

AD-A079 705

WISCONSIN UNIV-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER
AN ESTIMATE RELATED TO THE STRONG MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE. (U)
SEP 79 H BREZIS, P LIONS
MRC-TSR-1995

F/G 12/1

DAAG29-75-C-0024

NL

UNCLASSIFIED



END
DATE
FILMED
2-80
DDC

MRC Technical Summary Report #1995

ADA 079705

AN ESTIMATE RELATED TO THE STRONG MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE

Haim Brezis - Pierre-Louis Lions

MRC-TSR-1995

Mathematics Research Center
University of Wisconsin-Madison
610 Walnut Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

DDC
RECEIVED
JAN 23 1980
E

DDC FILE COPY

Sep 79

(Received August 3, 1979)

DAAG29-75-C-0024

Approved for public release
Distribution unlimited

Sponsored by

U. S. Army Research Office
P. O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park
North Carolina 27709

80 1 15 060

221 200

fact

3

AN ESTIMATE RELATED TO THE STRONG MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE

Haim Brezis* and Pierre-Louis Lions*

Technical Summary Report #1995
September 1979

ABSTRACT

Let A be a second order elliptic operator in divergence form. For every $\lambda > 0$, let u_λ be the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} Au_\lambda + \lambda u_\lambda = 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_\lambda = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

(Ω denotes a domain in \mathbb{R}^N of finite measure). It follows from the maximum principle that $u_\lambda \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$ and from the strong maximum principle that $u_\lambda < \frac{1}{\lambda}$. We provide an explicit bound from below for $\frac{1}{\lambda} - u_\lambda$.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications: 35J25, 35R05

Key Words: Elliptic equations, Maximum principle

Work Unit Number 1 - Applied Analysis

DDC
REF ID: A61117
JAN 23 1980
E

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

80 1 15 060

* Dept. de Mathématiques, Université Paris VI, 4, pl. Jussieu, 75230 Paris Cedex 05, FRANCE

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

Some of the most useful and important tools in the study of elliptic boundary value problems are maximum principles. So called strong maximum principles provide strict inequalities when appropriate conditions are fulfilled. In this work a strong maximum principle is improved by exhibiting an explicit estimate sharper than what follows from usual arguments.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/ _____	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or special
A	

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the authors of this report.

AN ESTIMATE RELATED TO THE STRONG MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE

Haim Brezis* and Pierre-Louis Lions*

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 1$) be an open set with finite measure $|\Omega|$. Let A be a second order elliptic operator in divergence form

$$Au = - \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) + \sum_i b_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + cu,$$

with $a_{ij}, b_i, c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $c \geq 0$ a.e. on Ω ,

$$\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \nu |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega, \quad \nu > 0.$$

Set

$$M = \left(\sum_i \|b_i\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

For every $\lambda > 0$, let $u_\lambda \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ be the unique solution of

$$(1) \quad Au_\lambda + \lambda u_\lambda = 1 \text{ in } \Omega.$$

(The existence and uniqueness of u_λ follows from Theorem 8.3 in [2] when Ω is bounded; for unbounded domains see [4]. Note that A is not necessarily coercive, and one can not use Lax-Milgram's theorem). It follows from the maximum principle that $u_\lambda \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$; in fact the strong maximum principle leads to $u_\lambda < \frac{1}{\lambda}$. Our purpose is to provide an explicit bound from below for $|u_\lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda}|$ in terms of $\lambda, \nu, M, |\Omega|$ and N .

We thank Prof. H. Weinberger for drawing our attention to the paper [1].

We start with an easy estimate for u_λ .

Proposition 1. We have

$$u_\lambda(x) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda u_0(x)} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}} \right)$$

where $u_0 \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ is the unique solution of

$$(2) \quad Au_0 = 1.$$

* Dept. de Mathématiques, Université Paris VI, 4, pl. Jussieu, 75230 Paris, Cedex 05, FRANCE.

Proof. Set $v(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda u_0(x)} \right)$; so that $v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ (since $u_0 \geq 0$ by Theorem 8.1 in [2]). It is easy to verify that

$$Av + \lambda v \geq 1 \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Thus

$$A(u_\lambda - v) + \lambda(u_\lambda - v) \leq 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

and consequently $u_\lambda \leq v$ in Ω .

We now provide a bound for $\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}$.

Proposition 2. Let u_0 be the solution of (2). We have

$$u_0 \leq \frac{|\Omega|^{2/N}}{v} \varphi_N \left(\frac{M|\Omega|^{1/N}}{v} \right)$$

where, for each N , φ_N is a continuous function on \mathbb{R}_+ . In particular when $b_i \equiv 0$ we find

$$u_0 \leq c_N \frac{|\Omega|^{2/N}}{v},$$

when c_N is a constant which depends only on N .

Remark. Combining Propositions 1 and 2 when $A = -\Delta$ we find that

$$\sup_{\Omega} u_\lambda \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda c_N |\Omega|^{2/N}} \right).$$

A sharper estimate has been obtained in this case by C. Bandle ([1], Theorem 1.1) using symmetrization techniques. For example, if $N = 3$ she finds

$$\sup_{\Omega} u_\lambda \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda^{1/2} R}{\sinh \lambda^{1/2} R} \right)$$

where $\frac{4}{3} \pi R^3 = |\Omega|$; such an estimate is optimal (equality holds when Ω is a ball).

Proof of Proposition 2. We start with the case where $b_i \equiv 0$ and we use a technique of Hartman-Stampacchia [3]. Multiplying (2) by $(u_0 - t)^+$ with $t \geq 0$ leads to

$$(3) \quad v \int |\nabla(u_0 - t)^+|^2 \leq \int (u_0 - t)^+.$$

Set

$$\alpha(t) = \text{meas}\{x \in \Omega; u_0(x) > t\}.$$

In what follows we denote by c various constants depending only on N . We claim that

$$(4) \quad \|(u_0 - t)^+\|_{L^1} \leq c \|\nabla(u_0 - t)^+\|_{L^2} \alpha(t)^{(N+2)/2N}.$$

Indeed recall an inequality of Nirenberg [5]

$$(5) \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^{N/N-1}} \leq c \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^1} \quad \forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ with bounded support.}$$

From (5) we deduce that

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|_{L^1} &\leq \|\varphi\|_{L^{N/N-1}} |\text{Supp } \varphi|^{1/N} \leq c \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^1} |\text{Supp } \varphi|^{1/N} \\ &\leq c \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^2} |\text{Supp } \varphi|^{(N+2)/2N} \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying φ by cut-off functions we see easily that (6) holds for every $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

In particular if we choose $\varphi = (u_0 - t)^+$ we deduce from (3) that

$$v \|(u_0 - t)^+\|_{L^1} \leq c \alpha(t)^{1+2/N}.$$

But

$$\|(u_0 - t)^+\|_{L^1} = \int_t^\infty \alpha(s) ds \equiv \beta(t)$$

and therefore we obtain

$$(7) \quad \beta' \beta^{-N/N+2} + c v^{N/N+2} \leq 0.$$

Integrating (7) on the interval $(0, \|u_0\|_{L^\infty})$ leads to

$$-\beta(0)^{2/N+2} + c v^{N/N+2} \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq 0$$

i.e.

$$c v^{N/N+2} \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^1}^{2/N+2}.$$

We conclude using the fact that $\|u_0\|_{L^1} \leq |\Omega| \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}$.

Proof of Proposition 2 in the general case

Step 1. Suppose first we have an estimate of the form $\|u_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq \psi(M)$ when $|\Omega| = v = 1$. The conclusion of Proposition 2 for the case $|\Omega| \neq 1, v \neq 1$ follows from a simple homogeneity argument. Therefore we may assume from now on that, for example, $M = 1$ and $v = 1$.

Step 2. We shall prove the following:

Lemma 3. Let $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ be the solution of

$$(8) \quad Au + u = 1.$$

Then $\|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq k$ for some constant $k < 1$ which depends only on $|\Omega|$ and N .

Proof of Lemma 3. Multiplying (8) by $(u - t)^+, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ we find

$$\int |\nabla(u - t)^+|^2 + \int u(u - t)^+ \leq \int (u - t)^+ + \int |\nabla(u - t)^+|(u - t)^+$$

and consequently

$$\frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla(u - t)^+|^2 \leq (1 - t) \int (u - t)^+.$$

As in the case where $b_i \equiv 0$ we derive that

$$\|(u - t)^+\|_{L^1} \leq c(1 - t)\alpha(t)^{1+2/N}.$$

But

$$\|(u - t)^+\|_{L^1} = \int_t^1 \alpha(s) ds \equiv \beta(t)$$

and therefore

$$(9) \quad \frac{\beta'}{\beta^{N/N+2}} + \frac{c}{(1 - t)^{N/N+2}} \leq 0.$$

Integrating (9) on the interval (t, k) where $k = \|u\|_{L^\infty}$ we obtain

$$(10) \quad \beta(t)^{2/N+2} \geq c[(1 - t)^{2/N+2} - (1 - k)^{2/N+2}].$$

On the other hand if we multiply (8) by $\frac{u}{(1 - u)}$ we find

(1) Such a test function was introduced by Trudinger (it is used for example in the proof of Theorem 8.1 in [2]); to make the argument rigorous we should multiply by $\frac{u}{1 + \varepsilon - u}$ and let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

$$\int \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(1-u)^2} \leq \int \frac{|\nabla u|u}{(1-u)} + \int u \leq \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(1-u)^2} + \frac{3}{2} |\Omega| \quad (\text{since } u \leq 1),$$

and so

$$\int |\nabla \log(1-u)|^2 \leq 3|\Omega|.$$

Thus (by (6))

$$\|\log(1-u)\|_{L^1} \leq c|\Omega|^{1+1/N},$$

that is

$$\int_0^k \frac{\alpha(s)}{1-s} ds \leq c|\Omega|^{1+1/N}.$$

Since $\alpha = -\beta'$ we deduce that

$$(11) \quad \int_0^k \frac{\beta(s)}{(1-s)^2} ds \leq c|\Omega|^{1+1/N}.$$

Set $\theta = \frac{2}{N+2}$; by Hölder's inequality we have

$$(12) \quad \int_0^k \frac{\beta^\theta(s)}{(1-s)^{1+\theta}} ds \leq \left[\int_0^k \frac{\beta(s)}{(1-s)^2} ds \right]^\theta |\log(1-k)|^{1-\theta} \\ \leq c|\Omega|^{\theta(1+1/N)} |\log(1-k)|^{1-\theta}.$$

Using (10) and (12) we find

$$[|\log(1-k)| - \frac{1}{\theta} (1 - (1-k)^\theta)] \leq c|\Omega|^{\theta(1+1/N)} |\log(1-k)|^{1-\theta}$$

and finally

$$|\log(1-k)| \leq c|\Omega|^{1+1/N} + c.$$

Step 3. We want to estimate $\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}$ where u_0 is the solution of (2). We have

$$Au_0 + u_0 = 1 + u_0$$

and so, by Lemma 3,

$$\|u_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq k(1 + \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}).$$

Hence

$$\|u_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{k}{1-k} \leq \frac{1}{1-k} \leq c e^{c|\Omega|^{1+1/N}}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bandle. Bounds for solutions of boundary value problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 54 (1976), p. 706-716.
- [2] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Springer (1977).
- [3] P. Hartman and G. Stampacchia, On some nonlinear elliptic differential-functional equations, Acta Math. 115 (1966), p. 271-310.
- [4] P. L. Lions, A remark on some elliptic second order problems,
- [5] L. Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) 13 (1959), p. 115-162.

HB/PLL/scr

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER 1995 ✓	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) AN ESTIMATE RELATED TO THE STRONG MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Summary Report - no specific reporting period	
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
7. AUTHOR(s) Haïm Brezis and Pierre-Louis Lions		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DAAG29-75-C-0024 ✓	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematics Research Center, University of 610 Walnut Street Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Work Unit Number 1 - Applied Analysis	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709		12. REPORT DATE September 1979	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 6
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Elliptic equations Maximum Principle			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Let A be a second order elliptic operator in divergence form. For every $\lambda > 0$, let u_λ be the unique solution of $\begin{cases} Au_\lambda + \lambda u_\lambda = 1 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_\lambda = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$			

20. ABSTRACT - Cont'd.

(Ω denotes a domain in \mathbb{R}^N of finite measure). It follows from the maximum principle that $u_\lambda \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$ and from the strong maximum principle that $u_\lambda < \frac{1}{\lambda}$. We provide an explicit bound from below for $\frac{1}{\lambda} - u_\lambda$.