

AD A089765

LEVEL

2

NRL Memorandum Report 4221

6 "Exact" Low Gain Formulation of the Free Electron Laser-Including Transverse Velocity Spread and Wiggler Incoherence.

10 A. GOVER

JAYCOR Alexandria, Va 22304 and Tel-Aviv Univ. School of Engineering, Tel-Aviv, Israel

AND

P. SPRANGLE

Plasma Theory Branch NRL Plasma Physics Division

19

15 NRL MP-4221

DTIC SELECTED SEP 30 1980

11 15 September 15, 1980

12 26

This research is supported by the Air-Force Office of Scientific Research under grant AFOSR 76-2933 and DARPA under contract No. 3817.



15 N00173-80-C-0253,

DARPA Order 3817

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY Washington, D.C.

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DDC FILE COPY

80 9

393 453 29 065

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | | READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM |
|---|---|---|
| 1. REPORT NUMBER NRL Memorandum Report 4221 | 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A089765 | 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER |
| 4. TITLE (and Subtitle) "EXACT" LOW GAIN FORMULATION OF THE FREE ELECTRON LASER-INCLUDING TRANSVERSE VELOCITY SPREAD AND WIGGLER INCOHERENCE | 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim report on a continuing NRL problem. | |
| | 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER | |
| 7. AUTHOR(s) A. Gover* and P. Sprangle | 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) | |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375 | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 67-0867-0-0 N60921/80/WP/W0190 | |
| 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209 | 12. REPORT DATE September 15, 1980 | |
| | 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 26 | |
| 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) | 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED | |
| | 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE | |
| 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited. | | |
| 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) | | |
| 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This research is supported by the Air-Force Office of Scientific Research under grant AFOSR-76-2933 and DARPA under Contract No. 3817. *Present address: JAYCOR, Alexandria, Va 22304 and Tel-Aviv University, School of Engineering, Tel-Aviv, Israel | | |
| 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Free electron laser Relativistic high power radiation source | | |
| 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) It is shown that in the small signal-low gain regime, an analytic expression for the gain can be derived, which is correct for general electron distribution function and general longitudinal variation of the transverse magnetic field pump. This expression is used to evaluate the effects on the gain curve due to transverse momentum spread in the beam as well as inaccuracies (incoherence) in the magnetic pump phase and amplitude. The restricting criteria for the neglect of these effects are derived. | | |

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-014-6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

"EXACT" LOW GAIN FORMULATION OF THE FREE ELECTRON LASER -
INCLUDING TRANSVERSE VELOCITY SPREAD AND WIGGLER INCOHERENCE

The linear analysis of the bremsstrahlung free electron laser was presented first by Madey [1], and was further elaborated by a number of authors [2-4]. In this paper we will show that in the low gain regime (which is of interest mostly for the application of oscillators) it is possible to obtain an explicit gain expression for an arbitrary transverse magneto-static pump and arbitrary velocity distribution of the electron beam. As a special case we will use this expression to find the effect of random perturbation in the periodic pump field and transverse velocity spread on the gain of the free electron laser.

The analysis is based on the technique used by Sprangle et al [4] to solve the free electron laser equations. The linearized Vlasov equation is used to find the perturbation to the beam velocity distribution function $f^{(1)}(p, z, t)$ caused by the pump field $B_0(z)$ and the optical field $\underline{E}(r,t)$, $\underline{B}(r,t)$:

$$\frac{\partial f^{(1)}}{\partial t} + v_z \frac{\partial f^{(1)}}{\partial z} - \frac{e}{c} [\underline{v} \times B_0(z)] \cdot \frac{\partial f^{(1)}}{\partial \underline{p}} = e[\underline{E}(z,t) + \underline{v} \times \underline{B}(z,t)/c] \frac{\partial f^{(0)}}{\partial \underline{p}} \quad (1)$$

where $f^{(0)}(p, z)$ is the beam distribution function in the static magnetic field $B_0(z)$ in the absence of the radiation field.

The transverse magnetic pump field $B_0(z)$ can be described in terms of a vector potential $\underline{A}_0(z)$:

$$\underline{B}_0(z) = \nabla \times \underline{A}_0(z) \quad (2)$$

$$\underline{A}_0(z) = A_{0x}(z) \hat{e}_x + A_{0y}(z) \hat{e}_y \quad (3)$$

and following [4] we express Eq. 1 in terms of the three constants of motion of the electrons in the static field $\underline{A}_0(z)$:

$$\alpha(p_x, z) = p_x - \frac{e}{c} A_{0x}(z) \quad (4)$$

$$\beta(p_y, z) = p_y - \frac{e}{c} A_{0y}(z) \quad (5)$$

$$u(p_x, p_y, p_z) = (p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2)^{1/2} \quad (6)$$

where $e = |e|$. α and β are the x and y components of the canonical momentum, and u is the total momentum of the electron. These variables are independent of z . Eq. (1) then simplifies into

$$\frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial t} + v_z(\alpha, \beta, u, z) \frac{\partial g^{(1)}}{\partial z} = e[\underline{E}(\underline{r}, t) + \frac{1}{c} \underline{v}(\alpha, \beta, u, z) \times \underline{B}(\underline{r}, t)] \cdot \left[\frac{\partial g^{(0)}}{\partial \alpha} \hat{e}_x + \frac{\partial g^{(0)}}{\partial \beta} \hat{e}_y + \frac{p(\alpha, \beta, u, z)}{u} \frac{\partial g^{(0)}}{\partial u} \right] \quad (7)$$

where $g^{(0)}(\alpha, \beta, u) = f^{(0)}(p, z)$, $g^{(1)}(\alpha, \beta, u, z, t) = f^{(1)}(p, z, t)$ and

$$v(\alpha, \beta, u, z) = p(\alpha, \beta, u, z) / [m_0 \gamma_0(u)].$$

The detailed analysis in [4] indicated that the contribution of the first two terms in the second parenthesis in Eq. 7 is negligible in comparison to the contribution of the third term. Hence, for the sake of simplicity we will neglect them from now on (this approximation is implicitly used in all the other analyses [1-3]).

We assume that the electron beam plasma is tenuous enough so that the electrostatic potential $\phi(z)$ due to space charge effects can be neglected. We also assume that the electromagnetic field is uniform across the electron beam cross section. The electromagnetic field can be then expressed in terms of circularly polarized vector potentials $\tilde{A}_+(z)$ and $\tilde{A}_-(z)$

$$\underline{E}(z,t) = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \underline{A}}{\partial t} = \frac{i\omega}{c} [\tilde{A}_+(z)\hat{e}_+ + \tilde{A}_-(z)\hat{e}_-]e^{-i\omega t} + \text{c.c.} \quad (8)$$

$$\underline{B}(z,t) = \nabla \times \underline{A} = i \left[-\frac{d}{dz} \tilde{A}_+(z)\hat{e}_+ + \frac{d}{dz} \tilde{A}_-(z)\hat{e}_- \right] e^{-i\omega t} + \text{c.c.} \quad (9)$$

where $\hat{e}_+ = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{e}_x + i\hat{e}_y)$, $\hat{e}_- = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{e}_x - i\hat{e}_y)$ are respectively the right and left hand circular polarization unit vectors, and $\tilde{A}_+ = \tilde{A}_x - i\tilde{A}_y$, $\tilde{A}_- = \tilde{A}_x + i\tilde{A}_y$.

With all these assumptions, Eq. 7 now simplifies into

$$\begin{aligned} & -i\omega \tilde{g}^{(1)}(\alpha, \beta, u, z) + v_z(\alpha, \beta, u, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tilde{g}^{(1)}(\alpha, \beta, u, z) = \\ & = \frac{i\omega}{2c} [p_-(\alpha, \beta, u, z) \tilde{A}_+(z) + p_+(\alpha, \beta, u, z) \tilde{A}_-(z)] \frac{1}{u} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} g^{(0)}(\alpha, \beta, u) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\tilde{g}^{(1)}(\alpha, \beta, u, z, t) \equiv \tilde{g}^{(1)}(\alpha, \beta, u, z)e^{-i\omega t} + \text{c.c.}$ and $p_{\pm} = p_x \pm ip_y$.

The perturbation in the distribution function gives rise to a transverse current

$$\underline{J}(z,t) = [\underline{J}_x(z)\hat{e}_x + \underline{J}_y(z)\hat{e}_y]e^{-i\omega t} + \text{c.c.} = [\underline{J}_+(z)\hat{e}_+ + \underline{J}_-(z)\hat{e}_-]e^{-i\omega t} + \text{c.c.} \quad (11)$$

where

$$\underline{J}_\pm(z) = -e \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{u d\alpha d\beta du}{p_z(\alpha, \beta, u, z)} v_\pm(\alpha, \beta, u, z) \tilde{g}^{(1)}(\alpha, \beta, u, z) \quad (12)$$

$$v_\pm = \frac{p_\pm}{\gamma(u)m} \quad (13)$$

$$p_\pm = p_x \mp ip_y = \alpha \mp i\beta - \frac{e}{c} (A_{ox} \mp iA_{oy}) \quad (14)$$

$$p_z = [u^2 - (\alpha + \frac{e}{c} A_{ox})^2 - (\beta + \frac{e}{c} A_{oy})^2]^{1/2} \quad (15)$$

The exact solution of Eq. 10 is

$$g^{(1)}(\alpha, \beta, u, z) = \exp[i \int_0^z \frac{\omega}{v_z(\alpha, \beta, u, \bar{z})} d\bar{z}] \int_0^z \exp[-i \int_0^{z''} \frac{\omega}{v_z(\alpha, \beta, u, \bar{z}'')} d\bar{z}'] \frac{H(\alpha, \beta, u, z'')}{v_z(\alpha, \beta, u, z'')} dz'' \quad (16)$$

where $H(\alpha, \beta, u, z)$ stands for the right hand side of Eq. 10, and we assumed

$g^{(1)}(\alpha, \beta, u, 0) = 0$. (no prebunching of the electron beam). Substitution of (16) in (12)

gives

$$\underline{J}_\pm(z) = -i \frac{e^2}{2c} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^z d\alpha d\beta du dz'' \frac{\exp[-i \int_0^z \frac{\omega}{v_z(\alpha, \beta, u, \bar{z})} d\bar{z}] \exp[-i \int_0^{z''} \frac{\omega}{v_z(\alpha, \beta, u, \bar{z}'')} d\bar{z}'']}{p_z(\alpha, \beta, u, z) p_z(\alpha, \beta, u, z'')} \quad (17)$$

$$p_{\pm}(\alpha, \beta, u, z) [p_{\pm}(\alpha, \beta, u, z'') \tilde{A}_{\pm}(z'') + p_{\pm}(\alpha, \beta, u, z'') \tilde{A}_{\pm}(z'')] \frac{\partial}{\partial u} g^{(0)}(\alpha, \beta, u) . \quad (17)$$

The electromagnetic field induced by the current is given by

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\right) \tilde{A}_{\pm}(z) = -\frac{4\pi}{c} \tilde{J}_{\pm}(z) \quad (18)$$

This second order equation can be reduced to a first order equation if we assume that there is only a forward going wave propagating with a wave number $-\omega/c$, and there is no coupling to a backward going electromagnetic wave. We can then reduce (18) into

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - i\frac{\omega}{c}\right) \tilde{A}_{\pm}(z) = \frac{2\pi i}{\omega} \tilde{J}_{\pm}(z) \quad (19)$$

which can be readily transformed into an integral equation:

$$\tilde{A}_{\pm}(z) = \tilde{A}_{\pm}(0) e^{i\frac{\omega}{c}z} + \frac{2\pi i}{\omega} e^{i\frac{\omega}{c}z} \int_0^z e^{-i\frac{\omega}{c}z'} \tilde{J}_{\pm}(z') dz' \quad (20)$$

Equations (17) and (20) are a set of two coupled integral equations, which can be used to solve for the linear evolution of the electromagnetic field. These equations can be solved by an iterative process. To zero order (no interaction) we have $\tilde{A}_{\pm}(z) = \tilde{A}_{\pm}(0) \exp(i\omega z/c)$. We substitute the zero order iteration in (17) which is in turn substituted in (20) to yield the expression for the electromagnetic field to first order in $\tilde{A}_{\pm}(0)$. The iterative process may be continued in this way to higher orders.

| | |
|---------------|----------|
| Available For | |
| Lab | |
| Unannounced | |
| Justification | |
| By | |
| Distribution | |
| Available | |
| Available/for | |
| Dist special | |
| | A |

At present we assume small gain and find $\tilde{A}_{\pm}(z)$ to first order:

$$\tilde{A}_{\pm}(z) = \left\{ \tilde{A}_{\pm}(0) + \frac{\pi e^2}{c} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^z \int_0^z d\alpha d\beta du dz' \int_0^{z'} dz'' \frac{\partial}{\partial u} g^{(0)}(\alpha, \beta, u) \right. \\ \left. \frac{\exp[i \int_0^{z'} (\frac{\omega}{v_z'} - \frac{\omega}{c}) dz']}{p_z'} \frac{\exp[-i \int_0^{z''} (\frac{\omega}{v_z''} - \frac{\omega}{c}) dz'']}{p_z''} p_{\pm}' [p_{\pm}'' \tilde{A}_{\pm}(0) + p_{\pm}'' \tilde{A}_{\pm}(0)] \right\} e^{i \frac{\omega}{c} z} \quad (21)$$

The primed and double primed parameters p_z, p_{\pm} are all dependent on α, β, u and z' or z'' respectively, $v_z' = v_z(\alpha, \beta, u, \bar{z}')$, $v_z'' = v_z(\alpha, \beta, u, \bar{z}'')$.

The Poynting vector power density of the fields in Eqs. 8, 9, is found to be given by:

$$\underline{S} = \hat{e}_z \frac{1}{8} \frac{\omega}{c} [\tilde{A}_{+}(z) \frac{d}{dz} A_{+}^*(z) + \tilde{A}_{-}(z) \frac{d}{dz} A_{-}^*(z)] + c.c. \quad (22)$$

We assume that in (21) the expression in the curled parenthesis varies very slowly with z in comparison to $\exp(i\omega z/c)$, hence instead of (22) we can write

$$\underline{S} = \hat{e}_z \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)^2 [|\tilde{A}_{+}(z)|^2 + |\tilde{A}_{-}(z)|^2] . \quad (23)$$

Let us assume now that the incident electromagnetic wave is right hand circularly polarized ($\tilde{A}_{-}(0) = 0$). The power gain of this wave $\tilde{A}_{+}(z)$ after an interaction distance l is

$$\frac{\Delta P_+(l)}{P_+(0)} = \left| \frac{A_+(l)}{A_+(0)} \right|^2 - 1 \approx \frac{\pi e^2}{c} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^l \int_0^l d\alpha d\beta d\omega dz' \int_0^{z'} dz'' \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} g^{(0)}(\alpha, \beta, u) \frac{p_z^+}{p_z} \exp\left[i \int_0^{z'} \left(\frac{\omega}{v_z} - \frac{\omega}{c}\right) dz'\right] \frac{p_z^-}{p_z} \exp\left[-i \int_0^{z''} \left(\frac{\omega}{v_z} - \frac{\omega}{c}\right) dz''\right] + c.c.$$

This is a general expression for the linear gain in the low gain limit which within the limits of the assumptions used during the derivation is applicable for arbitrary three dimensional beam velocity distribution and arbitrary transverse field pump which can be written in the form (3).

We may now apply Eq. 24 to the case of the Stanford free electron laser experiment [5] where we assume a pure right hand helical magnetic field

$$A_0(z) = A_0 \cos k_0 z \hat{e}_x + A_0 \sin k_0 z \hat{e}_y \quad (25)$$

We also assume that the electron beam has negligible transverse velocity spread, and zero transverse canonical momentum. The equilibrium electron distribution function can, therefore, be written as

$$g^{(0)}(\alpha, \beta, u) = n_0 \delta(\alpha) \delta(\beta) g_0(u) \quad (26)$$

In this case we get from (14), (15)

$$p_z^+ = -\frac{e}{c} A_0 e^{\mp i k_0 z} \quad (27)$$

$$p_z = [u^2 - \left(\frac{e}{c} A_0\right)^2]^{1/2} \quad (28)$$

and we see that p_z , v_z are no longer functions of z . Equation (24) simplifies into

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta P_+(l)}{P_+(0)} &= \frac{\omega^2}{2} \frac{m}{c} \left(\frac{eA_0}{c} \right)^2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^l \frac{du}{P_z} dz' \int_0^{z'} d\tau \frac{dg_0(u)}{du} \cos \left(\frac{\omega}{v_z} - \frac{\omega}{c} - k_0 \right) \tau = \\ &= \frac{\omega^2}{4} \frac{m}{c} \left(\frac{eA_0}{c} \right)^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{du}{P_z} \frac{dg_0(u)}{du} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\omega}{v_z} - \frac{\omega}{c} - k_0 \right) l}{\left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\omega}{v_z} - \frac{\omega}{c} - k_0 \right) \right]^2} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

In the cold beam limit

$$\frac{v_{zth}}{v_{z0}} \ll \beta_{z0} \frac{\lambda}{l} \quad (30)$$

where v_{zth} is the beam velocity spread in the z direction and

$\beta_{z0} = v_{z0}/c$, we may substitute into (29) $g_0(u) = \delta(u-u_0)$. Equation 29 then reduces to the conventional gain expression [1-4].

$$\frac{\Delta P_+(l)}{P_+(0)} = \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{\gamma_0 \gamma_{z0}^2} \left(\frac{\omega}{v_{z0}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{P_{\perp 0}}{P_{z0}} \right)^2 \frac{u_0}{P_{z0}} \frac{\omega l^3}{c} \frac{d}{d\theta_0} \left(\frac{\sin \theta_0}{\theta_0} \right)^2 \quad (31)$$

where

$$\theta_0 \equiv \left(\frac{\omega}{v_{z0}} - \frac{\omega}{c} - k_0 \right) l/2 \quad (32)$$

$$P_{\perp 0} \equiv \frac{e}{c} A_0 = \frac{e}{c} B_0/k_0 \quad (33)$$

$$P_{z0} \equiv (u_0^2 - P_{\perp 0}^2)^{1/2} \quad (34)$$

$$\gamma_{z0} \equiv (1 - v_{z0}^2/c^2)^{-1/2} \quad (35)$$

The gain curve (31) obtains its maximum value at $\theta_0 = -1.3$.

It is worth noting that the simplified form of Eq. (29) is due to the fact that in the limit of an ideal helical pump field and $\alpha = \beta = 0$, the longitudinal momentum p_z (Eq. 28) is a constant of the motion and is independent on z . If for instance the pump field was not helical but linearly polarized ($A_0(z) = A_0 \cos k_0 z \hat{e}_x$ instead of (25)), then p_z and v_z in Eq. 24 would be still dependent on z in a periodic way (see 15).

The integration over z' z'' is then not immediate. We will not elaborate on this case beyond noting that the gain spectrum for this pump will be rich with harmonics.

We now will examine the effect on the gain of transverse momentum spread of the electron beam and of irregularities in the helical magnetic pump field. Of course, if the magnetic pump field $\underline{B}_0(z)$ is known exactly (e.g., measured directly in a specific experiment), then it is possible to find $A_0(z)$ by integrating Eq. 2. If also the beam velocity distribution $g_0(\alpha, \beta, u)$ is known explicitly, then the gain can be calculated "exactly" using the general gain expression (24). In practice we are interested in estimating the effects on the gain due to a transverse momentum spread and irregularities in the helical pump field even if we do not know exactly the beam velocity distribution and the exact form for the pump field. We will, therefore, find the conditions in which the effects on the gain of transverse momentum spread and of irregularities in the pump field are significant enough so that (26) and (25) and consequently (29), (31) are not valid.

Irregularities in the helical field can be described as amplitude and phase modulation of the ideal helical field, so that

$$\underline{A}_0(z) = A_0(z) \cos[k_0 z + \phi(z)] \hat{e}_x + A_0(z) \sin[k_0 z + \phi(z)] \hat{e}_y \quad (36)$$

instead of (25). The amplitude $A_0(z)$ is randomly modulated around an average value $\langle A_0(z) \rangle = A_0$. The term $\phi(z)$ is a random phase deviation of the vector potential field $\underline{A}_0(z)$. It should be pointed out here that $\phi(z)$ and $A_0(z)$ are not related in a simple way to the phase and amplitude modulation of the magnetic field $\underline{B}_0(z)$. They can be evaluated only after integrating Eq. 2.

With Eq. (36) and without assuming (26) we have from (14)

$$p_{\pm} = \alpha \mp i\beta - \frac{e}{c} A_0(z) e^{\mp i[k_0 z + \phi(z)]} \quad (37)$$

and by expanding (15) to first order in $\delta A_0(z)$, α and β

$$\frac{1}{p_z(z)} \approx \frac{1}{p_z(u)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{p_{\perp 0}}{2 p_z} [\delta p_{\perp 0}(z) + \alpha \cos(k_0 z + \phi(z)) + \beta \sin(k_0 z + \phi(z))] \right\} \quad (38)$$

$$\frac{1}{v_z(z)} \approx \frac{1}{v_z(u)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{p_{\perp 0}}{2 p_z} [\delta p_{\perp 0}(z) + \alpha \cos(k_0 z + \phi(z)) + \beta \sin(k_0 z + \phi(z))] \right\} \quad (39)$$

where

$$A_0(z) \equiv A_0 + \delta A_0(z) \quad (40)$$

$$\delta p_{\pm 0}(z) \equiv \frac{e}{c} \delta A_0(z) \quad (41)$$

We see immediately that we may set $\alpha = \beta = 0$ in Eq. 37 and set

$$p_{\pm} = \frac{e}{c} A_0(z) e^{\mp i[k_0 z + \phi(z)]} \quad (42)$$

This is true for two reasons: (a) The transverse momentum spread α_{th} is assumed to satisfy $\alpha_{th} \ll p_{\pm 0}$ and, therefore, the additive contribution of $\alpha \mp 1\beta$ to (37) and in turn to (24) is negligible, (b) α and β do not have periodic z dependence like $A_{\pm}(z)$, therefore, they will not contribute a synchronous term in the integrand of (24) and thus will have a negligible contribution. On the other hand we cannot set $\alpha = \beta = 0$ in (39) without careful examination, because $v_z(z)$ appears in the exponents of Eq. 24 and small deviations in $v_z(z)$ may have a significant effect on the integral and spoil the synchronism condition which led to the "resonant" expression in Eq. 29 or 31. As for $p_z(z)$ (Eq. 38) we can (to zero order) approximate it by $p_z(z) = p_z(u)$ in (24). Again the reason is that the contribution of the first order correction is additive and small.

We then get from (24)

$$\frac{\Delta P_{\perp}(\ell)}{P_{\perp}(0)} = 2\pi \frac{\ell^2}{c} \left(\frac{e}{c} A_0 \right)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\ell} \int_0^{\ell} d\alpha d\beta du dz' \int_0^{z'} dz'' \frac{1}{p_z(u)} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} g^{(0)}(\alpha, \beta, u) \cos\left[\left(\frac{\omega}{v_z(u)} - \frac{\omega}{c} - k_0\right)(z' - z'') + \psi(z') - \psi(z'')\right] \quad (43)$$

where

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\omega}{v_z(u)} \frac{p_{+0}}{p_z} \int_0^z \{ \delta p_{+0}(\bar{z}) + \alpha \cos[k_0 \bar{z} + \phi(\bar{z})] + \beta \sin[k_0 \bar{z} + \phi(\bar{z})] \} d\bar{z} - \phi(z) \quad (44)$$

Using the last expressions, we will first examine the effect of transverse velocity spread on the gain. For the sake of simplicity we assume now an ideal helical field $A_0(z) = A_0$, $\phi(z) = 0$ and a Maxwellian transverse momentum spread of the electron beam.

$$g^{(0)}(\alpha, \beta, u) = \frac{n_0}{2} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)/\alpha_{th}^2}}{\pi \alpha_{th}} g_0(u) \quad (45)$$

$$\frac{\Delta P_{+}(\ell)}{P_{+}(0)} = \frac{\omega^2}{2} \frac{m}{c} \left(\frac{eA_0}{c} \right)^2 \int_0^{\ell} \int_0^{\ell} \frac{du}{p_z} dz' \int_0^{z'} d\tau e^{-\left[\frac{\omega}{v_z k_0} \frac{p_{+0}}{p_z} \frac{\alpha_{th}}{p_z} \sin \frac{1}{2} k_0 \tau \right]^2 \cos\left(\frac{\omega}{v_z} - \frac{\omega}{c} - k_0\right)\tau} \quad (46)$$

Further investigation of the integral in (46) will not presently be carried out. We only note that besides reducing the gain, the transverse momentum also generates harmonic frequencies in the gain spectrum. We may also find from (46) that the condition at which the neglect of the transverse momentum

spread is allowed is $\frac{\omega}{v_{z0} k_0} \frac{p_{\perp 0}}{p_{z0}} \frac{\alpha_{th}}{p_{z0}} \ll 1$. Using the synchronism condition

$\frac{\omega}{c} \approx \frac{\omega}{v_{z0}} - k_0$, this can be written as

$$\frac{\alpha_{th}}{p_{z0}} \ll \frac{1}{(1 + \beta_{z0}) \gamma_{z0}^2 \beta_{\perp 0}} \quad (47)$$

where $\beta_{\perp 0} \equiv p_{\perp 0}/p_{z0} = eB_0/(\gamma_0 m c v_{z0} k_0)$. In the relativistic limit ($\gamma_0 \gg 1$) this condition is

$$\frac{\alpha_{th}}{c \gamma_0} \ll \frac{1}{2 \gamma_{z0}^2 \beta_{\perp 0}} \quad (48)$$

When (47) or (48) are well satisfied we may set in (46) $\alpha_{th} = 0$ and recover (29).

It is interesting to compare conditions (47,48) with condition (30) for the longitudinal momentum spread, which can be written in the forms:

$$\frac{p_{zth}}{p_{z0}} \ll \beta_{z0} \gamma_{z0}^2 \frac{\lambda}{L} \quad (49)$$

or in the relativistic limit

$$\frac{\Sigma_{zth}}{c_0} \ll \gamma_{z0}^2 \frac{\lambda}{L} \quad (50)$$

In the regime $\frac{\ell}{\lambda} \frac{1}{(1 + \beta_{z0})\beta_{z0}\beta_{\perp0}\gamma_{0z}^4} < 1$ ($\frac{\ell}{\lambda} \frac{1}{2\beta_{\perp0}\gamma_{0z}^4} < 1$ - relativistic) we find

that the condition on the transverse momentum spread (47) is more stringent than the condition on the longitudinal spread. With high energy beams this may easily be the case and conditions (47,48) may be of practical concern. It is easy to verify, that condition (48) and (50) are reasonably well satisfied for the parameters of the Stanford experiment.

We now consider the effect of irregularities in the helical pump field, and this time we assume for simplicity $\alpha_{th} = 0$ (Eq. 26). Equation 43 then simplifies into

$$\frac{\Delta P_+(L)}{P_+(0)} = \omega^2 \frac{m}{p} \frac{1}{c} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\ell} du dz' \int_0^{z'} dz'' \frac{dg_0(u)}{du} \frac{p_{\perp 0}(z')}{p_z(z')} \frac{p_{\perp 0}(z'')}{p_z(z'')} \cos \left[\left(\frac{\omega}{v_z} - \frac{\omega}{c} - k_0 \right) (z' - z'') + \psi(z') - \psi(z'') \right] \quad (51)$$

where

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\omega}{v_z} \left(\frac{e}{c} \right)^2 \frac{A_0}{2} \int_0^z \delta A_0(\bar{z}) d\bar{z} - \phi(z) \quad (52)$$

Notice that the phase deviation $\psi(z)$ is effected not only by the phase deviation of the helical field vector potential $\phi(z)$ but also by the amplitude deviation $\delta A_0(z)$.

The condition that Eq. (51) be reduced to (29) and the effect of imperfections in the helical field be neglected is

$$|\psi(z)| \ll \pi \quad (53)$$

for any $0 < z < \ell$. In this condition it is possible to expand the integrand in (49) to first order in $\psi(z)$ and the first order term will be small compared to the zero order term (29). Condition (53) may be quite a stringent condition when the interaction length is long. For example, to appreciate the effect of changes in the field amplitude $\delta A_0(z)$, assume that it is changing linearly throughout the interaction length ℓ , then inequality (53) gives

$$\left| \frac{\delta A_0(\ell)}{A_0} \right| < < \frac{\beta_{z0}}{\beta_{+0}} \frac{\lambda}{2 \ell^2} \quad (54)$$

For $\lambda = 10\mu\text{m}$, $\ell = 4\text{m}$, $\beta_{+0} = 0.05$, $\beta_{z0} = 1$ this requires that

$$\left| \frac{\delta A_0(\ell)}{A_0} \right| < < 10^{-3}.$$

We can now appreciate the significance of inaccuracies in the periodicity of the helical field. Small local deviations in the field period will not cause major change in the gain expression (29) as long as there is no cumulative phase deviation throughout the interaction length ℓ which violates (53). In other words, the long range coherence of the periodic structure is important. We may add that similar conditions probably would apply also to the problem of Compton and Raman scattering from electron beams [6,7] where the coherent length of the scattering wave may limit the obtainable gain. This may be an important consideration especially when the scattering (pump) wave is produced by a low coherence source like a high power laser or a wide band microwave source.

In the case where condition (53) is not satisfied the free electron laser gain curve may differ substantially from (31). For any specific laser structure with pump field which deviates from the ideal helical dependence (25), the integration of (51) would result different gain curve. A statistical analysis may be carried out to estimate the quantitative effect of random perturbations of the pump field on the laser gain [8]. Such an analysis can yield expressions for the average laser gain which for a static pump is essentially an ensemble average over many randomly perturbed free electron lasers. For an electromagnetic pump (stimulated Compton scattering) the statistical analysis would yield a time average of the laser gain.

Detailed statistical analysis of the laser gain in the regime where (53) does not hold is beyond the scope of the present article. We will only point out that the gain in this case depends basically on two parameters of the pump field random perturbation - the phase modulation index $\langle \psi^2(z) \rangle$ and the pump coherence length l_c . Whenever $\langle \psi^2(z) \rangle \ll 1$ or $l/l_c \ll 1$ the average gain curve is close to (31). In the limit of short coherence length the average gain curve changes substantially and is reduced by a factor of about $(l/l_c)^2$ relative to the unperturbed free electron laser gain.

References

1. J. M. Madey, Appl. Phys. 42 1906 (1971).
2. F. A. Hopf, P. Meystre, M. O. Scully and W. H. Louisell, Optics Commun. 18 413 (1976).
3. N. M. Kroll and W. A. McMullin, Phys. Rev. A17, 300 (1978).
4. P. Sprangle, R. Smith and V. L. Granatstein, Infrared and Millimeter Waves - Vol. 1 p. 279, Edited by K. J. Button, Academic Press (1979).
5. L. Elias, W. Fairbank, J. Madey, H. A. Schwettman, T. Smith, Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 717 (1976).
6. V. P. Sukhatme, P. A. Wolff, J. Appl. Phys. 44, 2331 (1973).
7. P. Sprangle and A. T. Drobot, N.R.L. Memo Rept. 3587 (1978).
8. A. Gover, unpublished.

DISTRIBUTION LIST*

Naval Research Laboratory
4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20375

Attn: Code 1000 - CAPT E. E. Henifin
1001 - Dr. A. Berman
4700 - Dr. T. Coffey (25 copies)
4701 - Mr. J. Brown
4740 - Dr. V. L. Granatstein (20 copies)
4740 - Dr. R. K. Parker (20 copies)
4740 - Dr. K. R. Chu
4740 - Dr. C. W. Roberson
4790 - Dr. P. Sprangle (100 copies)
4790 - Dr. M. Lampe
4790 - Dr. W. M. Manheimer
6603S- Dr. W. W. Zachary
6650 - Dr. L. Cohen
6656 - Dr. N. Seeman
6850 - Dr. L. R. Whicker
6805 - Dr. S. Y. Ahn
6875 - Dr. R. Wagner

On Site Contractors:

Code 4740 - Dr. L. Barnett (B-K Dynamics)
4740 - D. D. Dialetis (SAI)
4740 - Dr. Y. Y. Lau (SAI)
4790 - Dr. A. J. Drobot (SAI)
4790 - Dr. C. M. Tang (JAYCOR) (50 copies)
4790 - Dr. J. Vomvoridis (JAYCOR)
4790 - Dr. H. Freund (SAI)

* Every name listed on distribution gets one copy except for those where extra copies are noted.

Dr. Tony Armstrong
SAI, Inc.
P. O. Box 2351
La Jolla, CA 92038

Dr. Robert Behringer
ONR
1030 E. Green
Pasadena, CA 91106

Dr. G. Bekefi (5 copies)
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Bldg. 26
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dr. Arden Bement (2 copies)
Deputy Under Secretary of
Defense for R&AT
Room 3E114, The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20301

MAJ Rettig P. Benedict, USAF
DARPA/STO
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. T. Berlincourt
Code 420
Office of Naval Research
Arlington, VA 22217

Dr. I. B. Bernstein (2 copies)
Yale University
Mason Laboratory
400 Temple Street
New Haven, CT 06520

Dr. Charles Brau (2 copies)
Applied Photochemistry Division
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
P. O. Box 1663, M.S. - 817
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Dr. R. Briggs (L-71)
Lawrence Livermore Laboratory
P. O. Box 808
Livermore, CA 94550

Dr. Fred Burskirk
Physics Department
Naval Postgraduate School
Monterey, CA 93940

Dr. K. J. Button
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Francis Bitter National Magnet Laboratory
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dr. Gregory Canavan
Director, Office of Inertial Fusion
U. S. Department of Energy
M.S. C404
Washington, D.C. 20545

Dr. C. D. Cantrell
T-DOT, MS210
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Dr. Maria Caponi
TRW, Building R-1, Room 1070
One Space Park
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Dr. J. Cary
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
MS 608
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Dr. Weng Chow
Optical Sciences Center
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

Dr. Peter Clark
TRW, Building R-1, Room 1096
One Space Park
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Dr. Robert Clark
P. O. Box 1925
Washington, D.C. 20013

Dr. William Colson
Quantum Institute
Univ. of California at Santa Barbara
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Dr. William Condell
Code 421
Office of Naval Research
Arlington, VA 22217

Dr. Richard Cooper
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
P. O. Box 1663
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Cmdr. Robert Cronin
NFOIO Detachment, Suitland
4301 Suitland Road
Washington, D.C. 20390

Dr. R. Davidson (5 copies)
Plasma Fusion Center
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dr. John Dawson (2 copies)
Physics Department
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Dr. David Deacon
Physics Department
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

Defense Technical Information Center
(12 copies)
Cameron Station
5010 Duke Street
Alexandria, VA 22313

Dr. Francesco De Martini
Istituto de Fiscia
"G. Marconi" Univ.
Piazzo delle Science, 5
ROMA00185 ITALY

Prof. P. Diamant
Columbia University
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
New York, NY 10027

Prof. H. J. Doucet (5 copies)
Ecole Polytechnique
91128 Palaiseau
Paris, France

Dr. John Elgin (2 copies)
Imperial College
Dept. of Physics (Optics)
London SWF, England

Dr. Luis R. Elias (2 copies)
Quantum Institute
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Dr. David D. Elliott
SRI International
333 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dr. Jim Elliot (2 copies)
X-Division, M.S. 531
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Director (2 copies)
National Security Agency
Fort Meade, MD 20755
ATTN: Mr. Richard Foss, A42

Dr. Robert Fossum, Director (2 copies)
DARPA
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Edward A. Frieman
Director, Office of Energy Research
U. S. Department of Energy
M.S. 6E084
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dr. George Gamota (3 copies)
OUSDRE (R&AT)
Room 3D1067, The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20301

Dr. Richard L. Garwin
IBM, T. J. Watson Research Center
P. O. Box 218
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

Dr. Edward T. Gerry, President
W. J. Schafer Associates, Inc.
1901 N. Fort Myer Drive
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Avraham Gover
Tel Aviv University
Fac. of Engineering
Tel Aviv, ISRAEL

Mr. Donald L. Haas, Director
DARPA/STO
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. P. Hammerling
La Jolla Institute
P. O. Box 1434
La Jolla, CA 92038

Director
National Security Agency
Fort Meade, MD 20755
ATTN: Mr. Thomas Handel, A243

Dr. William Happer
560 Riverside Drive
New York City, NY 10027

Dr. Robert J. Hermann
Assistant Secretary of the
Air Force (RD&L)
Room 4E856, The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20330

Dr. Rod Hiddleston
KMS Fusion
Ann Arbor, MI 48106

Dr. J. L. Hirshfield (2 copies)
Yale University
Mason Laboratory
400 Temple Street
New Haven, CT 06520

Dr. R. Hofland
Aerospace Corp.
P. O. Box 92957
Los Angeles, CA 90009

Dr. Fred Hopf
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

Dr. Benjamin Huberman
Associate Director, OSTP
Room 476, Old Executive Office Bldg.
Washington, D.C. 20506

Dr. S. F. Jacobs
Optical Sciences Center
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

Mr. Eugene Kopf
Principal Deputy Assistant
Secretary of the Air Force (RD&L)
Room 4E964, The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20330

Prof. N. M. Kroll
La Jolla Intitutes
P. O. Box 1434
La Jolla, CA 92038

Dr. Tom Kuper
Optical Sciences Center
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

Dr. Thomas Kwan
Los Alamos Scientific Lab
MS608
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Dr. Willis Lamb
Optical Sciences Center
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

Mr. Mike Lavan
BMDATC-0
ATTN: ATC-0
P. O. Box 1500
Huntsville, AL 35807

Dr. John D. Lawson (2 copies)
Rutherford High Energy Lab
Chilton
Didcot, Oxon OX11 0OX
ENGLAND

Mr. Ray Leadabrand
SRI International
333 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Mr. Barry Leven
NISC/Code 20
4301 Suitland Road
Washington, D.C. 20390

Dr. Donald M. LeVine (3 copies)
SRI International
1611 N. Kent Street
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Anthony T. Lin
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024

Director (2 copies)
National Security Agency
Fort Meade, MD 20755
ATTN: Mr. Robert Madden, R/SA

Dr. John Madey
Physics Department
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Joseph Mangano
DARPA
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. S. A. Mani
W. J. Schafer Associates, Inc.
10 Lakeside Office Park
Wakefield, MA 01880

Dr. Mike Mann
Hughes Aircraft Co.
Laser Systems Div.
Culver City, CA 90230

Dr. T. C. Marshall
Applied Physics Department
Columbia University
New York, NY 10027

Mr. John Meson
DARPA
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Pierre Meystre
Projektgruppe fur Laserforschung
Max Planck Gesellschaft
Garching, MUNICH WEST GERMANY

Dr. Gerald T. Moore
Optical Sciences Center
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

Dr. Philip Morton
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
P. O. Box 4349
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Jesper Munch
TRW
One Space Park
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Dr. George Neil
TRW
One Space Park
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Dr. Kelvin Neil
Lawrence Livermore Laboratory
Code L-321, P. O. Box 808
Livermore, CA 94550

Dr. Brian Newnam
MS 564
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
P. O. Box 1663
Los Alamos, NM 87545

Dr. Milton L. Noble (2 copies)
General Electric Company
G. E. Electronic Park
Syracuse, NY 13201

Prof. E. Ott (2 copies)
University of Maryland
Dept. of Physics
College Park, Md. 20742

Dr. Richard H. Pantell
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Claudio Parazzoli
Highes Aircraft Company
Building 6, MS/C-129
Centinela & Teale Streets
Culver City, CA 90230

Dr. Richard M. Patrick
AVCO Everett Research Lab., Inc.
2385 Revere Beach Parkway
Everett, MA 02149

Dr. Caludio Pellegrini
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Associated Universities, Inc.
Upton, L.I., NY 11973

The Honorable William Perry
Under Secretary of Defense (R&E)
Office of the Secretary of Defense
Room 3E1006, The Pentagon
Washington, D.C. 20301

Dr. Alan Pike
DARPA/STO
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. Hersch Pilloff
Code 421
Office of Naval Research
Arlington, VA 22217

Dr. Charles Planner
Rutherford High Energy Lab
Chilton
Didcot, Oxon, OX11 0OX,
ENGLAND

Dr. Michal Poole
Daresbury Nuclear Physics Lab.
Daresbury, Warrington
Cheshire WA4 4AD
ENGLAND

Dr. Don Prosnitz
Lawrence Livermore Laboratory
Livermore, CA 94550

Dr. D. A. Reilly
AVCO Everett Research Lab.
Everett, MA 02149

Dr. James P. Reilly
W. J. Schafer Associates, Inc.
10 Lakeside Office Park
Wakefield, MA 01880

Dr. A. Renieri
C.N.E.N.
Div. Nuove Attivita
Dentro di Frascati
Frascati, Rome
ITALY

Dr. Daniel N. Rogovin
SAI
P. O. Box 2351
La Jolla, CA 92038

Dr. Michael Rosenbluh
MIT - Magnet Lab.
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dr. Marshall N. Rosenbluth
Institute for Advanced Study
Princeton, NJ 08540

Dr. Eugene Ruane (2 copies)
P. O. Box 1925
Washington, D.C. 20013

Dr. Antonio Sanchez
MIT/Lincoln Laboratory
Room B231
P. O. Box 73
Lexington, MA 02173

Prof. S. P. Schlesinger
Columbia University
Dept. of Electrical Engineering
New York, NY 10027

Dr. Howard Schlossberg
AFOSR
Bolling AFB
Washington, D.C. 20332

Dr. Stanley Schneider
Rotodyne Corporation
26628 Fond Du Lac Road
Palos Verdes Peninsula, CA 90274

Dr. Marlan O. Scully
Optical Science Center
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

Dr. Steven Segel
KMS Fusion
3621 S. State Street
P. O. Box 1567
Ann Arbor, MI 48106

Dr. Robert Sepucha
DARPA/STO
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209

Dr. A. M. Sessler
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
1 Cyclotron Road
Berkeley, CA 94720

Dr. Earl D. Shaw
Bell Labs
600 Mountain Avenue
Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Dr. Chan-Ching Shih
R&D Associates
P. O. Box 9695
Marina del Rey, CA 92091

Dr. Jack Slater
Mathematical Sciences, NW
P. O. Box 1887
Bellevue, WA 98009

Dr. Kenneth Smith
Physical Dynamics, Inc.
P. O. Box 556
La Jolla, CA 92038

Mr. Todd Smith
Hansen Labs
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

Dr. Joel A. Snow
Senior Technical Advisor
Office of Energy Research
U. S. Department of Energy, M.S. E084
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dr. Richard Spitzer
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
P. O. Box 4347
Stanford, CA 94305

Mrs. Alma Spring
DARPA/Administration
1400 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington, VA 22209

SRI/MP Reports Area G037 (2 copies)
333 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025
ATTN: D. Leitner

Dr. Abraham Szoke
Lawrence Livermore Laboratory
MS/L-470, P. O. Box 808
Livermore, CA 94550

Dr. Milan Tekula
AVCO Everett Research Lab.
2385 Revere Beach Parkway
Everett, MA 02149

Dr. John E. Walsh
Department of Physics
Dartmouth College
Hanover, NH 03755

Dr. Wasneski (2 copies)
Naval Air Systems Command
Department of the Navy
Washington, D.C. 20350

Ms. Bettie Wilcox
Lawrence Livermore Laboratory
ATTN: Tech. Info. Dept. L-3
P. O. Box 808
Livermore, CA 94550

Dr. A. Yariv
California Institute of Tech.
Pasadena, CA 91125