

AD-A081 616

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY CA
CHANNELS THAT COOPERATIVELY SERVICE A DATA STREAM AND VOICE MES-ETC(U)
NOV 79 D P GAVER, J P LEHOCZKY

F/8 17/2.1

UNCLASSIFIED

NPSS5-79-027

NL

1 of 1
ADP6-6




END
DATE
FILMED
4-80

100

AD A 081 616

NPS55-79-027

LEVEL 1
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
Monterey, California



DTIC
ELECTE
MAR 11 1980

C

CHANNELS THAT COOPERATIVELY SERVICE
A DATA STREAM
AND VOICE MESSAGES, I

by

D. P. Gaver

and

J. P. Lehoczky

November 1979

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Prepared for:
Chief of Naval Research
Arlington, VA 22217

DDC FILE COPY

80 3 10 026


Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, California

Rear Admiral T. F. Dedman
Superintendent

Jack R. Borsting
Provost


This report was prepared by:


D. P. Gaver, Professor
Department of Operations Research


J. P. Lehoczky, Professor
Carnegie-Mellon University

Reviewed by:

Released by:


Michael G. Sovereign, Chairman
Department of Operations Research


William Tolles
Dean of Research

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER NPS55-79-027	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Channels that Cooperatively Service a Data Stream and Voice Messages, I.	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) D. P. /Gaver and J. P. /Lehoczky	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DD 1473	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, Ca. 93940	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 61152N: R000-01-10 N0001480WR00054	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Chief of Naval Research Arlington, VA 22217	12. REPORT DATE Nov 79	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 44	
	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Communication Data-Voice Communications Channels Queues Probability Models		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A system of channels mutually accommodate both data and voice messages, voice having pre-emptive priority but being a loss system, and data being allowed to queue. Approximations to the data queue properties are derived.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S-N 0102-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

251450

CHANNELS THAT COOPERATIVELY SERVICE A DATA STREAM
AND VOICE MESSAGES*

by

D. P. Gaver
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940

J. P. Lehoczký
Carnegie-Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA. 15213

Accession For	
NTIS	GRA&I
DDC	TAB
Unannounced	
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Available for special

I. INTRODUCTION

A system of channels cooperatively services both voice and data messages arriving at one node of a communications network. This paper is devoted to the analysis of a particular channel-sharing strategy, in which voice traffic always occupies its channels when available, but data service is allowed to occur on empty voice channels. Voice traffic is taken to be of high priority; voice arrivals that find all voice channels busy are treated as losses. Note that voice traffic will be relatively infrequent as compared to data, and will also exhibit relatively long holding (service) times. Data traffic is taken to be heavy, and exhibits very short holding times (per word unit): compared to voice, data appears to arrive nearly continuously; when all data (and empty voice) channels are filled, queueing occurs.

* Research in part sponsored by ONR at Naval Postgraduate School, N001480WR00067, and in part by NSF at Carnegie-Mellon University, ENG79 05526.

We present an analysis of the performance of a special type of integrated circuit and packet-switched multiplexor structure. This structure essentially occurs within the SENET network; descriptions are given by Coviello and Vena (1975), and Barbacci and Oakley (1976). In this network a time-slotted frame is utilized; a certain portion of each frame is allocated to voice traffic, while any remaining data traffic can use all remaining capacity, including that left unused by voice. Voice, on the other hand, cannot use capacity unused by data, and operates on a loss system. The subject of our analysis has the same qualitative flavor. Typical performance measures that may be calculated are (i) the loss rate of voice traffic, and (ii) the expected waiting time, or, equivalently, mean queue length, of the data.

The analysis begins with standard probabilistic assumptions. Specifically, voice traffic arrives according to a Poisson(λ) process, and each customer has an independent exponential(μ) service time. Data arrivals are according to an independent Poisson(δ) process, and exhibit exponential (η) service times. A total of c channels are reserved for exclusive use of data, while v channels can be used by both data and voice; however, voice pre-empts data. Voice operates as an M/M/v loss system, and the well-known "Erlang B" loss formula will give the loss rate. We are mainly interested in the behavior of the data queue; however, we wish to

develop expressions for mean queue lengths for certain extreme (and realistic) parameter values. First, we will require that $\delta/\eta = \rho_d > c$. This assumption indicates that the data must be able to use excess voice capacity in order to remain stable. Second, we require that η/μ be large, perhaps on the order of 10^4 . This indicates that the voice requires long service periods while the data service periods are very short.

This problem has been studied in a number of papers including Halfin and Segal (1972), Halfin (1972), Fischer and Harris (1976), Bhat and Fischer (1976), Fischer (1977), and Chang (1977). Many of these studies begin with the Kolmogorov forward equations appropriate for this system and introduce some approximations leading to a solution. While this approach is entirely appropriate, the approximations heretofore introduced have not been tailored to the $\rho_d > c$ and $\eta/\mu \approx 10^4$ situation. In fact, several of the approximations give quite misleading results in this case. We develop an approximation which is tailored to these rather extreme but realistic parameter conditions. While the Kolmogorov equations can be easily written for the Markov chain $\{(Q(t), N(t)), t > 0\}$ where $Q(t)$ represents the number of data messages in the system and $N(t)$ represents the number of voice messages at time t , the fact that both $Q(t)$ and $N(t)$ are subject to random fluctuation seems to make any direct approach to solving the equations difficult. We thus propose to treat the data as a deterministic process behaving like a fluid flow.

2. THE APPROXIMATION

To better understand the behavior of the data queue process, we first consider the special case $c = 0$, $v = 1$. In this case, the data can use the single channel only when voice traffic is not present. Consider a set of parameter values given by $\lambda = .01$, $\mu = .01$, $\delta = 25$, and $\eta = 100$. It follows that $\rho_d = .25$ and $\rho_v = 1$. The overall traffic intensity parameter $\rho = \rho_d + \rho_v(1-q)$ where q , the voice blocking probability, is .75, so the system is stable. Nevertheless, very long data queues will occasionally be created for the following simple reason. When no voice traffic is present and no data queue is present the system appears to data traffic to be an M/M/1 system with $\rho = .25$. There will be essentially no queueing at all, and this situation will persist for an average of $1/\lambda = 100$ time units. However, when a voice message arrives, the channel becomes unavailable to the data, and all data messages must now be queued. This queue will grow at a rate of 25 per unit time. Furthermore, the voice message exhibits a long holding time (on the average 100 time units), so the data queue will reach a height of 2500 on the average before it can begin to be serviced. The channel is now free, and will remain so for about 100 time units--but now the queue has 2500 customers, not zero as before. It is clear that the steady-state mean queue length is very large (2500 in fact); however, it is also clear that this classical performance evaluation measure can be very

misleading. The actual behavior of the data queue is one of long periods of essential emptiness followed by long periods of great queue length. The mean gives an average of these two extreme situations and therefore is misleading. We propose to develop approximations for this mean but to also provide other descriptions of system behavior such as idle and busy period lengths, first-passage times and steady-state distributions.

The mean queue length has been calculated exactly for $c = 0, v = 1$ by Fischer (1977) and is given by

$$\frac{\rho_d}{(1+\rho_v)^2 (1-\rho)} \left\{ \frac{\eta}{\mu} \rho_v + (1+\rho_v)^2 \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\rho = \rho_d + \rho_v/(1+\rho_v)$. It is clear that if $\eta/\mu \approx 10^4$ and $\rho_v \approx 1$ as in the above example, then of the two terms in brackets η/μ will be large compared with $(1+\rho_v)^2$, hence, we can ignore this term. Ignoring this term is equivalent to ignoring the queueing that occurs when the system is empty. The analysis presented in this paper ignores terms of this type.

The fluid flow approximation is based on treating the data as a deterministic stream. Let us suppose that there are i voice channels occupied. This leaves $c + v - i$ available for data. Data arrives at rate δ and is serviced at rate $(c + v - i)\eta$ giving an overall change in the queue length of $\delta - \eta(c + v - i) = r_i$ per unit time, where $i = 0, 1, \dots, v$. It is clear that $r_0 < r_1 < \dots < r_v$. We assume $r_0 < 0$ and

$r_v > 0$. The first is necessary for system stability while the latter follows from $\rho_d > c$. Thus there is a state N for which $r_N \leq 0 < r_{N+1}$. We treat the case $r_N < 0$, while $r_N = 0$ is a straightforward generalization. We refer to the states $0, 1, \dots, N$ as "down" states, while $N+1, \dots, v$ are "up" states ($0 \leq N \leq v$, so the two sets of states are nonempty). These names reflect the fact that if i voice channels are occupied, then the data queue tends to increase if i is an up state, and to decrease if i is a down state. The steady-state distribution of the occupancy of the voice channels is given by a truncated Poisson(ρ_v) distribution,

$$p_i = \frac{\rho_v^i / i!}{\sum_{j=0}^v \rho_v^j / j!}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq v \quad (2.2)$$

and the loss probability $q = p_v$.

For the data queue to remain stable

$$\sum_{i=0}^v r_i \rho_v^i / i! < 0.$$

If one defines $\rho = [\rho_d + \rho_v(1-q)] / (v + c)$ then the stability condition becomes $\rho < 1$.

We wish to compute a variety of quantities for the data queueing system. These quantities include

$$p_{ij}(x) = P(\text{voice is in state } j \text{ when queue empties} | \text{voice is in state } i \text{ and data in state } x), \quad 0 \leq i \leq v, \quad 0 \leq j \leq v.$$

$\tau_i(x)$ = expected first-passage time for data from state x to state 0 starting in voice state i , $0 \leq i \leq v$.

$a_i(x)$ = expected area under data queue-length process accumulated during the first passage time to 0, $0 \leq i \leq v$.

The above quantities give important characterizations of the system performance. The first-passage times indicate the time needed to work off a backlog of size x . The area gives essentially the waiting time. If the queue is empty and the voice is in a down state then for the fluid model the queue will remain empty until the voice reaches the first up state, $N+1$. The queue immediately begins to grow at rate r_{N+1} . It follows that $\tau_{N+1}(0)$ represents the expected duration of the busy period. Similarly $a_{N+1}(0)$ gives the expected area accumulated during the busy period. Using renewal-theoretic ideas $a_{N+1}(0)/\tau_{N+1}(0)$ gives the mean queue length during the busy period. Similarly $p_{N+1,i}(0)$ gives the probability that the busy period will end in voice state i . The time for the voice to reach $N+1$ from i and hence the expected time to initiate a new busy period is easily calculated from the birth-death process. Let us designate this mean by S_i . Then $\sum_{i=0}^N S_i p_{N+1,i}(0) = T$ gives the expected idle time (we ignore all queueing during this period). Clearly $T/(T + \tau_{N+1}(0))$ gives the steady state data component idleness probability and

$a_{N+1}(0) \cdot T / (T + \tau_{N+1}(0))$. $\tau_{N+1}(0)$ gives the steady-state mean data queue length. It is clear that the quantities $a_i(x)$, $\tau_i(x)$, and $p_{ij}(x)$ give valuable insight into the behavior of the queueing process, incidentally providing all of the standard queueing performance measures. The special case of one down state ($N = 0$) is easiest to handle, since in this case the p_{ij} 's can be ignored.

3. DERIVATION OF $p_{ij}(x)$ FUNCTIONS

We use a backward equation approach. Let us assume that at time $t = 0$ the queue length is $x > 0$ and i voice channels are occupied. It follows that at time dt , the new queue length will be $x + r_i dt$. The system will remain in state i with probability $1 - (\lambda \min(1, v-i) + i\mu)dt + o(dt)$, will move to state $i+1$ with probability $\lambda \min(1, v-i)dt + o(dt)$, or will move to $i-1$ with probability $i\mu dt + o(dt)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij}(x) = & p_{ij}(x + r_i dt)(1 - (\lambda \min(1, v-i) + i\mu)dt + o(dt)) \\ & + p_{i-1,j}(x + r_i dt) i\mu dt \\ & + p_{i+1,j}(x + r_i dt) \lambda \min(1, v-i)dt + o(dt) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

One expands the $p_{ij}(x + r_i dt)$ into $p_{ij}(x) + r_i p'_{ij}(x)dt + o(dt)$, collects terms and lets $dt \rightarrow 0$ to derive

$$\begin{aligned} & p'_{ij}(x)(-r_i) \\ & = -p_{ij}(x)(\lambda \min(v-i, 1) + i\mu) + p_{i-1,j}(x)i\mu \\ & \quad + p_{i+1,j}(x) \lambda \min(v-i, 1) \quad \text{with } 0 \leq j \leq N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

If $r_N = 0$, then (3.2) indicates a linear relationship among $p_{Nj}(x)$, $p_{N-1,j}(x)$ and $p_{N+1,j}(x)$. This relationship serves to allow elimination of $p_{Nj}(x)$ and therefore allows us to assume $r_N < 0$. Equation (3.2) can be divided by $-r_i$ and the entire system rewritten in matrix form to yield

$$\underline{P}'(x) = \frac{\mu}{n} \underline{Q}^* \underline{P}(x)$$

here $\underline{P}(x) = (p_{ij}(x))$, $0 \leq i \leq v$, $0 \leq j \leq N$, a $(v+1) \times (N+1)$ stochastic matrix for each x , and $\underline{Q}^*$ is defined by

$$\underline{Q}^* = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\rho_v}{\kappa} & \frac{\rho_v}{\kappa} & & & & \\ \frac{1}{\kappa-1} & -\frac{(1+\rho_v)}{\kappa-1} & \frac{\rho_v}{\kappa-1} & & & \\ 0 & \frac{2}{\kappa-2} & -\frac{(2+\rho_v)}{\kappa-2} & \frac{\rho_v}{\kappa-2} & & \\ & & & \frac{v-1}{\kappa-(v-1)} & -\frac{(v-1+\rho_v)}{\kappa-(v-1)} & \frac{\rho_v}{\kappa-(v-1)} \\ & & & & \frac{\rho_v}{\kappa-v} & -\frac{\rho_v}{\kappa-v} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

where $\kappa = c + v - \rho_d$. We assume $0 < \kappa < v$.

Equation (3.3) can be routinely solved to give

$$\underline{P}(x) = \exp\left(\frac{\mu}{n} \underline{Q}^*\right) \underline{P}(0) \quad (3.4)$$

where $\exp(M) = I + M + M^2/2! + \dots$ for a square matrix M .

Interestingly, one still needs to determine $\underline{P}(0)$ before $\underline{P}(x)$ is fully determined.

To determine $\underline{P}(0)$, we partition into down and up states.

Thus

$$\underline{P}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} P_D(x) \\ P_U(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

3. DERIVATION OF $p_{ij}(x)$ FUNCTIONS

We use a backward equation approach. Let us assume that at time $t = 0$ the queue length is $x > 0$ and i voice channels are occupied. It follows that at time dt , the new queue length will be $x + r_i dt$. The system will remain in state i with probability $1 - (\lambda \min(1, v-i) + i\mu)dt + o(dt)$, will move to state $i+1$ with probability $\lambda \min(1, v-i)dt + o(dt)$, or will move to $i-1$ with probability $i\mu dt + o(dt)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij}(x) &= p_{ij}(x + r_i dt) (1 - (\lambda \min(1, v-i) + i\mu)dt + o(dt)) \\ &\quad + p_{i-1,j}(x + r_i dt) i\mu dt \\ &\quad + p_{i+1,j}(x + r_i dt) \lambda \min(1, v-i)dt + o(dt) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

One expands the $p_{ij}(x + r_i dt)$ into $p_{ij}(x) + r_i p'_{ij}(x)dt + o(dt)$, collects terms and lets $dt \rightarrow 0$ to derive

$$\begin{aligned} p'_{ij}(x)(-r_i) &= -p_{ij}(x)(\lambda \min(v-i, 1) + i\mu) + p_{i-1,j}(x)i\mu \\ &\quad + p_{i+1,j}(x)\lambda \min(v-i, 1) \quad \text{with } 0 \leq j \leq N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

If $r_N = 0$, then (3.2) indicates a linear relationship among $p_{Nj}(x)$, $p_{N-1,j}(x)$ and $p_{N+1,j}(x)$. This relationship serves to allow elimination of $p_{Nj}(x)$ and therefore allows us to assume $r_N < 0$. Equation (3.2) can be divided by $-r_i$ and the entire system rewritten in matrix form to yield

$$\underline{P}_D(x) = (p_{ij}(x)), \quad 0 \leq i \leq N, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N$$

$$\underline{P}_U(x) = (p_{ij}(x)), \quad N+1 \leq i \leq v, \quad 0 \leq j \leq N.$$

If no data queue is present ($x = 0$) and i is a down state, then

$$p_{ij}(0) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

since emptiness is instantaneously achieved. Thus $\underline{P}_D(0) = I$, the $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ identity matrix. It remains to calculate $\underline{P}_U(0)$.

A second system of equations can be developed as follows. Beginning in state i (i up) and $x > 0$, one must first return to level x and then hit 0. The return to level x must occur in a down state. This allows one to write a system of "Chapman-Kolmogorov like" equations

$$\underline{P}_U(x) = \underline{P}_U(0) \underline{P}_D(x) \quad (3.5)$$

Equations (3.3) and (3.5) can be combined to give an expression for $\underline{P}_U(0)$. This expression is in the form of a matrix quadratic equation:

$$(\underline{P}_U(0), -I_{v-N}) Q^* \begin{pmatrix} I_{N+1} \\ \underline{P}_U(0) \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (3.6)$$

This equation can be rewritten to be

$$P_U(0)A_{11} - A_{21} + P_U(0)A_{12}P_U(0) - A_{22}P_U(0) = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$Q^* = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{with } A_{11} \text{ an } (N+1) \times (N+1) \text{ matrix} \\ \text{and } A_{22} \text{ a } (v-N) \times (v-N) \text{ matrix} \end{array}$$

Equation (3.7) does not yield a closed form solution except in very special cases. It can, however, be solved numerically using a Newton-type iteration. Such solutions have been carried out, but the results will not be provided here.

DERIVATION OF $\tau_i(x)$ FUNCTION

The first-passage time functions can also be derived using a backward equation approach. A straightforward derivation gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} -r_0 \tau'_0(x) \\ \vdots \\ -r_v \tau'_v(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + Q \begin{pmatrix} \tau_0(x) \\ \vdots \\ \tau_v(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.8)$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tau'_0(x) \\ \vdots \\ \tau'_v(x) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\eta} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\kappa} \\ \frac{1}{\kappa-1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\kappa-v} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\mu}{\eta} Q^* \begin{pmatrix} \tau_0(x) \\ \tau_1(x) \\ \vdots \\ \tau_v(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.9)$$

Equation (3.9) can be solved and has an exponential solution similar to (3.4); however, the initial conditions must be determined. Letting

$$\tau_D(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_0(x) \\ \vdots \\ \tau_N(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_U(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{N+1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ \tau_V(x) \end{pmatrix},$$

one can develop a Chapman-Kolmogorov relationship as follows. Beginning in an up state at level x the process must first return to x and then hit 0. It follows that

$$\tau_U(x) = \tau_U(0) + p_U(0) \tau_D(x) \quad (3.10)$$

Clearly $\tau_D(0) = 0$ and it remains to calculate $\tau_U(0)$.

Straightforward manipulations of equations (3.9) and (3.10) give

$$(p_U(0), -I_{V-N}) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\kappa} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\kappa-v} \end{pmatrix} = \mu(p_U(0), -I_{V-N}) Q^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \tau_U(0) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.11)$$

where $p_U(0)$ has been previously determined. Using the partitioned version of

$$Q^* = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

Equation (11) becomes

$$\tau_U(0) = \frac{1}{\mu} (P_U(0) A_{12} - A_{22})^{-1} (P_U(0), -I_{v-N}) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\kappa} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\kappa-v} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.12)$$

For example, in the special case $v = 1$, $P_U(0) = (1)$,
 $\kappa = 1 - \rho_d$ and

$$\tau_U(0) = \tau_1(0) = \frac{1}{\mu(1 + \rho_v)(1 - \rho)} \quad (3.13)$$

In general if $N = v-1$ (only 1 up state)

$$\tau_v(0) = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{v-1} P_{vi}(0) \frac{1}{\kappa-i} - \frac{1}{\kappa-v}}{\frac{\rho_v}{\kappa-(v-1)} P_{v,v-1}(0) + \frac{v}{\kappa-v}} \quad (3.14)$$

while if $N = 0$ (only 1 down state)

$$\tau_U(0) = -\frac{1}{\kappa\mu} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} (\rho_v \ 0 \cdots 0) - A_{22} \right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\kappa-1} \\ \frac{2}{\kappa-2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{v}{\kappa-v} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.15)$$

Explicit solutions for other cases can be written down but become very complicated.

4. DERIVATION OF $a_i(x)$ FUNCTIONS

The backward equation approach gives a straightforward derivation of the area accumulated under the queue length process during the first-passage time. One derives

$$\begin{pmatrix} -r_0 a'_0(x) \\ \vdots \\ -r_v a'_v(x) \end{pmatrix} = x \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + Q \begin{pmatrix} a_0(x) \\ \vdots \\ a_v(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.1)$$

Once again we define

$$\underline{a}_D(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0(x) \\ \vdots \\ a_N(x) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{a}_U(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{N+1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ a_v(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

Clearly $\underline{a}_D(0) = \underline{0}$, but $\underline{a}_U(0)$ must be determined. Equation (4.1) can be rewritten as

$$\underline{a}'(x) = \frac{1}{\eta} x \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\kappa} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\kappa-v} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\mu}{\eta} Q^* \underline{a}(x) \quad (4.2)$$

which has a straightforward exponential solution once the initial conditions have been determined. To this end, a second set of equations can be found using the Chapman-Kolmogorov approach.

Beginning in an up state at level x the process must return to level x , then to 0. The expected area accumulated during the return to x is given by $a_i(0) + x\tau_i(0)$. It follows that

$$a_u(x) = a_u(0) + x\tau_u(0) + P_u(0) a(x). \quad (4.3)$$

Equations (4.2) and (4.3) can be combined to give

$$-\tau_u(0) = \frac{\mu}{n} (P_u(0), -I_{v-N}) Q^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ a_u(0) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.4)$$

By partitioning Q^* one finds

$$a_u(0) = -\frac{n}{\mu} (P_u(0)A_{12} - A_{22})^{-1} \tau_u(0) \quad (4.5)$$

A simple example of the calculation involved in (4.5) is the $v = 1$ case. It can be shown that

$$a_1(0) = \frac{n}{\mu^2} \frac{\rho_d(1-\rho_d)}{(1+\rho_v)^2(1-\rho)^2} \quad \text{for } \rho < 1 \quad (4.6)$$

Carrying this a step further one can see that the idle period has mean length $1/\lambda = (1/\mu)(1/\rho_v)$. Thus recalling (3.13), we find the mean queue length given by $a_1(0)/(\tau_1(0) + 1/\lambda)$ or

$$E(Q) = \frac{\eta}{\mu} \frac{\rho_v \rho_d}{(1-\rho)(1+\rho_v)^3} \quad \rho < 1. \quad (4.7)$$

The $v = 1$ case can be carried further. Once $a_1(0)$ and $\tau_1(0)$ are known, $a_1(x)$ and $\tau_1(x)$ can be determined. Equation (3.11) becomes $\tau_1(x) = \tau_1(0) + \tau_0(x)$ indicating $\tau_1(x) - \tau_0(x) = \tau_1(0)$ given by (3.13). Equation (3.9) can be routinely solved to find

$$\tau_0(x) = \frac{1}{\eta(1-\rho_d)} \left(1 + \frac{\rho_v}{(1+\rho_v)(1-\rho)} \right) x \quad (4.8)$$

$$\tau_1(x) = \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\frac{\mu}{\eta(1-\rho_d)} \left(1 + \frac{\rho_v}{(1+\rho_v)(1-\rho)} \right) x + \left(\frac{1}{(1+\rho_v)(1-\rho)} \right) \right]$$

The area function can also be explicitly determined. Equation (4.3) becomes $a_1(x) - a_0(x) = x\tau_1(0) + a_1(0)$. Substituting this into (4.1) gives

$$a_0(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\kappa} + \tau_1(0) \right) + \frac{\rho_v}{\kappa} \frac{\mu}{\eta} a_1(0)x \quad (4.9)$$

$$a_1(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\kappa} + \tau_1(0) \right) + \left(\frac{\rho_v}{\kappa} \frac{\mu}{\eta} a_1(0) + \tau_1(0) \right) x + a_1(0),$$

all coefficients of which have been previously determined. In the special case $\rho_d = 1/4$, $\rho_v = 1$, $\rho = 3/4$ mentioned earlier with $\lambda = .01$, $\mu = .01$, $\delta = 25$, $\eta = 100$ then

$$\tau_0(x) = \frac{x}{25}$$

$$\tau_1(x) = 4x + 200$$

$$a_0(x) = \frac{602}{3} x^2 + 100x \quad (4.10)$$

$$a_1(x) = \frac{602}{3} x^2 + 300x + 750,000$$

$$E(Q) = \frac{a_1(0)}{\tau_1(0) + \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{1}{\rho_v}} = \frac{750,000}{200 + 100} = 2500 .$$

The voice loss rate is given by $\lambda \rho_v / (1 + \rho_v) = \lambda / 2 = .005$.

5. STEADY-STATE DISTRIBUTION OF DATA QUEUE LENGTH

One can use a forward equation approach to develop an equilibrium distribution for the data queue length. Define $p(x, j, t)$ to be the probability of j voice channels occupied and x data units in the system at time t . It is easily seen that for $x > 0$ and dt small

$$\begin{aligned} p(x, j, t + dt) &= p(x - r_j dt, j, t) (1 - (\lambda + j\mu)dt) + p(x - r_{j-1} dt, j-1, t) \lambda dt \\ &\quad + p(x - r_{j+1} dt, j+1, t) (j+1)\mu dt + o(dt), \quad 0 \leq j \leq v \end{aligned}$$

where $p(x, -1, t) \equiv 0$.

Standard manipulations that treat x as a continuous variable lead to these equations

$$\begin{aligned} r_j \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} &= -(\lambda \min(1, v-j) + j\mu) p(x, j, t) \\ &\quad + \lambda p(x, j-1, t) + (j+1)\mu p(x, j+1, t), \quad x > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Setting $t \rightarrow \infty$ and assuming $p(x, j, t) \rightarrow p_j(x)$ and $[\partial p(x, j, t)]/\partial t \rightarrow 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} r_j p_j'(x) &= -(\lambda \min(1, v-j) + j\mu) p_j(x) \\ &\quad + \lambda p_{j-1}(x) + (j+1)\mu p_{j+1}(x), \quad 0 \leq j \leq v \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

with $x > 0$, $p_{-1}(x) = p_{v+1}(x) = 0$. The prime denotes x -derivatives.

Equation (5.2) is incomplete as it does not contain information about the boundary behavior.

Equation (5.2) can be summarized in matrix form by

$$\underline{P}'(x) = -\underline{P}(x) R^* \frac{x}{\eta}, \quad x > 0 \quad (5.3)$$

where $\underline{P}(x) = (p_0(x), \dots, p_v(x))$ and

$$R^* = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-\rho_v}{\kappa} & \frac{\rho_v}{\kappa-1} & & & & \\ \frac{1}{\kappa} & \frac{-(1+\rho_v)}{\kappa-1} & \frac{\rho_v}{\kappa-2} & & & \\ & \frac{2}{\kappa-1} & \frac{-(2+\rho_v)}{\kappa-2} & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \frac{-(v-1+\rho_v)}{\kappa-(v-1)} & \frac{\rho_v}{\kappa-v} \\ & & & & & \frac{v}{\kappa-(v-1)} & \frac{-v}{\kappa-v} \end{pmatrix}$$

Equation (3) can be routinely solved to get

$$\underline{P}(x) = \underline{c} \exp\left(-\frac{x}{\eta} R^* x\right) \quad (5.4)$$

with $\underline{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_v)$. The constants c_i must be determined, and equation (5.4) gives only the density function, not the mass at the boundary. In view of the fluid flow approximation, there will be mass at 0, given by π_i , for each down state i , $0 \leq i \leq N$, however, no mass at the boundary for any up state, $N+1 \leq i \leq v$. Furthermore, the equilibrium distribution over i is given by $(c_v^i/i!)/\sum_{j=0}^v c_v^j/j!$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty p_i(x) dx + \pi_i &= (\rho_v^i/i!)/\sum_{n=0}^v \rho_v^n/n!, & 0 \leq i \leq N \\ \int_0^\infty p_i(x) dx &= (\rho_v^i/i!)/\sum_{j=0}^v \rho_v^j/j!, & N+1 \leq i \leq v \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

It remains to determine $\underline{c}$ and $(\pi_0, \dots, \pi_N)$. Let $R^* = \underline{\phi} D \underline{\psi}$ with $\underline{\psi} \underline{\phi} = \underline{I}$ and

$$\underline{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & \alpha_1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \alpha_v \end{pmatrix}$$

where we order the eigenvalues such that $\alpha_{N+1}, \dots, \alpha_v > 0$ while $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N < 0$. It is clear that (5.4) can be rewritten to give

$$\underline{p}(x) = \underline{c} \underline{\phi} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \exp(-\frac{\mu}{\eta} x \alpha_1) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \exp(-\frac{\mu}{\eta} x \alpha_v) \end{pmatrix} \underline{\psi} \quad (5.6)$$

The functions $p_i(x)$ are linear combinations of the $\exp(-\frac{\mu}{\eta} x \alpha_i)$. In order for these functions to be integrable, the coefficients associated with those α_i which are negative must be 0. This provides constraints on the $\underline{c}$. If $\underline{\phi} = (\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_v)$ whose columns are right eigenvectors, then $\underline{c} \phi_i = 0$, $0 \leq i \leq N$. The remaining equations governing $\underline{c}$ come from (5.5). Letting $\underline{c} \phi_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq N$ we have

$$p_i(x) = \sum_{j=N+1}^v c_j \psi_{ji} \exp(-\frac{\mu}{\eta} x \alpha_j), \quad N+1 \leq i \leq v,$$

and

$$\int_0^{\infty} p_i(x) dx = \frac{\rho_v^i / i!}{\sum_{k=0}^v (\rho_v^k / k!)} = \frac{\eta}{\mu} \sum_{j=N+1}^v c_j \psi_{ji} / \alpha_j. \quad (5.7)$$

This gives $v+1$ independent equations which determine c . Once c has been determined, $p_i(x)$, $0 \leq i \leq N$ are determined by (5.4). One can now determine $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_N$, the boundary probabilities, using (5.5). The equilibrium distribution is now completely determined.

Let us consider the special case $v = 1$. Equation (1) becomes

$$p_0'(x) = -\frac{\mu}{\eta} \left(-\frac{\rho_v}{\kappa} p_0(x) + \frac{1}{\kappa} p_1(x) \right)$$

$$p_1'(x) = -\frac{\mu}{\eta} \left(\frac{\rho_v}{\kappa-1} p_0(x) - \frac{1}{\kappa-1} p_1(x) \right) \quad (5.8)$$

$$\kappa p_0'(x) + (\kappa-1) p_1'(x) = 0,$$

hence,

$$\kappa p_0(x) + (\kappa-1) p_1(x) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_1(x) = -\frac{\kappa}{\kappa-1} p_0(x).$$

Substitution into (8) yields

$$p_0'(x) = -\frac{\mu}{\eta} \left(\frac{-\rho_v}{\kappa} - \frac{1}{\kappa-1} \right) p_0(x) = -\frac{\mu}{\eta} \frac{(1-\rho)(1+\rho_v)}{\rho_d(1-\rho_d)} p_0(x)$$

$$\therefore p_0(x) = c \exp \left[\frac{\mu}{\eta} \frac{(1-\rho)(1+\rho_v)}{\rho_d(1-\rho_d)} x \right] \quad (5.9)$$

$$p_1(x) = c \frac{1-\rho_d}{\rho_d} \exp \left[-\frac{\mu}{\eta} \frac{(1-\rho)(1+\rho_v)}{\rho_d(1-\rho_d)} x \right]$$

Now

$$\int_0^{\infty} p_1(x) dx = \frac{\rho_v}{1+\rho_v}$$

thus

$$\frac{\rho_v}{1+\rho_v} = c \frac{(1-\rho_d)}{\rho_d} \frac{\eta}{\mu} \frac{\rho_d(1-\rho_d)}{(1-\rho)(1+\rho_v)} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \frac{\rho_v(1-\rho)}{(1-\rho_d)^2} \frac{\mu}{\eta} \quad (5.10)$$

It is then possible to determine π_0 by means of the relation

$$\pi_0 = \frac{1}{1+\rho_v} - \int_0^{\infty} p_0(x) dx$$

Finally we find the marginal queue length distribution in a simple explicit form

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu}{\eta} \frac{\rho_v(1-\rho)}{(1-\rho_d)^2 \rho_d} \exp \left[-\frac{\mu}{\eta} \frac{(1-\rho)(1+\rho_v)}{\rho_d(1-\rho_d)} x \right] & x > 0 \\ \frac{1-\rho}{1-\rho_d} & x = 0 \end{cases} \quad (5.11)$$

The mean queue length is given by

$$E(Q) = \left(\frac{\eta}{\mu} \right) \frac{\rho_d \rho_v}{(1-\rho)(1+\rho_v)^2} \quad \rho < 1. \quad (5.12)$$

6. GENERALIZATIONS

The preceding analysis giving the data queue length can be carried out in greater generality. One may wish to view voice transmissions as a stream of alternating bursts and dead times. At any time, t , a voice customer assigned to a particular channel will be in one of two states: active transmission or inactive. During an inactive period the channel could be used for data transmissions. Such a strategy could greatly increase the data capacity, or reduce the data queue length, or both. One might assume a voice user moves between the active and inactive states in a Markovian way. As a result, one can define voice states $\{1, \dots, M\}$ and a continuous time Markov chain with generator Q describing the movement among these states. For each voice state i the number of channels available for data transmission can be found, and a rate of increase or decrease in the data queue length determined. Let that rate be denoted by r_i , and assume that the voice states are labelled in such a way that $r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_M$. We would assume there is a state I for which $r_I < 0 < r_{I+1}$. Up and down states can now be defined. The analysis carried out in previous sections can be shown to hold for this more general situation. The expression μQ^* must be replaced by Q , while the expression

$$\eta \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\kappa} \\ \frac{1}{\kappa-1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\kappa-v} \end{pmatrix}$$

must be replaced by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{r_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{r_N} \end{pmatrix} .$$

It follows that first passage times, queue lengths, and busy period lengths can be determined for this more general problem.

A second generalization involves a voice limitation procedure. One way to prevent the buildup of very long data queues is to increase the number of voice channels. This can be accomplished by providing lower transmission rates on certain voice channels, for example, one might provide 10 8kBPS channels; however, when 8 of those are in use one might divide the remaining 2 into 4 2kBPS channels. This results in lower quality transmission but less delay. Again this situation can be modelled by assuming various voice states $\{1, \dots, N\}$ and movement among them according to a Markov chain with generator Q . Each voice state determines a data queue rate r_i . Again the preceding analysis can be applied directly to this case. One can therefore study the tradeoffs between data queue length (and delays), voice blocking probabilities, and voice transmission quality from formulas in this paper.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Barbacci, M. R. and Oakley, J. D. (1976). "The integration of Circuit and Packet Switching Networks Toward a SENNET Implementation," 15th NBS-ACM Annual Technique Symposium.

Bhat, U. N. and Fischer, M.J. (1976). "Multichannel Queueing Systems with Heterogeneous Classes of Arrivals," Naval Research Logistics Quarterly 23

Chang, Lih-Hsing (1977). "Analysis of Integrated Voice and Data Communication Network," Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Electrical Engineering, Carnegie-Mellon University, November.

Coviello, G. and Vena, P.A. (1975). "Integration of Circuit/Packet Switching in a SENET (Slotted Envelop NETWORK) Concept," National Telecommunications Conference, New Orleans, December, pp. 42-12 to 42-17.

Fischer, M. J. (1977a). "A Queueing Analysis of an Integrated Telecommunications System with Priorities," INFOR 15,

Fischer, M. J. (1977b). "Performance of Data Traffic in an Integrated Circuit- and Packet-Switched Multiplex Structure," DCA Technical Report.

Fischer, M. J. and Harris, T.C. (1976). "A Model for Evaluating the Performance of an Integrated Circuit- and Packet-Switched Multiplex Structure," IEEE Trans. on Comm., Com-24, February.

Halfin, S. (1972). "Steady-state Distribution for the Buffer Content of an M/G/1 Queue With Varying Service Rate," SIAM J. Appl. Math., 356-363.

Halfin, S. and Segal, M. (1972). "A Priority Queueing Model for a Mixture of Two Types of Customers," SIAM J. Appl. Math., 369-379.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	Number of Copies
Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314	2
Library Code Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940	2
Library Code 55 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, Ca. 93940	1
Dean of Research Code 012A Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, Ca. 93940	1
Attn: A. Andrus, Code 55	1
D. Gaver, Code 55	25
D. Barr, Code 55	1
P. A. Jacobs, Code 55	1
P. A. W. Lewis, Code 55	1
P. Milch, Code 55	1
R. Richards, Code 55	1
M. G. Sovereign, Code 55	1
R. J. Stampfel, Code 55	1
R. R. Read, Code 55	1
J. Wozencraft, Code 74	1
Mr. Peter Badgley ONR Headquarters, Code 102B 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217	1
Dr. James S. Bailey, Director Geography Programs, Department of the Navy ONR Arlington, VA 93940	1
Prof. J. Lehoczky Dept. of Statistics Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA. 15213	5

DISTRIBUTION LIST

	No. of Copies
STATISTICS AND PROBABILITY PROGRAM OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH CODE 436 ARLINGTON VA	1
	22217
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH NEW YORK AREA OFFICE 715 BROADWAY - 5TH FLOOR ATTN: CR. ROGER GRAFTON NEW YORK, NY	1
	10003
DIRECTOR OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH BRANCH OFF 536 SOUTH CLARK STREET ATTN: DEPUTY AND CHIEF SCIENTIST CHICAGO, IL	1
	60605
LIBRARY NAVAL OCEAN SYSTEMS CENTER SAN DIEGO CA	1
	92152
NAVY LIBRARY NATIONAL SPACE TECHNOLOGY LAB ATTN: NAVY LIBRARIAN BAY ST. LOUIS MS	1
	39522
NAVAL ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS COMMAND NAVELEX 320 NATIONAL CENTER NO. 1 ARLINGTON VA	1
	20360
DIRECTOR NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY ATTN: LIBRARY (ONRL) CODE 2026 WASHINGTON, D.C.	1
	20375
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY WASHINGTON, D. C.	1
	20375

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

PROF. C. R. BAKER
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHAPEL HILL,
NORTH CAROLINA
27514

1

PROF. R. E. DECHOFER
DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH
CORNELL UNIVERSITY
ITHACA
NEW YORK 14850

1

PROF. N. J. BERSHAD
SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
IRVINE,
CALIFORNIA
92664

1

P. J. BICKEL
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

1

94720

PROF. F. W. BLOCK
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH
PITTSBURGH
PA

1

15260

PROF. JOSEPH BLUM
DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS
AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
THE AMERICAN UNIVERSITY
WASHINGTON
DC

1

20016

PROF. R. A. BRADLEY
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY

1

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32306

PROF. R. E. BARLOW
OPERATIONS RESEARCH CENTER
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY
CALIFORNIA 94720

1

MR. C. N. BENNETT
NAVAL COASTAL SYSTEMS LABORATORY
CODE P761
PANAMA CITY,
FLORIDA
32401

1

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

PROF. L. N. PHAT
COMPUTER SCIENCE / OPERATIONS
RESEARCH CENTER
SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY
DALLAS
TEXAS 75275

1

PROF. W. R. ELISCHKE
DEPT. OF QUANTITATIVE
BUSINESS ANALYSIS
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90007

1

DR. DERRILL J. BORDELON
NAVAL UNDERWATER SYSTEMS CENTER
CODE 21
NEWPORT
RI 02840

1

J. E. BOYER JR
DEPT. OF STATISTICS
SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY
DALLAS
TX 75275

1

DR. J. CHANDRA
U. S. ARMY RESEARCH
P. O. BOX 12211
RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK
NORTH CAROLINA
27706

1

PROF. H. CHERNOFF
DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS
MASS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
CAMBRIDGE,
MASSACHUSETTS 02139

1

PROF. C. GERMAN
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
AND ENGINEERING MECHANICS
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY
NEW YORK
NEW YORK 10027

1

PROF. R. L. DISNEY
VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE
AND STATE UNIVERSITY
DEPT. OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING
AND OPERATIONS RESEARCH
BLACKSBURG, VA 24061

1

DISTRIBUTION LIST

MR. GENE H. GLEISSNER 1
APPLIED MATHEMATICS LABORATORY
DAVID TAGLIO NAVAL SHIP RESEARCH
AND DEVELOPMENT CENTER
BETHESDA
MD 20084

PROF. S. S. GUPTA 1
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
PURDUE UNIVERSITY
LAFAYETTE
INDIANA 47907

PROF. C. L. HANSEN 1
DEPT. OF MATH. SCIENCES
STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK,
BINGHAMTON
BINGHAMTON
NY 13901

Prof. M. J. Hinich 1
Dept. of Economics
Virginia Polytechnic Institute
and State University
Blacksburg, VA 24061

Dr. D. Depriest, 1
ONR, Code 1028
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington, VA 22217

Prof. J. L. Whitehouse 1
Dept. of Industrial Engineering
Lehigh University
Bethlehem, PA 18015

Prof. M. Zia-Hasan 1
Dept. of Ind. & Sys. Eng.
Illinois Institute of Technology
Chicago, IL 60616

Prof. S. Zacks 1
Statistics Dept.
Virginia Polytechnic Inst.
Blacksburg, VA 24061

Head. Math. Sci. Section 1
National Science Foundation
1800 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20550

	No. of Copies
Dr. H. Giffels Physics Lab., IT P.O. Box 80000 2509 DG, The Hague The Netherlands	1
DR. R. ELASHOFF BIOCHEMISTRY UNIV. OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES CALIFORNIA	1
90024	
PROF. GEORGE W. FISHMAN UNIV. OF NORTH CAROLINA CUR. IN PHYSICS. ANALYSIS PHYSICS DEPT. CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA	1
20742	
DR. R. GANNETT BELL TELEPHONE LAB HOLMDEL, N. J.	1
07733	
DR. A. J. GANNETT CHIEF, DIV. 4 DIV. 4, U.S. AIR FORCE U.S. AIR FORCE WASHINGTON, D.C.	1
20234	
DR. H. J. GANNETT 53 R. 100, N. J. NASSAU COUNTY, N. J.	1
H 589	
WEST GERMANY	
DR. P. J. GANNETT DEPT. OF MED. CLEMSON UNIV. CLEMSON SOUTH CAROLINA	1
29631	
Dr. J. A. Hooke Bell Telephone Lab Whippany, New Jersey	1
07733	
Dr. Robert Hooke Box 1982 Pinehurst, N.C.	1
28374	

DR. D. L. IGLEHART
DEPT. OF C.E.
STANFORD UNIV.
STANFORD
CALIFORNIA

1

94305

Dr. D. Trizna, Mail Code 5323
Naval Research Lab
Washington, D.C. 20375

1

Dr. E. J. Wegman,
ONR, Cdoe 436
Arlington, VA 22217

1

DR. H. KOBAYASHI
IBM
VERMONT HEIGHTS
NEW YORK

1

10598

DR. JOHN LEHOCZKY
STATISTICS DEPARTMENT
CARNEGIE-MELLON UNIVERSITY
PITTSBURGH
PENNSYLVANIA

1

15213

DR. A. LEMOINE
1020 GUINCA ST.
FALO ALTC,
CALIFORNIA

1

94301

DR. J. MACCUSEN
UNIV. OF CALIF.
LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA

1

90024

FRCE. K. T. MARSHALL
DEFT. OF CE
NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL
MONTEREY
CALIFORNIA

1

93940

DR. M. MAZUMCAR
MATH. DEPT.
ESTINGHOUSE RES. LABS
CHURCHILL BCRF
PITTSBURGH
PENNSYLVANIA

1

15235

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

PROF. W. M. FIRSCH
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
NEW YORK UNIVERSITY
NEW YORK
NEW YORK 10453

1

PROF. J. E. KACANE
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
CARNEGIE-MELLON
PITTSBURGH,
PENNSYLVANIA
15213

1

DR. RICHARD LAU
DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH BRANCH OFF
1030 EAST GREEN STREET
PASADENA
CA

1

91101

DR. A. R. LAUFER
DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH BRANCH OFF
1030 EAST GREEN STREET
PASADENA
CA

1

91101

PROF. M. LEADBETTER
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHAPEL HILL
NORTH CAROLINA 27514

1

DR. J. S. LEE
J. S. LEE ASSOCIATES, INC.
2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY
SUITE 802
ARLINGTON
VA

1

22202

PROF. L. L. LEE
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE
AND STATE UNIVERSITY
BLACKSBURG
VA

1

24061

PROF. R. S. LEVENWORTH
DEPT. OF INDUSTRIAL AND SYSTEMS
ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA
GAINESVILLE,
FLORIDA 32611

1

DISTRIBUTION LIST

	No. of copies
PROF. G. LIEPERMAN STANFORD UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH STANFORD CALIFORNIA 94305	1
DR. JAMES R. MAAR NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY FORT MEADE, MARYLAND 20755	1
PROF. R. W. MAESEN DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI COLUMBIA MO	1
65201	
DR. N. R. MANN SCIENCE CENTER ROCKWELL INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION P.O. BOX 1085 THOUSAND OAKS, CALIFORNIA 91320	1
DR. W. H. MARLOW PROGRAM IN LOGISTICS THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY 707 22ND STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20037	1
PROF. E. MASRY DEPT. APPLIED PHYSICS AND INFORMATION SERVICE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LA JOLLA CALIFORNIA	1
92093	
DR. BRUCE J. MCCONALD SCIENTIFIC DIRECTOR SCIENTIFIC LIAISON GROUP OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH AMERICAN EMBASSY - TOKYO APO SAN FRANCISCO	1
96503	

	No. of copies
Dr. Leon F. McGinnis School of Ind. And Sys. Eng. Georgia Inst. of Tech. Atlanta, GA 30332	1
DR. D. R. MCNEIL DEPT. OF STATISTICS PRINCETON UNIV. PRINCETON NEW JERSEY	1
	08540
DR. F. MOSTELLER STAT. DEPT. HARVARD UNIV. CAMBRIDGE MASSACHUSETTS	1
	02139
DR. H. REISER IBM THOMAS J. WATSON RES. CTR. YORKTOWN HEIGHTS NEW YORK	1
	10598
DR. J. RICREAN DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS ROCKEFELLER UNIV. NEW YORK NEW YORK	1
	10021
DR. LINUS SCHRAGE UNIV. OF CHICAGO GRAD. SCHOOL OF BLS. 5826 GREENWOOD AVE. CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	1
	60637
Dr. Paul Schweitzer University of Rochester Rochester, N.Y. 14627	1
Dr. V. Srinivasan Graduate School of Business Stanford University Stanford, CA. 94305	1
Dr. Roy Welsch M.I.T. Sloan School Cambridge, MA 02139	1

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

DR. JAMES M. MYHRE
THE INSTITUTE OF DECISION SCIENCE
FOR BUSINESS AND PUBLIC POLICY
CLAREMONT MEN'S COLLEGE
CLAREMONT
CA 91711

1

MR. F. NISSELSCH
BUREAU OF THE CENSUS
ROOM 2025
FEDERAL BUILDING 3
WASHINGTON,
D. C. 2023

1

MISS B. S. ORLEANS
NAVAL SEA SYSTEMS COMMAND
(SEA 03F)
RM 10SC0
ARLINGTON VIRGINIA 20360

1

PROF. C. E. OWEN
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY
DALLAS
TEXAS
75222

1

Prof. E. Parzen
Statistical Science Division
Texas A & M University
College Station TX 77843

1

DR. A. PETRASOVITS
RCCM 207E, FCCC AND ERIG BLDG.
TUNNEY'S PASTURE
OTTAWA, ONTARIO K1A-CL2,
CANADA

1

PROF. S. L. PHOENIX
SIBLEY SCHOOL OF MECHANICAL AND
AEROSPACE ENGINEERING
CORNELL UNIVERSITY
ITHACA
NY 14850

1

DR. A. L. POWELL
DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH BRANCH OFF
405 SUMMER STREET
BOSTON
MA 02210

1

MR. F. R. FRICCI
CODE 224 OPERATIONAL TEST AND ONRS
EVALUATION FORCE (OPTVEFOR)
MCNELL
VIRGINIA
20360 37

1

DISTRIBUTION LIST	No. of Copies
PROF. M. L. PURI DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS P.O. BOX F INDIANA UNIVERSITY FOUNDATION BLOOMINGTON IN	1
47401	
PROF. H. ROBBINS DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10027	1
PROF. M. ROSENBLATT DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO LA JOLLA CALIFORNIA	1
92093	
PROF. S. M. ROSS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY CA	1
94727	
PROF. I. RUBIN SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND APPLIED SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024	1
PROF. I. R. SAVAGE DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS YALE UNIVERSITY NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT 06520	1
PROF. L. L. SCHARF JR DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY FT. COLLINS, COLORADO 80521	1
PROF. R. SERFLING DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 32306	1
PROF. W. R. SCHLOMAN DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY DALLAS, TEXAS 75222	1

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

PROF. C. C. SIEGMUND
DEPT. OF STATISTICS
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD
CA

1

94305

PROF. M. L. SEGOMAN
DEPT. OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING
POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE OF NEW YORK
BROOKLYN,
NEW YORK
11201

1

DR. A. L. SLAFKOSKY
SCIENTIFIC ADVISOR
COMMANDANT OF THE MARINE CORPS
WASHINGTON,
D. C.
20380

1

CR. C. E. SMITH
DESMATICS INC.
P.O. BOX 618
STATE COLLEGE
PENNSYLVANIA
16801

1

PROF. W. L. SMITH
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
CHAPEL HILL
NORTH CAROLINA 27514

1

Dr. H. J. Solomon
ONR
223/231 Old Marylebone Rd
London NW1 5TH, ENGLAND

1

MR. GLENN F. STAFFY
NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY
FORT MEADE,
MARYLAND 20755

1

Mr. J. Gallagher
Naval Underwater Systems Center
New London, CT

1

Dr. E. C. Monahan
Dept. of Oceanography
University College
Galway, Ireland

1

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

DR. R. M. STARK
STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCI.
UNIV. OF DELAWARE
NEWARK
DELAWARE

1

19711

PROF. RICHARD VANSLYKE
RES. ANALYSIS CORP.
BEECHWOOD
OLD TAPPEN ROAD
GLEN COVE, NEW YORK

1

11542

PROF. JOHN W. TUKEY
FINE HALL
PRINCETON UNIV.
PRINCETON
NEW JERSEY

1

08540

DR. THOMAS C. VARLEY
OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
CODE 424
ARLINGTON
VA

1

22217

PROF. G. WATSON
FINE HALL
PRINCETON UNIV.
PRINCETON
NEW JERSEY

1

08540

MR. DAVID A. SWICK
ADVANCED PROJECTS GROUP
CODE 8103
NAVAL RESEARCH LAB.
WASHINGTON
DC

1

20375

MR. WENDELL G. SYKES
ARTHUR C. LITTLE, INC.
ACORN PARK
CAMBRIDGE
MA

1

02140

PROF. J. R. THOMPSON
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE
RICE UNIVERSITY
HOUSTON,
TEXAS
77001

1

PROF. W. A. THOMPSON
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI
COLUMBIA,
MISSOURI
65201

1

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

PROF. F. A. TILLMAN
DEPT. OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING
KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY
MANHATTAN
KS

1

66506

PROF. J. W. TUKEY
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

1

PRINCETON, N. J. 08540

PROF. A. F. VEINOTT
DEPARTMENT OF OPERATIONS RESEARCH
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD
CALIFORNIA
94305

1

DANIEL H. WAGNER
STATION SQUARE ONE
FACILITY, PENNSYLVANIA
19301

1

PROF. GRACE WAHBA
DEPT. OF STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN
MADISON
WI

1

53706

PROF. K. T. HALLENUS
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,
CLEMSON UNIVERSITY
CLEMSON,
SOUTH CAROLINA 29631

1

PROF. BERNARD WIDICH
STANFORD ELECTRONICS LAB
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD
CA

1

94305

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH SAN FRANCISCO AREA OFFICE 760 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO CALIFORNIA 94102	1
TECHNICAL LIBRARY NAVAL ORDNANCE STATION INDIAN HEAD MARYLAND 20640	1
NAVAL SHIP ENGINEERING CENTER PHILADELPHIA DIVISION TECHNICAL LIBRARY PHILADELPHIA PENNSYLVANIA 19112	1
BUREAU OF NAVAL PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY TECHNICAL LIBRARY WASHINGTON D. C. 20370	1
PROF. M. AEDEL-FAHEED DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE NC 28223	1
PROF. T. W. ANDERSON DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS STANFORD UNIVERSITY STANFORD , CALIFORNIA 94305	1
PROF. F. J. ANSCOMBE DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS YALE UNIVERSITY NEW HAVEN CONNECTICUT 06520	1
PROF. L. A. ARCIA INSTITUTE OF INDUSTRIAL ADMINISTRATION UNION COLLEGE SCHENECTADY , NEW YORK 12308	1