


AD-A084 945 ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY ABERDEEN PROVING CR--ETC F/8 6/80
TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT--ETC(U)
MAY 80 A M SIMMER
UNCLASSIFIED USAEMA-75-81-0919-00 ML

For
Abstract



END
DATE
FILMED
7-80
DTIC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

LEVEL ¹⁴ 12

**UNITED STATES ARMY
ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE
AGENCY**

ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21610



TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT A13-36692
US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE PROPRIETARY COMPOUND
STUDY NO. 75-51-0919-80
MAY 1976 - MARCH 1980

**DTIC
ELECTE
MAY 29 1980**

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.

80 5 29 031

ADA 084945

**A
E
H
A**

DDC FILE COPY

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 14 USAEHA-75-51-8919-88	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 9
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) 6 Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellent AI3-36692, US Department of Agriculture Proprietary Compound, Study No. 75-51-8919-80, May 1976 to March 1980.	5. DATE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final ^{rept.} May 76 - Mar 80	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR 10 ALLEN W. SINGER CPT, VC	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 11 23 May 80	9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander US Army Health Services Command Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234	12. REPORT DATE May 76 (Mar 80)	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 129
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) AI3-36692 USDA Proprietary Compound Candidate Repellent Eye Irritation Skin Irritation Topical Hazard Evaluation		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A preliminary hazard evaluation of AI3-36692 was performed by means of laboratory animal studies using rats, rabbits, and guinea pigs. The technical grade compound caused mild corneal and conjunctival injury but no skin or photoirritation, and did not sensitize guinea pigs. In addition, it did not prove to be an acute ingestion hazard. It was recommended that AI3-36692, USDA proprietary compound, be approved for further testing as a candidate repellent.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 68 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

038150

[Signature]



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY CPT Singer/jc/AUTOVON
 U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY 584-3980
 ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

23 MAY 1980

HSE-LT-T/WP

SUBJECT: Topical Hazard Evaluation Program of Candidate Insect Repellent
 AI3-36692, US Department of Agriculture Proprietary Compound, Study
 No. 75-51-0919-80, May 1976 to March 1980

Executive Secretary
 Armed Forces Pest Management Board
 Forest Glen Section, WRAMC
 Washington, DC 20012

A summary of the pertinent findings and recommendations of the inclosed report follows:

A preliminary hazard evaluation of AI3-36692 as performed by means of laboratory animal studies using rats, rabbits, and guinea pigs. The technical grade compound caused mild corneal and conjunctival injury but no skin or photoirritation, and did not sensitize guinea pigs. In addition, it did not prove to be an acute ingestion hazard. It was recommended that AI3-36692, USDA proprietary compound, be approved for further testing as a candidate repellent.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

John F. Mazur

JOHN F. MAZUR
 MAJ, MSC
 Director, Laboratory Services

1 Incl
 as (5 cy)

CF:
 HQDA (DASG-PSP)
 Cdr, HSC (HSPA-P)
 Dir, Advisory Ctr on Tox, NRC
 Supt, AHS (HSA-IPM)
 USDA, ARS (Dr. Terrence McGovern)
 USDA, ARS-Southern Region

Accession For	
NTIS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or special
A	



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U. S. ARMY ENVIRONMENTAL HYGIENE AGENCY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND 21010

HSE-LT-T/WP

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
OF CANDIDATE INSECT REPELLENT A13-36692
US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE PROPRIETARY COMPOUND
STUDY NO. 75-51-0919-80
MAY 1976 - MARCH 1980

1. AUTHORITY.

a. Letter, US Department of Agriculture - Agricultural Research Service, Southern Region, Insects Affecting Man Research Laboratory, Gainesville, Florida, 27 May 1976.

b. Memorandum of Understanding between the US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency; the US Army Health Services Command; the Department of the Army Office of The Surgeon General; the Armed Forces Pest Control Board; and the US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research, Science and Education Administrations; titled, Coordination of Biological and Toxicological Testing of Pesticides, effective 23 January 1979.

2. REFERENCE. Toxicology Division Procedural Guide, US Army Environmental Hygiene Agency (USAEHA), 1972, revised 1976.

3. PURPOSE. The purpose of this program is to provide guidance for further entomological testing of the candidate insect repellent A13-36692.

4. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS. A hazard evaluation of the candidate repellent A13-36692, US Department of Agriculture (USDA) Proprietary Compound, was conducted by this Agency using New Zealand White rabbits for skin and eye studies, Hartley guinea pigs for a skin sensitization study and Sprague-Dawley rats for determination of oral toxicity. A tabular presentation of animal toxicity data developed in this Agency follows: *†

* In conducting the studies described in this report, the investigators adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Publication No. (NIH) 74-23, revised 1978.

† The experiments reported herein were performed in animal facilities fully accredited by the American Association for the Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

Study No. 75-51-0919-80, May 76 - Mar 80

TABLE. PRESENTATION OF DATA

<u>Test</u>	<u>Results</u>	<u>Interpretaton</u>
<u>SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES</u>		
<u>Rabbits</u>		
Single 24-hour application to intact and abraded skin of New Zealand White rabbits.	Compound A13-36692 did not cause any irritation of the intact skin or of the skin surrounding an abrasion.	USAEHA Category I (ref Appendix).
0.5 mL technical grade compound applied to each of six rabbits.		
<u>EYE IRRITATION STUDIES</u>		
<u>Rabbits</u>		
Single 24-hour application of 0.1 mL technical grade compound to one eye of each of six New Zealand White rabbits.	Compound A13-36692 caused mild corneal and conjunctival injury which persisted at 72 hours in all rabbits. There was no evidence of irritation at 7 days.	USAEHA Category C (ref Appendix).
<u>APPROXIMATE LETHAL DOSE (ALD)</u>		
<u>Oral</u>		
Rats (male) - no diluent	ALD = 2200 mg/kg	Presents little lethal hazard from accidental ingestion.

Study No. 75-51-0919-80, May 76 - Mar 80

Test	Results	Interpretation
<u>PHOTOCHEMICAL SKIN IRRITATION STUDIES</u>		
<u>Rabbits</u>		
A single 0.05 mL application of a 25 percent (w/v) solution of the compound and a 10 percent (w/v) Oil of Bergamot solution (positive control) in 95 percent ethyl alcohol were applied to the intact skin of six rabbits. Five minutes after application, the rabbits were exposed to UV light (365 nm) for 30 minutes at a distance of 10-15 cm.	A 25 percent solution of A13-36692 in ethanol did not cause a photochemical irritation reaction under test conditions.	Compound A13-36692 did not cause a photochemical irritation reaction under test conditions and is not expected to cause a photochemical irritation in humans.
<u>Control</u>		
Following UV exposures of the rabbits, 0.05 mL of test compound, positive control and diluent were applied to additional skin areas to serve as unirradiated control sites. Application areas were checked for skin irritation at 24, 48 and 72 hours.	Positive control application and irradiation caused greater irritant effects than in unirradiated skin areas.	

Study No. 75-51-0919-80, May 76 - Mar 80


Test	Results	Interpretation
<u>SENSITIZATION STUDIES</u>		
<u>Guinea Pigs (Male)</u>		
Intradermal injections of 0.1 mL of a 0.1 percent solution (w/v) of A13-36692 or of dinitrochlorobenzene (DNCB)* in a mixture containing 1 volume of propylene glycol and 29 volumes of saline.		
Ten test guinea pigs were given 10 sensitizing doses over a 3-week period. After 2 weeks rest, they were challenged with ID injections of test compound.	Challenge dose of A13-36692 did not produce a sensitization reaction.	Compound A13-36692 did not produce a sensitization reaction under test conditions and is not expected to produce a sensitization reaction in man.
Ten positive control guinea pigs were sensitized over 3 weeks with DNCB. After 2 weeks rest, they were challenged with ID injections of DNCB.	Challenge dose of DNCB in positive control guinea pigs produced a marked sensitization reaction in 10 out of 10 guinea pigs.	DNCB produced a marked reaction, indicating the guinea pigs respond to sensitizing agents.

* A known skin sensitizer

Study No. 75-51-0919-80, May 76 - Mar 80


5. CONCLUSION. Technical grade compound AI3-36692 caused mild corneal and conjunctival injury but no skin or photoirritation, no sensitization reaction, and was not an acute ingestion hazard.

6. RECOMMENDATION. Under the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding (reference paragraph 1b), it is recommended that AI3-36692, USDA Proprietary Compound, be approved for further testing as a candidate insect repellent.



ALLEN W. SINGER
CPT, VC
Veterinary Animal Laboratory Officer
Toxicology Division

APPROVED:



ARTHUR H. MCCREESH, Ph.D.
Chief, Toxicology Division

TOPICAL HAZARD EVALUATION PROGRAM
DEFINITIONS OF CATEGORIES OF COMPOUNDS BEING
CONSIDERED FOR ACUTE SKIN APPLICATION

CATEGORY I - Compounds producing no primary irritation of the intact skin or no greater than mild primary irritation of the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: No restriction for acute application to the human skin.)

CATEGORY II - Compounds producing mild primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should be used only on human skin found by examination to have no abrasions or may be used as a clothing impregnant.)

CATEGORY III - Compounds producing moderate primary irritation of the intact skin and the skin surrounding an abrasion. (INTERPRETATION: Should not be used directly on the skin without a prophetic patch test having been conducted on humans to determine irritation potential to human skin. May be used without patch testing, with extreme caution, as clothing impregnants. Compound should be resubmitted in the form and at the intended use concentration so that its irritation potential can be reexamined using other test techniques on animals.)

CATEGORY IV - Compounds producing moderate to severe primary irritation of the intact skin and of the skin surrounding an abrasion and, in addition, producing necrosis, vesiculation, and/or eschars. (INTERPRETATION: Should be resubmitted for testing in the form and at the intended use concentration. Upon resubmission, its irritation potential will be reexamined using other test techniques on animals, prior to possible prophetic patch testing in humans, at concentrations which have been shown not to produce primary irritation in animals.)

CATEGORY V - Compounds impossible to classify because of staining of the skin or other masking effects owing to physical properties of the compound. (INTERPRETATION: Not suitable for use on humans.)

EYE CATEGORIES:

A. Compounds noninjurious to the eye. INTERPRETATION: Irritation of human eyes is not expected if the compound should accidentally get into the eyes, provided it is washed out as soon as possible.

B. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes.

C. Compounds producing mild injury to the cornea, and in addition some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with caution around the eyes and mucosa.

D. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes.

E. Compounds producing moderate injury to the cornea, and in addition producing some injury to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution around the eyes and mucosa.

F. Compounds producing severe injury to the cornea and to the conjunctiva. INTERPRETATION: Should be used with extreme caution. It is recommended that use be restricted to areas other than the face.