


AD-A082 333

RENSSELAER POLYTECHNIC INST TROY NY DEPT OF MECHANIC--ETC F/G 20/2
ON THE TEMPERATURE DEPENDENCE OF THE VELOCITY OF SURFACE WAVES --ETC(U)
FEB 80 B K SINHA, H F TIERSTEN N00014-76-C-0368
TR-30 NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1 1 1
1 1 1
1 1 1



END
DATE
FILMED
4 80
DTIC

AD A 082333



12

LEVEL

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Troy, New York 12181

**ON THE TEMPERATURE DEPENDENCE OF THE VELOCITY
OF SURFACE WAVES IN QUARTZ**

by

B.K. Sinha and H.F. Tiersten

Office of Naval Research
Contract N00014-76-C-0368
Project NR 318-009
Technical Report No. 30

DTIC
ELECTE
S **D**
MAR 27 1980
A

February 1980

Distribution of this document is unlimited. Reproduction
in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the
United States Government.

DOC FILE COPY

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release
Distribution Unlimited

80 3 24 019



**Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Troy, New York 12181**

**ON THE TEMPERATURE DEPENDENCE OF THE VELOCITY
OF SURFACE WAVES IN QUARTZ**

by

B.K. Sinha and H.F. Tiersten

Office of Naval Research
Contract N00014-76-C-0368
Project NR 318-009
Technical Report No. 30

February 1980

Distribution of this document is unlimited. Reproduction
in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the
United States Government.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release,
Distribution Unlimited

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER No. 30	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 9
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) ON THE TEMPERATURE DEPENDENCE OF THE VELOCITY OF SURFACE WAVES IN QUARTZ		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report
7. AUTHOR(s) B.K./Sinha and H.F./Tiersten		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Mechanical Engineering, Aeronautical Engineering & Mechanics Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York 12181		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-76-C-0368
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Physics Branch		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR 318-009
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) 12 31		12. REPORT DATE Feb 1980
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 27
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		16a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Distribution of this document is unlimited. 14 TR-30		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Surface Waves Thermal Strains Biasing States Piezoelectricity Temperature Coefficients Perturbations Velocity Changes Delay Changes Quartz Temperature Dependence Thermal Bias Natural Velocity Thermoelasticity Nonlinear Elasticity		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The first temperature derivatives of the fundamental elastic constants of quartz are employed along with the thermally-induced biasing strains in the equation for the first perturbation of the eigenvalue for the linear electroelastic equations for small fields superposed on a bias to calculate the resulting change in surface wave velocity with temperature. Since the description employed is referred to a fixed reference state, the geometry does not change and the temperature coefficient of velocity is the negative		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 68 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-LF-014-6601

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

409359

SW

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

of the temperature coefficient of delay. In all earlier work a variable temperature dependent geometric state was considered, but the attendant shearing or skewing of the coordinate axes was not properly included. The temperature dependence of the velocity of surface waves propagating in various directions on various cuts of quartz is obtained. For cases in which measurements are available the calculations are shown to be in substantially better agreement with the measurements than previous calculations.

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

ON THE TEMPERATURE DEPENDENCE OF THE VELOCITY OF SURFACE WAVES IN QUARTZ

B.K. Sinha*
Schlumberger-Doll Research Center
P.O. Box 307
Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877

H.F. Tiersten
Department of Mechanical Engineering,
Aeronautical Engineering & Mechanics
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Troy, New York 12181

ABSTRACT

The first temperature derivatives of the fundamental elastic constants of quartz are employed along with the thermally-induced biasing strains in the equation for the first perturbation of the eigenvalue for the linear electroelastic equations for small fields superposed on a bias to calculate the resulting change in surface wave velocity with temperature. Since the description employed is referred to a fixed reference state, the geometry does not change and the temperature coefficient of velocity is the negative of the temperature coefficient of delay. In all earlier work a variable temperature dependent geometric state was considered, but the attendant shearing or skewing of the coordinate axes was not properly included. The temperature dependence of the velocity of surface waves propagating in various directions on various cuts of quartz is obtained. For cases in which measurements are available the calculations are shown to be in substantially better agreement with the measurements than previous calculations.

Accession For

NTIS GRA&I

EDC TAB

1960-1969

1970-1979

1980-1989

1990-1999

2000-2009

2010-2019

2020-2029

2030-2039

2040-2049

2050-2059

2060-2069

2070-2079

2080-2089

2090-2099

2100-2109

2110-2119

2120-2129

2130-2139

2140-2149

2150-2159

2160-2169

2170-2179

2180-2189

2190-2199

2200-2209

2210-2219

2220-2229

2230-2239

2240-2249

2250-2259

2260-2269

2270-2279

2280-2289

2290-2299

2300-2309

2310-2319

2320-2329

2330-2339

2340-2349

2350-2359

2360-2369

2370-2379

2380-2389

2390-2399

2400-2409

2410-2419

2420-2429

2430-2439

2440-2449

2450-2459

2460-2469

2470-2479

2480-2489

2490-2499

2500-2509

2510-2519

2520-2529

2530-2539

2540-2549

2550-2559

2560-2569

2570-2579

2580-2589

2590-2599

2600-2609

2610-2619

2620-2629

2630-2639

2640-2649

2650-2659

2660-2669

2670-2679

2680-2689

2690-2699

2700-2709

2710-2719

2720-2729

2730-2739

2740-2749

2750-2759

2760-2769

2770-2779

2780-2789

2790-2799

2800-2809

2810-2819

2820-2829

2830-2839

2840-2849

2850-2859

2860-2869

2870-2879

2880-2889

2890-2899

2900-2909

2910-2919

2920-2929

2930-2939

2940-2949

2950-2959

2960-2969

2970-2979

2980-2989

2990-2999

3000-3009

3010-3019

3020-3029

3030-3039

3040-3049

3050-3059

3060-3069

3070-3079

3080-3089

3090-3099

3100-3109

3110-3119

3120-3129

3130-3139

3140-3149

3150-3159

3160-3169

3170-3179

3180-3189

3190-3199

3200-3209

3210-3219

3220-3229

3230-3239

3240-3249

3250-3259

3260-3269

3270-3279

3280-3289

3290-3299

3300-3309

3310-3319

3320-3329

3330-3339

3340-3349

3350-3359

3360-3369

3370-3379

3380-3389

3390-3399

3400-3409

3410-3419

3420-3429

3430-3439

3440-3449

3450-3459

3460-3469

3470-3479

3480-3489

3490-3499

3500-3509

3510-3519

3520-3529

3530-3539

3540-3549

3550-3559

3560-3569

3570-3579

3580-3589

3590-3599

3600-3609

3610-3619

3620-3629

3630-3639

3640-3649

3650-3659

3660-3669

3670-3679

3680-3689

3690-3699

3700-3709

3710-3719

3720-3729

3730-3739

3740-3749

3750-3759

3760-3769

3770-3779

3780-3789

3790-3799

3800-3809

3810-3819

3820-3829

3830-3839

3840-3849

3850-3859

3860-3869

3870-3879

3880-3889

3890-3899

3900-3909

3910-3919

3920-3929

3930-3939

3940-3949

3950-3959

3960-3969

3970-3979

3980-3989

3990-3999

4000-4009

4010-4019

4020-4029

4030-4039

4040-4049

4050-4059

4060-4069

4070-4079

4080-4089

4090-4099

4100-4109

4110-4119

4120-4129

4130-4139

4140-4149

4150-4159

4160-4169

4170-4179

4180-4189

4190-4199

4200-4209

4210-4219

4220-4229

4230-4239

4240-4249

4250-4259

4260-4269

4270-4279

4280-4289

4290-4299

4300-4309

4310-4319

4320-4329

4330-4339

4340-4349

4350-4359

4360-4369

4370-4379

4380-4389

4390-4399

4400-4409

4410-4419

4420-4429

4430-4439

4440-4449

4450-4459

4460-4469

4470-4479

4480-4489

4490-4499

4500-4509

4510-4519

4520-4529

4530-4539

4540-4549

4550-4559

4560-4569

4570-4579

4580-4589

4590-4599

4600-4609

4610-4619

4620-4629

4630-4639

4640-4649

4650-4659

4660-4669

4670-4679

4680-4689

4690-4699

4700-4709

4710-4719

4720-4729

4730-4739

4740-4749

4750-4759

4760-4769

4770-4779

4780-4789

4790-4799

4800-4809

4810-4819

4820-4829

4830-4839

4840-4849

4850-4859

4860-48

* Work performed while at Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

1. Introduction

Previous work^{1,2} on the temperature dependence of the velocity of surface waves in quartz has employed the temperature derivatives of the effective elastic constants of quartz, which are referred to the variable temperature dependent intermediate position rather than the fixed reference position, to which the fundamental elastic constants are referred. Not only does the intermediate coordinate system extend or contract under a temperature change, but it shears or skews as well. This shearing or skewing of the axes was omitted in the original determination³ of the temperature derivatives of the effective elastic constants. Although, in the existing treatments^{1,2} of the temperature dependence of surface wave velocity, the change in density and path length were properly included, the shearing or skewing of the coordinate axes was omitted.

Recently, the first temperature derivatives of the fundamental elastic constants of quartz were determined⁴ from the original data³ from which the temperature derivatives of the effective constants had been obtained³. Also, a perturbation analysis of the linear electroelastic equations for small fields superposed on a bias⁵ has been performed⁶ and the equation for the first perturbation of the eigenvalue has been obtained. The aforementioned first temperature derivatives of the fundamental elastic constants of quartz are employed along with the thermally-induced biasing strains in the equation for the first perturbation of the eigenvalue⁶ to calculate the resulting change in surface wave velocity with temperature.

Since the description employed is referred to the fixed reference state at the reference temperature, the mass density and geometry do not change and the temperature coefficient of natural velocity, which we determine, is the negative of the temperature coefficient of delay. The temperature dependence

of the actual, in addition to that of the natural, velocity of surface waves on a number of cuts of quartz is obtained and compared with the previous calculations as well as measured values. In addition, the temperature coefficient of delay and the associated power flow angle are calculated as a function of propagation direction for two interesting orientations of quartz substrates. All results obtained here are limited to linear behavior with temperature because only the first temperature derivatives of the fundamental elastic constants of quartz are known⁴. As noted in an earlier work⁷ the surface wave velocity can also be obtained simply by solving the appropriate linear surface wave boundary value problem in the usual manner at both the reference temperature and present temperature when the temperature dependent constants have been determined at the present temperature. The perturbation procedure is being employed here because it enables the change in surface wave velocity to be calculated directly, thereby resulting in greater accuracy for the same number of significant figures and a substantial reduction in computer time.

2. Linear Electroelastic Equations for Small Fields Superposed on a Thermally Induced Bias

The linear electroelastic equations for small fields superposed on a bias may be written in the form^{5,6}

$$\tilde{\kappa}_{LY,L} = \rho^0 u_Y, \quad \tilde{\beta}_{L,L} = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where, since only mechanical nonlinearities are of interest here,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\kappa}_{LY} &= G_{LYMV} u_{V,M} + e_{MLY} \tilde{\phi}_{,M}, \\ \tilde{\beta}_L &= e_{LMV} u_{V,M} - \epsilon_{LM} \tilde{\phi}_{,M}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G_{LYMV} &= c_{LYMV} + \hat{c}_{LYMV} + (dc_{LYMV}/dT)(T - T_0), \\ \hat{c}_{LYMV} &= T_{LM}^1 \delta_{YV} + c_{LYMVAB} E_{AB}^1 + c_{LYKM} w_{V,K} + c_{LKMV} w_{Y,K}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Equations (2.1) constitute the stress equations of motion and charge equation of electrostatics referred to the position coordinates of material points before the thermally induced static deformation is applied, which are called reference coordinates and are denoted by X_M . Equations (2.2) are the linear electroelastic constitutive equations and Eqs. (2.3) contain the definitions associated with the effective elastic coefficients appearing in (2.2). In (2.1) - (2.3) $\tilde{K}_{LY}$, $\tilde{D}_L$ and u_Y denote the components of the small field Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor which is asymmetric, reference electric displacement vector, and mechanical displacement vector, respectively; ρ^0 and $\tilde{\varphi}$ denote the reference mass density and small field electric potential, respectively, c_{LYMV} and c_{LYMVAB} denote the second and third order elastic constants, respectively, e_{LMV} and ϵ_{LM} denote the piezoelectric and dielectric constants, respectively, and T_0 and T denote the reference and present temperature, respectively.

In this description the present position y of material points is related to the reference position X by

$$y(X_L, t) = \tilde{X} + \tilde{w}(X_L) + u(X_L, t), \quad (2.4)$$

where $\tilde{w}$ denotes the displacement due to the thermally induced static deformation. In (2.3) T_{LM}^1 and E_{AB}^1 denote the components of the thermally induced static biasing stress and strain, respectively, and for small strains and changes in temperature from the reference temperature T_0 , we have⁴

$$E_{AB}^1 = \frac{1}{2} (w_{A,B} + w_{B,A}), \quad (2.5)$$

$$T_{LM}^1 = c_{LMRS}^1 E_{RS}^1 - v_{LM} (T - T_0), \quad (2.6)$$

where v_{LM} denotes the thermoelastic coupling coefficients, which are related to the usual coefficients of linear thermal expansion α_{JK} by

$$v_{LM} = c_{LMJK} \alpha_{JK}. \quad (2.7)$$

The upper cycle notation for many dynamic variables and the capital Latin and lower case Greek index notation are being employed for consistency with the notation of Refs. 5 and 6, as is the remainder of the notation in this section. The fact that the capital Latin and lower case Greek indices refer to the reference and intermediate position coordinates, respectively, is not important here and in this work they may be used interchangeably. We employ Cartesian tensor notation, the summation convention for repeated tensor indices, the convention that a comma followed by a Latin index denotes partial differentiation with respect to reference coordinates and the dot notation for differentiation with respect to time. Since we are considering a homogeneous stress free biasing state resulting from a homogeneous temperature change $(T - T_0)$, from (2.6) and (2.7) we obtain

$$E_{RS}^1 = \alpha_{RS} (T - T_0). \quad (2.8)$$

Since it has been shown⁴ that a static homogeneous infinitesimal rigid rotation has no influence on any results, without any loss in generality we may choose the homogeneous rigid rotation to vanish and substitute from (2.8) into (2.3)₂ to obtain

$$\hat{c}_{LYMV} = (c_{LYMVAB} \alpha_{AB} + c_{LYKM} \alpha_{VK} + c_{LKMV} \alpha_{VK}) (T - T_0). \quad (2.9)$$

3. Perturbation Equations

For purely elastic nonlinearities, which are the only ones of interest here, the equation for the first perturbation of the eigenvalue obtained from the perturbation analysis⁸ mentioned in the Introduction may be written in the form

$$\Delta V/V_1 = H_1/2\xi^2 V_1^2, \quad V = V_1 + \Delta V, \quad (3.1)$$

where V_1 and V are the unperturbed and perturbed surface wave velocities at T_0 and T , respectively, ξ is the unperturbed propagation wavenumber and

$$H_1 = + \int_R \tilde{K}_{LY}^n g_{Y,L} dv, \quad (3.2)$$

where R is the volume enclosed in a wavelength in a unit length of the surface wave from the top of the substrate down. In (3.2) g_Y denotes the normalized mechanical displacement vector, and $\tilde{K}_{LY}^n$ denotes the portion of the Piola-Kirchhoff stress tensor resulting from the biasing state and the change in the elastic constants $\Delta c_{2LYM\alpha}$ with temperature in the presence of the g_Y , and is given by

$$\tilde{K}_{LY}^n = (\hat{c}_{LYM\alpha} + \Delta c_{2LYM\alpha}) g_{\alpha,M}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\Delta c_{2LYM\alpha} = (dc_{2LYM\alpha}/dT) (T - T_0), \quad (3.4)$$

and the $dc_{2LYM\alpha}/dT$ are the first temperature derivatives of the fundamental elastic constants of quartz, which are tabulated in Ref. 4. The normalized eigensolution g_Y and $\tilde{f}$ is defined by

$$g_Y = \frac{u_Y}{N}, \quad \tilde{f} = \frac{\tilde{f}}{N}, \quad N^2 = \int_V \rho^0 u_Y u_Y dv, \quad (3.5)$$

where u_Y and $\tilde{\varphi}$ are the mechanical displacement and electric potential, respectively, which satisfy the equations of linear piezoelectricity, i.e., Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2), with (2.3) and (2.9), at $T=T_0$ subject to the boundary conditions

$$N_L \tilde{K}_{LY} = 0, -N_L \tilde{D}_L = \epsilon_0 \xi \tilde{\varphi}, \text{ at } N_L X_L = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

at $T=T_0$, the first of which, with (2.2)₁, (2.3) and (2.9), takes the form

$$N_L (c_{LYMV}^{(m)} u_{Y,M} + e_{MLY}^{(m)} \tilde{\varphi}_{,M}) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

The second equation in (3.6) is a consequence⁹ of Laplace's equation in free space, the two electrical continuity conditions at the surface of the substrate and the form of the surface wave solution appearing in (4.1). In (3.6) and (3.7) N_L denotes the unit normal to the free surface of the substrate at $T=T_0$ and ϵ_0 is the permittivity of free space.

4. Temperature Dependence of Surface Wave Velocity

A schematic diagram of the free surface of the substrate at the reference temperature $T=T_0$ is shown in Fig.1. The solution for surface waves propagating in the X_T -direction may be written in the form

$$(u_Y, \tilde{\varphi}) = \sum_{m=1}^4 C^{(m)} (A_Y^{(m)}, B^{(m)}) e^{i\beta_m \xi X_Y} e^{i\xi(X_T - V_1 t)}, \quad (4.1)$$

where the unperturbed surface wave velocity V_1 at $T=T_0$ is determined numerically by trial and error so that (2.1) and (3.6), with (2.2), (2.3) and (2.9), at $T=T_0$ are satisfied¹⁰⁻¹². When V_1 is obtained the equations determine the β_m , $A_Y^{(m)}$, $B^{(m)}$ and $C^{(m)}$. Since the straight-crested surface wave solution depends only on the two coordinates X_T and X_Y , for integration over a wavelength Eq. (3.2) takes the somewhat reduced form

$$H_1 = - \int_0^\infty dx_\nu \int_{-\pi/\xi}^{\pi/\xi} dx_\tau \left[\tilde{K}_{\tau\tau}^n g_{\tau,\tau} + \tilde{K}_{\tau\nu}^n g_{\nu,\tau} + \tilde{K}_{\tau\sigma}^n g_{\sigma,\tau} + \tilde{K}_{\nu\tau}^n g_{\tau,\nu} + \tilde{K}_{\nu\nu}^n g_{\nu,\nu} + \tilde{K}_{\nu\sigma}^n g_{\sigma,\nu} \right], \quad (4.2)$$

where x_σ denotes the axis normal to x_τ and x_ν and in (4.2) we have introduced the convention that repeated Greek indices are not to be summed. For this case of surface waves the normalization integral in (3.5)₃ takes the form

$$N^2 = \rho^0 \int_0^\infty dx_\nu \int_{-\pi/\xi}^{\pi/\xi} u_Y u_Y dx_\tau = \frac{\rho^0 \pi i}{\xi^2} \sum_{m=1}^4 \sum_{n=1}^4 \frac{C^{(m)} A_Y^{(m)} C^{(n)*} A_Y^{(n)*}}{(\beta_m - \beta_n^*)}, \quad (4.3)$$

where the * denotes complex conjugate. The substitution of (4.1) and (4.3) into (3.5)₁ which, with (2.9) and (3.4), is then substituted into (3.3), which is then substituted along with (3.5)₁ into (4.2), the integration of which yields the expression for H_1 , which enables the change in surface wave velocity to be calculated from (3.1). The resulting expression for H_1 is extremely lengthy and not terribly revealing. Consequently, we do not bother to present it here. Nevertheless, it is clear from (4.2) and (3.3), with (3.4) and (2.9), along with (3.5)₁, (4.1) and (4.3) that the resulting expression for H_1 is linear in $(T - T_0)$, depends on the second¹³ and third¹⁴ order elastic constants, the coefficients of linear expansion¹⁵ and the recently determined⁴ first temperature derivatives of the fundamental elastic constants of quartz, as well as on the known surface wave solution at $T = T_0$, which depends on the linear piezoelectric constants¹³.

The change in velocity ΔV determined from (3.1) is the change in natural¹⁶ velocity, which is related to the measured unchanged geometry at $T = T_0$. Since it is not feasible to continually measure the changing lengths with temperature and time intervals are usually measured rather than the

actual velocity of the wave, the natural velocity¹⁶ is the most purposeful one to define. However, since previous workers have employed the change in actual velocity calculated from the measurements, we will calculate the change in actual velocity from the change in natural velocity. The relation between them is¹⁷

$$\Delta V_a/V_1 = \Delta V/V_1 + E_{TT}^1, \quad \tau \text{ no sum}, \quad (4.4)$$

where the repeated Greek indices in (4.4) are not to be summed and ΔV_a is the change in actual velocity as defined in the Appendix. Since reference coordinates and natural velocity are employed here, the geometry remains fixed and the temperature coefficient of delay (TCD) is given by

$$TCD = \Delta\tau/\tau (T - T_0) = -\Delta V/V_1 (T - T_0), \quad (4.5)$$

where τ is the delay time. Equation (4.5) shows that when reference coordinates and natural velocity are employed, the temperature coefficient of delay is simply the negative of the temperature coefficient of velocity. Substituting from (4.4) into (4.5) and employing (2.8), we obtain

$$TCD = -\Delta V_a/V_1 (T - T_0) + \alpha_{TT}, \quad \tau \text{ no sum}, \quad (4.6)$$

which is the well-known usual relation between the TCD and the temperature coefficient of actual velocity referred to the variable temperature dependent intermediate configuration of the crystal.

Calculations have been performed for various propagation directions on various orientations of quartz substrates and the results are plotted in Figs. 2-8. Figures 2 and 3 show the TCD and $\Delta V_a/V_1 (T - T_0)$ as a function of propagation direction for surface waves on AT-cut and AC-cut quartz substrates, respectively, at 25°C. The dotted curve shows the average of the calculated values at 0°C and 50°C from Ref. 1 and the circles are the average of the experimental values at 0°C and 50°C also from Ref. 1. It is clear from

Figs.2 and 3 that the calculations performed here using the proper nonlinearly based formalism are in substantially better agreement with the experimental data of Ref.1 than the calculations performed in Ref.1 using an incomplete linearly based description. Figure 4 shows the calculated TCD for surface waves propagating along the diagonal axis of rotated Y-cut quartz substrates as a function of rotation angle at 25°C. The dotted curve is obtained from the calculations of Ref.1 in the same manner as in Figs.2 and 3. Figures 5 and 6 show the calculated TCD as a function of propagation direction for surface waves on X-cut and Y-cut quartz substrates, respectively, at 25°C. The dotted curves are obtained from the calculations of Ref.1 in the same manner as in Figs.2 and 3. Figures 7 and 8 show the temperature coefficient of delay and associated power flow angle as a function of propagation direction for two particularly interesting orientations of doubly-rotated quartz substrates, namely those having¹⁸ $\varphi = 30^\circ$, $\theta = 34.25^\circ$ and $\varphi = 5^\circ$, $\theta = 47.7^\circ$, respectively.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank D. Stevens for help with the calculations.

This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research under Contract No. N00014-76-C-0368 and the National Science Foundation under Grant No. ENG 7827637.

REFERENCES

1. M.B. Schulz, B.J. Matsinger and M.G. Holland, "Temperature Dependence of Surface Acoustic Wave Velocity on α -Quartz," J. Appl. Physics, 41, 2755 (1970).
2. M.F. Lewis, G. Bell and E. Patterson, "Temperature Dependence of Surface Elastic Wave Delay Lines," J. Appl. Phys., 42, 476 (1971).
3. R. Bechmann, A.D. Ballato and T.J. Lukaszek, "Higher Order Temperature Coefficients of the Elastic Stiffnesses and Compliances of Alpha-Quartz," Proc. IRE, 50, 1812 (1962).
4. B.K. Sinha and H.F. Tiersten, "First Temperature Derivatives of the Fundamental Elastic Constants of Quartz," J. Appl. Phys., 50, 2732 (1979).
5. J.C. Baumhauer and H.F. Tiersten, "Nonlinear Electroelastic Equations for Small Fields Superposed on a Bias," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 54, 1017 (1973).
6. H.F. Tiersten, "Perturbation Theory for Linear Electroelastic Equations for Small Fields Superposed on a Bias," J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 64, 832 (1978).
7. B.K. Sinha and H.F. Tiersten, "Zero Temperature Coefficient of Delay for Surface Waves in Quartz," Appl. Phys. Lett., 34, 817 (1979).
8. Ref.6, Eqs.(3.22), (3.23)₁ and (3.24). The definition of ΔV has been changed here for consistency with other surface wave work and results in the sign change in Eq.(3.2) of this work.
9. B.K. Sinha and H.F. Tiersten, "Elastic and Piezoelectric Surface Waves Guided by Thin Films," J. Appl. Phys., 44, 4831 (1973). Sec.II.
10. J.J. Campbell and W.R. Jones, "A Method for Estimating Optimal Crystal Cuts and Propagation Directions for Excitation of Piezoelectric Surface Waves," IEEE Trans. Sonics Ultrason., SU-15, 209 (1968).

11. G.W. Farnell and E.L. Adler, "Elastic Wave Propagation in Thin Layers," in Physical Acoustics, Vol.9, W.P. Mason and R.N. Thurston Eds. (Academic, New York, 1972), pp.35-127.
12. B.K. Sinha and H.F. Tiersten, "Elastic and Piezoelectric Surface Waves Guided by Thin Films," J. Appl. Phys., 44, 4831 (1973).
13. R. Bechmann, "Elastic and Piezoelectric Constants of Alpha-Quartz," Phys. Rev., 110, 1060 (1958).
14. R.N. Thurston, H.J. McSkimin and P. Andreatch, Jr., "Third-Order Elastic Constants of Quartz," J. Appl. Phys., 37, 267 (1966).
15. F. Kohlrausch, Lehrbuch der prakt. Physik, 16. Aufl. 5. 158 (1930).
The coefficients of linear expansion from Ref.15 are used in Ref.3.
16. R.N. Thurston and K. Brugger, "Third-Order Elastic Constants and the Velocity of Small Amplitude Elastic Waves in Homogeneously Stressed Media," Phys. Rev. A, 133, 1604 (1964).
17. See Appendix.
18. H.F. Tiersten and B.K. Sinha, "Temperature Dependence of the Resonant Frequency of Electroded Doubly-Rotated Quartz Thickness-Mode Resonators," J. Appl. Phys. (to be published). Sec.7, between Eqs.(7.5) and (7.7).
19. R.N. Thurston, "Waves in Solids," in Encyclopedia of Physics, edited by C. Truesdell (Springer, Berlin, 1974), Vol.VIa/4, Sec.28.4.
20. Ref.19, Secs.3.3 and (3.5).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Figure 1 Schematic Diagram Showing the Free Surface of a Semi-Infinite Solid
- Figure 2 Temperature Coefficients of Actual Velocity and Delay for Surface Waves on AT-Cut Quartz as a Function of Propagation Direction Relative to the Digonal Axis at 25°C. The dotted curve shows the average of the calculated values at 0°C and 50°C from Ref.1. The circles are the average of the experimental values at 0°C and 50°C from Ref.1
- Figure 3 Temperature Coefficient of Actual Velocity and Delay for Surface Waves on AC-Cut Quartz as a Function of Propagation Direction Relative to the Digonal Axis at 25°C. The notation convention is the same as in Fig.2.
- Figure 4 Temperature Coefficient of Delay for Surface Waves Propagating along the Digonal Axis of Rotated Y-Cuts of Quartz as a Function of Rotation Angle at 25°C. The notation convention is the same as in Fig.2.
- Figure 5 Temperature Coefficient of Delay for Surface Waves on X-Cut Quartz as a Function of Propagation Direction Relative to the Y-Axis at 25°C. The notation convention is the same as in Fig.2.
- Figure 6 Temperature Coefficient of Delay for Surface Waves on Y-Cut Quartz as a Function of Propagation Direction Relative to the X-Axis at 25°C. The notation convention is the same as in Fig.2.
- Figure 7 Temperature Coefficient of Delay and Power Flow Angle as a Function of Propagation Direction Relative to the Axis of Second Rotation at 25°C for the Doubly-Rotated Cut of Quartz Having $\varphi = 5^\circ$, $\theta = 47.7^\circ$.
- Figure 8 Temperature Coefficient of Delay and Power Flow Angle as a Function of Propagation Direction Relative to the Axis of Second Rotation at 25°C for the Doubly-Rotated Cut of Quartz Having $\varphi = 30^\circ$, $\theta = 34.25^\circ$.
- Figure 9 Schematic Diagram Showing the Relation Between the Surface Normal and Surface Wave Propagation Direction and Wavelength in the Undeformed Reference State at Temperature T_0 and the Deformed State at Temperature T .




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7




Figure 8




Figure 9

APPENDIX

In this Appendix we derive the relation between the change in actual and change in natural velocity for surface waves. The procedure employed follows that of Thurston¹⁹ who treated plane waves. The treatment of the surface wave case is a bit more cumbersome because of the existence of the free surface. Consequently, in this Appendix the distinction between capital Latin and lower case Greek indices is important.

Let v_Y and σ_Y be unit vectors normal to the surface and in the direction of the phase fronts of the surface wave, respectively, both at temperature T , as shown in Fig.9. Clearly, then the propagation direction π_Y is given by

$$\pi = v \times \sigma, \quad \pi_Y = \epsilon_{Y\alpha\beta} v_\alpha \sigma_\beta, \quad (A1)$$

where $\epsilon_{Y\alpha\beta}$ is a skew-symmetric tensor. In the undeformed state at temperature T_0 the unit vectors v and σ were in the directions $\underline{N}$ and $\underline{S}$, respectively, in Fig.9. We denote the vector orthogonal to $\underline{N}$ and $\underline{S}$ by $\underline{P}$, which is in the natural propagation direction, and we write

$$\underline{P} = \underline{N} \times \underline{S}, \quad P_K = E_{KLM} N_L S_M, \quad (A2)$$

where E_{KLM} is a skew-symmetric tensor. In the deformation accompanying the temperature change from T_0 to T the line element in the direction $\underline{P}$ at T_0 takes the direction μ at T , which in general differs from the direction π . The intermediate directions v , σ and μ may be expressed in terms of the reference directions $\underline{N}$, $\underline{S}$ and $\underline{P}$ by means of the relations²⁰

$$v_Y = \frac{N_L X_{L,Y}}{B(N)}, \quad \sigma_Y = \frac{S_L X_{L,Y}}{C(S)}, \quad \mu_Y = \frac{P_L X_{L,Y}}{C(P)}, \quad (A3)$$

where

$$B^{(N)} = \sqrt{N_K C_{KM}^{-1} N_M}, \quad C^{(S)} = \sqrt{S_K C_{KM} S_M}, \quad C^{(P)} = \sqrt{P_K C_{KM} P_M}, \quad (A4)$$

and

$$C_{KM} = \xi_{\alpha, K} \xi_{\alpha, M}, \quad C_{KM}^{-1} = X_{K, \alpha} X_{M, \alpha}, \quad (A5)$$

where $\xi_Y = \xi_Y(X_R)$ is the intermediate coordinate at T and is related to the quantities appearing in (2.4) by

$$\xi_{\alpha} = \delta_{\alpha L} (X_L + w_L), \quad (A6)$$

where $\delta_{\alpha L}$ is a Kronecker delta, which is required for consistency with the notation employed⁵, and a comma followed by a Greek index, say β , denotes partial differentiation with respect to the intermediate coordinate ξ_{β} .

Substituting from (A3) into (A1), we obtain

$$\pi_Y = e_{Y\alpha\beta} N_L X_{L, \alpha} \xi_{\beta, K} S_K^{(N)/B} C^{(S)}, \quad (A7)$$

which is clearly different from (A3)₃.

Since the natural (or reference) wavelength L is in the direction $\underline{p}$, from deformation theory²⁰ and (A3)₃, we have

$$\ell_Y = \xi_{Y, K}^P L, \quad (A8)$$

where ℓ is the deformed length that was L when undeformed and

$$\ell = C^{(P)} L. \quad (A9)$$

Inasmuch as L is the undeformed (or reference) distance between two phase fronts, ℓ is the deformed line between the same two (deformed) phase fronts, which, however, is not normal to the phase fronts because

$$\underline{\mu} \cdot \underline{\sigma} \neq 0. \quad (A10)$$

Since the distance between two deformed phase fronts normal to the phase fronts is the actual wavelength λ , the component of $\underline{\mu}$ in the direction $\underline{\pi}$ is

the actual wavelength¹⁹, and we have

$$\lambda = \ell_u \cdot \pi. \quad (A11)$$

Substituting from (A7) and (A8) into (A11) and rearranging terms, we obtain

$$\lambda = L e_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \xi_{\beta,K}^{\xi} \gamma_{,M}^{\xi} S_{,M}^P S_{,K}^N X_{,L}^{\alpha} / B^{(N)} C^{(S)}. \quad (A12)$$

Utilizing the well-known relations²⁰

$$e_{\delta\beta\gamma} \xi_{\delta,R}^{\xi} \beta_{,K}^{\xi} \gamma_{,M}^{\xi} = J^1 E_{RKM}, \quad \xi_{\delta,R}^{\alpha} X_{,R,\alpha} = \delta_{\alpha\delta}, \quad (A13)$$

we obtain

$$e_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \xi_{\beta,K}^{\xi} \gamma_{,M}^{\xi} = J^1 X_{,R,\alpha} E_{RKM}, \quad (A14)$$

where, of course

$$J^1 = \det \xi_{\zeta,T}. \quad (A15)$$

Substituting from (A14) into (A12) and rearranging terms, we obtain

$$\lambda = L J^1 E_{RKM} S_{,K}^P X_{,M}^{\alpha} X_{,L}^{\alpha} N_{,L}^B / B^{(N)} C^{(S)}, \quad (A16)$$

which, with

$$\tilde{S} \times \tilde{P} = \tilde{N}, \quad E_{RKM} S_{,K}^P M^{\alpha} = N_{,R}^{\alpha}, \quad (A17)$$

and (A4)₁ and (A5)₂, yields

$$\lambda = L J^1 B^{(N)} / C^{(S)}, \quad (A18)$$

which holds for arbitrarily large deformations. Before reducing to infinitesimal deformations we record the well-known relation

$$E_{KM}^1 = \frac{1}{2} (C_{KM} - \delta_{KM}). \quad (A19)$$

When the deformation is infinitesimal and terms higher than linear in

$w_{L,R}$ may be ignored, from (A5), (A6) and (A19) we obtain

$$E_{KM}^1 = \frac{1}{2} (w_{K,M} + w_{M,K}), \quad (A20)$$

$$C_{KM} = \delta_{KM} + 2E_{KM}^1, \quad C_{KM}^{-1} = \delta_{KM} - 2E_{KM}^1. \quad (A21)$$

Substituting from (A21) into (A4), utilizing the fact that $\underline{N}$, $\underline{S}$ and $\underline{P}$ are unit vectors and making a Taylor expansion of the radicals while retaining only linear terms, we obtain

$$B^{(N)} = 1 - E^{(N)1}, \quad C^{(S)} = 1 + E^{(S)1}, \quad C^{(P)} = 1 + E^{(P)1}, \quad (A22)$$

where

$$E^{(N)1} = \underline{N}_K E_{KM}^1 \underline{N}_M, \quad E^{(S)1} = \underline{S}_K E_{KM}^1 \underline{S}_M, \quad E^{(P)1} = \underline{P}_K E_{KM}^1 \underline{P}_M, \quad (A23)$$

and $E^{(N)1}$, $E^{(S)1}$ and $E^{(P)1}$ are the extensional strains in the directions $\underline{N}$, $\underline{S}$ and $\underline{P}$, respectively. Similarly, substituting from (A6) into (A15), expanding, retaining only linear terms in $w_{L,R}$ and employing (A20), the invariance of the trace of the strain tensor and (A23), we obtain

$$J^1 = 1 + E^{(N)1} + E^{(S)1} + E^{(P)1}. \quad (A24)$$

Finally, substituting from (A22) and (A24) into (A18), making a Taylor expansion in the $E^{(S)1}$, multiplying out and retaining only linear terms in the $E^{(*)1}$, we obtain

$$\lambda = L(1 + E^{(P)1}), \quad (A25)$$

which is the equation that has always been employed without a complete demonstration of its validity. Since the frequency is the same whether the reference coordinates $\underline{X}$ at T_0 or the deformed coordinates $\underline{\xi}$ at T are employed, we have

$$v_a/\lambda = v/L, \quad (A26)$$

where V_a is the actual velocity and V is the natural velocity of the surface wave. Since

$$V_a = V_1 + \Delta V_a, \quad V = V_1 + \Delta V, \quad (A27)$$

where V_1 is the unperturbed velocity at T_0 and both ΔV_a and ΔV are small compared with V_1 , from (A25) - (A27), we have

$$\frac{\Delta V_a}{V_1} = \frac{\Delta V}{V_1} + E^{(P)1}, \quad (A28)$$

because $E^{(P)1} \ll 1$. Equation (A28) is Eq. (4.4).

Since we have presented the treatment in this Appendix leading to Eq. (A18), from which we have obtained the reduced form (A25) for the case of infinitesimal strain, for completeness we record without proof the limiting forms of v , σ , μ and π for the case of infinitesimal strain. When we ignore the distinction between lower case Greek and capital Latin indices these forms are;

$$\begin{aligned} v_L &= N_K [\delta_{KL} (1 + E^{(N)1}) - w_{K,L}], \\ \sigma_L &= S_K [\delta_{KL} (1 - E^{(S)1}) + w_{L,K}], \\ \mu_L &= P_K [\delta_{KL} (1 - E^{(P)1}) + w_{L,K}], \\ \pi_L &= P_L - S_{LM} w_{K,M}^P + N_{LM} w_{M,K}^P. \end{aligned} \quad (A29)$$