

AFWAL-TR-80-3021,
Part I

LEVEL

AC87492

2

4

**CAST ALUMINUM STRUCTURES TECHNOLOGY (CAST)
STRUCTURAL TEST AND EVALUATION (PHASE V)
PART I—FULL SCALE TEST**

C. K. Gunther

The Boeing Company
Seattle, Washington 98124

April 1980

Technical Report AFWAL-TR-80-3021, Part I
Final Report for Period February 1977-January 1980

DTIC
ELECTE
AUG 13 1980
S D C

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

Flight Dynamics Laboratory
Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories
Air Force Systems Command
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433

80 8 11 117

ADA 087807

DDC FILE COPY

NOTICE

When Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related Government procurement operation, the United States Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

This report has been reviewed by the Office of Public Affairs (PA) and is releasable to the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). At NTIS, it will be available to the general public, including foreign nations.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved for publication.



John R. Williamson
Deputy Program Manager
AMS Program Office
Structural Mechanics Division



William R. Johnston
Acting Program Manager
AMS Program Office
Structural Mechanics Division

FOR THE COMMANDER



RALPH L. KUSTER, JR., COLONEL, USAF
Chief, Structures & Dynamics Division

"If your address has changed, if you wish to be removed from our mailing list, or if the addressee is no longer employed by your organization, please notify AFWAL/FIBAA, Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433 to help us maintain a current mailing list."

(17) TR-80-3021-PT-1 / 1007492

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER AFWAL TR-80-3021, Pt. I	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A087492	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) CAST ALUMINUM STRUCTURES TECHNOLOGY (CAST) STRUCTURAL TEST AND EVALUATION. (PHASE IV) PART I, FULL SCALE TEST.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED February, 1977 - January, 1980
7. AUTHOR(s) K. GUNTHER		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER D180-25724-1
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS The Boeing Military Airplane Company / Advanced Aircraft Branch Seattle, Washington 98124		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) F33615-76-C-3111
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Flight Dynamics Laboratory Air Force Wright Aeronautical Laboratories AFSC, Wright Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Project No 486U Work Unit 485U
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Final report for 6/71-Jan 80		12. REPORT DATE April, 1980
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 134
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		16. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) CAST, Aluminum Castings, Full Scale Test, Fatigue, Durability, Damage Tolerance		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Full scale tests were conducted on the cast A357-T6 aluminum alloy bulkheads developed and manufactured for the Cast Aluminum Structures Technology (CAST) program. One test article was subjected to four lifetimes of durability and damage tolerance testing and a second was subjected to additional two lives of damage tolerance testing including residual strength tests to and exceeding ultimate loads. The full scale test program successfully demonstrated that the static strength, durability, and damage tolerance requirements for the bulkhead were met.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

412573 22

FOREWORD

This report was prepared by the Boeing Military Airplane Company, Advanced Aircraft Branch, Seattle, Washington under USAF Contract No. F33615-76-C-3111. The contract work was performed under project 486U under the direction of the Flight Dynamics Laboratory, Advanced Metallic Structures/Advanced Development Program Office, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio. A significant portion of the contract was funded by the Metals Branch of the Manufacturing Technology Division of the Materials Laboratory. The Air Force Project Engineer was John R. Williamson of the AMS Program Office, Structural Mechanics Division, Flight Dynamics Laboratories (AFWAL/FIBAA).

The Boeing Military Airplane Company was the contractor, with Donald E. Strand as Program Manager and Donald D. Goehler as Technical Leader. Work covered by this report was conducted by Christian K. Gunther; the Air Force test engineer was Don Brammer.

This report is Part I of a three-part report on Phase V activities. The contractor's report number is D180-25724-1. The report covers work from February 1977 through January 1980. Other work performed on the CAST program is reported in:

- o AFFDL-TR-77-36 Final Report (Phase I) for period June 1976—February 1977
- o AFFDL-TR-78-62 Final Report (Phase II) for period June 1976—March 1978
- o AFFDL-TR-78-7 Final Report (Phase III) for period February 1977—December 1977
- o AFFDL-TR-79-3029 Final Report (Phase IV) for period June 1977—March 1979

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DDC TAB	☐
Unannounced Justification	☐
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or special
A	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
SECTION I. INTRODUCTION	1
SECTION II. SCOPE OF FULL-SCALE TEST PROGRAM	3
SECTION III. FULL-SCALE TEST SETUP	5
1. Test Article	5
2. Test Fixtures and Load Application System	5
3. Instrumentation	10
4. Test Loads	10
5. Data Acquisition	12
SECTION IV. FULL-SCALE TEST	17
1. Photoelastic Coating Survey	17
2. Strain Survey	17
3. Durability and Damage Tolerance (I) Tests	28
4. Damage Tolerance Test II	35
SECTION V. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	45
REFERENCES	47
APPENDIX A. REPEATED LOADS FOR DURABILITY AND DAMAGE TOLERANCE (I) TESTS	49
APPENDIX B. REPEATED LOADS FOR DAMAGE TOLERANCE TEST II	57
APPENDIX C. STRAIN GAGE DATA FROM DAMAGE TOLERANCE TEST II	65

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Cast Aluminum Bulkhead for YC-14	6
2	Full-Scale Test Setup at Wright-Patterson AFB	7
3	Nose Gear Load Fixture	8
4	Schematic of Full-Scale Test Setup	9
5	Test System Schematic	11
6	Areas of Photoelastic Coating Survey	18
7	Typical Photostress Points	20
8	Stress Concentration at Photo Stress Point 1	24
9	Strain Gage Locations on Test Article I	25
10	Strain Gage Locations on Transition Structure and Load Fixture	26
11	Results of Strain Gage Survey, Condition 1	29
12	Results of Strain Gage Survey, Condition 2	30
13	Results of Strain Gage Survey, Condition 3	31
14	Results of Strain Gage Survey, Condition 4	32
15	Results of Strain Gage Survey, Condition 5	33
16	Initial Condition of Test Article I	34
17	Initial Flaw Locations on Test Article I	36
18	Test Program Progress Chart	37
19	Crack Growth from Initial Flaws	39
20	Strain Gage Locations on Test Article II	40
21	Initial Flaw Locations on Test Article II	42
22	Test Program Progress Chart	43
A-1	Test Load Components at Load Application Points	51
B-1	Test Load Components at Load Application Points	59

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	AMST Design Mission Mix	13
2	Typical Flight Segments	13
3	Ultimate Static Loads	14
4	Load Conditions for Photoelastic Coating Survey	19
5	Results of Photoelastic Coating Survey	21
6	Load Conditions for Strain Survey	27
7	Crack Growth Results	38
A-1	Test Load Spectrum Block	52
A-2	Load Points for CTOL Landing Conditions (GW = 162 kips)	54
A-3	Load Points for CTOL Landing Conditions (GW = 136 kips)	55
A-4	Load Points for STOL Landing Conditions	56
B-1	Test Load Spectrum Block	60
B-2	Load Points for CTOL Landing Conditions (GW = 162 kips)	62
B-3	Load Points for CTOL Landing Conditions (GW = 136 kips)	63
B-4	Load Points for STOL Landing Conditions	64
C-1	Bulkhead Strain Gage R1 Springback Landing	67
C-2	Bulkhead Strain Gage R2 Springback Landing	69
C-3	Bulkhead Strain Gage R3 Springback Landing	69
C-4	Bulkhead Strain Gage R4 Springback Landing	70
C-5	Bulkhead Strain Gage R5 Springback Landing	71
C-6	Bulkhead Strain Gage R7 Springback Landing	72
C-7	Bulkhead Strain Gage R8 Springback Landing	73
C-8	Bulkhead Strain Gage R9 Springback Landing	74
C-9	Bulkhead Strain Gage R10 Springback Landing	75
C-10	Bulkhead Strain Gage R11 Springback Landing	76
C-11	Bulkhead Strain Gage R12 Springback Landing	77
C-12	Bulkhead Strain Gage R13 Springback Landing	78
C-13	Bulkhead Strain Gage R15 Springback Landing	79
C-14	Bulkhead Strain Gage R16 Springback Landing	80
C-15	Bulkhead Strain Gage R17 Springback Landing	81
C-16	Bulkhead Strain Gage R18 Springback Landing	82

LIST OF TABLES (Concluded)

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
C-17	Bulkhead Strain Gage R20 Springback Landing	83
C-18	Bulkhead Strain Gage R21 Springback Landing	84
C-19	Bulkhead Strain Gage R25 Springback Landing	85
C-20	Bulkhead Strain Gage R26 Springback Landing	86
C-21	Bulkhead Strain Gage R27 Springback Landing	87
C-22	Bulkhead Strain Gage R28 Springback Landing	88
C-23	Bulkhead Strain Gage R29 Springback Landing	89
C-24	Bulkhead Strain Gage R30 Springback Landing	90
C-25	Bulkhead Strain Gage R1 Boeing Side Load Landing	91
C-26	Bulkhead Strain Gage R2 Boeing Side Load Landing	92
C-27	Bulkhead Strain Gage R3 Boeing Side Load Landing	93
C-28	Bulkhead Strain Gage R4 Boeing Side Load Landing	94
C-29	Bulkhead Strain Gage R5 Boeing Side Load Landing	95
C-30	Bulkhead Strain Gage R7 Boeing Side Load Landing	96
C-31	Bulkhead Strain Gage R8 Boeing Side Load Landing	97
C-32	Bulkhead Strain Gage R9 Boeing Side Load Landing	98
C-33	Bulkhead Strain Gage R10 Boeing Side Load Landing	99
C-34	Bulkhead Strain Gage R11 Boeing Side Load Landing	100
C-35	Bulkhead Strain Gage R12 Boeing Side Load Landing	101
C-36	Bulkhead Strain Gage R13 Boeing Side Load Landing	102
C-37	Bulkhead Strain Gage R15 Boeing Side Load Landing	103
C-38	Bulkhead Strain Gage R16 Boeing Side Load Landing	104
C-39	Bulkhead Strain Gage R17 Boeing Side Load Landing	105
C-40	Bulkhead Strain Gage R18 Boeing Side Load Landing	106
C-41	Bulkhead Strain Gage R20 Boeing Side Load Landing	107
C-42	Bulkhead Strain Gage R21 Boeing Side Load Landing	108
C-43	Bulkhead Strain Gage R25 Boeing Side Load Landing	109
C-44	Bulkhead Strain Gage R26 Boeing Side Load Landing	110
C-45	Bulkhead Strain Gage R27 Boeing Side Load Landing	111
C-46	Bulkhead Strain Gage R28 Boeing Side Load Landing	112
C-47	Bulkhead Strain Gage R29 Boeing Side Load Landing	113
C-48	Bulkhead Strain Gage R30 Boeing Side Load Landing	114

SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

The objective of Phase V of the CAST program was to demonstrate the structural integrity of the cast bulkhead by full-scale test.

During Phase III, Detail Design, the bulkhead was analyzed for static strength, durability, and damage tolerance. Margins of safety were demonstrated for all critical conditions. The demonstration of static strength, durability, and damage tolerance by full-scale test provides a check of the analysis and identifies critical areas of the airframe not previously identified by analysis or component testing. A successful demonstration of structural integrity by a full-scale test provides a high degree of confidence that the component will function satisfactorily in its intended service environment.

SECTION II

SCOPE OF FULL-SCALE TEST PROGRAM

The test program consisted of full-scale testing of two cast aluminum bulkheads. The test articles were installed in the test fixture consecutively and testing was conducted in the following manner:

Test Article I (Boeing Bulkhead M07)

Durability Test Program

Damage Tolerance Test Program I

Test Article II (Boeing Bulkhead M04)

Damage Tolerance Test Program II

The following briefly summarizes each portion of the full-scale test program:

- o The Durability Test Program consisted of applying spectrum load blocks made up of repeated flight-by-flight loads resulting from the AMST design mission profile mix to Test Article I. Spectrum load blocks corresponding to the usage of four design service lives were applied.
- o Damage Tolerance Test Program I was conducted concurrently with the last two lives of durability testing on Test Article I and consisted of crack growth and residual strength testing. Initial flaws were implanted prior to the third lifetime of durability testing.
- o Damage Tolerance Test Program II was conducted on Test Article II to generate additional data. It consisted of two lifetimes of cyclic loading with initial damage and of residual strength testing of the thus fatigue-damaged bulkhead.

SECTION III

FULL-SCALE TEST SETUP

1. TEST ARTICLE

The test article is the station 170 bulkhead of the YC-14 fuselage, shown in Figure 1. The bulkhead is approximately 7-1/2 x 4-1/2 feet in size and is made of A357 cast aluminum alloy. The bulkhead is a monolithic structure consisting of a corrugated pressure web from the upper horizontal tee-section cross-member (WL 130) to the top of the bulkhead (WL 150). The lower bulkhead section consists of a thin web stiffened by vertical and horizontal supports.

The bulkhead serves a dual purpose: first, it is the backup structure for the nose landing gear; second, the upper portion serves as a pressure bulkhead. The nose gear trunnion is attached to the bulkhead at four clevises by means of two yoke fittings.

2. TEST FIXTURES AND LOAD APPLICATION SYSTEM

The test fixture and test setup were designed to provide, as realistic and efficient a means as possible for all bulkhead testing. The test setup was installed in Building 65 at Wright-Patterson AFB (Figure 2). The test article was attached to a transition structure that simulated the surrounding fuselage.

The test article, including transition structure, was supported at station 230 and cantilevered from A-frames. Doublers were added to the skin of the transition structure forward from station 230 to allow transition of skin loads into a structural supporting ring. The supporting ring provided the attachment of a pressure bulkhead that transmitted applied loads to the supporting A-frames.

The test loads were applied by hydraulic actuators through a simulated landing gear trunnion support structure (Fig. 3). The vertical loads were applied by two actuators and reacted into the structural floor beams of the test facility. The side loads were applied by tension actuators on either side of the trunnion support structure. The fore and aft loads were applied by two actuators and were reacted at the supporting A-frames (Fig. 4). The test fixture and setup




Figure 1. Cast Aluminum Bulkhead For YC-14




Figure 2. Full-scale Test Setup at Wright-Patterson AFB




Figure 3. Nose Gear Load Fixture




Figure 4. Schematic of Full-scale Test Setup

were identical for both the durability and damage tolerance test (I) and damage tolerance test II.

The test system used in this program is represented schematically in Figure 5. It is an integrated system using a minicomputer for appropriate load function generation and a typical electrohydraulic load control system for test load application. An independent minicomputer verifies the load function generation as it is generated, and a supervisory computer controls a third minicomputer in the acquisition, processing, monitoring, recording, and displaying of structural response data (strain, load, deflection, and pressure). In addition, a redundant load monitor system is used to ensure proper load introduction to the test article.

3. INSTRUMENTATION

Instrumentation was provided to determine stress distributions for verification of the stress analysis, to demonstrate the adequacy of the test setup, and to provide data to preclude premature structural failure. The instrumentation included the following:

- o Load Cells—Strain-gage-type load cells were used for load monitoring and control.
- o Strain Gages—Bonded resistance strain gages were used to record strain data. Both single-element axial and three-element rosettes were used.
- o Deflection Indicators—Electrical deflection indicators were used to measure the displacements of the test structure.
- o Crack Detectors—Crack wire circuits were installed around the pin holes to detect flaw growth from the holes.
- o Pressure Transducers—Pressure transducers were used to control, monitor, and record the air pressure in the upper portion of the test structure.

4. TEST LOADS

The repeated loads, which are the result of the design usage of the AMST aircraft, were applied for durability and damage tolerance testing in accordance with MIL-A-008866B (USAF). The design usage is represented by a mission mix consisting of blocks of missions made up of five different flights (Table 1).




Figure 5. Test System Schematic

The usage corresponding to one design service life (25,000 hours) is represented by the application of 1,516 load blocks. The repeated loads consist of nose-gear loads and pressurization. The nose-gear loads are caused by aircraft taxi, takeoff roll, landing impact, and landing roll. Air pressure acts on the upper portion of the bulkhead during flight. Table 2 shows the breakdown of a flight into load segments. The landing loads vary according to the aircraft sinkrate distribution of MIL-A-008866 for conventional landings. The sinkrate distribution for STOL operations was obtained from computer-simulated landings. The correlation of aircraft sinkrates to nose-gear loads was established from flight test data. The repeated loads spectra are contained in the appendices. Appendix A presents the loads spectrum for the durability and damage tolerance (I) tests.

After completion of the Durability and Damage Tolerance (I) Tests (Section IV.3), an error was detected involving the sign convention for the external side loads on the nose gear. This error caused side loads A_L , B_L (see Appendix A) to be applied in the opposite direction. Thus, load conditions involving nose-gear side loads were not applied correctly. Appendix B contains the corrected load spectrum that was applied for damage tolerance test II. Pressure cycles were eliminated from this revised spectrum, since the durability and damage tolerance of the bulkhead subjected to pressure cycles had been fully demonstrated by the durability and damage tolerance (I) test.

The loads for static test (residual strength) were in accordance with MIL-A-008866A. The bulkhead was subjected to two load conditions (Table 3):

- o Springback landing
- o Boeing side-load landing

5. DATA ACQUISITION

During the test, data from six load cells, six deflection indicators, two pressure transducers, and 114 strain-gage channels were monitored and recorded. A Real-Time Peripheral (RTP) unit, amplified, digitized, and multiplexed the 128 channel outputs and provided a binary output. The RTP was controlled by a Digital Equipment Corporation PDP-11 minicomputer, through a Direct Memory Access (DMA) channel. The data blocks obtained were immediately passed on to


Table 1. AMST Design Mission Mix

FLIGHTS	TYPE OF FLIGHT	HOURS
1	LONG RANGE CTOL (TYPE 1)	5.0
4	LOW ALTITUDE RESUPPLY CTOL (TYPE 2)	0.5
3	LOW ALTITUDE RESUPPLY STOL (TYPE 3)	0.5
5	SHORT RANGE CTOL & TOUCH & GO (TYPE 4)	1.0
3	SHORT RANGE STOL & TOUCH & GO (TYPE 5)	1.0
16	TOTAL FLIGHT HOURS	16.5

Table 2. Typical Flight Segments

TAXI
PRESSURIZATION
LANDING
BRAKING
TURNING

Table 3. Ultimate Static Loads



FORCES POSITIVE AS SHOWN

STATIC- LOAD CONDITION	LOAD LOCATION					
	LEFT BL 18.5			RIGHT BL 18.5		
	A _H	A _L	A _V	B _H	B _L	B _V
SPRING BACK LANDING	-31.8	0	79.5	-22.6	0	56.9
BOEING SIDE LOAD LDG	-1.4	-45.0	98.9	-56.2	0	-79.4

LOADS IN kips

the Systems Engineering Laboratory (SEL) Systems 86 Digital Computer, where they were processed into engineering units for online displays and recorded on magnetic tape for offline processing.

An Imlac Computer System, consisting of a computer, graphic CRT display, and keyboard, was used to process and display (online) test data during the static tests. The ability to plot strain, deflection, and load as a function of percent limit load was available with the addition of a Varian Model 343 electrostatic plotter. During the durability and damage tolerance test, test data were monitored with a TEK CRT display. In conjunction with a PDP-11 minicomputer and tape drive, data were recorded at rates up to 20 samples per second per channel. Two 8-channel Hewlett-Packard Model 7418 chart recorders monitored loads, load controller error signals, and one program signal, providing continuous visual displays in analog form.

SECTION IV

FULL-SCALE TEST

1. PHOTOELASTIC COATING SURVEY

A photoelastic coating survey was conducted after completion of the test setup. The objective of the survey was (1) to study the general stress field, (2) to identify local stress concentrations, and (3) to determine optimum strain-gage locations. The bulkhead was covered with coating in the areas of interest, as shown in Figure 6. The load conditions identified in Table 4, and selected from the repeated loads spectrum, were applied to the bulkhead in increments of 20 percent of their maximum values. The coating was observed under polarized light. Points of interest were identified as "photostress points" (Fig. 7) and readings of fringes were recorded for these points at each load increment. Table 5 lists the readings at 100 percent load of the applied conditions. A qualitative analysis of the stress field was assumed sufficient for the purpose of the survey and, therefore, only an approximate conversion from fringes to magnitudes of stress is given in the table. The highest stress (16.7 ksi) observed in this manner occurred at photostress point (1) (Fig. 8).

Since this stress concentration was higher than desired, a generous radius was introduced into the stiffening web to relieve the high stress. No problems were encountered later during the test program at this area.

2. STRAIN SURVEY

A strain survey of the test setup, including the bulkhead, transition structure, and loading fixture, was conducted. Locations for strain gages on the bulkhead were determined based on the results of the photoelastic coating survey. Twenty-four rosettes and 18 axial gages were placed on the bulkhead (Fig. 9). Strain gages located on the transition structure and loading fixture had been installed at Boeing prior to delivery of the test article. The locations of these strain gages (Fig. 10) were determined from the results of the finite-element analysis conducted during Phase III of the CAST program. The load conditions applied for the strain survey were as shown in Table 6. The corresponding loads were applied in increments to check for linearity of the gage readings. Due to the




Figure 6. Areas of Photoelastic Coating Survey

Table 4. Load Conditions for Photoelastic Coating Survey

Condition	Desig.	Load in klps					
		A_V^*	A_H^*	A_L^*	B_V^*	B_H^*	B_L^*
Landing with side load	1 (LS9.2)**	48.5	22.1	0	-41.0	-62.2	26.7
	2 (LS9.3)	-41.0	-62.2	-26.7	48.5	-22.1	0
Landing	3 (LS14.1)	-62.8	-87.6	0	-62.8	-87.6	0
Turning	4 (TL1)	21.1	- 2.4	0	-19.1	-20.4	12.0
	5 (TR1)	-19.1	-20.4	-12.0	21.1	- 2.4	0
Steering	6 (SL1)	12.1	5.4	0	-12.1	- 5.4	7.2
	7 (SR1)	-12.1	- 5.4	- 7.2	12.1	5.4	0

- * See table 3 for location of forces and sign convention
- ** Load conditions per appendix A



Figure 7. Typical Photostress Points

Table 5. Results of Photoelastic Coating Survey

Point no.	Condition 1		2		3		4		5		6		7		Location
	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	
1	111	16.7	-	-	-	-	35	5.3	-	-	26	3.9	-	-	7
2	57	8.6	47	7.1	-	-	20	3.0	25	3.8	-	-	20	3.0	20
3	76	11.4	61	9.2	-	-	8	1.2	30	4.5	35	5.3	22	3.3	20
4	61	9.2	54	8.1	-	-	-	-	24	3.6	-	-	20	3.0	20
5	42	6.3	54	8.1	-	-	32	4.8	20	3.0	28	4.4	20	3.0	19
6	16	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19
7	62	9.3	54	8.1	-	-	30	4.5	15	2.3	-	-	-	-	19
8	62	9.3	60	9.0	-	-	28	4.2	23	3.5	-	-	-	-	19
9	17	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
10	27	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
11	34	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
12	54	8.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
13	10	1.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
14	50	7.5	72	10.8	32	4.8	25	3.8	-	-	41	6.2	30	4.5	4
15	14	2.1	51	7.7	38	5.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
16	15	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
17	37	5.6	34	5.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
18	37	5.6	16	2.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
19	19	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
24	15	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
25	31	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17

Table 5. Results of Photoelastic Coating Survey (Continued)

Point no.	Condition 1		2		3		4		5		6		7		Location
	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	
26	27	4.1	24	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
27	32	4.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
28	40	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
29	28	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
31	31	4.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
32	40	6.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
34	-	-	17	2.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
38	-	-	-	-	36	5.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16
39	23	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
40	-	-	10	1.5	14	2.1	-	-	-	-	18	2.7	-	-	7
41	-	-	36	5.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20
42	-	-	65	9.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19
43	-	-	52	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
44	-	-	47	7.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
45	-	-	20	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
46	-	-	25	3.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18
47	-	-	34	5.1	-	-	-	-	18	2.7	-	-	-	-	18
48	-	-	28	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
49	-	-	19	2.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
50	-	-	27	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
51	-	-	55	8.3	44	6.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17
52	-	-	55	8.3	41	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17

Table 5. Results of Photoelastic Coating Survey (Concluded)

Point no.	Condition 1		2		3		4		5		6		7		Location
	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	Div	ksi	
53	.		34	5.1	.		.		.		.		.		16
54	.		50	7.5	41	6.2	.		31	4.7	.		.		16
55	.		60	9.0	33	5.0	.		.		.		.		16
56	.		40	6.0	52	7.8	.		.		.		.		15
57	.		44	6.6	47	7.1	.		26	3.9	.		.		15
58	.		42	6.3	.		.		.		.		.		15
59	.		67	10.1	.		.		24	3.6	.		.		9
60	.		59	8.9	.		.		.		.		.		9
61	.		70	10.5	48	7.2	.		24	3.6	18	2.7	20	3.0	1
62	.		64	9.6	.		.		.		.		.		2
63	.		68	10.2	.		.		.		.		.		13
64	.		84	12.6	.		.		.		.		.		5
65	.		62	9.3	.		.		39	5.9	.		31	4.7	18
66	.		31	4.7	.		.		.		.		.		13
67	.		40	6.0	.		.		.		.		.		2
68	.		71	10.7	22	3.3	.		.		30	4.5	32	4.8	2
69	.		71	10.7	.		.		29	4.4	.		29	4.4	5
70	.		.		24	3.6	.		.		.		.		7
71	.		.		.		.		.		.		.		
72	.		.		.		.		.		.		.		
73	.		.		.		.		.		.		.		
74	.		.		48	7.2	.		.		.		.		9
75	.		.		.		.		.		.		.		



Figure 8. Stress Concentration at Photo Stress Point 1




Figure 9. Strain Gage Locations on Test Article I




Figure 10. Strain Gage Locations on Transition Structure and Load Fixture

Table 6. Load Conditions for Strain Survey

Condition	Description	A _V	A _H	A _L	B _V	B _H	B _L
1	100 kips vert.	4.2	-47.4	0	4.2	-47.4	0
2	10 kips horiz.	- 7.2	- 2.5	0	- 7.2	- 2.5	0
3	6 kips side	10.1	4.5	0	-10.1	- 4.5	6.0
4	LS 9.2 *	48.5	-22.1	0	-41.0	-62.2	26.7
5	LS 9.3 *	-41.0	-62.2	-26.7	48.5	-22.1	0

See Table 3 for location of forces and sign convention, loads in kips.

* Load conditions per Appendix A

relatively low magnitude of the stresses, a certain amount of scatter in the data appeared to be unavoidable. Figures 11 through 15 show recorded stresses at 100 percent load in comparison to the predicted stresses from the finite-element analysis. Good correlation was found for the symmetric conditions, while the correlation for the asymmetric conditions was not as good. The local perturbations of the stress field caused by out-of-plane displacements of the buckled shear webs contributed to the poorer correlation. The shear webs had buckled permanently when the bulkhead was quenched in water after solution heat treatment. Considering this and the relatively low magnitude of the stresses, the results obtained were considered adequate.

3. DURABILITY AND DAMAGE TOLERANCE (I) TESTS

A thorough inspection of the bulkhead was conducted prior to the start of cyclic loading for the durability test. This and prior inspections indicated that a number of processing defects existed in the casting. The quench cracks (Fig. 16) were considered to be the most severe preexisting defects on the bulkhead. A crack growth analysis of an assumed idealized crack at this location, however, indicated that the bulkhead should be able to withstand the service loads for the duration of the durability test without any significant crack growth initiating from these quench cracks.

Load cycling was begun in December 1978. The loads applied were as described in Section III.4 (see also Appendix A). Inspections in different levels of intensity were conducted at regular intervals. They are briefly described below:

- o Category I—Walkaround visual examination conducted daily.
- o Category II—Inspection of critical areas in addition to Category I inspection. Comparison of most recent test data with baseline data, conducted every 1/8 life.
- o Category III—Consists of Category I and II inspections and additional NDI, including penetrant and ultrasonic inspections and X-radiography, conducted every 1/4 life.
- o Category IV—Consists of Category I and II inspections and an expanded Category III inspection. Conducted at completion of each service life of testing.




Figure 11. Results of Strain Gage Survey, Condition 1




Figure 12. Results of Strain Gage Survey, Condition 2.




Figure 13. Results of Strain Gage Survey, Condition 3




Figure 14. Results of Strain Gage Survey, Condition 4




Figure 15. Results of Strain Gage Survey, Condition 5




Figure 16. Initial Condition of Test Article 1

Two lifetimes of simulated service were completed in March 1979. Sawcuts were then introduced into the bulkhead at most critical locations to simulate initial damage according to the damage tolerance requirements of MIL-A-83444. The location and orientation of these flaws were selected based on the finite element results and strain gage measurements. Although only surface flaws were required in some locations, it proved to be difficult to introduce these at the test site. More severe through-the-thickness sawcuts were introduced instead (Fig. 17). Load cycling was resumed, and two more lifetimes of testing were completed in July 1979 (Fig. 18). Limit loads for the Boeing side-load landing condition (Table 3) were applied to demonstrate residual strength capability.

A total of 6,294 blocks of loads (Section III.4) were applied representing slightly more than four lifetimes of service. Only small amounts of crack growth (maximum 0.008 inch) had occurred from the sawcuts, as shown in Table 7 and Figure 19. The inspections conducted during the test period did not reveal any other indications of fatigue damage to the bulkhead.

This portion of the full-scale test program did not fully demonstrate that the durability and damage requirements were met for the attachment lugs. Due to the error in the repeated loads (Section III.4), only the requirements for the bulkhead's function as a pressure bulkhead and for the redistribution of symmetric nose-gear loads were met. The demonstration of the durability and damage tolerance capability of the rest of the bulkhead was completed by conducting damage tolerance test program II, as described in the following section.

4. DAMAGE TOLERANCE TEST II

This phase of the full-scale test program began in September 1979. Test Article II had been installed in the transition structure after completion of the test program described in Section IV.3.

Strain gages were installed (Fig. 20) and limit loads corresponding to springback landing and Boeing side-load landing (Table 3) were applied. These tests were successfully completed. Strain-gage readings extrapolated to ultimate load




Figure 17. Initial Flaw Locations on Test Article I




Figure 18. Test Program Progress Chart

Table 7. Crack Growth Results

Life in load blocks	Flaw number										Life																																																														
	1	2	3		3A		4	5	6																																																																
3032	No change	2a=0.271	a 0.084 c 0.087	No growth	2a=0.273	2a=0.292	2a=0.261	No growth	No growth	No growth	2																																																														
3807		No growth	No growth		No growth	No growth	No growth				No growth	No growth	No growth	2-1/2																																																											
4168		No growth												No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	2-3/4																																																				
4386																					No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	2-7/8																																												
4548																													No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	3																																				
4548																																					No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	3																												
4769																																													No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	3-1/8																				
4942																																																					No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	3-1/4												
5156																																																													No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	3-3/8				
5384																																																																					No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth
5384	No growth			No growth				No growth	No growth	No growth																																																															
5535			No growth		No growth	No growth	No growth				No growth	No growth	No growth																																																												
5673		No growth												No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth																																																					
5673																					No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth																																													
5901																													No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth																																					
6064																																					No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth																													
6294																																													No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth	No growth																					






Figure 19. Crack Growth from Initial Flaws




Figure 20. Strain Gage Locations on Test Article II

conditions indicated that the bulkhead and transition structure would sustain ultimate loads without failure.

Initial damage was introduced (Fig. 21) according to the damage tolerance requirements of MIL-A-83444. Cyclic loads (Appendix B) corresponding to two lives of design service usage were then applied. Inspections were conducted during the test program as described in Section IV.3.

The cyclic loading was completed in November 1979 (Fig. 22). No fatigue damage was discovered during or after completion of the program. Residual strength tests were carried out following the completion of the cyclic test to determine the load-carrying capacity of the preflawed bulkhead that had been subjected to two lifetimes of simulated service usage. The two ultimate conditions (springback landing and Boeing side-load landing) were first applied, each to 100 percent of ultimate. No visible damage or permanent deformations were observed demonstrating that the static strength requirements for the bulkhead were met and that the residual strength capacity of the bulkhead was at least equivalent to the ultimate load. To further study the residual strength capability, another sawcut (Fig. 21) was introduced before the application of more loads. Loads corresponding to the Boeing side-load landing condition again were applied. The bulkhead and the transition structure withstood these loads successfully to 120 percent of ultimate. Since this presented the limit of the load application and reaction system, the test was suspended at this level. No failures occurred during the test and no permanent deformation was observed after the test. Strain-gage data plots from the residual strength tests are contained in Appendix C. The maximum stresses were measured at strain gage R15 (Fig. 20) during the Boeing side-load landing condition. The maximum shear stress measured at ultimate was 14 ksi, which agrees well with the predicted shear stress of 13.6 ksi (ref. 1).

The successful completion of this portion of the full-scale test program demonstrated that the cast bulkhead met all durability and damage tolerance requirements of MIL-A-008866B (USAF) and MIL-A-83444 (USAF).




Figure 22. Test Program Progress Chart

SECTION V

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

During the course of the CAST program, a CAST primary aircraft structure component was produced without a weight penalty and its structural integrity was demonstrated by analysis and full-scale test.

The cost savings offered by this casting technology (35 percent for 300 bulkheads compared to the cost of the built-up bulkhead) and the successful completion of the CAST program provide a basis for continued development of this technology. The next step should be to demonstrate the integrity of a cast primary structure in service. Simultaneously, additional development work should be performed, in particular, the nondestructive evaluation of static mechanical and fatigue and fracture properties of castings should be further developed. Also, more data should be generated concerning the quantitative analysis of effects of defects. A follow-on program to the CAST program is planned to identify the physical and process variables that significantly influence elongation. The objective of this program is to improve the minimum elongation of castings. Increased minimum elongation will go a long way toward increasing confidence in the application of casting technology to primary aircraft structure.

REFERENCES

1. D. Goehler, Cast Aluminum Structures Technology, Phase III (CAST), AFFDL-TR-78-7.

APPENDIX A

REPEATED LOADS FOR DURABILITY AND DAMAGE TOLERANCE (I) TESTS

blank
50

PRECEDING PAGE BLANKS-NOT FILMED




Figure A-1. Test Load Components at Load Application Points

Table A-1. Test Load Spectrum Block

Load Point 1*														Load Point 2*				
Flight	Load Level	AV	AH	AL	BV	BH	BL	AV	AH	AL	BV	BH	BL	Cycles				
Type 1	T3	0.76	- 8.53	0	0.76	- 8.53	0	1.26	-14.22	0	1.26	-14.22	0	22				
	T4	0.66	- 7.39	0	0.66	- 7.39	0	1.36	-15.36	0	1.36	-15.36	0	2				
	P1	0 PSI TO 6.55 PSI TO 0 PSI																
	LCH**													1				
	B1	1.01	-11.38	0	1.01	-11.38	0	1.72	-19.43	0	1.72	-19.43	0	5				
	B2	1.01	-11.38	0	1.01	-11.38	0	2.44	-27.49	0	2.44	-27.49	0	2				
	SL1	0	0	0	0	0	0	12.07	5.41	- 7.20	12.07	5.41	0	1				
	SR1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-12.07	- 5.41	0	12.07	5.41	7.20	1				
	TL1	1.01	-11.38	0	1.01	-11.38	0	21.12	- 2.36	-12.00	-19.10	-20.39	0	1				
	TR1	1.01	-11.38	0	1.01	-11.38	0	-19.10	-20.39	0	21.12	- 2.36	12.00	1				
Type 2	T3	.76	- 8.53	0	.76	- 8.53	0	1.26	-14.22	0	1.26	-14.22	0	22				
	T4	.66	- 7.39	0	.66	- 7.39	0	1.36	-15.36	0	1.36	-15.36	0	2				
	LCH**													1				
	B1	1.01	-11.38	0	1.01	-11.38	0	1.72	-19.43	0	1.72	-19.43	0	5				
	B2	1.01	-11.38	0	1.01	-11.38	0	2.44	-27.49	0	2.44	-27.49	0	2				
	SL1	0	0	0	0	0	0	12.07	5.41	- 7.20	12.07	5.41	0	1				
	SR1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-12.07	- 5.41	0	12.07	5.41	0	1				
	TL1	1.01	-11.38	0	1.01	-11.38	0	21.12	- 2.36	-12.00	-19.10	-20.39	12.00	1				
	TR1	1.01	-11.38	0	1.01	-11.38	0	-19.10	-20.39	-12.00	21.12	- 2.36	0	1				
	Repeat																	
Type 2	Repeat																	
Type 2	Repeat																	
Type 2	Repeat																	
Type 3	T3	-1.54	- 7.86	0	-1.54	- 7.86	0	- 2.57	-13.10	0	- 2.57	-13.10	0	44				
	T4	-1.34	- 6.81	0	-1.34	- 6.81	0	- 2.78	-14.15	0	- 2.78	-14.15	0	4				
	LS**													1				
	B1	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	1.66	-18.72	0	1.66	-18.72	0	5				
	B2	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.4	0	2.06	-23.23	0	2.06	-23.23	0	2				
	SL1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10.06	4.51	0	-10.06	- 4.51	6.00	1				
	SL2	0	0	0	0	0	0	-10.06	- 4.51	- 6.00	10.06	4.51	0	1				
	TL1	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	17.60	- 1.97	0	-15.92	-16.99	10.00	1				
	TR1	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	-15.92	-16.99	-10.00	17.60	- 1.97	0	1				
	Repeat																	
Type 3	Repeat																	
Type 3	Repeat																	

Table A-1. Test Load Spectrum Block (Concluded)

Flight	Load level	Load point 1*						Load point 2*						Cycles
		A _V	A _H	A _L	B _V	B _H	B _L	A _V	A _H	A _L	B _V	B _H	B _L	
Type 4	T3	0.63	- 7.11	0	0.63	- 7.11	0	1.05	-11.85	0	1.05	-11.85	0	22
	T4	0.55	- 6.16	0	0.55	- 6.16	0	1.13	-12.80	0	1.13	-12.80	0	2
	P1	0 PSI TO 6.55 PSI TO 0 PSI												
	LCL**													1
	B1	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	1.66	-18.72	0	1.66	-18.72	0	2
	B2	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	2.06	-23.23	0	2.06	-23.23	0	5
	SL1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10.06	4.51	0	10.06	4.51	6.00	2
	SR1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10.06	- 4.51	- 6.00	10.06	4.51	0	1
	TL1	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	17.60	- 1.97	0	-15.92	-16.99	10.00	1
	TR1	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	-15.92	-16.99	-10.00	17.60	- 1.97	0	1
Type 4		Repeat												
Type 4		Repeat												
Type 4		Repeat												
Type 4		Repeat												
Type 5	T3	-1.54	- 7.86	0	-1.54	- 7.86	0	- 2.57	-13.10	0	- 2.57	-13.10	0	44
	T4	-1.34	- 6.81	0	-1.34	- 6.81	0	- 2.78	-14.15	0	- 2.78	-14.15	0	4
	P1	0 PSI TO 6.55 PSI TO 0 PSI												1
	LS**													2
	B1	-2.06	-10.48	0	-2.06	-10.48	0	- 4.06	-20.70	0	- 4.06	-20.70	0	5
	B2	-2.06	-10.48	0	-2.06	-10.48	0	- 5.04	-25.68	0	- 5.04	-25.68	0	2
	SL1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10.06	4.51	0	-10.06	-4.51	6.00	1
	SR1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-10.06	- 4.51	- 6.00	10.06	4.51	0	1
	TL1	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	17.60	- 1.97	0	-15.92	-16.99	10.00	1
	TR1	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	-15.92	-16.99	-10.00	17.60	- 1.97	0	1
Type 5		Repeat												
Type 5		Repeat												

* Loads are in kips

** Landing conditions: LCH - See Table A2

LCL - See Table A3

LS - See Table A4

Table A-2. Load Points for CTOL Landing Conditions (GW=162 kips)

Load Level	Load Point 1*						Load Point 2*						Load Point 3*						Occurrences
	A _V	A _H	A _L	B _V	B _H	B _L	A _V	A _H	A _L	B _V	B _H	B _L	A _V	A _H	A _L	B _V	B _H	B _L	
LCH1.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-11.16	-15.58	0	-11.16	-15.58	0	7.09	9.28	0	7.09	-9.28	0	312
LCH1.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	13.08	-5.97	0	-11.06	-16.78	7.20	0	0	0	0	0	0	80
LCH1.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-11.06	-16.78	-7.20	13.08	-5.97	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80
LCH2.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-12.55	-17.52	0	-12.55	-17.52	0	8.01	-10.42	0	8.01	-10.42	0	174
LCH2.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	14.71	-6.71	0	-12.44	-18.88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42
LCH2.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-12.44	-18.88	-8.10	14.71	-6.71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42
LCH3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-16.73	-23.36	0	-16.73	-23.36	0	10.63	-13.91	0	10.63	-13.91	0	104
LCH3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	19.61	-8.95	0	-16.59	-25.17	10.80	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
LCH3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-16.59	-25.17	-10.80	19.61	-8.95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
LCH4.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-20.92	-29.21	0	-20.92	-29.21	0	13.33	-17.38	0	13.33	-17.38	0	52
LCH4.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	24.52	-11.19	0	-20.74	-31.47	13.50	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
LCH4.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-20.74	-31.47	-13.50	24.52	-11.19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
LCH5.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-25.10	-35.05	0	-25.10	-35.05	0	15.95	-20.87	0	15.95	-20.87	0	18
LCH5.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	29.42	-13.43	0	-24.88	-37.76	16.20	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
LCH5.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-24.98	-37.76	-16.20	29.42	-13.43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
LCH6.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-29.28	-40.89	0	-29.28	-40.89	0	18.65	-24.34	0	18.65	-24.34	0	4
LCH6.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	34.32	-15.67	0	-29.03	-44.05	18.90	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
LCH6.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-29.03	-44.05	-18.90	34.32	-15.67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
LCH7.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-33.47	-46.72	0	-33.47	-46.72	0	21.27	-27.82	0	21.27	-27.82	0	2
LCH8.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-37.65	-52.57	0	-37.65	-52.57	0	23.96	-31.29	0	23.96	-31.29	0	2

Loads are in kips

Table A-3. Load Points for CTOL Landing Conditions (GW=136 kips)

Load level	Load point 1*						Load point 2*						Load point 3*						Occurrences
	AV	AH	AL	BV	BH	BL	AV	AH	AL	BV	BH	BL	AV	AH	AL	BV	BH	BL	
LCL1.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-9.30	-12.98	0	-9.30	-12.98	0	5.91	-7.73	0	5.91	-7.73	0	624
LCL1.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	10.90	-4.97	0	-9.22	-13.99	6.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	158
LCL1.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-5.22	-13.99	6.00	10.90	-4.97	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	158
LCL2.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-12.55	-17.52	0	-12.55	-17.52	0	8.01	-10.42	0	8.01	-10.42	0	343
LCL2.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	14.71	-6.71	0	-12.44	-18.88	8.10	0	0	0	0	0	0	83
LCL2.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-12.44	-18.88	-8.10	14.71	-6.71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	86
LCL3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-16.73	-23.36	0	-16.73	-23.36	0	10.63	-13.91	0	10.63	-13.91	0	206
LCL3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	19.61	-8.95	0	-16.59	-25.17	10.80	0	0	0	0	0	0	52
LCL3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-13.59	-25.17	-10.80	19.61	-8.95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52
LCL4.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-20.92	-29.21	0	-20.92	-29.21	0	13.33	-17.38	0	13.33	-17.38	0	104
LCL4.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	24.52	-11.19	0	-20.74	-31.47	13.50	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
LCL4.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-20.74	-31.47	-13.50	24.52	-11.19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
LCL5.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-25.10	-35.05	0	-25.10	-35.05	0	15.95	-20.87	0	15.95	-20.87	0	36
LCL5.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	29.42	-13.43	0	-24.88	-37.76	16.20	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
LCL5.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-24.88	-37.76	-16.20	29.42	-13.43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
LCL6.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-29.28	-40.89	0	-29.28	-40.89	0	18.65	-24.34	0	18.65	-24.34	0	12
LCL6.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	34.32	-15.67	0	-29.03	-44.05	18.90	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
LCL6.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-29.03	-44.05	-18.90	34.32	-15.67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
LCL7.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-33.47	-47.62	0	-33.47	-47.62	0	21.27	-27.82	0	21.27	-27.82	0	3
LCL8.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-37.65	-52.57	0	-37.65	-52.57	0	23.96	-31.29	0	23.96	-31.29	0	2

*loads are in kips

Table A-4. Load Points for STOL Landing Conditions

Load level	Load point 1*						Load point 2*						Load point 3*						Occurrences
	A _V	A _H	A _L	B _V	B _H	B _L	A _V	A _H	A _L	B _V	B _H	B _L	A _V	A _H	A _L	B _V	B _H	B _L	
LS1.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-9.30	-12.98	0	-9.30	-12.98	0	5.91	-7.73	0	5.91	-7.73	0	16
LS1.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	10.90	-4.97	0	-9.22	-13.99	6.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
LS1.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-9.22	-13.99	-6.00	10.90	-4.97	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
LS2.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-12.55	-17.52	0	-12.55	-17.52	0	8.01	-10.42	0	8.01	-10.42	0	44
LS2.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	14.71	-6.71	0	-12.44	-18.88	8.10	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
LS2.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-12.44	-18.88	-8.10	14.71	-6.71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
LS3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-16.73	-23.36	0	-16.73	-23.36	0	10.63	-13.91	0	10.63	-13.91	0	98
LS3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	19.61	-8.95	0	-16.59	-25.17	10.80	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
LS3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-16.59	-25.17	-10.80	19.61	-8.95	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
LS4.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-20.92	-29.21	0	-20.92	-29.21	0	13.33	-17.38	0	13.33	-17.38	0	116
LS4.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	24.52	-11.19	0	-20.74	-31.47	13.50	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
LS4.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-20.74	-31.47	-13.50	24.52	-11.19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
LS5.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-25.10	-35.05	0	-25.10	-35.05	0	15.95	-20.87	0	15.95	-20.87	0	112
LS5.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	29.42	-13.43	0	-24.88	-37.76	16.20	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
LS5.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-24.88	-37.76	-16.20	29.42	-13.43	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
LS6.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-29.28	-40.89	0	-29.28	-40.89	0	18.65	-24.34	0	18.65	-24.34	0	106
LS6.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	34.32	-15.67	0	-29.03	-44.05	18.90	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
LS6.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-29.03	-44.05	-18.90	34.32	-15.67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
LS7.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-33.47	-47.62	0	-33.47	-47.62	0	21.27	-27.82	0	21.27	-27.82	0	72
LS7.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	39.23	-17.91	0	-33.18	-50.35	21.60	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
LS7.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-33.18	-50.35	-21.60	39.23	-17.91	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
LS8.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-37.65	-52.57	0	-37.65	-52.57	0	23.96	-31.29	0	23.96	-31.29	0	46
LS8.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	44.13	-20.14	0	-37.32	-56.64	24.39	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
LS8.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-37.32	-56.64	-24.39	44.13	-20.14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
LS9.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-41.37	-57.76	0	-41.37	-57.76	0	26.33	-34.39	0	26.33	-34.39	0	28
LS9.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	48.49	-22.13	0	-41.01	-62.24	26.70	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
LS9.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-41.01	-62.24	-26.70	48.49	-22.13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
LS10.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-46.02	-64.25	0	-46.02	-64.25	0	26.75	-39.13	0	26.75	-39.13	0	14
LS10.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	52.38	-1.17	0	-48.18	-66.23	30.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
LS10.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-48.18	-66.23	-30.00	52.38	-1.17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
LS11.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-50.20	-70.09	0	-50.20	-70.09	0	31.90	-41.74	0	31.90	-41.74	0	10
LS11.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	52.38	-1.17	0	-48.18	-66.23	30.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
LS11.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-48.18	-66.23	-30.00	52.38	-1.17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
LS12.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-54.38	-75.93	0	-54.38	-75.93	0	34.60	-45.21	0	34.60	-45.21	0	2
LS12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	52.38	-1.17	0	-48.18	-66.23	30.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
LS12.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-48.18	-66.23	-30.00	52.38	-1.17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
LS13.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-59.03	-82.42	0	-59.03	-82.42	0	37.55	-49.07	0	37.55	-49.07	0	2
LS14.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-62.75	-87.62	0	-62.75	-87.62	0	39.92	-52.17	0	39.92	-52.17	0	2

*Loads are in kips

APPENDIX B

REPEATED LOADS FOR DAMAGE TOLERANCE TEST II




Figure B-1. Test Load Components at Load Application Points

Table B-1. Test Load Spectrum Block

Flight	Load Level	Load Point 1*						Load Point 2*						Cycles
		AV	AH	AL	BV	BH	BL	AV	AH	AL	BV	BH	BL	
Type 1	T3	0.76	- 8.53	0	0.76	- 8.53	0	1.26	-14.22	0	1.26	-14.22	0	22
	T4	0.66	- 7.39	0	0.66	- 7.39	0	1.36	-15.36	0	1.36	-15.36	0	2
	LCH**													1
	B1	1.01	-11.38	0	1.01	-11.38	0	1.72	-19.43	0	1.72	-19.43	0	5
	B2	1.01	-11.38	0	1.01	-11.38	0	2.44	-27.49	0	2.44	-27.49	0	2
	SL1	0	0	0	0	0	0	12.07	5.41	- 7.20	-12.07	- 5.41	0	1
	SR1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-12.07	- 5.41	0	12.07	5.41	7.20	1
	TL1	1.01	-11.38	0	1.01	-11.38	0	21.12	- 2.36	-12.00	-19.10	-20.39	0	1
	TR1	1.01	-11.38	0	1.01	-11.38	0	-19.10	-20.39	0	21.12	- 2.36	12.00	1
Type 2	T3	.76	- 8.53	0	.76	- 8.53	0	1.26	-14.22	0	1.26	-14.22	0	22
	T4	.66	- 7.39	0	.66	- 7.39	0	1.36	-15.36	0	1.36	-15.36	0	2
	LCH**													1
	B1	1.01	-11.38	0	1.01	-11.38	0	1.72	-19.43	0	1.72	-19.43	0	5
	B2	1.01	-11.38	0	1.01	-11.38	0	2.44	-27.49	0	2.44	-27.49	0	2
	SL1	0	0	0	0	0	0	12.07	5.41	0	-12.07	- 5.41	7.20	1
	SR1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-12.07	- 5.41	- 7.20	12.07	5.41	0	1
	TL1	1.01	-11.38	0	1.01	-11.38	0	21.12	- 2.36	0	-19.10	-20.39	12.00	1
	TR1	1.01	-11.38	0	1.01	-11.38	0	-19.10	-20.39	-12.00	21.12	- 2.36	0	1
Type 2		Repeat												
Type 2		Repeat												
Type 2		Repeat												
Type 3	T3	-1.54	- 7.86	0	-1.54	- 7.86	0	- 2.57	-13.10	0	- 2.57	-13.10	0	44
	T4	-1.34	- 6.81	0	-1.34	- 6.81	0	- 2.78	-14.15	0	- 2.78	-14.15	0	4
	LS**													1
	B1	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	1.66	-18.72	0	1.66	-18.72	0	5
	B2	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	2.06	-23.23	0	2.06	-23.23	0	2
	SL1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10.06	4.51	0	-10.06	- 4.51	6.00	1
	SL2	0	0	0	0	0	0	-10.06	- 4.51	- 6.00	10.06	4.51	0	1
	TL1	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	17.60	- 1.97	0	-15.92	-16.99	10.00	1
	TR1	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	-15.92	-16.99	-10.00	17.60	- 1.97	0	1
Type 3		Repeat												
Type 3		Repeat												

Table B-1. Test Load Spectrum Block (Concluded)

Load Point 1*										Load Point 2*				
Flight	Load Level	AV	AH	AL	BV	BH	BL	AV	AH	AL	BV	BH	BL	Cycles
Type 4	T3	0.63	- 7.11	0	0.63	- 7.11	0	1.05	-11.85	0	1.05	-11.85	0	22
	T4	0.55	- 6.16	0	0.55	- 6.16	0	1.13	-12.80	0	1.13	-12.80	0	2
	LCL**													2
	B1	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	1.66	-18.72	0	1.66	-18.72	0	5
	B2	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	2.06	-23.23	0	2.06	-23.23	0	2
	SL1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10.06	4.51	0	-10.06	- 4.51	6.00	1
	SR1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-10.06	- 4.51	- 6.00	10.06	4.51	6	1
	TL1	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	17.60	- 1.97	0	-15.92	-16.99	10.00	1
	TR1	0.48	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	-15.92	-16.99	-10.00	17.60	- 1.97	0	1
Type 4		Repeat												
Type 4		Repeat												
Type 4		Repeat												
Type 4		Repeat												
Type 5	T3	-1.54	- 7.86	0	-1.54	- 7.86	0	- 2.57	-13.10	0	- 2.57	-13.10	0	44
	T4	-1.34	- 6.81	0	-1.34	- 6.81	0	- 2.78	-14.15	0	- 2.78	-14.15	0	4
	LS**													2
	B1	-2.06	-10.48	0	-2.06	-10.48	0	- 4.06	-20.70	0	- 4.06	-20.70	0	5
	B2	-2.06	-10.48	0	-2.06	-10.48	0	- 5.04	-25.68	0	- 5.04	-25.68	0	2
	SL1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10.06	4.51	0	-10.06	- 4.51	6.00	1
	SR1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-10.06	- 4.51	- 6.00	10.06	4.51	0	1
	TL1	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	17.60	- 1.97	0	-15.92	-16.99	10.00	1
	TR1	0.84	- 9.48	0	0.84	- 9.48	0	-15.92	-16.99	-10.00	17.60	- 1.97	0	1
Type 5		Repeat												
Type 5		Repeat												

* Loads are in kips

** Landing Conditions LCH - See Table B-2
LCL - See Table B-3
LS - See Table B-4

* Loads are in kips

** Landing Conditions

LCH - See Table B-2

LCL - See Table B-3

LS - See Table B-4

Table B-2. Load Points for CTOL Landing Conditions (GW=162 kips)

Load Level	Load Point 1*						Load Point 2*						Load Point 3*						Occurrences
	AV	AH	AL	BV	BH	BL	AV	AH	AL	BV	BH	BL	AV	AH	AL	BV	BH	BL	
LCH1.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-11.16	-15.58	0	-11.16	-15.58	0	7.09	-9.28	0	7.09	-9.28	0	312
LCH1.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	13.08	-5.97	-7.20	-11.06	-16.78	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80
LCH1.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-11.06	-16.78	0	13.08	-5.97	7.20	0	0	0	0	0	0	80
LCH2.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-12.55	-17.52	0	-12.55	-17.52	0	8.01	-10.42	0	8.01	-10.42	0	174
LCH2.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	14.71	-6.71	-8.10	-12.44	-18.88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42
LCH2.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-12.44	-18.88	0	14.71	-6.71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42
LCH3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-16.73	-23.36	0	-16.73	-23.36	0	10.63	-13.91	0	10.63	-13.91	0	104
LCH3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	19.61	-8.95	-10.80	-16.59	-25.17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
LCH3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-16.59	-25.17	0	19.61	-8.95	10.80	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
LCH4.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-20.92	-29.21	0	-20.92	-29.21	0	13.33	-17.38	0	13.33	-17.38	0	52
LCH4.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	24.52	-11.19	-13.50	-20.74	-31.47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
LCH4.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-20.74	-31.47	0	24.52	-11.19	13.50	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
LCH5.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-25.10	-36.06	0	-25.10	-36.06	0	15.95	-20.87	0	15.95	-20.87	0	18
LCH5.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	29.42	-13.43	-16.20	-24.88	-37.76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
LCH5.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-24.88	-37.76	0	29.42	-13.43	16.20	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
LCH6.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-29.28	-40.89	0	-29.28	-40.89	0	18.66	-24.34	0	18.66	-24.34	0	4
LCH6.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	34.32	-15.67	-18.90	-29.03	-44.06	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
LCH6.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-29.03	-44.06	0	34.32	-15.67	18.70	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
LCH7.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-33.47	-46.72	0	-33.47	-46.72	0	21.27	-27.82	0	21.27	-27.82	0	2
LCH8.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-37.66	-52.57	0	-37.66	-52.57	0	23.96	-31.29	0	23.96	-31.29	0	2

* Loads are in kips

Table B-3. Load Points for CTOL Landing Conditions (GW=136 kips)

Load Level	Load Point 1*						Load Point 2*						Load Point 3*						Occurrences
	A _V	A _H	A _L	B _V	B _H	B _L	A _V	A _H	A _L	B _V	B _H	B _L	A _V	A _H	A _L	B _V	B _H	B _L	
LCL1.1	0	0	0	-9.30	-12.98	0	-9.30	-12.98	0	-9.30	-12.98	0	5.91	-7.73	0	5.91	-7.73	0	624
LCL1.2	0	0	0	10.90	-4.97	-6.00	-9.22	-13.99	-6.00	-9.22	-13.99	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	158
LCL1.3	0	0	0	-9.22	-13.99	0	10.90	-4.97	0	10.90	-4.97	6.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	158
LCL2.1	0	0	0	-12.55	-17.52	0	-12.55	-17.52	0	-12.55	-17.52	0	8.01	-10.42	0	8.01	-10.42	0	348
LCL2.2	0	0	0	14.71	-6.71	-8.10	-12.44	-18.88	-8.10	-12.44	-18.88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	86
LCL2.3	0	0	0	-12.44	-18.88	0	14.71	-6.71	0	14.71	-6.71	8.10	0	0	0	0	0	0	86
LCL3.1	0	0	0	-16.73	-23.36	0	-16.73	-23.36	0	-16.73	-23.36	0	10.63	-13.91	0	10.63	-13.91	0	206
LCL3.2	0	0	0	19.61	-8.95	-10.80	-16.59	-25.17	-10.80	-16.59	-25.17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52
LCL3.3	0	0	0	-18.59	-25.17	0	19.61	-8.95	0	19.61	-8.95	10.80	0	0	0	0	0	0	52
LCL4.1	0	0	0	-20.92	-29.21	0	-20.92	-29.21	0	-20.92	-29.21	0	13.33	-17.38	0	13.33	-17.38	0	104
LCL4.2	0	0	0	24.52	-11.19	-13.50	-20.74	-31.47	-13.50	-20.74	-31.47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
LCL4.3	0	0	0	-20.74	-31.47	0	24.52	-11.19	0	24.52	-11.19	13.50	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
LCL5.1	0	0	0	-25.10	-36.05	0	-25.10	-36.05	0	-25.10	-36.05	0	15.95	-20.87	0	15.95	-20.87	0	36
LCL5.2	0	0	0	29.42	-13.43	-16.20	-24.88	-37.76	-16.20	-24.88	-37.76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
LCL5.3	0	0	0	-24.88	-37.76	0	29.42	-13.43	0	29.42	-13.43	16.20	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
LCL6.1	0	0	0	-29.28	-40.89	0	-29.28	-40.89	0	-29.28	-40.89	0	18.65	-24.34	0	18.65	-24.34	0	12
LCL6.2	0	0	0	34.32	-15.67	-18.90	-29.03	-44.05	-18.90	-29.03	-44.05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
LCL6.3	0	0	0	-29.03	-44.05	0	34.32	-15.67	0	34.32	-15.67	18.90	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
LCL7.1	0	0	0	-33.47	-47.62	0	-33.47	-47.62	0	-33.47	-47.62	0	21.27	-27.82	0	21.27	-27.82	0	3
LCL8.1	0	0	0	-37.65	-52.57	0	-37.65	-52.57	0	-37.65	-52.57	0	23.96	-31.29	0	23.96	-31.29	0	2

*Loads are in kips

Table B-4. Load Points for STOL Landing Conditions

Load Level	Load Point 1*						Load Point 2*						Load Point 3*						Occurrences
	A _V	A _H	A _L	B _V	B _H	B _L	A _V	A _H	A _L	B _V	B _H	B _L	A _V	A _H	A _L	B _V	B _H	B _L	
LS1.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-9.30	-12.98	0	-9.30	-12.98	0	5.91	-7.73	0	5.91	-7.73	0	16
LS1.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	10.90	-4.97	-6.00	-9.22	-13.99	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
LS1.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-9.22	-13.99	0	10.90	-4.97	6.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
LS2.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-12.55	-17.52	0	-12.55	-17.52	0	8.01	-10.42	0	8.01	-10.42	0	44
LS2.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	14.71	-6.71	-8.10	-12.44	-18.88	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
LS2.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-12.44	-18.88	0	14.71	-6.71	8.10	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
LS3.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-16.73	-23.36	0	-16.73	-23.36	0	10.63	-13.91	0	10.63	-13.91	0	98
LS3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	19.61	-8.96	-10.80	-16.59	-25.17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
LS3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-16.59	-25.17	0	19.61	-8.96	10.80	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
LS4.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-20.92	-29.21	0	-20.92	-29.21	0	13.33	-17.38	0	13.33	-17.38	0	116
LS4.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	24.52	-11.19	-13.50	-20.74	-31.47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
LS4.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-20.74	-31.47	0	24.52	-11.19	13.50	0	0	0	0	0	0	112
LS5.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-25.10	-35.05	0	-25.10	-35.05	0	15.95	-20.87	0	15.95	-20.87	0	28
LS5.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	29.42	-13.43	-16.20	-24.88	-37.76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
LS5.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-24.88	-37.76	0	29.42	-13.43	16.20	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
LS6.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-29.28	-40.89	0	-29.28	-40.89	0	18.66	-24.24	0	18.66	-24.24	0	106
LS6.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	34.32	-15.67	-18.90	-29.03	-44.05	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
LS6.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-29.03	-44.05	0	34.32	-15.67	18.90	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
LS7.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-33.47	-47.62	0	-33.47	-47.62	0	21.27	-27.82	0	21.27	-27.82	0	72
LS7.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	39.23	-17.91	-21.60	-33.18	-50.36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
LS7.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-33.18	-50.36	0	39.23	-17.91	21.60	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
LS8.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-37.65	-52.57	0	-37.65	-52.57	0	23.96	-31.29	0	23.96	-31.29	0	46
LS8.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	44.13	-20.14	-24.30	-37.32	-56.64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
LS8.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-37.32	-56.64	0	44.13	-20.14	24.30	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
LS9.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-41.37	-57.76	0	-41.37	-57.76	0	26.33	-34.39	0	26.33	-34.39	0	28
LS9.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	48.49	-22.13	-26.70	-41.01	-62.24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
LS9.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-41.01	-62.24	0	48.49	-22.13	26.70	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
LS10.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-46.02	-64.25	0	-46.02	-64.25	0	26.75	-36.13	0	26.75	-36.13	0	14
LS10.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	52.38	-1.17	-30.00	-46.18	-66.23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
LS10.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-46.18	-66.23	0	52.38	-1.17	30.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
LS11.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-50.20	-70.09	0	-50.20	-70.09	0	31.90	-41.74	0	31.90	-41.74	0	10
LS11.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	52.38	-1.17	-30.00	-46.18	-66.23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
LS11.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-46.18	-66.23	0	52.38	-1.17	30.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
LS12.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-54.36	-75.93	0	-54.36	-75.93	0	34.60	-45.21	0	34.60	-45.21	0	2
LS12.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	52.38	-1.17	-30.00	-46.18	-66.23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
LS12.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	-46.18	-66.23	0	52.38	-1.17	30.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
LS13.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-59.03	-82.42	0	-59.03	-82.42	0	37.55	-49.07	0	37.55	-49.07	0	2
LS14.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-62.75	-87.62	0	-62.75	-87.62	0	39.92	-52.17	0	39.92	-52.17	0	2

* Loads are in kips

APPENDIX C

STRAIN GAGE DATA FROM DAMAGE TOLERANCE TEST II

Table C-1. Bulkhead Strain Gage R1 Springback Landing




Table C-2. Bulkhead Strain Gage R2 Springback Landing




Table C-3. Bulkhead Strain Gage R3 Springback Landing




Table C-4. Bulkhead Strain Gage R4 Springback Landing




Table C-5. Bulkhead Strain Gage R5 Springback Landing




Table C-6. Bulkhead Strain Gage R7 Springback Landing




Table C-7. Bulkhead Strain Gage R8 Springback Landing




Table C-8. Bulkhead Strain Gage R9 Springback Landing




Table C-9. Bulkhead Strain Gage R10 Springback Landing




Table C-10. Bulkhead Strain Gage R11 Springback Landing




Table C-11. Bulkhead Strain Gage R12 Springback Landing




Table C-12. Bulkhead Strain Gage R 3 Springback Landing




Table C-13. Bulkhead Strain Gage R15 Springback Landing




Table C-14. Bulkhead Strain Gage R16 Springback Landing




Table C-15. Bulkhead Strain Gage R17 Springback Landing




Table C-16. Bulkhead Strain Gage R18 Springback Landing




Table C-17. Bulkhead Strain Gage R20 Springback Landing




Table C-18. Bulkhead Strain Gage R21 Springback Landing




Table C-19. Bulkhead Strain Gage R25 Springback Landing




Table C-20. Bulkhead Strain Gage R26 Springback Landing




Table C-21. Bulkhead Strain Gage R27 Springback Landing




Table C-22. Bulkhead Strain Gage R28 Springback Landing




Table C-23. Bulkhead Strain Gage R29 Springback Landing




Table C-24. Bulkhead Strain Gage R30 Springback Landing




Table C-25. Bulkhead Strain Gage R1 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-26. Bulkhead Strain Gage R2 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-27. Bulkhead Strain Gage R3 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-28. Bulkhead Strain Gage R4 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-29. Bulkhead Strain Gage R5 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-30. Bulkhead Strain Gage R7 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-31. Bulkhead Strain Gage R8 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-32: Bulkhead Strain Gage R9 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-33. Bulkhead Strain Gage R10 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-34. Bulkhead Strain Gage R11 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-35. Bulkhead Strain Gage B12 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-36. Bulkhead Strain Gage R13 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-37. Bulkhead Strain Gage R15 Boeing Side Load Loading




Table C-38. Bulkhead Strain Gage R16 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-39. Bulkhead Strain Gage R17 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-4C. Bulkhead Strain Gage R18 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-41. Bulkhead Strain Gage R20 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-42. Bulkhead Strain Gage R21 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-43. Bulkhead Strain Gage R25 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-44. Bulkhead Strain Gage R26 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-45. Bulkhead Strain Gage R27 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-46. Bulkhead Strain Gage R28 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-47. Bulkhead Strain Gage R29 Boeing Side Load Landing




Table C-48. Bulkhead Strain Gage R30 Boeing Side Load Landing

