

AD-A086 383

WISCONSIN UNIV-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

F/B 12/1

FULLY NONLINEAR SECOND ORDER ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH LARGE ZERO--FTC(11)

APR 80 L C EVANS, P LIONS

DAAG29-80-C-0041

UNCLASSIFIED

MRC-TSR-2065

NL

1 OF 1
ALL
AUTHORS

END
DATE
FILMED
8-80
DTIC

ADA 086383

MRC Technical Summary Report #2065

FULLY NONLINEAR SECOND ORDER
ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH LARGE
ZEROth ORDER COEFFICIENT

Lawrence C. Evans
Pierre-Louis Lions

*See 11173
for Back*

② LEVEL II

Mathematics Research Center
University of Wisconsin-Madison
610 Walnut Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

(Received October 17, 1979)

DTIC
ELECTE
S JUL 11 1980 D
B

Approved for public release
Distribution unlimited

Sponsored by
U.S. Army Research Office
P.O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park
North Carolina 27709

National Science Foundation
Washington, D.C. 20550

80 7 7 111

DOC FILE 000001

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON
MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

FULLY NONLINEAR SECOND ORDER ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS
WITH LARGE ZEROth ORDER COEFFICIENT

Lawrence C. Evans* and Pierre-Louis Lions**

Technical Summary Report #2065
April 1980

ABSTRACT

We prove the existence of classical solutions to certain fully non-linear second order elliptic equations with large zeroth order coefficient. The principal tool is an a priori estimate asserting that the $C^{2,\alpha}$ -norm of the solution cannot lie in a certain interval of the positive real axis.

C. Subsection 2, 11.11.1

AMS (MOS) Subject Classifications - 35J15, 35J60

Key Words - Nonlinear elliptic equations, a priori estimates
continuation methods

Work Unit Number 1 - Applied Analysis

*Supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. MCS77-01952; Alfred P. Sloan Fellow, 1979-81.
Address of author: Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky,
Lexington, Kentucky, 40506.

**Address of author: Universite de Paris VI, Analyse Numerique,
4 Place Jussieu, 7523 Paris.

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract Nos. DAAG29-75-C-0024
and DAAG29-80-C-0041.

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

The class of second order linear and nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations models many phenomena in physics and control theory. Linear elliptic equations are very well understood, and so certain classes of "quasilinear" equations (with nonlinearities involving only lower order derivatives) can be studied via modifications of the linear theory.

In this paper we prove some existence theorems for certain "fully nonlinear" (= non-quasilinear) second order elliptic equations with large zeroth order coefficient. The proofs depend upon a careful analysis of various estimates for linear equations.

ACCESSION for	
NTIS	White Section ☒
DDC	Buff Section ☐
UNANNOUNCED	☐
JUSTIFICATION	
BY	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
Dist.	AVAIL. and/or SPECIAL
A	

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the authors of this report.

FULLY NONLINEAR SECOND ORDER ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS
WITH LARGE ZEROth ORDER COEFFICIENT

Lawrence C. Evans* and Pierre-Louis Lions**

1. INTRODUCTION.

This paper describes a fairly simple method for proving the classical solvability of certain fully nonlinear second order elliptic equations, provided the coefficient of the zeroth order term is sufficiently large. Briefly, the idea is first to show by an a priori estimate that the $C^{2,\alpha}$ -norm of a solution cannot lie in a certain interval (C_1, C_2) of the positive real line and, second, to eliminate by a continuation argument the possibility that this norm ever exceeds the constant C_2 . (Our technique is thus reminiscent of certain methods for proving global existence in time of solutions to various nonlinear evolution equations with small initial data.)

We begin now the precise statements of our existence theorems by assuming that

$$F : \mathbb{R}^{n^2} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is a given smooth function satisfying the ellipticity assumption

$$(1.1) \quad \theta |\xi|^2 \leq \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial p_{ij}}(p, q, r, x) \xi_i \xi_j \quad \text{for all } \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for some real number $\theta > 0$ and all $p \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We also

suppose that there exists a constant M such that

$$(1.2) \quad |F(0, 0, 0, x)| \leq M \quad \text{for all } x$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad |DF(p, q, r, x)|, |D^2 F(p, q, r, x)| \leq M \quad \text{for all } p, q, r, x.$$

Let us consider first the nonlinear partial differential equation

$$(1.4) \quad \lambda u - F(D^2 u, Du, u, x) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

*Supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. MCS77-01952; Alfred P. Sloan Fellow, 1979-81. Address of author: Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, 40506.

**Address of author: Universite de Paris VI, Analyse Numerique, 4 Place Jussieu, 7523 Paris.

Our existence theorem is this:

Theorem 1. Under the above assumptions there exists a constant λ_0 such that (1.4) has a unique solution

$$u \in C^{3,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (\text{for all } 0 < \alpha < 1)$$

provided

$$(1.5) \quad \lambda \geq \lambda_0.$$

The constant λ_0 depends only on n, θ , and M . We prove Theorem 1 in §3, after first obtaining in §2 the key estimate described above.

Our method applies also to nonlinear elliptic equations on a bounded domain, provided a restriction ((1.7) below) is placed on F . We consider the equation

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda u - F(D^2 u, Du, u, x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded smooth domain. Let us now suppose, in addition to (1.1)-(1.3), that

$$(1.7) \quad F(0, 0, 0, x) = 0 \quad x \in \partial\Omega.$$

Theorem 2. Under these hypotheses there exists a constant λ_0 such that (1.6) has a unique solution

$$u \in C^{3,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \quad (\text{for all } 0 < \alpha < 1)$$

provided

$$(1.8) \quad \lambda \geq \lambda_0.$$

The constant λ_0 depends only on Ω, θ , and M . Theorem 2 is proved in §4.

In §5 we collect various comments concerning hypothesis (1.7) and also certain extensions of our technique to related problems. The appendix (§6) contains some lemmas concerning the standard L^p second order elliptic estimates.

Finally we note that Skrypnik [6] has obtained by a completely different method some results on fully nonlinear elliptic equations (even of higher order) with large zeroth order coefficient. Some other recent papers on fully nonlinear second order elliptic equations are Evans-Friedman [2], P. L. Lions [5], and Evans [1].

Notation.

$$Du = (D_{x_1}, \dots, D_{x_n})$$

$$D^2u = (D_{x_1 x_1}, \dots, D_{x_i x_j}, \dots, D_{x_n x_n}) .$$

The letter "C" denotes various constants depending only on known quantities.

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|D^2u(x) - D^2u(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha} + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |D^2u(x)| + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |Du(x)| + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)| ;$$

$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})}$ is similarly defined. We employ the implicit summation convention throughout.

2. PRELIMINARY ESTIMATES.

The goal of this section is our proof (Lemma 2.3) that for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, λ_0 large enough, there exists an interval (C_1, C_2) in which the $C^{2,\alpha}$ -norm of a solution of (1.4) cannot lie. First, however, we must know that the solution and its gradient behave well for large λ ; the first two lemmas provide this information.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that $v \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (for some $0 < \alpha < 1$) solves the linear elliptic equation

$$(2.1) \quad \lambda v - a_{ij}(x) v_{x_i x_j} + b_i(x) v_{x_i} + c(x) v = f(x)$$

in $\mathbb{R}^n$, where

$$\begin{cases} |a_{ij}|, |b_i|, |c|, |f| \leq M \\ a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \theta |\xi|^2 \\ c \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } x, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

Then

$$(2.2) \quad \|\lambda v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

Proof. The auxillary function

$$w^\epsilon(x) = v(x) e^{-\epsilon |x|^2} \quad (\epsilon > 0)$$

solves the p.d.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda w^\epsilon - a_{ij} w^\epsilon_{x_i x_j} + b_i w^\epsilon_{x_i} + c w^\epsilon \\ = f e^{-\epsilon |x|^2} + a_{ij} [2\epsilon x_j v_{x_i} + 2\epsilon x_i v_{x_j} + 2\epsilon \delta_{ij} - 4\epsilon^2 x_i x_j] e^{-\epsilon |x|^2} - b_i [2\epsilon x_i v] e^{-\epsilon |x|^2} \end{aligned}$$

Since $|w^\epsilon(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, $|w^\epsilon|$ attains its maximum at a finite point in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Applying the maximum principle at this point and recalling the inequalities

$$\sqrt{\epsilon} |x| e^{-\epsilon |x|^2}, \quad \epsilon |x|^2 e^{-\epsilon |x|^2} \leq C,$$

we discover

$$\|\lambda w^\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C \sqrt{\epsilon} (\|Dv\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + 1).$$

Now send $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to obtain (2.2). ■

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $u \in C^{3,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) solves (1.4). Then there exist a constant C_0 such that

$$(2.3) \quad \|\lambda u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_0.$$

The constant C_0 is independent of λ , provided λ is large enough.

Proof. We may as well assume

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(p,q,r,x) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } p,q,r,x,$$

since otherwise we can rewrite (1.4) in the form

$$\lambda' u - F'(D^2 u, Du, u, x) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

for $F'(p,q,r,x) \equiv F(p,q,r,x) - Mr$, $\lambda' = \lambda - M$.

Now u solves the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda u &= \left[\int_0^1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{ij}}(tD^2 u, tDu, tu, x) dt \right] u_{x_i x_j} \\ &\quad - \left[\int_0^1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i}(tD^2 u, tDu, tu, x) dt \right] u_{x_i} \\ &\quad - \left[\int_0^1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(tD^2 u, tDu, tu, x) dt \right] u \\ &= F(0,0,0,x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hypotheses (1.1) - (1.3) and (2.4) permit us to invoke Lemma 2.1 and obtain the bound

$$\|\lambda u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C.$$

Next let us differentiate (1.4) with respect to x_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$); then we note that $v \equiv u_{x_k}$ solves the linear p.d.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda v &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{ij}}(D^2 u, Du, u, x) v_{x_i x_j} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i}(D^2 u, Du, u, x) v_{x_i} \\ (2.5) \quad &- \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(D^2 u, Du, u, x) v = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k}(D^2 u, Du, u, x). \end{aligned}$$

We once more apply Lemma 2.1 to find

$$\|\lambda Du\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C.$$

Next is our main estimate:

Lemma 2.3. Fix some $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then there exist $\lambda_0 > 0$ and constants $0 < C_1 < C_2$, such that if u solves (1.4),

$$\lambda \geq \lambda_0,$$

and

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_2,$$

then

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_1.$$

Proof. Choose β so small and p so large that

$$(2.6) \quad 0 < \beta < \alpha = 1 - \frac{n}{p}.$$

We recall from (2.5) that $v \equiv u_{x_k}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) solves the linear elliptic equation

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{ij}} (D^2 u, Du, u, x) v_{x_i x_j} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} (D^2 u, Du, u, x) v_{x_i} \\ & + \frac{\partial F}{\partial r} (D^2 u, Du, u, x) v = \lambda v - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k} (D^2 u, Du, u, x), \end{aligned}$$

the right hand side of which - according to Lemma 2.2 and assumption (1.3) - is bounded on $\mathbb{R}^n$, independently of λ .

Denote by B_1 and B_2 any two concentric closed balls, of radius 1 and 2 respectively. We apply the standard elliptic interior L^p estimates to (2.7) and obtain (see Lemma 6.1 in the appendix):

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{x_k}\|_{W^{2,p}(B_1)} &= \|v\|_{W^{2,p}(B_1)} \\ &\leq C(\|u\|_{C^{2,\beta}(B_2)}^N + 1)(\|\lambda v - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k} F(\cdot)\|_{L^p(B_2)} + \|v\|_{L^p(B_2)}) \\ &\leq C(\|u\|_{C^{2,\beta}(B_2)}^N + 1) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n), \end{aligned}$$

for certain constants C and N (the precise size of N , in particular, is irrelevant).

Then Morrey's theorem and (2.6) imply

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(B_1)} \leq C(\|u\|_{C^{2,\beta}(B_2)}^N + 1).$$

The constant C does not depend on the location of the balls $B_1 \subset B_2$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. This estimate therefore implies

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(\|u\|_{C^{2,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^N + 1).$$

We recall next interpolation inequality

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\rho} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^\rho.$$

(for some $0 < \rho < 1$; cf. Friedman [3]); this gives us the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C(\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{N(1-\rho)} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\rho N} + 1) \\ (2.8) \quad &\leq C(\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{N(1-\rho)} + 1) \\ &\quad \frac{\lambda^{\rho N}}{\lambda^{\rho N}} \end{aligned}$$

by (2.3). So far the constants C, N, ρ depend only on known quantities and do not depend on λ .

Now choose

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= 2C, \\ C_2 &= C_1 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since we have assumed

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_2,$$

(2.8) implies

$$(2.9) \quad \|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \frac{C_2^{N(1-\rho)}}{\lambda^{\rho N}} + 1 \leq 2C = C_1$$

for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, λ_0 large enough.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We suppose now that $0 < \alpha < 1$, λ_0 , $0 < C_1 < C_2$ are the constants from Lemma 2.3. We will prove that (1.4) has a solution $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whenever $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and a standard bootstrap argument then implies $u \in C^{3,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $0 < \alpha < 1$.

For $0 \leq t \leq 1$ consider the problems

$$(3.1)_t \quad \lambda u^t - F_t(D^2 u^t, Du^t, u^t, x) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad F_t(D^2 w, Dw, w, x) \equiv (1-t) \Phi \Delta w + t F(D^2 w, Dw, w, x).$$

Define

$$T \equiv \{t \in [0,1] \mid (3.1)_t \text{ has a solution } u^t, \|u^t\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_1\}.$$

Obviously $0 \in T$, and $u^0 \equiv 0$. Notice also that standard theory implies the uniqueness of the solutions u^t of $(3.1)_t$ with

$$\|u^t\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_1.$$

It is also evident that T is closed: if $\{t_i\} \subset T$, $t_i \rightarrow t_0$, then, since $\|u^{t_i}\|_{C^{3,\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is bounded, we have

$$u^{t_i} \rightarrow u^{t_0} \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and

$$\|u^{t_0}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u^{t_i}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_1.$$

Finally we assert that T is relatively open in $[0,1]$. Once this is proved we can conclude $1 \in T$; that is, (1.4) has a solution. Consider therefore the mapping

$$G(t,u) : [0,1] \times C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

defined by

$$G(t,u) \equiv \lambda u - F_t(D^2 u, Du, u, x).$$

Clearly G is continuous. Its Frechet derivative in u at any point (t,u) is an isomorphism according to standard theory for linear elliptic equations with Hölder continuous coefficients:

$$G_u(t,u)v \equiv \lambda v - (1-t) \Delta v - t \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{ij}} (D^2 u, Du, u, x) v_{x_i x_j} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} (D^2 u, Du, u, x) v_{x_i} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial r} (D^2 u, Du, u, x) v \right].$$

Note also that the mapping

$$(t,u) \mapsto G_u(t,u)$$

is continuous.

Now select any $t_0 \in T \cap (0,1)$. By the implicit function theorem, there exist some $\varepsilon > 0$ and a continuous function $v : (t_0 - \varepsilon, t_0 + \varepsilon) \rightarrow C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ so that

$$G(t, v(t)) \equiv G(t_0, u^{t_0}) = 0$$

Clearly

$$v(t) \equiv u^t$$

solves (3.1)_t. Since $\|u^{t_0}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_1$, we have $\|u^t\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_2$ for $|t - t_0| < \varepsilon'$, ε' small enough. Then Lemma 2.3 implies

$$\|u^t\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_1;$$

that is, $(t_0 - \varepsilon', t_0 + \varepsilon') \subset T$.

Theorem 1 is proved. ■

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.

In proving Theorem 2 we may mimic with obvious modifications the calculations in §3; the only real difficulty is to modify Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 to the case that Ω replaces $\mathbb{R}^n$: here the extra hypothesis (1.7) is crucial to our argument.

Lemma 4.1. Assume that $u \in C^{3,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) solves (1.6). Then there exists a constant C_0 such that

$$(4.1) \quad \|\lambda u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C_0.$$

C_0 is independent of λ , so long as λ is large enough.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we may assume

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial r}(p, q, r, x) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } p, q, r, x.$$

The estimate

$$\|\lambda u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C$$

is then immediate from the maximum principle.

We must next prove

$$(4.2) \quad \lambda |Du| \Big|_{\partial\Omega} \leq C$$

for some constant C . To see this first choose any point $x^* \in \partial\Omega$. As $\partial\Omega$ is smooth and therefore satisfies the uniform exterior sphere condition, we may assume, upon a change of coordinates if necessary, that

$$x^* = (0, 0, \dots, R), \\ B(0, R) \cap \partial\Omega = \{x^*\}$$

for some fixed $R > 0$.

Consider now the auxillary function

$$(4.3) \quad v(x) = \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{R^p} - \frac{1}{|x|^p} \right),$$

where $\mu, p > 0$ are to be selected. We have

$$v_{x_i} = \frac{\mu}{\lambda} p \frac{x_i}{|x|^{p+2}}$$

and

$$v_{x_i x_j} = \frac{1}{|x|^{p+2}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \frac{(i+j)x_i x_j}{|x|^{p+4}} \right)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} F(D^2 v, Dv, v, x) &= \left[\int_0^1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{ij}} (tD^2 v, tDv, tv, x) dt \right] v_{x_i x_j} \\ &\quad + \left[\int_0^1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} (tD^2 v, tDv, tv, x) dt \right] v_{x_i} \\ &\quad + \left[\int_0^1 \frac{\partial F}{\partial r} (tD^2 v, tDv, tv, x) dt \right] v + F(0, 0, 0, x) \\ &\leq F(0, 0, 0, x) . \end{aligned}$$

for p large enough. On the other hand since $F(0, 0, 0, \cdot) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, we have

$$|F(0, 0, 0, x)| \leq M|x - x^{**}|$$

where

$x^{**} \in \partial\Omega$ belongs to the segment $\overline{0x}$,

$$|x^{**}| \geq R .$$

But note also that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda v(x) &\geq \lambda(v(x) - v(x^{**})) = \mu \left(\frac{1}{|x^{**}|^p} - \frac{1}{|x|^p} \right) \\ &= \frac{\mu}{|x|^p} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha^p} - 1 \right) \quad \text{where } x^{**} = \alpha x, \frac{R}{\text{dia}(\Omega)} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \\ &\geq \mu C(1 - \alpha) |x| = \mu C |x - x^{**}| \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Hence

$$(4.5) \quad \lambda v(x) \geq F(0, 0, 0, x) \quad x \in \Omega$$

if μ is large enough. According to (4.4) and (4.5) we have

$$\lambda(v - u) - [F(D^2 v, Dv, v, x) - F(D^2 u, Du, u, x)] \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega .$$

The maximum principle therefore implies

$$u \leq v \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega} .$$

Since $u(x^*) = v(x^*) = 0$, we have

$$\frac{\partial u(x^*)}{\partial n} - \frac{\partial v(x^*)}{\partial n} \geq -\frac{C}{\gamma}$$

A similar argument provides an upper bound. This proves (4.2).

The interior bound on Du is easy now. We differentiate (1.6) with respect to x_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$):

$$\lambda u_{x_k} - \left\{ \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{ij}} u_{x_k} x_i x_j + \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} u_{x_k} x_i + \frac{\partial F}{\partial r} u_{x_k} \right\} = F_{x_k}$$

Should $\pm u_{x_k}$ attain its maximum at some point $x_0 \in \Omega$, we have

$$\pm \lambda u_{x_k}(x_0) \leq \pm F_{x_k}(D^2 u(x_0), Du(x_0), u(x_0), x_0) \leq M;$$

and should the maximum occur on $\partial\Omega$, we recall (4.2).

Lemma 4.2. Fix some $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then there exist $\lambda_0 > 0$ and constants C_1, C_2 such that if u solves (1.6),

$$\lambda \geq \lambda_0,$$

and

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C_2,$$

then

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C_1.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.3 choose β and p so that

$$0 < \beta < \alpha = 1 - \frac{n}{p}.$$

According to Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 6.2 in the appendix we have

$$\|u\|_{W^{3,p}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|u\|_{C^{2,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})}^N + 1)$$

for some constants C and N . This estimate and a calculation almost precisely like that in the proof of Lemma 2.3 imply the result.

5. COMMENTS AND EXTENSIONS.

a. Hypothesis (1.7)

A review of §3 and §4 makes it clear that the estimate Lemma 4.1 provides a bound for our technique; for if the right hand side of (2.7) becomes unbounded with large λ , we cannot then select λ_0 large enough to obtain (2.9). Lemma 4.1 in turn depends on the assumption (1.7) (i.e. " $F(0,0,0,x) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ ") as the following example shows: Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} \lambda u - u'' = 1 & \text{on } (0,1) \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[1 - \frac{1 - e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}}}{e^{\sqrt{\lambda}} - e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}}} \right] e^{\sqrt{\lambda}x} - \frac{e^{\sqrt{\lambda}} - 1}{e^{\sqrt{\lambda}} - e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}}} e^{-\sqrt{\lambda}x},$$

so that

$$\lambda u'(0) \sim C\sqrt{\lambda} \text{ for large } \lambda.$$

In this case Lemma 4.1 fails, as do its obvious modifications (e.g. replacing the L^p with L^p norms).

b. Neumann boundary conditions

Consider the p.d.e.

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda u - F(D^2u, Du, u, x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

when Ω is now assumed to be a smooth bounded, convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and " $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}$ " denotes the outward normal derivative. We claim that (5.1) admits a unique solution assuming that λ is large enough and F satisfies hypotheses (1.1) - (1.3); assumption (1.7) is not needed here.

Indeed it suffices to obtain the bound

$$(5.2) \quad \|\lambda u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\cdot)} \leq C,$$

for C independent of λ , λ large enough. According to Hopf's maximum principle $|u|$ must attain its maximum at some point of $\bar{\Omega}$, where as before

$$\|\lambda u\|_{L^1(\cdot)} \leq C.$$

Next a straightforward calculation shows us that

$$v = Du^2$$

solves

$$\begin{aligned} (5.3) \quad 2\Delta v &= \frac{\partial F(\cdot)}{\partial p_{ij}} v_{x_i x_j} - \frac{\partial F(\cdot)}{\partial x_i} v_{x_i} - 2 \frac{\partial F(\cdot)}{\partial r} v \\ &= 2 \frac{\partial F(\cdot)}{\partial x_k} u_{x_k} - 2 \frac{\partial F(\cdot)}{\partial p_{ij}} u_{x_k x_i} u_{x_k x_j} \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

If v attains its maximum in Ω , the maximum principle gives the desired estimate.

$$(5.3) \quad \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C.$$

On the other hand Lemma I.1 in P. L. Lions [6] implies

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \leq 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

(the convexity of Ω is used here). The Hopf maximum principle therefore eliminates the possibility that v attains its maximum only on $\partial\Omega$.

This proves the estimate (5.2) and - as noted - the remainder of the existence proof for (5.1) follows as in Lemma 2.3 and §3. ■

6. APPENDIX: THE DEPENDENCE OF L^p ESTIMATES UPON THE SECOND-ORDER COEFFICIENTS.

In §2 we made reference to the following estimate concerning the dependence of the standard L^p elliptic estimates on the C^β -norm of the second order coefficients:

Lemma 6.1. Let B_1 and B_2 be two concentric closed balls in $\mathbb{R}^n$, of radius 1 and 2, respectively. Assume that $v \in C^{2,p}(B_2)$ solves the linear equation

$$(6.1) \quad -a_{ij}(x)v_{x_i x_j} + b_i(x)v_{x_i} + c(x)v = f$$

in B_2 , where

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{cases} |a_{ij}|, |b_i|, |c| \leq M \\ |a_{ij}(x) - a_{ij}(y)| \leq \theta |x - y|^\beta \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and

$$a_{ij} \in C^\beta(B_2) \quad \text{for some } 0 < \beta < 1.$$

Then for each $1 < p < \infty$ there exist constants C and N , depending only on M, θ, p , and n , such that

$$(6.3) \quad \|v\|_{W^{2,p}(B_1)} \leq C(\|a_{ij}\|_{C^\beta(B_2)}^N + 1)(\|f\|_{L^p(B_2)} + \|v\|_{L^p(B_2)}).$$

Proof.

The bound (6.3) is a standard consequence of linear L^p theory, except for the stated dependence on the C^β -norm of the a_{ij} .

Briefly then, let us first note that a solution $\hat{v}$ of

$$\begin{cases} L\hat{v} = \hat{f} & \text{in } B(R) \\ \hat{v} = 0 & \text{near } \partial B(R) \end{cases}$$

(L denoting the operator in (6.1) and $B(R)$ some ball of radius R) satisfies the bound

$$(6.4) \quad \|D^2 \hat{v}\|_{L^p(B(R))} \leq C(\|\hat{f}\|_{L^p(B(R))} + \|\hat{v}\|_{W^{1,p}(B(R))}),$$

provided

$$(6.5) \quad R^\beta \|a_{ij}\|_{C^\beta(\overline{B(R)})} = \epsilon',$$

for some small, but fixed constant ϵ . (Proof: a standard perturbation of coefficients (cf. Ladyženskaja and Ural'ceva [4, p. 190-193]) reduces (6.4) to the known estimate for Δ .)

Now B_1 can be covered by $K = C \left[\left\| a_{ij} \right\|_{C^0}^{\frac{n}{2}} + 1 \right]$ balls B_k of radius $\frac{R}{2}$, satisfying (6.5). We choose cutoff functions ζ_k so that

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{cases} 0 \leq \zeta_k \leq 1, \quad \zeta_k = 1 \text{ on } B_k, \\ \zeta_k = 0 \text{ near } \partial B_k \quad (2B_k \equiv \text{ball concentric with } B_k \text{ and with radius } R) \\ |D\zeta_k| \leq \frac{C}{R}, \quad |D^2\zeta_k| \leq \frac{C}{R^2} \end{cases}$$

and set

$$(6.7) \quad \eta_k = \zeta_k \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^K \zeta_\ell \right)^{-1}$$

to obtain a partition of unity on B_1 . Define

$$(6.8) \quad \hat{v}_k \equiv \eta_k v \text{ on } 2B_k.$$

We have

$$(6.9) \quad L\hat{v}_k = \eta_k f - a_{ij} [2v_{x_i} \eta_{kx_j} + v \eta_{kx_i x_j}] + b_i v \eta_{kx_i} \equiv \hat{f}_k.$$

Then (6.4) implies

$$(6.10) \quad \|D^2 v\|_{L^p(B_1)} \leq \sum_{k=1}^K \|D^2 \hat{v}_k\|_{L^p(2B_k)} \leq \frac{CK}{R^2} (\|f\|_{L^p(B_2)} + \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{3/2})}).$$

Similarly

$$\|v\|_{W^{1,p}(B_{3/2})} \leq \frac{CK}{R^2} (\|f\|_{L^p(B_2)} + \|v\|_{L^p(B_2)}).$$

The last two estimates, (6.5), and the definition of K give us (6.3). ■

For the proof of Lemma 4.2 we need

Lemma 6.2. Suppose that $u \in C^{3,\gamma}(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $0 < \gamma < 1$ solves

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{cases} F(D^2 u, Du, u, x) = f(x) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

for some $f \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then for each $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < \beta < 1$ there exist constants C and N , depending only on M, β, p , and Ω , such that

$$(6.12) \quad \|u\|_{W^{3,p}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|u\|_{C^{2,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})}^N + 1) \|f\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}.$$

Proof. Differentiating (6.11) we note that $v = u_{\xi}$ (the derivative of u in an arbitrary direction ξ) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_{ij}} (D^2 u, Du, u, x) v_{x_i} x_j + \frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} (D^2 u, Du, u, x) v_{x_i} \\ + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} (D^2 u, Du, u, x) v = f_{\xi} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi} (D^2 u, Du, u, x); \end{aligned}$$

the right hand side of this expression belongs to $L^p(\Omega)$. Now cover $\bar{\Omega}$ with

$$K = C \left[\left\| \frac{\partial F(\cdot)}{\partial p_{ij}} \right\|_{C^{\beta}(\bar{\Omega})}^{\frac{n}{\beta}} + 1 \right] \text{ balls } B_k \text{ of radius } \frac{R}{2}, \text{ for } R \text{ defined by}$$

$$R^{\beta} \left\| \frac{\partial F(\cdot)}{\partial p_{ij}} \right\|_{C^{\beta}(\bar{\Omega})} = \epsilon',$$

ϵ' from (6.5); we may assume that those balls B_k which intersect $\partial\Omega$ are in fact centered at a point belonging to $\partial\Omega$.

Define $\zeta_k, n_k, \hat{v}_k$ by (6.6)-(6.8).

Now if $B_k \subset \Omega$ for any given $k = 1, 2, \dots, k$ we recall estimate (6.4) for $\hat{v} = \hat{v}_k$. If $B_k \cap \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$, we transform coordinates to the case that $\partial\Omega \cap B_k \subset \{x_n = 0\}$, reflect $\hat{v}_k$ across the x_n plane (assuming $\hat{v}_k = 0$ on $\{x_n = 0\}$), and again apply (6.4). This method yields a bound on $\|u_{\xi}\|_{W^{2,p}(B_k)}$ for $\xi = x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$. The remaining derivative $u_{x_n x_n x_n}$ we estimate using equation (6.13) for $v = u_{x_n}$.

Collecting together these bounds we obtain

$$\|Du\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C(\|u\|_{C^{2,\beta}(\Omega)}^N + 1) (\|Df\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|D_x F\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}).$$

Applying a standard interpolation inequality completes the proof. ■

REFERENCES

1. L. C. Evans, On solving certain nonlinear partial differential equations by accretive operator methods, to appear in Israel J. Math.
2. L. C. Evans and A. Friedman, Stochastic optimal switching and the Dirichlet problem for the Bellman equation, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 253 (1979), 365-389.
3. A. Friedman, Partial Differential Equations, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1969.
4. O. A. Ladyženskaja and N. N. Ural'ceva, Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
5. P. L. Lions, Résolution des problèmes de Bellman-Dirichlet, to appear; see also Thèse d'Etat, Paris, 1979.
6. P. L. Lions, Résolution de problèmes elliptiques quasilineaires, to appear in Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal; see also Thèse de 3^e cycle, Paris, 1978.
7. I. V. Skrypnik, On the topological character of general nonlinear operators, Doklady 239 (1978), 538-541 (Russian).

LCE/PLL/ed

CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

READ INSTRUCTIONS
BEFORE COMPLETING FORM

1. REPORT NUMBER

065

2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.

AD-A086383

3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER

9/1/1980

4. TITLE (And Subtitle)

FULLY NONLINEAR SECOND ORDER ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS
WITH LARGE ZEROth ORDER COEFFICIENT.

5. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED
Summary Report, no specific
reporting period

6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER

7. AUTHOR(s)

Lawrence C. Evans and Pierre-Louis Lions

8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)

DAAG29-80-C-0041

DAAG29-75-C-0024

MCS77-01952

9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS

Mathematics Research Center, University of
610 Walnut Street Wisconsin
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS

Work Unit Number 1 -
Applied Analysis

11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS

(See Item 18 below)

12. REPORT DATE

April 1980

13. NUMBER OF PAGES

18

14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)

12/22

15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)

UNCLASSIFIED

15a. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING
SCHEDULE

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

14 MRC-TSR-2065

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

U. S. Army Research Office
P. O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park
North Carolina 27709

National Science Foundation
Washington, D.C. 20550

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Nonlinear elliptic equations, a priori estimates continuation methods

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

We prove the existence of classical solutions to certain fully nonlinear
second order elliptic equations with large zeroth order coefficient. The
principal tool is an a priori estimate asserting that the $C^{2,\alpha}$ -norm of the
solution cannot lie in a certain interval of the positive real axis.

221200

JLM

ATE
LMED
-8