \text{ FT} ; \text{ FLOW AREA } \approx A_a$$
  
 FOR  $H \le 7 \text{ FT}$ , OTHERWISE ENTER QUANTITY 10 FT; FOR  $H \le 3 \text{ FT}$ , OTHERWISE ENTER QUANTITY  $\approx 3 \text{ CFT}$   
 (10)  $H = H - 0.1 h_a - h_f$  ; (11)  $T_{OL} = C_w C (H_e)^{3/2}$  ;  $A_w, A_{ww}, Q_w, Q_{TOT}$  AND DERIVED QUANTITIES

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION  
VIRGIL RUN DAM  
 BY WJV DATE 7-23-79 PROJ. NO. 79-617-196  
 CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-24-79 SHEET NO. 12 OF 14



EMBANKMENT RATING CURVE

- LENGTH OF EMBANKMENT SUBMERGED VS RESERVOIR ELEVATION (BASED ON FIELD MEASUREMENTS):

EMBANKMENT LENGTH (FT)	RESERVOIR ELEVATION (FT)
0	1191.6
30	1182.0
110	1182.1
200	1182.3
350	1182.4
400	1183.3
405	1184.0
410	1185.0
415	1186.0

} BASED ON APPROXIMATE  
 SH TO IV RT ABUTMENT SS  
 AS MEASURED ON THE USGS  
 DAWSON, PA QUAD

- ASSUME THE EMBANKMENT ACTS LIKE A BRAD-CRESTED WEIR WHEN OVERTOPPED, W/ DISCHARGE DEFINED BY:

$$Q = CLH^{3/2} \quad (\text{SHEET 6})$$

WHERE L = LENGTH OF EMBANKMENT INUNDATED IN FT;  
 C = DISCHARGE COEFFICIENT FOR EMBANKMENTS  
 =  $f (H/L)$  WHERE  $f$  = BREADTH OF CREST @ 10 FT,  
 AND REF 12, PG 46);  
 H = AVERAGE "FLOW-AREA WEIGHTED" HEAD ABOVE THE  
 LOW TOP OF DAM EL 1191.6 FT. SINCE THE LOW POINT  
 OCCURS NEAR THE RIGHT SPILLWAY WINGWALL, ASSUME  
 THAT THE CREST IS LINEARLY INTERPOLATED FROM THE LOW  
 POINT TO THE RESERVOIR ELEVATION IN QUESTION OVER THE

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

VIRGIN RUN DAM

BY WJV DATE 7-23-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-196

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-24-79 SHEET NO. 13 OF 14



Engineers • Geologists • Planners  
Environmental Specialists

ENTIRE INUNDATED EMBANKMENT CREST LENGTH  
 $\Rightarrow H \approx (\text{RESERVOIR ELEVATION} - \text{EL } 1181.6 \text{ FT}) / 2$

RESERVOIR ELEVATION (FT)	① Y (FT)	② H (FT)	H/L (FT/FT)	C	③ L (FT)	Q (CFS)
1181.6	—	—	—	—	0	0
1182.0	0.4	0.20	0.02	2.97	30	10
1182.1	0.5	0.25	0.03	2.98	110	40
1182.3	0.7	0.35	0.04	3.00	200	120
1182.4	0.8	0.40	0.04	3.01	350	270
1183.3	1.7	0.85	0.09	3.03	400	950
1184.0	2.4	1.2	0.12	3.04	485	1620
1185.0	3.4	1.7	0.17	3.06	410	2780
1186.0	4.4	2.2	0.22	3.08	415	4170

①  $Y = \text{EL RESERVOIR} - \text{EL } 1181.6 \text{ FT}$

②  $H \approx Y/2$

③ FROM SHEET 12

TOTAL FACILITY RATING CURVE

TOTAL DISCHARGE =  $Q_{\text{SPELLWAY}} + Q_{\text{EMBANKMENT}}$

(SEE TABLE ON SHEET 14)

SUBJECT DAM SAFETY INSPECTION

VIRGIN RUN DAM

BY WJV DATE 7-23-79 PROJ. NO. 78-617-196

CHKD. BY DLB DATE 7-24-79 SHEET NO. 14 OF 14



Engineers • Geologists • Planners  
Environmental Specialists

RESERVOIR ELEVATION (FT)	① Q SPELLWAY (CFS)	② Q EMBANKMENT (CFS)	Q TOTAL (CFS)
1175.0	0	-	0
1176.0	220	-	220
1177.0	660	-	660
1178.0	1250	-	1250
1179.0	1990	-	1990
1180.0	2900	-	2900
1181.0	3950	-	3950
LOW TOP OF DAM - ELEVATION 1181.6	4610	0	4610
1182.0	5120*	10	5130
1182.1	5250*	40	5290
1182.3	5510*	120	5630
1182.4	5630*	270	5900
1183.0	6400	720*	7120
1183.3	6330*	950	7280
1184.0	7340	1620	8960
1185.0	9460	2780	12240
1186.0	11150	4170	15320

① FROM SHEET 11

② FROM SHEET 13

\* INTERPOLATED VALUE







## LIST OF REFERENCES

1. "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams," prepared by Department of the Army Office of the Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C. (Appendix D).
2. "Unit Hydrograph Concepts and Calculations," by Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District (L-519).
3. "Seasonal Variation of Probable Maximum Precipitation East of the 105th Meridian for Areas from 10 to 1,000 Square Miles and Duration of 6, 12, 24, and 48 Hours," Hydrometeorological Report No. 33, prepared by J. T. Riedel, J. F. Appleby and R. W. Schloemer Hydrologic Service Division Hydrometeorological Section, U. S. Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Washington, D. C., April 1956.
4. Design of Small Dams, U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Washington, D. C., 1973.
5. Handbook of Hydraulic, H. W. King and E. F. Brater, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1963.
6. Standard Handbook for Civil Engineers, F. S. Merritt McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1968.
7. Open-Channel Hydraulics, V. T. Chow, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1959.
8. Weir Experiments, Coefficients, and Formulas, R. E. Horton, Water Supply and Irrigation Paper No. 200, Department of the Interior, United States Geological Survey, Washington, D. C., 1907.
9. "Probable Maximum Precipitation Susquehanna River Drainage Above Harrisburg, Pennsylvania," Hydrometeorological Report 40, prepared by H. V. Goodyear and J. T. Riedel, Hydrometeorological Branch Office of Hydrology, U. S. Weather Bureau, U. S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D. C., May 1965.
10. Flood Hydrograph Package (HEC-1) Dam Safety Version, Hydrologic Engineering Center, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Davis, California, July 1978.
11. "Simulation of Flow Through Broad Crest Navigation Dams with Radial Gates," R. W. Schmitt, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Pittsburgh District.

12. "Hydraulics of Bridge Waterways," BPR, 1970, Discharge Coefficient Based on Criteria for Embankment Shaped Weirs, Figure 24, page 46.
13. Applied Hydraulics in Engineering, Morris, Henry M. and Wiggert, James N., Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, 2nd Edition, The Ronald Press Company, New York, 1972.
14. Standard Mathematical Tables, 21st Edition, The Chemical Rubber Company, 1973, page 15.
15. Engineering Field Manual, U. S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, 2nd Edition, Washington, D. C. 1969.


APPENDIX D  
PHOTOGRAPHS

PHOTOGRAPH 1 View, looking south, at Virgin Run Lake and watershed.


PHOTOGRAPH 2 View of spillway located adjacent the left abutment.

PHOTOGRAPH 3 View of the spillway channel as seen from the edge of the plunge pool.


PHOTOGRAPH 4 View of the upstream embankment slope and control tower riser as seen from the right abutment.



2



4



1




3

PHOTOGRAPH 5 Close-up view of the interior of the control tower riser.

PHOTOGRAPH 6 View of headwall at the discharge end of the outlet culvert. Note the heavy growth surrounding the culvert headwall.

PHOTOGRAPH 7 View of the overgrown downstream embankment toe as seen from the embankment crest.

PHOTOGRAPH 8 View, looking upstream, of the dwelling situated nearest the stream located about 1,500 feet downstream of the embankment.



6



8



5



7

APPENDIX E

GEOLOGY

## Geology

Virgin Run Dam is located in the Pittsburgh Plateaus Section of the Appalachian Plateaus Physiographic Province. The Pittsburgh Plateaus Section is characterized by flat lying to very gently folded sedimentary rock strata of Pennsylvanian age. Major structural axes strike from southwest to northeast with the rock strata generally dipping northwest and southeast. The amplitude of folding in this section is quite low, consequently, surface expression of the anticlinal axes is not evident.

Virgin Run Dam and reservoir are located approximately seven miles west of Connellsville on Virgin Run, a first order tributary to the Youghiogheny River. Structurally, the dam lies immediately east of and parallel to the axial trace of the Fayette anticline. Rock strata underlying the dam and reservoir, therefore, dip to the east at approximately 400 feet per mile or about 3 degrees off the east flank of the anticline, and also dip to the south at slightly more than 1 degree in the direction of plunge along the axial trace.<sup>1</sup>

The dam and reservoir are located on sedimentary rock strata of the Conemaugh Group of Pennsylvanian age. The embankment is constructed on bedrock of the Conemaugh Group.


Data from one boring drilled in the valley floor indicates that the embankment is founded on the thin bedded, fine

grained sandstones and sandy shales of the lowermost portion of the Conemaugh Group (Mahoning sandstone). The top of the Allegheny Group containing the Upper Freeport Coal lies approximately 44 feet beneath the embankment. Drilling data indicates the Upper Freeport seam to be about 10 inches thick at this location.<sup>2</sup> Available information shows the Upper Freeport Coal has not been mined in the immediate vicinity of the dam and reservoir. This seam, however, has been strip mined about one mile north of the site along the Youghiogheny River.

---

<sup>1</sup>Brownville-Connellsville Folio, Pennsylvania, U. S. Geological Survey, No. 94, 1903.

<sup>2</sup>Boring Log, PennDER files.



**GEOLOGY MAP**  
**DAWSON, PA.**  
 N4000—W7937.5/7.5  
 1964  
 PHOTOREVISED 1973  
 AMS 5064 II SW—SERIES V831

APPENDIX F

FIGURES

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Description/Title</u>
1	General Plan (field inspection notes)
2	Topographic Map
3	Dam and Spillway
4	Outlet Tower and Culvert
5	Spillway Details
6	Spillway Modification





FIGURE 1 - VIRGIN RUN DAM  
 GENERAL PLAN  
 FIELD INSPECTION NOTES



N 8552

WOODS

LINE 1  
24 P. 2




REVISED		PROJECT NO. P.1177
APPROVED	SECRETARY OF PROPERTY & SUPPLIES	VIRGIN RUN LAKE & DAM
APPROVED	CHIEF ENGINEER	FAYETTE COUNTY - PENNSYLVANIA
APPROVED	PENNA. PLAN. COMMISSION EXEC. DIRECTOR	TOPOGRAPHIC MAP
SUBMITTED	BY <i>John P. ...</i>	THE CHESTER ENGINEERS
		PITTSBURGH, PENN.
		DATE NOV 1950
		COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
		JOHN S. FINE - GOVERNOR
		DEPARTMENT OF PROPERTY & SUPPLIES
		ALAN D. REYNOLDS - SECRETARY
		HARRISBURG - PENN.
ACCEPTED	CONTRACTOR	

FIGURE 2

*Handwritten mark*





Place concrete block as shown. Fill with broken stone or gravel & 2" of 1/2 mortar.

GRAVEL ON THE

REVISED		PROJECT NO. P1177
APPROVED	SECRETARY OF PROPERTY & SUPPLIES	VIRGIN RUN LAKE & DAM
APPROVED	CHIEF ENGINEER	FAYETTE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
APPROVED	BY: <i>John P. Ruffell</i>	DAM & SPILLWAY
SUBMITTED	THE CHESTER ENGINEERS	PITTSBURGH, PENNA.
ACCEPTED	CONTRACTOR	DATE NOV. 1950
		SCALE AS NOTED
		COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
		JOHN E. FINE, GOVERNOR
		DEPARTMENT OF PROPERTY & SUPPLIES
		ALAN D. WYHOLDS, SECRETARY
		HARRISBURG, PENNA.


FIGURE 3 2351-2

2










REVISED					
APPROVED:	SECRETARY OF PROPERTY & SURVEYS				
APPROVED:	CHESTER ENGINEERS & ARCHITECTS				
APPROVED:	THE CHESTER ENGINEERS				
SUBMITTED:	By <i>John P. ...</i>				
ACCEPTED:	CONTRACTOR				
PROJECT NO. P1177		VIRGIN RUN LAKE & DAM FAYETTE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA			
		SPILLWAY DETAILS			
		THE CHESTER ENGINEERS PITTSBURGH, PA.			
DATE NOV. 28, 1900		COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA JOHN S. FINE, GOVERNOR			
SCALE AS NOTED		DEPARTMENT OF PROPERTY & SURVEYS ALAN D. RYAN, SECRETARY			
		HARRISBURG, PENNA.			

FIGURE 5

2



Area of Extra Spillway Paving

Sta.	Width	Area
1+04.97	16.58	545.69
1+42.50	12.50	78.75
1+48.80	12.50	25.58
1+54.70	19.90	189.81
1+63.25	24.50	216.82
1+70.80	34.50	258.05
1+77.50	34.50	
<b>Total Area</b>		<b>1364.70</b>

Extra Concrete in Apron

<u>Pour #1</u>	$\frac{20.7 + 37.5 + 5.0 + 233 + 0.2}{2} = 52.01$
<u>Pour #2</u>	$\frac{15.20 + 4.2 + 183 + 0.2}{2} = 97.77$
<u>Pour #3</u>	$\frac{37.0 + 5.0 + 0.83 + 0.2}{2} = 95.27$
<b>Total</b>	<b>245.05</b>
	<b>9.08 C.Yds.</b>

Extra Work in Spillway

<u>Excavation</u>	1-Area 1364.70 SF x 0.83 x 1/27 =	41.95 Yd
<u>Class 'A' Concrete</u>	1-Area 1364.70 SF x 0.83 x 1/27 =	41.95 CYd
	Extra Concrete in Apron	9.08 51.03 Yd
<u>Mesh</u>	1-Area 1364.70 SF	1364.70 SF

See Sheet 2 for:-

- Section AA Spillway Weir Wall
- Section BB Spillway End Wall
- Section CC Concrete Toe Wall

**SHEET #2-A**

**P&S #1177 - CWT - 2/6/53**


FIGURE 6

APPENDIX G  
REGIONAL VICINITY AND WATERSHED BOUNDARY MAP

DAWSON, PA.

N4000—W7937.5/7.5

1964  
PHOTOREVISED 1973  
AMS 5064 II SW—SERIES V831



WATERSHED BOUNDARY

VIRGIN RUN DAM  
NDI PA-196

REGIONAL VICINITY AND WATERSHED BOUNDARY MAP

- LONGEST WATERCOURSE
- ⊙ CENTROID OF DRAINAGE AREA