

AD-A092 814

ENERGY RESEARCH CORP DANBURY CONN

F/6 10/2

IMPROVEMENT OF PHOSPHORIC ACID FUEL CELL STACKS.(U)

JUL 80 S G ABENS, F J ASCENZO, B S BAKER

DAAK70-77-C-0174

UNCLASSIFIED

NL

1 104  
41-  
6/20/84

END  
DATE  
FILMED  
1 81  
DTIC

AD A092814

LEVEL II

(12)

IMPROVEMENT OF PHOSPHORIC ACID


FUEL CELL STACKS

Final Technical Report

October 1980

Prepared By:

S. G. Abens  
F. J. Ascenzo  
B. S. Baker  
G. Garretson  
M. Lambrech




Prepared For:

U. S. Army Mobility Equipment  
Research and Development Command  
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

Contract DAAK70-77-C-0174

ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION  
3 Great Pasture Rd.  
Danbury, Connecticut 06810



DDC FILE COPY

80 12 08 097

## NOTICES

### DISCLAIMERS

The citation of trade names and names of manufacturers in this report is not to be construed as official Government endorsement or approval of commercial products or services referenced herein.

### DISPOSITION

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to originator.

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                             | READ INSTRUCTIONS<br>BEFORE COMPLETING FORM |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------------|--------|---------------|----------|
| 1. REPORT NUMBER                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.                                       | 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER               |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | AD-A092824                                                  |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| 4. TITLE (and Subtitle)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED                          |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| (1) Improvement of Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cell Stacks                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Final Technical Report, Aug 77 - June 80                    |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| 7. AUTHOR(s)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER                            |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| 10 S. G. Abens, F. J. Ascenzo, B. S. Baker<br>G. Garretson, M. Lambrech                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                             |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)                              |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| Energy Research Corporation<br>3 Great Pasture Road<br>Danbury, Connecticut 06810                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | (15) DAAK70-77-C-0174                                       |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| US Army Mobility Equipment Research and Development Command, DRDME-EC<br>Fort Belvoir, VA 22060                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | (16) 63702A, 1L263702DGL0<br>03, 012EF                      |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 12. REPORT DATE                                             |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| Chief, DCASO<br>US Courthouse - Fed. Bldg.<br>915 Lafayette Blvd.<br>Bridgeport, CT 06603                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | (11) July 1980                                              |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| 13. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 13. NUMBER OF PAGES                                         |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| This document has been approved for public release and sale.<br>Its distribution is unlimited.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                             |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                             |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| UNCLASSIFIED                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                                             |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                             |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                             |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                             |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                             |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Fuel Cells</td> <td>Electrodes</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Phosphoric Acid</td> <td>Matrix</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bipolar Plate</td> <td>Methanol</td> </tr> </table>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                             |                                             | Fuel Cells | Electrodes | Phosphoric Acid | Matrix | Bipolar Plate | Methanol |
| Fuel Cells                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Electrodes                                                  |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| Phosphoric Acid                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Matrix                                                      |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| Bipolar Plate                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Methanol                                                    |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                                             |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |
| <p>Phosphoric acid fuel cell components and stack assembly methods were evaluated. Electrodes with Pt loading between 0.3 and 0.9 g/ft<sup>2</sup> were employed. Matrix materials were phenolic (Kynol) fibers and SiC. 7 new ERC proprietary matrix was used also. Graphite bipolar plates with 33% phenolic resin binder were used. Stacks were tested on hydrogen and simulated reformed methanol for up to 18,000 hours. Two 80-cell (2.1 kW) stacks and a methanol reformer were built and delivered to MERADCOM.</p> |                                                             |                                             |            |            |                 |        |               |          |

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

SUMMARY

Phosphoric acid fuel cell component designs and stack assembly methods were evaluated for gastightness, performance and endurance. All work was with 5 in. x 15 in. cell dimensions, a size employed for constructing 2 kW stacks for the 1.5 kW methanol fuel cell powerplant.

Component development covered electrodes, the matrix, and the bipolar plate. The electrodes employed platinum on carbon catalyst at loadings of 0.3 to 0.9 grams/ft<sup>2</sup>. A coating technique for preparing SiC matrices was developed and a new ERC proprietary matrix (Mat-1) was evaluated. Bipolar plate mechanical and electrical characteristics were determined for a range of graphite-resin ratios.

The components were evaluated in multi-cell stacks. A total of 468 cells were assembled into 3, 10, and 80-cell stacks. Stack assembly techniques using both prefilled and dry matrices with wick filling were employed with equally good results. Phenolic fiber (Kynol) and SiC matrices were used in addition to the Mat-1 matrix.

Stack testing was conducted with hydrogen and with reformed methanol as fuel. Testing was also conducted for the effect of elevated temperature, low current density, unreformed methanol in the fuel, and thermal cycling of the stack.

Endurance testing of stacks was carried out for over 18,000 hours with the best cell performance above 600 mV at 100 ASF. Optimum cell performance during life testing with pure hydrogen was above 640 mV at 100 ASF. Two 80-cell stacks and a methanol reformer were assembled, tested and delivered to MERADCOM.

|                    |     |             |   |   |   |
|--------------------|-----|-------------|---|---|---|
| Accession For      | DIS | GRA&I       | □ | □ | □ |
|                    | DIS | C T'S       |   |   |   |
|                    | U   | Unreformed  |   |   |   |
|                    |     | Application |   |   |   |
| Distribution/      |     |             |   |   |   |
| Availability Codes |     |             |   |   |   |
| Avail and/or       |     |             |   |   |   |
| Dist Special       |     |             |   |   |   |
|                    |     |             |   |   | A |

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>Section</u> |                                               | <u>Page No.</u> |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
|                | <u>SUMMARY</u>                                | i               |
| 1.0            | <u>INTRODUCTION</u>                           | 1               |
| 2.0            | <u>COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT</u>                  | 2               |
| 2.1            | BIPOLAR PLATE                                 | 2               |
| 2.1.1          | <u>Evaluation of PF Resin Content</u>         | 2               |
| 2.1.1.1        | Specific Conductance                          | 3               |
| 2.1.1.2        | Voltage Drop Thru Plate                       | 3               |
| 2.1.1.3        | Density                                       | 7               |
| 2.1.1.4        | Weight Loss in Air                            | 9               |
| 2.1.1.5        | Weight Loss in H <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> | 9               |
| 2.1.2          | Other Resins                                  | 11              |
| 2.1.3          | Mechanical Properties                         | 11              |
| 2.1.4          | Endurance                                     | 11              |
| 2.1.5          | Gas Distribution                              | 12              |
| 2.1.6          | DIGAS Cooling Plate                           | 17              |
| 2.2            | ELECTRODES                                    | 17              |
| 2.3            | SiC MATRIX DEVELOPMENT                        | 21              |
| 3.0            | <u>STACK ASSEMBLY DEVELOPMENT</u>             | 23              |
| 3.1            | HARDWARE                                      | 25              |
| 3.2            | EDGE SEALS                                    | 26              |
| 3.3            | MANIFOLD SEALS                                | 26              |
| 3.4            | ELECTROLYTE WICKING                           | 30              |
| 3.5            | ASSEMBLY WITH DIGAS PLATES                    | 30              |
| 3.6            | STACK TERMINALS                               | 30              |

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

TABLE OF CONTENTS,  
(concluded)

| <u>Section</u> |                                | <u>Page No.</u> |
|----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| 4.0            | <u>STACK TESTING</u>           | 33              |
| 4.1            | CONSTRUCTION                   | 33              |
| 4.2            | PROCEDURE                      | 33              |
| 4.2.1          | Oxygen Gain Measurement        | 35              |
| 4.2.2          | Resistance Measurement         | 35              |
| 4.3            | THREE-CELL STACKS              | 35              |
| 4.3.1          | Initial Performance            | 37              |
| 4.3.2          | Performance Stability          | 37              |
| 4.3.3          | Performance with SRF           | 40              |
| 4.3.4          | Carbon Monoxide Tolerance      | 41              |
| 4.3.5          | Effect of CO <sub>2</sub>      | 41              |
| 4.3.6          | Electrolyte Consumption        | 43              |
| 4.3.7          | Overtemperature Testing        | 43              |
| 4.3.8          | Low Current Testing            | 46              |
| 4.4            | TEN-CELL STACKS                | 47              |
| 4.4.1          | Cell Voltage Reproducibility   | 47              |
| 4.4.2          | Thermal Profile                | 54              |
| 4.4.3          | Thermal Cycling                | 57              |
| 4.4.4          | Effect of Methanol in Fuel     | 60              |
| 4.5            | EIGHTY-CELL STACKS             | 62              |
| 4.5.1          | Construction                   | 62              |
| 4.5.2          | Performance with Hydrogen Fuel | 68              |
| 4.5.3          | Testing with Methanol Reformer | 70              |
| 5.0            | <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>             | 74              |

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

## LIST OF FIGURES

| <u>Figure No.</u> |                                                               | <u>Page No.</u> |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1.                | Four Point Resistivity Measurement                            | 4               |
| 2.                | Bipolar Plate Material Resistivity                            | 4               |
| 3.                | Contact Resistance Set-up                                     | 6               |
| 4.                | Bipolar Plate Contact Resistance                              | 6               |
| 5.                | Bipolar Plate Material Density                                | 8               |
| 6.                | Bipolar Plate Weight Change in Air                            | 9               |
| 7.                | Bipolar Plate Stability in $H_3PO_4$                          | 10              |
| 8.                | Bipolar Plate Weight Loss in $H_3PO_4$                        | 10              |
| 9.                | Bipolar Plate After 7000 hours of Service at 350°F            | 13              |
| 10.               | Bipolar Plate Design                                          | 15              |
| 11.               | Plate Pressing Dies                                           | 16              |
| 12.               | Cutaway View of DIGAS Stack with Air Flow in 15 in. Direction | 18              |
| 13.               | DIGAS Cooling Plate, 5 in. x 15 in.                           | 18              |
| 14.               | Small Cell Performance                                        | 19              |
| 15.               | Electrode Manufacturing Process                               | 20              |
| 16.               | Coating Machine                                               | 23              |
| 17.               | Bubble Pressure Testing Set-up                                | 24              |
| 18.               | Tie Bar Deflection                                            | 25              |
| 19.               | Cemented Area on Matrix                                       | 27              |
| 20.               | Cemented Area on Plate                                        | 28              |
| 21.               | Stack Cutaway                                                 | 28              |
| 22.               | Manifold Seals                                                | 29              |
| 23.               | Kynol and Graphite Paper Areas in DIGAS Assembly              | 31              |
| 24.               | O-Ring Seal for DIGAS Plate                                   | 31              |

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

## LIST OF FIGURES

| <u>Figure No.</u> |                                                          | <u>Page No.</u> |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 25.               | 5 x 15 in. Fuel Cell Stack Assembly                      | 32              |
| 26.               | Test Set-up Schematic                                    | 34              |
| 27.               | Stack Test Panel                                         | 34              |
| 28.               | Stack Resistance Change During Wicking                   | 36              |
| 29.               | H <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> Polarization for Stack 16 | 36              |
| 30.               | Polarization Data                                        | 39              |
| 31.               | Cell Voltage Stability                                   | 40              |
| 32.               | Effect of Temperature on Load Voltage                    | 42              |
| 33.               | Effect of CO <sub>2</sub> on Load Voltage                | 42              |
| 34.               | Lifegraph of Stack 39                                    | 44              |
| 35.               | High Temperature Test                                    | 45              |
| 36.               | Stack Performance Before Low Current Testing             | 48              |
| 37.               | Stack Performance After Low Current Testing              | 48              |
| 38.               | Stack Polarization, Dry Assembly                         | 52              |
| 39.               | Stack Polarization, Wet Assembly                         | 53              |
| 40.               | Stack Performance Stability, Dry Assembly                | 55              |
| 41.               | Stack Performance Stability, Wet Assembly                | 56              |
| 42.               | Extended Life Testing, Stack 48                          | 57              |
| 43.               | Temperature Profile for Stack 50                         | 58              |
| 44.               | 10-Cell Stack Warm-up                                    | 59              |
| 45.               | Temperature Cycle for 10-Cell Stack                      | 59              |
| 46.               | Thermal Cycle Test                                       | 60              |
| 47.               | Eighty-Cell Stack Assembly                               | 65              |
| 48.               | 80-Cell Stack without and with Gas Manifolds Installed   | 66              |
| 49.               | The Second 80-Cell Stack with DIGAS Cooling Plates       | 67              |

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

LIST OF FIGURES  
(concluded)

| <u>Figure No.</u> |                                              | <u>Page No.</u> |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 50.               | 80-Cell Stack Performance                    | 69              |
| 51.               | Methanol Reformer                            | 71              |
| 52.               | Temperature Profile of 1.5 kW Reformer       | 73              |
| 53.               | Fuel Cell Test Set-up with Methanol Reformer | 73              |

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

## LIST OF TABLES

| <u>Table No.</u> |                                                | <u>Page No.</u> |
|------------------|------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| I.               | Plate Material Resistivity                     | 5               |
| II.              | Apparent Plate Resistance                      | 7               |
| III.             | Plate Density Determination                    | 8               |
| IV.              | Bipolar Plate Mechanical Properties            | 12              |
| V.               | Electrode Characteristics                      | 21              |
| VI.              | SiC Matrix Composition                         | 22              |
| VII.             | Three-Cell Stack Summary                       | 38              |
| VIII.            | Performance Drop with SRF                      | 41              |
| IX.              | Elevated Temperature Test                      | 45              |
| X.               | Low Current Test                               | 47              |
| XI.              | Ten-Cell Stack Summary                         | 50              |
| XII.             | Cell No Load Potentials                        | 51              |
| XIII.            | Cell Load Potentials                           | 51              |
| XIV.             | Stack Performance with SRF                     | 54              |
| XV.              | Methanol Concentration                         | 61              |
| XVI.             | Methanol Flow                                  | 61              |
| XVII.            | Cell Load Voltage with Methanol in Fuel        | 62              |
| XVIII.           | Eighty-Cell Stack Components                   | 63              |
| XIX.             | Stack Component Weights                        | 64              |
| XX.              | Eighty-Cell Stack Performance                  | 68              |
| XXI.             | Reformer Characteristics                       | 70              |
| XXII.            | Reformer Gas Composition (Dry Basis)           | 72              |
| XXIII.           | Performance of Stack 61 with Reformed Methanol | 72              |

**ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION****1.0 INTRODUCTION**

The purpose of this project was to improve performance and reliability of phosphoric acid fuel cell stacks. The effort was focused on improving bipolar plates, electrodes, matrices, and gas seals.

Stack component manufacturing methods have been the subject of earlier work by Energy Research Corporation conducted under contract to USA MERADCOM. As a result of this effort, component manufacturing processes which are amenable to mass production methods were developed and evaluated in stack tests.\*

The present project was conducted in two 15-month phases. During the first phase, 51 stacks were built and tested. Improved bipolar plate designs and a low loading platinum-on-carbon electrode catalyst were employed. Silicon carbide and Kynol fiber were evaluated as matrix materials; in addition, an ERC proprietary matrix (Mat-1) was tested. Simplified stack assembly methods with reliable gas seals were developed.

Extensive endurance testing was undertaken at 100 ASF and 350°F nominal stack temperature. Two stacks were tested with hydrogen fuel for more than 6000 hours, five additional stacks were tested for over 5000 hours, and another 15 stacks were tested beyond 2000 hours. Several thousand hours of testing with simulated reformer product gas were also conducted.

At the conclusion of this phase of the project, an 80-cell stack was constructed, tested, and delivered to MERADCOM.

---

\* Contract DAAK02-74-C-0367, Final Report, 1977.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

During the second phase (which commenced about four months after the completion of the first phase), additional stack testing was conducted to determine the short-term effects of over-temperature, high operating voltage and methanol in the gaseous fuel. Thermal cycling between 150 and 350°F was also evaluated, and endurance testing was extended to 18,000 hours.

At the conclusion of this phase of the project, another 80-cell stack and a 3 kW reformer were built, tested, and delivered to MERADCOM.

## 2.0 COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT

### 2.1 BIPOLAR PLATE

A molded bipolar gas distribution plate is the key component in a low cost, air-cooled stack. Its design has a major bearing on performance characteristics and manufacturing economics of the phosphoric acid fuel cell.

In an earlier effort,\* techniques were developed for molding bipolar plates using phenol-formaldehyde (PF) resins as binders for graphite. In the current project, the task was to examine various properties of the plates, to evaluate new gas channel configurations, and to obtain further life and performance data, both under simulated and actual stack operating conditions.

#### 2.1.1 Evaluation of PF Resin Content

A number of plate properties are determined by the carbon-resin ratio in the plate. Among those of immediate interest for phosphoric acid stacks are specific conductance, contact (skin) resistance, and the stability in air and in phosphoric acid. These properties were tested using PF resin in the

---

\*Contract DAAK02-74-C-0367, Final Report, 1977.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

composition range of 17 to 33% resin.

Test samples were molded in the 15 in. x 5 in. plate mold using flat mold faces from various mixtures of Colloid 8440 resin and graphite. The mixture of two graphites, employed for all samples, consisted of 11 parts by weight Asbury A99 synthetic (50  $\mu$ m average particle size) and 4 parts by weight Asbury 850 natural graphite (6  $\mu$ m average particle size). Molding conditions were the same as used for bipolar plate production, i.e., 4300 psi at 350°F for 5 minutes. Eleven samples were post-cured for 6 hours at 400°F and six samples were tested without post-curing.

2.1.1.1 Specific Conductance: Resistivity measurements for the molded material samples were obtained by the conventional 4-point method using the test circuit shown in Figure 1. The values plotted in Figure 2 show that conductance varies linearly with resin concentration up to about 30 wt% resin; above this resin concentration, a rapid increase in resistance occurs. The resistivity was found to range from 7 m $\Omega$ -cm at 17% resin to about 35 m $\Omega$ -cm at 33% resin as shown in Table I.

2.1.1.2 Voltage Drop Thru Plate: Contact resistance between the plate and electrode materials is of major interest since it contributes to stack resistance beyond the resistivity of the components themselves.

Voltage drop thru the molded plate material samples was determined with graphite paper (catalyst layer support) and Ag contacts as shown in Figure 3. The samples were compressed to 80 psi and tested with a constant current of 2.75 amperes. The data obtained is shown in Table II.

The apparent resistivity is shown in Figure 4 and has a value 5 to 10 times higher than the resistivity of the plate material. The difference between the two sets of figures may be taken as a measure of contact resistance between the electrode and the bipolar plate.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION





FIGURE 1  
FOUR POINT RESISTIVITY MEASUREMENT

D0505



D0504

FIGURE 2. BIPOLAR PLATE MATERIAL RESISTIVITY

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

TABLE I  
PLATE MATERIAL RESISTIVITY

- 4 point measurement
- 5 in. x 0.5 in. sample
- Voltage measured at 3 in. length
- 100 mA input current

| SAMPLE NO. | RESIN, wt% | THICKNESS, in. | VOLTAGE, volts | RESISTANCE, $\Omega$ | RESISTIVITY, $\Omega$ -cm |
|------------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| 4698       | 33         | 0.175          | 0.0376         | 0.376                | 0.0278                    |
| 4686       | 32         | 0.148          | 0.0544         | 0.544                | 0.0341                    |
| 4684       | 32         | 0.167          | 0.0537         | 0.537                | 0.0415                    |
| 4683       | 32         | 0.142          | 0.0603         | 0.608                | 0.0365                    |
| 4682       | 32         | 0.120          | 0.0649         | 0.649                | 0.0329                    |
| 4690       | 29         | 0.151          | 0.0312         | 0.312                | 0.0199                    |
| 4691       | 29         | 0.155          | 0.0354         | 0.354                | 0.0232                    |
| 4683       | 26         | 0.151          | 0.0263         | 0.263                | 0.0168                    |
| 4682       | 23         | 0.151          | 0.0201         | 0.201                | 0.0128                    |
| 4693       | 23         | 0.149          | 0.0231         | 0.231                | 0.0146                    |
| 4694       | 20         | 0.155          | 0.0150         | 0.150                | 0.0098                    |
| 4695       | 20         | 0.152          | 0.0175         | 0.175                | 0.0113                    |
| 4685       | 17         | 0.131          | 0.0033         | 0.033                | 0.0040                    |
| 4697       | 17         | 0.155          | 0.0086         | 0.086                | 0.0056                    |

Note that contact resistance rose proportionately with material resistivity as resin content is increased. Also, a lowering of contact resistance was observed with increased post-cure time. This result suggests that resin concentration on the plate surface may be reduced during post-cure; however this needs to be verified in view of the difficulty of reproducing contact resistance measurements.

These data also indicate that plate-electrode contact resistance voltage loss for cells with the higher resin content plates may be in the order of 30 to 40 mV at 100 ASF (the bipolar plate provides only about 40% of the surface area for

ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION




FIGURE 3.  
CONTACT RESISTANCE SET-UP




FIGURE 4  
BIPOLAR PLATE CONTACT RESISTANCE

D0318

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

TABLE II.  
APPARENT PLATE RESISTANCE

- 2 in. x 2 in. Sample
- 80 psi
- 70°F
- 2.75A (100 ASF)

| SAMPLE NO. | RESIN, wt% | THICKNESS, in.    | VOLTAGE, volts | RESISTANCE, $\Omega$ | RESISTIVITY, $\Omega$ -cm |
|------------|------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| 4682*      | 32         | 0.113             | 0.0110         | 0.0040               | 0.359                     |
| 4683*      | 32         | 0.152             | 0.0137         | 0.0050               | 0.334                     |
| 4684       | 32         | 0.168             | 0.0165         | 0.0060               | 0.363                     |
| 4685       | 17         | 0.116             | 0.0026         | 0.00095              | 0.083                     |
| 4686       | 32         | 0.15 <sup>c</sup> | 0.0123         | 0.0045               | 0.295                     |
| 4687       | 32         | 0.164             | 0.0136         | 0.0049               | 0.314                     |
| 4688       | 26         | 0.154             | 0.0094         | 0.0030               | 0.193                     |
| 4689       | 26         | 0.152             | 0.0077         | 0.0028               | 0.187                     |
| 4690       | 29         | 0.159             | 0.0121         | 0.0044               | 0.281                     |
| 4691       | 29         | 0.161             | 0.0034         | 0.0030               | 0.199                     |
| 4692       | 23         | 0.155             | 0.0048         | 0.0017               | 0.111                     |
| 4693       | 23         | 0.142             | 0.0053         | 0.0019               | 0.136                     |
| 4694       | 20         | 0.155             | 0.0043         | 0.0016               | 0.103                     |
| 4695       | 20         | 0.147             | 0.0044         | 0.0016               | 0.111                     |
| 4696       | 17         | 0.153             | 0.0025         | 0.00091              | 0.060                     |
| 4697       | 17         | 0.157             | 0.0035         | 0.00127              | 0.082                     |
| 4698       | 33         | 0.181             | 0.0135         | 0.0049               | 0.275                     |

\* Horizontal hairline crack in sample.

contact). This represents about one-half of total cell resistance loss (compare cell resistivity data in Section 4.2). Use of low resin content (17%), more conductive plates could reduce this loss by a factor of 3; however at present these plates do not show adequate acid resistance.

2.1.1.3 Density: Density of the molded samples was determined by the water immersion method. Densities ranged from 1.92 g/cc for 17% resin to 1.76 g/cc for 33% resin as shown in Figure 5. These data were taken on samples with a 6 hour, 400°F post-cure. Results obtained for the individual test samples are shown in Table III.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION




FIGURE 5.

D0319

## BIPOLAR PLATE MATERIAL DENSITY

TABLE III  
 PLATE DENSITY DETERMINATION  
 (Water Displacement Method)  
 2 in. x 2 in. x 0.2 in.

| SAMPLE NO. | RESIN, wt% | WT. IN AIR, g | WT. IN H <sub>2</sub> O, g | DENSITY, g/cc |
|------------|------------|---------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| 4682       | 32         | 12.7823       | 5.4103                     | 1.73          |
| 4683       | 32         | 17.0091       | 7.0642                     | 1.71          |
| 4684       | 32         | 19.4252       | 8.3563                     | 1.75          |
| 4685       | 17         | 14.9926       | 7.2387                     | 1.93          |
| 4686       | 32         | 18.3036       | 8.0178                     | 1.78          |
| 4687       | 32         | 19.1111       | 8.2726                     | 1.76          |
| 4688       | 26         | 18.8922       | 8.6934                     | 1.85          |
| 4689       | 26         | 18.4688       | 8.4009                     | 1.83          |
| 4690       | 29         | 19.0590       | 8.5904                     | 1.82          |
| 4691       | 29         | 19.2300       | 8.5655                     | 1.80          |
| 4692       | 23         | 19.1265       | 8.8838                     | 1.86          |
| 4693       | 23         | 17.8511       | 8.1682                     | 1.84          |
| 4694       | 20         | 19.4801       | 9.2213                     | 1.90          |
| 4695       | 20         | 18.3103       | 8.4802                     | 1.86          |
| 4696       | 17         | 19.7990       | 9.6325                     | 1.96          |
| 4697       | 17         | 19.6788       | 9.3786                     | 1.91          |
| 4698       | 33         | 21.1692       | 9.2198                     | 1.77          |

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION




FIGURE 6

D0320

BIPOLAR PLATE WEIGHT CHANGE IN AIR

2.1.1.4 Weight Loss in Air: The bipolar plate material samples were exposed to air in an oven at 400°F, and weight changes were monitored periodically. The data plotted in Figure 6 show a small weight loss proportional to the resin concentration. When the same data is normalized with respect to the weight of resin in the plate, the weight loss can be seen to be independent of resin concentration.

2.1.1.5 Weight Loss in H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>: The test samples were maintained in H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> at 350°F for over 5000 hours. The samples were periodically removed from the acid and washed in boiling water until a neutral condition had been achieved (pH=7). The samples were then oven dried at 250 to 275°F for a period of 12 hours and weighed on an analytical balance. As seen in Figure 7, weight loss is again proportional to the concentration of resin in the plate. The total weight loss over a period of 5000 hours was 1%, indicating increasing stability of the material with time as shown in Figure 8. No evidence of extensive attack of the samples by the acid was observed.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION





FIGURE 7

D0321

BIPOLAR PLATE STABILITY IN  $H_3PO_4$ 

D0322

FIGURE 8  
BIPOLAR PLATE WEIGHT LOSS IN  $H_3PO_4$

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

2.1.2 Other Resins

Several new potential plate molding resins were examined during this phase of the project. This work was limited to preliminary compatibility tests of small samples in  $H_3PO_4$ .

Flat plates measuring 4 in. x 4 in. were molded with Kinel 5505 resin from Rhodia, Inc. The test samples molded at 460°F using 33% resin with the current graphite mix showed surface cracks after overnight immersion in  $H_3PO_4$  at 350°F.

An experimental Arofene resin from Ashland Oil Co. appeared resistant to  $H_3PO_4$  at 390°F, but needs to be tested in the full size molding process. The current resins of choice for bipolar plates remain Arofene 890 and Colloid 8440. Most of the work on the project utilized the Colloid resin because of somewhat better molding characteristics.

2.1.3 Mechanical Properties

Mechanical testing of a bipolar plate sample was performed at Bridgeport Testing Laboratory, Inc. The sample was molded in the 5 in. x 15 in. bipolar plate mold using flat mold faces and was composed of 32% Colloid 8440 resin, 50% Asbury A99 graphite, and 18% Asbury 850 graphite. Molding conditions were 4300 psi at 340°F. The thickness of the plate from which the test samples were randomly cut was 0.165 in. Results of the mechanical tests are presented in Table IV.

The results of these tests indicate that fairly uniform mechanical properties are obtained over the entire molded plate area.

2.1.4 Endurance

Endurance of the bipolar plates produced on this project was evaluated as part of numerous extended stack performance tests. During these tests, 52 plates were in cells that operated over 5000 hours at 350°F; 101 plates accumulated more than 3000 hours, and 161 plates were in stacks which were tested for over 2000 hours. The appearance of the plates following endurance

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

TABLE IV.

## BIPOLAR PLATE MECHANICAL PROPERTIES



| <u>Tensile Strength</u>  | (ASTM-D-638) |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| #1                       | 6,850 psi    |
| #2                       | 6,460 psi    |
| #3                       | 7,080 psi    |
| <u>Flexural Strength</u> | (ASTM-D-638) |
| #1                       | 10,700 psi   |
| #2                       | 9,870 psi    |
| #3                       | 9,130 psi    |
| #4                       | 9,140 psi    |
| #5                       | 10,440 psi   |
| <u>Shear Strength</u>    | (ASTM-D-732) |
| #1                       | 4,030 psi    |
| #2                       | 4,020 psi    |
| #3                       | 3,800 psi    |
| <u>Hardness</u>          | (ASTM-D-785) |
| #1                       | 112          |
| #2                       | 112          |
| #3                       | 113          |
| #4                       | 113          |
| #5                       | 112          |

testing was generally similar to unused plates, with no apparent systematic deterioration during the test, as seen from the photograph of a plate after 7000 hours of stack testing, (Figure 9).

Soft areas were observed on some plates during post-test analysis. This condition was generally limited to a single manufacturing run of about 20 plates which were molded at modified conditions (20 seconds vs 5 to 10 seconds of preheat in the mold before compression). The softening can be attributed to incompletely densified plate sections (porosity of the plate) which allows acid to be wicked into the plate, causing structural deterioration.

#### 2.1.5 Gas Distribution

Two modifications to the gas distribution pattern on the bipolar plate were evaluated on this project. The objectives for undertaking these modifications were:



P0094

FIGURE 9  
BIPOLAR PLATE AFTER 7000 HOURS OF SERVICE  
AT 350°F

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION


- increased plate strength
- improved moldability
- larger cell active area
- deeper electrode recess
- lower tooling costs

The modified bipolar plate designs are evident in the bipolar plate photograph (Figure 10). The Type B plate has a staggered cross-flow channel pattern, eliminating extended thin plate sections for greater plate strength. On the Type C plate, the perpendicular gas channels are eliminated, which greatly reduces tooling costs. Photographs of the plate pressing dies for these two designs are shown in Figure 11.


Type B and C plates were designed with an electrode recess depth of 0.014 in. for the anode and 0.018 in. for the cathode compared to 0.012 in. for both anode and cathode on the earlier (Type A) plate. This change was made to accommodate the increased electrode thickness resulting from the use of supported catalyst instead of platinum black on this project.

The presently available tooling in the 5 in. x 15 in. size can produce the following plate configurations:

- A. Mold A: Present standard mold in use since the commencement of the MM&T program. This mold produces an enclosed electrolyte channel, electrode recesses 0.012 in. deep, and straight line grooves perpendicular to the direction of the gas flow.
- B. Mold B: Modification of Mold A as follows:
  - Electrolyte channel not closed on top
  - Electrode recessed 0.18 in. (air side) and 0.14 in. deep (fuel side)
  - Staggered grooves perpendicular to direction of gas flow for increased strength.
- C. Mold C: Modification of Mold A as follows:
  - No electrolyte channel - mold is symmetrical on both air and fuel sides.
  - Electrode recesses are same as for Mold B.
  - There are no grooves in the plate perpendicular to direction of gas flow.




Air Side




Fuel Side

Type "B" Bipolar Plate



Air Side




Fuel Side


Type "C" Bipolar Plate

FIGURE 10

BIPOLAR PLATE DESIGN



Type "B" Plate Mold



Type "C" Plate Mold

FIGURE 11  
PLATE PRESSING DIES

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

Initial stack performance was not affected by gas distribution pattern design. This had been expected since both fuel and air field depths as well as overall plate thickness remained unchanged. However the deeper electrode recesses in B and C plates have improved the edge seal gastightness of the stacks.

Since the 5 x 15 in. tooling components are interchangeable, combinations of rib patterns on the same plate are possible. Most of the plates used on this project were molded with the "A" fuel side pattern combined with the "B" air pattern. Also some plates were molded with no rib pattern on one side for use as the first or last plate in the stack.

Dimensional tolerances for the plates were monitored continuously. Plates not conforming to a  $\pm 0.002$  in. thickness tolerance were rejected. For standard molding procedures, the rejection rate was 20 to 30%. All plates were also checked for gastightness with 2 psig hydrogen as the test gas. The rejection rate on this test was less than 4%.

#### 2.1.6 DIGAS Cooling Plate

The second 80-cell stack built on this project utilized distributed gas (DIGAS) cooling plates. This air cooling plate concept provides additional cooling channels thru the stack in the air flow direction as seen in the stack cutaway drawing (Figure 12).

The cooling plates were fabricated by molding half plates (plates with one ribbed and one flat side) and machining the cooling channels as needed. The DIGAS cooling plate design used for this project is shown in Figure 13.

#### 2.2 ELECTRODES

As part of an earlier effort toward development of a stack manufacturing process,\* ERC evolved a technique for

---

\*Contract DAAK02-74-C-0367, Final Report, 1977.




FIGURE 12 CUTAWAY VIEW OF DIGAS STACK WITH  
AIR FLOW IN 15 in. DIRECTION




FIGURE 13 DIGAS COOLING PLATE, 5 in. x 15 in.

D1288

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

production of fuel cell electrodes using platinum black or rhodium-platinum catalyst for portable powerplant stacks (5 in. x 15 in. electrode size). Other work at ERC with small (2 in. x 2 in.) cells demonstrated performance gains and catalyst cost reduction potential of platinum-on-carbon compared to platinum black as the cathode catalyst, as seen from the polarization curves of Figure 14. On the present project, manufacturing techniques for platinum-on-carbon supported catalyst electrodes were established for the 5 in. x 15 in. stack size.

The electrode manufacturing process used for this project is summarized in Figure 15. This process was employed to produce electrodes with platinum loadings ranging from about 0.2 to 1.0 grams/ft<sup>2</sup>. Physical characteristics of electrodes having 0.6 and 0.9 grams Pt/ft<sup>2</sup> are listed in Table V.





Figure 14

## SMALL CELL PERFORMANCE

D0503R



## NOTES:

- (A) SHELL SOL - GUARD ALL CO.  
 (B) AMMONIUM BICARBONATE - ANALYTICAL REAGENT, FISHER SCIENTIFIC CO.  
 (C) TFE - DUPONT  
 (D) FEP - DUPONT

FIGURE 15 ELECTRODE MANUFACTURING PROCESS

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

TABLE V.

## ELECTRODE CHARACTERISTICS

| ELECTRODE :                   | Cathode                    | Anode  |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|
| Catalyst-Layer                |                            |        |
| Catalyst                      | 10% Platinum on Carbon     |        |
| Loading, g Pt/ft <sup>2</sup> | 0.9                        | 0.6    |
| TFE, wt%                      | 40                         | 40     |
| Support layer                 |                            |        |
| Material*                     | Graphite paper, 83% porous |        |
| Thickness, in.                | 0.017                      | ± .001 |
| Weight, g/ft <sup>2</sup>     | 13.0                       | ± .13  |
| FEP, wt%                      | 20                         |        |
| Electrode                     |                            |        |
| Thickness, in.                | 0.023                      | ± .002 |
| Weight, grams                 | 18 ± 2                     | 13 ± 2 |

\* Supplied by Stackpole Carbon Co.

Electrodes with these catalyst loadings (0.6 anode, 0.9 cathode) were used in most stacks in the last phase of the project. The second 80-cell stack, however, was built with cathode and anode loadings of 0.5 and 0.25g Pt/ft<sup>2</sup>, respectively.

Performance of 5 in. x 15 in. cell stacks built with the supported platinum catalyst electrodes was higher than with platinum black catalyst. Individual cell voltages in some stacks were 0.65 to 0.67V at 100 ASF compared to 0.61 to 0.62 obtained with the best Pt black electrodes with a 2g Pt/ft<sup>2</sup> catalyst loading.

Stacks built with anodes having a 0.3 to 0.6g Pt/ft<sup>2</sup> were tolerant to 1% CO in the fuel. Performance data with various fuels for stacks built with the supported catalyst electrodes are shown in the stack test section of this report (Section 4.0).

### 2.3 SiC MATRIX DEVELOPMENT

Silicon carbide is among the few materials which appear to be completely inert to phosphoric acid at fuel cell operating temperatures. Fuel cell matrices made from fine grit SiC powder as well as from SiC whiskers have been evaluated at ERC in small cell (2 in. x 2 in.) test rigs. As a separate task of this project, matrix manufacturing and stack assembly techniques

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

were developed to adapt the SiC matrix process to the 5 in x 15 in. stack hardware.

Although SiC matrices have been successfully prepared in the past by the papermaking process,\* the unavailability of a consistent quality SiC whisker material (obtained previously from Exxon Enterprises, Inc.) limited the material choice to SiC powder. Throughout this project, 1000-grit SiC powder from Carborundum Corp. was employed.

The matrices were prepared by applying an aqueous SiC slurry (formulated as shown in Table VI) directly onto the electrode by means of the coating machine shown in Figure 16.

TABLE VI.  
SiC MATRIX COMPOSITION

| Material                         | wt%, dry basis |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Silicon Carbide (1000 grit)      | 96 - 98        |
| Polyethylene Oxide               | 0.3            |
| Polytetrafluoroethylene (TFE 30) | 2 - 4          |

The electrode is placed on the bed of the machine and a uniform layer of the slurry is spread onto the electrode by a wire-bound bar mounted in a yoke driven by a variable speed motor. The thickness of the matrix is controlled by the gauge of the wire on the casting bar and also by the clearance between the bar and the electrode surface. After casting, the matrix is air dried and sintered at 275°C. The resulting matrix typically has a thickness of 0.007 in. and porosity in the 50 to 60% range. Bubble pressure for the SiC matrix prepared by this process was somewhat higher than bubble pressure measured for Kynol matrices (15 to 20 psi vs ~ 10 psi).

Matrix bubble pressure was measured with the testing apparatus shown in Figure 17. The prewet, coated electrode was placed matrix down in the appropriate area of the bottom stainless steel plate. The top plate was then properly secured over the sample. Water was placed in the water retention area and H<sub>2</sub> pressure was slowly increased. The pressure was constantly monitored by the pressure gauge and by the manometer. Thus the

\* Contract DAAK02-75-C-0045, Final Report, June 1977.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION




FIGURE 16  
COATING MACHINE

P0095

pressure point (when bubbles were observed through the water layer on top of the matrix) was determined.

Several stacks utilizing the SiC matrix were constructed and tested. Performance of these stacks was generally comparable to that obtained with stacks having Kynol matrices, except for open circuit voltage which was somewhat lower for the SiC stacks. This may have been due to a modified stack assembly technique used with the SiC matrix. Stack construction details and stack test results are presented elsewhere in this report (Sections 3.0 and 4.0, respectively).

### 3.0 STACK ASSEMBLY DEVELOPMENT

Effective separation of the gases in the stack and gas-tight manifolding of the fuel are essential for good stack performance and fuel utilization. Various methods for achieving gastight assemblies were studied on this project.






FIGURE 17  
BUBBLE PRESSURE TESTING SET-UP

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

## 3.1 HARDWARE

The stacks were assembled with hardware described in reports on earlier stack development programs.\* The end support plates were 1 in. thick solid aluminum with 1/4 in. thick fiberglass-epoxy insulators and electric blanket heaters for temperature control. Phenolic manifolds with 1/2 in. deep plenums were used on both fuel and air sides of the stack.

Stack compression was maintained at approximately 5400 lbs (72 psi). This value was determined by measuring the deflection of previously calibrated compression bars as shown in Figure 18. (The compression bars are made from AISI tool steel hardened to a Rockwell hardness of 46 to 48.)




FIGURE 18  
TIE BAR DEFLECTION

D0528

\* Contract DMAK02-74-C-0367, Final Report, June 1977.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

## 3.2 EDGE SEALS

Two basic methods for obtaining cell edge seals were evaluated. The first utilizes a fluoroelastomer cement\* around the edges of the matrix, applied as shown in Figure 19. The cement penetrates the porous matrix and also forms a bond to the bipolar plate as shown in Figure 20. The stack is assembled with dry components, the electrolyte being allowed to wick into the matrix from the electrolyte fill channel. The electrolyte fill channel can be seen in the cutaway stack section drawing, shown in Figure 21.

The second method depends entirely on the acid filled matrix to provide edge sealing, with no cement being used (except to position the electrodes on the bipolar plate). The stack is assembled with prefilled matrices, reducing the time required for wicking the stack via the electrolyte fill channel from 4-5 days to 1-2 days. A 98% acid concentration is used in the wet assembly technique. Prior to use, the acid is heated to 170°F. Next, 16 to 20 ml of acid is applied by syringe uniformly over the 5 in. x 15 in. matrix; the stack is then assembled in normal fashion.

Testing of 3- and 10-cell stacks assembled by both methods did not indicate superior performance or endurance for either method. Fuel utilization over 90% could be achieved consistently with both assembly techniques. Initial stack performance and endurance under load also appeared to be similar.

## 3.3 MANIFOLD SEALS

Viton rubber gaskets were used in all stacks to achieve tight fuel manifold gas seals. This rubber undergoes some residual flow when compressed at stack operating temperatures (250 to 350°F), producing a tight fit between the manifold and the plate stack. Figure 22 shows the area of the manifold over which gasket material is used.

\* C-328 Viton RTV Cement, The Connecticut Hard Rubber Co.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION




FIGURE 19  
CEMENTED AREA ON MATRIX

D050H

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION




FIGURE 20

CEMENTED AREA ON PLATE

D0509




FIGURE 21

STACK CUTAWAY

D0510

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION




FIGURE 22  
MANIFOLD SEALS

D0507

**ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION**

Results of stack testing for evaluation of gastightness are presented in the stack performance evaluation section of this report.

**3.4 ELECTROLYTE WICKING**

Both the wet and dry-assembled stacks received additional acid filling after assembly. The electrolyte was 98 to 102%  $H_3PO_4$ , prepared by heating reagent grade material (J.T. Baker, 85%  $H_3PO_4$ ) to the desired concentration (determined by specific gravity measurement). A head of 1/2 to 3/4 in. acid was maintained above the acid channels in the plates to assure adequate flow from the acid container to the stack. During wicking, stack temperature was maintained at 230 to 270°F. For wet assembled stacks, wicking time was usually 2 days, while dry assembled stacks were wicked for one to two weeks, with Kynol stacks requiring the shortest time and Mat-1 stacks the longest. Gastightness and internal resistance were used as criteria for completion of the wicking process.


**3.5 ASSEMBLY WITH DIGAS PLATES**

To obtain sufficient electrical conductivity between the two half plates, graphite paper (catalyst layer support) was employed. Gas seals between the fuel manifold and the DIGAS channels were obtained by placing the acid-filled Kynol matrix on the edges of DIGAS half plates, as shown in Figure 23. A 10-cell stack was also built with an O-ring seal (Figure 24), but this approach was abandoned because the Kynol wet seal proved to be effective and simpler to construct.

**3.6 STACK TERMINALS**


Short stack terminals were usually formed by extending a 1-1/2 in. wide tab from the current collectors beyond the fuel manifold seal. For the tall (80-cell) stacks and some 10-cell stacks, an insulated lug terminal was provided, as shown in the stack assembly drawing (Figure 25). To improve the corrosion

ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION




D1289

FIGURE 23 KYNOL AND GRAPHITE PAPER AREAS  
IN DIGAS ASSEMBLY



D1290

FIGURE 24 O-RING SEAL FOR DIGAS PLATE



D1396

FIGURE 25. 5 x 15 IN. FUEL CELL  
STACK ASSEMBLY

| ITEM NO. | NOMENCLATURE OR DESCRIPTION |
|----------|-----------------------------|
| 1        | Top Plate                   |
| 2        | Bottom Plate                |
| 3        | Bolt                        |
| 4        | Washer                      |
| 5        | Plate                       |
| 6        | Plate                       |
| 7        | Plate                       |
| 8        | Plate                       |
| 9        | Plate                       |
| 10       | Plate                       |
| 11       | Plate                       |
| 12       | Top Plate                   |
| 13       | Washer                      |
| 14       | Washer                      |
| 15       | Bolt                        |
| 16       | Washer                      |
| 17       | Washer                      |
| 18       | Fuel Cell Stack             |
| 19       | Bottom Plate                |
| 20       | Bolt                        |

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

4.2.1 Oxygen Gain Measurement

Operation on pure oxygen cathode gas was conducted periodically for most stacks to diagnose the change in performance due to electrode wetting. The oxygen performance tests were generally of short duration (under 5 minutes) with oxygen flow in the range of 2 to 3 stoich. Typical oxygen gains were in the 60 to 80 mV range at 100 ASF.

4.2.2 Resistance Measurement

Stack resistance was measured using a Hewlett Packard Model 4328A milliohmmeter. The major purpose for these measurements was to ascertain that acid wicking has been completed and that stack terminals are in good contact with the outermost graphite plates. An example of a series of resistance measurements taken on a 10-cell stack during electrolyte wicking is shown in Figure 28.

A disadvantage of the milliohmmeter is that only total stack resistance (not individual cell resistances) can be measured directly. To obtain cell resistance values, an indirect method was employed. The stack was operated with an external power supply and hydrogen flowing to the anode as well as the cathode; i.e., the cathode was evolving hydrogen. Since the polarization of both the hydrogen anode and the hydrogen cathode is relatively small (under 5mV/100 ASF), the slope of the voltage-current plot corresponds closely to cell resistance. Cell polarization data obtained in this manner for Stack 16 are shown in Figure 29. The cell resistance values of 1.5, 1.7 and 1.8 m $\Omega$  agreed well with the milliohmmeter reading of 5 m $\Omega$  across the stack terminals.

## 4.3 THREE-CELL STACKS

Three-cell stacks were assembled to test both component performance and assembly endurance. These stacks were also used to evaluate the effect of several operating parameters on cell performance since, unlike 10-cell stacks, these stacks showed relatively small temperature variation cell to cell and inlet to

**ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION**

resistance of the 0.005 in. thick Cu collector, a gold flash (under 0.1 mil thick) was applied.

#### 4.0 STACK TESTING

A total of fifty-six 3- and 10-cell stacks were tested on this project for initial characteristics and endurance. Two 80-cell stacks were also tested using hydrogen and steam reformed methanol as fuel.

#### 4.1 CONSTRUCTION

Construction variables evaluated in the stack tests included bipolar plates (gas diffusion pattern), matrix (Kynol, SiC and Mat-1) and an electrode catalyst loading in the range of 0.3 to 0.9g Pt/ft<sup>2</sup> of electrode. A number of electrolyte filling procedures were also evaluated.

#### 4.2 PROCEDURE

Stacks were evaluated for initial performance under a number of operating conditions and for performance stability under continuous load conditions. Most of the testing was performed with hydrogen fuel, but some tests were also conducted with simulated reformed fuel (SRF). Air rates thru the stacks were maintained at 6 to 10 times the stoichiometric reaction requirements. Diagnostic tests with oxygen as the cathode gas were conducted to periodically monitor cathode performance. A test set-up schematic is shown in Figure 26.

Stack temperatures were controlled by the end plate heater blankets and by air temperature and flow rate. All operating parameters (temperature, current, voltage, flow rates) were monitored on the test panels shown in Figure 27. A facility for simultaneous performance testing of twelve stacks was assembled for the purposes of this project. A separate test stand was built for testing the 80-cell stacks.




FIGURE 26. TEST SET-UP SCHEMATIC




FIGURE 27. STACK TEST PANEL

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION





FIGURE 28. STACK RESISTANCE CHANGE DURING WICKING

D1376

FIGURE 29.  $H_2/H_2$  POLARIZATION FOR STACK 16 (320°F)

D1377

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

outlet due to the proximity of end plate heaters to all cells. The major construction variables and test results for these stacks are summarized in Table VII.

#### 4.3.1 Initial Performance

In general, peak cell voltages were observed within a few days after placing the stack on test. However a few stacks did show an increase of 30 to 40 mV/cell after they had operated at 40A and 350°F for 1000 to 1500 hours. All of these stacks had supported catalyst cathodes, and the operating voltage increase probably indicates continued wetting of the catalyst with the electrolyte.

The highest operating potentials in this series of stacks were obtained with Kynol matrices and supported catalyst electrodes with anode and cathode loadings around 0.6 and 0.9 Pt/ft<sup>2</sup>, respectively. There was no apparent difference in performance between stacks assembled with wet and dry matrices. There was also no significant difference between stacks built with the various plate groove patterns. Polarization data for representative stacks are shown in Figure 30.

#### 4.3.2 Performance Stability

Selected stacks were continued on test at 100 ASF and 350°F to observe performance stability. Seven stacks were tested for more than 5000 hours; another 15 stacks were tested beyond 2000 hours. Electrolyte was added periodically to all stacks during life testing in 1000 to 5000-hour intervals.

Oxygen gain was determined periodically on stacks undergoing endurance testing. In general, oxygen gains remained in the 60 to 80 mV range at 100 ASF, indicating cathode catalyst layer stability. This is also apparent from the voltage-time curves plotted in Figure 31.

Some of these stacks were terminated voluntarily at the end of the first phase of the project. Other stacks were terminated because of poor fuel utilization or low operating voltage. As

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

TABLE VII THREE-CELL STACK SUMMARY

| STACK NO. | PLATE TYPE | MATRIX | ANODE CATALYST |                   | CATHODE CATALYST |                   | ASS'Y | CELL VOLTAGE, mv @ 40A, 350°F |     |     |     |     | HOURS TESTED | TERMINATION | REMARKS                           |                                |
|-----------|------------|--------|----------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|           |            |        | TYPE           | g/ft <sup>2</sup> | TYPE             | g/ft <sup>2</sup> |       | INITIAL AVERAGE               |     |     |     |     |              |             |                                   |                                |
|           |            |        |                |                   |                  |                   |       | 1                             | 2   | 3   | 1   | 2   |              |             |                                   | 3                              |
| 03        | AA         | K      | PB             | 4.2               | PB               | 0.36              | D     | 540                           | 540 | 520 | 440 | 440 | 460          | 70          | Crossover                         | 100 hrs on SF<br>700 hrs on SF |
| 04        | AA         | K      | Pt/C           | 3.4               | Pt/C             | 0.63              | D     | 500                           | 510 | 530 | 440 | 500 | 475          | 10          | Crossover                         |                                |
| 05        | AA         | K      | PB             | 3.2               | Pt/C             | 0.96              | D     | 570                           | 570 | 570 | 525 | 545 | 530          | 1180        | Gasket leak                       |                                |
| 06        | AA         | K      | PB             | 3.3               | Pt/C             | 0.85              | D     | 620                           | 630 | 630 | 570 | 580 | 580          | 2820        | Soft plate                        |                                |
| 07        | AA         | K      | PB             | 3.0               | PB               | 2.9               | W     | 620                           | 630 | 620 | 570 | 590 | 580          | 2700        | Bad Terminal                      |                                |
| 08        | AA         | K      | PB             | 3.4               | PB               | 2.8               | D     | 570                           | 590 | 580 | 535 | 550 | 525          | 40          | Dry Matrix                        |                                |
| 09        | AA         | SIC    | PB             | 3.0               | Pt/C             | 0.67              | D     | 520                           | 560 | 570 | 465 | 485 | 485          | 1130        | Dry Matrix                        |                                |
| 11        | AA         | K      | PB             | 3.5               | PB               | 3.0               | W     | 630                           | 610 | 620 | 575 | 570 | 575          | 2630        | Voluntary                         |                                |
| 12        | AA         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.84              | Pt/C             | 0.84              | D     | 620                           | 620 | 620 | 575 | 580 | 580          | 6850        | Voluntary                         |                                |
| 13        | AA         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.98              | Pt/C             | 0.99              | D     | 490                           | 530 | 440 | 465 | 500 | 420          | 330         | Low voltage                       |                                |
| 14        | AA         | K      | Pt/C           | 1.0               | Pt/C             | 0.99              | D     | 490                           | 530 | 520 | 420 | 475 | 460          | 260         | Low voltage                       |                                |
| 15        | AA         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.99              | Pt/C             | 1.0               | D     | 540                           | 550 | 490 | 465 | 505 | 450          | 260         | Crossover                         |                                |
| 16        | AA         | K      | Pt/C           | 1.0               | Pt/C             | 0.95              | W     | 450                           | 540 | 470 | 425 | 520 | 450          | 270         | Gasket leak                       |                                |
| 17        | AA         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.85              | Pt/C             | 0.91              | D     | 610                           | 630 | 490 | 570 | 570 | 420          | 1080        | Crossover                         |                                |
| 18        | CC         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.65              | Pt/C             | 0.80              | W     | -                             | -   | -   | -   | -   | -            | 0           | Internal short                    |                                |
| 19        | AB         | K      | PB             | 2.4               | Pt/C             | 0.35              | W     | 630                           | 640 | 620 | 580 | 590 | 540          | 1900        | Gasket leak                       |                                |
| 20        | AA         | K      | PB             | 2.8               | Pt/C             | 0.30              | D     | 620                           | 660 | 630 | 605 | 615 | 595          | 510         | Voluntary                         |                                |
| 21        | AA         | K      | PB             | 2.6               | Pt/C             | 0.87              | D     | 550                           | 600 | 600 | 515 | 585 | 585          | 835         | Dry Matrix                        |                                |
| 22        | AB         | K      | PB             | 3.2               | Pt/C             | 0.72              | W     | 600                           | 670 | 620 | 580 | 605 | 575          | 40          | Plate crack                       |                                |
| 23        | BB         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.23              | Pt/C             | 0.51              | D     | 510                           | 600 | 590 | 465 | 575 | 575          | 255         | Gasket leak                       |                                |
| 24        | BB         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.30              | Pt/C             | 0.58              | W     | 550                           | 390 | 490 | 550 | 390 | 490          | 60          | Low voltage                       |                                |
| 25        | BB         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.38              | Pt/C             | 0.62              | W     | 620                           | 630 | 630 | 595 | 585 | 595          | 5220        | Soft plate                        |                                |
| 26        | CC         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.68              | Pt/C             | 0.77              | W     | 620                           | 620 | 620 | 610 | 600 | 610          | 10          | Crossover                         |                                |
| 27        | AB         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.67              | Pt/C             | 0.81              | D     | 620                           | 640 | 640 | 615 | 625 | 620          | 1100        | Voluntary                         |                                |
| 28        | AB         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.61              | Pt/C             | 0.82              | W     | 660                           | 660 | 640 | 640 | 650 | 640          | 1350        | Soft plate                        |                                |
| 30        | CC         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.94              | Pt/C             | 0.88              | W     | 630                           | 600 | 630 | 610 | 510 | 585          | 250         | Dry matrix                        |                                |
| 31        | BB         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.59              | Pt/C             | 0.84              | D     | 630                           | 670 | 650 | 595 | 630 | 630          | 4490        | Voluntary                         |                                |
| 32        | AB         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.62              | Pt/C             | 0.81              | D     | 660                           | 650 | 650 | 620 | 610 | 605          | 4010        | Soft plate                        |                                |
| 34        | AB         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.57              | Pt/C             | 0.77              | W     | 640                           | 650 | 620 | 610 | 625 | 575          | 4270        | Voluntary                         |                                |
| 37        | AB         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.62              | Pt/C             | 0.87              | D     | 640                           | 660 | 660 | 615 | 635 | 625          | 3720        | Voluntary                         |                                |
| 38        | AB         | K      | Pt/C           | 0.69              | Pt/C             | 0.69              | W     | 350                           | 400 | 390 | 350 | 400 | 370          | 150         | Low voltage                       |                                |
| 39        | AA         | M      | Pt/C           | 0.90              | Pt/C             | 0.90              | D     | 640                           | 620 | -   | 610 | 605 | -            | 18187       | Voluntary                         |                                |
| 52        | AB         | SIC    | Pt/C           | 0.58              | Pt/C             | 0.90              | D     | 600                           | 640 | 620 | 570 | 640 | 620          | 2787        | 2-cell stacks<br>High-temp. test. |                                |
| 53        | AB         | SIC    | Pt/C           | 0.54              | Pt/C             | 0.55              | D     | 630                           | 640 | 610 | 630 | 610 | 640          | 5139        | High Voltage test                 |                                |
| 54        | AB         | SIC    | Pt/C           | 0.53              | Pt/C             | 0.63              | D     | 620                           | 630 | 620 | 505 | 625 | 515          | 5446        | (3760 hr. @ 150ASF)               |                                |
| 56        | AB         | M      | Pt/C           | 0.30              | Pt/C             | 0.52              | D     | 610                           | 620 | 620 | 580 | 585 | 590          | 1016        | Voluntary                         |                                |

Plate: AA - (A) Fuel Side, (B) Air Side  
 AB - (A) Fuel Side, (B) Air Side  
 BB - (B) Fuel Side, (B) Air Side  
 CC - (C) Fuel Side, (C) Air Side  
 Matrix K - Kynol  
 Matrix K - Silicon Carbide  
 Ass'y D - Dry Assembly  
 M - MAT-1 Assembly with H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>  
 SRF - Simulated Reformed Fuel  
 74% H<sub>2</sub>, 25% CO, 1% CO

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION




FIGURE 30 POLARIZATION DATA

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION




FIGURE 31  
CELL VOLTAGE STABILITY

D0515

shown in Table VII, early stack failures could often be traced to gas crossover as indicated by the sensitivity of no-load cell potentials to gas flow rates. This condition was usually caused by insufficient electrolyte, particularly in some of the experimental wet assembly builds, or by inadequate edge gas seals. As discussed in Section 2.1, some stacks also dropped in performance after a few thousand hours of testing because of plate deterioration.

#### 4.3.3 Performance with SRF

Several stacks were operated continuously on simulated reformed fuel (SRF) containing 72%  $H_2$ , 24%  $CO_2$ , 1%  $CO$ , and 3%  $H_2O$ . Cell operating voltages were recorded periodically for the operating condition of 100 ASF at 350°F while operating on SRF and pure hydrogen. Test results are shown in Table VIII for Stack 34. The hydrogen gain for this stack remained typically around 15 to 20 mV/cell throughout the duration of the test (over 2000 hours).

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

TABLE VIII  
 PERFORMANCE DROP WITH SRF\*  
 40A, 340 to 360°F  
 Stack 34  
 80% Fuel Utilization

| TIME,<br>hours | 3-CELL STACK VOLTAGE, volts |      |
|----------------|-----------------------------|------|
|                | H <sub>2</sub>              | SRF  |
| 2118           | 1.85                        | 1.80 |
| 2259           | 1.86                        | 1.82 |
| 2403           | 1.86                        | 1.82 |
| 2595           | 1.87                        | 1.82 |
| 2787           | 1.87                        | 1.82 |
| 3027           | 1.87                        | 1.84 |
| 3219           | 1.86                        | 1.83 |
| 3411           | 1.88                        | 1.85 |
| 3721           | 1.88                        | 1.84 |
| 3933           | 1.88                        | 1.83 |
| 4125           | 1.88                        | 1.85 |
| 4270           | 1.88                        | 1.82 |

\* 72% - H<sub>2</sub>, 24% - CO<sub>2</sub>, 1% - CO, 3% - H<sub>2</sub>O


#### 4.3.4 Carbon Monoxide Tolerance

The effect of CO in the fuel was evaluated for Stack 37 over the temperature range of 265 to 355°F. Hydrogen utilization for these tests was 80%. As seen from the data plotted in Figure 32, the anodes become progressively more CO tolerant with increasing temperature. At 315 to 320°F, the effect of 1.7% CO in the inlet is less than 10 mV at a current density of 100 ASF and about 5 mV at 350 to 355°F, the projected operating temperature for this stack design.

#### 4.3.5 Effect of CO<sub>2</sub>


The effect on cell voltage of CO<sub>2</sub> addition to the fuel can be seen in Figure 33. The results are consistent with the voltage effect observed with SRF.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION



D0332

FIGURE 32. EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE ON LOAD VOLTAGE



D0333

FIGURE 33. EFFECT OF CO<sub>2</sub> ON LOAD VOLTAGE

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

4.3.6 Electrolyte Consumption

Replenishment of electrolyte in the stacks is possible thru the filling tubes at any time during operation or storage (with plates in the vertical position). During the extended testing of stacks in this project, acid was added at irregular intervals by wicking for 2 to 4 days, usually after a reduction of open circuit or load voltage was observed. The actual interval for needed electrolyte additions was not determined on this project, but frequency of acid additions usually varied between 1000 and 3000 hours of stack operation. However, one stack (No. 39) operated for over 5000 hours without electrolyte addition.

This procedure was modified for Stack 39 to determine the approximate rate of electrolyte loss at the operating conditions selected (350°F, 100 ASF). After an initial fill period of ~200 hours, the acid bottle was disconnected from this stack and all subsequent additions of electrolyte were accomplished by injecting a measured volume of acid directly thru the fill tubes. The frequency of acid addition and the volume added each time are shown on the stack lifegraph (Figure 34).

The average rate of acid loss during the 18,000 hours of testing this stack can be inferred from this data to be 1.09 ml/cell/1000 hours.

4.3.7 Overtemperature Testing

This test was performed to observe the effect of operating temperature above the normal operating temperature of 350 to 360°F for short periods of time.

The stack used for the elevated temperature test had anode and cathode catalyst loadings of 0.6 and 0.9g Pt/ft<sup>2</sup>, respectively, and was built with a SiC matrix. Before commencing the high temperature test, this stack had undergone 2100 hours of testing at 350°F with hydrogen fuel.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION




FIGURE 34 LIFEGRAPH OF STACK 39

D1291

The stack was operated with hydrogen fuel, humidified to  $\sim 2\%$  moisture and air humidified to  $\sim 5\%$ . Stack temperature was adjusted by a thermostat which controlled the heating rate of the end plate heater blankets. Stack temperature increases of  $10^\circ\text{F}$  were produced by manually adjusting the thermostat settings at about 50-hour intervals. The stoichiometric ratio of reactant flow was 1.3 for hydrogen and 9 for air. Fuel entered the stack at room temperature, and the air entered at 200 to  $250^\circ\text{F}$ .

Table IX shows the stack and cell voltage measurements obtained during elevated temperature testing. A voltage-time plot showing the temperatures used in this test is presented in Figure 35.

ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

TABLE IX.

ELEVATED TEMPERATURE TEST

STACK 52

Load: 40A

Fuel: Hydrogen, 1.3X, 2% H<sub>2</sub>O

Air : 9X, 5% H<sub>2</sub>O

| TEMPERATURE,<br>°F | STACK<br>VOLTAGE, V | CELL VOLTAGE, V |        |        | HOURS<br>TESTED | REMARKS                                           |
|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------|
|                    |                     | CELL 1          | CELL 2 | CELL 3 |                 |                                                   |
| 350                | 1.86                | 0.59            | 0.66   | 0.61   | 2091            |                                                   |
| 360                | 1.87                | 0.59            | 0.66   | 0.62   | 48              |                                                   |
| 370                | 1.90                | 0.60            | 0.67   | 0.63   | 47              |                                                   |
| 380                | 1.84                | 0.60            | 0.64   | 0.60   | 50              | Fuel line<br>ruptured.                            |
| 390                | 1.95                | 0.60            | 0.65   | 0.60   | 49              |                                                   |
| 400                | 1.89                | 0.61            | 0.66   | 0.62   | 48              |                                                   |
| 410                | 1.91                | 0.62            | 0.67   | 0.62   | 49              | Shut down and<br>cooled to room<br>temp. (4 hrs.) |
| 420                | 1.76                | 0.53            | 0.59   | 0.54   | 25              |                                                   |




FIGURE 35 HIGH TEMPERATURE TEST

D1281R

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

After the 370°F test, a rupture in the fuel line occurred causing some dilution and redistribution of the phosphoric acid in the stack. As a result, the stack suffered a performance drop of about 70 mV. The stack was given 6 ml of acid and again stabilized at 350°F before the high temperature tests were resumed.

After the 410°F test the stack was shut down, and some loss of load voltage was noticed when the stack was restarted. However stack performance was stable and testing was resumed. The stack voltage dropped to below 1 volt during the 420°F testing phase, after operating for 25 to 41 hours at this temperature.

### 4.3.8 Low Current Testing

This test was performed to observe the short-term effects of operating the stack at low current density (high operating voltage).

The stack used for the elevated load potential testing was built with a SiC matrix. The average anode and cathode catalyst loadings were 0.5 and 0.6 grams Pt/ft<sup>2</sup>, respectively.

The stack was operated with hydrogen fuel humidified to 2% moisture and compressed air at an estimated RH of 10 to 20% (room temperature). The fuel was not preheated, but air was maintained at 200 to 250°F by a heated tube preheater.

Testing of this stack was initiated at 40A (106 ASF). At the completion of 620 hours of stable operation, the load current was reduced, and the stack was operated for 50 to 70 hours at the reduced current. This procedure was repeated until the stack current was reduced to 5A and the corresponding average cell voltage had increased to 730 mV.

Table X provides the increased voltage test data. The polarization curves for this stack before and after operation at elevated potential are shown in Figures 36 and 37.

The performance data at the end of the test was identical to the initial performance data. The O<sub>2</sub> gain remained at  $\sim$  75 mV at 40A throughout the testing. It appears, therefore, that

ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

TABLE X.  
LOW CURRENT TEST  
Stack 54  
Hydrogen 1.3X  
Air 9X  
350°F

| STACK<br>VOLTAGE,<br>Volts | STACK<br>CURRENT,<br>amps | CELL VOLTAGE, volts |        |        | HOURS<br>TESTED |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------|--------|-----------------|
|                            |                           | CELL 1              | CELL 2 | CELL 3 |                 |
| 1.36                       | 40.0                      | 0.60                | 0.65   | 0.62   | 622             |
| 1.92                       | 32.5                      | 0.62                | 0.67   | 0.64   | 49              |
| 1.98                       | 24.3                      | 0.64                | 0.69   | 0.66   | 66              |
| 2.04                       | 17.6                      | 0.66                | 0.71   | 0.68   | 50              |
| 2.10                       | 12.4                      | 0.68                | 0.73   | 0.70   | 50              |
| 2.16                       | 8.2                       | 0.69                | 0.76   | 0.72   | 66              |
| 2.22                       | 5.2                       | 0.71                | 0.78   | 0.74   | 49              |

operation at reduced load (elevated cell potential) does not result in significant cell performance decay over the relatively short operating times employed in these tests.

#### 4.4 TEN-CELL STACKS

##### 4.4.1 Cell Voltage Reproducibility

Twenty 10-cell stacks were assembled as described in Section 4.1. Ten builds were used to verify design and assembly procedures developed as part of the 3-cell stack construction task.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION




FIGURE 36 STACK PERFORMANCE BEFORE LOW CURRENT TESTING

D1282 R




FIGURE 37 STACK PERFORMANCE AFTER LOW CURRENT TESTING

D1233R

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

Ten additional 10-cell stacks were dedicated to evaluation of reliability of the two assembly procedures, i.e., the "dry" assembly using Viton rubber cement and the "wet" assembly using prefilled Kynol matrices. The stacks were assembled with electrodes utilizing 10% Pt on carbon catalyst,  $0.85\text{g Pt/ft}^2$  for the cathode, and  $0.6\text{ g Pt/ft}^2$  for the anode. The standard Kynol matrices were employed, and bipolar plates had the "A" pattern on the fuel side and the "B" pattern on the air side. Five of the ten stacks were built by the "dry" assembly method, and the remaining five by the "wet" method.

Testing of these stacks was conducted in the usual fashion, i.e., measurements were made of the open circuit voltage, load voltage at 25 to 200 ASF, fuel utilization, performance on hydrogen and SRF, and performance stability under continuous load at 100 ASF.

All of the 10-cell stack construction and test data are summarized in Table XI. For the last 10 ten-cell stacks built during this phase of the project, cell open circuit and load potentials (100 ASF) are listed in Tables XII and XIII, respectively. The data show uniform performance for individual cells in a stack as well as between stacks. (The somewhat lower cell potentials at the ends of the stack are associated with lower cell temperatures.) Polarization curves for these stacks are plotted in Figures 38 and 39. Two stacks were operated on SRF; results of these tests are shown in Table XIV. The above results indicate no difference in initial performance between the two methods of stack assembly.

Endurance testing of all stacks was conducted at 100 ASF and  $350^{\circ}\text{F}$  on hydrogen fuel. No stack failures were encountered during 500 hours of operation, the duration of initial stack qualification according to the test plan. Voltage-time plots for these tests are shown in Figures 40 and 41.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

TABLE XI. TEN-CELL STACK SUMMARY

| STACK NO. | PLATE TYPE | ANODE CATALYST g/Ft. | CATHODE CATALYST g/Ft. | ASS'Y | AVERAGE CELL VOLTAGE<br>mV @ 40A, 350°F |         | HOURS TESTED | REASON FOR TERMINATION | REMARKS                                                                           |
|-----------|------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------------|---------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|           |            |                      |                        |       | INITIAL                                 | AVERAGE |              |                        |                                                                                   |
| 10        | AA         | 0.80                 | 0.80                   | D     | 630                                     | 590     | 3220         | Voluntary              |                                                                                   |
| 29        | AB         | 0.39                 | 0.95                   | D     | 610                                     | 605     | 5572         | Voluntary              |                                                                                   |
| 33        | AB         | 0.65                 | 0.86                   | W     | 630                                     | 600     | 365          | Voluntary              |                                                                                   |
| 35        | BB         | 0.61                 | 0.81                   | W     | 620                                     | 600     | 1535         | Voluntary              |                                                                                   |
| 36        | BB         | 0.62                 | 0.81                   | W     | 630                                     | 620     | 60           | Low Voltage            | Undersintered Electr.                                                             |
| 40        | AB         | 0.61                 | 0.88                   | W     | 605                                     | 585     | 550          | Crossover              | Dry Matrix                                                                        |
| 41        | AB         | 0.58                 | 0.89                   | W     | 595                                     | 590     | 1185         | Voluntary              |                                                                                   |
| 42        | AB         | 0.61                 | 0.80                   | W     | 600                                     | 595     | 5154         | Voluntary              | < Submitted to MERADCOM<br>SRF Testing (38 hours)<br>+CH <sub>3</sub> OH Testing  |
| 43        | AB         | 0.63                 | 0.85                   | W     | 595                                     | 580     | 2795         | Voluntary              |                                                                                   |
| 44        | AB         | 0.60                 | 0.84                   | W     | 590                                     | 575     | 1385         | Voluntary              |                                                                                   |
| 46        | AB         | 0.60                 | 0.89                   | D     | 600                                     | 595     | 670          | Voluntary              | <Delivered to MERADCOM<br>SRF Testing (5 hours)<br>Temp. Cyc. (121 ON-OFF CYCLES) |
| 47        | AB         | 0.61                 | 0.89                   | D     | 595                                     | 575     | 2020         | Voluntary              |                                                                                   |
| 48        | AB         | 0.58                 | 0.87                   | D     | 610                                     | 595     | 5035         | Voluntary              |                                                                                   |
| 49        | AR         | 0.60                 | 0.89                   | D     | 610                                     | 580     | 3470         | Voluntary              |                                                                                   |
| 50        | AB         | 0.55                 | 0.84                   | D     | 595                                     | 575     | 2220         | Voluntary              | Horiz. Oper. for                                                                  |
| 55        | AB         | 0.90                 | 0.90                   | W     | 590                                     | 570     | 3909         | Voluntary              | 1800 hours                                                                        |
| 57        | AB         | 0.50                 | 0.90                   | D     | 585                                     | 575     | 2016         | Voluntary              | Temp. Cycle testing                                                               |
| 58        | AB         | 0.29                 | 0.59                   | D     | 600                                     | 580     | 1015         | Voluntary              | (348 on-off cyc.)                                                                 |
| 59        | AB         | 0.60                 | 0.90                   | W     | 605                                     | 610     | 773          | Voluntary              |                                                                                   |
| 60        | AB         | 0.23                 | 0.52                   | W     | 603                                     | 577     | 186          | Crossover              | (No acid filler tubes)                                                            |

Plate AA - (A) Fuel Side, (A) Air Side  
 AB - (A) Fuel Side, (B) Air Side  
 BB - (R) Fuel Side, (B) Air Side

Ass'y D - Dry Assembly  
 W - Wet Assembly with H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>

SRF - Simulated Reformed Fuel  
 74% H<sub>2</sub>, 25% CO<sub>2</sub>, 1% CO

Several 10-cell stacks were continued on test beyond the initial 500-hour test period, operating on hydrogen at 350°F and 100 ASF. Performance remained stable for up to 5000 hours of testing under these conditions as seen from the lifegraph for Stack 48 shown in Figure 42.


TABLE XII  
CELL NO LOAD POTENTIALS  
350°F

| STACK | ASS'Y | CELL NO. |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|-------|-------|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|       |       | 1        | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  |
| 40    | Dry   | .84      | .84 | .84 | .85 | .85 | .85 | .86 | .86 | .86 | .86 |
| 41    | Dry   | .88      | .88 | .82 | .89 | .87 | .87 | .87 | .87 | .98 | .86 |
| 42    | Dry   | .88      | .88 | .93 | .88 | .86 | .93 | .87 | .88 | .88 | .87 |
| 43    | Dry   | .89      | .87 | .87 | .87 | .87 | .87 | .88 | .88 | .89 | .87 |
| 44    | Dry   | .85      | .87 | .83 | .87 | .85 | .85 | .94 | .86 | .85 | .84 |
| 46    | Wet   | .35      | .36 | .87 | .88 | .87 | .87 | .87 | .87 | .87 | .84 |
| 47    | Wet   | .90      | .84 | .87 | .86 | .90 | .87 | .92 | .92 | .90 | .90 |
| 48    | Wet   | .86      | .86 | .86 | .87 | .87 | .87 | .88 | .88 | .88 | .83 |
| 49    | Wet   | .85      | .86 | .85 | .86 | .84 | .87 | .87 | .86 | .87 | .85 |
| 50    | Wet   | .35      | .85 | .35 | .85 | .85 | .82 | .95 | .36 | .86 | .85 |

TABLE XIII  
CELL LOAD POTENTIALS  
330-350°F  
40A

| STACK | ASS'Y | CELL NO. |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|-------|-------|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|       |       | 1        | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  |
| 40    | Wet   | .53      | .59 | .60 | .60 | .59 | .59 | .60 | .59 | .59 | .58 |
| 41    | Wet   | .59      | .61 | .60 | .62 | .61 | .60 | .61 | .60 | .60 | .59 |
| 42    | Wet   | .58      | .59 | .60 | .59 | .60 | .59 | .59 | .59 | .58 | .57 |
| 43    | Wet   | .60      | .60 | .60 | .60 | .60 | .62 | .60 | .61 | .60 | .59 |
| 44    | Wet   | .57      | .59 | .59 | .60 | .60 | .60 | .59 | .60 | .57 | .57 |
| 46    | Dry   | .59      | .61 | .61 | .62 | .61 | .61 | .61 | .61 | .62 | .60 |
| 47    | Dry   | .58      | .59 | .61 | .60 | .61 | .60 | .60 | .61 | .60 | .59 |
| 48    | Dry   | .59      | .59 | .60 | .60 | .60 | .60 | .60 | .60 | .61 | .60 |
| 49    | Dry   | .60      | .61 | .61 | .61 | .59 | .61 | .61 | .61 | .61 | .58 |
| 50    | Dry   | .58      | .59 | .59 | .60 | .59 | .60 | .61 | .59 | .59 | .59 |

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

 $H_2/AIR$ , 350°F

D0335

FIGURE 38  
STACK POLARIZATION, DRY ASSEMBLY

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION


 $H_2/AIR$ , 350°F

FIGURE 39  
STACK POLARIZATION, WET ASSEMBLY

ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

TABLE XIV.  
STACK PERFORMANCE WITH SRF

Current: 40A (100 ASF)

Temperature: 350°F

SRF: 74% H<sub>2</sub>, 25% CO<sub>2</sub>, 1% CO

| CELL NO. | STACK 42       |      | STACK 46       |      |
|----------|----------------|------|----------------|------|
|          | H <sub>2</sub> | SRF  | H <sub>2</sub> | SRF  |
| 1        | 0.54           | 0.52 | 0.56           | 0.54 |
| 2        | 0.60           | 0.58 | 0.58           | 0.57 |
| 3        | 0.60           | 0.53 | 0.59           | 0.53 |
| 4        | 0.60           | 0.53 | 0.59           | 0.53 |
| 5        | 0.60           | 0.53 | 0.59           | 0.58 |
| 6        | 0.59           | 0.57 | 0.60           | 0.59 |
| 7        | 0.59           | 0.57 | 0.61           | 0.59 |
| 8        | 0.59           | 0.57 | 0.60           | 0.59 |
| 9        | 0.58           | 0.56 | 0.59           | 0.53 |
| 10       | 0.54           | 0.52 | 0.59           | 0.58 |

#### 4.4.2 Thermal Profile

The temperature profile of one ten-cell stack, No. 50, was investigated by inserting thermocouples into the air channels at 1 inch intervals. The air inlet temperature was 250°F and the H<sub>2</sub> inlet temperature was 75°F. The air supplied to the stack was a constant 58 liters/minute and the H<sub>2</sub> was a steady 3.4 liters/minute while operating at 40A.

As expected, the temperature was found to be lower near the air and fuel inlets. In all instances the hottest point in the long direction of the stack was found to be near the center. In the vertical direction the hottest point was approximately 2 inches from the air outlet. The first and last two cells also operate slightly cooler than the center cells. Temperature profiles for the first, third, sixth, eighth, and tenth cells from Stack 50 are shown in Figure 43.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION




FIGURE 40  
STACK PERFORMANCE STABILITY,  
DRY ASSEMBLY

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION





FIGURE 41  
STACK PERFORMANCE STABILITY,  
WET ASSEMBLY



D1292






FIGURE 42. EXTENDED LIFE TESTING, STACK 48

#### 4.4.3 Thermal Cycling

Temperature cycling was performed with two stacks. The cycle for the first stack consisted of a 2 hour shutdown and 6 hours of operation. During shutdown, the stack cooled to 140-150°F. At this point the stack and fuel heaters were activated, the fuel turned on, and the load bank connected. The warmup curve for the stack obtained with this arrangement is shown in Figure 44.

Under these test conditions, 120 temperature cycles were completed. The load voltage remained stable but there was a slight decline in the open circuit voltage over the cycling period. Some acid loss was observed in the form of drops of acid on the bottom of the stack. This acid loss was probably caused by the low start temperature of the stack and the long operating time below 250°F (10 min.). This test was terminated voluntarily at the conclusion of the first phase of the project.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION



AIR: 58.2 l/min.

H<sub>2</sub>: 3.4 l/min.

Current: 40A

AIR TEMP: 250° F.

H<sub>2</sub> TEMP: 75°F

All temperatures in °F.

D1364

FIGURE 43.  
TEMPERATURE PROFILE  
FOR STACK 50

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION




FIGURE 44  
10-CELL STACK WARM-UP

D0516

A second thermal cycling experiment was conducted during the second phase of the project. As in the first cycling experiment, the stack was allowed to cool to 150°F following a shut-down; however, the bootstrap load was applied only after the stack had been reheated to 250°F with end plate heaters. The 8-hour temperature cycle obtained by this procedure is shown in Figure 45.





FIGURE 45 TEMPERATURE CYCLE FOR 10-CELL STACK  
Page No. 59

D1292

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

This temperature cycle resulted in stable stack performance as seen from the life plot in Figure 46. There was no visible evidence of electrolyte loss, indicating that 250°F is a sufficiently high temperature for application of load to the stack during warmup.



D1294

FIGURE 46 THERMAL CYCLE TEST

#### 4.4.4 Effect of Methanol in Fuel

Since methanol is the fuel of choice for small portable fuel cell powerplants, tolerance to methanol in the fuel entering the stack was evaluated.

Various methanol concentrations were produced in the fuel entering the stack by passing hydrogen gas thru an aqueous solution of methanol. A gas diffusion tube was used to assure adequate saturation of the gas with water and methanol vapor.

The partial pressure of methanol over the solution was calculated using Raoult's Law with methanol and water pressures taken as 124 and 23.7 mm Hg, respectively. (These vapor pressures correspond to 25°C). The methanol concentrations obtained by this method are shown in Table XV.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

TABLE XV METHANOL CONCENTRATION

| RUN<br>NO. | SOLUTION |       | VAPOR |       |
|------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
|            | Wt%      | Mol%  | Vol%  | Wt%   |
| 1          | 0.61     | 0.34  | 0.05  | 0.71  |
| 2          | 1.23     | 0.68  | 0.11  | 1.39  |
| 3          | 3.07     | 1.73  | 0.28  | 3.49  |
| 4          | 6.13     | 3.55  | 0.58  | 7.01  |
| 5          | 12.27    | 7.28  | 1.18  | 13.40 |
| 6          | 30.67    | 19.92 | 3.24  | 30.76 |
| 7          | 61.34    | 47.16 | 7.68  | 53.73 |

Because of the higher volatility of methanol compared to water, a reduction of methanol concentration occurs during the 50-hour test run, and the end-of-run concentration of methanol was found to be under 1% by weight for all runs as determined by specific gravity measurements. The average and total flows of methanol calculation for each run are shown in Table XVI.

TABLE XVI METHANOL FLOW

| Run No. | % MeOH<br>in Sol'n | Wt. MeOH,<br>g | MeOH Flow,<br>g/hour |
|---------|--------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| 1       | 0.61               | 5.18           | 0.10                 |
| 2       | 1.23               | 10.43          | 0.21                 |
| 3       | 3.07               | 26.13          | 0.52                 |
| 4       | 6.13               | 52.13          | 1.04                 |
| 5       | 12.27              | 104.33         | 2.08                 |
| 6       | 30.67              | 260.73         | 5.21                 |
| 7       | 61.34              | 521.4          | 10.43                |

Weight of starting solution: 850 grams

Run duration: 50 hrs. ± 2 hrs.

A 10-cell stack (No. 42) was used to obtain response to methanol in fuel. Cell voltage readings were recorded for the stack operating first on humidified hydrogen. The methanol-containing fuel was then switched on, voltage was allowed to stabilize for 2 hours, and readings were recorded. Results of these tests are shown in Table XVII.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

TABLE XVII

## CELL LOAD VOLTAGE WITH METHANOL IN FUEL

Stack No. 42, 40A, 350°F

50 hours  $\pm$  2 hours

| CELL<br>No. | METHANOL IN FUEL, vol% |      |      |      |      |
|-------------|------------------------|------|------|------|------|
|             | 0                      | 0.60 | 1.2  | 3.2  | 7.7  |
| 2           | .591                   | .590 | .590 | .585 | .571 |
| 3           | .598                   | .597 | .597 | .593 | .579 |
| 4           | .600                   | .600 | .598 | .594 | .582 |
| 5           | .605                   | .605 | .608 | .598 | .583 |
| 6           | .595                   | .594 | .593 | .588 | .577 |
| 7           | .592                   | .592 | .589 | .584 | .570 |
| 8           | .600                   | .601 | .599 | .594 | .581 |
| 9           | .577                   | .578 | .581 | .572 | .560 |

When the methanol concentration in the fuel exceeded 1.2%, a temperature rise was observed in the stack, possible because of diffusion of methanol thru the electrolyte and reaction with air on the cathode catalyst. This effect precluded use of a methanol concentration above 7.7%. The slight operating voltage depression caused by methanol in the fuel was found to be reversible.

## 4.5 EIGHTY-CELL STACKS

4.5.1 Construction

Two 80-cell stacks, nominally rated at 2.1 kW, were constructed and tested. The first stack was built at the conclusion of Phase I of the project with Kynol matrices. The second stack was built with Mat-1 matrices at the end of the second phase.

Both stacks were assembled dry and were filled with electrolyte by the conventional wicking method described earlier. Wicking time was about 10 days for Stack 51 (Kynol matrix) and 3 weeks for Stack 61 (Mat-1 matrix).

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

During the initial testing of Stack 61, a gas crossover condition was indicated in Cells 26 thru 30. The stack was opened and these cells were replaced, using the wet assembly technique. After the stack had been reassembled, it was given an additional 3 days of electrolyte wicking.

Specifications for the fuel cell components used for the two stacks and approximate component weights are listed in Tables XVIII and XIX, respectively.

TABLE XVIII  
EIGHTY-CELL STACK COMPONENTS

| <u>Cathode</u>                      | <u>Stack 51</u><br><u>(Standard)</u> | <u>Stack 61</u><br><u>(DIGAS)</u> |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Catalyst Type                       | 10% Pt on Carbon                     |                                   |
| Platinum loading, g/ft <sup>2</sup> | 0.85 ± .5                            | 0.52 ± .05                        |
| Support layer                       | Stackpole graphite paper             |                                   |
| Thickness, in.                      | .023 ± .002                          | .021 ± .002                       |
| Weight, g                           | 17.8 ± .8                            | 14.5 ± .5                         |
| <u>Anode</u>                        |                                      |                                   |
| Catalyst type                       | 10% Pt on Carbon                     |                                   |
| Platinum loading, g/ft <sup>2</sup> | 0.60 ± .05                           | 0.24 ± .03                        |
| Support layer                       | Stackpole graphite paper             |                                   |
| Thickness, in.                      | .021 ± .002                          | .019 ± .002                       |
| Weight, g                           | 13.0 ± .7                            | 9.8 ± .5                          |
| <u>Matrix</u>                       |                                      |                                   |
| Material                            | Kynol                                | Mat-1                             |
| Thickness                           | 0.018 ± .001                         | 0.020 ± .002                      |
| Weight, g                           | 7.7 ± .4                             | 16.0 ± .5                         |
| <u>Bipolar Plate</u>                |                                      |                                   |
| Material                            | 33% Colloid 8440                     | 67% graphite                      |
| Design                              | "A" fuel side                        | "P" air side                      |
| Thickness, in.                      | 0.165 ± 0.005                        |                                   |
| Weight, g                           | 192 ± 3                              |                                   |

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

TABLE XIX  
STACK COMPONENT WEIGHTS

| COMPONENT                               | STACK 51 (Standard) |             |                  | STACK 61 (DIGAS) |             |                   |                            |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
|                                         | Unit<br>wt., g      | No.<br>used | Total<br>wt., kg | Unit<br>wt., g   | No.<br>used | Total<br>wt., kg  | Description                |
| Bipolar<br>Plates                       | 192                 | 81          | 15.55            | 190              | 66          | 12.54             |                            |
| DIGAS<br>Plate                          |                     |             |                  | 310              | 15          | 4.65              |                            |
| Anodes                                  | 13                  | 80          | 1.04             | 9.8              | 80          | 0.78              | (0.3g Pt/ft <sup>2</sup> ) |
| Cathodes                                | 18                  | 80          | 1.44             | 14.5             | 80          | 1.16              | (0.5g Pt/ft <sup>2</sup> ) |
| Matrices                                | 8                   | 80          | 0.64             | 16.0             | 80          | 1.28              | ---                        |
| Ta Inserts                              | 2                   | 160         | 0.32             | --               | --          | ---               | ---                        |
| Cement                                  | 2.5                 | 80          | 0.20             | 1.5/cell         | 80          | 0.12              | ---                        |
| Electrolyte                             | 29                  | 80          | 2.32             | 36/cell          | 80          | 2.88              | ---                        |
| Wt. of All<br>Cell<br>Components,<br>kg |                     |             | 21.51            |                  |             | 23.41             |                            |
| Current<br>Collectors                   | 47                  | 2           | 0.10             | 45               | 2           | 0.09              |                            |
| Insulators                              | 675                 | 2           | 1.35             | 800              | 2           | 1.60              |                            |
| End plates                              | 3319                | 2           | 6.64             | 3000             | 2           | 6.00              |                            |
| Air<br>Manifolds                        | 550                 | 2           | 1.10             | 550              | 2           | 1.10              |                            |
| Fuel<br>Manifolds                       | 403                 | 2           | 0.81             | 400              | 2           | 0.80              |                            |
| Fill Ports                              | 72                  | 4           | 0.29             | 70               | 4           | 0.28              |                            |
| Tie Bars                                | 773                 | 4           | 3.09             | 770              | 4           | 3.08              |                            |
| Tie Rods                                | 252                 | 4           | 1.01             | 250              | 4           | 1.00              |                            |
| TOTAL<br>wt., kg:                       |                     |             | 35.9<br>(79 lbs) |                  |             | 37.36<br>(82 lbs) |                            |

Gas manifolds, blower, and recirculating ducts of the type employed on previous projects\* for 2 kW stacks were fabricated and installed. A 2 kW nichrome wire electric heater installed in the air recirculation duct was used to provide startup heat for the stack. A schematic drawing of this arrangement is shown in Figure 47.

\* Contract DAA-53-76-C-0113, Final Report, Apr. 1978.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION




FIGURE 47 EIGHTY-CELL STACK ASSEMBLY

D1363

The 80-cell stack constructed at the end of the first phase of the project did not utilize DIGAS cooling plates. Photographs of this stack before and after installation of gas manifolds are shown in Figure 48.

The second 80-cell stack was constructed with DIGAS cooling plates spaced at 5-cell intervals. The spacing and design of the cooling plates used in this stack were based on results from an earlier ERC fuel cell development project.\*

A photograph of this stack before installation of manifolds is shown in Figure 49.

\* Contract EC-77-C-03-1404, Final Report, 1978.

FR-0174





FIGURE 48  
80-CELL STACK WITHOUT AND WITH GAS MANIFOLDS INSTALLED

Page No. 66

P0097

ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION



P0250

FIGURE 49  
THE SECOND 80-CELL STACK WITH DIGAS COOLING PLATES

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

4.5.2 Performance with Hydrogen Fuel

Polarization data for the two 80-cell stacks were obtained with hydrogen diluted with CO<sub>2</sub> (80% H<sub>2</sub>, 20% CO<sub>2</sub>). Although Stack 61 (DIGAS Cooling) had only about 40% of the total catalyst loading of Stack 51 (0.76 vs 1.8g Pt/ft<sup>2</sup>), performance of the two stacks was remarkably similar as seen from the polarization curves, Figure 50. This is due, to a large extent, to the improved air circulation rate thru the DIGAS stack as evidenced by the higher inlet air temperature (280 vs 230°F). Both stacks employed the same air blower\* and air side ducting.

Voltage measurements for the 10-cell groups at 40A load current are shown in Table XX. The fuel utilization employed for these tests was higher (80 to 90%) than the stack fuel utilization goals for the small powerplant design (~75%).

TABLE XX  
EIGHTY-CELL STACK PERFORMANCE

Fuel: Hydrogen  
Load Current: 40A  
Temperature : 350°F

| CELL<br>GROUP | LOAD VOLTAGE, V |          |
|---------------|-----------------|----------|
|               | Stack 51        | Stack 61 |
| 1 - 10        | 5.50            | 5.66     |
| 11 - 20       | 5.58            | 5.42     |
| 21 - 30       | 5.48            | 5.69     |
| 31 - 40       | 5.59            | 5.49     |
| 41 - 50       | 5.58            | 5.54     |
| 51 - 60       | 5.61            | 5.54     |
| 61 - 70       | 5.54            | 5.64     |
| 71 - 80       | 5.68            | 5.71     |

\* Eaton Propimax AB, 70 CFM at 1.2 in. H<sub>2</sub>O.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION





FIGURE 50. EIGHTY-CELL STACK PERFORMANCE

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

4.5.3 Testing with Methanol Reformer

A methanol reformer was constructed in July of 1979 for the purpose of evaluating stack characteristics with reformed methanol. Construction features of the annular reformer employed are shown in Figure 51, and its major design parameters are listed in Table XXI. The unit was operated at 380 to 460°F with 1.3:1 water-methanol ratio; this operating condition produced fuel having the composition shown in Table XXII. A temperature profile obtained for the reformer with 20 cc/min fuel feed rate is shown in Figure 52.

The test setup used for testing the second 80-cell stack (No. 61) with the methanol reformer is shown in Figure 53. With 75 to 80% fuel utilization, the anticipated drop in stack performance of 15 to 20 mV/cell was observed with reformed methanol compared to hydrogen fuel, as seen from the voltage-current data in Table XXIII.

TABLE XXI  
REFORMER CHARACTERISTICS

|                                   |                                       |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Construction Material             | SS-316                                |
| Blower                            | Rotron Aximax- 3                      |
| Ignitor                           | Glow plug                             |
| Thermocouples                     | Chromel-Alumel                        |
| Catalyst type                     | United Catalyst T2130                 |
| Catalyst weight                   | 6.2 lbs                               |
| Heat transfer area                | 3.5 ft <sup>2</sup>                   |
| Height of bed                     | 14 in.                                |
| Bed thickness                     | 0.5 in.                               |
| Outside diameter                  | 6.25 in.                              |
| Inside diameter                   | 5.25 in.                              |
| Total weight                      | 25 lbs (approx.)                      |
| Design space velocity             | 2000 hr <sup>-1</sup> (total product) |
| Fuel input                        | 5.9 lbs/hr                            |
| Heat required                     | 2740 BTU/hr                           |
| Heat flux                         | 772 BTU/hr/ft <sup>2</sup>            |
| Overall heat transfer coefficient | 2.15 BTU/hr/°F/ft <sup>2</sup>        |




FIGURE 51  
MECHANICAL DRAWING

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

TABLE XXII  
REFORMER GAS COMPOSITION (DRY BASIS)

|                 |   |        |
|-----------------|---|--------|
| Hydrogen        | : | 74.7%  |
| Carbon Dioxide  | : | 24.0%  |
| Carbon Monoxide | : | 1.2%   |
| Methanol        | : | 0.1%   |
| Total:          |   | 100.0% |

TABLE XXIII  
PERFORMANCE OF STACK 61 WITH REFORMED METHANOL

Stack Temperature: 350°F  
Fuel Rate : 35 ml/min\*

| CELL GROUP | LOAD VOLTAGE, V |       |
|------------|-----------------|-------|
|            | 3.35A           | 3.40A |
| 1 - 10     | 5.58            | 5.42  |
| 11 - 20    | 5.11            | 4.97  |
| 21 - 30    | 5.59            | 5.47  |
| 31 - 40    | 5.44            | 5.25  |
| 41 - 50    | 5.38            | 5.14  |
| 51 - 60    | 5.47            | 5.35  |
| 61 - 70    | 5.55            | 5.40  |
| 71 - 80    | 5.65            | 5.47  |

\* 400 Btu/hr based on 100% CH<sub>3</sub>OH conversion and 1.2% H<sub>2</sub> product.

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION




FIGURE 52. TEMPERATURE PROFILE OF 1.5kW REFORMER




FIGURE 53. FUEL CELL TEST SETUP WITH METHANOL REFORMER

D1390

## ENERGY RESEARCH CORPORATION

5.0 CONCLUSIONS

During this program, stack assembly procedures were established which resulted in reliable performance of 10- and 80-cell stacks of the two basic assembly methods, (wet and dry). The wet method appears to be more desirable since reliable performance can be achieved and stack assembly time is considerably reduced. Both 80-cell stacks built on this project were assembled by the dry method, however. Other significant accomplishments were: incorporation of an air-cooled bipolar plate (DIGAS plate) and an improved ERC matrix (Mat-1) into the stack assembly. The latter appears to be the matrix of choice because of its excellent acid retention properties.

This project also resulted in the successful construction and testing of stacks with relatively low platinum catalyst loadings. The loading was reduced from  $4\text{g/ft}^2$  in earlier stacks using platinum black catalyst to as low as  $0.75\text{g/ft}^2$  in the current stacks using supported platinum catalyst. The capability for operating low loaded stacks on methanol reformer product gas was also demonstrated.

AUG 15 1979

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Commander (12)  
Defense Documentation Center  
Cameron Station, Bldg. 5  
ATTN: TISIA  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Chief (1)  
Research Development & Acquisition  
Office, Deputy Chief of Staff  
Department of the Army  
Washington, DC 20310

Office of the Under Deputy Secretary (1)  
of Defense (Research & Advanced Technology)  
ATTN: ASST DIR, Electronics & Physical  
Sciences  
Washington, DC 20301

Director, Technical Information (1)  
Advanced Research Projects Agency  
1400 Wilson Blvd  
Arlington, VA 22209

Commander (1)  
US Army Materiel Development  
and Readiness Command  
ATTN: DRCDE-D  
5001 Eisenhower Avenue  
Alexandria, VA 22333

Commander (1)  
US Army Tank-Automotive R&D Command  
Technical Library, DRDTA-UL  
Warren, MI 48090

Commander (1)  
US Army Electronics R&D Command  
ATTN: DELET-PB  
Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

Commander (1)  
US Army Transportation Research &  
Engineering Command  
ATTN: Research Directorate  
Fort Eustis, VA 23604

Technical Documents Center (2)  
US Army Mobility Equipment R&D Command  
ATTN: DRDME-WC  
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

Chief (1)  
Naval Ships Engineering Center  
Department of the Navy  
ATTN: Code 6157D, Mr. Albert Himy  
Washington, DC 20362

Director, Power Branch (1)  
Office of Naval Research  
ATTN: 473  
800 Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217

Department of the Navy (1)  
Office of Naval Research  
Ballston Tower #1  
800 N. Quincy Street Code: 472, Rm 624  
Arlington, VA 22217

Commander (1)  
Naval Ordnance Test Station  
ATTN: Technical Library  
China Lake, CA 93555

Commander (1)  
Naval Electronics Laboratory Center  
ATTN: Research Library  
San Diego, CA 92152

Director (1)  
US Naval Research Laboratory  
ATTN: Code 2027  
Washington, DC 20390

Commander (1)  
Aerospace Power Division  
ATTN: AFAPL/PO (Mr. J.D. Reams)  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base  
Dayton, OH 45443

DISTRIBUTION LIST

Commander (1)  
Department of the Air Force (AFSC)  
Rome Air Development Center  
ATTN: TUGG (Mr. F.J. Mollura, 3068)  
Griffiss AFB, NY 13441

Power Information Center (1)  
Franklin Research Center  
20th and Race Streets  
Philadelphia, PA 19130

Director (1)  
George Marshall Space Flight Center  
ATTN: Mr. J.L. Miller (M-ASTR-E)  
Huntsville, AL 38809

Director (1)  
Lewis Research Center  
National Aeronautics & Space Administration  
ATTN: Mr. H.J. Schwartz (M.S. 309-1)  
21000 Brookpark Road  
Cleveland, OH 44135

Dr. Paul Nelson, Director (1)  
Argonne National Laboratory  
Bldg 205  
9700 South Cass Avenue  
Argonne, IL 60439

Mr. Norman Rosenberg (1)  
US Department of Transportation  
Transportation Systems Center  
55 Broadway  
Cambridge, MA 02142

US Department of Energy (1)  
ATTN: Mr. Gary Voelker  
Division of Fossil Fuel Utilization  
Mail Station E-178, Germantown  
Washington, DC 20545

Mr. Paul Milner (1)  
Room 1D-259  
Bell Telephone Laboratories  
Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Electrochimica Corporation (1)  
2485 Charleston Road  
ATTN: Technical Library  
Mountain View, CA 94040

Engelhard Industries Division (1)  
Engelhard Minerals & Chemicals Corp.  
ATTN: V.A. Forlenza  
Menlo Park, Edison, NJ 08817

Mr. George Ciprios (1)  
Exxon Research & Engineering  
PO Box 8  
Linden, NJ 07036

General Electric Company (1)  
50 Fordham Road  
ATTN: L.J. Nuttall  
Bldg 1A  
Wilmington, MA 01887

P.L. Howard Associates, Inc (1)  
Millington, MD 21561

Power Systems Division (1)  
United Technologies Corporation  
ATTN: Al Meyer  
PO Box 109  
Governor's Highway  
South Windsor, CT 06074

Occidental Research Corporation (1)  
ATTN: Herbert P. Silverman  
PO Box 310, Department 2-K  
LaVerne, CA 91750

Union Carbide Corporation (1)  
Parma Research Center  
PO Box 6166  
ATTN: Dr. R. Brodd  
Parma, OH 44101

Energy Research Corporation (1)  
ATTN: Dr. B.S. Baker  
3 Great Pasture Road  
Danbury, CT 06810

Dr. S.B. Brummer (1)  
Director of Physical Research  
EIC, Inc.  
55 Chapel Street  
Newton, MA 02158

### DISTRIBUTION LIST

Electric Power Research Institute (1)  
ATTN: A.P. Fickett  
PO Box 10412  
Palo Alto, CA 94304

Dr. Ralph Roberts (1)  
Energy Resources & Environmental Systems  
Engineering  
The MITRE Corporation  
Mail Stop W-389  
Westgate Research Park  
McLean, VA 22101

Universal Oil Products, Inc. (1)  
Ten UOP Plaza  
ATTN: Stephen N. Massie  
Government Contract Administrator  
Des Plains, IL 60016

Technology Center (1)  
ESB Incorporated  
19 W. College Avenue  
ATTN: Dr. D. T. Ferrell, Jr.  
Yardley, PA 19067

Dr. Paul Stonehart (1)  
Stonehart Associates, Inc.  
34 Five Fields Road  
Madison, CT 06443

Dr. Jose Giner (1)  
Giner, Inc.  
14 Spring Street  
Waltham, MA 02154

Massachusetts Institute of Technology (1)  
ATTN: Professor H.P. Meissner  
Cambridge, MA 02138

Dr. Douglas N. Bennion (1)  
Energy & Kinetics Department  
School of Engineering & Applied Science  
5532 Boelter Hall  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

University of Florida (1)  
Department of Chemical Engineering  
PO Box 3027  
ATTN: Professor R.D. Walker  
Gainesville, FL 32601

Dr. R.T. Foley (1)  
Chemistry Department  
The American University  
Washington, DC 20016

State University of New York (1)  
at Buffalo  
ATTN: Professor Stanley Bruckenstein  
Chemistry Department  
Acheson Hall, SUNY/AB  
Buffalo, NY 14214

Hugh J. Barger, Jr. (1)  
Box 2232  
Davidson, NC 28036

Department of the Air Force (1)  
Sacramento Air Logistics Center (AFLC)  
ATTN: David C. Hall  
2852 ABG/DEE  
McClellan AFB, CA 95652

Defense Research Establishment (1)  
ATTN: E. Criddle  
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, KIA024

US Army Engineer School (1)  
Director of Combat Developments  
ATTN: ATZA-CDM (MAJ Mundt)  
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

DOD Project Manager-Mobile Electric Power(1)  
ATTN: DRCPM-MEP-TM (Glynn Burchette)  
7500 Backlick Road  
Springfield, VA 22150

Logistics Evaluation Agency(1)  
ATTN: DALO-LEI (Jack Daveau)  
New Cumberland Army Depot  
New Cumberland, PA 17070

# DISTRIBUTION LIST

Dr. John O. Smith (1)  
Chief, Engineering Analysis Branch  
Control Systems Laboratory  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

Office Deputy Chief of Staff (1)  
Research, Development and Engineering  
ATTN: DAMA-CSS (Maj. Hiemann)  
Washington, DC 20301

Gas Research Institute (1)  
ATTN: Mr. Vincent Fiore  
10 West 35th Street  
Chicago, IL 60616

Jet Propulsion Laboratory (1)  
California Institute of Technology  
ATTN: Dr. John Houseman  
Fuel Conversion Group  
4800 Oak Drive  
Pasadena, CA 91103

Gas Research Institute (1)  
ATTN:  
3424 South State St.  
Chicago, IL 60616

Commander (1)  
US Army Test & Evaluation Command  
ATTN: DRSTE-IN (Mr. Huang)  
Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005

Gulton Engineered Magnetics Division (1)  
ATTN: Mr. John Rance  
13041 Cerise Avenue  
Hawthorne, CA 90250

DELTA Electronic Control Corporation (1)  
ATTN: Mr. Larry Suelzle  
2801 S.E. Main St.  
Irvine, CA 92714

Westinghouse R&D Center (1)  
ATTN: Mr. D.Q. Hoover  
1310 Beulah Road  
Pittsburgh, PA 15235

Commander (2)  
US Army Training & Doctrine Command  
ATTN: ATCD-MC (Major Miller)  
Ft. Monroe, VA 23651

Commander (1)  
Harry Diamond Laboratories  
DELHD-RDD (Benderly)(Batteries)  
Adelphi, MD 20783

Globe-Union Inc (1)  
ATTN: Corporate Research  
5757 North Green Bay Ave.  
Milwaukee, WI 53210

Commanding Officer (1)  
David Taylor Naval Ship R&D Center  
Annapolis Division  
Annapolis, MD 21402

Commander ( )  
US Army Mobility Equipment R&D Command  
ATTN: DRDME-EC  
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

Office of Naval Research (1)  
Department of Navy  
ATTN: Code 425  
800 North Quincy Street  
Arlington, VA 22217

Commander (1)  
US Air Force Security Service  
ATTN: DCS/Communications-Electronics  
(ESO)  
San Antonio, TX 78241

Commander (1)  
Marine Corps Development & Education  
Center  
ATTN: M&L Division (M. Horstkamp)  
Quantico, VA 22134

Commanding Officer (1)  
US Army Signal Warfare Lab  
ATTN: DELSW-CC, Mr. Crabbe  
Arlington Hall Station, VA 22212

FILMED  
- 8