

AD-A092 897

STATE UNIV OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO DEPT OF CHEMISTRY

F/G 7/3

LOW OXIDATION STATE, SIGMA BONDED ORGANOMETALLIC DERIVATIVES OF--ETC(U)

NOV 80 O T BEACHLEY, R N RUSINKO

N00014-78-C-0562

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-5

10/1
21 92

END
DATE
FILMED
L 8/1
DTIC

AD A 092897

DDC FILE COPY

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

READ INSTRUCTIONS
BEFORE COMPLETING FORM

1. REPORT NUMBER

TR-5

2. ACCESSION NO.

AD-A092897

3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER

4. TITLE (and Subtitle)

Low Oxidation State, Sigma Bonded
Organometallic Derivatives of Indium(I).

5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED

Technical Report

6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER

7. AUTHOR(s)

O. T. Beachley, Jr. and R. N. Rusinko

8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)

N00014-78-C-0562

9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS

Department of Chemistry
State University of New York at Buffalo
Buffalo, New York 1421410. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK
AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS

NR-053-686

11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS

Office of Naval Research
Department of the Navy
Arlington, Virginia 22217

12. REPORT DATE

November 28, 1980

13. NUMBER OF PAGES

16

14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)

15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)

Unclassified

15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
SCHEDULE

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)

Approved for Public Release, Distribution Unlimited

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)

Prepared for Publication in Inorganic Chemistry

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

Indium(I) Reductive elimination reactions
Organometallic Compounds
Trimethylsilylmethyl Compounds
Low oxidation state organometallic anions

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)

The organoindium compounds, $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ and $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\cdot\text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}$, whose formulas indicate indium in the +1 oxidation state, have been prepared and characterized by elemental analyses, cryoscopic molecular weight measurements in benzene solution and infrared and ^1H NMR spectral data. The novel indium(I) compounds were prepared from $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ and

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-014-6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

80 12 15 019

NaH in the appropriate solvent by an apparent reductive elimination reaction. The nature of the specific indium(I) product was dependent on the reaction solvent. When either aromatic or hydrocarbon solvents were used, a hexameric species, $[\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_6$, was formed. The product from dimethoxyethane, $[\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \cdot \text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}]_x$ exhibited an association which was concentration dependent. Structures which involve indium-indium bonds are proposed to account for the observed molecular association as well as the chemical and spectral properties.

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH
Contract N-00014-78-C-0562
Task No. NR 053-686
TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 5

Low Oxidation State, Sigma Bonded
Organometallic Derivatives of Indium (I)

by

O. T. Beachley, Jr. and R. N. Rusinko

Prepared for Publication

in

Inorganic Chemistry

State University of New York at Buffalo
Department of Chemistry
Buffalo, New York 14214

25, November 1980

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for
any purpose of the United States Government

*This document has been approved for public release
and sale; its distribution is unlimited

[Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, State University of
New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14214]

Low Oxidation State, Sigma Bonded
Organometallic Derivatives of Indium(I)

by

O. T. Beachley, Jr.* and R. N. Rusinko

Abstract

→ The organoindium compounds, $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ and $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \cdot \text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}$, whose formulas indicate indium in the +1 oxidation state, have been prepared and characterized by elemental analyses, cryoscopic molecular weight measurements in benzene solution and infrared and ^1H NMR spectral data. The novel indium(I) compounds were prepared from $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ and NaH in the appropriate solvent by an apparent reductive elimination reaction. The nature of the specific indium(I) product was dependent on the reaction solvent. When either aromatic or hydrocarbon solvents were used, a hexameric species, $[\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_6$, was formed. The product from dimethoxyethane, $[\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \cdot \text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}]_x$ exhibited an association which was concentration dependent. Structures which involve indium-indium bonds are proposed to account for the observed molecular association as well as the chemical and spectral properties. ↗

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DDC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist.	Avail and/or special
A	

Introduction

The organometallic derivatives of the main-group metals in low oxidation states provide unique synthetic challenges and opportunities. Cyclopentadienylindium(I), $\text{In}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)$,^{1,2} represents the only previously known organometallic, low oxidation state compound of indium. The preparative reaction involves the reagents, InCl_3 and NaC_5H_5 , in ether solution. The indium(I) product was believed to be formed by the reduction of $\text{In}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)_3$ by the cyclopentadienyl anion.² Unfortunately, little more is known about the nature of the apparent reduction reaction. An X-ray structural study³ of $\text{In}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)$ has shown the compound to exist as a linear polymer with the cyclopentadienyl ring exhibiting pentahapto coordination, rather than as a simple sigma bonded organometallic species.

The initial goal of our synthetic studies in indium chemistry was the preparation of a kinetically stable, sigma bonded, low oxidation state compound by a well-defined reduction process. The reductive elimination reaction is used in transition metal chemistry to prepare compounds which have been reduced by units of two,⁴ the common oxidation state difference observed in main-group element chemistry. Thus, our challenge was to define a set of ligands, reagents and reaction conditions which would lead to a reduction reaction and produce a kinetically stable indium(I) compound. The chemistry of the hydride derivatives of the heavier main-group metals suggest the occurrence of facile reduction reactions at a metallic center.^{5,6,7} Thus, a controlled reduction reaction of a hydride derivative was considered a possible route to a

low oxidation state compound provided the organic ligands on the metal could minimize the availability of associative and dissociative low energy decomposition pathways for the product. The organic ligands, CH_2SiMe_3 and $\text{CH}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2$, are known to satisfy these requirements.⁸ Thus, the reactions of $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_{3-x}\text{Cl}_x$ ($x = 0, 1, 2$) with alkali metal hydrides were investigated as possible routes to sigma bonded, organometallic, low oxidation state indium compounds.

In this paper we report the synthesis and characterization of the indium(I) compounds with the simplest formulas, $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ and $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \cdot \text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}$. The nature of the synthetic reaction path, the unusual properties of these novel compounds and their possible structures based on available data are discussed. Historically, it is important to note that these indium(I) compounds were prepared and characterized prior to the previously reported analogous gallium(I) derivatives.⁹ The indium compounds were studied before the gallium compounds because the low oxidation state is observed to be more readily prepared and more stable for the heavier members of a periodic Group of main-group elements.

Experimental

Materials. All compounds described in this investigation were extremely oxygen and moisture sensitive and were manipulated in a vacuum line or a purified nitrogen or argon atmosphere. The solvents were purified by refluxing with an appropriate drying agent and vacuum distilled just prior to use. The drying agents for the solvents dimethoxyethane and tetrahydrofuran were sodium-benzophenone ketyl; benzene and n-hexane were sodium ribbon. The hexane was certified to be free of alkenes. The alkali-metal hydrides were purchased from Alfa Inorganics as oil dispersions. The oil was removed by repeated extractions with dry pentane. Tris((trimethylsilyl)methyl)indium(III), $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$, was prepared from InCl_3 or InI_3 by a standard Grignard reaction in diethylether.¹⁰

Analyses. The new ((trimethylsilyl)methyl)indium(I) compounds were analyzed for indium by EDTA titration.¹¹ The percentages of sodium were determined by standard atomic absorption procedures using a Perkin-Elmer Model 503 spectrometer. The mol of CH_2SiMe_3 groups per mol indium was determined by quantitatively converting the ligand into $\text{Si}(\text{CH}_3)_4$ by reaction of the organoindium(I) compound with anhydrous HCl in 95% ethanol. The $\text{Si}(\text{CH}_3)_4$ was separated from all other volatile components in the reaction mixture by passage through a -78° trap and into a -196° trap on the vacuum line and weighed. The purity of the $\text{Si}(\text{CH}_3)_4$ was confirmed by vapor pressure measurements, ^1H NMR and infrared spectroscopy. All analytical data are given in appropriate sections.

Molecular Weight Studies. Molecular weight measurements were obtained cryoscopically in benzene by using an instrument similar to that described by Shriver.¹² The molecular weight data are given in appropriate sections.

Infrared and ¹H NMR Spectra. The infrared spectra were recorded in the range 4000-250 cm⁻¹ by means of a Perkin-Elmer Model 457 spectrometer. The spectra were recorded as Nujol mulls using CsI plates. The absorption intensities were measured by using the method of Durkin, Glore, and DeHayes.¹⁴

The ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 100 MHz and ambient temperature by using a Joelco Model MH-100 spectrometer. All chemical shifts (τ) are given in ppm and are referenced to tetramethylsilane as 10.00 ppm.

All spectroscopic data are given in appropriate sections.

Syntheses of NaIn(CH₂SiMe₃)₂ and NaIn(CH₂SiMe₃)₂·MeOC₂H₄OMe. The low oxidation state compounds, NaIn(CH₂SiMe₃)₂ and NaIn(CH₂SiMe₃)₂·MeOC₂H₄OMe were prepared from In(CH₂SiMe₃)₃ and NaH in hexane (or benzene) or dimethoxyethane, respectively. In a typical synthetic reaction, an argon purged flask charged with 1.81 g (4.83 mmol) of In(CH₂SiMe₃)₃ dissolved in 5.0 ml of hexane was equipped with a water cooled condenser and magnetic stir bar. The NaH (0.486 g; 20.2 mmol) was added by means of a side-arm dumper and the resultant mixture was refluxed for 16 hours. Then, the reaction mixture was filtered and extracted twice with the reaction solvent to remove the indium(I) product from the excess NaH. Vacuum distillation of the reaction solvent at 25° left a colorless solid, NaIn(CH₂SiMe₃)₂ (1.398 g, 4.48 mmol, 93.0% yield

based on $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$. The tetramethylsilane produced in the preparative reaction was identified by infrared and NMR data. In order to quantitatively measure the $\text{Si}(\text{CH}_3)_4$ produced, a preparative reaction was run in a sealed tube equipped with a break-seal side arm using toluene as solvent. The yield of $\text{Si}(\text{CH}_3)_4$ was 0.956 mol/mol $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ consumed. The product, $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$, was completely characterized as described in a following section.

When dimethoxyethane was used as a reaction solvent, $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ and NaH were observed to react completely in 16 hrs at room temperature. The indium(I) product was isolated by filtration from excess NaH, followed by removal of solvent as previously described. The yield of product was consistently greater than 90% for every reaction. The properties of $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \cdot \text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}$ as described in the following section were unique in comparison to those of $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$. Successful syntheses of the two indium(I) products were always obtained in greater than 90% yields. Quantities of $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ varied from 0.2 to 3.0 g and mole ratios of $\text{NaH}/\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ varied from 3.0 to 12.0. The use of diethylether at reflux as reaction conditions lead to incomplete reaction. It was not possible to quantitatively separate the indium(I) product from unreacted $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$.

Characterization and Properties of $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$. The product, $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$, was completely characterized by available methods. The compound does not melt upon heating but undergoes a series of irreversible color transitions which are indicative of decomposition: $130^\circ \pm 5$, yellow; $165^\circ \pm 5$ orange; $195^\circ \pm 5$ red; $240^\circ \pm 5$ black.

Anal. Calcd for $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$: In, 36.9; Na, 7.37; mol CH_2SiMe_3 /mol In, 2.00. Found: In, 36.4; Na, 7.71; mol CH_2SiMe_3 /mol In, 1.95.

Typical solvents for $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ include n-hexane, benzene, methylene chloride, diethylether and tetrahydrofuran. The compound is insoluble in cold acetonitrile.

The following cryoscopic molecular weight data were observed for benzene solutions. $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ (formula wt 312): Calcd. molality monomer, (observed molecular weight), extent of association: 0.205, (2028), 6.5; 0.171, (2048), 6.6; 0.102, 1767, (5.7). The solubility of $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ precluded molecular weight measurements at higher concentrations. The limitations of the instrument prevented measurements at lower concentrations.

The infrared spectral data are: (Bands due to the mulling agents have been omitted). Frequency, cm^{-1} (intensity: s, strong; m, medium, w, weak; sh, shoulder). 1265(s), 1254(vs), 965(s), 865(vs), 839(vs), 762(s), 735(s), 695(m), 565(m), 480(m), 400(m).

The ^1H NMR data in benzene solution are: (τ (ppm), identity). 9.55, ($\text{Me}_3\text{-Si}$); 9.83, ($\text{CH}_2\text{-Si}$); 11.23, ($\text{CH}_2\text{-Si}$). These lines are slightly broader than those observed for other trimethylsilylmethyl-indium(I) and indium(III)¹⁰ compounds.

Characterization and Properties of $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \cdot \text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}$.

The compound, $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \cdot \text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}$ had very different properties in comparison with $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$. The colorless compound had a melting point of 103-105°. Anal. Calcd for $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \cdot \text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}$: In, 28.6; Na, 5.72; mol CH_2SiMe_3 /mol In, 2.00. Found: In, 28.5; Na,

5.72; mol CH_2SiMe_3 /mol In, 2.04. Typical solvents of the compound include benzene, methylene chloride, diethylether and tetrahydrofuran. The compound is insoluble in hexane.

The following cryoscopic molecular weight data were observed for benzene solutions. $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \cdot \text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}$ (formula weight 402); Calcd. molality monomer, (observed molecular weight), apparent extent of association: 0.114, (1220), 3.03; 0.0688, (773), 1.92; 0.0578, (488), 1.21; 0.0480, (412), 1.02; 0.0441 (385), 0.958; 0.0270, (425), 1.06.

The infrared spectral data (cm^{-1}) are: (Bands due to mulling agents have been omitted). 1245(vs), 1201(w), 1133(m), 1090(s), 1038(w), 1026(w,sh), 932(s), 859(vs), 828(vs), 757(s), 730(m), 682(m), 561(w), 477(m), 435(w,sh).

The ^1H NMR chemical shift data in benzene solution are: (τ , ppm, (identity) 9.55 ($\text{Me}_3\text{-Si}$), 10.22 ($\text{CH}_2\text{-Si}$). All lines were sharp.

Attempted Reactions of Indium Compounds with Various Alkali-metal Hydrides. The following reactions were attempted but in all cases the reagents were recovered unchanged and no products were detected. The reagents, $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ and LiH , were combined in refluxing n-hexane (16 hr) and dimethoxyethane (72 hr). Similarly, the indium(I) product, $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$, did not react with NaH in refluxing hexane or dimethoxyethane.


The reactions of KH with $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ in benzene at 50° or dimethoxyethane at room temperature were also investigated. In both cases, $\text{Si}(\text{CH}_3)_4$ was formed but no product, indicative of $\text{KIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$, could be isolated. The indium appeared to have been converted into an insoluble

black solid, possibly finely divided indium metal. It is noteworthy that KH was also observed to react with $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ in hexane for 16 hr at 60° to form a similar insoluble black solid. Unreacted $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ could not be isolated from the reaction mixture.

The reagents, $[\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]_2$ and NaH , reacted at room temperature to give a noncondensable gas, probably hydrogen, and a similar insoluble black solid in either diethylether or dimethoxyethane. If hexane was used as the reaction medium, no reaction was observed. The $[\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]_2$ was recovered unchanged. Identifiable indium containing products could not be obtained from the reaction of $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)\text{Cl}_2$ with NaH in dimethoxyethane.


Results and Discussion

A novel class of organometallic indium(I) compounds as exemplified by $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ and $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \cdot \text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}$ have been prepared by an apparent reductive elimination reaction and fully characterized. Kinetically stable indium(I) compounds could only be prepared from $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ and NaH in a hydrocarbon solvent (hexane or benzene) or dimethoxyethane by a stoichiometric reaction as summarized by the following idealized equation. The preparative reaction



is experimentally attractive. The indium(I) product is soluble in the reaction solvent and easily separated from the excess insoluble metal hydride and volatile $\text{Si}(\text{CH}_3)_4$. Essentially quantitative yields of both products were obtained in all reactions.

The preparative reaction involves an oxidation-reduction process. Indium(III) is reduced to indium(I). The tetramethylsilane must be the product of the oxidation process. Available data are consistent with the hypothesis that the redox process occurs as a facile non-radical reductive elimination reaction of an indium(III) hydride intermediate. A similar reaction scheme supported by analogous data was proposed for the formation of gallium(I) compounds.⁹ When NaD was used as a reagent,



the deuterium was quantitatively incorporated into the tetramethylsilane

as $\text{Me}_3\text{SiCH}_2\text{D}$ as shown by mass spectral data. There are many experimental observations which suggest that a major factor controlling reactivity in the trimethylsilylmethyl indium system is the ease of formation of the indium(III) hydride intermediate. The reductive elimination reaction is probably very facile because no indium hydride intermediates were ever detected as reaction products. Thus, the relative magnitude of the lattice energy of the metal hydride and the Lewis acidity of the indium(III) reactant must be important. The general order of reactivity of the metal hydrides follows lattice energy, $\text{LiH} < \text{NaH} < \text{KH}$. Lithium hydride does not react with $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$, presumably because the high lattice energy precludes reaction. The dimer,¹⁰ $[\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2\text{Cl}]_2$, does not react with NaH in hexane because the indium compound is not a sufficiently strong Lewis acid to form the hydride intermediate. The dimer is coordinatively saturated with chlorine bridges and sufficiently stable that $\text{N}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ does not even form a stable adduct.¹⁰ The metal hydride, KH, with the lowest lattice energy gave surprising results. The potassium derivative, $\text{KIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ could not be prepared. Instead, a black solid, indicative of finely divided indium metal, and $\text{Si}(\text{CH}_3)_4$ were the final products. These results suggest that $\text{KIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$, might be formed but reacts further with excess KH. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that KH also reacts with $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ to form a similar black solid and a non condensable gas, probably hydrogen. Another possible conclusion is that $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)$ might be a kinetically unstable species.

The new indium(I) compounds have properties of typical covalent substances and are soluble in hydrocarbon and aromatic solvents.

The compound, $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$, is a colorless solid at room temperature but upon heating to about 130° it starts to undergo a sequence of non-reversible color changes indicative of decomposition. The cryoscopic molecular weight data for $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ in benzene solution indicate that the compound exists as a hexameric species. Molecular association of the monomeric units can occur by metal-metal bonds using the available electron pair and vacant orbital on each indium(I) atom. An alternative association scheme would require alkyl bridge bonding, which seems less likely. There are no examples of indium compounds which are associated in solution by alkyl bridge bonding¹⁴ and we have no supporting data for this type of bonding. Thus, metal-metal bonding can be proposed. Similar metal-metal bonding schemes have been suggested for the dimeric and trimeric gallium(I)⁹ and related dimeric tin(II)¹⁵ compounds. The two possible arrangements for six $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ formula units are an octahedral cluster with $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ units at each corner or a twelve membered ring. The bulky trimethylsilylmethyl groups would provide a hydrocarbon sheath to minimize intermolecular interactions and enhance the solubility of the compound in hydrocarbon and aromatic solvents. A crystallographic study will be required to define the structure.

The ^1H NMR spectrum of $[\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_6$ in benzene or hexane solution has three lines, one large line and two smaller lines of equal intensity. The most intense line is assigned to the methyl-silicon protons. The two smaller lines at higher field are related to the protons of the methylene groups bound to indium. The integrated intensity ratio of the larger line to the two smaller ones is 9/2 as required by

the CH_2SiMe_3 ligand. The two magnetically distinct lines for the methylene protons could probably arise from the positioning of the sodium ions about the six of twelve edges of an octahedral cluster. However, the lack of any unusual infrared lines would rule out Na-HC interactions.

The indium(I) compound with a bound dimethoxyethane molecule, $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \cdot \text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}$, has a distinct and unique set of properties when compared with $[\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_6$. The compound can be prepared from $\text{In}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_3$ and NaH in dimethoxyethane, or by adding dimethoxyethane to $[\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_6$. However, dimethoxyethane cannot be removed quantitatively from $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \cdot \text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}$ to reform the hexameric species. The colorless dimethoxyethane adduct has a sharp melting point to a colorless liquid at 103-105°. The cryoscopic molecular weight study indicates that the molecular species which exist in solution are concentration dependent. An apparent monomeric species was present in dilute solution. At the highest concentrations studied, a trimeric species was indicated. These data suggest that either an equilibrium between monomer, dimer and trimer exists in solution, or alternatively, the $[\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \cdot \text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}]_3$ trimer remains in tact but with various numbers of bound dimethoxyethane molecules. It is of interest to note that neither tetrahydrofuran, diethylether or trimethylamine react with $[\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_6$ to form stable adducts analagous to $\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \cdot \text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}$. The hexamer can be recovered unchanged after the base is removed. These observations might suggest that the sodium ion is the reactive site for dimethoxyethane. Similar conclusions

were reached in the study of $\text{NaGa}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \cdot \text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}$.⁹ We might also conclude from our observations of the $[\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2]_6$ - $[\text{NaIn}(\text{CH}_2\text{SiMe}_3)_2 \cdot \text{MeOC}_2\text{H}_4\text{OMe}]$ systems that the hexamer has a measure of kinetic lability for formation of less associated species.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research.

References

1. Fischer, E. O.; Hofmann, H. P., Angew. Chem. 1957, 69, 639.
2. Poland, J. S.; Tuck, D. G., J. Organometal. Chem. 1972, 42, 307.
3. Frasson, E.; Menegus, F.; Panattoni, C. Nature 1963, 199, 1087.
4. a) Collman, J. P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1968, 1, 136.
b) Vaska, L. Acc. Chem. Res. 1968, 1, 335.
c) Halpern, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 1970, 3, 386.
5. Delwaulle, F. F.; Francois, F. Compt. rend. 1949, 228, 1585.
6. Tamaru, K. J. Phys. Chem. 1956, 60, 610.
7. a) Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Simmons, R. G. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 783.
b) Schmidbauer, H.; Findeiss, W.; Gast, E. Angew. Chem. Internat. Edit. 1965, 4, 152.
8. Davidson, P. J.; Lappert, M. F.; Pearce, R. Chem. Rev. 1976, 76, 219.
9. Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Simmons, R. G. Inorg. Chem. 1980, 19, 3042.
10. Beachley, O. T., Jr.; Rusinko, R. N. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 1966.
11. Coates, G. E.; Graham, J. J. Chem. Soc. 1963, 233.
12. Shriver, D. F. "The Manipulations of Air-Sensitive Compounds", McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969, p. 159.
13. Durkin, T.; Glore, J.; DeHayes, L. J. Chem. Ed. 1971, 48 452.
14. Coates, G. E.; Green, M.L.H.; Wade, K. "Organometallic Compounds", Methuen and Co. LTD, London, England, Vol. 1.
15. Davidson, P. J.; Harris, D. H.; Lappert, M. F. J.C.S. Dalton 1976, 2268.

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 472 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	2	U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709	1
ONR Branch Office Attn: Dr. George Sandoz 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney San Diego, California 92152	1
ONR Area Office Attn: Scientific Dept. 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003	1	Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster, Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
ONR Western Regional Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko Port Hueneme, California 93401	1
ONR Eastern/Central Regional Office Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles Building 114, Section D 666 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210	1	Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Code 6100 Washington, D.C. 20390	1	Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1
The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (RE&S) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1	Office of Naval Research Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser) Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360	1	Naval Ship Research and Development Center Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian, Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1
Dr. Fred Saalfeld Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GENNo.
Copies

Dr. Rudolph J. Marcus
Office of Naval Research
Scientific Liaison Group
American Embassy
APO San Francisco 96503

1

Mr. James Kelley
DTNSRDC Code 2803
Annapolis, Maryland 21402

1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 053

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Dr. R. N. Grimes Department of Chemistry University of Virginia Charlottesville, Virginia 22901	1	Professor H. Abrahamson Department of Chemistry University of Oklahoma Norman, Oklahoma 73019	1
Dr. M. F. Hawthorne Department of Chemistry University of California Los Angeles, California 90024	1	Dr. M. H. Chisholm Department of Chemistry Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana 47401	1
Dr. D. B. Brown Department of Chemistry University of Vermont Burlington, Vermont 05401	1	Dr. B. Foxman Department of Chemistry Brandeis University Waltham, Massachusetts 02154	1
Dr. W. B. Fox Chemistry Division Naval Research Laboratory Code 6130 Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Dr. T. Marks Department of Chemistry Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1
Dr. J. Adcock Department of Chemistry University of Tennessee Knoxville, Tennessee 37916	1	Dr. G. Geoffrey Department of Chemistry Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pennsylvania 16802	1
Dr. A. Cowley Department of Chemistry University of Texas Austin, Texas 78712	1	Dr. J. Zuckerman Department of Chemistry University of Oklahoma Norman, Oklahoma 73019	1
Dr. W. Hatfield Department of Chemistry University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514	1	Professor O. T. Beachley Department of Chemistry State University of New York Buffalo, New York 14214	1
Dr. D. Seyferth Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	Professor P. S. Skell Department of Chemistry The Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pennsylvania 16802	1
Professor Ralph Rudolph Department of Chemistry University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109	1	Professor K. M. Nicholas Department of Chemistry Boston College Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02167	1
		Professor R. Neilson Department of Chemistry Texas Christian University Fort Worth, Texas 76129	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 053

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Professor M. Newcomb Texas A&M University Department of Chemistry College Station, Texas 77843	1
Professor Richard Eisenberg Department of Chemistry University of Rochester Rochester, New York 14627	1

