


AD-A092 078

DCA CORP LANCASTER PA SS0-ELECTRO-OPTICS AND DEVICES F/G 9/1
MANUFACTURING METHODS AND TECHNOLOGY MEASURE FOR FABRICATION OF--E+C(U)
SEP 80 B B ADAMS, M F DEVITO, R E REED DAAK70-78-C-0120
NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1-2
AD-A092 078



Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A092	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 078 (9)
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Manufacturing Methods and Technology Measure for Fabrication of Silicon Trans- calent Rectifier,		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Technical Report 30 June 1978-31 March 1980
7. AUTHOR(s) B. B. Adams M. F. DeVito R. E. Reed		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DAAK78-78-C-0120
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS RCA Corporation SSD-Electro Optics & Devices New Holland Avenue Lancaster, PA 17604		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Final Technical Report
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Mobility Equipment R&D Command Procurement and Production Directorate Fort Belvoir, VA 22060		12. REPORT DATE 11 Sep 1980
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) 12 139		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 137
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited, except that the information presented is not to be construed as a license to manufacture or sell the device described without permission.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Environmental Testing Statistical Analysis Production Engineering Ion Implanted "Go", "No-Go" Gauge High Current Rectifier Webless wick Thermal Resistance Heat-Pipe Cooling convoluted and non-convoluted Isothermal High Voltage Rectifier Solid State Device		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) RCA has successfully completed the fabrication and testing of fifteen sample devices under this contract. This report thoroughly describes and discusses the assembly and process procedures; test circuits and test results; and con- figuration management procedure. It also includes numerous detailed drawings and graphs to further illustrate the ingenuity of this Silicon Transcalent Rectifier.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 68 IS OBSOLETE

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

410 148

15

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

This report thoroughly describes the test results as well as the basis for selecting the prototype design. It also includes a picture or block diagram of each test circuit utilized. All devices passed all inspections with a yield of 100%.

Accession For	☒
NTIS GRA&I	☐
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	
Justification	
By	
Distribution	yes
Avail	or
Dist	

A

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

**MANUFACTURING METHODS AND TECHNOLOGY MEASURE
FOR FABRICATION OF SILICON TRANSCALENT RECTIFIER**

Final Technical Report

Period Covered: 30 June, 1978 through 31 March, 1980

Purpose of Study: The objective of this Manufacturing and Methods Measure is to establish the technology needed to fabricate Silicon Transcalent Rectifiers.

Contract No. DAAK70-78-C-0120

**Prepared by:
B. B. Adams
M. F. DeVito
R. E. Reed**

ABSTRACT

RCA has successfully completed the fabrication and testing of fifteen sample devices under this contract. This report thoroughly describes and discusses the assembly and process procedures; test circuits and test results; and configuration management procedure. It also includes numerous detailed drawings and graphs to further illustrate the ingenuity of this Silicon Transcalent Rectifier.

This report thoroughly describes the test results as well as the basis for selecting the prototype design. It also includes a picture or block diagram of each test circuit utilized. All devices passed all inspections with a yield of 100%.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Description</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
Form DD1473	
Title Page	
Abstract	i
Table of Contents	ii
List of Illustrations	vi
List of Tables	ix
I. Introduction	1
II. Device	2
A. Description of the Structure	2
III. Process and Fabrication Improvements	6
A. Engineering Phase	6
1. Silicon Wafer Process Improvement	6
a. Initial Cleaning Investigation	6
b. Dopant Deposition	6
c. Starting Silicon Material	11
2. Silicon Wafer Metallizing, Contouring and Electrical Testing	11
3. Palladium Evaporation	13
4. CVD Tungsten Metallizing	13
5. Nickel Electroplating	13
6. Contouring to Produce the Chip	15
7. Solder Dipping	15
8. Chemical Etching of the Junction	15
9. Electrical Quality Listing of the Chip	16
10. Heat-Pipe Assembly	16
a. Heat-Pipe Design Features	16
b. Pre-fabricated Heat-Pipes	17

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

<u>Description</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
11. Lapping the Molybdenum Disc	19
12. High Strength Wick	20
13. Other Transcalent Envelope Parts	23
a. Stud	23
b. Parts Machining Refinement	23
c. Low Temperature Environment Solution	24
14. Rectifier Assembly and Processing	24
a. Soldering Chip to Heat-Pipe	24
b. Heliarc Welding	27
c. Exhaust and Backfill Chambers	27
d. Finishing Operations	28
e. Details	28
15. Physical Insepction	28
B. Confirmatory Phase	30
1. Ion Implantation	30
2. Webless Wicked	31
3. Tungsten Button - Convoluted	31
4. Tungsten Button - Non-convoluted	31
5. Conclusion	34
IV. Electrical, Mechanical, Thermal and Environmental Inspection Test Program	34
A. Engineering Phase	34
1. Group A Inspection	34
a. Subgroup 1	34
b. Subgroup 2	34
c. Subgroup 3	38
d. Subgroup 4	38

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

<u>Description</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
2. Group B Inspection	40
a. Subgroup 1	40
b. Subgroup 2	40
c. Subgroup 3	41
3. Group C Inspection	41
a. Subgroup 1	41
b. Subgroup 2	41
c. Subgroup 3	41
d. Subgroup 4	42
e. Subgroup 5	43
4. General Data	43
5. Ion Implanted Samples	50
B. Confirmatory Phase	50
1. Group A Inspection	52
a. Subgroup 1	52
b. Subgroup 2	52
c. Subgroup 3	52
d. Subgroup 4	57
2. Group B Inspection	60
a. Subgroup 1	60
b. Subgroup 2	60
c. Subgroup 3	62
3. Group C Inspection	62
a. Subgroup 1	62
b. Subgroup 2	62
c. Subgroup 3	66

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

<u>Description</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
d. Subgroup 4	68
e. Subgroup 5	68
4. General Data	72
5. Engineering Evaluation	72
a. Low Temperature Thermal Shock	72
b. High Temperature Life Test	77
V. Test Equipment	77
A. Surge Current Test Set	77
B. Forward On-State Voltage Test Set	80
C. Thermal Fatigue Test Set	83
D. Blocking Current Test Set	83
E. Blocking Voltage Life Test	90
F. Thermal Resistance Test Set	90
VI. Specification Control/Configuration Management Program	93
A. Engineering Specification Control	93
B. Engineering Change Proposals (ECPS)	93
C. Configuration Changes	96
D. Procedures	96
E. Interface Control	100
F. Standardizing Procedure	100
G. Specification Availability	101
H. Standardized Examples	101
I. Video Tape	105
VII. Program Evaluation and Review Technique	105
VIII. Conclusion and Recommendations	105
IX. Distribution List	107
X. Appendix	113

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

<u>Fig. No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1	Transcendent Rectifier Type J15401 Cross Section Drawing	3
2	Ion Implant Boron	7
3	Ion Implant Phosphorus	8
4	Standard Doping Boron	9
5	Standard Doping Phosphorus	10
6	Flow Diagram - J15401 Wafer	12
7	Cross Section of the Metallized and Contoured Rectifier Wafer	14
8	Cathode Sub-Assembly	18
9	Lapping Machine	21
10	Pre-fabricated Cathode (Emitter) Heat-Pipe	25
11	Flow Diagram for the Assembly and Processing of J15401	26
12	J15401 "Go-No-Go" Gauge	29
13	Cross Section Showing Webless Wick	32
14	Pre-fabricated Cathode (Emitter) Heat-Pipe Showing Convolute Stress Relief	33
15	Reverse Current +25°C	37
16	Reverse Current +125°C	37
17	Forward Voltage Drop	37
18	Thermal Impedance	37
19	Reverse Current-Post Surge	37
20	Reverse Recovery Time	37
21	Reverse Current-Post Barometric	44
22	Reverse Current-Post BVL T	44
23	Reverse Current-Post Thermal Shock and Moisture Resistance	44
24	Reverse Current-Post Salt Atmosphere	44

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (CONTINUED)

<u>Fig. No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
25	Reverse Current-Post Shock and Vibration	44
26	Reverse Current-Post Thermal Fatigue	44
27	Final Thermal Impedance	48
28	Reverse Current vs. Case Temperature	49
29	Reverse Current at +25°C Case Temperature	58
30	Thermal Resistance, $R_{\theta JC}$	58
31	Reverse Current at +125°C Case Temperature	61
32	Forward Voltage Drop	61
33	Post Surge Reverse Current	63
34	Reverse Recovery Time	63
35	Post Barometric Pressure Reverse Current	64
36	Post Blocking Voltage Reverse Current	64
37	Post Thermal Shock Reverse Current	67
38	Post Thermal Shock and Moisture Reverse Current	67
39	Post Salt Spray Reverse Current	69
40	Post Thermal Fatigue Reverse Current	69
41	Post Thermal Fatigue Thermal Impedance	70
42	Post Shock and Vibration Reverse Current	70
43	Post Shock and Vibration Thermal Resistance	71
44	Statistical Distribution of Dimension A	71
45	Statistical Distribution of Dimension B	75
46	Statistical Distribution of Dimension C	75
47	Statistical Distribution of Dimension D	76
48	Statistical Distribution of Dimension F	76
49	Repetitive Surge Current Test Set	81
50	Semi-Automatic Multiple Surge Current Test Set - Block Diagram	82

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (CONTINUED)

<u>Fig. No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
51	Forward On-State Voltage Test Set	84
52	Forward On-Voltage Test Set Block Diagram	85
53	Thermal Fatigue Test Set	86
54	Thermal Fatigue Test Set Block Diagram	87
55	Blocking Current Test Set	88
56	Blocking Current Test Set Block Diagram	89
57	Blocking Voltage Life Test Set	91
58	Blocking Voltage Life Test Set - Block Diagram	92
59	Thermal Resistance Test Set	94
60	Thermal Resistance Test Set Block Diagram	95
61	Engineering Change	97
62	Engineering Standards Approval Guide	98
63	Anode Weld Flange - Corrected	99
64	Anode Weld Flange	102
65	Anode Weld Flange Inspection Method	103
66	Rectifier Assembly, Welded	104
67	Pert Chart	106

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1	Physical Dimensions Table	35
2	Physical Dimensions, Statistical Analysis	36
3	Engineering Samples - Electrical Data	39
4	Initial and Final Thermal Resistance	45
5	Post Environmental Data	46
6	Initial and Final Measurements of Reverse Current	47
7	Ion Implanted Samples	51
8	Sample Size	53
9	Physical Dimension - Confirmatory Samples	54
10	Statistical Analysis of the Dimensional Data of the Confirmatory Samples	55
11	Confirmatory Samples - Electrical Data	56
12	Initial and Final Measurements of Thermal Resistance	59
13	Post Environmental Data	65
14	Statistical Analysis of Confirmatory Data	73
15	Key to Statistical Analysis of Table 14	74
16	Electrical and Environmental Test Equipment	78

I. Introduction

This report is the Final Technical Report describing the work performed by RCA, Lancaster, PA, during the engineering and confirmatory phases of the contract and covering the period of 30 June, 1978 through 31 March 1980. Work was performed in accordance with the DRME-EA Purchase Description, dated 16 November 1977 (as modified by Amendment No. P00004) to the MERADCOM Semiconductor Device, Silicon Transcendent Rectifier Specification, dated 6 June 1978, as attached to the contract. The scope of the contract covers the manufacturing methods and technology (MM&T) tasks for fabricating a semiconductor device, silicon Transcendent rectifier, RCA type J15401 and the subsequent plans for the pilot production of the device.

This program established the production engineering techniques and plans for a pilot production capability for the J15401 silicon Transcendent rectifier conforming to Figure 1 of this report. Electrical, mechanical, thermal, and environmental inspections are part of this report per DD1423 of this contract.

The pilot run portion of the program was deleted as indicated in the RCA proposal No. DP-8135A for: "Manufacturing Methods and Technologies for Silicon Rectifier", dated 19 November 1979. The subject proposal indicated that the data for the Pilot run report would be an extrapolation from the previously completed Thyristor MM&T Contract No. DAAB07-76-C-8120 as modified by the experience gained in the first two phases of the present rectifier MM&T contract.

The extrapolated data is included in the Preliminary Pilot Run Report (Sequence No. A006) and General Report on Step II (Sequence No. A007), DD1423 issued in June 1980. The two reports were combined into one document.

The Preliminary Pilot Run Report is to verify the contractor's capability to fabricate, at a production rate of ten units per eight hour day, Transcendent Silicon Rectifier type J15401. The report includes flow charts and covers the preliminary plans by the contractor to establish the process and fabrication controls as well as the testing required to produce pilot run units at the specified rates.

The step II portion contains a production graph showing the time to produce the first Silicon Rectifier Device and to reach production rate goals. Background information is presented which indicates the contractor's production plans to reach a specified production rate goal.

II. Device

A. Description of the Structure


The Transcalent rectifier type J15401 is designed to make maximum use of the integral heat-pipe thermal package developed previously for the Transcalent rectifier.¹ A cross-section of the device is shown in Figure 1 with a heat-pipe attached to each side of the silicon chip. In operation, current is conducted to and from the silicon chip by the low inductance, high conductivity copper heat-pipes.^a The studs at the ends of the heat-pipes are for fastening the high current leads to the device. The gate and auxiliary cathode leads are for attachment of the control signal to the rectifier.

A ceramic insulator and metal envelope is constructed between the two heat-pipes. This envelope is the main structural member joining the two heat-pipes and prevents stress being transmitted to the weaker silicon chip. The envelope also contains an inert dry nitrogen atmosphere around the contoured edge of the silicon chip across which the high blocking voltages of the Rectifier are developed.

Heat which is generated in the silicon chip during operation is conducted into the heat-pipes through the molybdenum disc closing the end of the heat-pipe adjacent to the silicon. The thickness of the molybdenum disc is optimized to have a minimum temperature rise in the silicon wafer during a single cycle of surge current by balancing the

¹Kessler, S. W., "Development of a 250 Ampere Transcalent Rectifier", Final Technical Report, June 1970, Contract DAAK02-69-C-0609.

^aU.S. Patent 3,605,074, "Electrical Connector Assembly Having Cooling Capability", Freggens, R. A. and Harbaugh, W. E.



DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
 * TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENT POINT
 NOTE - THREADS - 0.550" MIN.

Figure 1 Transcaltent Rectifier Type J15401 Cross Section Drawing

absorption and transfer of the heat.^b

Next, the heat is transferred into the porous copper wick adjacent to the molybdenum disc. The pores of the wick are filled with water which, when evaporated, transfers heat to all parts of the heat-pipe by its latent heat of vaporization. Since the heat-pipe is an evacuated vessel, evaporation occurs at all temperatures, (including below freezing by sublimation) and the vapor pressure curves of water. When the vapor condenses at the coolest point in the heat-pipe, the vapor gives up its latent heat of vaporization. The condensation heat is conducted through the wall of the heat-pipe to the fins and dissipated to the air by the cooling fins. Since the vapor condenses at the coolest point, the heat-pipe is essentially isothermal with equal amounts of heat being dissipated with equal efficiency by all of the fins. The condensate is returned to the evaporator by the capillary forces of the pores of the wick.

This double-sided heat-pipe cooled rectifier is inherently rugged and has unique advantages. Applications experience with Transcalent devices had demonstrated their superiority over "hockey-puck" or "stud-mounted" devices, namely:

1. There are no mechanical clamps fastening the device to the heat sink. Industrial experience indicates that the clamping force relaxes through creep of copper and aluminum during the life of the "hockey-puck" rectifier. Inadequate cooling and lossy electrical contacts may result.
2. Heat is extracted from both sides of the silicon with a minimum of material adjacent to the silicon. This arrangement produces a low-temperature gradient between the junction (which is limited in an SCR by the silicon characteristics to a maximum temperature of 125°C) and the ultimate heat sink.

^bKessler, S. W., U. S. Patent 3,984,861, "Transcalent Semiconductor Device, etc."

3. The thickness and the thermal properties of materials adjacent to the silicon are optimized to absorb the transient surges of power that must be dissipated from the silicon if blocking and control characteristics are to be maintained.
4. In operation the heat-pipes are very tolerant to changes in power level because of their ability to respond quickly by evaporating an additional amount of working fluid. They exhibit a decreasing thermal resistance as the power level increases.
5. The assembly has a high resistance to fatigue failure because the materials adjacent to the silicon and bonded to it either match the thermal expansion of the silicon or are designed to yield elastically. By comparison, the rubbing surfaces of a clamped device are subject to fretting and scoring.^{3,4} As fretting debris accumulates between the clamped surfaces, the contact resistance between adjacent materials increases and alters their electrical and thermal impedances.
6. Operation at higher ambient temperature is possible without current derating.
7. Transcendent devices are of smaller size and lighter weight because of the greatly reduced temperature gradient between the junction and the fins. Also, all of the fins are equally effective in dissipating heat because the heat-pipe is isothermal along its entire length.

³Comyn, R. H. and Fulani, C. W., "Fretting Corrosion", a literature survey, TR1169, Harry Diamond Labs, Army Material Command Washington, DC, 30 December, 1963.

⁴Comstock, W. R. and Locher, R. E., "High Current Diode and SCR Reliability Considerations", IEEE Power Electronics Specialist Conf. 1975, pp 224-233.

III. Process and Fabrication Improvement

All sample rectifier devices were fabricated utilizing, as much as possible, the recommendations given in RCA proposal DP-8135, and the description given in this report.

A. Engineering Phase

Five engineering samples plus three special rectifiers were fabricated during this phase of the contract.

1. Silicon Wafer Process Improvement

Investigations were completed in three areas of silicon wafer process improvement.

a. Initial Cleaning Investigation

One half of a lot of rectifier wafers, #ER-1, was processed eliminating the initial scrubbing and ultra-sonic cleaning steps. The other half of the lot was prepared following the standard procedures. All of the scrubbed wafers had blocking voltages greater than 1000 V and average leakage currents of 40 μ a.

Only five out of nine of the unscrubbed wafers had similar characteristics. The four unacceptable wafers were soft, having leakage currents greater than 1 mA in the voltage range of 1/2 V to 140 V. Therefore, elimination of scrubbing is not advisable.

b. Dopant Deposition

Lot #ER-2 was ion implanted with a boron $N_{dose} = 6 \times 10^{15}$ at 200 Kev on one side and a phosphorus $N_{dose} = 6.5 \times 10^{15}$ at 180 Kev on the other side. One of these wafers and a standard doping process wafer were profiled by successively etching away the silicon down to the junction and checking the resistivity at each step. The profiles are shown in Figures 2, 3, 4, and 5.

Fig. 2 - Ion Implant
Boron
 $N_{Dose} = 6 \times 10^{15}$ at 200 Kev.




Fig. 3 Ion Implant

Phosphorus
 $N_{\text{Dose}} = 6.5 \times 10^{15}$ at 180 Kev.




Fig. 4 Standard Doping Boron





Fig. 5 Standard Doping
Phosphorus



There was no detectable difference in electrical performance of the ion implanted vs. the standard process rectifiers. The ion implantation eliminated five of the nine process steps and substituted the two implants. However, a hot source implanter would be required to implant economically, since the time for implanting the boron was 20 min./wafer using the implanter available.

The contractor will not be buying a hot source implanter, however, the investigation of the constructed units with ion implanted wafers was continued during the confirmatory phase of the contract.

c. Starting Silicon Material

Three lots of rectifiers were processed using wafers that were merely sawed and etched, with no lapping or polishing. Lot #ER-4 used 6.5 mil wafers with standard doping procedures and reduced diffusion time.

Lot #ER-5 used 6.5 mil wafers with ion implantation and reduced diffusion time.

Lot #ER-6 used 10.5 mil (standard thicknesses) with standard doping procedures.

2. Silicon Wafer Metallizing, Contouring and Electrical Testing

The diffused silicon wafer (one chip per wafer) was delivered to the metallizing operation following the polysilicon densification. The purpose of the following sequences was to prepare the wafer for bonding to the heat-pipes as well as to increase the high voltage blocking capabilities of the exposed edge of the chip.

The materials and processes developed for this sequence of operations on the silicon are listed in the following paragraphs. Refinements incorporated during the engineering phase of the MM&T program are also discussed. Refer to the Flow Diagram of Figure 6.

FLOW DIAGRAM FOR THE J15401 RECTIFIER WAFER
METALLIZING, CONTOURING & TESTING




Figure 6

3. Palladium Evaporation

The wafers were cleaned and a thin layer of palladium was evaporated in a vacuum environment onto both sides of the wafer. Palladium forms a low resistance ohmic contact to the silicon and a strongly adherent palladium silicide when it is diffused into the silicon during the following operation.

4. CVD Tungsten Metallizing

The next layer to be deposited onto the entire wafer was a thin layer of chemically vapor deposited tungsten. Tungsten strengthens the silicon wafer and acts as a base for the subsequent deposition of the solder alloy materials. Tungsten's thermal conductivity is excellent and its thermal expansion more nearly matches that of the silicon than any other structural material.

The tungsten is deposited by reducing gaseous tungsten hexafluoride with hydrogen gas at high temperature in a partial vacuum pressure. The deposition time is several minutes with the pressure varied cyclically to help assure a uniform deposition thickness.

5. Nickel Electroplating

The wafers were electro-nickel struck and plated on both sides to an adequate thickness for soldering. Standard nickel strike and nickel plating chemical solutions were used and a holding fixture was employed to make simultaneous contact to a multiple number of wafers. The number of silicon wafers plated with nickel can be easily increased by the paralleling of a greater number of wafers in this plating circuit.

The metallizing of the diffused silicon wafers consists of three layers (palladium, tungsten and nickel) of metals for a grading of the physical properties between the silicon and the heat-pipes. Refer to Figure 7 for a cross-section drawing of the metallized wafer.




Figure 7 Cross-Section of the Metallized and Contoured Rectifier Wafer

6. Contouring to Produce the Chip

The silicon chip was cut from the metallized wafer by using a finely divided aluminum oxide abrasive propelled by high pressure air. The abrasive was directed against the wafer which was waxed to a holding mandrel while being rotated at high velocity. The precision nozzle which directs the abrasive was positioned so that both the diameter of the chip and the positive contour angle were cut as the abrasive bore through the thickness of the wafer. See Figure 7.

7. Solder Dipping

The chip was removed from the mandrel, cleaned carefully in a solvent so as not to damage the fragile contoured edge, fluxed with a suitable flux solution and then dipped into a molten solder pot at 400°C. The composition of the solder was the ductile solder alloy selected during the R&D program for reliability under thermal fatigue conditions. This sudden thermal shock to the wafer also tests the adherence and the integrity of the metallizing.

Each chip was held and stored in a cabinet by clamping at the center in a cross-locking tweezer. This tweezer was used throughout the entire masking and etching operations. Careful handling was required because the high voltage blocking junction of the chip would be severely degraded if the fragile contoured edge should be accidentally bumped or chipped.

8. Chemical Etching of the Junction

Prior to inserting the chip into the chemical etchants, which are used to etch the exposed silicon junction, each chip was hand painted with wax. This is the only successful method, to date, for protecting the metallizing from attack by the chemicals used. When the solvents in the wax are evaporated, the chip can then be etched.

The chips were etched on the contoured edge by two, separate, brief dips into a simmering solution of hydroxide. After rinsing, the metallized surfaces of the chips were coated with wax and etched in a solution for a short time period.

9. Electrical Quality Testing of the Chip

After etching, the protective wax was removed from the chip with solvents to enable the electrical quality testing of the wafer to be performed. A chip that fails this electrical test was reprocessed through the wax masking operation and re-etched.

A demountable, insulated fixture was used to contact both sides of each chip. The electrical testing consists of a measurement of the reverse blocking capability by using a type 575 Transistor Curve Tracer. Chips which exhibit a very low value of reverse leakage current at 800 volts peak were candidates for soldering between the heat-pipes.

10. Heat-Pipe Assembly

The heat-pipe assemblies include the ceramic insulator assembly as well as the weld ring parts for the final closure of the rectifier envelope. Exhaust tubulations are included to facilitate the exhaust protubulations are included to facilitate the exhaust processing and the back-filling of the three operating chambers of the completely assembled Transcalent device. Refer to Figure 11 for a flow diagram of the various heat-pipe assembly and processing steps.

a. Heat-Pipe Design features

The proposed heat-pipe design for the J15401 Transcalent rectifier incorporates all of the design changes which RCA has developed and which have enhanced the operating characteristics and lowered the fabrication costs since the completion of the R&D Contract No. DAAK02-69-C-0609. Small diameter fins of the Wolverine tubing variety were utilized to minimize size and weight.

The discussion which follows will outline the method of fabrication while discussing the reasoning which has led to the design refinements.

Wolverine tubing is fabricated from a phosphorous deoxidized copper and is not commercially available in OFHC copper. OFHC copper can be successfully brazed in thin sections in a hydrogen atmosphere. However, if a thin section of the Wolverine tubing was brazed to the molybdenum disc, the phosphorus would lower the melting point of the gold-copper brazing alloy and holes would be dissolved in the thin wall of the tubing. This brazing problem did not occur during the R&D contract because the molybdenum disc had not yet been utilized to improve other heat-pipe characteristics.

Thus, the heat-pipe outer wall was made in two parts. One section of the heat-pipe wall was fabricated from Wolverine Tube Division, Universal Oil Products Company, Trufin tubing. This tubing has fins that are an integral part of the copper tubing wall and, therefore, have an excellent thermal efficiency (greater than 95%). The dimensions of the fins conform to those in the drawing of Figure 1.

b. Pre-Fabricated Heat-Pipes

The two heat-pipes to be used for the double-sided cooling of the rectifier chip have been further refined since the R&D contract by the addition of a thin molybdenum diaphragm or disc brazed into one end of each heat-pipe. Refer to Figure 8. The thickness of the disc is optimized for low thermal resistance as well as for the heat-sinking of high current surges without burn-out. Besides this advantage, the use of the molybdenum disc produces a prefabricated heat-pipe assembly having the following advantages over the R&D method of constructing the heat-pipe with an open end to be soldered to the silicon chip. The heat-pipes fabricated during the R&D Contract DAAK02-69-C-0609 were hermetically sealed only by a solder fillet between the silicon chip and the ends of the heat-pipes.

REVISIONS

AP. BY

0

DATE

DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES AND INCLUDE THICKNESS OF PLATING. DO NOT SCALE DRAWING. ALL INTERNAL THREADS TO BE CLASS 2A BEFORE PLATING AND NOT GREATER THAN THE MAXIMUM SIZE OF CLASS 2A AFTER PLATING. ALL INTERNAL THREADS TO BE CLASS 2B UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED. ALL THREADS TO BE UNIFIED STANDARD SCREW THREAD SERIES UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.




Fig. 8

THESE DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE THE PROPERTY OF RCA CORPORATION AND SHALL NOT BE REPRODUCED, OR COPIED, OR USED AS THE BASIS FOR THE

TOLERANCES AND WORKMANSHIP REQUIREMENTS NOT SPECIFIED ON THIS DRAWING SHALL CONFORM TO SPECIFICATION 93650.

BASIC DIMENSIONS	2 PLACE DECIMALS	3 PLACE DECIMALS
------------------	------------------	------------------

RCA

RCA CORPORATION

CATHODE SUB-ASSLY.

DRAWN BY W. T. BURKINS

DESIGNED BY S. W. KESSLER

CHECKED BY B. B. ADAMS

In the pre-fabricated design, the joint of the heat-pipe to the metallized silicon will no longer be the fragile joint of the solder fillet between the heat-pipe and the silicon. This fillet frequently developed a leak during operation of the experimental devices.

The molybdenum sealed heat-pipe was vacuum leak checked prior to assembling it to the silicon chip. This copper-to-molybdenum brazed joint is very strong, thus, the sealed end of the heat-pipe will not be likely to develop a leak which would ruin the device during operation. By transferring the leak checking to an earlier stage of assembly, the value of the parts which must be scrapped will be greatly reduced if an occasional leak occurs. Batch or continuous furnace prebrazing of the pre-fabricated heat-pipe subassemblies will also be possible.

It has been demonstrated experimentally and under Contract DAAB07-76-C-8120 that an assembly using the molybdenum disc is very reliable and the heat-pipe is almost immune to thermal fatigue. A J15372 Transcalent thyristor of this design, but with much larger fins, has successfully passed 70,000 "on-off" cycles of 10 minutes "on" and 10 minutes "off" at the full rated current (400 A RMS). The accumulated "on time" for this device was 11,667 hours of operation without degrading of the thermal or electrical characteristics.

11. Lapping the Molybdenum Disc

The end of the heat-pipe containing the molybdenum disc is lapped flat after the sintering and brazing operations. Although the disc is initially flat, the differential expansion of the materials causes the disc to become convex after the various temperature cycles necessary to completely fabricate and wick the heat-pipe subassembly. To restore the flatness of the molybdenum disc, the heat-pipe was previously hand lapped on a flat plate.

The lapping problem was resolved through the purchase of a planetary gear lapping machine. The equipment has automatic lapping compound

dispensing equipment, variable speed drive for slow start-up, and a speed adjusting control. Lapping procedures were reduced to simple load and unload operations. In addition, the lapping process does not require operator monitoring, thus, freeing the operator for other duties. Lapping quality has been improved as the process produces flatter molybdenum discs than the previous method.

Special gear shaped lapping carriers were designed to fit the machine. The design must ensure that the work traverses and touches all the boundaries of the lapping plate to prevent uneven wear of the plate. Five carriers were utilized on the equipment at one time each holding four heat-pipes. Since the lapping cycle lasted two hours, the production rate was ten lapped units per hour.

The lapping machine has been installed in a production area which is clean and presents a proper environment for good lapping procedures. The lapping machine with five carriers can be seen in Figure 9. One carrier is loaded with anode heat-pipe assemblies.

12. High Strength Wick

The next operation in the fabrication of the heat-pipes was the casting and sintering of the powder wick for the capillary return of the working fluid. It was desirable that the wick be sintered without any alloying. Metals which alloy or are sometimes added to aid sintering lower the thermal conductivity of the wick. Tapered stainless steel mandrels were used to form the inside contour of the wick while the outside dimensions of the wick were those of the inside diameter of the heat-pipe. The wick sintered itself to the inside wall of the heat-pipe and also sintered to the nickel and copper platings on the molybdenum disc. The same operation sintered the powder particles together to form a high strength, continuous, porous lining. This process formed an excellent thermal bond.

The Transcalent rectifiers fabricated during the R&D contract used a solder plated wick powder which was sintered in place after the heat-pipe was soldered to the silicon chip. A relatively low strength, porous wick resulted. In operation, the high forward current had to be conducted by this solder plated wick from the wall of the heat-pipe to the center




Fig. 9 Lapping Machine

of the silicon chip because only a small cross-section of the heat-pipe was joined to the silicon. This design forced a high current density at the points of contact between the particles of the wick and caused the wick, in time, to disintegrate. The disintegration increased the forward voltage drop across the rectifier. This high forward drop could lead to excess junction temperature or to a premature fatigue failure.

In the MM&T devices, with the heat-pipe closed with the molybdenum disc, the high current is conducted to the center of the silicon wafer by the high conductivity molybdenum disc adjacent to the silicon on both sides.

A high temperature braze was used to join the molybdenum disc into the end of the copper heat-pipe. This high temperature braze then enabled the designer to select intermediate temperatures for the following operations. For example, the wick is now sintered at a moderately high temperature that will allow RCA to use pure copper powder for the high strength wick material. Pure copper also has a greater thermal and electrical conductivity than the solder plated or alloy which previously used and sintered at lower temperatures.

Other advantages are that pure copper is less costly, results in a lower thermal impedance, and the thermal conductivity of the copper does not degrade with time. It is compatible with the working fluid (high purity water) to be used in the wick. A wick sintered at intermediate to high temperature will not only be mechanically stronger, but also it will be more capable of withstanding the frozen starts required by the MM&T specification.

The material which will be the greatest contributor to the thermal impedance of the J15401 rectifier will be this wick structure. The thermal properties of the wick are dependent upon its density, thus, wicks of greater densities will have greater thermal conductivities. Wick material was sintered into a rod shape and

used as a test specimen to measure density and thermal conductivity. The thermal conductivity was 21% of pure copper and the density was 65% of copper.

13. Other Transcalent Envelope Parts

After sintering the wick, the ceramic to metal seal assembly was brazed to the emitter heat-pipe and a flange was brazed to the collector heat-pipe. The final braze made on the heat-pipes was performed to close the outer end of each heat-pipe with a cap that was internally threaded for the 3/8 inch stud connection. The exhaust tube was brazed into the cap prior to this assembly. Each heat-pipe assembly was helium leak checked and pinched off while under vacuum on the leak detector to prevent any foreign matter from entering the heat-pipes accidentally. The pinch-offs were reopened after final assembly for the exhaust processing and back-filling operations.

a. Stud

Salt spray tests revealed that several of the materials used in plating the studs were inadequate. Zinc plating resulted in too many white corrosion products after the salt spray test, while nickel plating resulted in excessive rust. The final solution to the problem was solved by using cadmium plating followed by a yellow chromate coating. It was concluded that the latter combination was highly tolerant of the salt spray test, since the studs looked identical before and after this test.

b. Parts Machining Refinements

Several key parts used in Transcalent sub-assemblies were too costly and had quality problems associated with them. The strain isolation rings suffered from a variable wall thickness when machined via normal lathe procedures. However, automatic screw machine procedures, in which both inside and outside diameters were formed at the same time, resolved this problem. Quality and cost improvements were also noted on the Wolverine finned tubing and the end caps.

c. Low Temperature Environment Solution

Figure 10 shows the cathode heat-pipe with a convoluted strain isolation ring. This convolution provides a safety factor in the event axial stresses are imposed on the wafer at low temperatures. Experiments have revealed that differential expansion between the outside case and the center column of the device could place the wafer in tension at low temperatures of -25°C or below. The convolution provides a weak member which will flex under tension and protect the wafer. Since there were no failures in the low temperature tests required on the program, (see Environmental Testing) the concept was proven adequate for -25°C environments.

14. Rectifier Assembly and Processing

The pre-fabricated heat-pipes, a pretested silicon chip, and a weld ring are now ready to be assembled into a rectifier. A flow diagram of the assembly and processing are shown in Figure 11.

a. Soldering Chip to Heat-Pipe

The previous method of soldering the chip to the heat-pipe required a great deal of operator skill. To de-skill this assembly operation, a two-part demountable fixture was fabricated for fixturing the rectifier subassemblies.

The fixture was split so that it could easily be removed from the soldered assembly. Three concentric surfaces were included for positioning the three sub-assemblies. The two smallest concentric surfaces fixtured the two heat-pipes. The center cylindrical surface was made slightly larger than the largest chip. With these dimensions, the small space between the fixture and the edge of the chip without was used to gauge the alignment of the chip without the edge of the fixture coming in contact with the high voltage, contoured edge of the chip.




Figure 10 Pre-Fabricated Cathode (Emitter) Heat-Pipe

FLOW DIAGRAM FOR THE ASSEMBLY AND PROCESSING OF J15401




Figure 11

A weight was added to the stacked sub-assemblies to squeeze out any excess solder in the joints. This weight prevented an excess of solder and a lack of flatness between the wafer and the discs of the heat-pipes which could increase the thermal impedance of the rectifier and lead to a weaker joint.

The devices were soldered at a partial pressure of hydrogen. The soldering was done in a furnace that RCA purchased and installed for Transcalent Devices. The furnace is capable of soldering multiple devices simultaneously. The occurrence of solder voids between chip and heat-pipes has been significantly reduced by using this procedure.

b. Heliarc Welding

The weld ring is Heliarc welded to the flanges that are attached to each heat-pipe to complete the closure of the envelope. The weld at the cathode heat-pipe has been turned 90° from the older R&D design. These are welded on a laboratory weld set-up. Each unit is carefully aligned and requires considerable skill on the part of the operator. This procedure is adequate for the confirmatory and pilot run portions of the program, however, a better procedure was investigated. If the volume of business requires it, a simple semi-automatic piece of equipment is available to de-skill this operation. Tests will be performed to simulate the set-up of the equipment being proposed. These tests will be performed during the confirmatory phase of the program and if they are successful, the equipment will be considered in future budget requests.

c. Exhaust and Back-Fill Chambers

The manifold of this RCA-owned exhaust system can exhaust six devices simultaneously.

There are three chambers to be baked out, evacuated and back-filled. The center chamber was baked and exhausted to a high vacuum and back-filled with Nitrogen.

The heat-pipes are subsequently exhausted and backfilled using a three-way valve on another vacuum system. A measured amount of high purity, distilled water is used. The amount will be that which just fills the wick structure without any excess liquid to slosh inside the heat-pipes.

The latter method of back-filling the heat-pipes with water was developed after the R&D contract was completed. The technique employs a three-way valve in which the heat-pipe exhaust tubes may be opened alternately between the vacuum system of a leak detector and pipettes filled with distilled water. The valve is used to exhaust and leak check each heat-pipe before it is back-filled with a carefully measured amount of water.

d. Finishing Operations

The completed rectifier devices were electroplated with nickel and conformal coated to protect some of the surfaces from corrosion and to improve the reduced barometric pressure operations. A label including the manufacturer's identification, device number and serial number was attached prior to the conformal coating.

The plating used on the studs mounted on each end of the device was developed to comply with salt spray requirements.

e. Detail

Additional rectifier assembly and processing details are found in the Preliminary Pilot Run Report (Sequence No. A006) and General Report on Step II (Sequence No. A007), DD1423, contract number DAAK70-78-C-0120 dated June 1980.

15. Physical Inspection

All of the critical dimensions of the five engineering samples were measured via micrometers. The resulting measurements were then compared to the limits shown on the outline drawing, Figure 1 of the MERADCOM, Semiconductor Device, Silicon Transcatalent Rectifier, dated 6 June 1978. The labor




Fig. 12 J15401 "Go-No-Go" Gauge

intensity of this operation was reduced through the use of a "go-no-go" gauge shown in Figure 12. It was used in the confirmatory sample portion of the program.

The gauge was made to dimensions indicated in Table 2 - Physical Dimensions and was designed to check the devices within the limits of dimensions B, C, F and D, see Figure 1. No measuring tools other than the gauge were required to determine if a device was within mechanical dimensional specifications. The procedure required little experience and little time to complete. The labor intensity of the operation has been removed and the gauge is practical for production inspection. The gauge will be maintained with proper gauge care and measured periodically in the Gauge Lab as called out via computer flagging procedures.

B. Confirmatory Phase

Ten confirmatory sample rectifiers were fabricated during this phase of the contract. Four product design variations were tested in the confirmatory sample phase. However, the differences were slight as the outline or interface surfaces of the device remained the same and the performance characteristics were identical. Each variation was included as a possible production improvement consisting of the following items.

1. Ion Implantation

Two units were successfully tested which were ion implanted with a boron $N_{dose} = 6 \times 10^{15}$ at 200 KeV on one side and a phosphorus $N_{dose} = 6.5 \times 10^{15}$ at 180 KeV on the other side. There was no detectable difference in electrical performance of the ion implanted vs. the standard process rectifiers. Ion implantation eliminated five of the nine process steps and substituted the two implants.

The ion implantation was investigated as a future production method. Unfortunately, the time for implanting with our equipment was 20 minutes per wafer for the boron side alone.

One side of a wafer could be boron doped in 3.5 minutes with our standard procedures, and this time could easily be cut in half by doubling the wafer boat size. A hot source implanter could implant economically, but the contractor does not intend to buy one at the present time. Consequently, if a pilot run had taken place, standard doped wafers would have been used.

2. Webless Wicked

RCA made experimental wicks by pressing the pre-sintered copper powder in the evaporator. Tooling was fabricated to press the wick. This design eliminates the webs presently utilized in the evaporator area. A wick of greater density was measured and compared with the thermal impedance of devices built by the present method. This concept is shown in Figure 13. The anode portion of the cross section shows a webless wick. Conversely the cathode portion (ceramic side) displays a webbed wicked assembly. Eliminating the wick is a definite process improvement which helps to make the device more manufacturable. Consequently, this approach should be used in any future production.


3. Tungsten Button-Convolute

The convoluted design was incorporated into the cathode heat-pipe to reduce low temperature stresses. Figure 14 displays the convoluted concept. Test results indicate that the rectifier does not need the convolution at low temperatures which simplifies the construction of the part in question. Confidence was gained when two non-convoluted designs were tested down to -55°C which is much more severe than the -25°C test stipulated by the contract.

4. Tungsten Button - Nonconvolute

This approach which can be seen in Figure 13 has been adopted as the pilot run design for reasons discussed under 3.

Fig. 13 Cross Section Showing Webless Wick



SCALE _____
DIMENSIONS IN

CAUTION: Use only the lubricants specified in E.S. 33-33-805.
UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN, DIMENSIONS SHOWN WITHOUT TOLERANCES ARE DESIGN CENTERS

963-75-110 DLY/AU -32-

• CHANGE
• ADDITION
• DELETION

These drawings and specifications are the property of RCA Corporation, Electronic Components and shall not be reproduced or copied or used as the basis for the manufacture or sale of apparatus and or devices without permission

TL 309 10/74




Figure 14 Pre-Fabricated Cathode (Emitter) Heat-Pipe
Showing Convoluted Stress Relief

5. Conclusion

The design of any devices manufactured by future contractors should include the outline of Figure 1, standard doped wafers, webless wicks, and non-convoluted cathode strain sleeves. This combination of features has been proven acceptable via the confirmatory tests and they will remain in force as part of the identity of the J15401 Transcalent Silicon rectifier.

IV. Electrical, Mechanical, Thermal and Environmental Inspection

A. Engineering Phase

1. Group A Inspection

a. Subgroup 1

All of the Transcalent rectifiers were visually and mechanically inspected in conformance to method 2071 and Figure 1 of the specification. The dimensions of the Transcalent rectifiers were measured and recorded to verify that they conform to those of Figure 1, of the specification, using the specified method 2066.

To establish realistic tolerances for the dimensions, the actual measurements of the five engineering J15401 rectifiers are listed in Table 1 and analyzed statistically in Table 2. This analysis indicated that while all samples passed the dimensions with the tolerances listed in Figure 2 of DP-8135 (proposal for Manufacturing Methods and Technology for Silicon Transcalent Rectifier), a statistical analysis of the measured data plus fifty SCRs from a previous contract indicated some changes are necessary for the baseline dimension.¹ The proposed specifications for dimensions are listed in Table 2.

b. Subgroup 2 - Test Temperature $T_A = 25 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$

All engineering samples were tested for reverse current, i_r , and reverse voltage, v_r , under the conditions specified for method 4016.2. Figure 15 is a graph of

¹Silicon Transcalent Thyristor, Contract No. DAAB07-76-C-8120.

TABLE 1







Meas.	F132	F133	F134	F135	F136
A	4.868	4.765	4.895	4.764	4.755
B	3.462	3.430	3.450	3.456	3.45
C	0.642	0.645	0.650	0.639	0.656
D	1.823	1.825	1.827	1.810	1.815
F	2.119	2.195	2.100	2.100	2.100

Physical Dimensions Table

TABLE 2

		<u>Sample 5</u> (Units measured in inches.)				
		A	B	C	D	F
Spec Limits: (Using DP Outline)	Max.	5.00	3.475	0.700	1.857	--
	Nom.	--	3.450	0.650	--	2.250
	Min.	--	3.425	0.600	--	--
Recorded:	Max.	4.895	3.462	0.656	1.827	2.195
	Min.	4.764	3.43	0.639	1.810	2.100
	$\bar{x}$	4.8134	3.4496	0.6464	1.820	2.1228
	σ	0.05638	0.0176	0.0060	0.006	0.0368
	3σ	0.16914	0.0528	0.0180	0.018	0.1104
	$\bar{x} + 3\sigma$	4.983	3.5024	0.6644	1.838	2.2332
	$\bar{x} - 3\sigma$	4.644	3.3968	0.6284	1.802	2.0124
Proposed Spec. Limits	Max.	5.00	3.50	0.720	1.91	--
	Nom.	--	--	--	--	2.250
	Min.	--	3.4	0.580	--	--

J15401 Physical Dimensions
Statistical Analysis



the reverse current measured under the conditions in the specification. Table 3 lists the detail data.

Prior to submitting the devices to electrical test they all were tested out to 1000 volts of reverse voltage to insure that a sufficient safety margin existed.

c. Subgroup 3 - Thermal Resistance

The thermal resistance of the Transcalent rectifiers was measured using the specified method described in paragraph 4.6.1 of the specification. Each rectifier was calibrated for a temperature dependent parameter by recording the forward voltage drop at 4 amperes at several temperatures. The thermal resistance ($R_{\theta JC}$) was tested at 250 amperes of heating current, interrupted by a short period of time (less than 1 msec.) when the current was reduced to the metering value of 4 amperes. The forward voltage drop across the device was measured and used to determine the junction temperature from the calibration data. Simultaneously, the external temperature of the heat-pipes was measured and recorded. The difference in temperatures divided by the input heating power is the thermal impedance (transient) or resistance (steady state) of the device. The values of thermal resistance calculated from the data measured on the five engineering samples are shown in Table 3. Figure 18 is a graph of these data. Thermal resistance calculated on the same devices after the environmental tests are listed in Table 4 with the initial values for comparison.

d. Subgroup 4 - Test Temperature of Case:
125 $\pm$ 6°C Reverse Current, i_r , and Reverse Voltage, V_r

The devices were tested under the specified conditions by method 4016.2. The peak current max. is 60mA. The detail data measured is listed in Table 3. Figure 16 is a graph of the distribution of the i_r measured at a reverse voltage of 800 V. These data indicate that all the devices were well within the maximum limits specified.

TABLE 3

Date 10/25/78Engineering SamplesTester P. BransbyElectrical Data

Method Symbol	Visual	Dimen- sions	+25°C Rev. Cur. and Rev. Voltage	Thermal Resistance for Rect. Diode	+125°C Rev. Current and Rev. Voltage	Forward Voltage	Post Surge Current Test	Reverse Recovery Time	Post Barometric Pressure
Units	2071	2066	4016.2 i_F mA	Para. 4.6.1 $R_{\theta JC}$ °C/W	4016.2 i_F mA	4011.3 V_F Volts	4066.2 i_F mA	4031 t_{rr} usec.	1001.1 i_F mA
Ser. No.									
F132	✓	✓	0.51	0.07	5.77	0.9	0.46	2.8	0.61
F133	✓	✓	0.51	0.06	3.09	0.9	6.51	2.7	0.61
F134	✓	✓	0.51	0.12	6.39	1.0	0.61	2.7	0.67
F135	✓	✓	0.51	0.19	4.12	1.0	0.43	2.8	0.56
F136	✓	✓	0.61	0.16	4.32	1.0	0.46	2.9	0.67
Spec.			15 Max.	0.2 Max.	60 Max.	2.0 Max.	15 Max.	15.0 Max.	15 Max.

2. Group B Inspection

- a. Subgroup 1 - Forward Voltage, V_f : Test
at Room Ambient Temperature
of $25 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$

The peak forward voltage drop was measured across all of the devices using method 4011.3. The devices were conducting an average current of 250 amperes when the measurements were made. Since the current conducted by the device is nearly 180° of conduction angle, the peak current is approximately 800 amperes and the RMS current is about 400 amperes.

During the tests, the Transcendent rectifiers were allowed to reach thermal equilibrium and the heat-pipe was confirmed to be isothermal. Room ambient air was blown across the fins to limit the temperature of the heat-pipes to 100°C .

The individual data are listed in TABLE 3 and the distribution is shown in Figure 17. All devices passed.

- b. Subgroup 2 - Surge Current, i_s Test Temperature, $T_A = 25 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$

All engineering samples were tested under the conditions listed in the specification using method 4066.2. The surge current test was performed in the RCA-owned test circuit that was developed for the J15371 Transcendent thyristor under Contract No. DAAB07-76-C-8120 and modified to test the rectifiers. The pulses of surge current were repeated at a rate of one pulse per minute for ten total surges. The 800 volts of reverse voltage, V_r , was reapplied following each surge. After the surge test, the reverse current was remeasured to confirm that the 4000 amperes peak surge currents did not damage the devices.

The values of reverse current measured after this surge test are listed in Table 3 and the distribution is plotted in Figure 19. Comparing these data with those measured initially (reverse current - 25°C) indicated the engineering samples were not affected by the surge test.

- c. Subgroup 3 - Reverse Recovery Time, T_{rr}
Test Temperature $T_A = 25 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$

All devices were tested for reverse recovery time per the procedures of method 4031 of MIL-Std-750B. A modified circuit as outlined in the JEDEC Publication No. RS282 was used. This circuit utilizes the circuit parameters specified, however, the I_{FM} is standardized at 125 instead of 50 peak amperes.

The data measured on the engineering samples are listed in Table 3 and the distribution shown in Figure 20. Again, the devices passed with margin.

3. Group C Inspection

- a. Subgroup 1 - Barometric Pressure Reduced

All of the engineering devices were successfully tested under the conditions listed using the specified method 1001.1. A device which arcs over or exhibits harmful coronas that deteriorate the device is considered a failure. After exposure to the low pressure test the devices were tested for reverse current per Subgroup 2 of Table 1. The detail data is listed in Table 3 and the distribution plotted in Figure 21.

- b. Subgroup 2 - Blocking Voltage Life Test
Temperature: $T_C = 125 \pm 6^\circ\text{C}$

All of the engineering devices were rested for 200 hours, each under the conditions specified, using the method of para. 4.6.2. After exposure to the blocking voltage life test, the reverse current was measured and recorded. The detail data measured is listed in Table 4 and the distribution of the data plotted in Figure 22. All devices passed with margin.

- c. Subgroup 3 - Thermal Shock and Salt Atmosphere Test

All the engineering devices were tested for Thermal Shock using test method 1051.1 and the conditions stated in the specification. After five cycles, the rectifiers were removed from the environmental chamber and subjected to the moisture resistance test, method 1021.1.

Reverse current measurements per Subgroup 2 of Table 1 of the specifications were taken as a check at this point to determine if the device had survived the Thermal Shock and Moisture Resistance tests. All units passed. Detail data is listed in Table 4 and the distribution plotted in Figure 23.

All of the engineering models were subjected to the Salt Atmosphere test method 1041.1 for 24 hours. After the test, the salt was washed off of the devices which were then examined. The markings were legible and there was no evidence of flaking, pitting of the finish, or corrosion that would interfere with the application of the devices.

Examination of the samples indicated that while the devices passed the Moisture Resistance and Salt Atmosphere tests the mounting studs may have a potential cosmetic problem. This was resolved by evaluating an alternate plating for the studs. Tests on studs plated with cadmium with yellow chromate coating survived the Salt Atmosphere test with no cosmetic problem. (See Section 13-a for details.). The decision was made to use the new plating and now all the studs on the engineering models have been replaced with the new studs.

Reverse current tests per Subgroup 2 of Table 1 of the specification were performed, the detail data is listed in Table 4, and the distribution is plotted in Figure 24. All devices passed with margin.

d. Subgroup 4

All of the engineering samples were tested for reliability under the specified Thermal Fatigue Test conditions and Spec. paragraph 4.6.3. The "on" and "off" times were two minutes each. The air flow across the devices was adjusted so that when the devices were conducting, the case or heat-pipe temperature was $90 \pm 10^{\circ}\text{C}$ max. and $30 \pm 10^{\circ}\text{C}$ min. The devices were subjected to a minimum of 200 "on-off" cycles. Since two devices were tested simultaneously in an inverse

parallel connection and the number of devices was odd (5), one device, Ser. No. F133, was subjected to a total of 400 cycles.

Five measurements per Subgroup 2 of Table 1 were made with detail data listed in Table 5 and the distribution plotted in Figure 26.

e. Subgroup 5

All of the engineering samples were shock tested in RCA's Environmental Laboratory, Lancaster, PA, using the specified conditions and test method 2016.2.

Following the shock tests, all devices were subjected to a vibration test of variable frequency described in the Specification and Test Method 2056.

After the shock and vibration tests, the reverse current measurement at 800 volts, and the thermal resistance measurements of Subgroups 2 and 3 of Table 1 were repeated successfully to verify the integrity of the devices. Detail data are listed in Table 5 and the distribution is plotted in Figure 25.

4. General Data

An additional Thermal Resistance Test was performed at the end of the test program to determine if this important parameter had degraded significantly as a result of the test program, however, it had not. See Table 4 for detail data and Figure 27 for the distribution. Table 5 lists the Post Environmental Data.

Table 6 lists the initial and final measurements of reverse currents ($T_c = 25 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$) recorded during the environmental test program. No significant changes are present and Table 1 lists the maximum limits of the Physical Dimensions.

Figure 28 is a graph of the reverse current vs. case temperature of the rectifier. While









TABLE 4

$$T_a = 25 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$$

Ser. No.	F132	F133	F134	F135	F136	Max. Specification $^\circ\text{C/W}$
Initial	0.07	0.06	0.12	0.19	0.16	0.2
Final	0.17	0.06	0.10	0.12	0.13	0.2

Initial and Final Thermal Resistance
 $^\circ\text{C/W}$

TABLE 5

Date 12/20/78

Post Environmental Data

Tester P.B.

Method	Post Blocking Voltage L.T.		Post Thermal Shock & Moisture Test		Post Salt Spray Test		Post Shock & Vibration Test		Post Thermal Fatigue L.T.		Post Envir. Thermal Resistance Test	
	Par. 4.6.2		1051.1.1, 1021.1		1041.1		2016.2, 2056		Par. 4.6.3		Par. 4.6.1	
Symbol Units	i_r mA		i_r mA		i_r mA		i_r mA		i_r mA		$R_{\theta JC}$ °C/W	
Serial No.												
F132	0.53		0.41		0.56		0.67		0.67		0.17	
F133	0.64		0.72		0.61		0.72		0.722		0.06	
F134	0.69		0.61		0.61		0.72		0.825		0.10	
F135	0.58		0.67		0.51		0.72		0.61		0.12	
F136	0.68		0.51		0.51		0.72		0.61		0.13	
Spec.	15 (max.)		15 (max.)		15 (max.)		15 (max.)		15 (max.)		0.2 (max.)	

TABLE 6

Initial and Final Measurements
of Reverse Currents $T_C = 25 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$

Ser. No.	<u>F132</u>	<u>F133</u>	<u>F134</u>	<u>F135</u>	<u>F136</u>	Max. Speci- fication i_r (mA)
Initial	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.51	15
Post Surge Current Test	0.46	0.51	0.61	0.43	0.46	15
Post Barometric Pres- sure Test	0.61	0.61	0.67	0.56	0.67	15
Post Blocking Voltage Test	0.53	0.64	0.69	0.58	0.68	15
Post Thermal Shock & Moist. Resist. Tests	0.41	0.72	0.61	0.67	0.51	15
Post Salt Atmos. Test	0.56	0.61	0.61	0.51	0.51	15
Post Shock & Vibration Tests	0.67	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	15
Post Thermal Fatigue Test (Final Test)	0.67	0.722	0.825	0.61	0.61	15

Final Thermal Impedance




Fig. 27




Fig. 28

reverse current increases with temperature as expected, it is sufficiently lower than the specification limit.

5. Ion Implanted Samples

Three ion implanted devices were tested. Initial tests per the specification were performed and the detail data are listed in Table 7. One of the rectifiers, Ser. No. I-1, had significant differences in its Reverse Recovery Time and Reverse Current ($T_C = 125^\circ\text{C}$) from the other two devices.

<u>Ser. No.</u>	<u>Reverse Current (+125°C) mA</u>	<u>Reverse Recovery Time μSec</u>
I-1	5.56	6.0
I-2	1.44	2.4
I-3	1.44	2.0

The cause for this difference was not determined. During the Surge Current, S/N I-1 developed a short. I-2 and I-3 appeared to have faster recovery time than the engineer-models, however, two pieces of data are not statistically significant.

B. Confirmatory Phase

Ten silicon rectifiers were tested during the Confirmatory Sample phase of this program. The procedure used was "RCA Acceptance Test Procedure (ATP) Silicon Transcendent Rectifier, J15401 dated 30 May 1979. (See appendix) The total sample consisted of four variations. The serial numbers and corresponding construction variations were as follows:

<u>Ser. No.</u>	<u>Variation</u>
II-2 II-3	Ion Implanted
J149 J150 J151	Webless Wicked
J157 J160 J162	Tungsten Button: Convolutated
J156 J163	Tungsten Button: Nonconvolutated

TABLE 7

Ion Implanted Samples

Date 12/20/78

Tester P.B.

Electrical Data

Method Symbol	Visual	Dimen- sions	+25°C Rev. Cur. and Rev. Voltage	Thermal Resistance for Rect. Diode	+125°C Rev. Current and Rev. Voltage	Forward Voltage V_f	Post Surge Current Test	Reverse Recovery Time t_{rr}
2071	2066		4016.2 i_r mA	Para. 4.6.1 $R_{\theta JC}$ °C/W	4016.2 i_r mA	4011.3 Volts	4066.2 i_r mA	4031 u sec.
1	✓	✓	0.92	0.16	5.56	1.0	*	6.0
2	✓	✓	0.61	0.10	0.14	1.0	0.61	2.4
3	✓	✓	0.92	0.20	1.44	1.0	0.67	2.0
*Shorted during surge test.								
Spec.			15 Max.	0.2 Max.	60 Max.	2.0 Max.	15 Max.	15.0 Max.

Due to the variations in construction, the number of units subjected to each environmental test was equal to or greater than the sample size listed in the confirmatory test plan previously issued. Table 8 lists the plan's sample size and the actual number of devices tested. In addition, it lists the permitted percentage of failures.

1. Group A Inspection

a. Subgroup 1

All of the Transcalent rectifiers were visually and mechanically inspected in conformance to method 2071 and Figure 1 of the specification as modified by the Interim Engineering Report dated January 1979 using the specified method 2066. The actual measurements of the ten confirmatory J15401C rectifiers are listed in Table 9. Table 10 shows the results of a statistical analysis of these data which indicate that all of the devices met the specified dimensions with margin.

In addition to taking actual measurements all the devices were checked using the "go-no-go" gauge shown in Figure 12.

b. Subgroup 2 - Test Temperature $T_A = 25 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$

All confirmatory samples were tested for reverse current, i_r , and reverse voltage, V_r , under the conditions specified for method 4016.2. Figure 29 is a histogram of the reverse current measured under the conditions in the specification. Table 11 lists the detail data.

From the statistical data we can expect all of the measurements to be less than 1.2 mA or 8% of the specified 15 mA maximum.

Prior to submitting the devices to electrical test they all were tested out to 1000 volts of reverse voltage to insure that a sufficient safety margin existed.

c. Subgroup 3 - Thermal Resistance

The thermal resistance of the Transcalent rectifiers was measured using the specified method described in paragraph 4.6.1 of the

TABLE 8

Sample Size

<u>Subgroup No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>% of Units To Be Tested</u>	<u>Actual % of Units Tested</u>	<u>% Allowed To Fail</u>	<u>Actual % Failed</u>
1	Barometric Pressure Reduced	50	100	0	0
2	Blocking Voltage L.T.	30	100	0	0
3	Thermal Shock	20	100	0	0
	Moisture Resistance	20	20	0	0
	Salt Atmosphere	20	20	0	0
4	Thermal Fatigue	100	100	10	0
5	Shock	20	50	0	0
	Vibration, Variable Freq.	20	50	0	0

Table 9

Physical Dimensions of Confirmatory Samples

Device #	A	B	C	D	F
II2	4.761	3.456	0.640	1.808	2.100
II3	4.761	3.464	0.650	1.834	2.100
J149	4.737	3.447	0.635	1.800	2.104
J150	4.751	3.463	0.647	1.806	2.101
J151	4.744	3.455	0.641	1.805	2.100
J156	4.748	3.476	0.624	1.800	2.100
J157	4.723	3.465	0.632	1.790	2.102
J160	4.749	3.472	0.631	1.792	2.106
J162	4.748	3.472	0.628	1.804	2.102
J163	4.718	3.463	0.635	1.803	2.100

Table 10

Statistical Analysis of the Dimensional Data
of the Confirmatory Samples

<u>Character</u>	<u>Avg.</u>	<u>Sigma</u>	<u>Max.</u>	<u>Min.</u>	<u>Chi-Sq.</u>	<u>N</u>
Dim. A	4.744	0.014	4.761	4.718	8.38	10
Dim. B	3.463	0.009	3.476	3.447	2.95	10
Dim. C	0.636	0.008	0.650	0.624	3.82	10
Dim. D	1.804	0.012	1.834	1.790	12.40	10
Dim. F	2.102	0.002	2.106	2.100	15.21	10

TABLE 11

Date 9/5/79

Confirmatory Samples

Tester P. Bransby

Electrical Data

Method Symbol	Visual	Dimensions	+25°C Rev. Cur. and Rev. Voltage	Thermal Resistance for Rect. Diode	+125°C Rev. Current and Rev. Voltage	Forward Voltage	Post Surge Current Test	Inverse Recovery Time	Post Barometric Pressure
Units									
Ser. No.	2071	2066	4016.2 i_r mA	Para. 4.6.1 $R_{\theta JC}$ °C/W	4016.2 i_r mA	4011.3 V_f Volts	4066.2 i_r mA	4031 t_{rr} µsec.	1001.1 i_r mA
J149	✓	✓	0.81	0.10	1.75	1.0	0.72	2.8	0.72
J150	✓	✓	0.61	0.11	1.80	1.0	0.51	2.6	0.72
J151	✓	✓	0.77	0.11	4.12	1.0	0.61	2.8	0.72
J156	✓	✓	0.92	0.07	6.18	0.92	0.72	2.4	0.68
J157	✓	✓	0.92	0.09	5.36	0.98	0.82	2.9	0.72
J160	✓	✓	0.92	0.08	2.06	0.98	0.82	3.0	0.72
J162	✓	✓	0.82	0.08	2.57	1.0	0.82	3.2	0.72
J163	✓	✓	0.92	0.20	1.85	1.0	0.82	2.9	0.69
II-2	✓	✓	0.61	0.10	1.44	1.0	0.61	2.4	0.33
II-3	✓	✓	0.92	0.20	1.44	1.0	0.67	2.0	0.29
Spec.			15 Max.	0.2 Max.	60 Max.	2.0 Max.	15 Max.	15.0 Max.	15 Max.

specification. Each rectifier was calibrated for a temperature dependent parameter by recording the forward voltage drop at 4 amperes at several temperatures. The thermal resistance ($R_{\theta JC}$) was tested at 250 amperes of heating current, interrupted by a short period of time (less than 1 msec.) when the current was reduced to the metering value of 4 amperes. The forward voltage drop across the device was measured and used to determine the junction temperature from the calibration data. Simultaneously, the external temperature of the heat-pipes was measured and recorded. The difference in temperatures divided by the input heating power is the thermal impedance (transient) or resistance (steady state) of the device. The values of thermal resistance calculated from the data measured on the ten confirmatory samples are shown in Table 11. Figure 30 is a histogram of these data. Thermal resistance calculated on the same devices after the environmental tests are listed in Table 12 with the initial values for comparison.

Two of the devices, I13 (ion implanted) and J163 (nonconvoluted), had initial Thermal Impedance measurements at the upper limit of the specification, 0.2°C/W . Since similarly constructed devices in the confirmatory sample, II-2 and J156, had initial normal measurements of 0.1°C/W and 0.07°C/W respectively, it is not believed that the high measurements are construction orientated, but rather a normal variation.

- d. Subgroup 4 - Test Temperature of Case:
 $125 \pm 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ Reverse Current, i_r ,
 and Reverse Voltage, V_r

The devices were tested under the specified conditions by method 4016.2. The specification limit for maximum peak current is 60 mA.

No. of Devices

HISTOGRAM DATA:11

LOWER CELL LIMIT,CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS :.5 .02 25

VERT SCALE:1

CHAR:IR+25 C

CHARACTERISTIC-IR+25 C

AVERAGE- 0.822

SIGMA--- 0.1250599856

MAXIMUM- 0.92

MINIMUM- 0.61

SAMPLE-- 10

Limit
15 mA Max.




Fig. 29 Reverse Current at +25°C, (mA)

No. of Devices

Histogram Data (3)

LOWER CELL LIMIT,CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS :.03 .02 25

VERT SCALE:1

CHAR:RθJC

CHARACTERISTIC-RθJC

AVERAGE- 0.114

SIGMA--- 0.04718756898

MAXIMUM- 0.2

MINIMUM- 0.07

SAMPLE-- 10




Fig. 30 Thermal Resistance, RθJC (°C/W)

Table 12

Initial and Final Thermal Resistance

 $^{\circ}\text{C/W}$ $T_A = 25 \pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$

Ser. No.	$T_A = 25 \pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$										Max. Spec. $^{\circ}\text{C/W}$
	J149	J150	J151	J156	J157	J160	J162	J163	II2	II3	
Initial	0.1	0.11	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2
Final	0.13	0.10	0.16	0.14	0.10	0.1	0.09	0.15	0.2	0.19	0.2

The detail data measured is listed in Table 11. Figure 31 is a histogram of the distribution of the i_r measured at a reverse voltage of 800 V. These data indicate that all the devices were well within the maximum limits specified.

2. Group B Inspection

- a. Subgroup 1 - Forward Voltage, V_f : Test at Room Ambient Temperature of $25 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$

The peak forward voltage drop was measured across all of the devices using method 4011.3. The devices were conducting an average current of 250 amperes when the measurements were made. Since the current conducted by the device is nearly 180° of conduction angle, the peak current is approximately 800 amperes and the RMS current is about 400 amperes.

During the tests, the Transcalent rectifiers were allowed to reach thermal equilibrium and the heat-pipe was confirmed to be isothermal. Room ambient air was blown across the fins to limit the temperature of the heat-pipes to 100°C .

The individual data are listed in Table 11 and the distribution is shown in Figure 32. All devices passed.

- b. Subgroup 2 - Surge Current, i_f
Test Temperature, $T_A = 25 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$

All confirmatory samples were tested under the conditions listed in the specification using method 4066.2. The surge current test was performed in the RCA owned test circuit that was developed for the J15371 Transcalent thyristor under Contract No. DAAB07-76-C-8120 and modified to test the rectifiers. The pulses of surge current were repeated at a rate of one pulse per minute for ten total surges. The 800 volts of reverse voltage, V_r , was reapplied following each surge. After the surge test, the reverse current was remeasured to confirm that the 4000 amperes peak surge currents did not damage the devices.

Histogram Data (2)

LOWER CELL LIMIT, CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS : 1.0 .22 25

VERT SCALE: 1

CHAR: IR+125

CHARACTERISTIC-IR+125

AVERAGE- 2.857

SIGMA--- 1.731813757

MAXIMUM- 6.18

MINIMUM- 1.44

SAMPLE-- 10

Limit
60 mA Max.

No. of Devices

```

2+  0 0
1+  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
+++++
1  1 1 1 2 2 3 3 4 4 4 5 5 6
   . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
   4 8 3 7 2 6 0 5 9 4 8 2
   4 8 2 6 4 8 2 6 4 8
  
```

Fig. 31 Reverse Current at 125°C Case Temp., (mA)

Histogram Data (4)

LOWER CELL LIMIT, CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS : .8 .01 25

VERT SCALE: 1

CHAR: VFM

CHARACTERISTIC-VFM

AVERAGE- 0.988

SIGMA--- 0.02529822128

MAXIMUM- 1

MINIMUM- 0.92

SAMPLE-- 10

Limit
2 V Max.

No. of Devices

```

7+  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
6+  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
5+  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
4+  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
3+  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
2+  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1+  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
+++++
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
   . . . . . . . . . . . . . .
   8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
   2 4 6 8 2 4 6 8 2 4
  
```

Fig. 32 Forward Voltage Drop, V_F (volts)

The values of reverse current measured after this surge test are listed in Table 11 and the distribution is plotted in Figure 33. Comparing these data with those measured initially (reverse current - 25°C) indicated the confirmatory samples were not affected by the surge test.

- c. Subgroup 3 - Reverse Recovery Time, T_{rr}
Test Temperature $T_A = 25^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$

All devices were tested for reverse recovery time per the procedures of method 4031 of MIL-Std-750B. A modified circuit as outlined in the JEDEC Publication No. RS282 was used. This circuit utilizes the circuit parameters specified, however, the I_{FM} is standardized at 125 instead of 50 peak amperes.

The data measured on the engineering samples are listed in Table 11 and the distribution shown in Figure 34. Again, the devices passed with margin.

3. Group C Inspection

- a. Subgroup 1 - Barometric Pressure Reduced

All of the confirmatory devices were successfully tested under the conditions listed using the specified method 1001.1. A device which arcs over or exhibits harmful coronas that deteriorate the device is considered a failure. After exposure to the low pressure test the devices were tested for reverse current per Subgroup 2 of Table 1. The detail data is listed in Table 11 and the distribution plotted in Figure 35.

- b. Subgroup 2 - Blocking Voltage Life Test
Temperature: $T_C = 125 \pm 6^{\circ}\text{C}$

All of the confirmatory devices were tested for 200 hours, each under the conditions specified, using the method of para. 4.6.2. After exposure to the blocking voltage life test, the reverse current was measured and recorded. The detail data measured is listed in Table 13 and the distribution of the data plotted in Figure 36. All devices passed with margin.

Histogram Data (6)

LOWER CELL LIMIT, CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS : .45 .05 25
VERT SCALE: 1

CHAR: POST SURGE IR

CHARACTERISTIC-POST SURGE IR

AVERAGE- 0.712

SIGMA- 0.1106845835

MAXIMUM- 0.82

MINIMUM- 0.51

SAMPLE- 10

Limit
15 mA Max.

No. of Devices

```

4+      □
3+      □
2+    □ □ □
1+  □ □ □ □
+++++
0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
. . . . .
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
  
```

Fig. 33 Post Surge Reverse Current, I_r , (mA)

HISTOGRAM DATA[;5]

LOWER CELL LIMIT, CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS : 1.2 .06 25
VERT SCALE: 1

CHAR: TRR

CHARACTERISTIC-TRR

AVERAGE- 2.7

SIGMA- 0.3527668415

MAXIMUM- 3.2

MINIMUM- 2

SAMPLE- 10

Limit
15 μ sec. Max.

No. of Devices

```

2+      □      □ □
1+  □      □ □ □ □ □
+++++
1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3
. . . . .
9 0 1 2 3 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3
2 4 6 8 2 4 6 8 2 4
  
```

Fig. 34 Reverse Recovery Time, T_{rr} (μ sec)

No. of Devices

HISTOGRAM DATA[;7]

LOWER CELL LIMIT, CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS : .20 .05 25

VERT SCALE: 1

CHAR: POST BARO IR

CHARACTERISTIC-POST BARO IR

AVERAGE- 0.631

SIGMA--- 0.1700620802

MAXIMUM- 0.72

MINIMUM- 0.29

SAMPLE-- 10

Limit
15 mA Max.




Fig. 35 Post Barometric Pressure Reverse Current, I_r (mA)

No. of Devices

HISTOGRAM DATA[;8]

LOWER CELL LIMIT, CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS : .04 .05 25

VERT SCALE: 1

CHAR: POST BVLT IR

CHARACTERISTIC-POST BVLT IR

AVERAGE- 0.654

SIGMA--- 0.1024369725

MAXIMUM- 0.72

MINIMUM- 0.46

SAMPLE-- 10

Limit
15 mA Max.




Fig. 36 Post Blocking Voltage Reverse Current, I_r (mA)

TABLE 13

Date 8/29/79

Post Environmental Data

Tester P. Bransby

Method	Par. 4.6.2	1051.1	Post Thermal Shock and Moisture Test	Post Salt Spray Test	Post Shock and Vibration Test	Post Thermal Fatigue L.T.	Post Thermal Fatigue Thermal Resistance Test	Final Envir. Thermal Resistance Test
Symbol	i_r	i_r	i_r	i_r	i_r	i_r	$R_{\theta jc}$	$R_{\theta jc}$
Units	mA	mA	mA	mA	mA	mA	QC/W	QC/W
<u>Ser. No.</u>								
J149	0.70	0.69	--	--	--	0.70	0.13	--
J150	0.70	0.69	--	--	--	0.70	0.10	--
J151	0.70	0.61	--	--	0.72	0.70	0.12	0.16
J156	0.70	0.60	--	--	0.67	0.57	0.14	0.14
J157	0.72	0.50	--	--	0.74	0.70	0.098	0.10
J160	0.70	0.50	--	--	--	0.70	0.10	--
J162	0.70	0.60	--	--	--	0.78	0.09	--
J163	0.70	0.50	--	--	--	0.72	0.15	--
II2	0.46	--	0.41	0.41	0.46	0.56	0.2	--
II3	0.46	--	0.41	0.41	0.46	0.56	0.19	--
Spec.	15 (Max.)	15 (Max.)	15 (Max.)	15 (Max.)	15 (Max.)	15 (Max.)	0.2 (Max.)	0.2 (Max.)

The test plan required that only three devices be tested for this parameter. Since four different types of devices made up the samples (see Sec. B) it was decided that all ten devices should be tested for this parameter for a complete evaluation.

c. Subgroup 3 - Thermal Shock, Moisture Resistance and Salt Atmosphere Tests

All the confirmatory devices were tested for Thermal Shock using test method 1051.1 and the conditions stated in the specification. After five cycles, the rectifiers were removed from the environmental chamber and two were submitted to the Moisture Resistance test, method 1021.1.

Reverse current measurements per Subgroup 2 of Table 1 of the specifications were taken as a check at this point to determine if the devices had survived the Thermal Shock and moisture Resistance tests. All the units passed. Detail data is listed in Table 13 and the distributions plotted in Figures 37 and 38.

The two devices (II2, II3) which had been subjected to the Moisture Test were subjected to the Salt Atmosphere test method 1041.1 for 24 hours. After the test, the salt was washed off of the devices which were then examined. The markings were legible and there was no evidence of flaking, pitting of the finish, or corrosion that would interfere with the application of the devices.

Reverse current tests per Subgroup 2 of Table 1 of the specification were performed, the detail data are listed in Table 13 and the distribution is plotted in Figure 39. All devices passed with margin.

Histogram Data (9)

LOWER CELL LIMIT, CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS : .40 .02 25
VERT SCALE: 1

CHAR: POST THER SH
CHARACTERISTIC-POST THER SH

AVERAGE- 0.58625
SIGMA--- 0.07998883851
MAXIMUM- 0.69
MINIMUM- 0.5
SAMPLE-- 8

Limit
15 mA Max.

No. of Devices

```

3+   □   □
2+   □   □   □
1+   □   □   □
+++++
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
. . . . .
4 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 7 7 8 8 8
4 8 2 6 4 8 2 6 4 8
  
```

Fig. 37 Post Thermal Shock Reverse Current, I_r (mA)

Histogram Data (10)

LOWER CELL LIMIT, CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS : .95 .02 25
VERT SCALE: 1

CHAR: TH SH MO
CHARACTERISTIC TH SH MO

AVERAGE- 0.41
SIGMA--- 0
MAXIMUM- 0.41
MINIMUM- 0.41
SAMPLE-- 2

Limit
15 mA Max.

No. of Devices

```

2+   □
1+   □
+++++
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
. . . . .
3 3 4 4 5 5 5 6 6 7 7 7 8
5 9 3 7 1 5 9 3 7 1 5 9 3
  
```

Fig. 38 Post Thermal Shock and Moisture Reverse Current, I_r (mA)

d. Subgroup 4 - Thermal Fatigue Test

All of the confirmatory samples were tested for reliability under the specified Thermal Fatigue Test Conditions and Spec. paragraph 4.5.3. The "on" and "off" times were two minutes each. The air flow across the devices was adjusted so that when the devices were conducting, the case or heat-pipe temperature was $90 \pm 10^{\circ}\text{C}$ max. and $30 \pm 10^{\circ}\text{C}$ min. The devices were subjected to a minimum of 200 "on-off" cycles. Ten measurements per Subgroup 2 of Table 1 were made. Detail data are listed in Table 13 and distribution is plotted in Figure 40.

Since the sample consisted of four different constructions, an additional post thermal fatigue parameter was measured, Thermal Impedance. These data are listed in Table 13 and the distribution is plotted in Figure 41.

All devices passed. The ion-implanted devices came closest to the specification limit of 0.2°C/W . Because of this high thermal impedance and other considerations ion-implanted devices will not be used in the pilot run of this MM&T contract.

e. Subgroup 5 - Shock & Vibration Tests

Five of the confirmatory samples were shock tested in RCA's Environmental Laboratory, Lancaster, PA using the specified conditions and test method 2016.2.

Following the shock tests, all devices were subjected to a vibration test of variable frequency described in the Specification and Test Method 2056. After the shock and vibration tests, the reverse current measurements at 800 volts and the thermal resistance measurements of Subgroups 2 and 3 of Table 1 were repeated successfully to verify the integrity of the devices. Detail data are listed in Table 13 and the distributions are plotted in Figures 42 and 43.

No. of Devices

Histogram Data (11)

LOWER CELL LIMIT, CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS :.35 .01 25

VERT SCALE:1

CHAR:POST SALT SP

CHARACTERISTIC-POST SALT SP

AVERAGE- 0.41

SIGMA--- 0

MAXIMUM- 0.41

MINIMUM- 0.41

SAMPLE-- 2

Limit
15 mA Max.

```

2+      □
1+      □
+++++
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5
5 7 9 1 3 5 7 9 1 3 5 7 9
  
```

Fig. 39 Post Salt Spray Reverse Current, I_r , (mA)

No. of Devices

HISTOGRAM DATA[13]

LOWER CELL LIMIT, CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS :.45 .05 25

VERT SCALE:1

CHAR:POST TH FRT

CHARACTERISTIC-POST TH FRT

AVERAGE- 0.669

SIGMA--- 0.0769487564

MAXIMUM- 0.78

MINIMUM- 0.56

SAMPLE-- 10

Limit
15 mA Max.

```

6+      □
5+      □
4+      □
3+  □  □
2+  □  □
1+  □  □□
+++++
0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
. . . . .
4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
  
```

Fig. 40 Post Thermal Fatigue Reverse Current, I_r (mA)

HISTOGRAM DATA[;14]

LOWER CELL LIMIT,CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS :.07 .03 25
VERT SCALE:1

CHAR:POST ENVR RBJC
CHARACTERISTIC-POST ENVR RBJC

AVERAGE- 0.1318
SIGMA--- 0.03871778231
MAXIMUM- 0.2
MINIMUM- 0.09
SAMPLE--- 10

No. of Devices





Fig. 41 Post Thermal Fatigue Thermal Impedance ($^{\circ}\text{C/W}$)

Histogram Data (12)

LOWER CELL LIMIT,CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS :.40 .05 25
VERT SCALE:1

CHAR:SH VIR
CHARACTERISTIC-SH VIR
AVERAGE- 0.61
SIGMA--- 0.1392838828
MAXIMUM- 0.74
MINIMUM- 0.46
SAMPLE--- 5

No. of Devices



Limit
15 mA Max.

Fig. 42 Post Shock and Vibration Reverse Current, I_r (mA)

No. of Devices

Histogram Data (15)

LOWER CELL LIMIT, CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS : .08 .02 25

VERT SCALE: 1

CHAR: FIN ENVR R_{θJC}

CHARACTERISTIC- FIN ENVR R_{θJC}

AVERAGE- 0.1333333333

SIGMA--- 0.03055050463

MAXIMUM- 0.16

MINIMUM- 0.1

SAMPLE-- 3

Limit
0.2 °C/W Max.

```

1+  0 00 |
+++++
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
. . . . .
0 1 1 2 2 2 3 3 4 4 4 5 5
8 2 6   4 8 2 6   4 8 2 6
  
```

Fig. 43 Post Shock and Vibration Thermal Resistance, R_{θJC} (°C/W)

No. of Devices

HISTOGRAM DATA[16]

LOWER CELL LIMIT, CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS : 3.5 .5 25

VERT SCALE: 1

CHAR: DIM A

CHARACTERISTIC- DIM A

AVERAGE- 4.744

SIGMA--- 0.01433720878

MAXIMUM- 4.761

MINIMUM- 4.718

SAMPLE-- 10

```

10+  0
9+   0
8+   0
7+   0
6+   0
5+   0
4+   0
3+   0
2+   0
1+   0
+++++
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 1 1 1 1 1
. . . . . 0 1 2 3 4 5
5 5 5 5 5 5 5 . . . . .
          5 5 5 5 5 5
  
```

Fig. 44 Statistical Distribution of Dimension A

The Acceptance Test Procedure required that only two devices be subjected to the Shock and Vibration Tests. Since four different constructions were represented in the sample, RCA subjected a sample of each to the environmental test as follows:

<u>No. of Devices</u>	<u>Construction</u>
1	Webless Wicked
1	Convolute Tungsten Button
1	Nonconvolute Tungsten Button
2	Ion-Implanted

Again all devices passed. The Thermal Resistance data listed for II2 and II3 were measured after the Shock and Vibration Tests.

4. General Data

Table 14 lists a statistical analysis of all the data measured during this confirmatory test. Table 15 lists the key for identifying the individual characteristics. Figures 44, 45, 46, 47 and 48 show the distribution of the dimensions of the samples.

5. Engineering Evaluations

a. Low Temperature Thermal Shock

In addition to the required tests discussed, two nonconvolute devices with tungsten buttons were subjected to two complete thermal shock test using method 1051.1 and a low temperature of -55°C instead of the specified -25°C . This action results in doubling the number of nonconvolute devices tested, doubling the number of cycles to 10 instead of 5, and doubling the stress to -55°C instead of -25°C . Both devices passed with flying colors.

<u>S/N</u>	<u>Reverse Current (I_r) mA</u>	<u>Thermal Resistance ($R_{\theta JC}$) $^{\circ}\text{C/W}$</u>	
J155	0.82	0.1	initial data
	0.1	0.15	after test
J158	0.87	0.09	initial data
	0.1	0.12	after test

Table 14
Statistical Analysis of Confirmatory Data

PRODUCT-----CONFIRMATORY SILICON TRANSCALANT RECTIFIER DATA							
CHARACTERISTIC	AVG	SIGMA	MAX	MIN	CHI-SQ	N	
1	.822	.125	.920	.610	21.20	10	
2	2.857	1.732	6.180	1.440	12.85	10	
3	.114	.047	.200	.070	18.89	10	
4	.988	.025	1.000	.920	19.69	10	
5	2.700	.353	3.200	2.000	4.71	10	
6	.712	.111	.820	.510	8.26	10	
7	.631	.170	.720	.290	23.41	10	
8	.654	.102	.720	.460	26.26	10	
9	.586	.080	.690	.500	16.37	8	
10	.410		.410	.410	2.00	2	
11	.410		.410	.410	2.00	2	
12	.610	.139	.740	.460	9.71	5	
13	.669	.077	.780	.560	14.74	10	
14	.132	.039	.200	.090	11.12	10	
15	.133	.031	.160	.100	4.30	3	
16	4.744	.014	4.761	4.718	8.38	10	
17	3.463	.009	3.476	3.447	2.95	10	
18	.636	.008	.650	.624	3.82	10	
19	1.804	.012	1.834	1.790	12.40	10	
20	2.102	.002	2.106	2.100	15.21	10	

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 9, 1979

Table 15

Key to Statistical Analysis of Table 1.4

Characteristic #	Definition
1	Reverse Current (I_R) at +25°C
2	Reverse Current (I_R) at +125°C
3	Thermal Impedance $R_{\theta JC}$
4	Forward Voltage (V_{FM})
5	Reverse Recovery Time (T_{RR})
6	Post Surge Test, Reverse Current (I_R)
7	Post Barometric Test, Reverse Current (I_R)
8	Post Blocking Voltage Life Test, Reverse Current (I_R)
9	Post Thermal Shock Test, Reverse Current (I_R)
10	Post Thermal Shock & Moisture Test, Reverse Current (I_R)
11	Post Salt Spray Test. Reverse Current (I_R)
12	Post Shock & Vibration Test, Reverse Current (I_R)
13	Post Thermal Fatigue Test, Reverse Current (I_R)
14	Post Environmental Test, Thermal Resistance ($R_{\theta JC}$)
15	Final Environmental Test, Thermal Resistance ($R_{\theta JC}$)
16	Dimension A
17	Dimension B
18	Dimension C
19	Dimension D
20	Dimension F

No. of Devices

HISTOGRAM DATA[;17]
 LOWER CELL LIMIT,CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS :1.5 .5 25
 VERT SCALE:1
 CHAR:DIM B
 CHARACTERISTIC-DIM B
 AVERAGE- 3.4633
 SIGMA--- 0.008844960901
 MAXIMUM- 3.476
 MINIMUM- 3.447
 SAMPLE-- 10




Fig. 45 Statistical Distribution of Dimension B

No. of Devices

HISTOGRAM DATA[;18]
 LOWER CELL LIMIT,CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS :.45 .05 25
 VERT SCALE:1
 CHAR:DIM C
 CHARACTERISTIC-DIM C
 AVERAGE- 0.6363
 SIGMA--- 0.008219894565
 MAXIMUM- 0.65
 MINIMUM- 0.624
 SAMPLE-- 10




Fig. 46 Statistical Distribution of Dimension C

No. of Devices

HISTOGRAM DATA[;19]

LOWER CELL LIMIT, CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS :.5 .3 25
VERT SCALE:1

CHAR: DIM D

CHARACTERISTIC-DIM D

AVERAGE- 1.8042
SIGMA--- 0.01198888374
MAXIMUM- 1.834
MINIMUM- 1.79
SAMPLE-- 10




Fig. 47 Statistical Distribution of Dimension D

HISTOGRAM DATA[;20]

LOWER CELL LIMIT, CELL WIDTH AND NUMBER OF CELLS :1.5 .1 25
VERT SCALE:1

CHAR: DIM F

CHARACTERISTIC-DIM F

AVERAGE- 2.1015
SIGMA--- 0.002068278941
MAXIMUM- 2.106
MINIMUM- 2.1
SAMPLE-- 10

No. of Devices




Fig. 48 Statistical Distribution of Dimension F

b. High Temperature Life Test

Transcaltent rectifier serial number F147 was tested for 979.8 hours at a case temperature of +175°C. The test was the standard reverse blocking voltage life test, except for the case temperature which was maintained at +175°C instead of +125°C. See section V-E for a detail description of the life test equipment.

At the end of 979.8 hours the device was still capable of holding off 800 volts reverse voltage. At the end of the test, the unit was tested for thermal resistance ($R_{\theta JC}$) and dissected to determine if any creeping of the heat-pipes had occurred.

Observations:

1. $R_{\theta JC}$ increased from 0.12°C/Watt at zero hours to 0.23°C/Watt at 979.8 hours.
2. The wafer solder integrity was excellent.
3. No bulging in the convolution was noted.
4. All internal dimensions remained the same.

Conclusion:

The unit's physical integrity was not degraded by long term operation at a case temperature of +175°C.

V. Test Equipment

Refer to the First through Sixth Monthly Reports for this contract for additional information concerning test apparatus. The electrical and environmental test equipment survey is listed in Table 16.

A. Surge Current Test Set

The surge current test is a survival test which demonstrates that a rectifier is capable of conducting unusually large amounts of current without

TABLE 16

ELECTRICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL TEST EQUIPMENT

<u>Method</u>	<u>Test Description</u>	<u>Status of Facility</u>
2066	Physical Dimensions	Precision Vernier Calipers and "go-no-go" gauge available.
4016.2	Reverse Current	Facilities available for A.C. Method. Temperature Controlled Oven available.
Par. 4.6.1	Thermal Resistance	Engineering Test Facility available.
4011.3	Forward Voltage	Power Supply and Monitoring available.
4066.2	Surge Current	Surge Fwd. Current and Rev. Voltage Supplies are available.
4031	Reverse Recovery Time	JTEC Test Circuit developed and test results correlate with RCA, Somerville, NJ, test data. Test equipment is operational.
1001.1	Barometric Pressure (reduced)	Vacuum Chamber and V_r Supply available. Supply modified for half-wave operation.
Par. 4.6.2	Blocking-Voltage Life Test	Oven and Supply are available. Supply modified for half-wave operation.
1051.1	Thermal Shock (Temperature Cycling)	Test facility available at RCA, Lancaster, Environmental Test Laboratory.
1021.1	Moisture Resistance	Ditto
2016.2	Shock	Ditto
2056	Vibration, Variable Frequencies	Ditto

TABLE 16 (Cont.)

ELECTRICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL TEST EQUIPMENT

<u>Method</u>	<u>Test Description</u>	<u>Status of Facility</u>
1041.1	Salt Atmosphere (corrosion)	Test facility available at RCA, Lancaster, Environmental Test Laboratory.
Par. 4.6.3	Thermal Fatigue Test	Power Supply and Controller are available.

DRT 9/22/78
MFD 1/10/79
MFD 9/25/79

being destroyed. In the surge test, there are four distinct, sequential circuit functions;

Application of 250 amperes average (400 amperes RMS) of "on" state heating current to bring the rectifier junction to its normal operating temperature,

Application of one 60 Hz, positive, 1/2 cycle high current surge to the DUT,

Application of one 60 Hz, negative, 1/2 cycle reverse high voltage pulse to the DUT.

The above test sequence of operations is repeated at one minute intervals for 10 total surges.

The repetitive surge current test set is shown in Figure 49. The circuit block diagram is illustrated in Figure 50. Three power supplies are also involved in this test of DUT's ability to withstand overloads. Sequencing on the exact half or full 60 Hz cycle is designed into the equipment. High voltage interlocks are used for safety of the operating personnel.

An a.c. heating current supply heats the DUT to its normal operating temperature before a second supply applies a single, half cycle forward current surge to the DUT. On the subsequent half cycle, an 800 volts peak reverse a.c. voltage is applied to test whether the device has retained its blocking capability following the surge. This surge sequence is repeated ten times at one minute intervals. All parameters are recorded temporarily on a storage oscilloscope for accurate readings.

Other test conditions, such as, higher peak surges, lower reverse voltages and different time intervals can be set up, if desired. Forced air cooling is utilized.

B. Forward On-State Voltage Test Set

In the forward on-state voltage test the peak forward voltage drop is measured while the rectifier is conducting its rated current. At the same time the operation of the heat-pipes is confirmed.





Figure 49 Repetitive Surge Current
Test Set. The DUT was mounted
inside the interlocked door on
the left in the photograph

1/8/77 RER

Semi-Automatic Multiple Surge Current Test Set - Reapplied Rev. Voltage

Functional Block Diagram



NOTE: Chassis enclosed in separate, interconnected grounded cabinets & racks.

Figure 50

The test set shown in Figure 51 applies the full rated average a.c. current to the DUT. The cooling air flow is adjusted to achieve the required 100°C on the case of the heat-pipe of the DUT before the peak forward voltage is read on the oscilloscope. A functional block diagram of the circuit is shown in Figure 52.

During this test the temperature is measured at several points along the heat-pipes. In this way, the heat-pipes' thermal balance and isothermal characteristics can be verified. A poorly functioning heat-pipe is not isothermal. Properly functioning heat-pipes are important not only for the reliability of the DUT, but also because the on-state voltage is a function of the junction temperature.

C. Thermal Fatigue Test Set

Rectifiers are temperature cycled by operating them in a circuit in which the devices are heated by conducting their full rated current of 250 A average and cooled by blowing room temperature air across the fins on the device. The test is conducted for a minimum of 200 cycles. The test set is shown in Figure 53 along with the functional block diagram of the circuit in Figure 54. The air flow is adjustable to assure that the specified minimum and maximum ($\text{Min. } T_c = 30 \pm 10^{\circ}\text{C}$, $\text{Max. } T_c = 90 \pm 10^{\circ}\text{C}$) temperatures are achieved on every cycle. A recorder connected to a thermocouple attached to the rectifier is used to verify not only the temperature range, but also the number of cycles.

D. Blocking Current Test Set

The blocking current test set is used to measure the leakage currents of the reverse blocking junction. The test set along with the functional block diagram of the circuit are shown in Figures 55 and 56, respectively. The reverse blocking (leakage) currents are measured at the full rated a.c. voltage of 800 volts peak. These currents are measured at both room temperature (25°C) and at the maximum rated temperature (125°C) of the Device Under Test (DUT).

The measurement is performed by monitoring the voltage drop across a calibrated resistor in series with the DUT. This enables an oscilloscope to be used to measure the peak current since the oscilloscope is a voltage rather than a current measuring device. Ohm's law converts the reading to the current.

AD-A092 078

QCA CORP LANCASTER PA SSD-ELECTRO-OPTICS AND DEVICES

F/6 9/1

MANUFACTURING METHODS AND TECHNOLOGY MEASURE FOR FABRICATION OF--E+C(U)

SEP 80 B B ADAMS, M F DEVITO, R E REED

DAAK70-78-C-0120

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

2 of 2

AD-A

292019

END
DATE
FILMED
1-81
DTIC





Figure 51 Forward On-State Voltage Test Set. The DUT was mounted at the top of the cooling air duct in the center of the photograph.

1/12/77 RER
1/10/79 MFT

Figure 52 Forward "ON" Voltage Test Set - Portable
Block Diagram

Ref: MIL-STL-750B, Method 4226.1







Fig. 53 Thermal Fatigue Test Set.
The DUT's are mounted out
of view in the end of the
air cooling duct at the
back of the test set.

1/23/77 N-R
1/10/79 MD

Figure 54 Thermal Fatigue Test Set
Block Diagram

Ref. 33-477, para. 4.6.2






Fig. 55 Blocking Current Test Set. This set was used at room temperature as well as in conjunction with an oven or a vacuum system (not shown) for the high temperature and reduced barometric pressure tests. Reverse blocking currents are measured with this equipment.

Figure 56

Reverse Blocking Current Test Set - A. C. Method


1/7/77

RER

Block Diagram

Ref: ML-STL 750B, methods 1001.1, 4206.1 & 4211.1

(Grounded Enclosure)



E. Blocking Voltage Life Test

Rectifiers are life tested for 200 hours by subjecting them to reverse blocking voltages of 800 volts while at a temperature of 125°C. A 60 Hz 1/2 wave AC power supply is used for voltage power. The test set is shown in Figure 57 and the functional block diagram is shown in Figure 58.

Metering within the test set provides the temperature of the DUT, elapsed time, voltage and current. A jack is provided for the measurement of the peak voltage with an oscilloscope. Indicator lamps and high voltage fuses are included in the power supply to indicate whether a DUT has failed to block the high voltage during the tests. The test set is designed to test six devices simultaneously.

The power supply is connected through a voltage regulator to the primary power lines of the high temperature oven. In this way, the power and timing are removed from the DUT in the event of a power interruption that would reduce the oven temperature below the test value. An interlocked oven door also removes the high voltage from the DUT when the oven door is opened, thus, protecting the personnel.

This power supply is also used for the Reduced Barometric Pressure test for half wave voltage application to the DUT in the vacuum chamber.

F. Thermal Resistance Test Set

The thermal resistance test set is used to determine the thermal resistance between the junction and the base of the fins on the heat-pipe.

Prior to testing the rectifier, each device is calibrated by recording the forward voltage (V_F) drop at 4.0 A as a function of temperature. At each selected temperature, sufficient time is taken to insure that the junction, the heat-pipes and the oven are all in thermal equilibrium. At 4.0 A, V_F versus temperature is interpreted from measurements of the V_F at temperatures between the selected temperatures.



Fig. 57 Blocking Voltage Life Test Set,
including the temperature controlled
oven. This oven is also used for
the other high temperature tests.


1/7/77 RER
1/10/79 MFD

Figure 58 Blocking Voltage Life Test/Barometric Pressure Test Set


Block Diagram

Ref: SCS-477, para. 4.6.1 (Grounded Enclosure)

Alternate I: Life Test



Alternate II: Barometric Pressure (reduced)



It is this characteristic of V_F versus temperature at 4.0 A which is employed to determine the junction temperature during the thermal resistance test. The difference between the junction temperature and the case temperature, which is measured with a thermocouple attached to the outside wall of the heat-pipe, divided by heating power is the thermal resistance.

When a rectifier is tested it is heated by passing rated current through the device. This heating current is interrupted every 50.0 ms for about 0.5 ms. During the interruption, the V_F is measured at the calibration current of 4.0 A.

The test set is shown in Figure 59. Figure 60 is a functional block diagram of the circuit.

The thermal resistance of the Transcalent rectifier is a function of dissipation power and ambient temperature.

VI. Specification Control/Configuration Management Program

A. Engineering Specification Control

Specifications for product manufacture, testing and quality control are given in the Engineering Specifications. These specifications (Standardizing Notices) are the official documents used to record and disseminate engineering specifications on electronic components and are developed and maintained by the Engineering Standards activity. These specifications give the details concerning materials, processes, testing procedures, etc., for the products designed and manufactured in SSD-EOD. Quality and Reliability Assurance participates in the establishment of guides listing the mandatory approvers of Engineering Specifications.

B. Engineering Change Proposals (ECPs)

All changes, amendments, deletions or deviations from approved Engineering Specifications affecting any standard, custom or development product which has been offered for sale, must be accomplished through the change control system.

The system permits alteration of an accepted practice after approval by designated qualified reviewers on Change Control Board (CCB) whereby the variation becomes new accepted practice.





Fig. 59 Thermal Resistance Test Set.
The DUT is mounted in the end
of the cooling air duct shown
in the foreground.

12/77 RES.
1/10/79 MFD

Figure 60 Thermal Resistance Test Set
Block Diagram

Ref: MIL-STD-708, Method 2041



C. Configuration Changes

The Engineering Standards Department has established a change control system by which all necessary revisions or additions to specification documents under the jurisdiction of Engineering Standard can be made. The purpose of the system is to provide a uniform method for evaluating the various aspects of an engineering change, to obtain the written approval of all mandatory approvers, to notify all concerned activities of the reply deadline and the effective dates for an engineering change, as well as to provide approvers with a method for approving, revising, rejecting or temporarily withholding approval of an engineering change.

In addition, the secondary purpose of the change system is to notify all cognizant activities of any change affecting the status or content of a specification as well as obtain information, comments, and guidance from the Material Control, Parts Manufacturing and Materials (Purchasing) functions prior to the submittal of the proposed engineering change to the Engineering Standard Department for issue. Note that the engineering change system is not for the purpose of obtaining engineering information. The necessary engineering tests and discussions with all affected activities must be adequately covered prior to the initiation of a Change Notice.

D. Procedures

All in-house activities have ten working days from the issue date to review a change and make any proposed revisions. After fifteen working days, for inter-plant approvals, Engineering Standards will specify the reply deadline and approval (effective dates on the Engineering Change Form) and the change will be effective on that date. Written approval of all mandatory approvers must have been obtained prior to the reply deadline. Mandatory approvers are those persons responsible for effecting product line development and manufacturing as well as quality and reliability assurance of the product. Marketing and Applications Engineering are responsible for securing any necessary customer approvals.

Engineering Standards/Electro Optics and Devices/Lancaster, PA 17604

See ES 1-1-3 Page 60 Series for EC System

Subject Anode Weld Flange		Permanent ☒	Quantity/Date	Dept.	Year	No
		Temporary		L 963	78	106
☐ Developmental ☐ Pilot ☒ Custom ☐ Commercial ☐ Other	Types Transcendent Devices P75000EB2,3,4,5,6 P75000EA P75400EB2,3,4,5,6 Product Line: TRANSCALANT	Effective Date ON APPROVAL		Serial/Lot No. N/A		
		For Engineering Standards Use Only:		Initial Issue	Release	
		Issue Date		3-29-78		
		Reply Deadline Date				
		Approval Date		3-20-78		

Present

Engineering Specification & Page No.

Proposed

As specified - - - - - 3025260 - - - - - change .085 min To
.060 min.

add Note 5: Dia tol. may
 Vary to +.004 at open
 end of dia. Add this Note
 to 1.872 $\pm .000$ dia.

Purpose

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| ☐ Initial specification | ☐ Contractual or MIL Spec compliance | ☐ Cost reduction |
| ☐ Improve product performance (See Supporting Data) | ☐ Policy or procedure compliance | ☐ Product or personnel safety compliance |
| ☒ Clarify or correct specifications | ☐ Specified material is unobtainable | ☐ Other (See Additional Remarks) |

Supporting Data

Change affects finished product performance ☒ No ☐ Yes (If yes attach supporting Test, Env., Life, Rel., etc data)

Affects product or personnel safety ☒ No ☐ Yes (See Additional Remarks)

Proposed change (does, does not) comply with ☐ MIL-Q-9858 () ☐ MIL-I-45208 () ☒ N/A

Government approval ☒ N/A ☐ Req ☐ Rec'd

EIA approval ☒ N/A ☐ Req ☐ Rec'd

Customer approval ☒ N/A ☐ Req ☐ Rec'd

Estimated cost of change \$ _____ (See Additional Remarks)

Disposition of obsolete stock ☒ N/A ☐ See below

Part(s) or Material(s):

Status	Qty.	Value	Use	Scrap
In Process				
In Invent.				
On Order				

Note To Originator

If proposed change affects any of the following, authorized signatures must be obtained before furnishing EC to Engineering Standards.

Change affects Parts Mfg. N/A Date _____

Change affects Purchasing [Signature] Date 3/14/78

Change affects stock inventories N/A

Additional Remarks

The above change describes the parts as received from vendor. It will not affect form fit or function.

Originator **Ben Adams**

Standards Rep. [Signature]

Endorser [Signature]

Date **2/28/78** Tel. No. **2872**

Date **3-20-78** Tel. No. **2027**

Date **3-2/78** Tel. No. **2495**

FI 305 12/75

MAR 17 1978

This guide lists the official endorsers and approvers for Engineering Change Proposals.

- ✓ MANDATORY APPROVERS - WRITTEN APPROVAL REQUIRED (Ref: ES 1-1-3)
X OTHER APPROVERS - WRITTEN APPROVAL NOT REQUIRED
Δ INFORMATION ONLY - APPROVAL NOT REQUIRED

ECL

	ACTIVITY	DIST.	APPROVERS		ACTIVITY	DIST.	APPROVERS
	POWER TUBE OPERATION		CW BIZAL		ANALYTICAL LAB	1	DF HAKSLA
✓	Power Prod. Eng.	3	JG Kindbom				
					PROC. & MATL. DEV.	1	JA ZOLLMAN
					Adv. Sales Promo & Publ.	1	JF Chatten
	POWER ENGINEERING		WS LYNCH				
	Design	2	CR Carter				
	Appl. & Module	1	CE Doner		O&R Services	1	HL Stewart
	M&P Lab/Transcalent	1	RE Reed				
	Coax Eng. Y1143	1	BB Adams		Warehouse (Lanc.)	1	LH Waltman
	Coax Eng 4668, 4655	1	JA Eshleman				
	Coax Eng., Mfg.	1	JG Kindbom		Color Eng. Stds.	1	GJ McCauley
	Coax Mfg(4655,Y1143)	1	P Harvest				
					Prod. Safety	1	JA Molzahn
	Q&RS ENG.	1	GJ BUCHKO				
	Q&RS ENG. (Transc.)	1	CA MANNON		Safety (Pers.)	1	CH Miller
	MATERIALS		RC PONTZ	Δ	Data Control	1	DC Leavman
	Matl. Handling	2	AA May				
	Matl: Purch. Cont.			Δ	Industrial Eng.	1	GS Diamantoni
	Raw Matls., Pky. Matls., Chemicals	1	JM Hipp	Δ	Standard Cost	1	EB Tabbutt
	E-O Syst & Equip.	2	RA Brandt				
					Inter. Lic. Serv.	1	AG Krause
	Q&RA FINISH PROD.		JJ CARROLL				
	Fin Prod Test/Eval.	2	HA Kotler	Δ	Patent - Power & EO	1	RM Roderick
	Env. Eng. & Test	1	JB Grosh				
				Δ	Iron Mountain	1	
	TUBE PARTS MFG.	5	RL SPALDING				
				Δ	Originator	1	
	PWR TUBE OPER. CONT.	1	JD SCHMITT				
	PWR TUBE MKT.	1	RM BOWES				

CA Electro Optics and Devices

Engineering Standards | Lancaster, PA

cl 3025260 ANODE WELD FLANGE

Fig. 63

Date Sept. 14, 1977 Page 1.0


Engineering Specification 30-2-5260

Code 8-Eh

Super. Aug. 24, 1977

E
(1) DR. #30 (.128 $\pm$.004) DIA.
THRU ON A $\frac{23}{32}$ " RAD

Note 3



①

NOTES:

1. NOT MORE THAN .002 TAPER PERMITTED ALONG O.D. OF PART^b
2. PARTS MUST BE FREE OF BURRS; TOOL MARKS, & SCRATCHES^b
3. .128 $\pm$.004 DIA. HOLE MAY BE PUNCHED^b
4. DIA'S TO BE CONCENTRIC WITHIN .005 TIR^b
- * 5. DIAMETER TOLERANCE MAY VARY TO $\pm$.004 AT OPEN END OF DIAMETER.

MATERIAL: S10E2 TYPE 1010 (CRS)
.015 x 2.0 sq.

Basic Dimension	2 Piece Dwg.	3 Piece Dwg.
up to 6"	$\pm$.02	$\pm$.005
Above 6" to 24"	$\pm$.03	$\pm$.010
Above 24"	$\pm$.05	$\pm$.015
Angular Dimension	$\pm$ 1°	

-99-

FORMERLY LAB DRAWING A3025260R2

063-77-101 DEX/GAS

CHANGE: These drawings and specifications are the property of RCA Corporation, Electro Optics and Devices and shall not be re-

TL 308A 8/

Engineering Standards will then make the engineering change to the specification and distribute the new printed materials to all using departments so that their standardizing bookkeeping will be updated.

E. Interface Control

A typical Engineering Change Notice (ECP) is shown in Figure 61, and official endorsers are shown in Figure 62. Although this change example is a rather simple one, it does show the mandatory approvers and the routing path required to make an engineering change (second sheet). The Specification, Figure 63, which is being changed is also included as an example.

F. Standardizing Procedure

The methods utilized in standardizing this product are described briefly below. Development and Production Engineering released information to the Engineering Standards Department via an Engineering Specification Request endorsed by authorized approvers from both Operations and Marketing. At this time, controlling Engineering Specifications were issued on the Transcalent rectifier. These specifications will be in effect throughout the Confirmatory and Pilot Run phases except as changed by an approved Engineering Change Notice. Initial and revised specifications are issued to the activities which require them, and it is the responsibility of the using activities to see that the latest specifications are available to the operators who fabricate the product. The using activity is also responsible for the up-to-date maintenance of its specification book or reference files.

The Engineering Specifications will contain the following mandatory requirements as a permanent, printed record:

1. Outline Drawing
2. Testing Specification
3. Bill of Materials
4. Parts and Assemblies Specification
5. Material Specifications
6. Process Specifications
7. Marking Specification
8. Packing Specification

This information will thus constitute the Product Base Line at the conclusion of the Pilot Run phase of the engineering contract. Government approval of any subsequent changes can be incorporated in any subsequent production contracts if required to assure the form, fit or function of the device.

G. Specification Availability

Initial and revised specifications are issued to the activities that require them, and it is the responsibility of the using activities to see that the latest specification available to the person or persons who use it with a minimum of delay. The using activity is responsible for the up-to-date maintenance of its specification books or files.

H. Standardized Examples


An example of a standardized part drawing is shown in Figure 64. The example is used to demonstrate the procedures utilized on all parts drawings. The material specification in the lower left-hand corner shows an RCA designation of sides which in turn delineates material composition and material tolerance in the RCA specification system.

Please note that each dimension has a clarifying capital letter, and each tolerance has a small letter. The capital letter identifies the dimension, whereas, the small letter denotes the inspection tool to be used to measure the dimension. See the example of small letter delineation in Figure 65.

A proprietary assembly procedure sheet is also standardized, but not included in this report. Notice that the assembly drawing, Figure 66, lists the parts by number and the top of the procedure sheet identifies the parts associated with the number via a parts list. The operations sheet spells out in detail each assembly step required to assemble the device. This feature will make it possible to establish Quality Control Audit Stations in the future, as required. The last example delineates the plating procedure as required to complete the fabrication of the assembly. The procedures described assure that the assemblies are put together by the same procedures at all times, a necessary factor of the MM&T program.

E
(1) DR. #30 (.128 $\pm$.000) DIA.
THRU ON A $\frac{23}{32}$ " RAD

Note 3



①

NOTES:

1. NOT MORE THAN .002 TAPER PERMITTED ALONG O.D. OF PART^b
2. PARTS MUST BE FREE OF BURRS; TOOL MARKS, & SCRATCHES^b
3. .128 $\pm$.000 DIA. HOLE MAY BE PUNCHEDⁿ
4. DIA'S TO BE CONCENTRIC WITHIN .005 TIR^b
5. Diameter tolerance may vary to +.004 at open end of diameter.

MATERIAL: S10E2 TYPE 1010 (CRS) $\pm$.0015^b X
~~.125 x 2.0 in.~~ 2.0 $\pm$.04

Dimensions in inches.

Basic Dimension	2 Place Dec.	3 Place Dec.
up to 6"	$\pm$.02	$\pm$.005
Above 6" to 24"	$\pm$.03	$\pm$.010
Above 24"	$\pm$.04	$\pm$.015
Angular Dimension	$\pm$ 1/2°	


-102-

FORMERLY LAB DRAWING: A3025260R2

963-78-108

DL4/SDH 963-78-114 DLY

Dimension or Characteristics	Spec	Sampling Plan - AQL	Equipment Used	Remarks
A	P/PRINT	b = 1.5%	MICROMETER - O.D.	
B	P/PRINT	b	MICROMETER V-BLOCK & DIAL INDICATOR	
C	P/PRINT	b	MICROMETER	
D	P/PRINT	b	MICROMETER	
E	P/PRINT	n = one/lot		
F	P/PRINT	b	MICROMETER	
G	P/PRINT	b	MICROMETER	
1	P/PRINT	b	V-BLOCK & DIAL INDICATOR	
2	P/PRINT	b	FINGERNAIL	NONE BIG ENOUGH TO CATCH A FINGER NAIL.
3.	P/PRINT	n = one/lot		
4.	P/PRINT	b	V-BLOCK & DIAL INDICATOR	



Formerly 3025617

SCALE _____
DIMENSIONS IN

CAUTION: Use only the lubricants specified in E.S. 33-3-005.
UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN, DIMENSIONS SHOWN WITHOUT TOLERANCES ARE DESIGN CENTERS

963-80-116

-104-

DLY/SDH

• CHANGE

These drawings and specifications are the property of RCA Corporation. Electronic Components and shall not be used for any other purpose without the written consent of RCA Corporation.

TL 00

I. Video Tape

All processes relative to manufacturing and testing were video taped. These video tapes were supplied to the government in accordance with the agreement made 3 April 1980 for the close out of this contract. In addition to the video tapes, a cross index for the Transcendent rectifier, thyristor and transistor was included.

VII. Program Evaluation and Review Technique

A Program Evaluation and Review Chart (PERT) was prepared quite early in the program. It contains the objectives for all the major portions of the contract along with the most critical path and delivery dates for all items. The chart was used as a management tool in the Transcendent Silicon Rectifier Program. The chart can be seen in Figure 67.

VIII. Conclusion and Recommendation


The engineering and confirmatory sample phases of the program were successfully completed on schedule as stipulated by the program evaluation chart (PERT).

No particular difficulties were involved in either phase of the program.

All drawings and procedures were updated to reflect the final design, namely, standard doped, webless wick and nonconvoluted cathode strain isolation rings. The reasons for the final design have already been discussed and verified in the body of this report. Copies of the detail assembly drawings were shipped to MERADCOM on 13 August 1980.

The Pilot run portion of the program is to be deleted as indicated in the RCA proposal No. DP-8135A for: "Manufacturing Methods and Technology for Silicon Rectifiers" dated 19 November 1979 and the agreement arrived at on 3 August 1980 at MERADCOM.

It is suggested that a contractor be found to continue with the Pilot run portion of the program.






Figure 67

RSA

PERT CHART
 CONTRACT: DAAK 70-78-C-0120
 PREPARED BY: S. Adams, June 10, 1979
 DRAWN BY: R. Miller, Aug. 7, 1979
 APPROVED BY: J. E. Clark, Aug 9, 1979

I
I
IX. Distribution List

The following pages include the distribution list
supplied by the Contracting Officer with the DD 1423
for the Interim Technical Report.

Dr. Paul E. Greene
Dir. Solid State Lab.
Hewlett Packard Co.
1501 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Mr. Gerald B. Herzog
Staff Vice President
Technology Centers
RCA
David Sarnoff Res. Ctr.
Princeton, NJ 08540

Dr. George E. Smith
Bell Telephone Labs, Inc.
MOS Device Dept.
600 Mountain Ave.
Room 2A323
Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Commander
U.S. Army Electronics Command
ATTN: DRSEL-TL-1
Mr. Robert A. Gerhold
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commander
Harry Diamond Labs.
2800 Powder Mill Rd.
ATTN: DRXDO-RCC,
Mr. Anthony J. Baba
Adelphi, MD 20783

Commanding Officer
Picatinny Arsenal
ATTN: SARPA-ND-D-A-4
Mr. Arthur H. Hendrickson
Bldg. 95
Dover, NJ 07801

Commanding General
U.S. Army Missile Command
ATTN: Mr. Victor Ruwe,
DRSMI-RGP
Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809

Commander
U.S. Army Electronics Command
ATTN: DRSEL-TL-BS
Mr. George W. Taylor
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commanding Officer
U.S. Army Research Office
P.O. Box 12211
ATTN: Dr. Chas. Boghosian
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Naval Research Laboratory
ATTN: Dr. David F. Barbe,
Code 5260
4555 Overlook Avenue, SW
Washington, DC 20375

Naval Electronics Lab Center
ATTN: Mr. Charles E. Holland, Jr.
Code 4300
271 Catalina Blvd.
San Diego, CA 92152

The Johns Hopkins Univ.
Applied Physics Laboratory
ATTN: Dr. Charles Feldman
11100 Johns Hopkins Road
Laurel, MD 20810

Commander
Naval Surface Weapons Center
ATTN: Mr. Albert D. Krall,
Code WR-43
White Oak
Silver Spring, MD 20910

Commander
RADC
ATTN: Mr. Joseph B. Brauer, RBRM
Griffiss AFB, NY 13441

NASA
Geo. C. Marshall Space Flight Center
ATTN: Dr. Alvis M. Holladay, Code EC-41
Marshall Space Flight Center, AL 35812

NASA
Langley Research Center
Langley Station
ATTN: Mr. Charles Husson, M/S 470
Hampton, VA 23665

Dir. National Security Agency
ATTN: Mr. John C. Davis, R55
Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755

Commander
U.S. Army Production
Equipment Agency
ATTN: AMXPE-MT
(Mr. C. E. McBurney)
Rock Island, IL 61201

Mr. Jack S. Kilby
5924 Royal Lane
Suite 150
Dallas, TX 75230

Dr. Barry Dunbridge
TRW Systems Group
One Space Park
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Mr. Harold D. Toombs
Texas Instruments, Inc.
P.O. Box 5474; M/S 72
Dallas, TX 75222

Commander, AFAL
ATTN: AFAL/DEH
Mr. Stanley E. Wagner
Wright Patterson AFB,
OH 45433

Lincoln Laboratory, MIT
ATTN: Dr. Donald J. Eckl
P.O. Box 73
Lexington, MA 02173

RADC (ETSD)
ATTN: Mr. Sven Roosild
Hanscom AFB, MA 01731

General Electric Company
Semi-Conductor Products Dept.
Building 7, Box 42
Syracuse, NY 13201

Micro-Electronics Laboratory
Hughes Aircraft Company
500 Superior Avenue
Newport Beach, CA 92663

Silicon Transistor Corp.
ATTN: Mr. P. Fitzgerald
Katrina Road
Chelmsford, MA 01824

Mr. Daniel Becker
Reliability & Qual. Test Center
Mannes Spacecraft Center
Houston, TX 77058

Texas Instruments, Inc.
Library M.S. 20
P.O. Box 5012
Dallas, TX 75222

Dr. S. Bakalar
Transitron Electronic Corp.
168 Albion Street
Wakefield, MA 01880

Mr. R. Riel
Westinghouse Electric Corp.
R&D Center
Pittsburgh, PA 15235

National Semi-Conductor Corp.
ATTN: Mr. R. Bregar
Danbury, CT 06810

Solitron Devices
256 Oak Tree Road
Tappan, NY 10983

Dr. L. Suelzle
Delta Electronics Corp.
2801 S. E. Main Street
Irvine, CA 92714

Bell Laboratories
ATTN: Mr. W. H. Hamilton
Whippany, NJ 07981

Commander
Naval Ships Res. & Dev. Center
ATTN: W. Kohl (Bldg. 100-3)
Annapolis, MD 21402

United Technologies Corp.
Power Systems Division
ATTN: Mr. Kenneth Lipman
Box 109
South Windsor, CT 06074

Martin Marietta
ATTN: Mr. E. E. Buchanan
P.O. Box 179
Denver, CO 80201

J. J. Henry Co., Inc. Special Proj.
Attn: Mr. Mike Saboe - NSRDC Study
2341 Jefferson Davis Highway
Arlington, VA 22202

Fermi National Accelerator Lab.
ATTN: Mr. Frank S. Cilyo
P.O. Box 500
Batavia, IL 60510

Hughes Aircraft Company
Ground Systems Group
ATTN: Dr. Kal Sekhon
Fullerton, CA 92634

Commander
Navy Weapons Center
ATTN: Mr. S. S. Lafon
China Lake, CA 93555

Commander (2)
U.S. Army Electronics Command
ATTN: DRSEL-PP-I-PI
Mr. William R. Peltz
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commander (2)
U.S. Army Mobility Equipment
Research and Development Ctr.
ATTN: STSFB-EAP
Dr. Russ Eaton
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

Advisory Group on Electron Dev. (2)
ATTN: Working Group on Pwr. Devices
201 Varick Street
New York, NY 10014

Mr. Ron Wade
ATTN: ELEX-0151431
Navla Electronic Sys. Command
Washington, DC 20360

Dr. Robert Redicker
Mass. Inst. of Technology (MIT)
Building 13-3050
Cambridge, MA 02139

Defense Electronics Supply Ctr.
Directorate of Engineering
and Standardization
DESC-ECS (Mr. N. Hauck)
1507 Wilmington Pike
Dayton, OH 45401

General Instrument Corp.
Semi-Conductor Prod. Group
ATTN: Mr. G. Cohen
600 W. John Street
Hicksville, LI, NY 11802

Harry Diamond Laboratories
ATTN: Technical Library
Connecticut Avenue and
Van Ness Street
Washington, DC 20438

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
ATTN: Mr. L. Wright
Mail Stop 158-205
4800 Oak Grove Drive
Pasadena, CA 71103

NASA
Lewis Research Center
ATTN: Mr. Gail Sundberg (MS54-4)
2100 Brook Park Road
Cleveland, OH 44135

Commander
AF Aero Propulsion Lab.
ATTN: AFAL/PODI
(Mr. Philip Herron)
Wright Patterson AFB, OH 45433

Commander
Naval Air Development Center
ATTN: Mr. Howard Ireland (3043)
Mr. Joseph Segrest
Warminster, PA 18974

Commander
NAVSEC Code 420 CTRBG
ATTN: Mr. Arnold D. Hitt, Jr.
801 Center Building
Hyattsville, MD 20782

Delco Electronics
ATTN: Dr. A. Barrett
Mail Code 8106
676 Hollister Avenue
Goleta, CA 93017

NASA
Johnson Space Center
ATTN: Mr. E. Wood, Code EG2
Houston, TX 77058

Garrett Air Research Mfg. Co.
of Arizona
ATTN: Mr. R. N. McGinley
P.O. Box 5217
402 S. 36th Street
Phoenix, AZ 85010

Lockheed California Co.
ATTN: Mr. W. W. Cloud
Department 75-82
Bldg. 63/3, Box 551
Plant A-1
Burbank, CA 91520

Sanders Associates
ATTN: Mr. A. Hurley
NCAI - 6247
95 Canal Street
Nashua, NH 03061

Defense Documentation Center (1)
ATTN: DDC-IRS
5010 Duke Street
Cameron Station (Bldg. 5)
Alexandria, VA 22314

NASA
Scientific & Tech. Inf. Off./KSI
Washington, DC 20541

Commander
U.S. Army Electronics Command
Communications Systems
Procurement Branch
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
ATTN: Capt. H. John Patch/PCO
DRSEL-PP-C-CS-1

TRW, Inc.
Defense & Space Systems Group
ATTN: Mr. Art Schoenfeld
One Space Park
Redondo Beach, CA 90278

Garrett Air Research
ATTN: Mr. Everett Geis
2525 West 190 Street
Torrance, CA 90509

Airesearch Manufacturing Co.
ATTN: Mr. John Ashmore
Electronic Systems
2525 W. 190th Street
Torrance, CA 90509

Naval Underwater Systems Center
ATTN: Mr. George Anderson
Code 3642
Newport, RI 02840

Dr. Roy Scott Hickman
Chairman, Dept. of Mech & Environ.
Engr.
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

Ohio Semitronics, Inc.
1205 Chesapeake Ave.
Columbus, Ohio 43212
ATTN: Mr. A. E. Middleton

DISTRIBUTION WITHIN RCA-SSD

C. L. Rintz
057-644

T. T. Lewis
058-632

E. D. Fleckenstein
053-460

N. R. Hangen (2)
073-637

D. R. Trout
086-963

W. T. Burkins
086-963

A. J. Witkowski
086-963

R. E. Reed (10)
086-963

J. Grosh/R. Bauder
080-923

C. E. Doner
086-963

G. J. Buchko/R. Beam
003-953

J. Heine
068-682

Library
686

R. M. Bowes
057-644

J. V. Platt
Somerville

K. C. Harding
Arlington

E. Schmitt
Somerville

B. B. Adams
086-963

J. D. Schmitt
057-634

M. F. DeVito
086-963

APPENDIX

RCA ACCEPTANCE TEST PROCEDURE (ATP)
SILICON TRANSCALENT RECTIFIER, J15401

CONTRACT: DAAK70-78-C-0120 DATED: 78 Jun 30

ITEM NO.: 0004, DD1423 Seq. No. A002

PROJECT: A331/E38/78

SPECIFICATION: MERADCOM Semiconductor Device
Silicon Transcaltent Rectifier Spec
dated 6 June 1978

PREPARED FOR: U. S. Army Mobility Equipment R&D Command
Procurement & Production Directorate
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

PREPARED BY: RCA Corporation
Solid State Division, Electro-Optics & Devices
New Holland Avenue
Lancaster, Pennsylvania 17604

ISSUE DATE: May 30, 1979

FOREWORD

This test plan for the Confirmatory Samples, Item 0002, is submitted in fulfillment of the contract data requirement for a Product Assurance Test, Demonstration and Evaluation: Confirmatory Sample Test Plan, Item 0004, Seq. No. A002.

RCA forms TL-362, TL 343, and TL 309A are used for the Acceptance Specification list of tests and test methods. These forms are components of the RCA Configuration Management plan used for the control and direction of this contract.

This report is written also in conformance with paragraphs 1.2.6, 3.1.8, and 3.1.9 of Attachment No. 1 to the contract.

After receipt of this report, it is recognized that the government shall have 15 days in which to evaluate this test plan.

RCA Electronic
Components

Date

Page 0

Engineering Specification

23-3-J15401

Engineering Standards | Industrial Tube Division | Lancaster, PA

Subject **ACCEPTANCE SPECIFICATION**

Code

Super.

RCA Electronic
Components

Lancaster, PA

TYPE J15401

Silicon Transcendent Rectifier

ACCEPTANCE SPECIFICATION

Date May 30, 1979

Supersedes Engineering Test Plan

-116-

.. CHANGE
.. ADDITION
... DELETION

These drawings and specifications are the property of RCA Corporation, Electronic Components and shall not be reproduced or copied or used as the basis for the manufacture or sale of apparatus and/or devices without permission.

TL 343 7/70

Engineering Standards | Lancaster, PA

Subject

Code

ACCEPTANCE SPECIFICATION

Super.

Test Plan for Confirmatory Samples of Silicon Transcendent Rectifier, J15401

This Test Plan describes the tests and inspections to be performed on completed J15401 Transcendent Rectifiers.

Reference Specification: MERADCOM Semiconductor Device
Silicon Transcendent Rectifier Spec.
dated 6 June 1978

Test Plan

- A. 1. Testing Time Schedule - After all assembly and manufacturing operations have been completed on finished J15401 units, these units are to be tested in accordance with this Test Plan.
2. Sequence of Examinations and Tests: Tests may be run in any order unless a specific order of tests is noted elsewhere. The listing of tests within any group or subgroup is not a specified order of tests.
3. Confirmatory Sample Inspection - The Confirmatory Sample Inspections shall consist of the tests specified in Tables I, II, and III. The number of confirmatory samples to be delivered to the Government is 10. The percentage of units to be subjected to each test and the percentage of failures allowed are listed below. When the percentage of units to be tested is less than 100%, the units shall be randomly selected. In all cases where a percentage selected for testing of failures allowed results in a fractional number of units, the quantity shall be rounded up to the next whole number of units.

Table I Group A Inspection

	<u>% of Units to be Tested</u>	<u>% of Units Tested Allowed to Fail</u>
Subgroup 1 Visual and Mechanical Inspection	100	0
Physical Dimensions	100	0
Subgroup 2 Reverse Current and Reverse Voltage ($T_A = 25^{\circ}\text{C}$)	100	0
Subgroup 3 Thermal Resistance for Rectifier Diodes	100	10
Subgroup 4 Reverse Current and Reverse Voltage ($T_C = 125^{\circ}\text{C}$)	100	5

Table I Group B Inspection

	<u>% of Units to be Tested</u>	<u>% of Units Tested Allowed to Fail</u>
Subgroup 1 Forward Voltage	100	0
Subgroup 2 Surge Current	100	10
Subgroup 3 Reverse Recovery Time	100	10

Table III Group C Inspection

Subgroup 1 Barometric Pressure - Reduced	50	0
Subgroup 2 Blocking-Voltage Life Test	30	0
Subgroup 3 Thermal Shock	20	0
Moisture Resistance	20	0
Salt Atmosphere	20	0
Subgroup 4 Thermal Fatigue Test	100	10
Subgroup 5 Shock	20	0
Vibration, Variable Freq.	20	0

Engineering Standards | Lancaster, PA

Subject

Code

ACCEPTANCE SPECIFICATION

Super.

METHOD OR PARA.	REQUIREMENT OR TEST	CONDITIONS	MIL STD 105		SYMBOL	LIMITS		UNIT
			AQL. % DEF.	INSP. LEV. OR CODE		MIN.	MAX.	
Test Plan (Continued)								
31.	Description of the method of Tests and Procedures:							
TABLE I - GROUP A INSPECTION								
Ref.: MIL-STD-750B								
Subgroup 1								
2071	Visual and Mechanical Inspection							
2066	Physical Dimensions	(See Figure 1)						
Subgroup 2								
4016.2	Reverse Current and Reverse Voltage	$T_A = 25 \pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$ A.C. Method, $f = 60 \text{ Hz}$ $V_R = 800 \text{ V}$			i_r		15	mA
Subgroup 3								
Para. 34.	Thermal Resistance for Rectifier Diodes	Heating Conditions $I_{F1} = 250 \text{ A}$ Cooling Conditions: Airflow $\leq 150 \text{ CFM}$			$R_{\theta jc}$		0.2	$^{\circ}\text{C/W}$
Subgroup 4								
	Reverse Current	$T_C = 125 \pm 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ AC Method, $F = 60 \text{ Hz}$			i_r		60	mA
4016.2	Reverse Voltage	$V_R = 800 \text{ V}$						

-119-

-119-

* AS RECORDED
* TO BE DETERMINED

... CHANGE
... ADDITION
... DELETION

These drawings and specifications are the property of RCA Corporation, Electro Optics and Devices and shall not be reproduced or copied or used as the basis for the manufacture of any apparatus and/or devices without permission.

TL252 10/7

Engineering Standards | Lancaster, PA

Subject

Code

ACCEPTANCE SPECIFICATION

Super.

METHOD OR PARA.	REQUIREMENT OR TEST	CONDITIONS	MIL STD 105		SYMBOL	LIMITS		UNIT
			AQL. % DEF.	INSP. LEV. OR CODE		MIN.	MAX.	
TABLE II - GROUP B INSPECTION								
<u>Subgroup 1</u>		$T_A = 25 \pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$						
4011.3	Forward Voltage	$I_F = 250 \text{ A (A.C. Avg.)}$ Forced Air Cooled $<150 \text{ CFM}$			V_F		2.0	V
<u>Subgroup 2</u>		$T_A = 25 \pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$						
	Surge Current	$I_f = 250 \text{ A (Avg.) A.C.}$ $f = 60 \text{ Hz}$ One (1) Cycle Surge: 10 surges; one (1) per Min., Surge dura- tion = 7 mSec (Min.) $V_r = 800 \text{ V}$			i_f	4000		A
FINAL MEASUREMENT								
<u>Subgroup 2</u>		$T_A = 25 \pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$						
4016.2	Reverse Current and Reverse Voltage	A.C. Method, $f = 60 \text{ Hz}$ $V_r = 800 \text{ V}$			i_r		15	mA
<u>Subgroup 3</u>								
4031	Reverse Recovery Time	Use JEDEC Test circuit for T_{rr} , $I_{FM} \geq 50 \text{ A}$, 1/2 Sine Wave Pulse of 20 μSec max. base width ($L = 1.3 \mu\text{H}$ and $C = 10.0 \mu\text{f}$)			t_{rr}		15.0	μSec

-120-

-120-

: AS RECORDED
: TO BE DETERMINED

* CHANGE
* ADDITION
* DELETION

These drawings and specifications are the property of RCA Corporation, Electro Optics and Devices and shall not be re-produced or copied or used as the basis for the manufacture or sale of apparatus and/or devices without permission.

TL362 10/7

Engineering Standards | Lancaster, PA

Subject

Code

ACCEPTANCE SPECIFICATION

Super.

METHOD OR PARA.	REQUIREMENT OR TEST	CONDITIONS	MIL STD 105		SYMBOL	LIMITS		UNIT
			AQL. % DEF.	INSP. LEV. OR CODE		MIN.	MAX.	
TABLE III - GROUP C INSPECTION								
Subgroup 1								
1001.1	Barometric Pressure (Reduced)	$T_A = 25 + 3^{\circ}\text{C}$ $t = 1 \text{ min. @ } f = 60 \text{ Hz,}$ 15 mm of Hg. pressure, Peak Value of $V_r = 800 \text{ V}$						
Subgroup 2								
Para B2.	Blocking Voltage Life Test	$T_C = 125 \pm 6^{\circ}\text{C}$ $t = 200 \text{ hr., Peak value}$ of $V_r = 800 \text{ V}$ See Figure 2						
FINAL MEASUREMENTS								
Subgroup 2								
4016.2	Reverse Current and Reverse Voltage	$T_A = 25 \pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$ A.C. Method, $f = 60 \text{ Hz}$ $V_r = 800 \text{ V}$			i_r		15	mA
Subgroup 3								
1051.1	Thermal Shock (Temperature Cycling)	Test Condition B, 5 cycles except $T_{\text{LOW}} = -25^{\circ}\text{C}$						
1021.1	Moisture Resistance	Omit Initial Condition						
1041.1	Salt Atmosphere (Corrosion)	$t = 24 \text{ hr.}$						
FINAL MEASUREMENTS								
Subgroup 3								
4016.2	Reverse Current and Reverse Voltage	$T_A = 25 \pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$ A.C. Method, $f = 60 \text{ Hz}$ $V_r = 800 \text{ V}$			i_r		15	mA

-121-

-121-

1: AS RECORDED
2: TO BE DETERMINED

... CHANGE
... ADDITION
... DELETION

These drawings and specifications are the property of RCA Corporation, Electro Optics and Devices and shall not be reproduced or copied or used as the basis for the manufacture or sale of equipment and/or devices without permission.

TL362 10/7

Engineering Standards | Lancaster, PA

Subject
ACCEPTANCE SPECIFICATION

Code

Super.

METHOD OR PARA.	REQUIREMENT OR TEST	CONDITIONS	MIL STD 105		SYMBOL	LIMITS		UNIT
			AQL. % DEF.	INSP. LEV. OR CODE		MIN.	MAX.	
<u>Subgroup 4</u>								
Para. B3.	Thermal Fatigue Test	$I_F = 250 \text{ A (Avg.)}$ $T_C = 30^\circ + 10^\circ \text{ min.}$ $T_C = 90^\circ \pm 10^\circ \text{ max.}$ Applied Voltage = 6 V min.				200		Cycles
	FINAL MEASUREMENTS							
	<u>Subgroup 4</u>							
4016.2	Reverse Current and Reverse Voltage	$T_A = 25 \pm 3^\circ \text{C}$ A.C. Method, $f = 60 \text{ Hz}$ $V_r = 800 \text{ V}$			i_r		15 mA	
<u>Subgroup 5</u>								
2016.2	Shock	Non-Operating, 500 G @ 1.0 mSec, 5 blows each in orientation: X_1 , Y_1 and Z						
2056	Vibration, Variable Frequency	5 G, 100 Hz to 1000 Hz						
FINAL MEASUREMENTS								
<u>Subgroup 5</u>								
4016.2	Reverse Current and Reverse Voltage	$T_A = 25 \pm 3^\circ \text{C}$ A.C. Method, $f = 60 \text{ Hz}$ $V_r = 800 \text{ V}$			i_r		15 mA	
<u>Subgroup 3</u>								
Para. B4.	Thermal Resistance for Rectifier Di- odes	Heating Conditions $I_{F1} = 250 \text{ A}$ Cooling Conditions: Airflow $\leq 150 \text{ CFM}$			$R_{\theta jc}$		0.2 $^\circ \text{C/W}$	

-122-


-122-

* AS RECORDED
* TO BE DETERMINED

* CHANGE
* ADDITION
* DELETION

These drawings and specifications are the property of RCA Corporation, Electro Optics and Devices and shall not be re-produced or copied or used as the basis for the manufacture or sale of apparatus and/or devices without permission.

TL002 10/77



DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES
 • TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENT POINT
 NOTE - THREADS - 0.550" MIN.

Figure 1 Transcaltent Rectifier Type J15401 Cross Section




Figure 2 Basic Circuit for Blocking Voltage Life Test

B. 2. Blocking-Voltage Life Test

The sample to be tested shall be placed in the circuit of Figure 2. Test duration will be a minimum of 200 hours at a case temperature, $T_C = 125 \pm 6^\circ\text{C}$.

3. Thermal Fatigue Test - The device shall be cycle tested between two case temperatures $T_C = 30 \pm 10^\circ\text{C}$ and $T_C = 90 \pm 10^\circ\text{C}$ for 200 cycles. A cycle shall consist of two intervals: "On" for at least two minutes and "Off" for at least two minutes, as required to achieve the case temperature limits. The device being tested will be connected in a test fixture with sufficient air flow across the device to obtain the case temperature excursion of $30 \pm 10^\circ\text{C}$ to $90 \pm 10^\circ\text{C}$ within the cycle.
4. Thermal Resistance for Rectifier Diodes - The rectifier to be tested should be placed in a circuit described in MIL-STD-750B, Method 4081, Figure 1. The procedure of para. 3 applies but 3.1 shall be replaced by the following: The measurement of the case temperature T_C , is performed at the base of the fins on the heat-pipes after the device reaches thermal equilibrium. The junction temperature, T_j , is determined from the value of V_{F1} measured during the brief measurement interval and from the calibration curve of this temperature dependent variable. The thermal resistance, $R_{\theta jc}$, is calculated as follows:

$$R_{\theta jc} = \frac{(T_j - T_C (\text{Average}))}{Pd} \quad (^\circ\text{C/Watt})$$
 where: P is the product of the heating current and voltage, d is the duty factor of the heating current on-time to the total interval including the measurement interval.

Paragraph 4 of Method 4081 shall specify:

- (a) Heating conditions
- (b) Cooling conditions

5. Preparation for Delivery - Preparation for delivery shall be in accordance with MIL-S-195000E.

C. Inspection Equipment

Exhibit A of this Test Plan lists the Electrical and Environmental test equipment to be used for the inspections of Tables I, II and III.

EXHIBIT A

ELECTRICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL TEST EQUIPMENT

<u>Method</u>	<u>Test Description</u>	<u>Status of Facility</u>	<u>Date of Last Calibration</u>
2066	Physical Dimensions	"Co-No-Go" Gauge Available	3/16/79
4016.2	Reverse Current	Facilities available for A.C. Method. Temperature Controlled Oven available. (Tek. Osc. Type 564 used for Parameter Measurement).	1/31/79
Par. B4	Thermal Resistance	Engineering Test Facility available.	4/27/79
4011.3	Forward Voltage	Power Supply and Monitoring available.	4/20/79
4066.2	Surge Current	Surge Fwd. Current and Rev. Voltage Supplies are available.	4/27/79
4031	Reverse Recovery Time	JTEC Test Circuit developed and test results correlate with RCA, Somerville, NJ, test data. Test equipment is operational. (Tek. Osc. Type 564 used for Parameter Measurement).	1/31/79
1001.1	Barometric Pressure (reduced)	Vacuum Chamber and V_r Supply available. Supply modified for half-wave operation.	When used
Par. B2	Blocking-Voltage Life Test	Oven and Supply are available. Supply modified for half-wave operation. (Tek. Osc. Type 531A used for Parameter Measurement).	8/29/78
1051.1	Thermal Shock Temperature Cycling	Test facility available at RCA, Lancaster, Environmental Test Laboratory.	3/17/79 12/26/78
1021.1	Moisture Resistance	Ditto	12/15/78 3/17/79
2016.2	Shock	Ditto	When used
2056	Vibration, Variable Freq.	Ditto	4/11/79 5/2/79

EXHIBIT A (Cont.)

ELECTRICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL TEST EQUIPMENT

<u>Method</u>	<u>Test Description</u>	<u>Status of Facility</u>	<u>Date of Last Calibration</u>
1041.1	Salt Atmosphere (corrosion)	Test facility available at RCA, Lancaster, Environmental Test Laboratory.	When used
Par. B3	Thermal Fatigue Test	Power Supply and Controller are available.	4/20/79

DRT 9/22/78
 MFD 1/10/79
 MFD 5/15/79