

12

LEVEL III

AD-E430473

AD

MEMORANDUM REPORT ARBRL-MR-03023

EFFECT OF NEAR-ZERO SPIN ON INSTABILITY
OF CONTROLLED PROJECTILES IN
ASCENDING OR DESCENDING FLIGHT

AD A 086775

Charles H. Murphy
James W. Bradley

May 1980

DTIC
ELECTE
S JUL 17 1980 D
B



US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND
BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DDC FILE COPY

80 6 26 051

2
Destroy this report when it is no longer needed.
Do not return it to the originator.

Secondary distribution of this report by originating
or sponsoring activity is prohibited.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained
from the National Technical Information Service,
U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia
22151.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as
an official Department of the Army position, unless
so designated by other authorized documents.

*The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report
does not constitute endorsement of any commercial product.*

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER MEMORANDUM REPORT ARBRL-MR-03023	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A086775	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) EFFECT OF NEAR-ZERO SPIN ON INSTABILITY OF CONTROLLED PROJECTILES IN ASCENDING OR DESCENDING FLIGHT		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final
7. AUTHOR(s) Charles H. Murphy and James W. Bradley		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory (ATTN: DRDAR-BLL) Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS RDT&E 1L161102AH43
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Armament Research and Development Command U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory (ATTN: DRDAR-BL), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005		12. REPORT DATE May 1980
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 22
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Dynamic Stability Control Forces and Moments Nonspinning Statically Stable Missile Angular Motion of a Symmetric Missile		
Near-Zero Spin		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Lloyd and Brown have shown that constant-amplitude horizontal and vertical side forces applied to a spinning projectile can cause dynamic instability. A subsequent ARRADCOM report removed some of the limitations of the Lloyd-Brown work and gave somewhat more general results. Unfortunately, these results were applied in the report to a case for which they were unsuitable: a nonspinning statically stable missile. The present report derives correct results for the zero-spin case and shows that the results of the earlier report are valid for spin rates greater than about five times the resonant spin value.		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION.	5
II. GENERAL THEORY.	5
III. SOLUTION FOR SMALL SPIN	8
IV. SOLUTION FOR ZERO SPIN.	9
V. SUMMARY	11
REFERENCES.	13
LIST OF SYMBOLS	15
DISTRIBUTION LIST	19

ACCESSION for		
NTIS	White Section	☒
DDC	Buff Section	☐
UNANNOUNCED		☐
JUSTIFICATION _____		
BY _____		
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES		
Dist.	AvAIL.	and/or SPECIAL
A		

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1977, Lloyd and Brown¹ investigated the feasibility of controlling a 105mm spinning projectile by means of horizontal and vertical forces. Their numerical calculations yielded the surprising result that an applied constant-amplitude yaw moment could cause dynamic instability. This result was then explained theoretically by the influence of a nonlinear term in $\dot{\phi}_{FP}$, the fixed-plane coordinate system spin rate.

The Lloyd and Brown work was limited to large stability factors, neglected spin and density variations and incompletely considered gravity. Reference 2 removed these limitations and thereby gave somewhat more general results as well as a more elegant derivation. Unfortunately, the relations of Reference 2 were applied there to the case of a nonspinning statically stable missile. Although these relations are valid for statically stable missiles with spin rates that are large in comparison with the resonance spin, they are definitely invalid for zero and "near-zero" spin. It is the purpose of this memorandum report to give a more rigorous derivation of the relations of Reference 2 and to obtain the correct equations for a statically stable missile with zero spin.

II. GENERAL THEORY

Equation (3.8) of Reference 2 can be written in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\xi}'' + (H - i P) \hat{\xi}' - (M + i P T) (\hat{\xi} - \hat{\xi}_e) \\ = - 2 i E [\hat{\beta}'' + (H - i P) \hat{\beta}'] \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

1. K.H. Lloyd and D.P. Brown, "Influence of Gravity and Applied Side Forces on the Stability of a Spinning Projectile," Weapons Research Establishment TR 1908(W), South Australia, November 1977, AD A053648. (See also "Instability of Spinning Projectiles During Terminal Guidance," *Journal of Guidance and Control* 2, January-February 1979, pp. 65-70.)
2. C.H. Murphy, "Effect of Horizontal and Vertical Side Forces and Moments on Stability of a Symmetric Missile in Ascending or Descending Flight," USA ARADCOM Ballistic Research Laboratory Memorandum Report No. 02915, April 1979, AD A072908.

where

$$E = (a/2) \hat{\xi}_e \tan \theta_e$$

$$\hat{\xi}_e = -C/(M + i P T)$$

$$a = [\gamma_e + \hat{\alpha}_e \tan \theta_e]^{-1}$$

and the other symbols are defined in the List of Symbols.

The right-hand side of Equation (2.1) is the forcing function due to ϕ_{FP} , linearized with respect to the equilibrium angle $\hat{\xi}_e$ produced by the control moment. Since

$$\hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}_e = (1/2) [\hat{\xi} - \hat{\xi}_e + \bar{\xi} - \bar{\xi}_e] \quad (2.2)$$

$\hat{\beta}$ can be eliminated from Equation (2.1). The result has a quite simple form if $\hat{\xi}_e$ is assumed to be constant:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\xi}'' + (H - i P) \hat{\xi}' - (1 - i \bar{E})(M + i P T) (\hat{\xi} - \hat{\xi}_e) \\ = -i \bar{E} [\bar{\xi}'' + (H - i P) \bar{\xi}'] \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\bar{E} = E/(1 + i E)$$

Equation (2.3) is a second-order complex equation with constant coefficients, but it involves $\bar{\xi}$ as well as $\hat{\xi}$. Equations of this kind were solved in Reference 3 by assuming a solution of the form:

$$\hat{\xi} = \hat{\xi}_e + k_1 e^{\psi_1 s} + k_2 e^{\psi_2 s} + k_4 e^{\bar{\psi}_1 s} + k_5 e^{\bar{\psi}_2 s} \quad (2.4)$$

where k_j and ψ_j are complex constants:

$$k_j = K_{j0} e^{i \phi_{j0}}$$

$$\psi_j = \lambda_j + i \phi'_j$$

3. C.H. Murphy, "Angular Motion of Spinning Almost-Symmetric Missiles," *Journal of Guidance and Control* 2, November-December 1979, pp. 504-510. (See also USA ARRADCOM Ballistic Research Laboratory Technical Report No. 02121, November 1978, AD A063538.)

If Equation (2.4) is substituted in Equation (2.3) and the coefficients of the four exponentials are set equal to zero, there results

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{\bar{k}_j + 3}{k_j} &= \frac{F_j + i M_e}{-i[\tilde{E} F_j + M_e]} \\ &= \frac{i \left\{ \tilde{E} [l_j + 2 i P(\psi_j + T)] + \bar{M}_e \right\}}{F_j - i \bar{M}_e + 2 i P(\psi_j + T)} \end{aligned} \right\} (2.5)$$

$$j = 1, 2$$

where $F_j = \psi_j^2 + (H - i P)\psi_j - M - i P T$.

$$M_e = \tilde{E}(M + i P T)$$

For $E = 0$, $k_4 = k_5 = 0$ and the equation $F_j = 0$ is the usual quadratic equation for the epicyclic frequencies and damping rates. We wish to find the effect of small nonzero E . Equation (2.5) can be written in the following form, where $\psi_j + T$ has been approximated by $i\phi'_j$.

$$F_j + i M_e = \frac{[\tilde{E}(F_j - 2 P \phi'_j) + \bar{M}_e] (\tilde{E} F_j + M_e)}{F_j - 2 P \phi'_j - i \bar{M}_e} \quad (2.6)$$

A first approximation for F_j can be obtained by setting the small right side of Equation (2.6) equal to zero.

$$F_j = -i M_e \quad (2.7)$$

A better approximation for F_j now follows from Equation (2.6) by replacing F_j on the right side by $-i M_e$ and neglecting E^2 terms compared to E terms.

$$F_j = M_e \left\{ -i + \frac{\bar{E} [2 P \phi'_j - M + i PT]}{2 P \phi'_j + i (M_e + \bar{M}_e)} \right\} \quad (2.8)$$

For this approximation,

$$\frac{k_{j+3}}{\bar{k}_j} = \frac{-i \bar{E} (2 P \phi'_j - M - i PT)}{2 P \phi'_j - i (M_e + \bar{M}_e)} \quad (2.9)$$

III. SOLUTION FOR SMALL SPIN

For small spin, the PT term in Equations (2.8 - 9) can be omitted and these equations reduce to

$$F_j = \bar{E} M \left[-i + \frac{\bar{E} (1 - R_j)}{1 + i (\bar{E} + \bar{\bar{E}}) R_j} \right] \quad (3.1)$$

$$\frac{k_{j+3}}{\bar{k}_j} = \frac{-i \bar{E} (1 - R_j)}{1 - i (\bar{E} + \bar{\bar{E}}) R_j} \quad (3.2)$$

where $R_j = M / (2 P \phi'_j)$.

The iterative process that produced Equation (3.1) is valid only if the absolute value of the second term in that equation is smaller than the absolute value of the first term. For small $|\bar{E}|$, this is the case if $|R_j|$ is less than, say, unity (a conservative upper bound):

$$|R_j| < 1 \quad (3.3)$$

This condition is always satisfied for the rapidly spinning shell of Reference 1, but its applicability to a slowly spinning finner must be analyzed by use of the concept of resonance spin.

Resonance spin, ϕ'_{reson} , is defined by the relations

$$\phi'_{\text{reson}} = \phi'_1 = -\phi'_2 = \sqrt{-M} \quad (3.4)$$

Relation (3.3) assumes a very simple form in terms of resonant spin.

$$\phi' / \phi'_{\text{reson}} > \frac{I_y}{2I_x} \quad (3.5)$$

Moment of inertia ratios can be as high as 10:1. Thus, relation (3.5) would be satisfied for spins in excess of five times resonance.

When condition (3.5) is satisfied, the magnitudes of k_4 and k_5 are given in terms of k_1 and k_2 by Equation (3.2) and are small for small $|\ddot{E}|$. For a trim of 12° and a maximum climb or descent angle of 45° , $|\ddot{E}|$ would be about 0.2. For these conditions, k_4 and k_5 could be neglected and the motion approximated by a tricycle. This is what was done in Reference 2 and Equation (2.7) yields the frequencies and damping rates of that report.

For spin rates lower than five times resonant spin, Equation (2.7) loses validity and should be used with caution. This was not done in Reference 2 and erroneous results were given for zero spin.

IV. SOLUTION FOR ZERO SPIN

For $P = 0$, Equation (2.5) requires that

$$(F_j - b M)F_j = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$b = (\hat{\alpha}_e \tan \theta_e) / \gamma_e$$

If $\hat{\alpha}_e$ is not zero, Equation (4.1) has two distinct roots:

$$F_j = 0, \quad b M \quad (4.2)$$

The four roots of these two quadratic equations are the $\psi_1, \bar{\psi}_1, \psi_2, \bar{\psi}_2$ of Equation (2.4). If we identify ψ_1 and $\bar{\psi}_1$ as the roots of the first of these quadratic equations and ψ_2 and $\bar{\psi}_2$ as roots of the second, then

$$F_1 = 0, \quad F_2 = b M \quad (4.3)$$

For these roots, Equation (2.5) reduces to

$$k_4 = -\bar{k}_1 \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$k_5 = -(\xi_e/\bar{\xi}_e)\bar{k}_2 \quad (4.5)$$

Hence the solution to Equation (2.3) for $P = 0$ and $\hat{\alpha}_e \neq 0$ is

$$\hat{\xi} = \hat{\xi}_e + K_1 (e^{i\phi_1} - e^{-i\phi_1}) + K_2 \left[e^{i\phi_2} - (\hat{\xi}_e/\bar{\xi}_e)e^{-i\phi_2} \right] \quad (4.6)$$

where, from Equation (4.3)

$$K_j = K_{j0} \exp(-Hs/2)$$

and (ignoring a small H^2 term)

$$\phi_1' = [-M]^{1/2}$$

$$\phi_2' = -[-(1+b)M]^{1/2}$$

For the special case $\hat{\alpha}_e = 0$ (which occurs when the fixed-plane transverse control moment has no horizontal component), Equation (4.1) has repeated roots: $F_1 = F_2 = 0$. Equation (2.4) is inadequate to handle this situation. Instead, we must assume a solution of the form

$$\hat{\xi} = \hat{\beta}_e + (k_1 + k_4 s)e^{\psi_1 s} + (k_2 + k_5 s)e^{\bar{\psi}_1 s} \quad (4.7)$$

Direct substitution in Equation (2.3) yields the relations:

$$k_4 = -\bar{k}_5 = - \left(\frac{M \hat{\beta}_e \tan \theta_e}{4 \gamma_e \phi_1'} \right) (k_1 + \bar{k}_2) \quad (4.8)$$

Hence, for $P = 0$ and $\hat{\alpha}_e = 0$, we have

$$\hat{\xi} = \hat{\beta}_e + K_1 e^{i\phi_1} + K_2 e^{i\phi_2} - \left(\frac{i M \hat{\beta}_e \tan \theta_e}{2 \gamma_e \phi_1'} \right) (K_1 \sin \phi_1 - K_2 \sin \phi_2) s \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$\phi_1' = -\phi_2' = [-M]^{1/2}$$

We see that in both zero-spin solutions, (4.6) and (4.9), $\hat{\xi}_e$ has no effect on the damping rates and $\hat{\beta}_e$ has no effect on the frequencies. A nonzero $\hat{\alpha}_e$ will effect just one of the frequencies; zero $\hat{\alpha}_e$ will cause secular terms to appear in the solution.

V. SUMMARY

1. The relations given in Reference 2 are valid for gyroscopically stable missiles for $1 < s_g \ll \infty$ and for statically stable missiles for which $\phi'/\phi'_{\text{reson}} > 5$.

2. For statically stable missiles with lower spin rates, the motion is quite complex and should be studied by numerical simulation.

REFERENCES

1. K.H. Lloyd and D.P. Brown, "Influence of Gravity and Applied Side Forces on the Stability of a Spinning Projectile," Weapons Research Establishment TR 1906(W), South Australia, November 1977, AD A053648. (See also "Instability of Spinning Projectiles During Terminal Guidance," *Journal of Guidance and Control* 2, January-February 1979, pp. 65-70.)
2. C.H. Murphy, "Effect of Horizontal and Vertical Side Forces and Moments on Stability of a Symmetric Missile in Ascending or Descending Flight," USA ARRADCOM Ballistic Research Laboratory Memorandum Report No. 02915, April 1979, AD A072808.
3. C.H. Murphy, "Angular Motion of Spinning Almost-Symmetric Missiles," *Journal of Guidance and Control* 2, November-December 1979, pp. 504-510. (See also USA ARRADCOM Ballistic Research Laboratory Technical Report No. 02121, November 1978, AD A063538.)

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a	$[\gamma_e + \hat{\alpha}_e \tan \theta_e]^{-1}$
b	$\hat{\alpha}_e \tan \theta_e / \gamma_e$
c	$i \gamma k_t^{-2} (m V^2)^{-1} \times$ (fixed-plane system complex control moment)
C_D	$\frac{\text{drag force}}{(1/2) \rho S V^2}$
C_{L_α}	$\frac{\text{lift force}}{(1/2) \rho S V^2 \delta}$
$C_{M_{p\alpha}}$	$\frac{\text{Magnus moment}}{(1/2) \rho S \ell V^2 \phi' \delta}$
$C_{M_q} + C_{M_{\dot{\alpha}}}$	$\frac{\text{sum of the damping moments}}{(1/2) \rho S \ell^2 V (q^2 + r^2)^{1/2}}$
C_{M_α}	$\frac{\text{static moment}}{(1/2) \rho S \ell V^2 \delta}$
E	$(a/2) \hat{\xi}_e \tan \theta_e$
$\dot{E}$	$\frac{E}{1 + i E}$
F_j	$\psi_j^2 + (H - i P) \psi_j - M - i P T \quad (j = 1, 2)$
H	$\frac{\rho S \ell}{2m} \left[\gamma C_{L_\alpha} - C_D - \frac{m \ell^2}{I_y} (C_{M_q} + \gamma C_{M_{\dot{\alpha}}}) \right]$
I_x, I_y	axial and transverse moments of inertia
K_j	$K_{j0} e^{\lambda_j s}$, the magnitude of the j-th modal arm (j = 1, 2)
K_{j0}	$ k_j $ (j = 1, 2, 4, 5)

LIST OF SYMBOLS
(Continued)

k_j	$K_{j0} e^{i\phi_{j0}}$, the j-th modal arm at $s = 0$ ($j = 1, 2, 4, 5$)
ℓ	reference length
M	$\gamma \left(\frac{\rho S \ell^3}{2 I_y} \right) C_{M_\alpha}$
M_e	$(M + i P T) \tilde{E}$
P	$(I_x / I_y) \phi'$
p, q, r	missile spin, pitch and yaw rates in a missile-fixed system
R_j	$M / (2 P \phi'_j)$
S	reference area
s	nondimensional arc length along the trajectory
s_g	$P^2 / 4M$, the gyroscopic stability factor
T	$\gamma \frac{\rho S \ell}{2 m} \left[C_{L_\alpha} + \frac{m \ell^2}{I_x} C_{M_{p\alpha}} \right]$
t	time
u, v, w	velocity components in a missile-fixed system
V	magnitude of the velocity
$\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$	angles of attack and side-slip in a fixed-plane system
$\hat{\alpha}_e, \hat{\beta}_e$	imaginary and real parts of $\hat{\xi}_e$

LIST OF SYMBOLS
(Continued)

γ_e	equilibrium value of (u/V)
δ	$ \hat{\xi} $
θ_e	equilibrium value of the angle between the missile's axis and the horizontal
λ_j	$K'_j/K_j \quad (j = 1, 2)$
$\hat{\xi}$	$\left(\frac{v + i w}{V} \right) e^{i(\phi - \phi_{FP})} \doteq \hat{\beta} + i \hat{\alpha}$
$\hat{\xi}_e$	$-C/(M + i P T)$, the equilibrium value of $\hat{\xi}$
ρ	air density
ϕ_j	$\phi_{j0} + \phi'_j s \quad (j = 1, 2)$
ϕ'	$p\ell/V$
ϕ'_j	turning rate of the j-th modal arm $(j = 1, 2)$
ϕ'_{reson}	resonance value of ϕ'
$\dot{\phi}_{FP}$	spin rate of the fixed-plane coordinate system
ψ_j	$\lambda_j + i \phi'_j \quad (j = 1, 2)$

Superscripts

$\dot{(\)}$	$d(\)/dt$
$(\)'$	$d(\)/ds = (\)\ell/V$
$\hat{(\)}$	fixed-plane value of $(\)$
$\bar{(\)}$	complex conjugate

LIST OF SYMBOLS
(Continued)

Subscript

()_e steady-state equilibrium value due to control moment

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
12	Commander Defense Technical Info Center ATTN: DDC-DDA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314	1	Director US Army Air Mobility Research & Development Laboratory Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035
1	Commander US Army Materiel Development & Readiness Command ATTN: DRCDMD-ST 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333	1	Commander US Army Communications Research & Development Command ATTN: DRDCO-PPA-SA Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
2	Commander US Army Armament Research & Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-TSS Dover, NJ 07801	1	Commander US Army Electronic Research and Development Command Technical Support Activity ATTN: DELSD-L Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
4	Commander US Army Armament Research & Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-LCA-F, Mr.A. Loeb DRDAR-LCA-FA, Mr.S. Wasserman DRDAR-LCA, Mr.W.R. Benson DRDAR-LCU, Mr.A. Moss Dover, NJ 07301	1	Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: DRDMI-R Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809
		1	Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: DRSMI-YDL Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809
1	Commander US Army Armament Materiel Readiness Command ATTN: DRSAR-LEP-L, Tech Lib Rock Island, IL 61299	1	Commander US Army Tank Automotive Research & Development Command ATTN: DRDTA-UL Warren, MI 48090
1	Director US Army ARRADCOM Benet Weapons Laboratory ATTN: DRDAR-LCB-TL Watervliet, NY 12189	1	Commander US Army Yuma Proving Ground ATTN: STEYP-TMW, Mr.W.T. Vomocil Yuma, AZ 85364
1	Commander US Army Aviation Research and Development Command ATTN: DRSAB-E P.O.Box 209 St. Louis, MO 61366	1	Commander US Army Research Office ATTN: CRD-AA-EH P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park NC 27709

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	Director US Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: ATAA-SL, Tech Lib White Sands Missile Range NM 88002	1	Commander Naval Weapons Center ATTN: Code 233 China Lake, CA 93555
1	Commander Naval Air Systems Command ATTN: AIR-604 Washington, DC 20360	1	Commander Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: Tech Info Div Washington, DC 20375
3	Commander Naval Ordnance Systems Command ATTN: ORD-0632 ORD-035 ORD-5524 Washington, DC 20360	1	Superintendent Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, CA 93940
1	Commander Naval Air Development Center, Johnsville Warminster, PA 18974	1	ATATL (Tech Lib) Eglin AFB, FL 32542
1	Commander David W. Taylor Naval Ship Research & Development Ctr Aerodynamics Laboratory Bethesda, MD 20084	1	AFFDL Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
5	Commander Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: Dr. Thomas Clare Dr. W.R. Chadwick Dr. W.G. Soper Dr. F. Moore Dr. T.R. Pepitone Dahlgren, VA 22448	1	ASD (ASAMCG) Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433
1	Commander Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: Code 730, Tech Lib Silver Spring, MD 20910	4	Director National Aeronautics and Space Administration Ames Research Center ATTN: Dr. Gary Chapman Mr. A. Seiff Mr. Murray Tobak Tech Lib Moffett Field, CA 94035
		1	Director National Aeronautics and Space Administration George C. Marshall Space Flight Center ATTN: MS-I, Library Huntsville, AL 35812

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
2	Director National Aeronautics and Space Administration Langley Research Center ATTN: MS 185, Tech Lib Dr. Clarence Young Langley Station Hampton, VA 23365	1	Calspan Corporation P.O.Box 235 Buffalo, NY 14221
1	Director National Aeronautics and Space Administration Lewis Research Center ATTN: Tech Lib 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, OH 44135	1	General Electric Company Armament Systems Department ATTN: Mr. Robert H. Whyte Lakeside Avenue Burlington, VT 05401
1	Director National Aeronautics and Space Administration Scientific and Technical Information Facility P.O. Box 8757 Baltimore/Washington International Airport, MD 21240	1	Saber Industries Inc. ATTN: Dr. G.V. Bull North Jay Road P.O. Box 80 North Troy, VT 05859
2	Director Jet Propulsion Laboratory ATTN: Tech Lib, Mr. Peter Joffe 4800 Oak Grove Drive Pasadena, CA 91103	1	California Polytechnic State Univ ATTN: Dr. John D. Nicolaides Aeronautical Engineering Dept. San Luis Obispo, CA 93401
3	Director Sandia Laboratories ATTN: Division 1342, Mr.W.F. Hartman Division 1331, Mr. H.R. Vaughn Mr. A.E. Hodapp Albuquerque, NM 87115	1	Director Applied Physics Laboratory The Johns Hopkins University Johns Hopkins Road Laurel, MD 20810
1	Aerospace Corporation ATTN: Dr. Daniel Platus 2350E El Segundo Avenue El Segundo, CA 90245	1	Stanford University ATTN: Department of Aeronautical Engineering Stanford, CA 94305
		1	University of California ATTN: Professor E.V. Laitone Berkeley, CA 94704
		1	University of Illinois Department of Aeronautical Engineering ATTN: Prof. A.I. Ormsbee Urbana, IL 61801
		1	University of Virginia Department of Engineering Science & Systems ATTN: Prof. Ira D. Jacobson Thornton Hall Charlottesville, VA 22904

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Aberdeen Proving Ground

Dir, USAMSAA
ATTN: DRXSY-D
DRXSY-MP, H. Cohen

Cdr, USATECOM
ATTN: DRSTE-TO-F

Dir, Wpns Sys Concepts Team
Bldg. E3516, EA
ATTN: DRDAR-ACW

USER EVALUATION OF REPORT

Please take a few minutes to answer the questions below; tear out this sheet and return it to Director, US Army Ballistic Research Laboratory, ARRADCOM, ATTN: DRDAR-TSB, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005. Your comments will provide us with information for improving future reports.

1. BRL Report Number _____

2. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which report will be used.)

3. How, specifically, is the report being used? (Information source, design data or procedure, management procedure, source of ideas, etc.) _____

4. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours/contract dollars saved, operating costs avoided, efficiencies achieved, etc.? If so, please elaborate.

5. General Comments (Indicate what you think should be changed to make this report and future reports of this type more responsive to your needs, more usable, improve readability, etc.) _____

6. If you would like to be contacted by the personnel who prepared this report to raise specific questions or discuss the topic, please fill in the following information.

Name: _____

Telephone Number: _____

Organization Address: _____

