

ARMY MISSILE COMMAND REDSTONE ARSENAL AL RESEARCH D--ETC F/6 17/7
OPTICAL CORRELATION SEEKER.(U)

OPTICAL CORRELATION SEEKER. (U)
MAY 80 C R CHRISTENSEN, R L HARTMAN

DRSMI/RR-80-4-TR

SBIE-AD-E950 083

NIL

1 of 1
AD-
CA 782785



三、



400 402 404

END
DATE
FILMED
3 8
DTIC

AD-E950083

LEVEL III

(12)

AD A094745



TECHNICAL REPORT RR-80-4

OPTICAL CORRELATION SEEKER

Charles R. Christensen
Richard L. Hartman
Research Directorate
US Army Missile Laboratory

5 May 1980

DTIC
ELECTE
FEB 9 1981
E



U.S. ARMY MISSILE COMMAND

Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DOC FILE COPY

FORM 1021, 1 JUL 79 PREVIOUS EDITION IS OBSOLETE

81 2 03 009

DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

**DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN IT IS NO LONGER NEEDED. DO NOT
RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR.**

DISCLAIMER

**THE FINDINGS IN THIS REPORT ARE NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS AN
OFFICIAL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY POSITION UNLESS SO DESIGNATED BY OTHER AUTHORIZED DOCUMENTS.**

TRADE NAMES

**USE OF TRADE NAMES OR MANUFACTURERS IN THIS REPORT DOES
NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFICIAL INDORSEMENT OR APPROVAL OF
THE USE OF SUCH COMMERCIAL HARDWARE OR SOFTWARE.**

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Technical Report RR-80-4	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. DD-AC94 745	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) OPTICAL CORRELATION SEEKER		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Charles R. Christensen and Richard L. Hartman		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Commander, US Army Missile Command ATTN: DRSMI-RR Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander, US Army Missile Command ATTN: DRSMI-RPT Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898		12. REPORT DATE 5 May 80
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Terminal Guidance Autonomous Acquisition		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A new concept in seekers has been developed by integrating the sensor with an Optical Data Processor (ODP). The resulting system, in a terminal guidance submissile configuration, will recognize a tank if there is one in the field of view, discriminate between friend and foe, and provide guidance signals to home in on the target. The system will fit in a 150 mm diameter by 20 mm section, and consume less than one watt of power. The system will have retargeting and damage assessment capabilities. This new concept is the		

DD FORM 1473

1 JAN 73

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

culmination of a number of years of research in optical data processing at the Missile Command, focusing on three main problems: real time data input, sensitivity to orientation or aspect, and size of the system. The first problem was solved by contractual development of a liquid crystal coherent image modulator, the second problem by the in-house invention of a multiplexed filter, and the third problem with the development of an ODP system which operates with a laser diode. This development opens the door to move ODP from the laboratory into Army application.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION.	3
II. CONCEPT	3
III. COHERENT OPTICAL CORRELATION.	6
IV. CONCLUSIONS	17
REFERENCES.	19

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
Full	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail or for Special
A	

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Dr. B. D. Guenther, now of ARO, and Mr. J. Upatnieks, ERIM, made major contributions to this effort while working in the Army Missile Laboratory. Mr. D. L. Fuqua, Mr. R. D. McKenzie, Jr., and Dr. J. G. Duthie also made valuable contributions.

I. INTRODUCTION

A "super-smart weapon" which outperforms our smart weapons just going into the field, yet is much cheaper than those current systems? It sounds like the answer to the Army's prayer for a method to cope with a dramatically increasing threat. We think we have demonstrated the key ingredients of such a system, through the marriage of a long-term fundamental effort at the Missile Command, significant industrial developments, and recognition of how this developing technology can pay off for the Army.

Through the application of some recent existing developments in optical data processing, we can now propose to build a seeker which recognizes a tank by its image, homes on it, and destroys it. The seeker autonomously detects and locks on the target, provides guidance signals, reacquires if the target is lost, and retargets if necessary. The sensor will fit in a submissile, weigh under a pound, consume less than a watt of power, and possibly even cost under \$100.

In this report we will discuss the concept and its applications to set the stage for our interest. The report will also cover the technological advances we have made which make this concept feasible.

II. CONCEPT

The sections below will show how we have built an optical computer which can:

- Autonomously acquire a target.
- Provide guidance signals.
- Discriminate.
- Operate against a variety of predetermined targets.
- Reacquire a temporarily obscured target.

A significant development will also be shown which will allow this to be done in a small inexpensive package.

Figure 1 demonstrates the operation of our laboratory computer. The image of the tank model is the desired target. The optical computer located this target in the input scene, and showed its location in the correlator output plane.

The optical computer could be used with any imaging sensor, such as radar, mm, or IR. But it has its greatest potential in a direct visible role, and we believe there are important applications in this role.

A. REFERENCE

B. INPUT SCENE



C. CORRELATOR OUTPUT

Figure 1. Demonstration of optical correlation.

The conceptual seeker takes the form of Figure 2.




Figure 2. The optical correlator seeker in a visible mode.

This sensor is designed to correlate to a target from the top, as in Figure 3. It will recognize the target at any orientation, and operate over a wide span of distances to the target. It can recognize and discriminate between targets to about the same degree as a human can.




Figure 3. The simplest optical correlator seeker works on a top view.

Unlike many other "top attack" sensors, the optical correlator does not need to search the field of view, or search the scene against the reference. This allows it the time to select targets of lesser value, or to pull a fly-out maneuver to look for targets, as in Figure 4.




Figure 4. Alternate targeting mode.

The system could also perform some damage assessment, and retarget if possible, as in Figure 5. The high resolution allows us to detect smoke, flames, or debris flying off.

One application of our concept would be a guided mortar, such as GAMP. The guided mortar provides the infantry company with tank killing firepower.




Figure 5. Damage assessment/retargeting.

The target probably will be acquired with the human eye, so the visible operation of the optical seeker should be acceptable. The optical computer can be configured to fit within an 81 mm package, and if cheap enough, could be widely distributed.

A top attack anti-tank missile is another role. Concepts (FFAST, Tank Breaker) using top attack are now popular, because of the vulnerability of the tank on top. In this concept a shoulder fired missile would pull a preprogrammed maneuver to fly a lofted trajectory, and then home on the top of the target.

The ASSAULT BREAKER concept, or Corps Support Weapon System, proposes to disperse submunitions over an area rich in targets. Coupled with long range target acquisition, this system would interdict fighting material on its way to the front.

In this role the exceptional computing power of the optical computer comes into its own. This system automatically acquires and locks onto target. It can discriminate between targets of interest. It can search a stored reference target array, and select targets of highest value. It could go after tanks, trucks, buildings, roads, bridges, or whatever is deemed of value.

We optimistically expect that a given missile platform could carry more submunitions using this technology, yet at a greatly reduced cost. The higher versatility effectiveness of the optical system means it would be so effective that it would be worth having, even if it didn't work in fog. Inclusion of a few flares in the payload would provide for nighttime operation. In Europe, morning fog can be quite prevalent, and last long enough for tactical use; but an interdiction role may allow early use of the weapon system or waiting a few hours for the right condition.

III. COHERENT OPTICAL CORRELATION

Cross-correlation is a very effective method for recognizing images. The advantages of using optical processing for cross-correlation are due to

the large information handling capacity of optical system. A modest system can process scenes having over 10^7 resolution elements. Such a system handles two-dimensional data isotropically and in parallel with the processing time determined by the time required for data input and output. The large capacity of optical storage media can be used to provide rapid access to a large number of reference images.

The cross-correlation function is defined as

$$R_{fg}(\eta, \xi) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x, y) g(x - \eta, y - \xi) dx dy \quad (1)$$

In this equation, $f(x, y)$ describes a signal image (the real-time scene), and $g(x, y)$ describes a reference (the desired target). $R_{fg}(\eta, \xi)$ then describes how well the two match, and the location of a target in the scene.

This cross-correlation can be calculated through the use of Fourier transforms,

$$R_{fg}(\eta, \xi) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty F(p, q) G^*(p, q) \exp[-12\pi(p\eta + q\xi)] dp dq \quad (2)$$


where the Fourier transform of $f(x, y)$ is defined as

$$F(p, q) = \mathcal{F}[f(x, y)] = \iint f(x, y) \exp[-12\pi(px + qy)] dx dy, \quad (3)$$


and G^* is the complex conjugate of the Fourier transform of $g(x, y)$.

To implement (2) using optical techniques, an optical system such as the one shown in Figure 6 is used. The reference scene $g(x, y)$ is placed in the front focal plane of the lens $L1$ and illuminated by coherent light; the Fourier transform $G(p, q)$ appears in the back focal plane. As can be seen in Figure 6, a reference beam is used to holographically record G , i.e., the amplitude and phase of G are recorded. The input can now be changed to $f(x, y)$ as shown in Figure 6B. The hologram in the back focal plane of $L1$ diffracts the product of Fourier transforms FG^* along the optical axis of $L2$. The lens $L2$ forms the inverse Fourier transform, i.e., $R(\eta, \xi)$ in its back focal plane.

This process was demonstrated in Figure 1. The image of a tank model was used to form the reference filter as illustrated in Figure 1A. This reference filter was matched against the input scene containing the tank as shown in Figure 1B. The correlator input, a spot of light in a dark background, is shown in Figure 1 at the same scale as the input scene. The presence of the correlation spot identifies that the tank in the input scene is the same as the reference and the location of the spot designates the location of the tank in the scene. The small size of the correlation spot indicates the precision with which the tank can be located. A measure of this precision, the half-width at half-height of a trace through the correlation, was 1/12 of the tank width in this example; and the signal/noise limited accuracy was 1/50 of the tank width.



A



B

Figure 6. The optical correlator.

The laboratory correlator used in these experiments has been described previously [1]. A major breakthrough a few years ago was the development of real-time data input through use of a liquid crystal light valve [2,3]. MICOM participated in the funding of this development. The light source is a He-Ne laser operating at 633 nm or a GaAlAs diode injection laser operating at 820 nm. Reference filters are recorded on photographic plates with a He-Ne laser. When correlating with a diode laser source, a scale change of the input image is required to compensate for the change in wavelength from that used in filter recording.

A. Composite Filter

Earlier attempts at correlation guidance were sensitive to angular orientation. Filter multiplexing was tried as a way to solve this problem [4,5]. Several reference filters, each of a different perspective of the vehicle, were recorded at the same spatial location in the Fourier transform plane. The exposure time of each of the N multiplexed filters was T/N , where T is the exposure time for a single reference filter. Since only vehicle recognition and location is required, the correlation functions of the superimposed filters coincide in the output plane.

Figure 7 shows a polar plot of the relative correlation peak amplitude for an eight-fold multiplexed filter. Images of the vehicle are displayed around the polar plot in Figure 7 to aid in visualization. Arrows on the graph indicate the orientation used in recording the eight superimposed filters. The single reference filters produced a correlation peak whose amplitude remained above 40% of its maximum over a 50° angular change. The eight-fold multiplexed filter demonstrated similar performance over 360° . This technique has solved the angular orientation problem.

A four-fold multiplexed filter demonstrated similar performance but the correlation peak dipped below 40% of the maximum value at two orientations. The choice of different recording positions and adjustment of individual filter exposure levels could make the angular response curve much more uniform.

A similar experiment successfully compensated for angle-of-view change in elevation. The technique of multiplexing is expected to work equally well for change of scale to operate over a variety of ranges to the target.

B. Discrimination

Does multiplexing destroy the filter's capacity to discriminate between various objects? A simple test was performed to compare the ability of the filter to discriminate against a different model. The results of this test are shown in Figure 8, where a single TV line through the correlation peak is displayed for the case when the input image was Model A and when the image was Model B. Model B was used to construct the filters.

The maximum peak amplitude for the eight-fold multiplex filter was unexpectedly high: one-fourth the amplitude obtained when using a single filter. Linear recording theory predicts that the correlation peak should drop to $1/N^2$ of that of a single filter for single filter contributions, or to $1/N$ of that of a single filter for simultaneous filter contributions (N is the number




Figure 7. Correlation at any Aspect Angle with Multiplex Filter.

of superimposed filters). The abnormally large correlation peak amplitude may be the result of nonlinear recording and in-phase amplitude addition of the correlation peaks from individual filters.

Our explanation of the origin of the angular insensitivity of the multiplexed filter is purely speculative. The theory of two-dimensional moment invariants [6] may provide an explanation and might be used for the digital generation of this type of filter.

C. Multichannel Correlator

An input image can be correlated against a number of reference filters within one optical system [7]. This can be used to obtain a correlation over a wide range of image angle or size, or input scene. A




Figure 8. Target discrimination with the optical correlator.

filter array can be addressed by a holographic lens [8,9] or by multiple light sources [10]. The use of a light source array to address a corresponding reference filter array is illustrated in Figure 9.

This allows each filter to be sequentially addressed, requiring no more light power or detector sensitivity than a single channel correlator. A search through a hierarchy of targets, e.g., tanks, armored personnel carriers, trucks, etc., can also be performed. A two-channel correlator has demonstrated continuous vehicle tracking [4].




Figure 9. Multichannel optical correlator.

D. Diode Laser Sources

One of the major barriers to fielded application of optical computers is the use of large, non-rugged gas lasers for the light source. We have solved this problem by designing and demonstrating a correlator which works with room temperature cw diode injection lasers. These lasers typically have an output power of 10 mW at 820 nm wavelength and require approximately 200 ma at 2.5V or 0.5W of input power. The microscopic size and low power consumption of these lasers make them suitable as light sources in a multichannel correlator. A correlator with up to a 5 X 5 element source array addressing a corresponding reference filter array is feasible [10]. Suitable diode laser arrays are available from manufacturers. The IR wavelength and limited coherence of currently available diode lasers make direct holographic recording impossible. However, we have developed an indirect technique for making filters for use in a diode laser correlator.

The light source temporal and spatial coherence requirements for coherent optical correlation have been analyzed previously [11, 4]. Relatively low source coherence is required for low resolution input imagery.

The maximum spectral width, $\Delta\lambda_m$, that will have a negligible effect on the correlation is

$$\Delta\lambda_m = \frac{\lambda_o}{N}, \quad (4)$$

where λ_o is the light source wavelength and N is the number of resolvable points across the image input to the correlator. For correlation on an entire TV screen input using a diode laser with $\lambda_o = 820$ nm, the maximum spectral bandwidth is 820 nm/512 or 1.6 nm. In practice the temporal coherence requirements are much less than this due to lower input image resolution and due to the lower spatial frequency distribution recorded on the filter.

A change, S, in input scale is equivalent to a wavelength change

$$S = \frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} \quad (5)$$

therefore, the measured scale change tolerances can be used to determine the spectral width tolerance for the correlator light source. Our earlier work indicated a $\pm 8\%$ scale change tolerance for vehicle recognition [4,8], so the light source bandwidth can be $0.16 \lambda_o$ or 130 nm. Diode laser spectral bandwidths are 2 nm or less.

The spatial coherence or source size requirements can be determined by measuring the tolerance of the correlation to lateral filter displacement. A filter displacement is equivalent to a source displacement scaled by the ratio of the transform lens and collimating lens focal lengths. In previous experiments [4] using a coherent helium-neon laser source, a $\pm 12 \mu\text{m}$ filter displacement resulted in no more than a 3 dB decrease in correlation amplitude, indicating that a 24 μm diameter source would be acceptable. An advantage of using a less spatially coherent source is that the matched filter alignment

requirements are reduced [11, 12]. Matched filter correlation using a large spatially noncoherent source has been demonstrated [13].

These considerations indicate that even light emitting diodes, with 30 nm spectral width and 200 μm diameter emitting area, have adequate temporal and spatial coherence for optical matched filter correlation. Light emitting diodes will be evaluated in future work.

A comparison between coherent optical matched filter correlation using a helium-neon laser and a diode laser is shown in Figure 10. The photograph of the automobile was used to make a reference filter. This picture was auto-correlated using helium-neon and diode laser sources with approximately 10 mW output power. Traces through the correlation spots obtained with the two sources are shown. The correlation linewidths are the same, as is expected from the preceding discussion of coherence requirements.




Figure 10. Performance of the Diode Laser Correlator

Identification and tracking of this vehicle as it moves across a scene is demonstrated in Figure 11. The reference filter shown in Figure 10 was cross-correlated with the input scene using a diode laser source. Photographs of the correlator output plane and traces through the correlation spots are shown below the corresponding input images, all to the same scale. The correlation peak half-width at half-height, a measure of tracking accuracy, was about 1/15 of the car's length.



Figure 11. Cross correlation vehicle tracking using a diode laser source and the matched filter shown in Figure 10.

Packaging of a correlator in a configuration that can be fitted in a small missile was also considered. Two miniature correlators were designed, one a cylindrical package with the image input at the center and the other rectangular with the input at one end.

Figure 12 shows a correlator folded into a 100 mm diameter cylindrical package with air spacing between components. The correlator is contained within the upper 2.5 cm section of the cylinder in the side view and the diode laser or light emitting diode array and collimator occupies a portion of the lower section. The input device is an optically addressed liquid crystal light valve similar to those used in laboratory experiments. The correlator output is detected by a solid state CCD detector array with peak sensitivity near the diode laser wavelength. A lens L_1 , is used both as the collimating lens and as the Fourier transform lens. The imaging lens L_2 forms an image on the coherent image forming light modulator. The specifications for this correlator are listed in Table I.




Figure 12. A Cylindrical coherent optical correlator.

Table 1. Specifications for Cylindrical Correlator.

Correlator	2.5 cm x 10.0 cm diameter	$\approx 200 \text{ cm}^3$
Collimator	$\sim 1/2$ of 2.5 cm x 10 cm diameter	$\approx \frac{100 \text{ cm}^3}{300 \text{ cm}^3}$
Input Image Size	20 mm x 20 mm	
Input Data Size	at 50 pts/mm, 10^6 pts	
Power Consumption	<1 W for laser diode	
	<1 W for detector array	
	$\sim 2 \text{ W}$	
Focal Length of L_1	160 mm, f/8 operation	

Note: Above does not include noncoherent imaging optics

Figure 13 shows a correlator design contained within a transparent solid. This monolithic construction increases mechanical rigidity and ruggedness and eliminates the possibility of optical surface contamination. If an electronic input coherent light modulator [14] is used, imaging lens L_2 would be eliminated. This correlator is compact enough to fit within an 81 mm mortar projectile. Additional information on this design is presented in Table II.




Figure 13. A Monolithic coherent optical correlator.

Table 2. Specifications for Monolithic Correlator

Size	3 cm x 10 cm x 1.5 cm = 45 cm ³
Input Image Size	15 x 15 mm at 50 pts/mm, 5×10^5 pts
Power Consumption	<1 W for laser diode <0.1 W for liquid crystal cell ~1 W for detector array 2 W total
Lens L_1	60 mm focal length, f/4

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The developments in the field of optical data processing over the past few years make this a technology ripe for exploitation by the Army. The work described in this report has demonstrated a way of making optical computers practical for missile applications. There will be spin-off to other areas, including navigation and helicopter hover control. As we develop more sophisticated multichannel, multiplex devices, we expect to demonstrate fire and forget guidance for direct fire missiles.

REFERENCES

1. J. G. Duthie, J. Upatnieks, C. R. Christensen, and R. D. McKenzie, Jr., "Real-time Optical Correlation with Solid State Sources," Proceedings SPIE, Vol. 232 (1980).
2. J. Grinberg, A. J. Jacobson, W. Bleha, L. Miller, L. Graas, D. Boswell, and G. Meyer, Opt. Eng. 14, 217-225 (1975).
3. W. P. Bleha, L. T. Lipton, E. Weiner-Avnear, J. Grinberg, P. G. Reif, D. Casasent, H. B. Brown, and B. V. Markevitch, Opt. Eng. 17, 371-384 (1978).
4. B. D. Guenther, C. R. Christensen, and Juris Upatnieks, IEEE J. Quant. Elec. QE-15, 1348-1362 (1979).
5. C. F. Hester and D. Casasent, Proceedings SPIE, Vol. 201, 77-82 (1979).
6. M. K. Hu, IRE Trans. Inform. Theory IT-8, 179-187 (1962).
7. W. T. Maloney, Appl. Opt. 10, 2127-2131 (1971).
8. K. G. Leib, R. A. Bondurant, S. Hsiao, R. Wohler, and R. Herold, Appl. Opt. 17, 2892-2899 (1978).
9. J. D. Armitage and A. W. Lohmann, Appl. Opt. 4, 461-467 (1965); see also A. Vander Lugt and E. N. Leith, Annals New York Acad. Sci. 157, 99-110 (1969).
10. J. Upatnieks, B. D. Guenther, and C. R. Christensen, "Real-Time Correlation for Missile Terminal Guidance," US Army Missile Command, Technical Report H-78-5 (1978).
11. A. W. Lohmann, Appl. Opt. 7, 561-563 (1968).
12. O. I. Potaturkin, Appl. Opt. 18, 4203-4205 (1979).
13. A. W. Lohmann and H. W. Werlich, Appl. Opt. 10, 670-672 (1971).
14. J. Grinberg, W. P. Bleha, P. O. Braatz, K. Chow, D. H. Close, A. D. Jacobson, M. J. Little, N. Massetti, R. J. Murphy, J. G. Nash, and M. Waldner, Proceedings SPIE 128, 253-266 (1977).

DISTRIBUTION

	No. of Copies
Commander US Army Research Office ATTN: DRXRO-PH, Dr. R. Lontz P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709	5
US Army Research and Standardization Group (Europe) ATTN: DRXSN-E-RX/LTC D. R. Reinhard Box 65 FPO New York 09510	1
Commander US Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command ATTN: Dr. James Bender Dr. Gordon Bushey 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333	1 1
Headquarters, Department of the Army Office of the DCS for Research, Development & Acquisition ATTN: DAMA-ARZ Room 3A474, The Pentagon Washington, DC 20301	1
OUSDR&E Room 3D1079, the Pentagon Washington, DC 20301	1
Director Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209	1
OUSDR&E ATTN: Dr. G. Gamota Deputy Assistant for Research (Research in Advanced Technology) Room 3D1067, the Pentagon Washington, DC 20301	1
Director of Defense Research and Engineering Engineering Technology Washington, DC 20301	2
Director Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency/STO ATTN: Commander T. F. Wiener D. W. Walsh 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209	1 1

Commander US Army Aviation Systems Command 12th and Spruce Streets St. Louis, MO 63166	1
Director US Army Air Mobility Research & Development Laboratory Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035	1
Commander US Army Electronics Research and Development Command ATTN: DRSEL-TL-T, Dr. Jacobs	1
DELEW-E, Henry E. Sonntag Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703	1
Director US Army Night Vision Laboratory ATTN: John Johnson	1
John Deline	1
Peter VanAtta	1
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060	
Commander US Army Picatinny Arsenal Dover, NJ 07801	1
Commander US Army Harry Diamond Laboratories 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783	1
Commander US Army Foreign Science and Technology Center ATTN: W. S. Alcott Federal Office Building 220 7th Street, NE Charlottesville, VA 22901	1
Commander US Army Training and Doctrine Command Fort Monroe, VA 22351	1
Director Ballistic Missile Defense Advanced Technology Center ATTN: ATC-D	1
ATC-O	1
ATC-R	1
ATC-T	1
P. O. Box 1500 Huntsville, AL 35808	

Commander US Naval Air Systems Command Missile Guidance and Control Branch Washington, DC 20360	1
Chief of Naval Research Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20301	1
Commander US Naval Air Development Center Warminster, PA 18974	1
Commander US Naval Ocean Systems Center Code 6003 Dr. Harper Whitehouse San Diego, CA 92152	1
Director Naval Research Laboratory ATTN: Dave Ringwolt Code 5570, T. Gialborinzi Washington, DC 20390	1 1
Commander Rome Air Development Center US Air Force ATTN: James Wasielewski, IRRC Griffiss Air Force Base, NY 13440	1
Commander US Air Force, AFOSR/NE ATTN: Dr. J. A. Neff Building 410 Bolling Air Force Base Washington, DC 20332	1
Commander US Air Force Avionics Laboratory ATTN: D. Rees W. Schoonover Dr. E. Champaign Dr. J. Ryles Gale Urban David L. Flannery Wright Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433	1 1 1 1 1 1
Commander AFATL/LMT ATTN: Charles Warren Eglin Air Force Base, FL 32544	1

Environmental Research Institute of Michigan

Radar and Optics Division

ATTN: Dr. A. Kozma

Dr. C. C. Aleksoff

Juris Upatnieks

P. O. Box 618

Ann Arbor, MI 41807

1
1
1

IIT Research Institute

ATTN: GACIAC

10 West 35th Street

Chicago, IL 60616

1

Dr. J. G. Castle

9801 San Gabriel, NE

Albuquerque, NM 87111

1

Dr. Vincent J. Corcoran

2034 Freedom Lane

Falls Church, VA 22043

1

Optical Science Consultants

ATTN: Dr. D. L. Fried

P. O. Box 388

Yorba Linda, CA 92686

1

Commander

Center for Naval Analyses

ATTN: Document Control

1401 Wilson Boulevard

Arlington, VA 22209

1

Raytheon Company

ATTN: A. V. Jelalian

528 Boston Post Road

Sudbury, MA 01776

1

Dr. J. W. Goodman

Information Systems Laboratory

Department of Electrical Engineering

Stanford University

Stanford, CA 94305

1

Eric G. Johnson, Jr.

National Bureau of Standards

325 S. Broadway

Boulder, CO 80302

1

M. Vanderlind

Battelle Columbus Labs

505 Ring Ave

Columbus, OH 43201

1

Dr. Nicholas George The Institute of Optics University of Rochester Rochester, NY 14627	1
Naval Avionics Facility Indianapolis, IN 46218	1
F. B. Rotz Harris Corporation P. O. Box 37 Melbourne, FL 32901	1
Robert L. Kurtz TAI Corporation 8302 Whitesburg Dr., SE Huntsville, AL 35802	1
J. R. Vyce Itek Corporation 10 Maguire Road Lexington, MA 02173	1
Dr. David Cassasent Carnegie Mellon University Hamerschage Hall, Room 106 Pittsburg, PA 15213	1
David M. Karnes McDonnell Douglas Astronautics 5301 Boisa Ave. Huntington Beach, CA 92647	1
Professor Anil K. Jain Department of Electrical Engineering University of California, Davis Davis, CA 95616	1
Gerald B. Brandt Westinghouse Electric Corporation Research and Development Center Pittsburg, PA 15235	1
K. G. Leib Research Department Grumman Aerospace Corporation Bethpage, NY 11714	1
Terry Turpin Department of Defense 9800 Savage Road Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755	1

Dr. Stuart A. Collins Electrical Engineering Department Ohio State University 1320 Kennebar Road Columbus, OH 43212	1
Mike Scarborough, MS-19 Teledyne Brown Engineering Cummings Research Park Huntsville, AL 35807	1
Commander AFEL Hanscom Air Force Base, MD 01731	1
Dr. Arthur N. Chester	1
Dr. Donald H. Close	1
Thomas R. O'Meara	1
Dr. Wilfried O. Eckhardt	1
Hughes Research Laboratories 3011 Malibu Canyon Road Malibu, CA 90265	
H. J. Caulfield Aerodyne Research, Inc. Bedford Research Park Crosby Drive Bedford, MA 01730	1
TRW Defense and Space Systems Group One Space Park ATTN: Dr. Peter O. Clark Redondo Beach, CA 90278	1
US Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: DRXSY-MP Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	1
DRCPM-PE-E, John Pettitt	1
-PE	1
DRSMI-LP, Mr. Voigt	1
-RN, Jerry Hagood	1
-RE, W. Pittman	1
L. Minor	1
-RD	3
-RG	1
-RG, J. A. McLean	1
-O	1
-Y	1
-RR, Dr. R. L. Hartman	1
Dr. J. S. Bennett	1
Dr. C. R. Christensen	200

-DRSMI-RPT (Record Copy)
 (Reference copy)
-RPR
-R

1
1
15
1

DATE
FILMED

— 8