

AD-A086 379

WISCONSIN UNIV-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

F/6 20/13

HOW TO HOMOGENIZE A NONLINEAR DIFFUSION EQUATION (E.G.) STEFANI--ETC(U)

APR 80 A DAMLAMIAN

DAA629-75-C-0024

UNCLASSIFIED

MRC-TSR-2061

NL

1 OF 1

50

UNCLASSIFIED

END

DATE

FILED

8-80

DTIC

174
② LEVEL II

MRC Technical Summary Report #2061

HOW TO HOMOGENIZE A NONLINEAR DIFFUSION
EQUATION (e.g. STEFAN'S PROBLEM)

Alain Damlamian

ADA 086379

See 1415

**Mathematics Research Center
University of Wisconsin—Madison
610 Walnut Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53706**

April 1980

Received December 17, 1979

DTIC
ELECTE
JUL 11 1980
S B D

Approved for public release
Distribution unlimited

Sponsored by
U. S. Army Research Office
P.O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park
North Carolina 27709

80 7 7 115

DC FILE COPY

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON
MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

HOW TO HOMOGENIZE A NONLINEAR DIFFUSION EQUATION
(e.g. STEFAN'S PROBLEM)

Alain Damlamian[†]

Technical Summary Report #2061
April 1980

ABSTRACT

~~We study~~ the homogenization of a Stefan problem (i.e., heat conduction with change of phase) when the structure is ε -periodic and we prove that the constitutive laws of the limit medium do not depend upon the boundary conditions and are those of an anisotropically heat conducting medium which undergoes a change of phase at each temperature of change of phase of the original substances.

AMS(MOS) Subject Classification: 35K55, 65P05, 80.35, 80.49

Key Words: Homogenization, Stefan problem, Heat diffusion,
Changes of phase.

Work Unit #1 - Applied Analysis

[†]Analyse Numérique et Fonctionnelle
C.N.R.S. et Université Paris-Sud
Bat. 425
91405 Orsay Cedex FRANCE

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

We study the homogenization of a periodically distributed mixture of two (or more) pure media which can undergo one or more changes of phase. The problem is to find the properties of the idealized homogeneous medium (if it exists) whose behaviour the original mixture approaches when the periodic mesh gets finer and finer.

It is proved under quite general boundary conditions, and under the restriction that for each original medium the heat conductivity is independent of the temperature, that such a limit medium exist and that it has the following properties: its heat diffusion is homogeneous but non-isotropic in general, its specific heat is an average of the specific heats of the original media, and it does undergo change of phase at all the temperatures of change of phase of the original media. This last fact is in strong agreement with everyday experience, as witnessed for example by the freezing of ice cream.

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section ☒
DDC	Buff Section ☐
UNANNOUNCED	☐
JUSTIFICATION _____	
BY _____	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	AVAIL. and/or SPECIAL
A	

HOW TO HOMOGENIZE A NONLINEAR DIFFUSION EQUATION

(e.g. STEFAN'S PROBLEM)

Alain Damlamian⁺

1. Introduction

For many physical studies of composite materials, one is more interested in a global or "macroscopic" behavior of a composite medium rather than in a detailed "microscopic" one. To put it in a different way, and for reasons that can also come from numerical analysis when discretization is considered, one is interested in finding the relevant properties (i.e. the constitutive laws or physical parameters) for an idealized homogeneous medium which would have the limit behavior of the composite material when the size of the periodic mesh goes to zero.

Finding the relevant parameters of this idealized limit (when it exists) is the origin and one of the main contributions of homogenization theory. Since this is not intended to be an introduction, let alone a survey, of homogenization theory, the reader is referred to Bensoussan-Lions-Papanicolaou [1] for a complete set of references.

The model problem we are looking at here is the problem of homogenization of a non linear heat equation for a composite material consisting of a periodic mixture of media which can undergo changes of phase, (Stefan's problem). One can also apply the present results to electromagnetic composite materials (see Bossavit-Damlamian [1]).

The plan is as follows

2. The model ϵ -problem; weak formulation.
3. Estimates for the ϵ -problem.
4. A short review of elliptic homogenization.
5. The limit problem and its constitutive laws.

Conclusions

The author is very grateful to Professor J. M. Lasry for raising this interesting question, to Professor C. Tartar and F. Murat for their helpful suggestions.

⁺Analyse Numérique et Fonctionnelle
C.N.R.S. et Université Paris-Sud
Bat. 425
91405 Orsay Cedex FRANCE

2. The Modal ϵ -problem.

In short, the problem we are looking at is the following:

Let Ω be a given bounded domain (in $\mathbb{R}^N$ usually $N = 3$) with smooth boundary Γ . We restrict ourselves to two media M_1 and M_2 . Their distribution in Ω is given according to a periodic structure of mesh size ϵ proportional to a basic period Y of size 1. The basic period Y is partitioned into two smooth subsets Y_1 and Y_2 corresponding to each medium M_1 and M_2 , so that correspondingly Ω is partitioned into $\Omega_{1,\epsilon}$ and $\Omega_{2,\epsilon}$. The boundary between Y_1 and Y_2 is denoted by Σ , and its image in Ω is Σ_ϵ which is supposed to be rigid and perfectly heat conducting. As for $\partial\Omega = \Gamma$ it is split into $\Gamma_{1,\epsilon}$ and $\Gamma_{2,\epsilon}$, corresponding to each medium.

It is assumed as customary for a Stefan problem that the variations in volume are negligible.

Time will be restricted to an interval $[0, T]$ and it will be shown that the result is independent of T .

In $Q =]0, T[\times \Omega$, each change of phase for each medium will, in the strong formulation, generate a free boundary separating the phases. With our convention that there is at most one change of phase for each medium, two boundaries $S_{i,\epsilon}$ ($i = 1, 2$) (not necessarily connected) are generated.

Before writing the strong formulation, we introduce some notations: for each medium i ($i = 1, 2$) $c_i(v)$, θ_i , b_i , k_i , denote the specific heat (a function of the temperature v), the temperature of change of phase, the latent heat, the heat conductivity (which is assumed to be strictly positive independent of the temperature v , a limitation for our final result but which has not been lifted so far).

We can now write the strong formulation of the problem. One looks for $v^\epsilon(t, x)$ (temperature) and the two surfaces $S_{i,\epsilon}$ satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega_{i,\epsilon} \setminus S_{i,\epsilon} \\ \alpha_i(v^\epsilon) \frac{\partial v^\epsilon}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(k_i \nabla v^\epsilon) = f \end{cases}$$

where f is an internal heating term

$$(2.2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{on } (0,T) \times \Gamma_{i,\varepsilon} \quad . \quad \text{continuity of } v^{\varepsilon} \\ \quad . \quad \text{continuity of heat flux} \\ (k_1 \nabla v^{\varepsilon} \cdot \vec{n} = k_2 \nabla v^{\varepsilon} \cdot \vec{n}) \end{array} \right.$$

$$(2.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{on } S_{i,\varepsilon} \quad v^{\varepsilon} = \theta_i \\ b_i \cos(\vec{n}, \vec{t}) - \sum_j [h_i \frac{\partial v^{\varepsilon}}{\partial x_j} \cos(\vec{n}, \vec{x}_j)]_{S_{i,\varepsilon}} = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

where $\vec{n}$ is the unit normal to $S_{i,\varepsilon}$ in space time, $[]_{S_{i,\varepsilon}}$ indicates the jump across $S_{i,\varepsilon}$ along $\vec{n}$. This is the classical Stefan condition on the free boundary.

$$(2.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Initial condition: } v^{\varepsilon}(0) \text{ given in } \Omega \text{ together with the initial} \\ \text{boundaries } S_{i,\varepsilon}(0); \text{ they are assumed to be compatible } (v^{\varepsilon}(0) = \theta_i \\ \text{on } S_{i,\varepsilon}(0)). \end{array} \right.$$

As for the lateral boundary conditions, it is known in the linear homogenization theory that provided they are of variational form, they do not interfere with the limiting process. To be complete we shall take them linear inhomogeneous of mixed type. We assume a smooth partition of Γ in Γ^+ and Γ^- (Γ^- with non empty interior) and require the following:

$$(2.5) \quad v^{\varepsilon}(t,x) = g^-(t,x) \quad \text{on } \Gamma^-$$

$$(2.6) \quad k_i \frac{\partial v^{\varepsilon}}{\partial n} + P v^{\varepsilon} = g^+(t,x) \quad \text{on } \Gamma^+ \cap \Gamma_{i,\varepsilon}$$

here P is a non-negative smooth function measuring the permeability of the boundary Γ^+ to heat flow, g^- and g^+ are given smooth functions. It is also assumed that the boundary data g^-, g^+ agrees with the initial data v_0^{ε} at $t = 0$. It turns out that conditions (2.2) and (2.3) are Rankine-Hugoniot type conditions for the energy balance equation taken in the distribution sense on Q . In order to write this equation (which gives a weak formulation) we need some notations:

For each i , let γ_i denote the maximal monotone graph defined (up to a constant) by

$$(2.7) \quad \gamma_i(v) = \int^v \alpha_i(s) ds + b_i H(v - \theta_i)$$

(H is the Heaveside function). This represents the enthalpy as a function of the temperature.

We also put:

$$(2.8) \quad \gamma(y, v) = \gamma_i(v) \quad \text{for } y \text{ in } Y_i$$

$$(2.9) \quad k(y) = k_i \quad \text{for } y \text{ in } Y_i.$$

Then (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) reduce to

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \left(k \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \nabla v^\epsilon \right) = f \quad \text{in } D'(Q)$$

$$(2.11) \quad u^\epsilon(t, x) \in \gamma \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}, v^\epsilon(t, x) \right)$$

The initial conditions (2.4), can be expressed in terms of u alone as an initial condition

$$(2.12) \quad u^\epsilon(0) = u_0,$$

which we can assume independent of ϵ .

For the lateral boundary conditions, we introduce an auxiliary problem, where the time t is a mere parameter:

Let $g^\epsilon(t, x)$ be the solution of

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div} \left(k \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \nabla g^\epsilon(t) \right) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ g^\epsilon(t) = g^-(t) & \text{on } \Gamma^- \\ k \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \frac{\partial g^\epsilon(t)}{\partial n} + p g^\epsilon(t) = g^+(t) & \text{on } \Gamma^+. \end{cases}$$

Clearly g^ϵ is bounded in $H^1(\Omega)$ uniformly in ϵ . Now (2.1)-(2.6) has the following weak formulation

$$(2.14) \quad \int_Q -\varphi' u^\epsilon + \int_0^T a^\epsilon(v^\epsilon - g^\epsilon, \varphi) = \int_Q f \varphi + \int_\Omega \varphi(0) u_0$$

for all φ in $C^1(Q)$, $\varphi(T) = 0$ and $\varphi = 0$ on $(0, T) \times \Gamma^-$

$$(2.15) \quad v^\epsilon - g^\epsilon = 0 \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \Gamma^-.$$

In (2.14) a^ϵ is the bilinear Dirichlet form given by

$$(2.16) \quad a^\epsilon(w, \varphi) = \int_\Omega k \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) (\nabla w \cdot \nabla \varphi) + \int_{\Gamma^+} p w \cdot \varphi.$$

The smoothness assumptions made for Γ^-, Γ^+ allow for (2.14) to take φ in a larger class namely $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(0, T; V)$ where V is the variational space: $\{\psi \in H^1(\Omega), \psi|_{\Gamma^-} = 0\}$. (see Damlamian [1] for a detailed study).

(2.17) Definition: $(u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)$ is a weak solution for problem (2.1)-(2.6) if and only if they satisfy (2.11), (2.14), (2.15).

(2.18) Theorem (see Damlamian [1] and [2]).

Under the hypothesis that the α_i 's are bounded above and below away from zero, there exists a unique solution $(u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)$ for problems (2.11), (2.14), (2.15) which satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} u^\varepsilon &\in W^{1,2}(0,T;V^*) \cap L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \\ v^\varepsilon - g^\varepsilon &\in W^{1,2}(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0,T;V). \end{aligned}$$

Instead of giving a detailed proof we will only give the idea of how to obtain uniform estimates in the following paragraph.

3. The uniform estimates.

(3.1) Proposition. The solutions $(u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)$ $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfy the following:

u^ε is bounded in $W^{1,2}(0T; V^*) \cap L^\infty(0T; L^2(\Omega))$ uniformly in $\varepsilon > 0$,

$v^\varepsilon - g^\varepsilon$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(0T; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0T; V)$ uniformly in $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof: To obtain these estimates, it is enough to show them in the case of smooth φ .

Then u^ε and v^ε are smooth enough to replace (2.14) by

$$(3.2) \quad \int_Q \varphi' u^\varepsilon + \int_0^T a^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon - g^\varepsilon, \varphi) = \int_Q f\varphi + \int_\Omega \varphi(0)u_0 - \int_\Omega \varphi(T)u^\varepsilon(T)$$

for all φ in $W^{1,2}(0T; V)$.

Then taking $\varphi = [A^\varepsilon]^{-1}u^\varepsilon$ (A^ε being the operator associated to a^ε on V) one gets:

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \|u^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\varepsilon, V^*}^2 + \int_0^t \int_\Omega v^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2} \|u_0\|_{\varepsilon, V^*}^2 + \int_{(0,t) \times \Omega} u^\varepsilon g^\varepsilon + \int_0^t \|u^\varepsilon\|_{\varepsilon, V^*} \|f\|_{\varepsilon, V^*}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\varepsilon, V^*}$ is the dual norm of $(a^\varepsilon(\varphi, \varphi))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ on V , the latter being uniformly

equivalent to the standard norm on V .

Also taking $\varphi = [A^\varepsilon]^{-1} \frac{du^\varepsilon}{dt}$ one gets

$$(3.4) \quad c_1 |v^\varepsilon(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \left\| \frac{du^\varepsilon}{dt} \right\|_{\varepsilon, V}^2 \leq C_2 \quad (\text{a constant which depends upon } f, v_0, g^+, g^-, \dots).$$

From (3.3) and (3.4) one gets (because $v^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon$ can be assumed non negative), that v^ε is bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$

u^ε in $W^{1,2}(0, T; V^*)$.

Then one takes $\varphi = \frac{d(v^\varepsilon - g^\varepsilon)}{dt}$ to get

$$(3.5) \quad c_3 \int_0^t \left| \frac{dv^\varepsilon}{dt} \right|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dt + a^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon - g^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon - g^\varepsilon) \leq c_4 \quad (\text{a constant which depends upon } f, g^+, g^-, v_0, \dots).$$

From (3.5) one infers that v^ε stays bounded in $W^{1,2}(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ and

$v^\varepsilon - g^\varepsilon$ stays bounded in $L^\infty(0, T; V)$.

A detailed proof of the above can be found in A. Damlamian [1] and [2], and in much simpler cases in Brezis [4] and Lions [1].

It is worth noticing that given the estimates of (3.1) (even not uniform in ε) equation (2.14) can be replaced by (3.2) or even by

$$(3.6) \quad \int_0^t a^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon - g^\varepsilon, \varphi) = \int_Q f\varphi + \int_\Gamma \varphi(u_0^\varepsilon - u^\varepsilon(t))$$

for all φ in V (independent of t).

This remark (cf. Damlamian [1]) shows that $(u^\varepsilon, v^\varepsilon)$ is the solution of a simpler variational inequality (of the type studied by G. Duvaut [1]).

Another way of looking at (3.6) is the following, since the operator does not depend upon time

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} a^\varepsilon(v^\varepsilon(t), \varphi) = \int_\Omega (F(t) + u_0^\varepsilon - u^\varepsilon(t))\varphi \\ v^\varepsilon(t) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma^- \end{cases}$$

for all $t \in (0, T)$, all φ in V , where

$$v^\varepsilon(t, x) = \int_0^t (v^\varepsilon(s, x) - g^\varepsilon(s, x)) ds$$

$$F(t, x) = \int_0^t f(s, x) ds.$$

4. A short review of elliptic homogenization

The purpose of this paragraph is to show how elliptic homogenization works and how it can be applied in the present problem. See Bensoussan-Lions-Papanicolaou [1] (Also L. Tartar [1]). With the same notations as above, we consider the operator

$A^\varepsilon = -\text{div}(k(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})\nabla)$ on Ω . Let w^ε be the variational solution of

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} A^\varepsilon w^\varepsilon = f^\varepsilon & \text{in } \Omega \\ w^\varepsilon = g^- & \text{on } \Gamma^- \\ k(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \frac{\partial w^\varepsilon}{\partial n} + p w^\varepsilon = g^+ & \text{on } \Gamma^+ \end{cases}$$

that is

$$(4.2) \quad a^\varepsilon(w^\varepsilon, \varphi) = \int_{\Omega} f^\varepsilon \varphi + \int_{\Gamma^+} g^+ \varphi$$

for all φ in V , $w^\varepsilon = g^-$ on Γ^- .

We assume that f^ε converges to f^0 weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$.

(4.3) Proposition: As ε goes to zero, w^ε converges weakly in $H^1(\Omega)$ to the solution w^0 of the following problem

$$(4.4) \quad a^0(w^0, \varphi) = \int_{\Omega} f^0 \varphi + \int_{\Gamma^+} g^+ \varphi$$

for all φ in V , $w^0 = g^-$ on Γ^- , where a^0 is the bilinear form given by

$$a^0(w, \varphi) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j,l} q_{j,l} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_l} + \int_{\Gamma^+} p w \varphi$$

with constant coefficients $q_{j,l}$ given by

$$(4.5) \quad q_{j,l} = \frac{1}{\text{mes}(Y)} \int_Y k(y) \nabla (\chi_\Gamma^l y_l) \nabla (\chi_\Gamma^j - y_j)$$

where χ_Γ^j is the solution (defined uniquely up to a constant) of

$$(4.6) \quad -\text{div}(k(y) \nabla \chi_\Gamma^j) = -\text{div}(k(y) e_j), \quad \chi_\Gamma^j \text{ periodic in } Y.$$

e_j is the j 'th unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^N$, y_j being the coordinate on e_j .

Proof: It is clear that w^ε being bounded in $H^1(\Omega)$ (by coerciveness of a^ε with the Dirichlet boundary condition). So we can assume (via uniqueness of the solution for the

limit problem-to-be) that $w^\varepsilon \rightharpoonup w^0$. Then by a result of Tartar [1], one can see that

$k(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_l}$ converges weakly in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ (hence in $L^2(\Omega)$) to $\sum_j q_{j,l} \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial x_j}$ ($q_{j,l}$ given by (4.5), (4.6)), so that (4.2) goes to the limit to (4.4), which is the weak formulation

of

$$(4.7) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A^0 w^0 = f^0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ w^0 = g^- & \text{in } \Gamma^- \\ \frac{\partial w^0}{\partial \gamma_{A^0}} + p w^0 = g^+ & \text{on } \Gamma^+ \end{array} \right.$$

Here $\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_{A^0}}$ is the conormal derivative for A^0 , which one should notice is not diagonal, but still symmetric, and with constant coefficients

$$A^0 = - \sum_{j,l} q_{jl} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_l}.$$

Here we have also used the compactness of the trace operator from $H^1(\Omega)$ into $L^2(\Gamma)$.

5. The limit problem and its constitutive laws.

Making use of the results of paragraph 4, one sees that $g^\varepsilon(t)$ converges weakly in $H^1(\Omega)$ to the solution $g^0(t)$ of

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} A^0 g^0(t) = 0 \\ g^0(t) = g^-(t) \text{ on } \Gamma^- \\ \frac{\partial g^0(t)}{\partial \gamma_A^0} + p g^0(t) = g^+ \text{ on } \Gamma^+ . \end{cases}$$

Also, by the estimates of (3.1) one can extract a sequence of values of ε (*) going to zero such that

$$\begin{aligned} u^\varepsilon &\rightarrow u^0 \text{ in } W^{1,2}(0,T;V^*) \cap L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \\ v^\varepsilon - g^\varepsilon &\rightarrow v^0 - g^0 \text{ in } W^{1,2}(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0,T;V) . \end{aligned}$$

Hence u converges strongly in $C([0,T];V^*)$ and for all $t \in [0,T]$, $u^\varepsilon(t)$ converges weakly to $u^0(t)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$.

Consequently we can apply the result of (4.3) to (3.7) so that $v^\varepsilon(t)$ which obviously converges to $v^0(t) = \int_0^t (v^0(s) - g^0(s)) ds$ satisfies:

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{cases} a^0(v^0(t), \varphi) = \int_\Omega (F(t) + u_0 - u^0(t)) \varphi \\ v^0(t) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma^- . \end{cases}$$

Using the equivalence with the weak formulation of type (2.14) we get

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} v^0 - g^0 = 0 \text{ on } (0,T) \times \Gamma^- \\ \int_\Omega -\varphi^* u^0 + \int_0^t a^0(v^0 - g^0, \varphi) = \int_\Omega f\varphi + \int_\Omega u_0 \varphi(0) \end{cases}$$

for all φ in $W^{1,2}(0,T;V)$ $\varphi(T) = \varphi|_{(0,T) \times \Gamma^-} = 0$.

We now turn to (2.11), that is

$$u^\varepsilon(t, x) \in \gamma\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, v^\varepsilon(t, x)\right) .$$

Assuming ε is chosen so that g^ε converges to g^0 weakly in $H^1(\Omega)$, then v^ε converges to v^0 weakly in $W^{1,2}(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0,T;H^1(\Omega))$ so that the convergence is

(*)

The limit problem having a unique solution, it will be clear by the end of the proof that the whole sequence converges.

uniform in $C([0,T], L^2(\Omega))$ for example.

Let c be a real number, different from w_i , put $w_c^\varepsilon(x) = \gamma(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, c)$. Clearly w_c^ε converges weakly in L^2 to a constant

$$(5.4) \quad \bar{\gamma}(c) = \frac{1}{\text{mes}(Y)} \int_Y \gamma(y, c) dy$$

Using the monotonicity of $\gamma(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \cdot)$ we have

$$\mu(t, x) = (u^\varepsilon(t, x) - w_c^\varepsilon(x)) (v^\varepsilon(t, x) - c) \geq 0 \text{ a.e.}$$

Hence, using the proper convergences, we get that $\mu(t, x)$ converges weakly in the sense of measures on Ω for all t to $(u^0 - \bar{\gamma}(c))(v^0 - c)$ which has to be non negative. Hence $u^0(t, x)$ belongs to the unique maximal extension of the monotone graph $\bar{\gamma}$, which we denote by $\tilde{\gamma}$. Consequently (2.11) goes to

$$(5.5) \quad u^0(t, x) = \tilde{\gamma}(v^0(t, x)) \text{ a.e. in } x, \text{ for all } t.$$

6. Conclusion

We conclude that the limit equations correspond to the weak formulation of the following strong problem:

$$(6.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{du}{dt} + A^0 v = f \\ u(t, x) \in \tilde{\gamma}(v(t, x)) \\ u(0, x) = u^0(x) \\ v(t, x) = g^-(t, x) \quad x \in \Gamma^- \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \Big|_A(t, x) + p v(t, x) = g^+(t, x) \quad x \in \Gamma^+ \end{array} \right.$$

or to look at it from the Stefan problem point of view, a non isotropic Stefan problem.

One can notice that we recover the heat diffusion operator of the linear case, that is a homogeneous but anisotropic heat diffusion.

One also gets an "averaging" phenomenon for the graphs γ_i 's over Y , which is the only averaging consistent with the fact that both γ_i 's are defined up to an additive constant and so is $\tilde{\gamma}$. Both temperatures of change of phases appear for discontinuities of $\tilde{\gamma}$, which is in agreement with daily experience (any other averaging of γ_1 and γ_2 would have yielded no discontinuity in the average, hence no change of phase). It is easy to see that the specific heat and latent heat of the limit medium are averages over Y of the corresponding terms.

Finally, on the theoretical side of things, it is of interest to realize that the isotropic diffusion laws are not stable under homogenization of Stefan problems, but anisotropic ones are stable.

It remains to prove that the above can be extended to the case of temperature--dependent heat conductivity for each medium, which is already more complicated but solved in the non Stefan case (see Tartar [1]).

References

A. Bensoussan, J. L. Lions, G. Papanicolaou

- [1] Asymptotic Analysis for periodic structures

North Holland, Amsterdam (1978).

A. Bossavit, A. Damlamian

- [1] Homogénéisation du problème de Stefan et applications au magnétisme des milieux composites

H. Brezis

- [1] On some degenerate non-linear parabolic equations. Non-linear Functional Analysis, F. Browder ed., Proc. Symp. in Pure Math., vol. 18, AMS Providence (1970), p. 28-38.

A. Damlamian

- [1] Thesis University Paris 6 (1976)
[2] Some results on the multiphase Stefan problem
Comm. P. D. E. 2(10) (1977) p. 1017-1044.

G. Duvaut

- [1] Résolution d'un problème de Stefan
C R A S Paris 276 (1973) p. 1461-1463.

J. L. Lions

- [1] Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires.
Dunod-Ganthier Villars Paris (1969).

L. Tartar

- [1] Cours Peccot sur l'homogénéisation
College de France (1976) to appear.

AD/db

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 2061	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A086379	3. REPORTING CATALOG NUMBER 97 Tech. 2
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) 6 HOW TO HOMOGENIZE A NONLINEAR DIFFUSION EQUATION (e.g.) STEFAN'S PROBLEM	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Summary Report, no specific reporting period	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) 10 Alain Damlamian	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 15 DAAG29-75-C-0024 DAAG29-80-C-0041	9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematics Research Center, University of Wisconsin 610 Walnut Street Madison, Wisconsin 53706
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709	12. REPORT DATE 11 Apr 1980	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 13
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) 12 17		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14 MAC-TSR-2061		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Homogenization, Stefan problem, Heat diffusion, Change of phase		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) We study the homogenization of a Stefan problem (i.e., heat conduction with change of phase) when the structure is ϵ -periodic and we prove that the constitutive laws of the limit medium do not depend upon the boundary conditions and are those of an anisotropically heat conducting medium which undergoes a change of phase at each temperature of change of phase of the original substances. 221200 GW		