

AD-A092 598

STANFORD UNIV CA DEPT OF STATISTICS

F/6 12/1

NON-LINEAR RENEWAL THEORY FOR LATTICE RANDOM WALKS. (U)

JUN 80 S LALLEY

N00014-77-C-0306

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-9

1 1




END

DATE

FILED

[8

DTIC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD A092598

DDC FILE COPY

LEVEL II

12

6
NON-LINEAR RENEWAL THEORY FOR LATTICE RANDOM WALKS .

BY

10 STEVE LALLEY

11
TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 9

JUNE 1, 1980

17
PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT

12
N00014-77-C-0306 (NR-042-373)

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA



DTIC
ELECTE
S DEC 5 1980 D
D

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Approved for public release.
Distribution Unlimited

80 12 02 045

NON-LINEAR RENEWAL THEORY FOR LATTICE RANDOM WALKS

by

Steve Lalley

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 9

June 1, 1980

Prepared under Contract

N00014-77-C-0306 (NR-042-373)

for the Office of Naval Research

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted
for any purpose of the United States Government.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A	

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

DTIC
ELECTE
S DEC 5 1980 D
D

NON-LINEAR RENEWAL THEORY FOR LATTICE RANDOM WALKS

1. Introduction

Let $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be iid with mean $\mu > 0$ and variance $\sigma^2 < \infty$, $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j$, and $Z_n = S_n + \xi_n$, where ξ_n is, for each n , independent of the sequence $X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots$. Under various assumptions concerning the nature of the process $\{\xi_n\}$, Lai and Siegmund ([1], [2]) developed a "renewal theory" for $\{Z_n\}$ and demonstrated its usefulness in sequential statistical analysis. Their results, however, were derived under the standing assumption that the random walk $\{S_n\}$ be nonlattice; this is sometimes troublesome in statistical problems where discrete data is involved.

The purpose of this note is to state the appropriate analogues of the Lai-Siegmund results for the case of a lattice walk $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and indicate briefly how their proofs should be modified. A special case of one of these results (Theorem 1) was recently obtained by Hagwood and Woodroffe ([1]) via a rather different approach; however, for the purposes of sequential statistics, the more useful result would seem to be Theorem 3, which gives precise information concerning the hitting times involved.

Acknowledgement. The author has had illuminating conversations with D. Siegmund and M. Woodroffe on the subject of renewal theory.

2. Statement of Results

We will assume throughout that the walk $\{S_n\}$ is supported by the lattice $h \cdot \mathbb{Z}$, and also that

$$(1) \quad \xi_n \xrightarrow{d} \xi$$

where the limit random variable ξ has a continuous distribution function. (It is easy to find counterexamples to Theorems 1 and 3 when the distribution of ξ has discontinuities: for example, when ξ_n oscillates between $+\epsilon_n$ and $-\epsilon_n$ for some sequence $\epsilon_n \downarrow 0$.) In addition we will use the following notations and conventions:

$$(2) \quad T = T_a = \min\{n : Z_n > a\}$$

$$\tau_+ = \min\{n : S_n > 0\}$$

$$g(k) = [ES_{\tau_+}]^{-1} P\{S_{\tau_+} \geq hk\} ; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$G(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor x/h \rfloor} g(k) ; \quad x > 0$$

$$G_y(x) = G(x+y) ; \quad 0 < y \leq h$$

$$H_y(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} P\{kh+y < \xi \leq kh+y+x\} ; \quad 0 < x, y \leq h$$

THEOREM 1: Assume that for some δ , $1/2 < \delta < 1$,

$$(3) \quad a^{-\delta}(T_a - \mu^{-1}a) \xrightarrow{P} 0$$

and that for each $\eta > 0$ there exists $\rho > 0$ for which

$$(4) \quad P\{\max_{n \leq j \leq n+2\rho n} \delta |\xi_j - \xi_n| > \eta\} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then for all x, y, t such that $0 < x, y \leq h$ and $t \in R$, and each $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$,

$$(5) \quad P\{kh < Z_{T_a} - a \leq kh + x\} \rightarrow H_y(x) \cdot g(k+1)$$

as $a \rightarrow \infty$ through the coset $y + h\mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, as $a \rightarrow \infty$ through R ,

$$(6) \quad P\{T_a \leq a\mu^{-1} + t\sigma\mu^{-3/2} a^{1/2}\} \rightarrow \Phi(t).$$

(Φ is the standardized Gaussian distribution function.) If in addition to the previous assumptions

$$(7) \quad P\{\xi_n \leq y; (S_n - n\mu)/n^{1/2} \sigma \leq \omega\} \rightarrow \Psi(y, \omega)$$

for some two-dimensional distribution function Ψ , then

$$(8) \quad P\{kh < Z_{T_a} - a \leq kh + x; T_a \leq a\mu^{-1} + t\sigma\mu^{-3/2} a^{1/2}\} \\ \rightarrow g(k+1) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\{j+y < \xi \leq j+y+x; \zeta > -t\}} d\Psi(\xi, \zeta)$$

as $a \rightarrow \infty$ through $y + h\mathbb{Z}$.

It is worth noting that, in contrast to the nonlattice case, the extra condition (7) is essential to the joint convergence of $(Z_{T_a} - a)$ and $(T_a - a\mu^{-1})/a^{1/2}$. Moreover, $(Z_{T_a} - a)$ and $(T_a - a\mu^{-1})/a^{1/2}$ will in general be asymptotically independent only when ξ_n and $(S_n - n\mu)/n^{1/2} \sigma$ are asymptotically independent: that is, if $\Psi(y, \omega) = P\{\xi \leq y\} \cdot \Phi(t)$, then

$$(9) \quad P\{kh < Z_{T_a} - a \leq kh + x; T_a \leq a\mu^{-1} + t\sigma\mu^{-3/2} a^{1/2}\} \\ \rightarrow g(k+1)H_y(x)\Phi(t) \quad .$$

In many applications, ξ_n and $(S_n - n\mu)/n^{1/2}\sigma$ will be highly dependent (in fact, ξ_n is often a constant multiple of $(S_n - n\mu)^2/n$) and so a limit distribution somewhat different from that given in (9) will occur.

The analogue of Blackwell's Theorem for the process $\{Z_n\}$ is amusing in that the limit is not a Haar measure.

THEOREM 2: Suppose in addition to (1) that there exists δ , $1/2 < \delta \leq 1$, such that the following three conditions hold:

$$(10) \quad E|X_1|^{2/\delta} < \infty$$

$$(11) \quad \text{for each } \epsilon > 0 \quad \sum_1^\infty P\{|\xi_n| > n^\delta \epsilon\} < \infty$$

and for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$(12) \quad \sum_{j=n}^{n+\rho n^\delta} P\{|\xi_j - \xi_n| \geq \epsilon\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad .$$

Then for each x, y such that $0 < x, y \leq h$,

$$(13) \quad \sum_1^\infty P\{a < Z_n \leq a+x\} \rightarrow H_y(x)/\mu$$

as $a \rightarrow \infty$ through the coset $y + h\mathbb{Z}$.

Next we give an asymptotic expansion of ET_a .

THEOREM 3: Assume for some $\eta > 0$

$$(14) \quad P\{T \leq \eta a\} = o(a^{-1}), \quad a \rightarrow \infty,$$

and also that the sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ satisfies

$$(15) \quad \sum_n P\{\sup_{k \geq n} k^{-\delta} |\xi_k| > \epsilon\} < \infty, \quad \epsilon > 0$$

$$(16) \quad \sum_{n \leq j \leq n+n} \delta P\{|\xi_j - \xi_n| \geq \epsilon\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$(17) \quad \left\{ \max_{n \leq j \leq n+n} \delta |\xi_j| \right\}_{n \geq 1} \quad \text{is uniformly integrable.}$$

Then as $a \rightarrow \infty$ through $y + hZ$, $0 < y \leq h$,

$$(18) \quad \mu E T_a = a - E\xi + (E S_{\tau_+}^2 / 2 E S_{\tau_+}) - h \\ + \int_0^h x H_y(dx) + o(1).$$

Random processes of the form $Z_n = S_n + \xi_n$ and stopping rules of the genre $T = \min\{n : Z_n > a\}$ occur frequently in sequential statistical analysis. An important class of such processes is given by

$$(19) \quad Z_n = n g(\bar{Y}_n)$$

where $\bar{Y}_n = (Y_1 + \dots + Y_n)/n$; $Y_1, \dots, Y_n, \dots$ is an iid sequence of p -dimensional random vectors; and $g: R^p \rightarrow R^1$ is a C^2 function with the property $g(EY_1) > 0$. Expanding g in a 2-term Taylor series about EY_1 , we find that $Z_n = S_n + \xi_n$ for a random walk S_n drifting to $+\infty$ and a sequence ξ_n converging in law to a continuous distribution

(namely a weighted sum of independent χ_1^2 variables).

EXAMPLE: Let $Y_1, \dots, Y_n, \dots$ be iid with $P\{Y_j = 1\} = p = 1 - P\{Y_1 = 0\}$ for $0 < p < 1$. In order to estimate $\log(p/q)$ by an estimator with preassigned variance a^{-1} , Robbins and Siegmund [4] defined the stopping rule

$$(20) \quad T_a = \min\{n : \beta_n \cdot (n - \beta_n) > na\}$$

where $\beta_n = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$, and proposed the estimator

$$(21) \quad \log[(\beta_{T_a} + 1/2)/(T_a - \beta_{T_a} + 1/2)]$$

They showed that as $a \rightarrow \infty$ this estimator is asymptotically normal with mean $\log(p/(1-p))$ and variance $\sim 1/a$ regardless of p , and that $E_p T_a \sim a/p(1-p)$. Subsequently, Siegmund [6] noted that as a consequence of the nonlinear renewal theory for nonlattice walks,

$$(22) \quad p(1-p)E_p T_a = a + (2p-1)^2/2 + p(1-p)/2 + o(1)$$

as $a \rightarrow \infty$, provided $[p/(1-p)]^2$ is irrational. It now follows from our Theorem 3 that if $[p/(1-p)]^2$ is rational, $[p/(1-p)]^2 = r/h$ in lowest terms, then

$$(23) \quad p(1-p)E_p T_a = a + (1-2p)^2/2 + p(1-p)/2$$

$$- \int_{u=0}^{q^2 h^{-1}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} P\{kq^2 h^{-1} + y < \xi \leq kq^2 h^{-1} + y + u\} du + o(1)$$

as $a \rightarrow \infty$ through $y + h\mathbb{Z}$; here $-\xi \sim \chi_1^2$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

We will present a complete proof only for Theorem 1. Theorem 3 follows from Theorem 1 via Wald's Identity:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu E T_a &= E S_{T_a} \\ &= E Z_{T_a} - E \xi_{T_a} \\ &= a + E(Z_{T_a} - a) - E \xi_{T_a}.\end{aligned}$$

The assumption $\xi_n \xrightarrow{d} \xi$ suggests $E \xi_{T_a} \rightarrow E \xi$, and Theorem 1 leads one to hope that

$$\lim_{\substack{a \rightarrow \infty \\ a \in y+h\mathbb{Z}}} E(Z_{T_a} - a)$$

exists and is the mean of the limiting distribution recorded in (5). The details of this argument are so similar to those given in Theorem 3 of Lai and Siegmund [3] that we omit them.

Theorem 2 requires considerably more care. However, a proof can be distilled from the ideas contained in the proof of Theorem 1 and in the papers of Lai and Siegmund, so we refrain from presenting it.

We will assume for the proof of Theorem 1 that the span h of the lattice supporting the random walk $\{S_n\}$ is 1. Now the assumption $a^{-\delta}(T_a - a\mu^{-1}) \xrightarrow{P} 0$ implies that there is a function $\rho(a) \downarrow 0$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(24) \quad P\{a^{-\delta}|T_a - a\mu^{-1}| \geq \rho(a)\} \rightarrow 0.$$

We are certainly free to let $\rho(a) \downarrow 0$ as slowly as we like; thus we will assume

$$(25) \quad \rho(a)a^{\delta-1/2} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Define

$$(26) \quad n_0 = n_0(a) = \lceil a\mu^{-1} - \rho(a)a^\delta \rceil$$

$$\tau_a = \min\{n > n_0(a) : S_n + \xi_{n_0} > a\}$$

LEMMA 1: Under the conditions (1), (3), and (4),

$$(27) \quad P\{\tau_a \neq \tau_a\} \rightarrow 0$$

and for every $\eta > 0$

$$(28) \quad P\{|Z_{\tau_a} - S_{\tau_a} - \xi_{n_0(a)}| > \eta\} \rightarrow 0.$$

PROOF: Lemma 3 below guarantees that $P\{\tau_a \notin [n_0, n_0 + 2\rho(a)a^\delta]\} \rightarrow 0$.

On the event $\tau_a \in [n_0, n_0 + 2\rho(a)a^\delta]$,

$$|Z_{\tau_a} - S_{\tau_a} - \xi_{n_0(a)}| = |\xi_{\tau_a} - \xi_{n_0(a)}|$$

$$\leq \max_{n_0 \leq j \leq n_0 + 2\rho(a)a^\delta} |\xi_j - \xi_{n_0}|$$

and since $\rho(a) \downarrow 0$, assumption (4) implies

$$P\{\max_{n_0 \leq j \leq n_0 + 2\rho(a)a^\delta} |\xi_j - \xi_{n_0}| > \eta\} \rightarrow 0.$$

This proves (28).

Next, fix $\eta > 0$; then

$$\{T_a \neq \tau_a\} \subset \{T_a \notin [n_0, n_0 + 2\rho(a)a^\delta]\}$$

$$\cup \{\tau_a \notin [n_0, n_0 + 2\rho(a)a^\delta]\}$$

$$\cup \{\max_{n_0 \leq j \leq n_0 + 2\rho(a)a^\delta} |\xi_j - \xi_{n_0}| > \eta\}$$

$$\cup \{\exists n \in [n_0, n_0 + 2\rho(a)a^\delta] :$$

$$S_n + \xi_{n_0} \in [a - 2\eta, a + 2\eta]\} .$$

By (24) $P\{T_a \notin [n_0, n_0 + 2\rho(a)a^\delta]\} \rightarrow 0$; by Lemma 3,

$P\{\tau_a \notin [n_0, n_0 + 2\rho(a)a^\delta]\} \rightarrow 0$ and $P\{\exists n \in [n_0, n_0 + 2\rho(a)a^\delta] :$

$S_n + \xi_{n_0} \in [a - 2\eta, a + 2\eta]\}$ is small if a is large and η small; and by assumption (4), $P\{\max_{n_0 \leq j \leq n_0 + 2\rho(a)a^\delta} |\xi_j - \xi_{n_0}| > \eta\} \rightarrow 0$. This proves (27).

The objective now will be to show that $(S_{\tau_a} + \xi_{n_0} - a)$ has the limiting distribution advertised in (5). Before doing so, we recall a useful result from standard renewal theory.

LEMMA 2: Let $v = v_a = \min\{n : S_n > a\}$ and let $y \in (0, 1]$. Then as $a \rightarrow \infty$ through $y + \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(29) \quad P\{S_{v_a} - a \leq x ; v_a \leq a\mu^{-1} + t\sigma\mu^{-3/2} a^{1/2}\} \\ \rightarrow \Phi(t)G_y(x)$$

for all $x > 0$, and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

For a proof of this result see Siegmund [5].

LEMMA 3: For all x, y with $0 < x, y \leq 1$, and each $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, if

(1) holds, then

$$(30) \quad P\{S_{\tau_a} + \xi_{n_0(a)} - a \in (k, k+x]\} \rightarrow H_y(x)g(k+1)$$

as $a \rightarrow \infty$ through $y + \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover,

$$(31) \quad P\{\tau_a \leq a\mu^{-1} + t\sigma\mu^{-3/2} a^{1/2}\} \rightarrow \Phi(t) \quad \text{as } a \rightarrow \infty,$$

and for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $A = A(\epsilon)$ and $\eta = \eta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$a > A$ implies

$$(32) \quad P\{S_n + \xi_{n_0} \in [a-\eta, a+\eta] \text{ for some } n > n_0(a)\} \leq \epsilon.$$

Finally, if (7) holds, then for $x, y \in (0, 1]$ and $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$,

$$(33) \quad P\{k < S_{\tau_a} + \xi_{n_0} - a \leq k+x; \tau_a \leq a\mu^{-1} + t\sigma\mu^{-3/2} a^{1/2}\} \\ \rightarrow g(k+1) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\{j+y \leq \xi \leq j+y+x; \zeta > -t\}} d\Psi(\xi, \zeta)$$

as $a \rightarrow \infty$ through $y + \mathbb{Z}$.

PROOF: It is evident that $a - S_{n_0(a)} - \xi_{n_0(a)} \xrightarrow{P} +\infty$ since $[a\mu^{-1} - n_0(a)]a^{-1/2} \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus we may use the result of Lemma 2 for the random walk $0, S_{n_0(a)+1} - S_{n_0(a)}, S_{n_0(a)+2} - S_{n_0(a)}, \dots$ and the hitting time $\nu_{a-S_{n_0(a)}-\xi_{n_0(a)}}$ to estimate $P\{S_{\tau_a} + \xi_{n_0} - a \leq z | \mathcal{F}_{n_0}\}$:

$$(34) \quad P\{|P\{S_{\tau_a} + \xi_{n_0} - a \leq z | \mathfrak{F}_{n_0}\} - G_{(y-\xi_{n_0})^*}(z)| > \epsilon\} \rightarrow 0$$

as $a \rightarrow \infty$ through $y + \mathbb{Z}$,

for every $\epsilon > 0$, where

$$(35) \quad u^* = u \bmod 1 \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $\xi_{n_0} \xrightarrow{d} \xi$, and ξ has a continuous distribution,

$$(36) \quad EG_{(y-\xi_{n_0})^*}(z) \rightarrow EG_{(y-\xi)^*}(z);$$

this and (34) prove (30) since

$$\begin{aligned} (37) \quad EG_{(y-\xi)^*}(z) &= E \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor (y-\xi)^* + z \rfloor} g(j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor z \rfloor} g(j) + g(\lfloor z+1 \rfloor) P\{\lfloor (y-\xi)^* + z \rfloor = \lfloor z+1 \rfloor\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor z \rfloor} g(j) + g(\lfloor z+1 \rfloor) H_y(z - \lfloor z \rfloor). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we may deduce from Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} (38) \quad P\{|P\{S_{\tau_a} + \xi_{n_0} - a \leq z; \tau_a \leq a\mu^{-1} + t\sigma\mu^{-3/2} a^{1/2} | \mathfrak{F}_{n_0}\} \\ - G_{(y-\xi_{n_0})^*}(z) - 1\{ (S_{n_0} + \xi_{n_0} - n_0\mu)/\sigma n_0^{1/2} > -t \}| > \epsilon\} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

(as $a \rightarrow \infty$ through $y + \mathbb{Z}$).

The reasoning is as follows: the event

$$\begin{aligned}
 (39) \quad & \{S_{\tau_a} + \xi_{n_0} - a \leq z; \tau_a \leq a\mu^{-1} + t\sigma\mu^{-3/2} a^{1/2}\} \\
 & = \{(S_{n_0+v} - S_{n_0}) - (a - S_{n_0} - \xi_{n_0}) \leq z; \\
 & \quad v \leq (a\mu^{-1} - n_0) + t\sigma\mu^{-3/2} a^{1/2}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(40) \quad v = v_{a-S_{n_0}-\xi_{n_0}} = \min\{k : S_{n_0+k} - S_{n_0} > a - S_{n_0} - \xi_{n_0}\}.$$

By Lemma 2 and the fact that $a - S_{n_0} - \xi_{n_0} \xrightarrow{P} +\infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (41) \quad & P\{(S_{n_0+v} - S_{n_0}) - (a - S_{n_0} - \xi_{n_0}) \leq z; \\
 & \quad v \in (a - S_{n_0} - \xi_{n_0})\mu^{-1} \pm (a - S_{n_0} - \xi_{n_0})^\delta | \mathcal{F}_{n_0}\} \\
 & \quad - G_{(y-\xi_{n_0})^*}(z) \xrightarrow{P} 0;
 \end{aligned}$$

moreover, since $(a - S_{n_0} - \xi_{n_0})^\delta / n_0^{1/2} \xrightarrow{P} 0$, and $a^{1/2} / n_0^{1/2} \mu^{1/2} \rightarrow 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (42) \quad & 1\{(a - S_{n_0} - \xi_{n_0})\mu^{-1} \pm (a - S_{n_0} - \xi_{n_0})^\delta \\
 & \leq (a\mu^{-1} - n_0) + t\sigma\mu^{-3/2} a^{1/2}\} \\
 & \quad - 1\{(S_{n_0} + \xi_{n_0} - n_0\mu) / \sigma n_0^{1/2} > -t\} \xrightarrow{P} 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This and (41) imply (38).

If we set $z = +\infty$ in (38), we obtain (31). Furthermore, it is clear that if (7) holds, then (38) implies (33).

To prove (32) we choose η ($0 < \eta < 1/2$) small enough and A large enough so that $a > A$ implies

$$(43) \quad P\{\xi_{n_0}^*(a) \in I_{2\eta}\} < \epsilon$$

for every interval $I_{2\eta} \subset [0,1]$ of length 2η (this is possible since $\xi_n \xrightarrow{d} \xi$ and ξ has a continuous distribution). Since the random walk $\{S_n\}$ is supported by $\mathbb{Z}$, it is clear that (43) implies (32).

Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 1 and 3.

REFERENCES

- [1] Hagwood, and Woodroffe, M. (1980). (unpublished manuscript)

- [2] Lai, T.L. and Siegmund, D. (1977). A nonlinear renewal theorem with applications to sequential analysis I. Ann. Statist. 5, 946-954.

- [3] Lai, T.L. and Siegmund, D. (1979). A nonlinear renewal theorem with applications to sequential analysis II. Ann. Statist. 7, 60-76.

- [4] Robbins, H. and Siegmund, D. (1974). Sequential estimation of p in Bernoulli trials, in Studies in Probability and Statistics, Jerusalem Academic Press.

- [5] Siegmund, D. (1975). The time until ruin in collective risk theory. Mitt. Verein. Schweiz. Versich.-Math. 75, 157-166.

- [6] Siegmund, D. (1980). A sequential confidence interval for the odds ratio. Stanford Tech. Report No. 141.

- [7] Woodroffe, M. (1976). A renewal theorem for curved boundaries and moments of first passage times. Ann. Probability 4, 67-80.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER No. 9	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-2092598	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) NON-RENEWAL THEORY FOR LATTICE RANDOM WALKS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED TECHNICAL REPORT
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) STEVE LALLEY		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-77-C-0306
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS / STANFORD UNIVERSITY STANFORD, CALIF.		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR-042-373
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH STAT. & PROBABILITY PROGRAM, CODE 436 ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22217		12. REPORT DATE JUNE 1, 1980
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE AND SALE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Non-Linear Renewal Theory, Stopping Rule, Fixed-Width Confidence Interval.		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) → Renewal theorems are obtained for a class of perturbed arithmetic random walks. An application to the study of fixed-width confidence intervals is discussed. ←		

DD FORM 1473

JAN 73

EDITION OF 1 NOV 66 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)