

AD-A085 974


FRANKLIN RESEARCH CENTER PHILADELPHIA PA POWER INFORM--ETC F/G 20/3
SUMMARY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE SUPERCONDUCTIVITY TECHNICAL E--ETC(U)
APR 80
PIC-ELE-SC 209/1
NASW-3219


NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1-12


20
APR 80 11 11





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963-A

ADA 085974



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER PIC-ELE-SC 209/1	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A085 974	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Summary of the Proceedings of the IAPG Super-conductivity Technical Exchange Meeting.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Conference proceedings
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) NASW-3219
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Power Information Center Franklin Research Center 20th & Race St. Philadelphia, PA 19103		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS NASA Headquarters M.S. RTS-3 Washington, DC 20546		12. REPORT DATE 16 April 1980
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Unlimited Distribution		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Summary of the Proceeding of a IAPG Superconductivity Technical Exchange.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Superconductivity; Superconducting Magnets		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This publication contains summaries of recent Federally funded research and development work in the fields of superconductivity, superconducting magnets and superconducting motors. This was presented at an IAPG Super-conductivity Technical Session held at the Naval Research Laboratory 16 April 1980. The material included is author prepared.		

DD FORM 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

TDB

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PREPARATION OF REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

RESPONSIBILITY. The controlling DoD office will be responsible for completion of the Report Documentation Page, DD Form 1473, in all technical reports prepared by or for DoD organizations.

CLASSIFICATION. Since this Report Documentation Page, DD Form 1473, is used in preparing announcements, bibliographies, and data banks, it should be unclassified if possible. If a classification is required, identify the classified items on the page by the appropriate symbol.

COMPLETION GUIDE

General. Make Blocks 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 13, 15, and 16 agree with the corresponding information on the report cover. Leave Blocks 2 and 3 blank.

Block 1. Report Number. Enter the unique alphanumeric report number shown on the cover.

Block 2. Government Accession No. Leave Blank. This space is for use by the Defense Documentation Center.

Block 3. Recipient's Catalog Number. Leave blank. This space is for the use of the report recipient to assist in future retrieval of the document.

Block 4. Title and Subtitle. Enter the title in all capital letters exactly as it appears on the publication. Titles should be unclassified whenever possible. Write out the English equivalent for Greek letters and mathematical symbols in the title (see "Abstracting Scientific and Technical Reports of Defense-sponsored RDT/E," AD-667 000). If the report has a subtitle, this subtitle should follow the main title, be separated by a comma or semicolon if appropriate, and be initially capitalized. If a publication has a title in a foreign language, translate the title into English and follow the English translation with the title in the original language. Make every effort to simplify the title before publication.

Block 5. Type of Report and Period Covered. Indicate here whether report is interim, final, etc., and, if applicable, inclusive dates of period covered, such as the life of a contract covered in a final contractor report.

Block 6. Performing Organization Report Number. Only numbers other than the official report number shown in Block 1, such as series numbers for in-house reports or a contractor/grantee number assigned by him, will be placed in this space. If no such numbers are used, leave this space blank.

Block 7. Author(s). Include corresponding information from the report cover. Give the name(s) of the author(s) in conventional order (for example, John R. Doe or, if author prefers, J. Robert Doe). In addition, list the affiliation of an author if it differs from that of the performing organization.

Block 8. Contract or Grant Number(s). For a contractor or grantee report, enter the complete contract or grant number(s) under which the work reported was accomplished. Leave blank in in-house reports.

Block 9. Performing Organization Name and Address. For in-house reports enter the name and address, including office symbol, of the performing activity. For contractor or grantee reports enter the name and address of the contractor or grantee who prepared the report and identify the appropriate corporate division, school, laboratory, etc., of the author. List city, state, and ZIP Code.

Block 10. Program Element, Project, Task Area, and Work Unit Numbers. Enter here the number code from the applicable Department of Defense form, such as the DD Form 1498, "Research and Technology Work Unit Summary" or the DD Form 1634, "Research and Development Planning Summary," which identifies the program element, project, task area, and work unit or equivalent under which the work was authorized.

Block 11. Controlling Office Name and Address. Enter the full, official name and address, including office symbol, of the controlling office. (Equates to funding/sponsoring agency. For definition see DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents.")

Block 12. Report Date. Enter here the day, month, and year or month and year as shown on the cover.

Block 13. Number of Pages. Enter the total number of pages.

Block 14. Monitoring Agency Name and Address (if different from Controlling Office). For use when the controlling or funding office does not directly administer a project, contract, or grant, but delegates the administrative responsibility to another organization.

Blocks 15 & 15a. Security Classification of the Report; Declassification/Downgrading Schedule of the Report. Enter in 15 the highest classification of the report. If appropriate, enter in 15a the declassification/downgrading schedule of the report, using the abbreviations for declassification/downgrading schedules listed in paragraph 4-207 of DoD 5200.1-R.

Block 16. Distribution Statement of the Report. Insert here the applicable distribution statement of the report from DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

Block 17. Distribution Statement (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from the distribution statement of the report). Insert here the applicable distribution statement of the abstract from DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

Block 18. Supplementary Notes. Enter information not included elsewhere but useful, such as: Prepared in cooperation with . . . Translation of (or by) . . . Presented at conference of . . . To be published in . . .

Block 19. Key Words. Select terms or short phrases that identify the principal subjects covered in the report, and are sufficiently specific and precise to be used as index entries for cataloging, conforming to standard terminology. The DoD "Thesaurus of Engineering and Scientific Terms" (TEST), AD-672 000, can be helpful.

Block 20. Abstract. The abstract should be a brief (not to exceed 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report. If possible, the abstract of a classified report should be unclassified and the abstract to an unclassified report should consist of publicly-releasable information. If the report contains a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here. For information on preparing abstracts see "Abstracting Scientific and Technical Reports of Defense-Sponsored RDT&E," AD-667 000.

14 PIC-ELE-SC 209/1

6
Summary
of the
PROCEEDINGS
of the
SUPERCONDUCTIVITY TECHNICAL
EXCHANGE MEETING.

12 174

1016 Apr 80

15 NASw-3217

PUBLISHED FOR THE
SUPERCONDUCTIVITY PANEL
OF THE
INTERAGENCY ADVANCED POWER GROUP

MEETING DATE: 16 APRIL 1980
NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
WASHINGTON, DC 20375

411111

TABLE OF CONTENTS :

Foreword	Page 1
Interagency Advanced Power Group - Presentation	Page 3
Welcome Address	
Captain E. E. Henifin	Page 5
Large Superconductive Magnets ;	
R. Boom	Page 7
Superconductivity Activities at LASL ;	
W. E. Keller	Page 51
Superconductivity Studies at Argonne National Laboratory ;	
K. E. Gray	Page 59
CFFF MHD Magnet at Argonne National Laboratory ;	
R. P. Smith	Page 65
MHD Superconducting Magnets ;	
R. Wright	Page 81
Fermilab's Energy Saver ;	
M. Kuchnir	Page 85
LCP and 12 Tesla Programs at ORNL ;	
W. A. Fietz	Page 87
Division of Electric Energy System's Superconductivity Program ;	
R. Eaton	Page 101
Development of Standards for Practical Superconductors ;	
A. F. Clark	Page 103
Casting of Dendritic Cu-Nb Alloys for Superconducting Wire ;	
D. K. Finnemore	Page 115

→ next page

TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd)

cont

Review of Recent Developments of Multifilamentary Nb₃Sn by
"In Situ" and Cold Powder Metallurgy Processes;

S. Foner Page 125

→ Superconducting Magnet Facility at NRL;

R. Clement Page 129

→ Airborne Superconductor Applications ;

C. E. Oberly Page 133

→ High Pressure Synthesis Program at Benet Weapons Laboratory
Watervliet Arsenal ;

C. G. Homan Page 135

→ CuCl; Stability and Exciton Population Percursive to
Anomalous Diagnetism ;

I. Lefkowitz Page 149

→ Navy Superconductive Machinery Development Program ; and,

M. Superczynski Page 151

→ Superconducting Materials Program at NRL .

D. U. Gubser Page 153

Appendix (List of Conference Participants)

FOREWORD

This document contains summaries, reproductions of visual material detailing the material presented by speakers at The Superconductivity Technical Exchange Meeting.

The meeting was part of the Interagency Advanced Power Group Superconductivity Panel held at the Naval Research Laboratories in Washington, DC. The meeting was hosted by Dr. Donald U. Gubser of NRL.

ACCESSION FOR	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DDC TAB	
Unannounced	
Justification	
BY	
DATE	
APPROVED	
DIET	
A	

THE INTERAGENCY ADVANCED POWER GROUP

The Interagency Advanced Power Group (IAPG) operates under a joint agreement signed by the United States Army, United States Navy, United States Air Force, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and the United States Department of Energy.

The IAPG is a mechanism for the timely and relevant exchange of programmatic and technical information for government scientists, engineers and R&D managers who have a direct interest in advanced power technology, research and development. Power, as defined by the IAPG Charter, encompasses energy sources, conversion techniques and devices and transmission systems or components exclusive of aeronautical/astronautical propulsive power. The goal of this information exchange is the reduction of unplanned duplication of R&D effort.

The IAPG presently consists of the following Working Groups and Panels: Electrical (including the Power Conditioning and Superconductivity Panels); Magnetohydrodynamics; Chemical; Mechanical; Nuclear, TE, TI; Solar (composed of the Photovoltaic and Thermal Panels); and Systems. Information exchange is carried out through periodic meetings of the IAPG members in their respective Working Groups and Panels, the distribution of the technical minutes of these meetings and the distribution of IAPG Project Briefs that periodically report the progress of programs funded by the supporting agencies.

The Power Information Center (PIC) is operated by Franklin Research Center for the Interagency Advanced Power Group and acts as the technical secretariat for the organization. For more information concerning this document or the IAPG, please contact: Power Information Center, Franklin Research Center, 20th and Race Streets, Philadelphia, PA 19103, 215-448-1674.

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK-NOT FILMED

WELCOME ADDRESS

Captain E. E. Henifin
Commanding Officer
Naval Research Laboratory

On behalf of the Naval Research Laboratory, it is my pleasure to welcome you to the Laboratory and to this annual meeting of the Superconductivity Panel of the Interagency Advanced Power Group. For some of you, this may be the first time at NRL and we hope that during your visit you will be able to see some of our facilities. The tour scheduled for tomorrow morning should provide this opportunity.

Looking over the list of attendees and speakers, it is a pleasure to recognize the wide range of activities that are represented here today and, in particular, the large contingent from the Department of Energy Laboratories.

NRL is a firm believer in meetings, conferences, workshops, etc., which emphasize free discussion and exchange of ideas, but to be successful, full participation is required - not just the presentors - but all of you as listeners, as questioners - as idea generators.

The phenomenon of superconductivity is receiving much attention today, especially in light of our current energy crisis. The resistanceless flow of electrical current has spurred many revolutionary ideas for new technologies. In the last 10 to 15 years there has been sufficient understanding and progress in superconducting materials to permit testing of these new concepts. Today many large-scale engineering projects are underway and we appear to be on the threshold of tapping the potential of superconductivity. This meeting today brings together many of the leading scientists and individuals involved with these developments and should provide an up-to-date status report and a prognosis for future expectations.

At NRL we have supported superconductivity research for over 30 years. Today, we have groups working in 1) Superconducting Theory, 2) Superconducting Materials Research, 3) Superconducting Device Development, 4) Superconducting Wire Development, and 5) Cryogenic Refrigeration Studies for cooling both large and small scale devices. The Navy, in general, has an interest in electric ship propulsion using superconducting motors. This research is being pursued at the Naval Ship Research and Development Center at Annapolis and will be reviewed later this afternoon. Work at NRL on Material Research and Wire Development Programs will be reviewed at the end of the day by Dr. D. U. Gubser, host of this meeting.

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK-NOT FILMED

I'm sure you are all interested in beginning this meeting so I will close my comments and again welcome you to NRL.

HAVE A GOOD MEETING!

LARGE SUPERCONDUCTIVE MAGNETS

Presented by
R. W. Boom
University of Wisconsin

ABSTRACT

Many large superconductive magnets have been successfully operated during the past 15 years. One of the largest magnets is the 800 MJ CERN bubble chamber magnet which is a fully stable pool cooled split solenoid. Current fusion and MHD coils are in the 200 MJ range. All new coils are fully stable with current densities about 2500 A/cm². Cooling is primarily by pool cooling. A few large coils in the fusion program use hollow conductors cooled with forced flow supercritical helium.

For even larger systems the trend is towards more stability, lower current density and more structure. The scaling law which relates structural mass to required ampere-meters of conductor is:

$$\frac{\text{structural mass}}{\text{ampere-meters}} \propto BR,$$

where B is the field produced and R is a magnet dimension, solenoid radius, for example. The trend is towards less emphasis on unstable magnets; that is, those magnets with inadequate cooling and inadequate stabilizing normal metal matrix are not being used for larger systems.

Larger magnets will become even easier to build due to the fact that more space is available. Reference is made to the Virial Theorem (for magnets) which is a mathematical statement that the structure needed is proportional to energy stored:

$$M_T - M_C \gg \frac{\rho}{\sigma} E \quad (\text{unidirectional structure})$$

where: M_T = structural mass in tension

M_C = structural mass in compression

ρ = structural density

σ = average stress

$$E = \int \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} dv = \text{energy stored}$$

Thus there is no structural efficiency difference between small and large coils, there is only a difference in more free space available around large magnets.

Estimates for the absolute minimum structure for future full scale base load units can be made with the Virial Theorem based on the stored energy in the magnetic field. Actual structure, because some (wasteful) compressive numbers are always needed, can easily be larger than the Virial Theorem amount. See Table I which follows.

Large magnets in the 6-8 T field range seem adequate for most large systems. System designs tend to optimize at these fields which implies that NbTi alloys are adequate. NbTi alloys cooled to 1.8 K can be used up to 12 T which presents significant competition for Nb₃Sn and any other high field brittle compound.

There appears to be limited scientific need for new and better superconductors. NbTi is a very usable ductile alloy. Nb₃Sn is brittle but tolerant to strain due to precompression during manufacture and cool-down. New conductors would generally be similar. As the various systems approach commercialization the cost and availability questions will predominate. To that end it is recommended that substantial effort be expended to increase current densities and to develop less expensive alloys and compounds. Savings also may be made by increasing usable T_c . Large systems probably will not need higher critical fields than now available. However, higher critical current densities often accompany higher H_{c2} so research into higher H_{c2} is still useful for large systems.

Cooling by superfluid helium is potentially very attractive. Very little use has been made of this possibility. Most of the experience to date has been developed by the French fusion program at Saclay in collaboration with the cryogenic laboratory at Grenoble.

Current production in the U.S. of NbTi is about 100,000 pounds per year. The FNAL accelerator project needs about 40,000 pounds total. A similar amount for ISABELLE at BNL represents the major accelerator requirements. For the first time the superconductivity requirements for fully stable non-accelerator uses are predominating the market place. Accelerator requirements of 30,000 A/cm² overall in a magnet have kept world-wide attention on the unstable trainable pulsed magnet problem. However the much lower current densities used and planned for MHD, Fusion and Energy Storage will tend to direct most future magnet design research towards fully stable coils.

Acknowledgements

The following were of great assistance in providing information and figures: D. Cornish, LLL; J. Ferrante, GE; M. Lubell, ORNL; R. Smith, ANL; W. Fowler, FERMI LAB; M. Kuchnir, FERMI; R. Thome, MIT; J. Wong, SUPERCON; R. Marsh, WAH CHANG; D. Larbalestier, UW-MADISON; S. Van Sciver, UW-MADISON; E. Gregory, AIRCO; T. DeWinter, MCA.

Table I

Base Load Systems Rated at 1000 MW

Unit	Stored Magnetic Energy	Design* Structural Weight	Virial Theorem* Structural Weight	Estimated NbTi Weight
MHD(1)	10^{10} J	4.6×10^6 kgrams	1.4×10^5 kgrams	3×10^4 kgrams
Tokamak(2)	4×10^{10} J	1.1×10^6 kgrams	5.6×10^5 kgrams	7.5×10^4 kgrams
Energy Storage(3)	1.3×10^{13} J	24×10^6 kgrams**	1.8×10^8 kgrams***	3.4×10^5 kgrams

* Aluminum alloy structure in all three examples

** Dewar and struts which are not energy containment structure

***This structural mass is not needed because in-situ bedrock is used as structure

1. Scaled from 600 MW unit designed by A. M. Hatch, et al, "Design of Superconducting Magnets for Full-Scale MHD Generators," Advances in Cryogenic Engineering, Vol. 23, page 37, (1978), Plenum Press
2. Scaled from 725 MW unit design by University of Wisconsin, NUMAK-330, March 1979
3. Scaled from 1000 MWh unit to 3000 MWh unit used 3 hours per day

at 1,000 MW average power, University of Wisconsin design, Volume I, July, 1974.

LARGE SUPERCONDUCTIVE SYSTEMS

MATURE INDUSTRY

20 YEARS OLD

GOOD OPERATING RECORD

HUGE PROJECTS

100,000 LBS/YR NbTi

PRESENT TASKS

ENGINEERING

COST EFFECTIVENESS

PRESENT EMPHASIS

0-12 TESLA

COPPER + FILAMENTARY NbTi

MONOLITHIC OR CABLES

POOL COOLING, 4.2 K

FORCED FLOW, ΔT

HIGH ENERGY PHYSICS

HISTORICAL LEADER

BUBBLE CHAMBERS

12-15 FT DIA.

400 MJ

$J_t \sim 1000 \text{ A/cm}^2$

POOL COOLING

FULLY STABLE, $I^2 R < \text{HEAT REMOVAL}$

ENERGY DOUBLER (FNAL)

ACHIEVED $J_t = 30,000 \text{ A/cm}^2$

EMPIRICAL DESIGNS

MINIMUM:

STABILITY, AC LOSSES, MOTION LOSSES,

STRUCTURE, COPPER, COSTS

1,000 MAGNETS, 4 MILES LONG

40,000 LBS. NbTi


5,000 LITER/HOUR LIQUEFIER

ISABELLE (BNL) SIMILAR


LEGACY

GOOD NbTi PRODUCTION


OVEREMPHASIS ON MARGINAL STABILITY




Winding the 12 ft. dia. ANL bubble chamber split solenoid in 1967.




FNAL - 15 ft. dia. bubble chamber split solenoid - energy stored is 400 MJ. The structure is provided by the conductor.




IMP - Nb₃Sn quadrupole at ORNL. Largest Nb₃Sn magnet built.



Superconductive and ordinary accelerator dipoles and quadrupoles at FNAL. There are 4 miles of NbTi accelerator magnets.



The FNAL NbTi cable. This is the first standard U.S. conductor.
23 strands, 2000 filaments 8um per strand, operating $I = 4320 \text{ A} = 90\%$
 I_c , 4700 ft/magnet.



FNAL, 4000 hp compressor, two compressors provide 5000 liters of helium per hour (largest helium liquifier).

LARGE SYSTEMS

FUSION EXPERIMENTS

LCP TESTED AT ORNL

FULLY STABLE

$\sim 2500 \text{ A/cm}^2$, NbTi and Nb₃Sn

POOL COOLING AND FORCED FLOW

NO UNCERTAINTY ABOUT "WORKING"

MANUFACTURING AND ENGINEERING TESTS

MFTF AT LLL

FULLY STABLE SQUARE CONDUCTOR

CONDUCTOR FOR LATERAL COMPRESSION

2500 A/cm^2

POOL COOLING, 4.5 K

FOR PLASMA EXPERIMENTS

12 TESLA PROGRAM

NbTi AT LOW T

Nb₃Sn


TESTED AT LLL

MAJOR COIL DETAILS

Technical drawing of a large industrial coil assembly, likely a transformer or reactor. The drawing shows a cross-section of the coil, revealing its internal structure and dimensions. Key dimensions are labeled:

- 18 FT 1 (5.49)
- 18 FT 1 (5.49)
- 12 FT 1 (3.66)

A human figure is shown for scale, indicating the large size of the assembly. The drawing is a line drawing with some shading to indicate depth and structure.



19

MAJOR COIL CHARACTERISTICS

• MAX FIELD	8 TESLA
• COOLING CONCEPT	POOL-BOLING
• NUMBER TURNS	666
• CONDUCTOR CURRENT	10,100 AMPS
• CONDUCTOR TYPE	WRAPPED SEMI-MONOLITHIC SUBELEMENTS
• WINDING AREA	273 m ²
• AVERAGE CURRENT DENSITY	AMPS/cm ² 2461
• WINDING CONCEPT	PANCAKE WOUND (GRADED)
• WINDING SHAPE	OVAL
• WINDING CROSS-SECTION	MODIFIED RECTANGULAR
• CONDUCTOR REINFORCEMENT	LUMPED
• STRUCTURAL MATERIAL	ALSI 316LN
• INTER PIE INSULATION	G-10 GROOVED SHEET
• FINAL ASSEMBLY	BOLTED
• TOTAL WEIGHT	392kN



1000 AMP. FLAT WOUND. CRYOSTABLE CONDUCTOR WITH COOLING DUCTS FORMED BY SUBELEMENTS CABLED AND SOLDERED AROUND A COPPER CORE. (MADE FOR OPAL TESTS AND SIMILAR TO LCP TEST COIL CONDUCTOR.)



1000 AMP. SQUARE HTI. SUPERCONDUCTING WIRE BRAZED AND SOLDERED INTO COPPER STABILIZING SUBELEMENTS. (IS USED FOR LCP TEST COIL CONDUCTOR)




RADIATION RESISTANT. HIGH TEAR STRENGTH. SOLID NOMEK STRIP INSULATION BETWEEN TURNS



RADIATION RESISTANT. HIGH STRENGTH. MACHINABLE AND FORMABLE. CRYOGENIC GRADE. FIBERGLASS REINFORCED EPOXY. G-10 GROOVED SHEET INSULATION BETWEEN PANCAKE WINDINGS





Ying-Yang coi it III and coils 400 MTE P 400 MTE P

TABLE I
Magnet Parameters


On-Axis	→ 5 Tesla (Tapered)
Winding Type	Circular Saddle w/o Iron
Orientation	Horizontal Axis
MHD Channel Apertures	40 cm dia. at Magnet Inlet 60 cm dia. at End of Effective Field
Effective MHD Channel Fields	4 T at entrance and 3.2 T at exit end
Magnetic Length	→ 250 cm
Effective Outside Diameter	→ 200 cm
Overall Length	440 cm
Overall Current Density	→ $2,600 \text{ A/cm}^2$
Conductor Current Density	$5,000 \text{ A/cm}^2$
Ampere Turns	6.8×10^6
Inductance	36 H
Stored Energy	→ 20×10^6 Joules
Peak Field at Conductor	6.0 Tesla
Conductor Length at 1000 A	48,700 m
Conductor Weight	8,170 kg
Total Cold Weight	→ 28,000 kg
Outward Force on Coils in Peak Field Region	8,900 kg/cm of Length

U-25 MHD magnet built at ANL

SUBJECT
NO

23

3M CATALOG N
3M CENTER
MADE IN U.S.A.



11-25 under construction

CDIF

SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNET

OVERALL LENGTH 21 FT. 2 IN.
OVERALL DIAMETER 13 FT. 4 IN.
TOTAL WEIGHT 180 TONS

RADIATION SHIELD
304 STAINLESS STEEL

HELIUM CONTAINER
304 LN STAINLESS STEEL

VACUUM
ENCLOSURE
304 STAINLESS
STEEL

SUPERSTRUCTURE
304 LN STAINLESS STEEL

APERTURE
70 CM X 90 CM INLET
90 CM X 90 CM OUTLET

SUPERCONDUCTOR
NbTi/Cu IN SUBPLATE G-10
COLD MASS SUPPORT
GLASS EPOXY



CDIF - MID sketch (G.E.).

MAJOR CHARACTERISTICS OF THE CDIF SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNET

<u>FIELD</u>		<u>COOLING ENVIRONMENT</u>	
PEAK ON-AXIS FIELD	6.0T	COOLING MODE	POOL BOILING
ACTIVE FIELD LENGTH	3.0m	STABILIZER HEAT FLUX	0.4 W/cm ²
<u>DIMENSIONS</u>		<u>ELECTRICAL</u>	
OVERALL MAGNET DIMENSIONS		INDUCTANCE	9.5H
DIAMETER	4.11m	STORED ENERGY	183 x 10 ⁶ J
LENGTH	6.45m	<u>WEIGHTS</u>	
CENTERLINE HEIGHT	2.44m	MAGNET/CRYOSTAT ASSEMBLY	
WINDING		144,300kg	
AVERAGE CURRENT DENSITY (JA)	0.18 x 10 ⁸ A/m ²		
AMPERE TURNS	14.22 x 10 ⁶		
OPERATING CURRENT	6130A		
CONDUCTOR LENGTH	30800m		

SUPERCONDUCTIVE MAGNET ENERGY STORAGE
FOR ELECTRIC UTILITIES

STORES LESS EXPENSIVE ELECTRICITY AT NIGHT FOR USE
THE NEXT DAY

SIZE: 1,000 MWh - 10,000 MWh

SMALL EFFORT - CONCEPTUAL DESIGN PHASE

LARGE SIZE - 30 TO 200 m RADIUS
BEDROCK STRUCTURE

COST (MAIN FEATURE)

FULLY STABLE, SUPERFLUID POOL COOLED
NbTi PLUS Al CONDUCTOR


6000 A/cm² IN CONDUCTOR

THIN SOLENOID- ONE LAYER


2.5 TO 4 TESLA


250,000 POUNDS NbTi FOR 1000 MWh

300,000 Kg HELIUM (80 MMCF - 12 DAYS)




Energy storage charge - discharge 3-phase bridge, efficiency $\sim 95\%$.






Storage solenoid cut-away.




Storage conductor under development.



Storage strut tests.

POWER DEMAND AS A FUNCTION OF TIME



A.D. Little storage study .




FIGURE 3.2 ENERGY IN RESERVOIR AS A FUNCTION OF TIME DURING THE WEEK FOR TWO STORAGE SYSTEMS WITH DIFFERENT CYCLE EFFICIENCIES - EQUAL ENERGY TO THE LOAD.

UPH - UNDERGROUND PUMPED HYDROSTORAGE
 CAES - COMPRESSED AIR STORAGE
 Pb/H⁺ AND ADV - BATTERY STORAGE
 SMES - SUPERCONDUCTIVE MAGNET ENERGY STORAGE




FIGURE 3.6 LEVELIZED BUS BAR COST DIFFERENCE BETWEEN STORAGE AND CONVENTIONAL GENERATION C=15 Mills/kw hr.
 P_d (max) = 1000 MW

(A.D. Little, Inc.)

Comparison of SMES with other storage systems.

CONCLUSIONS

SMES CAN COMPETE ECONOMICALLY WITH THE ALTERNATIVES
FOR ALL SCENARIOS CONSIDERED

THE KEY ISSUE IS THE PROPER SIZING OF THE STORAGE
SYSTEMS TO MEET THE NEEDS OF THE UTILITY

SMES CAN BE USED BY UTILITIES WHICH CANNOT ECONOMICALLY
USE ANY OTHER TYPE OF STORAGE SYSTEM

ITS HIGH CYCLE EFFICIENCY AND FAST RESPONSE TIME ALSO
ALLOWS SMES TO BE USED TO IMPROVE SYSTEM
EFFICIENCY DURING PERIODS WHEN THE LOAD IS
CHANGING

(A.D. Little, Inc. April 2, 1979)

PRESENT TRENDS

CONDUCTOR MOUNTING

COPPER + Nb_3Sn COMPOSITES

SUPERFLUID COOLING

H_{c2} INCREASE FOR NbTiTa



BRITTLE BUT ENGINEERING MATERIAL


ACCEPTABLE IN FILAMENTARY FORM

FINE FILAMENTS FAIL AT LARGER STRAINS


BRONZE COMPRESSES Nb₃Sn

RESULT: FAILURE STRAINS OF ~ 1% RATHER THAN 0.2%

NEEDS: PRODUCTION VOLUME AND MAGNET EXPERIENCE




Low temperature, higher H_{c2} due to Ta addition, (Wisconsin).



Superfluid heat transfer tests (Wisconsin).

SURFACE HEAT TRANSFER




HEAT TRANSPORT IN He II


CONDUCTION EQUATION:

$$\frac{dT}{dx} = f(p, T) q^3$$

EFFECTIVE THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY

$$K_e = \frac{1}{f(p, T) q^2}$$





Pulsed heaters in He II.

AC COILS
HYSTERESIS

$$F_{NAL} - \Delta E / \text{cycle} \propto B_{MAX}$$

0.2 T/SEC TO 4T @ 400 J/CYCLE

EDDY CURRENT LOSS

ANL - FULLY STABLE CABLE SOLENOID

9 T/SEC TO 4.5 T @ 2500 A/CM²

$$\frac{\Delta E}{E} = \left(\frac{\Delta E}{E_0} \right) \left(\frac{T_0}{T} \right) = 10^{-3} \left(\frac{T_0}{T} \right)$$

AT RISE TIME $T = 0.5 \text{ SEC}$

CABLE HEAT FLUX $Q = 0.01 \text{ W/CM}^2$

EXTRAPOLATE $QT = \text{CONSTANT}$

CONCLUSION

DESIGN OPTION - STABILITY VS. REFRIGERATION

PREDICTIONS FOR LARGER SYSTEMS

CONDUCTOR

$J_t \sim \frac{\sigma}{BR}$, DECREASE WITH SIZE

MORE SPACE FOR EXTRA STABILITY

MORE COPPER, COOLING, HELIUM

MORE INTEREST IN SUPERFLUID HELIUM

LESS INTEREST IN MARGINAL STABILITY

FIELDS

OPTIMIZATION PROBABLY TOWARDS LOWER FIELDS AT LARGER
VOLUMES

PREDICTIONS FOR LARGER SYSTEMS

STRUCTURE

EASIER DESIGNS IN MORE SPACE

VIRIAL THEOREM - STRUCTURAL MASS

$$M_T - M_C > \rho / \sigma E \quad (\text{UNIDIRECTIONAL})$$

M_T = MASS IN TENSION

M_C = MASS IN COMPRESSION

ρ = DENSITY

σ = AVERAGE STRESS

$$E = \int_V \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} dv = \text{STORED ENERGY}$$

SAFETY

ORDINARY OPERATION - SAFER BECAUSE OF EXTRA STABILITY MARGINS

CATASTROPHIC OPERATION - TO 300 K AND ABOVE - REDUCED SAFETY DUE TO BETTER EFFICIENCY PER AMPERE-METER

$$\frac{\text{ENERGY}}{\text{AMP METER}} \propto BR$$

CONSEQUENCES: MORE STRINGENT SAFETY, ESPECIALLY FOR COMMERCIAL UNITS

FUTURE GOALS


NbTi & Nb₃Sn IMPROVEMENTS

LESS Nb (COST)

HIGHER J_c (LESS SUPERCONDUCTOR)

HIGHER T_c

ALUMINUM STABILIZER (1/7 vs. Cu)



Higher J_c - Low B conductors.
 +FNAL (U.S.), Δ Vacuumschmelze (W. Germany)
 ● Kobe Steel (Japan)

MAJOR NEEDS

BETTER RESEARCH COMMITMENT

BETTER FINANCING FOR CONDUCTOR MANUFACTURERS

IRD OR INCENTIVE

GOVERNMENT SEMI-CAPTIVE INDUSTRY

SUPERCONDUCTIVITY ACTIVITIES AT LASL*

Presented by William E. Keller
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
Los Alamos, New Mexico

ABSTRACT

We briefly survey the LASL superconducting programs in materials and physics research on superconductors--eg., A-15 compounds, magnetic/reentrant superconductors, electron-phonon interaction, intermetallic compounds, superconductivity dynamics--and on applications--e.g., energy storage, tokamak induction heating, VAR control, power transmission lines, SQUIDS. As an example of recent progress, we cite a study of ac losses in Nb_3Ge tapes, showing how the total losses in these tapes can be restricted to hysteretic losses.

*Work conducted under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK-NOT FILLED

SUPERCONDUCTIVITY
AT LASL

MATERIALS RESEARCH - CMB DIVISION

GIORGI, SZKLARZ, HEWKIRK
SMITH, STEWART, WILLIS (MATTHIAS)

PHYSICS RESEARCH/DEVICES - GROUP P-10

HUANG, TAYLOR, MALEY, THOMPSON,
OVERTON, CARLSON, BARTLETT

MAGNET DEVELOPMENT/APPLICATIONS - GROUP CTR-9

ROGERS, SCHERMER, WOLLAN, THULLEN,
BOENIG, CHOWDHURI

MATERIALS AND PHYSICS RESEARCH

SPONSOR

A-15 COMPOUNDS

HEAT CAPACITY - N(0)
 $\text{In}_3\text{Ge-CVD}$ - THIRD ELEMENT
 In_3Si - HIGH P

LASL
 DOE/EES
 LASL

MAGNETIC/REENTRANT SUPERCONDUCTORS

LASL

$\text{Sn}_{1-x}\text{Eu}_x\text{Mo}_6\text{S}_8$ - HIGH FIELD SC ?

R_H , $\rho(T)$, M , HIGH P,
 HIGH H/T, MOSSBAUER EFFECT

RECH_2B_4 , $\text{RECH}_{1.1}\text{Sn}_{2.6}$ - TYPE I ?
 λ , μ_{C2} , HIGH P, μ_{SR}

ELECTRON-PHONON INTERACTION

LASL

Zr-Zn SYSTEM } ITINERANT FM
 Ti-Pe-Cu SYSTEM } +SC ?

T_c ENHANCEMENT AT EUTECTIC

LASL

Y-Ir SYSTEM

T_c INTERMETALLIC COMPOUNDS

LASL

LAVES PHASES WITH Sc, Y, Lu

SC DYNAMICS

LASL


ASSYMETRIC NORMAL ZONE PROPAGATION
 HELICAL CURRENTS

APPLICATIONS/HARDWARE DEVELOPMENT

	<u>SPONSOR</u>
<u>TOKAMAK INDUCTION HEATING</u>	DOE/OFE
<u>MAGNETIC ENERGY STORAGE</u>	DOE
METS	OFE
BPA STABILIZER	ESS-EES
LOAD LEVELING	ESS
VAR CONTROL	EES
HIGH-V, HIGH-I SWITCHING	OFE
<u>TRANSMISSION LINES - SPTL</u>	
SUPPORT FOR BNL	DOE/EES
Nb ₃ Ge AC CABLE	EPRI
<u>SOLID FOR GEOSCIENCES</u>	DOE/GEP

-TOP-


Plot of total AC losses and of the hysterictic component as functions of the induced current at 50Hz and 4K for 5.0 micrometer Ni_3Ge coat on Cu substrate.



-TOP-

Plot of total AC loss as a function of induced current at 50Hz and 4K for a 2.8 micrometer thick Ni_3Ge coat on Cu substrate.


LA6C J50-7
80 3250



LASC 330-7
80 5228

-TOP-

Plots of total AC losses at two induced current levels as functions of temperature at 50Hz for a 2.5 micrometer Ni_3Ge coat on a Cu substrate.



SUPERCONDUCTIVITY STUDIES AT ARGONNE
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Presented by Dr. K. E. Gray
Solid State Science Div.
Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, Illinois

ABSTRACT

Superconductivity studies in the Solid State Division of Argonne National Laboratory can be divided into several related topics. Work is being conducted on the properties of superconductors that are removed from equilibrium by various perturbations (microwave and light irradiation, quasiparticle injection, and thermal gradients). Results of these studies have led to many applications. Additional research involves sputtered films of technologically important high T_c materials.


This talk will outline a fraction of the actual research being conducted. The nonequilibrium studies are of interest because all the uses of superconductors involve nonequilibrium states, but also because of a fundamental interest in nonequilibrium states in general physics. Superconductors provide an excellent system to study these, because there is a good microscopic theory of the equilibrium state (BCS), and because microscopic properties like the energy gap or order parameter and the quasiparticle distribution function can be readily measured by tunneling. Much of our work has concentrated on these measurements. Several ideas conceived during these studies have led to device applications. These include the Superconducting Tunnel Junction Transistor (which won the Industrial Research Magazine IR-100 Award for 1979) and the Superconducting Fault Current Limiter (a power switch).

A considerable effort to develop SQUID's (sensitive measuring devices) of high T_c sputtered films has resulted in the first operating SQUID's working at temperatures of about 15K. Such a development opens the scope of their use to include contained close-cycle refrigerators. As an outgrowth of this program, there is a considerable effort to use SQUID's in geophysical exploration.


Recently, Layered Ultrathin Coherent Structures consisting of alternating thin film layers of two materials have been made with a remarkable degree of epitaxy extending throughout the layers. Initially, superconducting and transport properties have been measured, but the technique opens up a whole new area of potential for atomic engineering.

Layered Ultrathin Coherent Structures (LUCS)


Ivan K. Schuller
and
Charles M. Falco



10 Å - 100 Å





ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY



An artists conception of a superconducting fault current limiter.

Current-voltage characteristics of a tunnel junction showing a non-equilibrium state of charge imbalance.





CFFF MHD MAGNET AT ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY

Presented by Richard P. Smith
Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, Illinois

ABSTRACT

ANL is directly involved in a number of areas related to the development of superconducting magnet technology. The broad range of applications for this technology includes magnetohydrodynamics (MHD), magnetic fusion energy (MFE), high energy physics, and accelerator technology development. In addition, basic research is conducted in the area of superconductor stability as applicable to pool-cooled magnets.




Currently a major effort of the Superconducting Magnet Group at ANL is devoted to the construction of a large 6T dipole magnet which will be transported to the University of Tennessee Space Institute Coal Fired Flow Facility (CFFF) for MHD Research. The magnet will provide a uniformly tapered field peaking at 6T in a 3m long bore, with entrance diameter 0.8m and exit diameter 1.0m.

Magnet system safety analysis is pursued at ANL, especially as it applies to large tokamak magnet systems for MFE. Pulsed coil and conductor development is pursued at ANL for the MFE program. A program is underway to construct a model thin solenoid for application to a high energy physics particle detector. A 60 T/m gradient quadrupole magnet for accelerator beam transport is under design at ANL. The quadrupole is a prototype for a system of 16 such magnets required at Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory.

A 6T mass-analyzer magnet is under construction for the ATLAS heavy-ion facility at Argonne. The magnet will provide 45 degrees of bend and together with its twin will replace a conventional 90 degree analyzer in the existing facility. The increased bending power of the superconducting analyzer will allow the acceleration of high quality beams of ions as heavy as uranium, with projectile energies up to 25 MeV/A.

UTSI


COAL - FIRED FLOW FACILITY
SUPERCONDUCTING MHD MAGNET



CFFF SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNET SYSTEM

OBJECTIVES


1. DESIGN, DEVELOPMENT, FABRICATION AND TESTING OF THE CFFF SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNET, MAGNET CRYOSTAT, INSTRUMENTATION AND CONTROL EQUIPMENT.
2. PROCUREMENT AND ASSEMBLY OF A COMPLETE CRYOGENIC SYSTEM.
3. SHIPPING, INSTALLING, COMMISSIONING AND MAINTENANCE OF THE COMPLETE MAGNET, CRYOSTAT, CRYOGENICS, POWER SUPPLY, AND CONTROL AND PROTECTING INSTRUMENTATION.
4. DEVELOPMENT OF THE SUPERCONDUCTING MHD MAGNET TECHNOLOGY FOR CFFF SCMS AND FOR FUTURE LARGER MHD MAGNETS.
5. FOLLOW THROUGH AND DOCUMENTATION OF OPERATING EXPERIENCES OF CFFF SCMS.




25'0

TOP OF RAILS


Transportation Detail.




Magnet Overall Detail




CFFF Coil Winding Detail.



SECTION A-A





TOP VIEW



SECTION B-B

Conductor Insulating Detail.



TURN-TO-TURN STRUCTURE


STRAIGHT REGION

END TURN REGION


LAYER-TO-LAYER STRUCTURE

Conductor Detail.


TWENTY 1.02 mm. DIA.
SC WIRES--TWIST
FILAMENT DIA. = 80 μ
STRAND PITCH = 0.2 TURN/CU.





TWENTY 0.89 mm. DIA.
SC WIRES--TWIST
FILAMENT DIA. = 70 μ
STRAND PITCH = 0.2 TURN/CU.





SEVENTEEN 0.79 mm. DIA.
SC WIRES--TWIST
FILAMENT DIA. = 60 μ
STRAND PITCH = 0.2 TURN/CU.





Lorentz Force Detail




Coil Support Detail




UNDERSIDE VIEW





TOP VIEW






LOADING	A	B	D
VERTICAL ($\frac{MN}{m^2}$)	210	186	172
AXIAL ($\frac{MN}{m^2}$)	252	223	207
SHIPPING			


LOADING	G	H	I	K	M
AXIAL ($\frac{MN}{m^2}$)	—	339	-250	88	140
AZIMUTHAL ($\frac{MN}{m^2}$)	33	147	29.6	14	62
MAGNETIC LOADING PLUS HELIUM PRESSURE					



BORE TUBE & END FLANGES


Cold Mass Support Detail








Cryogenic System Layout at UTSI





MHD SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNETS

Presented by Robert J. Wright
Department of Energy
Fossil Energy
Office of MHD
Washington, D.C.

ABSTRACT

Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) electrical power generation is based on the principle that a conducting fluid flowing through a magnetic field will generate an electric field which is perpendicular to both the flow direction and the field direction.

In the MHD generators now being evaluated for commercial use, the fluid conductor is very hot gas from air-enriched combustion of coal. To increase conductivity the gas is seeded with a salt such as potassium carbonate, K_2CO_3 , which becomes ionized at the high gas temperatures achievable in an MHD generator. The high conductivity gas is accelerated through a nozzle and flows at high velocity through an enclosed channel located in the field of a large superconducting magnet. An array of electrodes along the channel wall is suitably connected to a load. Current is thus extracted directly from the gas without any moving parts and power is delivered to the load.

Because there are no moving parts, the MHD generator can operate at much higher temperatures than a conventional turbine. The exit gas from the MHD channel is still hot enough to operate a conventional steam turbine plant. The exit gas from the MHD "topping plant" added to a steam turbine plant can thus increase the amount of electric power generated from each ton of coal burned by approximately 50%.

In addition to this very high comparative thermodynamic efficiency, it is inherent in the MHD combustion process that SO_x and MO_x emissions are reduced well below EPA standards, even with high sulphur coals.

The ability to burn indigenous coal directly and cleanly to produce electric power is of great importance to the U.S. energy economy.

The magnetic field strength required for practical MHD power is about 6 tesla (60,000 gauss). This is approximately 250,000 times the field of a strong permanent magnet.

The field for the MHD generator is produced by passing a D.C. current through many turns of conductor in a specially shaped winding. This is called an "air-core electro-magnet". If the conductors of the winding were made from conventional copper wire, the magnet would require an amount of power nearly equal to the output of the MHD generator. It is thus necessary that the magnet windings be made from superconductors.

The superconducting magnets that will be required for commercial scale MHD power generation plants will be large--about 25 meters in length, and heavy--about 4000 metric tons. They will be sophisticated in design and will involve many subsystems of an advanced technological nature.

The United States Department of Energy sponsors an active program in superconducting MHD magnet technology development that includes both procurement of magnets of increasing scale and advanced magnet technology to support the next generation of devices. The technology development programs have involved the industrial community to the maximum extent to build a suitable base to prepare for the full scale magnet which must be built before the end of the century.

The Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Francis Bitter National Magnet Laboratory serves as the Department of Energy, Office of MHD field office for superconducting magnet technology. A number of facilities nation-wide are involved in MHD research and development. A magnet system is required for each of these facilities, and each magnet system and each facility is part of a development plan that will permit the evaluation of a number of alternate magnet designs, and test all system components rigorously in increasing scale.

The High Performance Demonstration Experiment (HPDE) located at the Arnold Engineering Development Center in Tullahoma, Tennessee uses the largest magnet constructed for MHD experiments to date. The magnet is cryogenic, but not superconducting; it can be operated using either water or liquid nitrogen for cooling and can reach a magnetic field strength of 6 tesla for short periods of time. The magnet is 9 meters long and about 3.25 meters high. It weighs 265 tons and is being used to test a number of channel designs and to demonstrate high enthalpy extraction.

The Component Development and Integration Facility (CDIF) situated in Butte, Montana, will provide an opportunity to test all the components of an MHD electrical power generator system and to see how these components interact. The facility has two magnet systems. One of them, a conventional water-cooled copper electromagnet produces a peak field of 3.0 tesla and will be used for initial work before the superconducting magnet system is installed in 1982. The superconducting magnet system for CDIF will be the largest superconducting magnet yet constructed for MHD. It is about 10 meters long and 4 meters high and weighs about 200 tons. Its main design feature places the rectangular saddle magnet windings in a fiberglass-reinforced epoxy substructure that supports the winding and carries much of the magnetic forces. This concept of using a substructure to separate and support individual turns of the electromagnetic winding is being explored for use in the much larger magnets needed for commercial MHD power generators.

The CDIF conventional magnet was built by Magnetic Corporation of America and the CDIF superconducting magnet is being built by the General Electric Company, both under the supervision of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Francis Bitter National Laboratory.

The Coal-Fired-Flow Facility (CFFF) is located at the University of Tennessee Space Institute in Tullahoma, Tennessee. This facility will investigate channel operation under high slagging conditions produced from coal combustion with little or no slag rejection in the combustor. The superconducting magnet for the CFFF uses a magnet design concept in which the magnet windings are not carried in substructural support. A similar design principle was used in the construction of the superconducting magnet for the U-25 Bypass facility at the Institute for High Temperatures in Moscow. Both the CFFF and the U-25 B magnets were designed and constructed by Argonne National Laboratory. The U-25 B has a maximum field of 5 tesla, is 4 meters long and weighs 40 tons while the CFFF magnet has a maximum field of 6 tesla, is 10 meters long and weighs 180 tons.

The Stanford University High Temperature Gas Dynamics Laboratory located in Palo Alto, California specializes in studies of MHD instabilities in the plasma. These studies require a uniform magnetic field higher than that required for combustor or channel studies. For that reason a magnet with an extremely uniform field of at least 7 tesla on-axis has been designed. General Dynamics is incorporating the CASK superconducting magnet design concept into this effort.

The magnets now planned and under construction for the MHD experimental facilities noted above are the first stepping stones toward reaching commercial-scale magnets in baseload MHD power generating plants. Each of these magnets, and the facility with which it is associated, is geared to investigate areas that will permit taking the next step toward commercialization. Because of the differences in magnet designs, a number of alternative concepts and manufacturing methods are being tested. The completion and operation of these magnets will permit a final choice of a magnet design for an MHD Engineering Test Facility (ETF). Preliminary designs for such magnets are already being considered, all of which use structural design concepts aimed at scale-up. Not until the operational data from the smaller magnets are assessed will the next much larger step be taken. It is essential that an ETF magnet make optimal use of structural materials as this is the largest percentage of magnet weight and cost.

FERMILAB'S ENERGY SAVER

Presented by M. Kuchnir
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory*
Batavia, Illinois

ABSTRACT

A 1TeV synchrotron based on superconducting magnets is under construction at the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory. Its 779 beam bending dipole magnets and its 216 beam focusing quadrupole magnets form a 6km long ring kept at 4.6 K by means of twenty-four 700w satellite refrigerators and one 5000 liter/hour Helium Liquefier. The facilities built for developing, producing, measuring, and refrigerating these magnets are unique. To date 320 of these dipole magnets have been built. The 4.3 T field they generate is uniform to 1 part in 10^4 over 6m long cylindrical volumes of 5cm diameter perpendicular to the axis. Strings with up to 40 magnets have been installed and activated in the tunnel that houses the present 0.5 TeV synchrotron. A 20 magnet string above ground is now being used for system tests, especially quench handling tests. Besides doubling the maximum energy of the proton beam, the new synchrotron will considerably reduce the laboratory's power consumption.

*Operated by Univ. Res. Association for the Dept. of Energy

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK-NOT FILMED

LCP AND 12 TESLA PROGRAMS AT ORNL

Presented by W. A. Fietz
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Oak Ridge, Tennessee

ABSTRACT


The Large Coil Program (LCP) at ORNL consists of six coils and a test facility for proving the use of superconducting coils in tokamaks. Each of the six coils is built to a common external specification with a 2.5 x 3.5m bore and an 8 T magnetic field. Each is designed and manufactured by a different company, three in the U.S. and three from abroad. The conductor and winding schemes vary widely so that a great deal of experience with different conductors will result from the tests. The first coils are expected in mid FY 1981, and the full tests of all six coils is scheduled in FY 1983. The 12 T program is designed to produce conductor experience in smaller coils to extend the operating field to 12 Tesla. Four teams in the U.S. will each produce a model coil of 1m OD by 0.4m ID for testing in the High Field Test Facility at LLL. The schedule calls for the first coils to be delivered in FY 1981.

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK-NOT FILMED

By acceptance of this article, the publisher or recipient acknowledges the U.S. Government's right to retain a nonexclusive, royalty-free license in and to any copyright covering the article.


VIEWGRAPH TITLES

1. ETF CONCEPTUAL DESIGN
2. LCP COIL MODEL
3. LCP COIL LAYOUT
4. LCTF VACUUM TANK
5. MODEL OF 6-COIL SYSTEM
6. LCP SCHEDULE
7. 12-T COIL TEAMS
8. SPECIFICATIONS
9. OUTLINE OF 12-T COIL PROGRAM
10. CONDUCTOR AND COOLING CONCEPTS
11. MODEL COIL TEST FACILITY
12. TEST ARRANGEMENT DETAILS




ETF BASELINE DESIGN - OCTOBER 1979


Conceptual design for the Engineering Test Facility, a tokamak fusion machine demonstrating engineering feasibility.




A full scale model of one of the six superconducting test coils for the Large Coil Program.



Plan view of the coil layout for testing the large coils.




A view of the Large Coil Test Facility vacuum tank under construction.



A scale model of the six coils as they will be arranged in the test facility.

MILESTONES AND SCHEDULE FOR THE LCP



12 T COIL TEAMS

MANAGEMENT	COIL DESIGN	COIL FABRICATION	CONDUCTOR MANUFACTURER
(1) GAC	GAC/MCA	MCA	MCA/UW
(2) NML (MIT)	NML	W	AIRCO & SUPERCON
(3) ORNL	GD/AIRCO	GD	AIRCO
(4) ORNL	GE/IGC	GE	IGC

OUTLINE OF 12 T COIL PROGRAM

ORNL WITH GD/AIRCO AND GE/IGC

PART I : SCOPING STUDY OF 12 T IF COIL FOR EIF — 630

PART II: (1) CONCEPTUAL DESIGN OF 12 T MODEL COIL — 66

(2) DETAILED DESIGN & VERIFICATION TESTS

(3) PROCUREMENT & FABRICATION

Not yet
checked

Final

AD-A085 974

FRANKLIN RESEARCH CENTER PHILADELPHIA PA POWER INFORM--ETC F/G 20/3
SUMMARY OF THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE SUPERCONDUCTIVITY TECHNICAL E--ETC(U)
APR 80 NASW-3219
PIC-ELE-SC 209/1

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2

2. 2


END

DATE

FILED

8-80

DTIC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963-A

CONDUCTOR & COOLING CONCEPTS

TEAM	CONDUCTOR	COOLING	RATING
(1) GAC/MCA	NbTi TA CABLE	PB - SUBCOOLED TO 2.3 K	10 KA
(2) NML/W/AIRCO/SUPERCON	MF-Nb3Sn CABLE IN CONDUIT	FF - SUPERCRITICAL, 4.2 K	15.7 kA
(3) ORNL/GD/AIRCO	MF-Nb3Sn MONOLITH	PB AT 4.2 K	10 kA
(4) ORNL/GE/IGC	MF-Nb3Sn CABLE	PB* AT 4.2 K	15 kA

* choice


ORNL Prepared Specs (with ETP Advice)

SPECIFICATIONS (Revision 2, May 4, 1979)

TABLE I


ETF TF COIL SPECIFICATIONS

1. Plasma Major Radius ~ 5 m
2. Coil Major Radius ~ 5.8 m
3. Coil Bore Size - 6 m horizontal x 10 m vertical
4. Coil Shape - Modified D-Shape
5. Number of Coils - 12
6. Field on Plasma Axis ~ 5.8 T
7. Peak Field at the Winding - 12 T
8. Conductor - Nb_3Sn
9. Field Profile - Maximum on the center line
10. Amp Turns/Coil ~ 12 MA
11. Current Density over Winding Pack $> 1700 \text{ A/cm}^2$
over Conductor $> 2200 \text{ A/cm}^2$
12. Operating Current - 10 to 15 kA
13. Stored Energy/Coil ~ 1500 MJ
14. Stability Margin - 1/2 turn length at the high field region can withstand 100 mJ/cm^3 without undergoing a quench
15. Tolerance for Pulsed Fields - Same as LCP specifications $0.15 \text{ T in } 1 \text{ sec}$
Rate $\leq 1 \text{ in } 5 \text{ min.}$
16. Tolerance for Radiation - Same as LCP specifications (TS-14700-01 Rev. C, Par. 3.2.8, 3.2.14, 3.3.5)
17. Vacuum Topology - Bell jar with re-entrant holes
18. Plasma Disruption - $0.5 \text{ T in } 10 \text{ ms}$



12 T HIGH FIELD TEST FACILITY
4 METER DIA. CRYOSTAT

HFTF



**DIVISION OF ELECTRIC ENERGY SYSTEM'S
SUPERCONDUCTIVITY PROGRAM**

**Presented By Russell Eaton
Dept. of Energy, Washington, DC**

ABSTRACT

The Division of Electric Energy Systems of the United States Department of Energy has an integral project in superconductivity to support the development of the nations future transmission and distribution system. The development of compact, underground superconductivity transmission cables systems offers attractive alternatives to conventional cable systems for application where right-of-ways are extremely limited. Some of the concepts are being demonstrated in the 100 meter pre-prototype superconducting, flexible cable systems at the Brookhaven National Laboratories. Other important projects in superconducting power transmission are the 10 MVA generator and the superconductivity fault current limiter. A number of smaller efforts, primarily directed to materials research, are also in progress.

DEVELOPMENT OF STANDARDS FOR PRACTICAL SUPERCONDUCTORS

Presented by A. F. Clark
Thermophysical Properties Division
National Bureau of Standards
Boulder, Colorado

ABSTRACT

Practical superconductors are complex materials and the accurate determination of the parameters required for designing with them is a difficult task. Many approaches are possible for determining a given parameter and the results depend critically on which one is chosen. The National Bureau of Standards is now working in conjunction with the manufacturers and users of superconducting wires to arrive at a set of voluntary standards for making and reporting measurements on these materials. The program involves the preparation of standard definitions, investigation of current measurement practices, experimental determination of the effect of various apparatus parameters, and development of standard test methods. Results from the program and current research will be summarized.

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK-NOT FILMED

STANDARDS FOR PRACTICAL SUPERCONDUCTING MATERIALS

GOAL

**Adoption of Voluntary Standards for the
Characterization of Practical Superconducting Materials**

Standards for Practical Superconducting Materials

- A cooperative program funded by:

National Bureau of Standards

Department of Energy

Division of Energy Storage

Office of Fusion Energy

Division of High Energy Physics

Division of Magnetohydrodynamics

(thru Francis Bitter National Magnet Laboratory)


USAF Aeropropulsion Laboratory

- Research performed at NBS and by industrial subcontractors


Why are Standards needed: an example

Critical Current

Definition



Technique



Benefits of Standards

- Improved precision in specifications
- Better characterization of products
- Uniform, reliable design data
- Broader and more consistent RFP responses
- Improved research capabilities
- Calibration services and reference materials

**Reduced Costs
&
Greater Reliability**

SUPERCONDUCTING PARAMETERS

1. Critical Current, I_c
2. Transient Losses, e.c., hysteresis, ρ
3. Matrix Properties, ρ, λ , stabilization
4. Critical Temperature, T_c
5. Critical Field, H_c

OBJECTIVES

DEFINE PARAMETERS

- Survey literature for historical definitions
- Assess current practical uses
- Prepare and distribute interim definitions
- Reevaluate after test development

DEVELOP TEST PROCEDURES

- Survey literature for possible methods
- Assess current test practices
- Select and develop test methods
- Organize round robin testing and feedback
- Coordinate adoption and publication


OBJECTIVES

PREPARE STANDARD MATERIALS

- Select and prepare variety of materials
- Characterize materials during test development
- Include materials in round robin testing
- Encourage adoption of standard reference materials

COORDINATE STANDARDS

- Survey material and device manufacturers
- Include producers and users in test development
- Distribute proposed standards and test results for evaluation
- Coordinate implementation of voluntary standards



Results From The Program

Technical

Interim definitions
ASTM subcommittee
National survey of techniques

Experimental

Critical current studies
Critical temperature technique comparisons
AC loss technique comparisons

Contracts

Airco - current ripple, field angle
IGC - self field, matrix RRR
MCA - current transfer
Supercon - I_c vs. sample configuration

Interim Definitions Published for Comment

Published in Cryogenics

- **Fundamental states and flux phenomena**
- **Critical parameters**
- **Fabrication, stabilization and transient losses**
- **Josephson phenomena**

Supporting Superconductor Research at NBS - Boulder

(Funding by: NBS, Navy, INCRA, DOE, LASL)

- Stress and fatigue in wires
- Stress and fatigue in coils
- Training of coils
- Stabilizing metals - Cu, Al
- New high-field superconductors
- In-situ processing
- Transfer length
- Thermal expansion
- Elastic properties
- Thermal conductivity
- Magnetic properties

CASTING OF DENDRITIC Cu-Nb ALLOYS
FOR SUPERCONDUCTING WIRE

Presented By D. K. Finnemore
Ames Laboratory-USDOE
Department of Physics
Iowa State University
Ames, Iowa

ABSTRACT

Consumable electrode arc casting techniques have been developed for the preparation of large billets of dendritic Cu-Nb alloys which are suitable for the fabrication of multifilamentary superconducting wire. The dendrite structure is somewhat more coarse than chill cast material but metallographic and chemical analyses show acceptably small radial and longitudinal segregation. The billets can be drawn to wire with no intermediate anneals. Both external diffusion after tin plating and internal diffusion of wire with a tin core can be used to transform the Nb filaments to Nb₃Sn. The arc cast wire displays J_c values equivalent to previously reported values on in-situ wire at high fields but somewhat lower values at low fields.

PROJECT

I. GOAL

A) SUPERCONDUCTING WIRE FOR LARGE SCALE MAGNETS

- 1) 8-14 TESLA
- 2) LOW COST
- 3) STRAIN TOLERANT (DUCTILE FLEXIBLE)
- 4) LOW REACTION TEMPERATURE


II. IDEA

A) CONTINUOUS FILAMENTS ARE NOT NEEDED IF THE FILAMENTS ARE:


- 1) LONG ENOUGH
- 2) THIN ENOUGH
- 3) HOMogeneously SPACED ENOUGH

B) PREPARE FILAMENTS VIA DENDITE GROWTH


Ames process for superconducting wire.





Sequential steps for the Ames process.



AMES LONG DENDRITE PROCESS



CRITICAL CURRENT AND STRAIN TOLERANCE



VI. SPECIAL FEATURES

- A. EASE OF FABRICATION
- B. 500°C REACTION TEMPERATURE
- C. REPRODUCIBLE RESULTS
- D. WIDE RANGE OF PARAMETERS FOR DESIGN
TRADE-OFFS
- E. HIGH STRENGTH
- F. STRAIN TOLERANT
- G. DRAW SHORT LENGTHS TO 0.015 CM DIAM. WITH
NO ANNEALS
- H. SOLDERS LIKE CU WIRE




Fig 1

Critical current data.

SHORT SAMPLE


● COIL A

○ COIL B


--- SN PLATE

J_c (A/cm²)

K-E SEMI-LOGARITHMIC 5 CYCLES X 70 DIVISIONS
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO. MADE IN U.S.A.



461510

K-E 10 X 10 TO THE CENTIMETER 18 X 25 CM
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO. MADE IN U.S.A.

REVIEW OF RECENT DEVELOPMENTS OF
MULTIFILAMENTARY Nb₃Sn BY
"IN SITU" AND COLD
POWDER METALLURGY PROCESSES*

Presented by S. Foner
Francis Bitter National Magnet
Laboratory and Plasma
Fusion Center
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts

ABSTRACT

The development of both the "In Situ" and cold powder metallurgy processes for multifilamentary high field superconducting materials is reviewed. Both materials show overall critical current densities of $J_c \geq 10^4$ A/cm² at 14 tesla and both show improved mechanical properties over conventional multifilamentary materials. The processes produce submicron fibres of Nb₃Sn (or V₃Ga) in a ductile Cu matrix resulting in wires which are promising as alternatives to conventional continuous fibre multifilamentary superconducting materials.

During the last two years we have explored two processes for the fabrication of multifilamentary high field superconducting materials: the "In Situ" process and the cold powder metallurgy (P/M) process. Both processes have been developed to the point where high critical currents are achieved at high fields. Furthermore, both processes show improved mechanical properties over conventional multifilamentary materials. In this paper we summarize some of the characteristics of our materials fabricated by each process.

*Presented at the 8th Symposium on Energy Problems of Fusion Research, Nov. 13-16, 1979 in San Francisco, California; to be published by IEEE.

FIGURES




Fig. 1. Schematic of conventional multilayer metallization process.




Fig. 2. Schematic of cold powder metallurgy multilayer Cu-Nb-Sn process.




Fig. 3. Overall critical current density J_c versus applied field B_0 for "In Situ" Cu-36 wt% Nb-20 wt% Sn wires compared to published results for various techniques obtained in lower B_0 . (After Ref. 1.)




Fig. 2. Processing of "In Situ" multilayered superconductors proceeds from melting to the final stage of reaction to form filaments. (After Ref. 2)




Fig. 4. Critical current density J_c tested small cross-sectional area of the wires versus applied field B_0 at 4.2 K. Results of Tsuei (Ref. 3) are compared with present "In-Situ" material: 0.25 mm-diam wire, 17 wt% Nb-7 wt% Sn annealed for 3 days at 650°C. (After Ref. 4.)




Fig. 5. Overall current density J_c versus stress, σ , for a Cu-36 wt% Nb-20 wt% Sn wire. The applied transverse field, B_0 is indicated for each curve. (After Ref. 5.)




Fig. 7. Normalized $J_c = J_c(\sigma)/J_{c0}$ where J_{c0} is the maximum critical current density under stress, as a function of stress σ at 14 T for 11.9 and 11.9 wt % Sn in Cu-35 wt % Nb "to 5-sec" wires. (After Ref. 6.)




Fig. 8. Overall critical current density J_c at 14 T as a function of the primary dendrite arm size of the original alloy (open circles). All had the same composition and same heat treatment 1 day at 600°C. The closed circles are for a short-time anneal. (After Ref. 11.)




Fig. 9. Overall critical current density, J_c , versus stress and strain at 4.2 K for a 10 μm dendrite size directionally solidified in air. The base alloy was Cu-35 wt % Nb. (After Ref. 11.)




Fig. 10. Overall critical current density J_c at 4.2 K versus stress σ at fixed field for Cu-35 wt % Nb wires with in situ Cu-V-Ga. The wires were heat treated for 1 day at 600°C. The closed circles are for a grain growth at 4.2 K in presence of the wire measured 1 day at 600°C. The dashed curve at 15 T is the same wire measured after annealing the wire from 600 K to 4.2 K. (After Ref. 12.)




Fig. 11. Overall critical current density J_c versus applied field B_0 for representative Cu-Nb-Sn materials produced by the cold-chamber process with hydride-dehydride Nb powder. The curves are in compression and axial reduction ratio 1. (After Ref. 13.)




Fig. 12. Overall critical current density J_c versus applied field B_0 for cold-chamber process (P/M) processed Cu-Nb-Sn materials at 4.2 K. The two curves show representative results for two sets of P/M materials fabricated with a 12-μm grain size and a 10-μm grain size. The curves are in compression and axial reduction ratio 1. The wt % in the Cu-Nb-Sn is 35 wt % Nb in relation to Cu powder and does not include the external jacket. (After Ref. 14.)




Fig. 13. Overall critical current density J_c versus stress σ at 4.2 K and fixed field for (P/M) processed Cu-35 wt % Nb-27 wt % Sn fabricated with Zr-Cu alloy jacket. The curves are at 14 T, 15 T, and 16 T. The dashed curve at 15 T is the same wire measured after annealing the wire from 600 K to 4.2 K. (After Ref. 14.)




Fig. 14. Comparison of overall critical current density J_c versus applied field B_0 for commercial, "in situ" and cold-chamber process materials.

SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNET FACILITY AT NRL

**Presented by Reid Clement
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D. C.**

ABSTRACT

For economic reasons, NRL has decided to convert its 30-year-old High Magnetic Field Facility from exclusive use of water-cooled magnets to exclusive use of superconducting magnets. Existing and (previously) planned water-cooled magnet capability will be, to a major extent, duplicated by superconducting magnets already on hand plus ones whose purchase is planned. A significant technical development program has been required to adapt "laboratory" superconducting magnets to effective and reliable "facility" use.

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK-NOT FILMED

ECONOMICS OF WATER-COOLED MAGNETS

- LIMITED MAGNET LIFE [200 TO 400 HOURS]
- LABOR AND PARTS FOR REPLACEMENT
- TECHNICIAN LABOR FOR SYSTEM OPERATION
- ELECTRICITY COSTS [to NRL] - MAINLY "DEMAND" PROBLEM
- "ONE-AT-A-TIME" USE
- INCREASING MAINTENANCE BECAUSE OF SYSTEM AGE [STARTED 1950]

ECONOMICS OF SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNETS [WITH LIQUEFIER]

- INFINITE (?) MAGNET LIFE
- USER [RESEARCHER] OPERATION
- LOW ELECTRICITY COSTS - REDUCED "DEMAND" - ENERGY USE SAME
- SIMULTANEOUS USE OF SEVERAL MAGNETS
- MINIMAL MAINTENANCE
- BONUS - LIQUEFACTION OF OTHER NRL LIQUID
HELIUM REQUIREMENTS

PRESENT AND PLANNED WATER-COOLED MAGNET CAPABILITY

RT BORE (in)	MAX FIELD (Tesla)	MIN SWEEP TIME (Minutes)
4.25	0-12	3.5
2.50	0-15	3.5
1.25	0-19	3.5
[1.20]*	[0-22*]	?

* PLANNED HYBRID

PRESENT AND PLANNED SUPERCONDUCTING MAGNET CAPABILITY

2.50	-0.7 to +14.5	30
10.75	0 to +6.8	?
[2.50]*	[-15 to +15]	[15]
[1.20]**	[- ? to +22*]	[?]

* PLANNED DUPLEX

** PLANNED DUAL, REPLACES HYBRID, USES 10.75", 6.8T MAGNET

ADAPTING "LABORATORY" SC MAGNETS TO "FACILITY" USE

- CLOSED CYCLE COOLING - CHOICE OF DEWAR CONFIGURATION
- INEXPERIENCED USERS - AUTOMATION & SIMPLIFICATION OF OPERATION
- "QUENCHES" BAD FOR CLOSED CYCLE COOLING - AUTOMATIC QUENCH CONTROL
- RELIABILITY OF LONG TERM OPERATION - LN₂ CONTROL
- GENERAL CRYOGENIC "HOUSEKEEPING"
- FIELD MEASUREMENT & ANALOGUE SIGNAL - UNDER STUDY

AIRBORNE SUPERCONDUCTOR APPLICATIONS

Presented by C. E. Oberly
Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories
Wright-Patterson AFB
Dayton, Ohio

ABSTRACT

Air Force applications of superconductivity include AC synchronous generators, magnets for MHD generators and inductive energy storage devices for high power systems (many megawatts). Emphasis in recent years has been placed on development of manufacturing methods for Nb_3Sn , unique cooling concepts and detailed structural analysis. These fundamental improvements in magnet design details will culminate in the testing of a compact MHD magnet, a 20 megawatt generator and repetitive pulse 20 kilojoule energy storage coils over the next couple of years. The next generation of high power superconducting machinery for airborne applications will incorporate Nb_3Sn and advanced cooling concepts including high thermal conductivity coating and potting materials.

HIGH PRESSURE SYNTHESIS PROGRAM
AT BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY
WATERVLIET ARSENAL

Presented by Clarke G. Homan
U.S. Army Armament Research & Development Command
Materials Engineering Section
Research Branch, BWL, LCWSL
Watervliet Arsenal

ABSTRACT

Study of materials produced by high pressure technology suggests that potentially useful physical properties may be obtained, eg., semiconducting materials such as CdS, when pressure quenched at rates above 10^6 bars/sec at ambient temperatures; yield static magnetic moment vs. field behavior similar to typical type II superconductors at temperatures above 70K.

The program to investigate the possibility of high temperature superconductivity on these materials will be discussed.

Other aspects of Benet's superconductivity materials program including hydrogen metallization and $Pd_x M_{1-x} H$ system will be discussed.

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK-NOT FILMED

APPLICATIONS OF HIGH T_c SUPERCONDUCTORS
TO U.S. ARMY NEEDS

1. POWER TRANSMISSION.
2. POWER GENERATION.
3. COMMUNICATIONS.
4. INFORMATION PROCESSING.
5. MASS DRIVERS (ELECTROMAGNETICALLY LAUNCHED PROJECTILES).

BENET LAB, ARRADCOM, WATERVLIET ARSENAL PROGRAM ON HIGH T_c

SUPERCONDUCTING MATERIALS

ACTUAL SUPERCONDUCTORS	PROBABLE SUPERCONDUCTORS	POSSIBLE SUPERCONDUCTORS
------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

$T_c < 30 \text{ K}$

$T_c < 200 \text{ K}$

$T_c < 300 \text{ K}$

PRESSURE SYNTHETIZED

$Pd_x M_{1-x} H$

PRESSURE QUENCHED COMPOUNDS

CdS, Cu Cl, In Sb


PRESSURE FORMED

METALLIC H (H_2)


CVD SYNTHETIZED


A15 FILAMENTS

CASE I - TYPE I SUPERCONDUCTOR AND STRONG PARAMAGNETIC REGIONS



CASE II - TYPE II SUPERCONDUCTOR AND STRONG PARAMAGNET REGIONS









Polycrystalline CdS pellet before (left, translucent orange) and after (right, opaque black) pressure quenching from 50 Kbars at about 10^6 bars/sec. (Millimeter scale at bottom for size comparison.)


HIGH PRESSURE SYNTHESIS OF A METASTABLE FORM OF CADMIUM SULFIDE



Pressure vs. resistance of two grades of CdS. The alpha inorganic material is the material which shows anomalous magnetic properties.



NORMAL HYDROGEN ($\approx 15^\circ\text{K}$)



METALLIC HYDROGEN

HIGH TEMPERATURE SUPERCONDUCTOR

HIGH CRITICAL FIELD (H_c)

LOW DENSITY

25 TIMES MORE ENERGY THAN HIGH EXPLOSIVES

METALLIC HYDROGEN

THEORETICAL PREDICTIONS


MOLECULAR → METALLIC TRANSFORMATION PRESSURE — 800 Kb → 5,000 Kb


METASTABILITY — 0 → 0.2 eV

SUPERCONDUCTIVITY — T_c — 0°K → 242°K

DENSITY — 0.89 gm/cm³ → 1.6 gm/cm³

STRUCTURE — FCC, HCP, BCC





Chemical vapor deposition technique for coating Al₅ material on filaments.

COPPER CHLORIDE; STABILITY AND EXCITON POPULATION PERCURSIVE
TO ANOMALOUS DIAMAGNETISM

Presented by I. Lefkowitz

U. S. Army Research Office, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709,
and Hunter College of the City University of New York, NY 10021,
and University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27514

ABSTRACT

Single crystal, and disordered CuCl has been studied as a function of exciton density and temperature. Large changes in the electrical properties have been observed. We will report on evidence that such changes are reproducible and correlate with exciton density. Primary attention will be given to the question of chemical stability. Evidence will be presented that no chemical changes (disproportionation) has occurred after many temperature cycles from 11°K to R.T. with and without high exciton population density. Changes in the dielectric and magnetic properties that have been observed will be discussed in light of the high temperature superconductivity theory of Ginsberg, Kirsnitz, Lefkowitz and Bloomfield.

PRECEDING PAGE BLANK-NOT FILMED




FIG. 1. This figure shows the deviation of the secondary voltage beyond background drift as a CuCl sample was warmed under 5 kbar pressure. The positive deviation indicates increased diamagnetism. The error bars indicate uncertainty in the background. The secondary voltage deviated from the background only between 120 and 180 K.

These figures

Figures previously published in:
Diamagnetic Transition in Disordered
CuCl. Lefkowitz, I.; Manning, J.S.;
Bloomfield, P.E.; *Phys Rev B*, 20(11),
Dec. 1, 1979.





FIG. 3. Oscillatory susceptibility behavior: (a) the differential magnetic susceptibility observed at 20 Hz in CuCl redrawn from Brandt *et al.*, Ref. 6; (b) negative of phase change observed in secondary coil during 100 Hz susceptibility measurement in americium redrawn from Olsen. (Ref. 10); SC: superconducting. INT: intermediate state. N: normal; (c) work reported herein redrawn on the same (logarithmic) temperature scale as Brandt *et al.*, (Ref. 6).

⁶N. B. Brandt, S. V. Kuvshinnikov, A. P. Rusakov, and M. V. Semenov, *JETP Lett.* **27**, 37 (1978).

¹⁰C. E. Olsen, *Phys. Lett.* **43A**, 205 (1973).

NAVY SUPERCONDUCTIVE MACHINERY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

Presented by Michael Superczynski
David W. Taylor Naval Ship Research
and Development Center

ABSTRACT

The Navy is developing the technology base for superconducting electric propulsion machinery systems for ship drives in the range of 40,000 to 75,000 hp per shaft. Full scale system development is aimed at the 1983-88 period. Current progress includes design, construction and tests of laboratory superconductive machinery in the 400 to 1000 hp range, preliminary design of 40,000 hp systems and ongoing construction and tests of 3000 hp feasibility models of full scale systems.

Advanced development of superconductive electric ship propulsion systems was started in fiscal year 1973. The impetus for developing the technology for these ship propulsion systems originates in the research and exploratory development studies of several Navy activities and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency beginning in the mid 1960's. These studies convincingly showed the potential effectiveness of compact and efficient superconductive propulsion systems as compared to turbine-gear or conventional electric machinery.

The prime contractors involved in the construction of 3000 hp machinery are AiResearch and General Electric. AiResearch is constructing: 1) two normally conducting a.c. alternators and solid state rectifier assemblies, 2) one d.c. superconductive homopolar motor. All equipments are basically complete and undergoing no load factory test. Delivery is expected to begin in June 1980. General Electric is constructing two superconductive homopolar motors, each of somewhat different design. The first is now complete and undergoing factory no load testing with expected delivery in 4th quarter FY 80. The second motor is approximately 70% complete and expected delivery in FY 81.

The DTNSRDC 400 hp superconductive motor and generator propulsion system is being installed on the 65 foot test craft, Jupiter II, to be tested in the Chesapeake Bay in 4th quarter FY 80.

Cryogenic cooling for these systems will be provided by CTI 1400 liquefiers in the laboratory and the NRL #1 unit on take test craft. This unit was built by Dr. Sam Collins at NRL and shows a significant improvement in efficiency.

In addition to these contractor efforts there is a significant in-house technology development effort, approximately 20 MY/yr, in the areas of machinery evaluation, current collectors, superconductive magnets, switchgear and transmission lines, refrigeration, instrumentation, system analysis and application studies.

SUPERCONDUCTING MATERIALS PROGRAM AT NRL

Presented by D. U. Gubser
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, D. C.

ABSTRACT

Superconducting materials research at NRL presently contains three major thrusts: 1) inhomogeneous superconductors, 2) homogeneous film superconductors, and 3) multifilamentary wire development. In the inhomogeneous superconductor studies, we are examining the superconducting properties of granular two dimensional NbN films. These investigations have revealed a critical fluctuation region near a characteristic temperature where the grains become phase coherently coupled due to the Josephson interaction. Studies of this phase coherent state are being systematically performed as functions of electric field, magnetic field, temperature and intergranular coupling strength. Future studies will involve V_3Ga and V_3Si compounds and new inhomogeneous structures.

Our homogeneous film studies involve the use of an ultra high vacuum sputtering facility for producing high T_c compound materials. The films are subsequently characterized by diffractometry and Auger analysis to determine crystal structure and chemical composition. Superconducting properties are then measured and correlated with film quality and fabrication conditions. These procedures were used on the NbC_xN_{1-x} pseudobinary system with a resulting improvement of T_c values to that previously reported only on bulk samples, namely T_c (max) of 17.8 K. A transition width of only 0.02 K was observed in this film. Future work on homogeneous films will concentrate on V_3Ga and V_3Si compounds due to their intrinsically high thermodynamic critical field values H_c which are higher than any other known superconductor.

Our multifilamentary wire program has concentrated on the superconducting compound V_3Ga which is formed into wire by a modified bronze technique where both the Cu bronze matrix and the V-Ga core rods are alloyed. This process produces wire with J_c values higher than any other wire at 4.2 K and in fields from 3 to 18 tesla. V_3Ga wire is also slightly more radiation and strain resistant than Nb_3Sn wire. A contract for the production of 500 meter lengths of 25,000 filament V_3Ga wire has been given to AIRCO and delivery date is anticipated this summer.

SUPERCONDUCTING MATERIALS

DON GUBSER

STU WOLF

TOM FRANCAVILLA

DAVE JONES

RUSS MEUSSNER

DAVE HOWE

CRYOGENIC REFRIGERATION

SAM COLLINS

MAJOR PARTICIPANTS IN NRL'S SUPERCONDUCTING MATERIALS & CRYOGENIC
REFRIGERATION EFFORTS

- INHOMOGENEOUS SUPERCONDUCTORS

NbN V_3Ga

- HOMOGENEOUS FILM SUPERCONDUCTORS

NbN, Nb(CN), V_3Ga


- MULTIFILAMENTARY WIRE

V_3Ga

3 MAJOR THRUSTS OF NRL'S SUPERCONDUCTING MATERIALS PROGRAM. LISTED UNDER THE MAJOR THRUST ARE THE SPECIFIC MATERIALS PRESENTLY BEING INVESTIGATED.

DIMENSIONALITY IN SUPERCONDUCTORS


INHOMOGENEOUS SYSTEMS




THE INHOMOGENEOUS SUPERCONDUCTING CLASS OF MATERIAL ARE COMPOSITE STRUCTURES COMPOSED OF SUPERCONDUCTING REGIONS WITH AT LEAST ONE DIMENSION SMALL IMBEDDED IN A NONSUPERCONDUCTING MATRIX. AT NRL WE HAVE CONCENTRATED ON THE 2 DIMENSIONAL GRANULAR STRUCTURE COMPOSED OF NbH GRAINS IN A Nb₂O₅ INSULATING MATRIX.

RESISTANCE vs TEMPERATURE OF A TWO-DIMENSIONAL GRANULAR NbN SPECIMEN. BETWEEN T_{CG} AND T_S , NbN ACTS LIKE A HOMOGENEOUS TWO-DIMENSIONAL

CONDUCTOR. SHADED AND CROSS-CHECKED REGIONS REPRESENT CURRENT-DEPENDENT RESISTANCE REGIMES. SOLID LINE IS THE RESISTANCE MEASURED AT LOW CURRENT DENSITIES; DASHED LINE ENCLOSES THE REGION OF ZERO RESISTANCE. NOTE THE SMALL RESISTANCE AT THE LOW CURRENTS IN THE TEMPERATURE RANGE T_S TO T^* .




FILM PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION




UHV REACTIVE SPUTTERING
 PLANAR AND CYLINDRICAL FILMS
 VARY REACTIVE GAS TO ARGON RATIO
 VARY SUBSTRATE TEMPERATURE.



AUGER ANALYSIS
 IRWIN SINGER




X-RAY DIFFRACTION
 EARL SKELTON



CRITICAL MAGNETIC FIELDS
 CRITICAL TEMPERATURE
 RESISTIVITY
 RESISTIVITY RATIO

NRL THIN FILMS FOR BOTH THE INHOMOGENEOUS AND HOMOGENEOUS PROGRAMS ARE PREPARED BY REACTIVE SPUTTERING FOLLOWED BY CAREFUL STRUCTURAL, CHEMICAL, ELECTRICAL, AND SUPERCONDUCTING MEASUREMENTS.



RECENT WORK ON THE $\text{NbC}_x\text{N}_{1-x}$ SYSTEM HAS LED TO THE FORMATION OF THIN FILMS WITH T_c 's UP TO 17.8 K ($\Delta T_c \approx 20$ mK), WHICH IS EQUAL TO THE HIGHEST REPORTED BULK VALUE.

HIGH T_c SUPERCONDUCTORS SHOWING T_c , H_{c2} , AND H_c VALUES. THE LAST COLUMN SHOWS THAT $H_c(0)$ OF V_3Ga IS THE HIGHEST OF ANY KNOWN SUPERCONDUCTING MATERIAL WITH V_3Si COMING IN A CLOSE SECOND. THIS IS DUE TO THE EXTREMELY HIGH ELECTRONIC DENSITY OF STATES AT THE FERMI LEVEL $N(E_F)$ IN V_3Ga AND V_3Si .

COMPOUND (NOMINAL)	STRUCTURE	T_0 (K)	$H_{c2}(4.2K)$ TESLA	$H_c(0)$ TESLA
Nb_3Ge	A15	23.3	37.0	0.48
$Nb_{3.2}[Ge_{2.2}Al_{.6}]$	A15	20.7	41.0	
Nb_3Ga	A15	20.3	33.0	0.38
$Nb_3[Ga_{.5}Al_{.5}]$	A15	19.0	31.0	
Nb_3Al	A15	18.9	29.5	0.40
Nb_3Sn	A15	18.3	26.0	0.54
V_3Si	A15	17.1	23.0	0.58
V_3Ga	A15	15.4	23.6	0.60
$Pb_{1.0}MO_{5.1}S_6$	CHEVREL	14.4	51.0	
$Sn_{1.0}MO_{5.0}S_6$	CHEVREL	13.4	29.0	
NbN	BI	16.0	15.0-29.0	0.20
$Nb[N_{0.7}C_{0.3}]$	BI	17.8	<12.5(?)	
$NbTi$		9.1	~12.0	

NRL

- SUPERCONDUCTING CAVITIES AND RESONATORS

$$R_{\text{wall}} \propto \frac{\omega^n}{T} e^{-\frac{\Delta(0)}{KT}}$$

$$\rightarrow H_c^{\text{RF}} \sim 0.8 H_c \leftarrow (\text{High } T_c \text{ superconductors})$$

- MULTIFILAMENTARY WIRES

$$J_c = \frac{K_s}{H} h^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-h)^2$$


$$\text{where } h = H/H_{c2}$$

$$\rightarrow K_s \propto H_c^2 H_{c2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leftarrow$$


THE IMPORTANCE OF A HIGH H_c VALUE IS EMPHASIZED HERE FOR TWO APPLICATIONS. FOR SUPERCONDUCTING CAVITIES AND RESONATORS, ONE NEEDS A HIGH H_c VALUE SINCE THE CRITICAL RF FIELD H_c^{RF} AT WHICH EXCESSIVE WALL LOSSES OCCUR IS DIRECTLY PROPORTIONAL TO H_c IN THE HIGH T_c COMPOUNDS.

FOR SUPERCONDUCTING WIRE, ONE NEEDS A HIGH H_c VALUE SINCE THE AMOUNT OF CURRENT THAT A SUPERCONDUCTOR CAN CARRY IN HIGH MAGNETIC FIELDS DEPENDS ON H_c^2 . THE HIGH H_c AND H_{c2} VALUE OF $V_3\text{Ga}$ MAKE IT A FACTOR OF 2 BETTER THAN Nb_3Sn AT 4.2K AND 10 TESLA.

PLOT OF THE BEST REPORTED J_c VALUES AS A FUNCTION OF MAGNETIC FIELD FOR NbTi, Nb₃Sn AND V₃Ga. Nb₃Sn RESULTS ARE FROM WORK DONE AT BNL WHILE RESULTS FOR V₃Ga ARE FROM NRL. (SEE 1978 ASC).



COMPARISON OF RADIATION DAMAGE FOR Nb_3Sn AND V_3Ga SHOWING SIMILAR TRENDS, BUT SLIGHTLY LESS DEGRADATION OF T_c FOR A GIVEN NEUTRON FLUENCE IS NOTICES FOR V_3Ga .




NRL V₃Ga

10-5-79

9/17/85 Alloy

1.0 mm wire


STRAIN EFFECTS ON V₃Ga WIRE HAVE BEEN MEASURED BY J. EKin OF NBS BOULDER AND SHOW V₃Ga MORE STRAIN TOLERANT THAN Nb₃Sn. THESE RESULTS WILL BE REPORTED AT THE 1980 ASC.



(6.1)
BASIC STUDIES OF AIS
COMPOSITION
HOMOGENEITY
LONG RANGE ORDER

+
(6.1/6.2)

- o FABRICATION TECHNIQUE
- o GROWTH KINETICS
- o T_c , J_c (H.T), T_c (θ), J_c (θ)



INDUSTRIAL CONTRACT

USEFUL CONDUCTOR FOR NAVAL SYSTEMS
ENGINEERS (DELIVERY DATE JUNE 80)

- MOTORS, GENERATORS



NRL

NRL'S PROGRAM TO DEVELOP MULTIFILAMENTARY V_3Ga WIRE BEGAN WITH A BASIC RESEARCH STUDY ON THE COMPOUND $V_{3\pm X}Ga_{1\pm X}$ AND THEN PROCEEDED TO A STUDY OF FABRICATION TECHNIQUES AND SUPERCONDUCTING PROPERTIES OF V_3Ga WIRE FORMED BY A MODIFIED BRONZE TECHNIQUE WHEREIN BOTH THE Co BRONZE MATRIX AND V CORE RODS ARE ALLOYED WITH Ga . AN INDUSTRIAL CONTRACT TO PRODUCE 500 METER LENGTHS OF 25,000 FILAMENT V_3Ga WIRE HAS BEEN GIVEN TO AIRCO WITH DELIVERY SCHEDULED FOR SUMMER 1980.

APPENDIX

List of

TECHNICAL EXCHANGE SESSION ATTENDEES

Simon Foner
MIT Francis Bitter National Magnet
Laboratory
170 Albany St., MW14
Cambridge, MA 02139

Thomas Luhman
Bldg. 480
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Upton, NY 11973

Russell Eaton III
U.S. DOE
12 and Pennsylvania, NW
MS 3344
Washington, DC 20461

Kenneth Gray
Solid State Science Division
Bldg. 223
Argonne National Laboratories
Argonne, IL 60439

William Keller
P.O. Box 1663
MS 764
Los Alamos, NM 87545

R. J. Wasneski
J.P. 1, Rm. 478
Code NAIR 350F
Crystal City
1411 Jefferson Davis Highway
Arlington, VA 20360

Professor Roger Boom
531 Engineering Research Building
University of Wisconsin
1500 Johnson Ave.
Madison, WI 53706

Robert Wright
U.S. DOE
Office of Magnetohydrodynamics
MSF-338
Washington, DC 20545

Saul Strauch
U.S. DOE
600 E. St., NW
MS 404
Rm. 416
Washington, DC 20585

Moyses Kuchnir
P.O. Box 500
Batavia, IL 60510

Sam Collins
Code 6330
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, DC 20375

Alan Clark
Thermal Physical Properties Division
National Bureau of Standards
Boulder, CO 80303

Clarke Homan
Watervleit Arsenal Research Laboratory
Watervleit, NY 12189

Douglas Finnemore
Ames Research Laboratory
Iowa State University
Ames, IA 50011

Thomas Jones
Ferrous Metals Sections
Bureau of Mines
2401 E. St.
Washington, DC 20241

Reid Clement
Code 6890
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, DC 20375

Stuart Wolf
Code 6338
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, DC 20375

Thomas Francavilla
Code 6338
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, DC 20375

Issai Lefkowitz
U.S. Army Research Office
P.O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Robert Hein
Division of Materials Research
Condensed Matter Sciences Section
Low Temperature Physics
National Science Foundation
1800 G. Street, NW
Washington, DC 20550

Donald Waltman
David W. Taylor Naval Ship R&D Center
Code 2722
Annapolis, MD 21402

B. James Wilson
Naval Material Command
Code MAT08D22
Washington, DC 20360

Ray Hettche
Code 6300
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, DC 20375

Dimitrios Papaconstantopoulos
Code 6330
Naval Research Laboratory
Washington, DC 20375

William Fietz
M.S. 14
Bldg. 9204-1
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
P.O. Box Y
Oak Ridge, TN 37830

Superconductivity Technical Exchange Session

IAPG Superconductivity Panel Member Attendees

Mr. Edgar A. Edelsack
Office of Naval Research
Electronics and Solid State
Sciences Program
Code 427
800 N. Quincy St.
Arlington, VA 22217

Mr. Max Swerdlow
AF Office of Scientific Research
AFOSR/NE
Bldg. 410, Rm. C213
Bolling AFB
Washington, DC 20332

Dr. Donald Gubser
Naval Research Laboratory
Code 6338
Washington, DC 20375

Dr. Charles E. Oberly
Aero Propulsion Laboratory
AF Wright Aeronautical Laboratories
Attn: AFWAL/POOS-2
Bldg. 450
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

Dr. Howard E. Ruskie
Naval Intelligence Support Center
(NISC-36)
4301 Suitland Road
Washington, DC 20390

Dr. Richard P. Smith
Argonne National Laboratory
Bldg. 362-C237
9700 S. Cass Avenue
Argonne, IL 60439

Mr. Michael J. Superczynski
David W. Taylor Naval Ship
R&D Center
Code 2722
Annapolis, MD 21402

Mr. S. Y. Hsieh
Brookhaven National Laboratory
T-129
Upton, Long Island, NY 11973

IAPG Secretariat Attendees

Mr. William H. McKenzie
Director
Power Information Center
Franklin Research Center
20th and Race Streets
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Ms. Mollie Timko
Data Coordinator
Power Information Center
Franklin Research Center
20th and Race Streets
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Mr. Sanford Z. Meschkow
Deputy Director
Power Information Center
Franklin Research Center
20th and Race Streets
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Ms. Sally Reynolds
Franklin Research Center
20th and Race Streets
Philadelphia, PA 19103

DATE
FILMED
-8