

AD-A080 119

COLORADO STATE UNIV FORT COLLINS DEPT OF PHYSICS  
SPUTTER DAMAGE IN GAAS EXPOSED TO LOW ENERGY ARGON IONS. (U)

F/G 20/12


UNCLASSIFIED

NOV 79 H E SCHMIDT, P E JENSEN, J R SITES


N00014-76-C-0976

NL

|OP|  
AD  
A080119



END  
DATE  
FILMED  
3 - 80  
DDC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

ADA080119

SPUTTER DAMAGE IN GaAs  
EXPOSED TO  
LOW ENERGY ARGON IONS

H. E. SCHMIDT  
P. E. JENSEN  
J. R. SITES

PHYSICS DEPARTMENT  
COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY  
FORT COLLINS, CO 80523

DDC FILE COPY

D D C  
RECEIVED  
FEB 1 1980  
A

REPORT SF-26

Approved for Public Release ; Distribution Unlimited

SPUTTER DAMAGE IN GaAs EXPOSED TO  
LOW ENERGY ARGON IONS

Technical Report: November 1979

ONR Contract N00014-76-C-0976

Contract Authority NR 243-015

by

H. E. Schmidt

P. E. Jensen

and

J. R. Sites

Report SF26

Department of Physics  
Colorado State University  
Fort Collins, Colorado 80523

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or special
A	

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.  
Reproduction in whole or part is permitted for any purpose  
of the United States Government.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 14 SF 26 ✓	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) 6 Sputter Damage in GaAs Exposed to Low Energy Argon Ions.	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED 9 Technical rept., 1	
7. AUTHOR(s) 10 H. E. Schmidt, P. E. Jensen J. R. Sites	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 15 N00014-76-C-0976 ✓	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Colorado State University Fort Collins, CO 80523	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 16 PE61753N NR243-015 RR02102-03	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Electronic and Solid State Sciences Program Arlington, VA 22217	12. REPORT DATE 11 November 1979	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) 17 RR0210203	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14	
15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED		18a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approve for public release; distribution unlimited 12/15		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES ONR Scientific Officer Telephone: (202) 696-4218		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Gallium Arsenide Sputtering Ion Beams Schottky Barriers		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) GaAs surfaces were exposed to Argon ion beams (50-500eV). When the beam energy exceeded 100 eV, they experienced degradation of Schottky barrier: formed with evaporated gold. The depth of GaAs removal necessary for restoration of the barrier ranged from 20 to 50 Å with increasing ion beam energy. 401 269 JOB		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 68 IS OBSOLETE  
S/N 0102-LF-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

SPUTTER DAMAGE IN GaAs EXPOSED TO  
LOW ENERGY ARGON IONS

H. E. Schmidt, P. E. Jensen and J. R. Sites  
Department of Physics, Colorado State University  
Fort Collins, Colorado 80523 USA

ABSTRACT

Substrates of n-type GaAs were exposed to charge neutralized argon ion beams of energy ranging from 50 to 500 eV. Exposure times were 10-30 minutes with a beam density of  $1 \text{ ma/cm}^2$ . Schottky barrier diodes were formed on the sputtered surfaces using gold films. Capacitance and current measurements showed a marked decrease in barrier height for samples sputtered with energies  $> 150 \text{ eV}$ , though rectification persists to higher beam energies. Chemical etching of the damaged layer to restore the Schottky barrier height showed that the characteristic depth of heavy damage was 20-50 Å, increasing with ion beam energy.

## TEXT

Deposition of insulating layers on gallium arsenide has been motivated both by the possibility of high-speed MISFET devices<sup>1</sup> and the need for encapsulating layers for post-implantation anneals.<sup>2-4</sup> It is desirable in either case to perform the deposition at temperatures below 500°C to prevent the loss of arsenic from the GaAs surface.<sup>5</sup> One promising technique, low energy ion-beam sputter deposition, seems to work best<sup>6</sup> when the GaAs surface is lightly sputter *in situ* etched prior to deposition. Such a procedure, however, raises the possibility of surface damage to the semiconducting material. The purpose of this paper is to study the effects, particularly the characteristic depth as far as the electronic properties are concerned, of such sputter etches.

Sputtering was done using a 2.5 cm Kaufman-type ion source.<sup>7</sup> Argon gas is introduced to a source chamber and ionized by a dc discharge. Positive argon ions are accelerated and the resulting beam neutralized with electrons from a hot filament. Beam energy was varied from 50 to 500 eV, and a beam current density of approximately 1 ma/cm<sup>2</sup> was maintained at all energies. Vacuum chamber pressure was  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  torr, and sputter times were 10, 20 or 30 minutes. Gallium arsenide samples used were <100> n-type material from Crystal Specialties, doped with tellurium to yield a carrier concentration of approximately  $5 \times 10^{18}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. Prior to sputtering, a gold-germanium back surface ohmic contact was formed using standard techniques. Individual samples, approximately 1 cm<sup>2</sup>, were cleaned with detergent, acetone, methanol, xylene and Chemsol-z and mounted on a movable stage. The GaAs was first held

clear of the beam while the proper energy and current density were adjusted. This procedure also allowed additional degassing of the ion source without contamination of the sample surface. Actual sputtering was performed with the sample rotated so that the GaAs surface was normal to the ion beam.

Gold Schottky diodes formed before sputtering, after sputtering, and after chemical etch-back were characterized by capacitance-voltage (C-V) and current density-voltage (J-V) measurements. The C-V studies utilized an 1 MHz Boonton capacitance meter and a 10-second voltage sweep ranging from - 4 to + 0.5 volts. These data yielded  $C^{-2}$  vs V plots which were quite linear so long as the current flow was low. The Schottky barrier heights were determined from the voltage intercept of the extrapolation of these curves.<sup>8,9</sup> Depth dependence of the sputter damage was measured using a calibrated chemical etch. Calibration in this case was achieved by measuring step heights with a scanning electron microscope, plotting depth vs time, and extrapolating to small removal depths. It was found that the etch rate was always nearly constant and that a mixture of  $H_2SO_4$  (1 part),  $H_2O_2$  (2 parts), and  $H_2O$  ( $10^5$  parts) led to a convenient etch rate of 17 Å/min. After etching, additional Schottky diodes were formed using the same procedure.

Figure 1 depicts the C-V barrier height as a function of the energy of the argon ions used in the sputtering. The unsputtered samples, plotted as sputter energy equal to zero, showed slightly higher values than the one reported by Mead and Spitzer.<sup>10</sup> The general trend of Fig. 1, as expected, is a decrease in barrier height with stronger sputtering energy. Samples sputtered above 300 eV exhibited large reverse currents,

thus making C-V measurements invalid in this region. There is, however, considerable sample-to-sample variation. There is also an apparent barrier enhancement for short, low energy sputtering (10 min., 50 eV). This enhancement is attributed to the formation of a thin p-type layer at the surface.<sup>11</sup> Similar effects have been observed in other Schottky diodes such as when silicon is implanted with the opposite type donor,<sup>12</sup> when aluminum is thermally-diffused into silicon,<sup>13</sup> and when GaAs is anodized and the oxide removed.<sup>14</sup>

At higher sputtering energies, in addition to the fall in barrier height, there is an increase in the surface trap density as revealed by the slope of the C-V data, and a deterioration of the diode quality factor in the J-V data. These results are consistent with a picture in which progressively larger numbers of damage states are tailing into the bandgap. At energies above 50 eV, there is no systematic dependence of the C-V and J-V measurements on sputter time, presumably because the sputter rate<sup>15</sup> is high enough to etch many times the damage depth during all exposure times studied.

The effect of etching the sputtered GaAs surface, forming new Schottky diodes, and remeasuring the barrier height is shown in Fig. 2. An etch of 17 Å was sufficient to restore the original barrier in the samples sputtered at 50 eV but had little effect on the higher energy sputtered samples. Thirty-five Å restored the barrier for all samples sputtered at 300 eV or less, and 50 Å was required for those up to 500 eV. Again, the sputter time did not appear to have a significant effect.

There are two technological implications in these results. The first is that the use of a sputter etch, the order of 500 eV for argon, may be a useful procedure in forming ohmic contacts to GaAs, and presumably on other semiconductors. Such ion beam pretreatment does not require elevated temperatures and would be compatible with other vacuum deposition processing steps. The second implication, and original motivation for these studies, is that it appears possible to use the ion beam for effective sputter etching without undue distortion of electronic properties. In previous work,<sup>16</sup> for example, a 10-minute sputter etch with 100 eV argon was effective in improving the adhesion of  $\text{Si}_3\text{N}_4$  deposited on GaAs, implying there is at least a small window in sputter energy where there is a favorable tradeoff between etching and damage.

We would like to thank Wayne McKinley for assistance in sample preparation and the U. S. Office of Naval Research for financial support through Contract N00014-76-C-0976.

## REFERENCES

1. WIEDER, H. H.: "Perspectives on III-V Compound MIS Structures," J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 1978, 15, pp. 1498-1506.
2. DONNELLY, J. P., LINDLEY, W. T. and HURWITZ, C. E.: "Silicon and Selenium Ion Implanted GaAs Reproducibility Annealed at Temperatures Up to 950°C," Appl. Phys. Lett. 1975, 27, pp. 41-43
3. LIDOW, A., GIBBONS, J. F. and MAGEE, T.: "A Double-Layered Encapsulant for Annealing Ion Implanted GaAs Up to 1100°C," Appl. Phys. Lett. 1977, 31, pp. 158-161
4. EISEN, F. H., WELCH, B. M., MÜLLER, H., GAMO, K., INADA, T. AND MAYER, J. W.: "Tellurium Implantation in GaAs," Solid-St. Electron. 1977, 20, pp. 219-223
5. LUM, W. Y., WIEDER, H. H., KOSCHEL, W. H., BISHOP, S. G. and McCOMBE, B. D.: "Thermal Degradation of Homoepitaxial GaAs Interfaces," Appl. Phys. Lett. 1977, 30, pp. 1-3
6. BIREY, H., PAK, S. and SITES, J. R.: "Photoluminescence of Gallium Arsenide Encapsulated with Aluminum Nitride and Silicon Nitride," Appl. Phys. Lett. 1979, 35, pp. 623-625
7. READER, P. D., and KAUFMANN, H. R.: "Optimization of an Electron Bombardment Ion Source for Ion Machining Applications," J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 1975, 12, pp. 1344-1347
8. GOODMAN, A. M.: "Metal-Semiconductor Barrier Height Measurement by the Differential Capacitance Method - One Carrier System," J. Appl. Phys. 1963, 34, pp. 329-338.
9. MEAD, C. A.: "Metal-Semiconductor Surface Barriers," Solid-St. Electron. 1966, 9, pp. 1023-1033.

10. MEAD, C. A. and SPITZER, W. G.: "Fermi Level Position at Metal-Semiconductor Interfaces," Phys. Rev. 1964, 134, pp. A713-A716
11. CROWELL, C. R.: "A Simplified Self-Consistent Model for Image Force and Interface Charge in Schottky Barriers," J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 1974, 11, pp. 951-957.
12. SHANNON, J. M.: "Increasing the Effective Height of a Schottky Barrier Using Low-Energy Ion Implantation," Appl. Phys. Lett. 1974, 25, pp. 75-79.
13. BASTERFIELD, J., SHANNON, J. M. and GILL, A.: "The Nature of Barrier Height Variations in Alloyed Al-Si Schottky Barrier Diodes," Solid-St. Electron. 1975, 18, pp. 290-291.
14. VARADARAJAN, S., LITTLEJOHN, M. A. and HAUSER, J. R.: "The Characterization of and a Proposed GaAs/Anodic Oxide Interface," Electr. Mat. Conf., Boulder, CO, 1979, unpublished.
15. COMAS, J. and COOPER, C. B.: "Sputtering Yields of Several Semiconducting Compounds Under Argon Bombardment," J. Appl. Phys. 1956, 37, pp. 2820-2822.
16. BRADLEY, L. E. and SITES, J. R.: "Silicon Nitride Layers on Gallium Arsenide by Low-Energy Ion Beam Sputtering," J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 1979, 16, pp. 189-192.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Barrier heights deduces from C-V results.

Figure 2. Depth of damage affecting Schottky barrier as a function of sputter energy.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2

DISTRIBUTION LIST

TECHNICAL REPORTS

Contract N00014-76-C-0976

Code 427	4	Dr. H. C. Nathanson	1
Office of Naval Research		Westinghouse Research and	
Arlington, VA 22217		Development Center	
Naval Research Laboratory		Beulah Road	
4555 Overlook Avenue, S. W.		Pittsburgh, PA 15235	
Washington, D.C. 20375		Dr. Daniel Chen	1
Code 5211	1	Rockwell International	
Code 5220	1	Science Center	
Code 5270	1	P. O. Box 1085	
Defense Documentation Center	12	Thousand Oaks, CA 91360	
Building 5, Cameron Station		Mr. G. J. Gilbert	1
Alexandria, VA 22314		MSC	
Dr. Y. S. Park	1	100 Schoolhouse Road	
AFAL/DHF		Somerset, NJ 08873	
Building 450		Drs. C. Krumm/C. L. Anderson	1
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433		Hughes Research Laboratory	
ERADCOM	1	3011 Malibu Canyon Road	
DELET-M		Malibu, CA 90265	
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703		Mr. Lothar Wandinger	1
Texas Instruments	1	ECON/AMSEL/TL/IJ	
M.S. 105/W. Wisseman		Fort Monmouth, NJ 07003	
P. O. Box 5936		Dr. Harry Wieder	1
Dallas, Texas 75222		Naval Ocean Systems Center	
Commanding Officer	1	Code 922	
Office of Naval Research		271 Catalina Blvd	
Branch Office		San Diego, CA 92152	
1030 East Green Street		Dr. William Lindley	1
Pasadena, CA 91101		MIT	
Dr. M. Malbon	1	Lincoln Laboratory	
Avantek, Inc.		F124A P. O. Box 73	
3175 Bowers Avenue		Lexington, MA 02173	
Santa Clara, CA 95051		Mr. Sven Roosild	1
Dr. R. Bell, K 101	1	AFCRL/LQD	
Varian Associates		Hanscom AFB, MA 01731	
611 Hansen Way			
Palo Alto, CA 94304			

Commander U.S. Army Electronics Command V. Gelnovatch (DRSEL-TL-IC) Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703	1	Commander Harry Diamond Laboratories 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphia, MD 20783 Attn: Mr. Horst W. A. Gerlach	1
RCA Microwave Technical Center Princeton, NJ 08540 Attn: Dr. F. Sterzer	1	Advisory Group on Electron Devices 201 Varick Street, 9th floor New York, NY 10014	1
Hewlett-Packard Corporation Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94306 Attn: Dr. Robert Archer	1	D. Claxton MS/1414 TRW Systems One Space Park Redondo Beach, CA 90276	1
Watkins-Johnson Co. E. J. Crescenzi, Jr./ K. Niclas 3333 Hillview Avenue Stanford Industrial Park Palo Alto, CA 94304	1	Profs. Hauser & Littlejohn Dept. of Electrical Engineering North Carolina State University Raleigh, NC 27607	1
Commandant Marine Corps Scientific Advisor (Code AX) Washington, D.C. 20380	1	ARACOR 1223 E. Arques Avenue Sunnyvale, California 94086 Attn: T. Magee	1
Communications Transistor Corp. 301 Industrial Way San Carlos, CA 94070 Attn: Dr. W. Weisenberger	1		
Microwave Associates Northwest Industrial Park Burlington, MA 01803 Attn: Drs. F. A. Brand/J. Saloom	1		
Commander, AFAL AFAL/DHM Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433 Attn: Mr. Richard L. Remski	1		
Professor Walter Ku Phillips Hall Cornell University Ithaca, NY 14853	1		