

AD-A094 771


AIR FORCE INST OF TECH WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OH SCHOO--ETC F/G 9/2
COMPUTER SIMULATION OF SOLAR AIR HEATING SYSTEMS USING ROCK BED--ETC(U)
DEC 80 D B FANT
AFIT/GAE/AA/80D-4

UNCLASSIFIED

NL

1 of 2


004771



AD A09471



LEVEL II



DTIC
ELECT
FEB 1 0 1981
S F

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
AIR UNIVERSITY (ATC)
AIR FORCE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

DC FILE COPY

23 JAN 1981
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE AFR 190-17.

Laurel A. Lampela
LAUREL A. LAMPELA, 2Lt, USAF
Deputy Director, Public Affairs

Air Force Institute of Technology (ATC)
Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433

(11) Doc 80

(1) Master's thesis

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	
Dist	Special
A	

(6) COMPUTER SIMULATION OF SOLAR
AIR HEATING SYSTEMS USING
ROCK BED THERMAL STORAGE UNITS

THESIS

DTIC
ELECTE
FEB 10 1981
F

(14) AFIT/GAE/AA/80D-4

(15) DANIEL B. FANT
2LT USAF

anthology

(12) 144

012225

81 2 09 094

COMPUTER SIMULATION OF
SOLAR AIR HEATING SYSTEMS
USING ROCK BED THERMAL STORAGE UNITS

THESIS

Presented to the Faculty of the School of Engineering
of the Air Force Institute of Technology
Air Training Command
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Science

by

Daniel B. Fant

2Lt USAF

Graduate Aeronautical Engineering

December 1980

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited.

Preface

Rapid interest in solar energy has come about as a result of increasing costs of energy from conventional fuels. However, due to the intermittent and diffuse nature of solar energy some unique problems have also arisen. One in particular is thermal storage, and this motivated the study of solar air heating systems utilizing rock beds as thermal storage units. A computer simulation model capable of estimating the long-term performance of an air heating system is described in this work, along with complete instructions on how to operate the program. Various systems can be simulated by simply making the appropriate change in input parameters.

I wish to thank the Air Force for accepting me into this program and providing the exceptional staff and faculty which made this educational experience most worthwhile. I also wish to thank Mr. Stan Boyd for obtaining research material that was not readily available from the AFIT library.

I would especially like to thank Dr. James E. Hitchcock, my thesis advisor, for his many hours of assistance, encouragement and suggestions which made this thesis possible. But most of all I would like to thank my parents for their reassurance and support which in so many ways kept me going and made me strong.

Daniel B. Fant

Contents

Preface	11
List of Figures	v
List of Tables	vi
List of Symbols	vii
Abstract	xii
I Introduction	1
Background	1
Purpose	2
Approach	2
II Heat Transfer Analysis of Packed Beds	5
Rock Bed Geometry	5
Rock Bed Assumptions	5
Heat Transfer Coefficients	7
Internal Resistance	11
Development of Differential Equations	13
Solution of Equations Using a Finite-Difference Scheme	15
Analytical Solution for Constant Inlet Air Temperatures	18
III Pressure Drop Equations	25
Power Calculation	25
Rock Bed	25
Collector	26
Duct Work	29
IV Performance Simulation of an Air Heating System	33
Control Strategy (Modes 1, 2 and 3)	33
Space Heating Loads	36
Auxiliary Energy	37
V Results and Conclusions	38
Rock Bed Simulation Results	38
System Simulation Results	55
General Remarks on Rock Bed Air System	62
Conclusions	63

References	66
Appendix A: Review of Method for Determining Useful Energy Collected.	68
Appendix B: Alternate Methods for Determining Heat Transfer Coefficients and Pressure Drop	71
Appendix C: Duct Heat Transfer Relationships	76
Appendix D: Explicit and Modified-Implicit Schemes	81
Appendix E: Program Instructions	84
Appendix F: Air System Flowchart	90
Appendix G: Computer Program Listing	99
Vita	128

List of Figures

<u>Figures</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Rock Bed Geometry	6
2	Spherical Rock Resistances	11
3	Differential Bed Segment	13
4	Bed Control Volume	14
5	The function F_1 versus δ	23
6	The function f_1 versus δ	24
7	Collector Geometry	27
8	Duct Elbow	30
9	Schematic Diagram of a Solar Air System	34
10	Three Modal Control Box	35
11-12	Air and Bed Temperature Profiles	40
13-17	Comparison of Analytical and Numerical Results	45
18	Bed Pressure Drop	50
19	Collector Pressure Drop	51
20	Duct Work Pressure Drop	52
21-22	Total Monthly Cost	53
23	Net Savings	58
24	Per Cent Solar Energy	59
B1	Staggered Tube Arrangement	73
B2	Tube Bundle	73
C1	Duct Cross Section	76
C2	Duct Length Section	79

List of Tables

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Temperature Convergence Data	39
2	Solar Energy Savings	60
3	Solar Energy Data	61
4	Types of Rocks and Their Densities	64
5	Some Common Heat Storage Materials	65
B1	Particle Shape Factors	72
E1	Degree-Days, Vandalia, Ohio	89

List of Symbols

<u>English Symbols</u>	<u>Meaning</u>	<u>Units</u>
a	Collector air gap spacing	ft
A	Cross sectional area of bed	ft ²
A _{col}	Air duct cross sectional area in collector	ft ²
A _D	Duct cross sectional area	ft ²
A _{ins}	Surface area for insulation	ft ²
A _m	Minimum flow area	ft ²
A _p	Surface area of particles	ft ²
A _s	Surface area of spherical rock	ft ²
A _{void}	Void area	ft ²
A _w	Wetted-surface area	ft ²
B	Width of collector	ft
B _i	Biot number	dimensionless
C _o	Pressure loss coefficient	dimensionless
c _{pa}	Specific-heat of air	B/lbm-F
c _{ps}	Specific-heat of solid	B/lbm-F
DD	Degree-Days	F
D _h	Hydraulic-diameter	ft
D _m	Minimum clearance distance	ft
D _p	Particle diameter	ft
D _T	Duct diameter	ft
EFFP	Fan efficiency	dimensionless
EG	Void fraction	dimensionless
EPSIL	Discharge tolerance	hours
f	Fanning-friction factor	dimensionless

<u>English Symbols</u>	<u>Meaning</u>	<u>Units</u>
f^1	D'arcy friction factor	dimensionless
FTC1	Primary Federal tax credit percentage/100	dimensionless
FTC2	Secondary Federal tax credit percentage/100	dimensionless
g_c	Newton's second law, 32.174	lbm-ft/lbf-sec ²
G	Mass velocity	lbm/ft ² -sec
G_{rD}	Grashof number	dimensionless
h	Heat transfer coefficient	B/hr-ft ² -F
HB	Bed height	ft
h_i	Forced-convection heat-transfer coefficient	B/hr-ft ² -F
h_o	Free-convection heat-transfer coefficient	B/hr-ft ² -F
h	Volumetric heat-transfer coefficient	B/hr-ft ³ -F
i	Position subscript	dimensionless
j	Time superscript	dimensionless
K_f	Conductivity of fluid	B/hr-ft-F
K_{ins}	Conductivity of insulation	B/hr-ft-F
KJ	Number of bed segments	dimensionless
K_s	Conductivity of solid	B/hr-ft-F
L	Length of collector	ft
L^*	Characteristic Bed Length	ft
LB	Bed length	ft
LC	Collector length	ft
LD	Duct length	ft
LTCA	Secondary tax credit amount	\$
$\dot{m}_a$	Mass flowrate of air	lbm/hr
N	Number of bed nodes & number of transverse rows	dimensionless
NH	Number of hours of operation	hours

<u>English Symbols</u>	<u>Meaning</u>	<u>Units</u>
Nu^*	Characteristic Nusselt number	dimensionless
OTC	Ohio tax credit percentage/100	dimensionless
P_c	Air duct perimeter for collector	ft
P_r	Prandtl number	dimensionless
P_w	Wetted perimeter of rock	ft
P	Power	B/hr
Q	Volumetric flowrate	ft ³ /hr
QAUX	Auxiliary energy requirements	Btu
QAVE	Useful energy collected	Btu
Q_L	Energy lost from bed	Btu
QMIN	Minimum blowing energy requirements	Btu
QSHL	Space heating load requirements	Btu
Re^*	Characteristic Reynolds number	dimensionless
R_i	Internal rock resistance	hr-F/B
R_o	External rock resistance	hr-F/B
R_h	Hydraulic-radius	ft
R_p	Rock radius	ft
S_L	Center to center longitudinal distance	ft
S_T	Center to center transverse distance	ft
STCA	Initial tax credit amount	\$
t	Time parameter	hours
T_a	Air temperature	F
$\bar{T}_a$	Average ambient monthly temperature	F
$T_{a,o}$	Initial air temperature	F
T_b	Bed temperature	F

<u>English Symbols</u>	<u>Meaning</u>	<u>Units</u>
$T_{b,o}$	Initial bed temperature	F
t_f	Film-temperature	F
TIB	Bed temperature initially	F
t_{ic}	Air temperature into collector	F
t_{id}	Air temperature into duct	F
TIN	Air temperature into bed	F
t_{ins}	Bed insulation thickness	ft
t_o	Rock temperature	F
TOB	Air temperature outside bed	F
t_{oc}	Air temperature coming out of collector	F
t_{od}	Air temperature coming out of duct	F
TMAXC	Maximum credit return	\$
TMIN	Discharge time increment	minutes
TN	Number of elbows	dimensionless
t_w	Wall temperature	F
t_∞	Free stream air temperature	F
U	Overall heat transfer coefficient	B/hr-ft ² -F
UA	Structure conductance	B/hr-F
U*	Characteristic velocity	ft/hr
U_I	Insulation conductance	B/hr-F
V	Oncoming air velocity	ft/hr
V_g	Void volume	ft ³
V_o	Superficial velocity	ft/hr
V_p	Rock volume	ft ³
WB	Width of bed	ft

English
SymbolsMeaningUnits

w_k	Blowing work	Btu
x	Bed position parameter	ft

Greek
SymbolsMeaningUnits

α	Collector aspect ratio	dimensionless
β	Coefficient of volumetric expansion	1/R
δ	Analytical solution parameter or declination angle	dimensionless, degrees
ϵ_g	Void fraction	dimensionless
ϵ_p	Rock fraction	dimensionless
η	Analytical heat loss parameter	dimensionless
ρ_a	Air density	lbm/ft ³
ρ_s	Solid density	lbm/ft ³
μ	Dynamic viscosity	lbf/hr-ft ²
ν	Momentum diffusivity	ft ² /hr
$\Delta\tau$	Time increment	hours
ϕ	Shape factor or latitude	dimensionless, degrees

ABSTRACT

This thesis is concerned with the analysis and design of solar air heating systems utilizing rock beds as thermal storage units. A computer simulation model capable of estimating the response of both the solar collector and the rock bed is described. Differential equations describing the rock bed were approximated in a finite-difference form and solved numerically on a digital computer. The temperature of both the solid (rock) and the fluid (air) is determined as a function of time and distance along the bed. The simulation required both charging and discharging of the rock bed for time-varying inlet fluid temperatures. The numerical method used to solve the rock bed equations proved to be stable and convergent and showed satisfactory agreement in comparison to an analytical solution for constant-inlet air temperatures. A cost analysis was also incorporated within this program, by varying the collector area one could determine the optimum collector size for maximum savings. Pressure drop relationships for flat-plate collectors, duct work and packed beds were used to determine operating costs. The particular air system tested proved to be cost effective when compared with natural gas fuel costs for an economic term of 20 years.

COMPUTER SIMULATION OF SOLAR
AIR HEATING SYSTEMS USING
ROCK BED THERMAL STORAGE UNITS

I Introduction

Background

The incident solar energy on earth is approximately 1.2×10^{19} Btu per year, while the world's total energy consumption is about 7×10^{16} Btu per year (Ref 17). However, solar energy is a variable source of energy. Here on earth, the sun shines only during the day; and on cloudy days it is intermittent. Therefore, proper storage of this abundant, cheap, and pollution free energy is necessary for its efficient utilization.

The idea of thermal storage goes way back to the caveman or Roman era when they brought sun heated stones or bricks to bed to supply them with warmth during the night. The Romans also dug deep cellars in the side of hills which they filled with snow during the winter and it would remain throughout the summer to be used when needed. These above happenings can best be explained by the fact that rocks act as a good storage material (Ref 17).

The direct mode of operation of air heating systems is to transfer heated air directly from the collector to the heated space. When more energy is collected than needed, it is stored sensibly in a storage bed by blowing heated air from the collector through the bed. This is referred to as the bed charging mode. When energy is not available from the collector to satisfy the space heating loads, sensible energy is removed from the rock bed. This is known as the bed discharging mode. Discharging is normally accomplished by a reversal of the air flow, thus, causing the outlet air temperature to approach the temperature at the hot end of the bed.

Purpose

The purpose of this thesis was to develop a computer program which completely simulates the three-modal operation of a solar air heating system utilizing rock beds for thermal storage. This involves a numerical method for computing the temperatures of both the air and rock as functions of time and distance for the charging and discharging modes of the bed.

With a proper theoretical analysis of rock beds, duct work and collectors, a simulation model would provide the useful purpose of estimating the performance of a solar air heater much more quickly and cheaply than would be possible by experimentation. In order to simulate various systems, a change in only certain parameters is necessary.

Approach

Before simulating an air system along with the thermal performance of a rock bed storage unit with varying inlet air temperatures, one must first develop a scheme for solar energy collection. Fortunately, this was accomplished by utilizing the previous work of Captain Prins (Ref 16) on solar water heating systems. His long term analysis of flat-plate collectors was used for determining the useful amount of solar energy collected per unit time. Here, long term is defined to be that period of time necessary to describe the performance of a heating system in any particular climate, normally a one to two year period is sufficient for long term simulations (Ref 12).

The amount of useful energy collected is the difference between the solar energy absorbed and the energy lost by the collector. It is a function of the collector area (AC), the collector heat removal efficiency (FR), the monthly average instantaneous total radiation ($\bar{I}_{Tt}$), the utilizability (UT) and the effective transmittance-absorptance product ($\overline{\tau\alpha}$).

$$Q = AC \times FR \times \bar{I}_{Tt} \times UT \times \overline{\tau\alpha} \quad (1)$$

FR is a function of the fluid flowrate and the absorber plate design. $\bar{I}_{Tt}$ depends on the location and orientation of the collector, also on the hour of day and the time of year. The utilizability is a function of variability of the incident solar energy and the loss coefficient of the collector, which in turn is a function of the number of cover plates, cover material and amount of insulation. Lastly, $(\overline{\tau\alpha})$ also depends on absorber plate properties, the number of cover plates and the angle at which solar radiation strikes the collector.

The useful energy collected in equation (1) also determines the temperature rise of the collector air.

$$Q = (\dot{m}_a c_{pa}) (T_{oc} - T_{ic}) \quad (2)$$

where $\dot{m}_a$ is the mass flowrate of air into the collector, c_{pa} is the specific heat of air at the constant pressure, T_{ic} is the air temperature into the collector and T_{oc} is the air temperature out of the collector. Therefore, if Q is determined from equation (1), one can then solve for T_{oc} from equation (2).

$$T_{oc} = [Q / (\dot{m}_a c_p)] + T_{ic} \quad (3)$$

Equation (3) is utilized in the program to determine the varying air temperatures into the rock bed during the charging mode.

Flat-plate collector results must be combined with a rock bed performance analysis to completely simulate air heating systems. This involved describing a control strategy for the various operational modes of the system; along with developing an adequate space heating model and determining auxiliary energy requirements.

A slight modification of Prins' cost analysis was also necessary to deal with air system simulations.

The heart of this thesis remains to follow, it deals with the theoretical heat transfer analysis of rock beds in Chapter II, pressure drop relationships in Chapter III and a control strategy in Chapter IV. Finally, the results of a typical computer simulation are presented and discussed in Chapter V.

II Heat Transfer Analysis of Packed Beds

In this chapter, the study of the flowrate of air through rock beds is examined. Heat transfer coefficient formulas are discussed and the differential equations which describe the response of the bed are developed. A finite-difference numerical solution to these equations is also presented.

Rock Bed Geometry

The rock bed is a relatively simply looking storage unit, for its geometry refer to Fig 1. The bed consists of a container to hold the rocks plus an inlet and outlet for the flow of air through the unit. For computer purposes it is divided into N-equal segments, all assumed isothermal and of length Δx ; this assumption becomes better as the number of segments is increased. Also, insulation surrounds all sides of the bed to minimum energy losses.

A well designed bed is cubical in shape and utilizes rocks that may vary between .5 and 2.5 inches in diameter; these two facts result from pressure drop and heat transfer considerations (Ref 17).

Rock Bed Assumptions

- a. Rocks are spherical with void fractions of 30 to 60 per cent.
- b. Fluid must be a gas such as air.
- c. Constant fluid and material properties (for air this is a very good approximation for the temperature range, 70-200 F).
- d. For practical purposes, it is advisable to deal with a uniform or average volumetric heat transfer coefficient, rather than focus on local values.
- e. Constant volumetric flowrates.
- f. Fluid flow and heat conduction in the solid material are one-dimensional in the flow direction.




Fig 1. Rock Bed Geometry

- g. Thermal storage within the fluid (air) is neglected.
- h. Heat conduction within the fluid (air) is neglected.
- i. Fluid properties are evaluated at a film temperature, t_f , where

$$t_f = \frac{t_o + t_\infty}{2}$$

and

t_∞ = free stream air temperature

t_o = rock temperature

Heat Transfer Coefficients

There are several methods for determining the heat transfer coefficient between the air and the solid. However, in this section a relationship which takes into account both laminar and turbulent flow contributions will be considered. The following expressions and equations were cited from Ref 18.

For alternate methods refer to Appendix B.

The void fraction, ϵ_g , is defined as

$$\epsilon_g = \frac{\text{void volume of bed}}{\text{total volume of bed}} = \frac{V_g}{V} \quad (4)$$

therefore, the particle or rock fraction, ϵ_p , is

$$\epsilon_p = \frac{\text{rock volume}}{\text{total volume of bed}} = 1 - \epsilon_g \quad (5)$$

For a bed with N particles which have a volume, V_p , the volume occupied by the rocks is

$$V_p N = V - \epsilon_g V = V(1 - \epsilon_g) \quad (6)$$

Then, the number of particles per unit volume is

$$\frac{N}{V} = (1 - \epsilon_g)/V_p \quad (7)$$

The hydraulic radius, R_h , is defined as

$$R_h = \frac{\text{void volume of bed}}{\text{surface area of particles}} = \frac{\epsilon g V}{A_p N} \quad (8)$$

where A_p is the surface area of a particle. Substituting for $\frac{N}{V}$ from equation (7) yields

$$R_h = \frac{V_p}{A_p} \frac{\epsilon g}{(1 - \epsilon g)} \quad (9)$$

For heat transfer purposes, a characteristic length and velocity are needed. Ref 18 used six times the hydraulic radius for the characteristic length, L^* . Substituting for R_h from equation (9) yields

$$L^* = 6R_h = \frac{6V_p}{A_p} \frac{\epsilon g}{1 - \epsilon g} \quad (10)$$

A spherical particle diameter, D_p , is defined as

$$D_p = 6V_p/A_p \quad (11)$$

where

$$V_p = \pi D_p^3/6$$

$$A_p = \pi D_p^2$$

So now the characteristic length becomes

$$L^* = D_p \frac{\epsilon g}{1 - \epsilon g} \quad (12)$$

The characteristic velocity, u^* , is defined as the average velocity of the fluid flowing through the voids. From continuity

$$\rho A_{\text{void}} u^* = \rho V_o A \quad (13)$$

where V_o is the superficial or free-stream velocity. The void area, A_{void} , is related to A , the cross sectional area of the bed by

$$A_{\text{void}} = \epsilon_g A \quad (14)$$

Combining equation (14) and equation (13) the characteristic velocity becomes

$$u^* = V_o / \epsilon_g \quad (15)$$

This is also referred to as the institutional velocity.

Finally, an expression for the characteristic Reynolds number can be written as

$$\text{Re}^* = u^* L^* / \nu \quad (16)$$

where ν , the momentum diffusivity, is

$$\nu = \mu g_c / \rho \quad (17)$$

Combining equations (12), (15), (17) and (16) yields

$$\text{Re}^* = D_p G / \mu g_c (1 - \epsilon_g) \quad (18)$$

where G = superficial mass velocity = ρV_o .

The characteristic Nusselt number is defined as

$$\text{Nu}^* = h L^* / K_f \quad (19)$$

Substituting for L^* from equation (12) into equation (19) gives

$$Nu^* = \frac{hD_p}{K_f} \frac{\epsilon_g}{1-\epsilon_g} \quad (20)$$

where h is the average coefficient of heat transfer and K_f , the thermal conductivity of the fluid.

The empirical formulation of the Nusselt number is represented by the following equation (Ref 18):

$$Nu^* = (1.5 Re^{*1/2} + 0.2 Re^{*2/3}) Pr^{1/3} \quad (21)$$

Reynolds number to the one-half power accounts for laminar flow effects while $Re^{*2/3}$ is its turbulent counterpart.

Combining equations (20) and (21) and solving for h gives

$$h = \frac{(1 - \epsilon_g)}{\epsilon_g} \frac{K_f}{D_p} (0.5 Re^{*1/2} + 0.2 Re^{*2/3}) Pr^{1/3} \quad (22)$$

where Pr is the Prandtl number of the fluid. Remember, all fluid properties are evaluated at a film temperature as discussed earlier.

Equation (22) is valid for void fractions less than .65 and Reynolds numbers greater than or equal to 100. This equation can be used for either spherical or cylindrical shaped objects, and it can be modified for staggered tube arrangements. For quick approximations of h , an experimental curve of Nusselt number versus Reynolds number is provided in Ref 18.

To determine the volumetric heat transfer coefficient, h_v , the following expression is used

$$h_v = hA_h / Adx \quad (23)$$

where

A = cross sectional area of bed segment

Adx = total volume of bed segment

dA_h = total surface area of particles.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} dA_h &= 4\pi R_p^2 \text{ [number of particles]} \\ &= 4\pi R_p^2 [Adx (1 - \epsilon_g) / \pi D_p^3 / 6] \end{aligned}$$

or

$$dA_h = \frac{6}{D_p} Adx (1 - \epsilon_g) \quad (24)$$

After substituting dA_h from equation (24) into equation (23), h_v becomes

$$h_v = 6h (1 - \epsilon_g) / D_p \quad (25)$$

Internal Resistance

To account for internal temperature gradients within the rocks a non-dimensional heat transfer parameter known as the Biot number must first be described.

The Biot number, Bi , is defined as

$$Bi = \frac{\text{internal resistance}}{\text{external resistance}} = \frac{R_i}{R_o} \quad (26)$$




Fig 2. Spherical Rock Resistances

where, the internal resistance is

$$R_i = R_s / K_s A_s \quad (27)$$

and

K_s = thermal conductivity of solid

A_s = surface area of rock.

R_o , the external resistance is

$$R_o = \frac{1}{hA_s} \quad (28)$$

where h is determined by equation (22). Combining equations (26), (27) and (28) yields

$$Bi = \frac{R_i}{R_o} = \frac{hR_p}{K_s} \quad (29)$$

It is only when the Biot number is less than 0.1 can heat conduction in the solid material be neglected. In this case, the thermal resistance of the solid particles is small in comparison to the thermal resistance of the convective film between the air and solid. Therefore, for $Bi < .1$ the effect of temperature gradients within the solid particles can be ignored.

A Biot number correction proposed by "Babcock" in an article written by Jefferson (Ref 9) is a factor which can be incorporated into the heat transfer coefficient to account for the following effects: axial (static) fluid-solid-fluid conduction, fluid phase axial dispersion, fluid-solid heat transfer resistance, and most importantly, internal particle thermal resistance. The result according to Babcock is

$$h_{veff} = \frac{h_v}{(1 + Bi/5)} \beta^2 \quad (30)$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{HCS}{HCS + HCA}$$

and

$$HCS = \text{heat capacity of solid} = \rho_s c_{ps} (1 - \epsilon_g)$$

$$\text{HCA} = \text{heat capacity of air} = \rho_a c_{pa} \epsilon g$$

Note that HCA is much less than HCS since the density of air is much less than the rock density, therefore β is approximately one; so for air the effect of β on equation (30) can be neglected.

Also, the formula proposed by "Babcock" was proven experimentally for Biot numbers up to about 4.

Development of Differential Equations

To develop the equations which describe the response of a rock bed, two energy balances on the following control volume must be made. (A similar set of equations can also be found in Ref 6). The first energy balance is for the fluid (air) equation while the other is for the solid.




Fig 3. Differential Bed Segment

The time rate of change of energy within the control volume is

$$\dot{E}_{\text{stored}} = \epsilon g \rho_a c_{pa} A dx \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial t} \quad (31)$$

where, $\epsilon_g A dx$ is the void volume. Applying the conservation of energy law

$$\dot{E}_{in} = \dot{E}_{out} + \dot{E}_{stored} = h \frac{dA_h}{Adx} (T_a - T_b) Adx \quad (32)$$

where

$$\frac{h dA_h}{Adx} = h_v \text{ as seen from equation (23).}$$

With some rearrangement and substituting for $\dot{E}_{in}$, $\dot{E}_{out}$ and $\dot{E}_{stored}$, equation (32) simplifies to

$$-\rho_a V_o c_{pa} \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial x} dx - \epsilon_g \rho_a c_{pa} dx \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial t} = h_v (T_a - T_b) dx \quad (33)$$

Now, dividing through by $\rho_a c_{pa} \epsilon_g dx$ and substituting u^* for V_o / ϵ_g yields

$$\frac{\partial T_a}{\partial t} + u^* \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial x} = \frac{-h_v}{\rho_a c_{pa} \epsilon_g} (T_a - T_b) \quad (34)$$

But since the heat capacity of the bed ($\rho_s dvol c_{ps}$) is much greater than the heat capacity of the air ($\rho_a dvol c_{pa}$), the temperature of the air as a function of time may be neglected. Therefore, equation (34) becomes:

$$\frac{\partial T_a}{\partial x} = \frac{-h_v A}{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}} (T_a - T_b) \quad (35)$$

where $\dot{m}_a = \rho_a A \epsilon_g u^*$.

The following control volume will again be used to represent the bed temperature as a function of time.




Fig 4. Bed Control Volume

Energy equations for this case are

$$\dot{E}_{\text{into bed}} = \dot{m}_a c_{pa} [T_{a,i} - T_{a,i+1}] \quad (36)$$

$\dot{E}_{\text{out of bed}} = Q_L$; where Q_L , the amount of energy lost from the bed is

$$Q_L = U_I (T_b - \text{TOB}) \quad (37)$$

and

$$U_I = \frac{K_{\text{ins}} A_{\text{ins}}}{t_{\text{ins}}}$$

where

K_{ins} = conductivity of the insulation

A_{ins} = surface area of the bed

t_{ins} = thickness of insulation surrounding bed

and, TOB = air temperature outside the bed. Also,

$$\dot{E}_{\text{stored}} = (1 - \epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps} A \Delta x \frac{\partial T_b}{\partial t} \quad (38)$$

where; $(1 - \epsilon_g) A \Delta x$ is the solid volume.

Therefore, again applying the conservation law and rearranging gives

$$(1 - \epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps} A \Delta x \frac{\partial T_b}{\partial t} = \dot{m}_a c_{pa} [T_{a,i} - T_{a,i+1}] - Q_L \quad (39)$$

Solving for $\frac{\partial T_b}{\partial t}$ yields

$$\frac{\partial T_b}{\partial t} = \frac{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}}{(1 - \epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps} A \Delta x} [T_{a,i} - T_{a,i+1}] - \frac{Q_L}{(1 - \epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps} A \Delta x} \quad (40)$$

Solution of Equations

The two equations now derived can be approximated in a finite-difference

form. In this section an implicit solution scheme will be presented. This scheme provides stability and thus allows for larger time steps, therefore, computer costs are reduced while still maintaining reasonable results. Refer to Appendix C if an explicit or a modified-implicit scheme is desired. For the equations that follow, the subscript "i" refers to the distance increment and superscript "j" refers to the time step. As mentioned before, the bed is broken up into N-equal segments. Therefore, the equations are used to solve for N-unknown bed and N-unknown fluid temperatures.

Our partial differential equations in an implicit form, as functions of new time and position, become

$$\left(\frac{\partial T_a}{\partial x} \right)^{j+1} = \frac{-h_v A}{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}} \left(T_{a,i+1}^{j+1} - T_{b,i+1}^{j+1} \right) \quad (41)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial T_b}{\partial t} \right)_{i+1} = & \frac{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}}{(1 - \epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps} A \Delta x} \left(T_{a,i}^{j+1} - T_{a,i+1}^{j+1} \right) \\ & - Q_L [(1 - \epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps} A \Delta x] \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Finite-differencing equation (41) yields

$$\left(\frac{T_{a,i+1} - T_{a,i}}{\Delta x} \right)^{j+1} = \frac{-h_v A}{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}} \left(T_{a,i+1}^{j+1} - T_{b,i+1}^{j+1} \right) \quad (43)$$

After some more rearrangement and letting $C_1 = \frac{h_v A \Delta x}{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}}$

$$T_{a,i+1}^{j+1} = \frac{1}{1+C_1} T_{a,i}^{j+1} + \frac{C_1}{1+C_1} T_{b,i+1}^{j+1} \quad (44)$$

Since C_1 is always positive, the quantity $(1/1 + C_1)$ will never become negative; therefore, this particular form of the air equation will remain

stable for any Δx . In both the explicit and modified-implicit solution schemes, Appendix C, a Δx stability criteria is required.

Equation (42) in a fully-implicit form becomes:

$$\frac{T_{b,i+1}^{j+1} - T_{b,i+1}^j}{\Delta \tau} = \frac{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}}{Q^*} T_{a,i}^{j+1} - \frac{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}}{Q^*} T_{a,i+1}^{j+1} - Q_L/Q^* \quad (45)$$

where: $Q^* = (1 - \epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps} A \Delta x$.

Multiplying through by $\Delta \tau$ and letting $C_2 = \frac{\dot{m}_a c_{pa} \Delta \tau}{Q^*}$

$$T_{b,i+1}^{j+1} = T_{b,i+1}^j + C_2 \left(T_{a,i}^{j+1} - T_{a,i+1}^{j+1} \right) - \frac{Q_L \Delta \tau}{Q^*} \quad (46)$$

This form of the bed equation will always be stable, for any $\Delta \tau$. Now substituting for $T_{b,i+1}^{j+1}$ from equation (46) into equation (44) and doing some more manipulation yields:

$$T_{a,i+1}^{j+1} = \frac{1+C_1 C_2}{1+C_1+C_1 C_2} T_{a,i}^{j+1} + \frac{C_1}{1+C_1+C_1 C_2} T_{b,i+1}^j - \frac{C_1}{1+C_1+C_1 C_2} \frac{Q_L \Delta \tau}{Q^*} \quad (47)$$

where $Q_L = U_I (T_{b,i+1}^j - T_{OB})$ as in equation (37). Equation (47) represents the fully-implicit finite-differenced air equation as a function of time and position in the bed.

Substituting for $T_{a,i+1}^{j+1}$ from equation (47) into equation (46) yields the final bed equation.

$$T_{b,i+1}^{j+1} = \frac{C_1 C_2}{1+C_1+C_1 C_2} T_{a,i}^{j+1} + \frac{1+C_1}{1+C_1+C_1 C_2} \left[T_{b,i+1}^j - \frac{Q_L \Delta \tau}{Q^*} \right] \quad (48)$$

Equations (47) and (48) will completely describe the response of the rock bed for nodes 2 through k.

However, in the discharging mode where a complete reversal of the bed temperature distribution is required, the bed temperature at node 1 must also be determined. To do this, a second-order, polynomial curve fit is used. The curve is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{b_1} + B\Delta x + C\Delta x^2 &= T_{b_2}^{j+1} \\ T_{b_1} + 2B\Delta x + 4C\Delta x^2 &= T_{b_3}^{j+1} \\ T_{b_1} + 3B\Delta x + 9C\Delta x^2 &= T_{b_4}^{j+1} \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

These equations were solved using the Gauss-Siedel Reduction method; the resultant bed temperature at node 1 is

$$T_{b_1} = T_{b_4} - 3T_{b_3} + 3T_{b_2}^{j+1} \quad (50)$$

Since monotonic temperature curves were usually present in both modes, equation (50) proved to be a fairly accurate extrapolation.

Analytical Solution

An analytical result serves the useful purpose of evaluating the completeness and validity of the numerical method.

The analytical solution proposed by Clark and Arpaci in Ref 5 is as follows: For the air temperature

$$\begin{aligned} T_a(S, \delta) - T_{a,0} &= D(0)F_1(S, \delta) + \\ &\quad \frac{D(\delta) - D(0)}{\delta} G_1(S, \delta) \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

and for the bed temperature

$$T_b(S, \delta) - T_{b,o} = D(o) f_1(S, \delta) + \frac{D(\delta_1) - D(o)}{\delta_1} g_1(S, \delta) \quad (52)$$

The initial and boundary conditions are:

$$T_a(x, 0) = T_{a,o} = \text{initial air temperature}$$

$$T_b(x, 0) = T_{b,o} = \text{initial bed temperature}$$

$$T_a(0, t) = T_a(t) = \text{inlet air temperature}$$

For stability purposes these equations were only valid for small time steps and small distance increments. Also, the equations were derived assuming negligible heat loss from the bed. In equations (51) and (52)

$$D(o) = T_a(o) - T_{a,o}$$

$$D(\delta_1) = T_a(\delta_1) - T_{a,o}$$

and

$$S = \frac{\bar{h} P_w}{\rho_a c_{pa} A_{\text{void}}} \left(\frac{x}{u^*} \right) \quad (53)$$

$$\delta = \frac{\bar{h} P_w}{\rho_s c_{ps} A^1} \left(t - \frac{x}{u^*} \right) \quad (54)$$

where

u^* = interstitial velocity

A_{void} = flow area

$A^1 = (1 - \epsilon_g) A$ = rock area

t = time in bed

x = position in bed

$\bar{h}$ = average heat transfer coefficient

P_w = wetted perimeter of rock

and $P_w/A_{\text{void}} = \frac{\text{wetted perimeter}}{\text{flow area}}$; but since R_h , the hydraulic radius, can

also be written as the ratio of flow area to wetted perimeter, one obtains from equation (10)

$$P_w/A_{\text{void}} = \delta/L^* \quad (55)$$

Substituting for L^* from equation (12) into equation (55) and letting $A^* = dA_h/dx$ from equation (24) yields

$$P_w/A_{\text{void}} = A^*/\epsilon g \quad (56)$$

Also note that in Figs 5 and 6

$$V = u^*$$

$$\theta = t$$

$$\rho^1 = \rho_s$$

$$C_p^1 = c_{ps}$$

$$\rho = \rho_a$$

$$C_p = c_{pa}$$

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_{\text{void}}$$

The functions, F_1 , G_1 and f_1 and g , from equations (51) and (52) can be determined graphically as functions of S and δ , or they can be obtained mathematically with the use of Bessel functions. To check the validity of the numerical program, a constant inlet air temperature was tested, and the graphical method was employed.

For a constant inlet air temperature case

$$T_a(\delta_n) = T_a(o); n = 1, 2, 3...$$

and

$$D(\delta_n) = D(o)$$

Equation (51) becomes

$$T_a - T_{a,o} = (T_g(o) - T_{a,o}) F_1 \quad (57)$$

Equation (57) represents the analytical air equation for constant-inlet air temperatures. Equation (52) yields the analytical bed equation.

$$T_b - T_{b,o} = f_1 (T_g(o) - T_{b,o})$$

For the graphs of F_1 and f_1 refer to Figs 5 and 6.

An analytical result (Ref 7) for the bed temperature at node 1 ($x=0$) can be obtained from the explicit bed equation in Appendix D, namely:

$$\frac{\partial T_b}{\partial t} = \frac{-hA^* (T_b - T_a)}{(1 - \epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps}}; \quad (58)$$

integrating and rearranging we get:

$$\int_{T_{b,o}}^{T_b} \frac{\partial T_b}{(T_b - T_{a,o})} = \frac{-hA^*}{(1 - \epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps}} \int_{t_o}^t \partial t \quad (59)$$

At $x=0$, T_a is constant for a particular $\Delta\tau$, therefore equation (59) becomes:

$$\ln(T_b - T_{a,o}) \Big|_{T_{b,o}}^{T_b} = \frac{-hA^*}{(1 - \epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps}} \Delta\tau \quad (60)$$

and again rearranging yields:


$$\frac{T_b - T_{a,o}}{T_{b,o} - T_{a,o}} = \exp \left(\frac{-hA^* \Delta\tau}{(1 - \epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps}} \right) \quad (61)$$

where:

$T_{a,0}$ = initial air temperature


$T_{b,0}$ = initial bed temperature

Equation (61) represents the analytical response of the bed at $x=0$. This result can be used for comparison purposes with the numerical curve fit solution at node 1.



($\eta = 1$ signifies no heat loss)

Fig 5. The function F_1 versus δ (Fe²⁺)



($\eta = 1$ signifies no heat loss)

Fig 6 . The function f_1 versus $\delta(Pe^0.5)$

III Pressure Drop Equations

This chapter deals with the discussion of pressure drop relationships for rock beds, flat plate collectors, and duct work.

Power Calculation

Once pressure drop has been determined a power calculation is necessary to obtain fan blowing costs. The theoretical work per unit mass, w_k , is given by the pressure drop divided by the air density.

$$w_k = \frac{\Delta P}{\rho_a} \quad (62)$$

Power, the rate of doing work, is therefore

$$P = \text{power} = w_k \dot{m}_a = w_k (\rho_a AV) \quad (63)$$

In terms of the volumetric flowrate, $Q = VA$

$$P = \rho_a Q w_k \quad (64)$$

Power estimates can be easily converted to operating costs by using standard utility prices and a fan efficiency factor.

Rock Bed

Ergun's equation (Ref 3) was used to determine rock bed pressure drop. His particular relationship is valid for both laminar and turbulent flows and for void fractions between .40 and .65.

$$\frac{-\Delta P}{L} = \frac{\rho V_o^2}{D_p} \left(\frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon} \frac{g}{3} \right) \left[170 \frac{1-\epsilon}{R_{ep}} + 1.75 \right] \quad (65)$$

where

ρ = density of fluid (air)

V_o = free-stream velocity

$R_{e_p} = V_o D_p / \nu_f$ = Reynolds number

Ergun's equation can also be written in the following form which accents the presence of both a viscous term and a dynamic pressure term.

$$-\Delta P = a \mu_g V_o + b \rho V_o^2 \quad (66)$$

where

$a \mu_g V_o$ = viscous term

$b \rho V_o^2$ = pressure term

After some algebraic manipulation

$$a = \left[\frac{170}{\epsilon_g^3} \left(\frac{1 - \epsilon_g}{D_p} \right)^2 L \right] \quad (67)$$

and

$$b = \left[\frac{1.75}{\epsilon_g^3} \frac{(1 - \epsilon_g) L}{D_p} \right] \quad (68)$$

A tube bundle pressure drop expression is included in Appendix B.

Collector

A flat-plate collector consists of an absorber plate, made of metal for strength and good thermal conduction, insulation to reduce energy losses from both the bottom and sides of the collector, and one or more cover plates of either glass or plastic to reduce heat losses from the top of the collector (Fig 7).




Fig 7. Collector Geometry

For this simple flat-plate air heater:

$A_{col} = aB$ = air duct cross sectional area

$P_c = 2(a+b)$ = air duct perimeter

$\alpha = B/a$ = aspect ratio of collector

L = length of collector

B = width of collector

a = collector air gap

The collector pressure drop can be expressed in terms of a friction factor, f , (Ref 10).

$$-\Delta p = \frac{4fL\rho V^2}{2g_c D_h} \quad (69)$$

where:

f = Fanning-friction factor

ρ = air density

D_h = hydraulic-diameter

For parallel flat plates:

$$D_h = \frac{4A_w}{P} = \frac{2aB}{a+b}, \quad (70)$$

Substituting for D_h in equation (69) gives

$$\Delta P = \frac{f L \rho V^2}{g_c a B} (a+B) \quad (71)$$

For fully developed turbulent flow in tubes (this is also valid for parallel plates if the hydraulic diameter is used) and a Reynolds number range of 5,000 to 30,000, the following Fanning friction factor may be used (Ref 10):

$$f = .079 \text{Re}^{-.25} \quad (72)$$

and for $30,000 < \text{Re} < 1,000,000$:

$$f = .046 \text{Re}^{-.20} \quad (73)$$

where $\text{Re} = \rho V D_h / \mu g_c$ and $V = Q/A_{\text{col}}$. Now substituting equations (72) and (73) into equation (71) yields:

$$\Delta P = \frac{.079 L}{g_c a B} \rho V^2 \left(\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \right)^{.25} (a+b) \quad (74)$$

for $5,000 < \text{Re} < 30,000$; or

$$\Delta P = \frac{.046}{g_c a B} \rho V^2 \left(\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \right)^{.20} (a+b) \quad (75)$$

for $30,000 < \text{Re} < 1,000,000$.

The Reynolds number for collectors is normally less than 30,000 since "a" is usually quite small; therefore equation (74) is frequently used in calculations. This equation can also be written as

$$\Delta P = \frac{.079 L}{g_c \rho (aB)^3} (m)^2 \left(\frac{1}{\text{Re}} \right)^{.25} (a+b) \quad (76)$$

And since power = $P = \dot{m} \frac{\Delta P}{\rho}$

$$P = \frac{.079L(a+b)}{g_c \rho} \left(\frac{\dot{m}}{aB} \right)^3 \frac{1}{Re}^{.25} \quad (77)$$

Pressure drop is extremely sensitive to variations in "a" or the collector air gap spacing. As "a" increases, ΔP decreases; but since "a" is much less than "B", the increase in surface area for heat loss is minimal. Therefore, since the shape of the air duct is normally arbitrary, a somewhat larger gap spacing is recommended when one considers the significant reduction in pressure drop and blowing power requirements.

Duct Work

Our design analysis consists of:

- a. straight, round smooth radius ducts
- b. 90° - elbows, smooth and round.

For most solar energy applications, the duct design is fairly simple, involving mainly straight channels and elbows. Therefore, pressure drop calculations will be considered for these two important and essential cases only.

90° elbows: To determine pressure drop in elbows one needs to know the loss coefficient which is represented by the ratio of the total pressure loss to dynamic pressure at a referenced cross section, "o" usually at the beginning of the configuration.

$$C_o = \text{loss coefficient} = \frac{-\Delta p}{\frac{\rho V^2}{2g_c}} \quad (78)$$

or

$$\Delta P = C_o \left(\frac{\rho V^2}{2g_c} \right) \quad (79)$$

where " C_o " can be found in the ASHRAE Handbook of Fundamentals. The geometry for elbows as depicted in ASHRAE (Ref 1) is shown in Fig 8.




Fig 8. Duct Elbow

$$C_o = KC_o^1 \quad (80)$$

where C_o^1 is dependent on r/D ratios and K is dependent on θ .

Note that $K = 1$ when $\theta = 90^\circ$.

Coefficients for 90° Elbows						
r/D	0.5	0.75	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5
C_o^1	0.71	0.33	0.22	0.15	0.13	0.12

For angles other than 90° multiply by the following factors:

θ	0	20	30	45	60	75	90	110	130	150	180
K	0	0.31	0.45	0.60	0.78	0.90	1.00	1.13	1.20	1.28	1.40

For design purposes each straight channel is referred to as a "length" and each 90° elbow, a "turn". The motivation for circular ducts as opposed to rectangular ducts is:

- a. simpler to manufacture
- b. less leakage and easier to insulate
- c. less costly
- d. most important; less surface area, therefore, less pressure drop and thus less blowing power required.

Therefore, for these reasons, it should prove likely that ones final decision would be to use circular cross sections. The only real advantage with rectangular ducts is probably that they fit neatly in between beams, thus creating somewhat of a hideaway design.

Straight lengths: Pressure drop in straight channels can again be expressed in terms of the Fanning friction factor, f .

$$-\Delta P = \frac{4fL\rho V^2}{2g_c D_h} \quad (81)$$

where $D_h = \frac{4A_w}{P} = D_T$; or simply the actual tube diameter when dealing with circular ducts.

As before,

$$f = \frac{.079}{Re} \quad \text{for } 5,000 < Re < 30,000$$

and

$$f = \frac{.046}{Re} \quad \text{for } 30,000 < Re < 1,000,000.$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta P = \frac{4 \left(\frac{.079}{Re} \right) L \rho V^2}{2g_c D_h} \quad (82)$$

or

$$\Delta P = \frac{4 \left(\frac{.046}{Re} \right) L \rho V^2}{2g_c D_h} \quad (83)$$

where:

L = duct length

$Re = \rho V D_h / \mu g_c$

After substituting equation (82) into equation (63)

$$P = \frac{\rho \pi D_I^3 V^3 \left(\frac{.079}{Re^{.25}} \right) L}{2 g_c} \quad (84)$$

A rule of thumb (ASHRAE, Ref 1) for duct work is that duct velocity should not exceed 700 fpm for low noise purposes;

$$\text{Duct velocity} = V = \frac{\text{volumetric flowrate}}{\text{cross sectional area of duct}} = \frac{Q}{A_D}$$

where: $A_D = \pi D_I^2 / 4$

Design recommendations for pressure drop in all three configurations are (Ref 2):

- a. rock beds - .1 to .4 in H_2O
- b. collectors - .2 to .8 in H_2O
- c. duct work - .08 in H_2O /100 ft duct length

Note that duct heat losses had to be minimal to validate the assumption that the inlet air temperature for the bed during its charging mode was nearly the same as the air temperature out of the collector. This involved the prediction of heat transfer from ducts as presented and discussed in Appendix C.

IV Performance Simulation of an Air Heating System

This chapter is concerned with developing a model to predict the operational modes of an air heating system. Also, a space heating load model along with auxiliary energy requirements are discussed.

Control Strategy

A suitable method for long term simulations, is to assume that during any time period, the system operates in whatever modes necessary to maintain the building temperature at the desired level. During each time period, the amount of energy collected is compared with the amount of energy required to meet the load (Ref 13).

To fully complete a simulation model there are three modes that must be satisfied for a solar air heating system. For the workings of an air system refer to the schematic diagrams in Figs 9 and 10, note that only one fan is necessary for its operation.

In the first mode of operation solar energy is available but not enough is collected to meet the space heating load; therefore, the collected energy is delivered directly from the collector to the house. In mode two, solar energy is sufficient to meet the space load; therefore, the energy collected is delivered to the house until the load is satisfied; then for the remaining time period the rest of the energy is transferred to the storage bed. This is commonly referred to as the charging mode. The third mode becomes operational when solar energy is no longer available (usually at night); then hot air is drawn from the hot end of the bed until the space load is met and room temperature **air** is returned. This is known as the discharging mode. In modes one and three, auxiliary energy may be required if the amount of energy transported from either the collector or the storage unit is depleted before satisfying the load.




Fig 9. Schematic Diagram of a Solar Air Heating System (Ref 2)




Fig 10. Three Modal Diagram of Control Box

Space Heating Loads

A variety of factors influence space heating requirements such as the location of the building, its design, orientation and particular habits of the occupants.

Many space heating models have been proposed, ranging in detail from simple to relatively complex approaches. However, due to computer time and costs, complex models for load calculations are not justified. Therefore, a simple space heating load model, the degree-day model, expresses the heating load, QSHL, as a function of the difference between the inside temperature of the building (reduced to account for heat generation due to lights, people, etc; usually about 65F) and the mean ambient (outside) temperature (Ref 12).

$$QSHL = UA (T_{\text{inside}} - \bar{T}_a) \quad (85)$$

where:

UA = the building overall loss coefficient-area product

The number of degree-days in a month, DD, is

$$DD = \sum_{i=1}^N (65F - \bar{T}_a)_i \quad (86)$$

where N is the number of days in a month and $(65F - \bar{T}_a)_i$ is taken to be zero for mean daily temperatures above 65F.

Therefore, a monthly space heating load, QSHLM, can be written as

$$QSHLM = UADD \quad (87)$$

For simulation purposes, an hourly heating load was used, namely:

$$QSHL = \frac{QSHLM}{24 N} \quad (88)$$

Auxiliary Energy

Auxiliary energy is provided for space heating whenever the amount of solar energy collected is insufficient to meet the space heating load. In practice, this condition is detected by a thermostat monitoring the temperature within the building. In the present space heating model however, the temperature within the building is assumed to be constant and auxiliary energy is supplied whenever the rate at which solar energy can be provided, Q_{AVG} , is less than the space heating load, Q_{SHT} . Therefore, the amount of auxiliary energy, Q_{AUX} , needed is:

$$Q_{AUX} = Q_{SHT} - Q_{AVG} - Q_{LOST} \quad (80)$$

where it has been assumed that any bed losses (Q_{LOST}) actually reduce the space heating load (Ref 12).

In the discharging mode, when energy is taken from the storage unit, the auxiliary energy needed is:

$$Q_{AUX} = Q_{SHT} - Q_{BEDH} \quad (90)$$

where, Q_{BEDH} , the amount of energy drawn from the bed is:

$$Q_{BEDH} = \dot{m} c_{pa} (T_{EB} - T_{ROOM}) \quad (91)$$

and

T_{EB} = Exit air temperature of bed

T_{ROOM} = Room air temperature

The Q_{AUX} calculations were used to determine backup energy costs in the air system simulation.

For the complete logic of an air system simulation, a flowchart of the computer program is presented in Appendix F.

V Results and Conclusions

Rock Bed Simulation Results

The major objective in using a fully-implicit finite-difference solution scheme was to be able to use time steps as large as one hour and still obtain adequate results for realistic simulations. In order to justify this criteria the rock bed was tested separately for a constant inlet air temperature and specified initial conditions as indicated in Table 1.

For this particular rock bed simulation, the air and bed temperatures are somewhat more sensitive to changes in Δx than to changes in time step. The corresponding temperatures for a Δx of six inches and a Δt of one hour were reasonably close for engineering purposes to the temperatures for a one inch distance increment and a .1 hour time step. Therefore, these particular increments were used in the air system simulation

To further appreciate this selection, the air and bed temperatures were plotted as functions of position and time in the bed at various time steps. In Fig 11 one notices that for a Δt of one hour the air temperatures near the beginning of the bed were slightly lower than those corresponding to a Δt of .1 hour. However, at $x=2$ feet the trend began to reverse itself as it should, since energy must be conserved. But for all positions in the bed, the temperature difference between the largest and smallest time increments were again relatively small. In Fig 12, the same general trend is observed. Only for this case, the bed temperatures are a bit lower than the corresponding air temperatures of Fig 11, but as the volumetric heat transfer coefficient approaches infinity, the air and bed temperatures at a particular point in the bed become nearly equal. Note that in both these figures a Δx of 1 foot was used; therefore, a smaller Δx would obviously result in reducing the temperature difference

Table 1. Temperature Convergence Data

Case Tested: Rock Diameter = 1 inch

Initial Bed Temperature = 70F

Inlet Air Temperature = 140F

Volumetric Flowrate = 1200 CFM

Average Heat Transfer Coefficient = $3.22^B/\text{hr-ft}^2\text{-F}$

<u>Δx (inches)</u>	<u>Δt (hours)</u>	<u>*TA (F)</u>	<u>*TB (F)</u>
1.0	0.1	96.1	91.1
1.0	0.5	94.94	91.3
1.0	1.0	93.37	90.5
4.0	1.0	96.5	93.3
**6.0	1.0	98.2	94.7

* - air & bed temperatures at $x=1$ foot after one hour of charging

** - increments used for the simulation runs

AIR TEMP VERSUS BED POSITION




Fig 11. Air Temperature Profile (function of x)




Fig 12. Bed Temperature Profile (function of x)

between the various time steps. This again reinforces the choice of selecting a Δx of six inches and a time step increment of one hour.

In Fig 13, the air temperatures were plotted as a function of time for a particular x -location in the bed ($x=1$ foot). With increasing time, the air temperatures at $x=1$ foot began approaching 140F, the inlet air temperature, T_{IN} . Also, the air temperatures for the one hour time step were all slightly lower than the corresponding air temperatures at the other three time increments. These two occurrences followed both a natural and expected trend.

To test the validity of the numerical scheme an analytical solution as discussed in Chapter II was used for comparison purposes. The analytical solution was limited to relatively small time and distance increments, for stability purposes. The solution also assumed negligible heat loss from the bed; this was simulated in the numerical case by simply setting the conductivity of the insulation, K_{INS} , to zero. In Figs 14 and 15, the air and bed temperatures were plotted as functions of x for a charging period of only .1 hour. In both graphs the numerical solution, represented by the circles, was extremely close to the analytical result. Also note that for the first few inches of the bed the air temperatures were significantly larger than the corresponding bed temperatures, and at $x=12$ inches, both the temperatures approached 70F, the initial bed temperature.

In Figs 16 and 17, the air and bed temperatures were plotted as functions of time at $x=1$ inch, for a Δt of .1 hour. Again, the numerical temperatures were quite similar in comparison to the analytical ones. Also for increasing time the air and bed temperatures approached 140F, the constant-inlet air temperature; at $t=1$ hour the air temperature was approximately 139F while the corresponding bed temperature was around 138F.

In addition, in Fig 15 the numerical curve fit extrapolation at $x=0$ resulted in a bed temperature of 98F; and the dotted line joining the bed temperatures at $x=1$ and $x=0$ obviously displayed a rather nice consistency with the natural extension of the solid analytical curve.

Therefore, Figs 14 - 17 essentially prove the validity of the numerical scheme and also the second-order polynomial curve fit solution at $x=0$.

The next three figures, Figs 18, 19 and 20, represent the bed, collector and duct work pressure drops, respectively. The bed pressure drop is plotted as a function of rock diameter for various flowrates, while the collector and duct work pressure drops are plotted as functions of Q , the volumetric flowrate. It can be seen from Fig 18 that the bed pressure drop varies directly with Q and is inversely proportional to the rock diameter. For rock diameters less than one inch significant pressure drop may result, especially at the higher flowrates. In Figs 19 and 20, both the collector and the duct work pressure drop vary directly and almost linearly with the flowrate. Here, it is worthwhile to mention that even though heat transfer coefficients increase with Q , pressure drop and thus blowing costs also increase. However, this cost increase generally outweighs any possible gain in the thermal performance of the rock bed that results due to increasing flowrates. Therefore, one is normally advised to use existing rules of thumb which provide the satisfactory range of flowrates per square foot of collector area.

The last two figures represent total monthly blowing costs as related to various rock diameters. Total cost refers to the combination of bed, collector and duct work blowing costs. Monthly costs are also directly related to Q but inversely related to rock diameter. Blowing costs remain relatively constant for rock diameters of one inch or more. However, for larger diameter rocks the rate of heat transfer is substantially reduced, since the heat transfer

coefficient is inversely proportional to rock size. Therefore, these two considerations alone appear to suggest that rock diameters of approximately one inch should prove most economical. According to Fig 21, for one inch rocks, the blowing costs at 1200 CFM correspond to approximately two dollars per month and at 1800 CFM, about six dollars per month; these results were based on an eight hour period of operation.

In the air system simulation, a volumetric flowrate of 1200 CFM with a bed of one inch diameter rocks was used. Therefore, for this particular simulation one could safely assume that the total operating costs of two dollars per month contributes rather insignificantly when determining the life cycle cost effectiveness of the air heating system. Also, with the above conditions but for 24 hours of operation, the resulting blowing cost, as indicated in Fig 22, is approximately \$5.50 per month; this however is still a relatively small amount when one considers the total investment costs required per square foot of collector area.




Fig 13. Air Temperature Profile (function of time)

AIR TEMP VERSUS BED POSITION




Fig 14. Comparison of Analytical and Numerical Air Temperatures (function of x)

BED TEMP VERSUS BED POSITION




Fig 15. Comparison of Analytical and Numerical
 Bed Temperatures (function of x)




Fig 16. Comparison of Analytical and Numerical Air Temperatures (function of time)




Fig 17. Comparison of Analytical and Numerical
 Bed Temperatures (function of time)




Fig 18. Bed Pressure Drop




Fig 19. Collector Pressure Drop




Fig 20. Duct Work Pressure Drop




Fig 21. Total Monthly Cost (8 hours of operation)




Fig 22. Total Monthly Cost (24 hours of operation)

System Simulation Results

For illustrative purposes the computer program was used to simulate a residence in Columbus, Ohio. Data for the weather, position and orientation of the collector is the same as that used in Prins' program (Ref 16). The parameter values used are as follows:

1. Latitude = 40 degrees
2. Number of covers = 2
3. Slope of collector = 55 degrees
4. Azimuth angle = 0 degrees
5. Area of collector (varied parameter) = 400, 500, 600 and 700 sq. ft.
6. Thickness of cover material = .23 cm
7. Extinction coefficient of cover material = .161/cm
8. Index of refraction of cover material = 1.526
9. Absorptivity of the absorber plate = .92
10. Heat removal efficiency = .62
11. Heat loss coefficient = .60
12. Mass flowrate of fluid through collector = 5112 lbm/hr
13. Specific heat of collector fluid = .24 B/lbm-F
14. Dirt factor = .02
15. Initial temperature of air in bed = 70.0F
16. Efficiency of heat exchanger = 1.0
17. System in use = 4.0
18. Number of months program is to run = 8
19. Time increment for discharge = 2.0 minutes
20. Epsilon for time increment = .01 hours
21. Minimum pump energy = 275.0 B/hr
22. Structure conductance = 1000.0 B/hr-F

23. Time step = 1.0 hours
24. Volumetric flowrate = 20.1 cfs
25. Bed height = 8.0 ft
26. Bed width = 10.0 ft
27. Air density = .071 lbm/ft³
28. Rock diameter = .08333 ft
29. Prandtl number for air = .711
30. Conductivity of air = .0155 B/hr-ft-F
31. Momentum diffusivity of air = .649 ft²/hr
32. Specific heat of air = .24 B/lbm-F
33. Air temperature outside bed = 70.0F
34. Rock density = 165 lbm/ft³
35. Specific heat of rock = .21 B/lbm-F
36. Bed length = 10.0 ft
37. Number of nodes in bed = 21
38. Void fraction of bed = .42
39. Conductivity of rock = 1.0 B/hr-ft-F
40. Initial bed temperature = 70.0F
41. Conductivity of insulation = .023 B/hr-ft-F
42. Thickness of insulation = 1.0 ft
43. Surface area of insulation = 420.0 ft²
44. Dynamic viscosity of air = .046 lbm-hr/ft²
45. Fan efficiency = .55
46. Collector air gap spacing .3333 ft
47. Width of collector = 4.0 ft
48. Length of collector = 8.0 ft
49. Length of duct work = 200.0 ft

50. Duct diameter = 1.5 ft
51. Number of elbows = 5.0
52. Hours of operation = 8.0 hours
53. Primary Federal tax credit = .40
54. Secondary Federal tax credit = .30
55. Ohio tax credit = .10
56. Initial tax credit amount = 2000.0 dollars
57. Secondary tax credit amount = 1000.0 dollars
58. Maximum tax credit amount = 4000.0 dollars
59. Annual mortgage interest rate = .12
60. Down payment = .10
62. Backup furnace cost = \$3.26/million Btu (Gas)
63. Conventional furnace cost = \$3.26/million Btu (Gas)
64. Efficiency of solar backup furnace = .55
65. Efficiency of conventional furnace = .55
66. Property tax rate = 0.0
67. Income tax bracket = .40
68. Extra insurance and maintenance costs = .01
69. General inflation rate = .08
70. Fuel inflation rate = .12
71. Discount rate (varied parameter) = .10 and .20
72. Term of economic analysis = 20.0 years
73. Area dependent costs (air collector) = \$15/sq. ft
74. Area independent costs (fans, etc.) = 1000.0 dollars

Three parameters were varied in this simulation while the others were held fixed. The varied parameters were the collector size, the discount rate and the mortgage loan term. Varying the collector area was necessary to determine the optimum collector size for maximum savings. A cost performance curve is shown below.




Fig 23. Net Savings

Net savings refers to the total fuel savings minus investment costs.

For this simulation, the optimum collector area was approximately 600 square feet, this corresponded to a maximum savings of 8572 dollars for a discount rate of 10 per cent and a 20 year mortgage term. At a discount rate of 20 per cent a slight savings was still achieved, therefore the system provided at least a 20 per cent return on investment. In this case, it is interesting to note that the amount of savings actually increases with longer mortgage periods. This is due to the fact that the rate of return (20%) is greater than the interest rate for the mortgage loan. It is also important to note that fuel costs were based on natural gas prices, the cheapest of the fossil fuels.

For other key results of our simulation refer to Tables 2 and 3. A plot of solar energy percentage versus collector area is presented below. Note that the slope of the curve begins to drop off at higher collector areas. Because a substantial portion of the solar system cost increases linearly with collector area and thermal performance increases less than linearly, a maximum amount of savings results at some optimum collector size.




Fig 24. Per Cent Solar Energy

Table 2. Solar Energy Savings

Collector area (ft ²)	400	500	600	700
Mortgage term (years)	5	5	5	5
Discount rate				
.10 Fuel savings	\$6996	\$10653	\$14437	\$14718
Expenses	\$4354	\$ 5334	\$ 6531	\$ 8164
Net savings	\$2642	\$ 5319	\$ 7905	\$ 6554
.20 Fuel savings	\$3017	\$ 4594	\$ 6226	\$ 6547
Expenses	\$3311	\$ 4057	\$ 4967	\$ 6209
Net Savings	\$-294	\$ 537	\$ 1258	\$ 138
Mortgage term (years)	20	20	20	20
Discount rate				
.10 Fuel savings	\$6996	\$10653	\$14437	\$14718
Expenses	\$3909	\$ 4790	\$ 5865	\$ 7330
Net savings	\$3086	\$ 5863	\$ 8572	\$ 7387
.20 Fuel savings	\$3017	\$ 4594	\$ 6226	\$ 6547
Expenses	\$2288	\$ 2802	\$ 3432	\$ 4290
Net savings	\$ 729	\$ 1791	\$ 2793	\$ 2057

Structure Conductance = 1000 B/hr-F

Average Computer Costs = \$1.00 per run

Table 3. Solar Energy Data

Collector area (ft ²)	400	500	600	700
Maximum temperature out of collector (F)				
Oct	102.0	110.0	120.0	129.0
Nov	95.0	100.0	109.0	118.0
April	95.0	102.0	119.0	131.0
May	97.0	104.0	128.0	139.0
Total solar energy collected (BTU)	59016704	48615483	56833358	65190400
Total backup energy used (BTU)	79027744	50589481	21162806	18969620
Per cent of energy supplied by solar	.33	.49	.72	.78

Structure Conductance = 1000 B/hr-F

Average Computer Costs = \$1.00 per run

General Remarks on Rock Bed Air Systems

The basic advantages of a solar air heating system are that the heat transfer fluid, air, will not freeze or boil and materials used in the system generally have a long life expectancy. Maintenance of the system is expected to be low if quality collectors are used, and corrosion problems are usually quite minimal when compared to water systems. Normal maintenance is confined to cleaning or replacement of filters and proper care of the blowers or fans. Another advantage of the air system is that the house can be heated directly from the collector, the mode 1 operation.

The rock bed storage has the following advantages (Ref 17):

- a. Rocks are plentiful and cheap to purchase.
- b. They are non-toxic, non-corrosive and non-flammable.
- c. Rocks have a high heat storage capacity.

d. Thermal energy is efficiently transmitted to the rocks by air circulating through the bed due to the large heat transfer area. Also, heat conduction through the bed of rocks is low, because the area of contact between the rocks is small; this leads to low heat losses from the bed. These advantages make the rock bed a fairly efficient storage unit because air can leave the bed at a temperature nearly equal to that of the rocks at that point, which in turn is nearly equal to the temperature of the hot air entering the bed.

The disadvantages of a rock bed air system are:

- a. Significant blowing power may result at high volumetric flowrates.

For water systems, pumping power requirements are usually minimal.

b. Rock beds generally require a larger volume for thermal storage than water storage tanks.

For design purposes a rule of thumb of 1 to 4 cfm per square foot of

collector area is recommended (Ref 2). Also, the economic optimum storage capacity is 0.50 to 2.00 cubic feet of rock storage per square foot of collector area (Ref 17). Washed stones or crushed rock one half inch to two inches in diameter should be used. The type of rock does not affect the performance of the storage unit as long as the density is approximately 160 lbm/ft^3 (Ref 17). There are two kinds of rocks: igneous rocks and sedimentary rocks. Table 4 shows the various densities of these two types of rocks. Also, Table 5 provides a list of some common heat storage materials (Ref 17).

Conclusions

In conclusion, a solar air heater can effectively provide space heating in residential buildings. Even when natural gas prices were used, the air system still remained cost effective. For this particular simulation, greater than 20 per cent rate of return on investment was achieved. The numerical method proved to be stable and convergent and showed satisfactory agreement in comparison to an analytical solution for constant-inlet air temperatures. Also, the three-modal simulation model demonstrated the capability of estimating the long term response of both the air system and the rock bed. In addition, blowing costs proved to be relatively insignificant in this particular simulation, resulting in a total operating cost of only about two dollars per month. Lastly, computer costs were relatively low, approximately one dollar per simulation.

Table 4. Types of Rocks and Their Densities

IGNEOUS ROCK		SEDIMENTARY ROCKS	
Name	Density (lbm/ft ³)	Name	Density (lbm/ft ³)
Granite	163-172	Limestone	162-169
Syenite	163-172	Dolomite	162-169
Rhyolite	163-172	Sandstone	156-169
Trachyte	160-172	Conglomerate	155-169
Diorite	175-181		
Quartz diorite	169-178		
Dacite	169-178		
Andesite	169-178		
Basalt	181-194		
Obsidian	144-169		

Table 5. Properties of Some Common Heat Storage Materials

Substance	Specific Heat c_p (B/lbm-F)	Density ρ (lbm/ft ³)	Thermal Diffusivity $\alpha = \frac{k}{\rho c_p}$ (ft ² /hr) ¹
Air	.240	.075	.850
Asbestos	.250	9.40	.032
Alcohol	.55-.65	50.0	.0035
Brine	.71	75.0	.0100
Brick	.200	106.0	.0128
Charcoal	.242	25.0	.0660
Concrete	.200	137.0	.320
Glass	.16-.20	157.0	.174
Gasoline	.530	50.0	.0031
Ice	.500	57.3	.1132
Porcelain	.255	144.0	.0160
Rock	.210	160.0	.550
Sand (dry)	.191	100.0	.0100
Steel	.120	490.0	.482
Water	1.00	62.4	.0054
Wood (oak)	.570	48.0	.0044

References

1. ASHRAE Handbook, 1977 Fundamentals, American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Engineers, New York (1977).
2. Beckman, W.A., Klein, S.A. and Duffie, J.A., Solar Heating Design, Wiley and Sons, New York (1977).
3. Beek, W.J. and Muttzall, K.M.K., Transport Phenomena, Wiley and Sons, New York (1975).
4. Bird, R.B., Stewart, W.E. and Lightfoot, E.N., Transport Phenomena, Wiley and Sons, New York (1960).
5. Clark, J.A. and Arpaci, V.S., "Dynamic Response of a Packed Bed Energy Storage System to a Time Varying Inlet Temperature", Proceedings of the Solar Coding and Heating Forum, December 13-15, 1976, Miami Beach, Florida, U.S.A.
6. Duffie, J.A. and Beckman, W.A., Solar Energy Thermal Processes, Wiley and Sons, New York (1974).
7. Eshleman, W.D., Baird, C.D. and Mears, D.R., "A Numerical Simulation of Heat Transfer in Rock Beds", Proceedings of the 1977 Annual Meeting, Vol. 1, American Section of the International Solar Energy Society, Florida, U.S.A.
8. Holman, J.P., Heat Transfer, 4th Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York (1976).
9. Jeffreson, C.P., "Prediction of Breakthrough Curves in Packed Beds", AIChE Journal, Vol. 18, No. 2, pg. 409 (March 1972).
10. Kays, W.M., Convective Heat and Mass Transfer, McGraw-Hill, New York (1966).
11. Klein, S.A., Beckman, W.A. and Duffie, J.A., "A Design Procedure for Solar Air Heating System", Solar Energy, Vol. 19, No. 5, (1977).
12. Klein, S.A., Beckman, W.A. and Duffie, J.A., "A Design Procedure for Solar Heating Systems", Solar Energy, Vol. 18, No. 1, (1976).
13. Liu, B.Y.H. and R.C. Jordan, "The Interrelationship and Characteristic Distribution of Direct, Diffuse and Total Solar Radiation", Solar Energy, Vol. 4, No. 3, (1960).
14. Manuel Collares-Pereira and Ari Rabl, "The Average Distribution of Solar Radiation-Correlations Between Diffuse and Hemispherical and Between Daily and Hourly Insolation Values", Solar Energy, Vol. 22, No. 2, (1979).
15. McAdams, W.H., Heat Transmission, 3rd Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York (1954).

16. Prins, B.E., "Computer Simulation of a Solar Energy System which Utilizes Flat-Plate Solar Collectors", Master Thesis in Aeronautical Engineering, A.F.I.T., Dayton, Ohio, (1979).
17. Sayigh, A.A.M. and Shaalan, M.R., "Some Experimental Data for Thermal Pile Energy Storage", University of Riyakh, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.
18. Whitaker, Stephen, "Flow in Packed Beds", AIChE Journal, Vol. 18, No. 2, pg. 366, (March 1972).

Appendix A

Review of Method for Determining Useful Energy Collected

The collector heat removal factor, F_R , of equation (1) from Chapter II is defined as a quantity that relates the actual useful energy gain of a collector to the useful gain if the whole collector surface were at the fluid inlet temperature. F_R is represented by (Ref 2)

$$F_R = \frac{\dot{m}_f c_{pf} (T_{f,o} - T_{f,i})}{[(\bar{\tau}\bar{\alpha}) \bar{I}_{Tt} - U (T_{f,i} - T_a)]} \quad (A1)$$

where:

$(\bar{\tau}\bar{\alpha}) \bar{I}_{Tt}$ = amount of solar energy absorbed by collector plate

U = energy loss coefficient of collector

The effect of F_R is to reduce the calculated useful energy gain from what it would have been had the whole collector been at $T_{f,i}$ to what actually is using a fluid that increases in temperature as it flows through the collector (Ref 2). As the mass flowrate through the collector increases, the temperature rise through the collector decreases.

$\bar{I}_{Tt}$ in equation (A1) is given by

$$\bar{I}_{Tt} = \bar{R}_t \bar{H} \quad (A2)$$

where r_t is the ratio of hourly total radiation on a horizontal surface to daily total radiation on a horizontal surface. A much better approximation of r_t than the one Prins used has now become available (Ref 14). The new equation is:

$$r_t = \frac{\pi}{24} (a+b \cos w) \frac{\cos w - \cos ws}{\sin ws - ws \cos ws} \quad (A3)$$

where

$$a = .409 + .5016 \sin (ws-1.047)$$

$$b = .6609 - .4767 \sin (ws-1.047)$$

and w is the hour angle and ws is sunset hour angle. In equation (A2), $\bar{R}$ is the monthly average ratio of total radiation on a tilted surface to total radiation on a horizontal surface; therefore, $\bar{R} \bar{H} = \bar{H}_T$. $\bar{R}$ is a function of both the beam and diffuse radiation incident on a horizontal surface. Since these measurements are generally unavailable they are estimated (as discussed in Prins' program) at hourly intervals from $\bar{H}$ by using the results of Liu and Jordan (Ref 13). They found that the ratio of the monthly average daily total radiation ($\bar{D}/\bar{H}$) was related to $\bar{K}_T$, the monthly average long term clearness index. $\bar{K}_T$ is the ratio of $\bar{H}$ to H_0 , is the extraterrestrial radiation on a horizontal surface. To find the incident beam radiation on a tilted surface when the incident amount is known on a horizontal surface, the ratio R_b is used. R_b is the ratio of beam radiation on a tilted surface to that on a horizontal surface. If the tilted surface is oriented towards the equator, then

$$R_b = \frac{\cos (\phi-s) \cos \delta \cos w + \sin (\phi-s) \sin \delta}{\cos \phi \cos \delta \cos w + \sin \phi \sin \delta} \quad (A4)$$

where w is the hour angle, ϕ the latitude of the collector, s the slope of the collector from the horizontal, and δ the declination angle.

The utilizability, UT , is a function of the $(\tau\alpha)$ product, the average instantaneous total radiation, $\bar{I}_{Tt}$, and U , the energy loss coefficient of the collector. UT is the fraction of incident radiation that can be collected, or utilized, by an idealized collector. Utilizability is less than unity because of the heat loss through the sides, back and cover plates of the col-

lector (Ref 16). The overall energy loss coefficient, U , is a function of the collector construction and its operating conditions.

The $(\tau\alpha)$ product is a function of the dirt factor (DF), the shading factor (SF), and the angle of incidence for both beam and diffuse radiation.

$$(\tau\alpha) \approx .98 (1-DF)(1-SF)(\tau\alpha) \quad (A5)$$

The factor of .98 was used to account for diffuse radiation, and $(\tau\alpha)$ is evaluated for beam radiation.

For a more complete and detailed discussion of energy collection one can refer directly to Captain Prins thesis (Ref 16).

Appendix B

Alternate Methods for Determining Heat Transfer Coefficients and Pressure Drop

Rock Bed

Löf and Hawley (Ref 6) also investigated packed bed energy storage, they arrived at the following expression for the volumetric heat transfer coefficient in $W/m^3 \cdot ^\circ C$, h_v :

$$h_v = 650 \left[\frac{G}{D} \right]^{0.7} \quad (B1)$$

where G is the superficial mass velocity in $K_g/s \cdot m^2$, and D is the equivalent spherical diameter of the particles in meters given by:

$$D = \left[\frac{6}{\pi} \times \frac{\text{net volume of particles}}{\text{number of particles}} \right]^{1/3} \quad (B2)$$

As before

$$h = \frac{h_v A \Delta x}{A_h} = \frac{h_v D_p}{6(1-\epsilon_g)}$$

Another empirical correlation for packed bed heat transfer coefficients is (Ref 4):

$$j_H = 0.91 Re^{-0.51}; \text{ for } Re < 50 \quad (B3)$$

and

$$j_H = 0.61 Re^{-0.41}; \text{ for } Re > 50 \quad (B4)$$

where the Colburn factor, j_H , and Reynolds number, Re , are defined by:

$$j_H = \frac{h}{C_p G} [Pr]_f^{2/3} \quad (B5)$$

and

$$Re = \frac{G}{(A_p/V_p) \mu_{gc} \phi} \quad (B6)$$

where

$$Pr = \frac{\mu_{g_{ccp}}}{k_f} = \text{Prandtl number}$$

$G = \rho V_o = \text{superficial mass velocity}$

$\phi = \text{empirical coefficient which depends on the shape of the particle}$
(See Table B1).

$$\frac{A_p}{V_p} = \frac{6}{D_p} (1 - \epsilon_g) = \text{solid particle surface area per unit volume}$$

The subscript of f denotes properties evaluated at the film temperatures, t_f .

Table B1: Particle Shape Factors for Packed Bed Correlations (Ref 4)

Shape	ϕ
Spheres	1.00
Cylinders	0.91
Flakes	0.86
Rasching rings	0.79
Partition rings	0.67
Berl Saddles	0.80

Tube Bundles

A tube bundle arrangement may also be used for thermal storage. The empirical relationship for air flowing normal to a bank of staggered tubes is (Ref 15)

$$Nu_m = \frac{h_m D_o}{K_f} = 1.12 h_2 (Re)^n (Pr)^{1/3} \quad (B7)$$

where

Re = Reynolds number = $\rho V_{\max} D_o / \mu g_c$

$V_{\max}$ = velocity based on minimum flow area

Pr = Prandtl number of fluid

h_m = mean heat transfer coefficient

D_o = outside tube diameter

K_f = thermal conductivity of air

b_2 and n are the Grimson coefficients; evaluated from tables (Ref 15) and dependent on tube spacing.

This equation normally holds true for tube banks with ten or more rows. However, for smaller number of rows a correction factor exists; these factors are listed in tables for row numbers of ten or less (Ref 15).




Fig B1. Staggered Tube Arrangement (Top View)




Fig B2. Tube Bundle (Side View)

$$V_{\max} = Q/A_m = Q/D_m L \quad (B8)$$

where:

$A_m = D_m L$ = minimum flow area

$D_m = S_T - D_O$ = minimum clearance distance

L = tube length

Q = volumetric flow rate

To determine the Grimson coefficients, b_2 and n , two more parameters, x_L and x_T must be defined.

$$x_L = S_L/D_O \quad (B9)$$

and

$$x_T = S_T/D_O \quad (B10)$$

where:

S_L = center to center longitudinal distance in direction of flow

S_T = center to center transverse distance perpendicular to flow;

coefficients b_2 and n are presented in tabulated form (Ref 15) as functions of x_L and x_T .

Equation (B7) is valid for turbulent flows and for a Reynolds number range of 2,000 to 40,000.

A pressure drop relationship for the flow of air over a bank of tubes also exist (Ref 8):

$$P = \frac{f^1 G_{\max}^2 N}{g(2.09 \times 10^8)} \left(\frac{u_w}{u_b} \right)^{0.14} \quad (B11)$$

where

$G_{\max} = V_{\max}$ = mass velocity at minimum flow area

ρ = density evaluated at free stream conditions

N = number of transverse rows

μ_w = wall-surface temperature dynamic viscosity of air

μ_b = bulk-temperature dynamic viscosity of air

and the empirical friction factor, f^1 , for staggered tube arrangements is;

$$f^1 = \left[0.25 + \frac{0.118}{[(S_T - D_o)/D_o]^{1.6}} \right] Re_{\max}^{-0.16} \quad (B12)$$

where

$$Re_{\max} = \frac{\rho V_{\max} D_o}{\mu_{g_c}} = \text{Reynolds number based on minimum flow area.}$$

Appendix C

Duct Heat Transfer Relationships

In the introduction it was mentioned that the inlet air temperature for the bed during its charging mode was approximately the same as the temperature out of the collector. However, it is only when duct heat losses are at a minimum can this assumption be made.

Therefore, to validate this statement a prediction of heat transfer from circular ducts is necessary. The following diagram is used for this purpose.




Fig C1. Duct Cross Section

where:

R_1 = forced convective resistance

R_2 = conductive resistance (insulation)

R_3 = free convective resistance

For the fully developed turbulent flow region the forced convective heat transfer within the circular duct can be represented by the following relationship (Ref 10).

$$\bar{Nu} = CRe^{.08}Pr^n = \frac{h_i D_T}{K_f} \quad (C1)$$

where the value of the constant is:

$C = .021$ for constant axial surface temperature

or $C = .022$ for constant axial heat flux

and

h_i = forced convective heat transfer coefficient

K_f = thermal conductivity of fluid (air)

Therefore,

$$Nu_T = .021 Re^{.8} Pr^n \quad (C2)$$

$; .4 < n < .6$

$$Nu_H = .022 Re^{.8} Pr^n \quad (C3)$$

and $n = .6$ is commonly used for circular ducts exposed to outside ambient conditions. Also, these two algebraic equations are valid for $.5 < Pr < 1.0$; or normally for gases, such as air.

The first two resistances are:

$$R_1 = \frac{1}{h_i} \quad (C4)$$

$$R_2 = \frac{t_{ins}}{K_{ins}} \quad (C5)$$

where

t_{ins} = thickness of insulation

K_{ins} = conductivity of insulation

and h_i is determined from either equation (C2) or (C3). The recommended thickness of insulation (Ref 1) is usually one inch of fiberglass insulation for duct work in the heated area and about 1-2 inches for ducts near the collector or storage unit.

To complete the design calculation of heat losses from a duct to an ambient fluid the outside resistance due to free convection must also be taken into account. For free convection the mean Nusselt number can be represented by the following equation (Ref 8).

$$\bar{Nu}_D = C(Gr_D Pr)^m \quad (C6)$$

where the constants C and m depend on geometry and whether the flow is laminar or turbulent. Also, it is recommended that fluid properties should be evaluated at a mean-film temperature, t_f .

$$t_f = (t_w + t_\infty)/2$$

where:

t_w = wall temperature

t_∞ = ambient (free-stream) temperature

Also, Gr_D = Grashof number (based on diameter)

where:

$$Gr_D = \frac{g \beta (t_w - t_\infty) D_T^3}{\nu^2} \quad (C7)$$

and β = coefficient of volumetric expansion = $\frac{1}{T_\infty}$; for an ideal gas.

For horizontal ducts, the following results may be used:

	$\frac{Gr_D Pr}{10^4 - 10^9}$	C	m	Approximate Mean Coefficients	
Laminar	$10^4 - 10^9$	.525	1/4	$\bar{h}_o = .27 \frac{\Delta t}{D}^{1/4}$	(C8)
Turbulent	$10^9 - 10^{12}$	.129	1/3	$h_o = .18 (\Delta t)^{1/3}$	(C9)

where: $\Delta t = t_2 - t_3$

$$D = D_T + 2 (t_{ins})$$

and $R_3 = \frac{1}{\bar{h}_o}$; " $\bar{h}_o$ " can be found from either equations (C8) and (C9) or

equation (C6). The total heat loss relationship can now be expressed as:

$$Q_L = U A_s (\Delta t)_{overall} \quad (C10)$$

where: U = overall heat transfer coefficient = $\frac{1}{\sum R}$

$$\text{or } U = \frac{1}{R_1 + R_2 + R_3} = \frac{1}{R_{eff}}$$

and A_s = total surface area = $\pi (D_T + 2 t_{ins}) L_D$. Therefore, the heat loss per unit length can be written as:

$$\frac{Q_L}{L_D} = \frac{\pi (D_T + 2 t_{ins}) (\Delta t)_{overall}}{R_{eff}} \quad (C11)$$

where: L_D = duct length

and $(\Delta t)_{overall} = t_1 - t_3$ or the overall temperature difference.




Fig C2. Duct Length Section

Q_L can also be expressed in the following form:

$$Q_L = \dot{m}_a c_{pa} (t_{id} - t_{od}) \quad (C12)$$

where:

t_{id} = air temperature into duct

t_{od} = air temperature out of duct

Solving equation (C12) for " t_{od} " we get:

$$t_{od} = t_{id} - Q_L / \dot{m}_a c_{pa} \quad (C13)$$

where Q_L is determined from equation (C11).

To examine the changes in temperature through a straight duct, several test cases with variable duct lengths were carried out. In all cases a negligible temperature difference, $t_{id} - t_{od}$, resulted. Therefore, the assumption the " t_{od} " is essentially the same as the outlet collector temperature proves to be fairly valid for our particular purposes.

Appendix D

Explicit and Modified-Implicit Schemes

The governing differential air equation is the same as that derived in Chapter II, equation (35).

$$\frac{dT_a}{dx} = \frac{-h_v A}{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}} (T_a - T_b) \quad (D1)$$

Finite-differencing equation (D1) explicitly:

$$\frac{T_{a,i+1} - T_{a,i}}{\Delta x} = \frac{-h_v A}{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}} (T_{a,i} - T_b) \quad (D2)$$

and rearranging gives

$$T_{a,i+1} = \left(1 - \frac{h_v A \Delta x}{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}}\right) T_{a,i} + \frac{h_v A \Delta x}{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}} T_b \quad (D3)$$

For stability purposes

$$\frac{h_v A \Delta x}{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}} < 1 \quad (D4)$$

or

$$\Delta x < \frac{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}}{h_v A} = \frac{\rho_a V_o c_{pa}}{h_v} \quad (D5)$$

Equation (D1) in a modified-implicit form becomes

$$\frac{T_{a,i+1} - T_{a,i}}{\Delta x} = \frac{-h_v A}{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}} \left[\left(\frac{T_{a,i} + T_{a,i+1}}{2} \right) - T_b \right] \quad (D6)$$

Therefore

$$T_{a,i+1} = \frac{\left[1 - \frac{h_v A \Delta x}{2 \dot{m}_a c_{pa}}\right] T_{a,i} + \frac{h_v A \Delta x}{2 \dot{m}_a c_{pa}} T_b}{1 + \frac{h_v A \Delta x}{2 \dot{m}_a c_{pa}}} \quad (D7)$$

In this case, stability is achieved when

$$\frac{h_v A \Delta x}{2 \dot{m}_a c_{pa}} < 1 \quad (D8)$$

or

$$\Delta x < \frac{2 \dot{m}_a c_{pa}}{h_v A} = \frac{2 \rho_a V_o c_{pa}}{h_v} \quad (D9)$$

Here, Δx is twice that of the explicit result.

For these two schemes, the bed equation is derived in the same manner as the air equation, the result is:

$$\frac{dT_b}{dt} = \frac{h_v A \Delta x}{(1-\epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps} A \Delta x} (T_a - T_b) - \frac{Q_L}{(1-\epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps} A \Delta x} \quad (D10)$$

In explicit form, letting $B = \frac{h_v \Delta t}{(1-\epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps} A \Delta x}$,

equation (D10) becomes

$$T_{b,i}^{j+1} - T_{b,i}^j = B(T_{a,i}^j - T_{b,i}^j) - \frac{Q_L \Delta t}{(1-\epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps} A \Delta x} \quad (D11)$$

or

$$T_{b,i}^{j+1} = (1 - B) T_{b,i}^j + B T_{a,i}^j - \frac{Q_L \Delta t}{(1-\epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps} A \Delta x} \quad (D12)$$

For stability, $B < 1$ or

$$\Delta t < \frac{(1-\epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps}}{h_v} \quad (D13)$$

Now letting $C = \frac{h_v A \Delta x}{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}}$, equation (D3) becomes

AD-A094 771

AIR FORCE INST OF TECH WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB OH SCH00--ETC F/6 9/2
COMPUTER SIMULATION OF SOLAR AIR HEATING SYSTEMS USING ROCK BED--ETC(U)
DEC 80 D B FANT
AFIT/GAE/AA/80D-4

UNCLASSIFIED

NL

2 OF 2

4384771



END

3-81

$$T_{a,i+1}^j = (1-C) T_{a,i}^j + C T_{b,i}^j \quad (D14)$$

Therefore, equations (D12) and (D14) may be used when an explicit solution scheme is desired.

The bed equation in a modified-implicit form is

$$T_{b,i+1}^{j+1} - T_{b,i+1}^j = B (T_{a,i}^{*j} - T_{b,i+1}^j) - \frac{Q_L \Delta t}{(1-\epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps} \Delta x} \quad (D15)$$

where

$$T_{a,i}^{*j} = \frac{T_{a,i}^j + T_{a,i+1}^j}{2} + \frac{T_{a,i}^{j+1} + T_{a,i+1}^{j+1}}{2} \quad (D16)$$

Substituting for $T_{a,i}^{*j}$ from equation (D16) into equation (D15) and rearranging yields

$$T_{b,i+1}^{j+1} = T_{b,i+1}^j [1-B] + B/4 [T_{a,i}^j + T_{a,i+1}^j + T_{a,i}^{j+1} + T_{a,i+1}^{j+1}] - \frac{Q_L \Delta t}{(1-\epsilon_g) \rho_s c_{ps} \Delta x} \quad (D17)$$

Since $C = \frac{h_v \Delta x}{\dot{m}_a c_{pa}}$, equation (D7) can also be written as:

$$T_{a,i+1}^j = \frac{[1-C/2]}{[1+C/2]} T_{a,i}^j + \left[\frac{C}{1+C/2} \right] T_{b,i+1}^j \quad (D18)$$

Therefore, the modified-implicit solution scheme is represented by equations (D17) and (D18).

Appendix E

Program Instructions

A revision of Captain Prins' computer program for solar water heaters was necessary to deal with the simulation of solar air systems. The modified program can handle both water and air systems, and is relatively easy to operate. However, when an air system simulation is desired some new data cards must be added; consisting of rock bed and pressure drop parameters.

Whenever the air system is in effect any type of water system analysis is overridden. To do this, A PROG=4.0 data card is all that is needed; the exact location of this card will be further explained in the next section.

To use this program, one must also input data about the weather, position and orientation of the collector and the Earth as explained in the Prins' program (Ref 16).

For the air system, rock bed parameters are used to determine the characteristic heat transfer coefficients between the air and the rock; the pressure drop parameters are used to determine pressure drop and blowing costs for the bed, collector and duct work. Next, Prins' part of the program is used to calculate the amount of useful energy collected from a flat-plate solar collector. Once this is done, the three modal operation of an air heating system can be analyzed.

This is accomplished by calculating a space heating load using the degree-day model; then for each hour of the day the useful energy collected is compared to the heating load requirement, and based on this criteria a particular mode of operation is chosen in order to satisfy the load.

The charging and discharging modes, modes 2 and 3 respectively, are the most important. Here, the differential equations which describe the storage

bed are needed to accurately simulate the system. As explained earlier in Chapter IV, the charging mode is used when the useful energy collected is greater than the space load; the load is satisfied first and any excess energy is stored in the bed. The time required to satisfy the load and the time spent charging the bed are both calculated. Also, if the discharging mode was previously in effect, a complete bed temperature distribution reversal is performed before charging the unit.

Mode 3 becomes operational whenever solar energy is no longer available for collection, in this case energy is taken from storage in order to meet the heating load. Again, if the charging mode was previously in effect a bed temperature distribution reversal is necessary before discharging. As in mode 2, the times needed to satisfy the load are also calculated.

In addition, energy losses from the bed are calculated for each period it is not in use; updated bed temperatures are then determined at each nodal point of the storage unit.

To accurately determine fuel savings, a yearly space heating load calculation is needed. Also, a tax credit calculation is necessary to obtain the net investment in a particular type of solar heating system, that is total investment minus the tax credit break. The additional parameters needed for this cost analysis and other modifications are fully explained in the next section.

This last section contains the extra parameters needed to operate an air system simulation. The required input data cards are explained along with the proper nomenclature and input format. All input data is real except where noted. Do not skip any data cards. Input all data in the following order.

<u>Card #</u>	<u>Symbol</u>	<u>Input Format</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
1-15	(First 15 data cards are the same as in Prins' program, Ref 16).			
16	EFF	x.xx	none	Efficiency of heat exchanger. Air systems with no heat exchanger enter 1.
17	PROG	x.	none	If PROG = 4.0, air system is in effect. For water system refer to Prins' work (Ref 16).
18	INT	xx	none	Number of intervals desired on K_T curve (INTEGER). Explained in Prins' program (Ref 16).
19	ND	x	none	Number of consecutive days the simulation is to run (INTEGER).
20	MON	xx	none	Number of months program is run (INTEGER).

Cards 21 through 46 are necessary for the rock bed analysis.

21	TMIN	xx.xxx	minutes	Time increment used in discharging mode. Used in a Do-Loop to determine when load is satisfied or how long hot air should be drawn from the bed to meet the load.
22	EPSIL	xx.xxxxx	hours	Tolerance use to leave Do-Loop after 1 hour of discharging (1 hour plus or minus tolerance). A value of .01 is normally used.
23	QMIN	xxxx.xx	B/hr	Minimum collected energy required before fan turned on.
24	UA	xxxx.xxx	B/hr-F	Overall structure conductance.
25	DELTA S	xx.xxx	hours	Desired time step.
26	Q	xx.xxx	cfs	Volumetric flowrate.
27	HB	xx.xxx	feet	Bed height.
28	WB	xx.xxx	feet	Bed width.
29	RHOA	xxx.xxxx	lbm/ft ³	Air density.

<u>Card #</u>	<u>Symbol</u>	<u>Input Format</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
30	DP	xx.xxxx	lbm/ft ³	Rock diameter.
31	PRN	xx.xxxx	none	Prandtl number of air.
32	CONDA	xxx.xxxx	B/hr-ft-F	Conductivity of air.
33	NEUA	xxx.xxxx	ft ² /hr	Momentum diffusivity of air.
34	CPA	xx.xxxx	B/lbm-F	Specific heat of air.
35	TOB	xx.xx	Degrees-F	Temperature of air outside the bed.
36	RHOS	xxx.xxx	lbm/ft ³	Density of rock.
37	CPS	xxx.xxxx	B/lbm-F	Specific heat of rock.
38	LB	xx.xx	feet	Bed length.
39	KJ	xxx	none	Number of nodes in bed (INTEGER).
40	EG	xx.xxx	none	Void fraction of bed.
41	KS	xx.xxx	B/hr-ft-F	Thermal conductivity of rock.
42	PDIA	xx.xxx	inches	Rock diameter.
43	TBI	xx.xx	Degrees-F	Initial bed temperature.
44	KINS	xxx.xxx	B/hr-ft-F	Thermal conductivity of insulation.
45	TINS	xx.xxx	feet	Insulation thickness.
46	AINS	xxxx.xxx	sq ft	Surface area of bed (top and sides only).

Cards 47 through 55 are needed for pressure drop calculations.

47	UG	xx.xxxx	$\frac{\text{lbm-hr}}{\text{ft}^2}$	Dynamic viscosity of air.
48	EFFP	x.xxxx	feet	Fan efficiency.
49	ACC	xx.xxxxx	feet	Collector air gap spacing.
50	BC	xx.xxx	feet	Width of collector.
51	LC	xx.xxx	feet	Length of collector.
52	LD	xx.xxx	feet	Length of duct work.

<u>Card #</u>	<u>Symbol</u>	<u>Input Format</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Explanation</u>
53	DTD	xx.xxx	feet	Duct diameter.
54	TN	xx.xxx	none	Number of turns or elbows.
55	NH	xx.xxx	hours	Hours of operation
56	DDAY	xxxxx.xx	Degrees-F	Number of Degree-Days. (for the average number of Degree-Days corresponding to each month of the year in Vandalia, Ohio refer to Table E1).

57-63 (Same as cards 28-35 in Prins' program, Ref 16).

Cards 64 through 85 are for the cost analysis portion. If a cost analysis is not desired, do not enter any more data cards and the program will stop automatically.

64	FTC1	xx.xxxx	none	Primary Federal tax credit percentage (ex., 40% of the first 2000 dollars invested; per cent is entered in decimal form, 40% = .40).
65	FTC2	xx.xxxx	none	Secondary Federal tax credit percentage (per cent is entered in decimal form).
66	OTC	xx.xxxx	none	Ohio tax credit percentage (per cent in decimal form).
67	STCA	xxxxx.xx	dollars	Initial tax credit amount (i.e., first 2000 dollars).
68	LTCA	xxxxx.xx	dollars	Secondary tax credit amount.
69	TMAYC	xxxxx.xx	dollars	Maximum return from tax credit (i.e., amount from tax credit can not exceed 4000 dollars).


70-85 (Same as cards 36-51 in Prins' program, Ref 16).


	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	23 Yr Ave to 74
JAN	1066	1007		1265	1624	1387	1157
FEB	955	993		782	1038	1284	977
MAR	515	655		621	630	891	804
APR	458	352		409	326	427	410
MAY	228	170	↑	217	68	206	171
JUN	0	44		16	28	14	23
JUL	0	0	268	3	0	3	2
AUG	5	0		33	6	22	7
SEP	61	182	↓	137	35	62	81
OCT	231	393		567	390	428	328
NOV	612	621		921	584	617	691
DEC	1061	962		1206	1072	940	1023
TOTAL	5192	5379	5362	6177	5801	6281	5673


Table E1. Degree-Days, Vandalia, Ohio, Dayton Power and Light Cpy.


Appendix F


Air System Flowchart























Appendix G

Computer Program Listing

```

PROGRAM SOL3D(INPUT,OUTPUT,TAPES=INPUT,TAPES=OUTPUT)
DIMENSION JI(11),AVG(11),OKI(11),F(11),FLOW(20)
DIMENSION T(50,50),T6(50,50),T12(51),TDC(51)
DIMENSION TRI(50,51)
REAL H,HAVG,KT,ITI,ITT,KT,KTAVG,LAF,LATD,LOAD,MASS
REAL TI,JJ,K,LL,MFLOW
REAL LB,KS,KINS,LSTAR,MFLOA,NEUA
REAL LC,LD,MFLOC,NH,LTC
DATA F/0.0,1.0,2.0,3.0,4.0,5.0,6.0,7.0,8.0,9.0,1.0/
DATA FLOW/0.1,7.0,10.0,0.0,0.0,1.0,0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0,0.0/
C.12,0.02,0.0,0.17,0.11,0.1,0.06,0.05,0.05/
JT=1
FLAG2=0.0
FLAG3=0.0
LATD=LATD
WRITE(5,1125)LATD
READ(5)SD
WRITE(5,1150)SD
READ(5)CN
WRITE(5,1165)CN
READ(5)DGAMMA
WRITE(5,1170)DGAMMA
READ(5)AC
WRITE(5,1175)AC
READ(5)THC
WRITE(5,1180)THC
READ(5)SD
WRITE(5,1185)SD
READ(5)ETAC
WRITE(5,1190)ETAC
READ(5)ALPHAN
WRITE(5,1195)ALPHAN
READ(5)F2
WRITE(5,1200)F2
READ(5)U
WRITE(5,1205)U

```

```

READ*,FLOWC
WRITE(5,121)
WRITE(5,121F)FLOWC
READ*,CPC
WRITE(5,122)CPC
READ*,DE
WRITE(5,1145)DE
READ*,TZ
WRITE(5,123)TZ
READ*,EFF
WRITE(5,124)EFF
READ*,PROG
WRITE(5,1245)PROG
IF(PROG.EQ.4.) GO TO 49F
READ*,TIN
WRITE(5,125)TIN
READ*,MASS
WRITE(5,126)MASS
MASS=MASS*8.335
READ*,AREAST
WRITE(5,1265)AREAST
READ*,THICKST
WRITE(5,127)THICKST
READ*,CONST
WRITE(5,1265)CONST
READ*,CPS
WRITE(5,1275)CPS
READ*,DAYFLOW
WRITE(5,1300)DAYFLOW
READ*,INT
WRITE(5,1225)INT
READ*,ND
WRITE(5,1275)ND
C  HOW MANY MONTHS DO YOU WANT THIS PROGRAM TO RUN?
READ*,MON
WRITE(5,1345)MON

```

```

YELJW=1.
YRUN=0.
YOTOF=1.
OSHLT=1.
IF (P=CG.NE.4.) GO TO 333
C READ IN ROCK BED PARAMETERS
READ*,TMIN
WRITE(6,905) TMIN
READ*,EPSIL
WRITE(6,907) EPSIL
READ*,OMIN
WRITE(6,911) OMIN
READ*,UA
WRITE(6,915) UA
READ*,DELTAS
WRITE(6,921) DELTAS
READ*,Q
WRITE(6,925) Q
READ*,HR
WRITE(6,931) HR
READ*,WB
WRITE(6,935) WB
READ*,RHQA
WRITE(6,941) RHQA
READ*,DP
WRITE(6,945) DP
READ*,PRN
WRITE(6,951) PRN
READ*,CONDA
WRITE(6,955) CONDA
READ*,NEUA
WRITE(6,959) NEUA
READ*,CPA
WRITE(6,965) CPA
READ*,TOR
WRITE(6,971) TOR
READ*,RHOS

```

```

WRITE(6,875) RHOS
READ(6,88)
WRITE(6,88) DPS
READ(6,89)
WRITE(6,89) L3
READ(6,90)
WRITE(6,90) KJ
READ(6,91)
WRITE(6,91) EG
READ(6,92)
WRITE(6,92) KS
READ(6,93)
WRITE(6,93) KS
READ(6,94)
WRITE(6,94) PDIA
READ(6,95)
WRITE(6,95) IBI
READ(6,96)
WRITE(6,96) IBI
READ(6,97)
WRITE(6,97) KINS
READ(6,98)
WRITE(6,98) KINS
READ(6,99)
WRITE(6,99) IINS
READ(6,100)
WRITE(6,100) IINS
READ(6,101)
WRITE(6,101) AINS
WRITE(6,102) AINS
C DETERMINE THE EFFECTIVE REYNOLDS NUMBER AND THE EFFECTIVE
C HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT INTERNAL TEMP. GRADIENTS
AX=4R*W
VO=O/AX
V=V/EG
AV=EG*AX
GERDA*VO
VOLP=(1./VO)**(3.1416)*(DP**3)
DEO=(6.*VOLP)/(3.1416)**(1./3.1)
LSTAR=DP*(EG/(1.-EG))
USTAR=(9.75*V)/(EG*AX)
RENE=(USTAR*LSTAR)/NEUA
H=(0.5*(RENE**0.5)+1.2*(RENE)**(2./3.1))**((CONDG/DP)**
1/(1.-EG)/EG)
HV=(H**5.)*(1.-EG))/DP
BT=(H*(DP/2.0))/KS

```

C DETERMINE THE EFFECTIVE REYNOLDS NUMBER AND THE EFFECTIVE
 C HEAT TRANSFER COEFFICIENT TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT INTERNAL TEMP. GRADIENTS

```

HCS=HCS*CPA*(1.-E5)
HCA=HCA*CPA*E5
3=HCS/(HCS+HCA)
BIFR=(1.0+R1/3.0)*(8**2.0)
HVEFF=HV/BIFR
DELX=L3/(FLOA*(KJ)-1.0)
MFLOA=(Q-3511.)*HCA
C1=(HVEFF*AX*DELX)/(MFLOA*CPA)
NH=20
N1=(KJ)-1)
N2=NV-1
DO 1 1 J=1,NV
DO 1 1 I=1,KJ
101 T(I,J)=TRI
102 CONTINUE
C READ IN PRESSURE DROP PARAMETERS FOR BED, COLLECTOR AND DUCT WORK
READ,UG
WRITE(5,110) UG
READ,EFFP
WRITE(5,111) EFFP
READ,ACC
WRITE(5,112) ACC
READ,BC
WRITE(5,113) BC
READ,LG
WRITE(5,114) LG
READ,LD
WRITE(5,115) LD
READ,OTD
WRITE(5,116) OTD
READ,TN
WRITE(5,117) TN
READ,NH
WRITE(5,118) NH
337 DO 3 IJ=1,MON

```

IF (PROG.NE.4.) GO TO 334
 C READ IN THE NUMBER OF DEGREE-DAYS FOR EACH MONTH TO BE USED IN
 C FINDING THE SPACE-HEATING LOAD

READ,DDAY
 WRITE(5,999) DDAY

334

READ,DAY
 WRITE(5,1000) DAY

READ,TA18
 WRITE(5,1130) TA18

READ,HAVG
 WRITE(5,1135)

WRITE(5,1140) HAVG
 READ,KITVS

WRITE(5,1020) KITVS
 READ,CP

WRITE(5,1150)
 WRITE(5,1155) CP

READ,TR00M
 WRITE(5,1235) TR00M

READ,DAYMON
 WRITE(5,1290) DAYMON

IF (PROG.NE.4.) GO TO 335

C SED PRESSURE DROP AND PUMPING COST DETERMINED
 V1=V0/3501.

A=((1.77/(EG*3.)))*((1.0-EG)/DP)**2.3*LB)

B=((1.77*(1.0-EG)-LB)/((EG*3.)*DP))

DELDP=(A+UG*V1)/(32.2*(3600.**2.3))+(3.54CA*(V01**2.3))

A/(32.2*(3600.**2.3))

FOR=(DELDP/1.0)*(27.673)

WRITE(5,500) FOR

FORMAT('X',1X,'PRES. DROP OF SED IS INCHES OF WATER= ',F10.4)

WK=DELDP/RHCA

P=DELDP/3600

P1=P/778.

P71=P1*NH

PCR=(P01-15.33)/(1.000000)

0.1170
 0.1200
 0.1210
 0.1220
 0.1230
 0.1240
 0.1250
 0.1260
 0.1270
 0.1280
 0.1290
 0.1300
 0.1310
 0.1320
 0.1330

```

PC4=PC3*DAYMOM
PC3=PC4/EEF3
WRITE(6, 1) PC3
*1* FORMAT(" ", 1X, "PUMPING COST OF PPD PER MONTH IN DOLLARS=", F10.4)
C COLLECTOR PRESSURE DROP AND PUMPING COST DETERMINED
NH=(2. * JCC72)/(ACC+PC)
VC=(0.39 * 1.)/(30 * ACC)
REQ=(RHQA+VC*74)/UG
MFLOC=RHQA*0.351*
DELPO=((0.73*LC)/(32.2*(350 * 2.11)))+(MFLOC* 2.0)*(ACC+30))/
3((REQ*.25)*(RHQA)-(ACC+30)*3.0)
PC2=(DELPO/1.4.)*(AC/(PC+LC))*2*.573
WRITE (6,42) PC2
*2* FORMAT (" ", 1X, "PRES. DROP IN COLL. IN INCHES OF WATER= ", F10.4)
PC=(DELPO*MFLOC)/(RHA
PC7=(PC/778.)*NH*(AC/(PC+LC))
PC3=(PC*15.38)/(100000.)
PC4=PC3*DAYMOM
PC3=PC4/EEF3
WRITE (6,43) PC3
*3* FORMAT(" ", 1X, "PUMP COST OF COLL. PER MONTH IN DOLLARS=", F10.4)
C DUCT WORK PRESSURE DROP AND PUMPING COST DETERMINED
VH=((4.2*Q)/(3.14*10*(DID*2. )))*7515.
VF=(RHQA*VD*JTD)/US
DELPO1=((1.4*(1.42/(RED*.22))-L7*RHQA/(VH*2.0))/(2.0*(32.2*
R(33. * 2. ))*JTD)
DELPO2=(VH*(1.4)*RHQA*(VD*2. ))/(2.1*32.2*(REL.*2.0))
DELPO=DELPO1+DELPO2
PC2=(J*LEO/1.4.) 27.673
WRITE (6,44) PC2
*4* FORMAT(" ", 1X, "PRES. DROP IN DUCT IN INCHES OF WATER=", F10.4)
PC=(DELPO*0.351*
PC1=(PC/778.)*NH
PC3=(PC*15.38)/(100000.)
PC4=PC3*DAYMOM
PC3=PC4/EEF3

```

```

WRITE(6,450) 0PCD
451 FORMAT("C",10X,"PUMP COST OF DUCT W/6 PER MONTH IN C=",F10.4)
C TOTAL(950,COLLECTOR,AND DUCTS) MONTHLY PUMPING COST IS CALCULATED
TCOS=PPCR+PDCD+PPCD
WRITE(6,460) TCOS
461 FORMAT(" ",10X,"TOTAL PUMP COST PER MONTH IN DOLLARS=",F10.4)
C FIRST, DETERMINE THE AMOUNT OF RADIATION STRIKING THE COLLECTOR SURFACE.
C FIND THE DECLINATION(DELTA), THE SUNSET HOUR ANGLE (WS), THE LONG
C EXTRATERRESTRIAL RADIATION ON A HORIZONTAL SURFACE (HO), THE LONG
C TERM CLEARNESS INDEX (KTAVG), AND THE MONTHLY AVERAGE DAILY DIFFUSE
C RADIATION ON A HORIZONTAL SURFACE (DTAVG)
IF(PCOS.EQ.0.) GO TO 511
512 UAST=CONST*AREAST/THICKST
513 PI=ACOS(-1.)
RAD=17.2957733131
LAT=LATD/RAD
D=2./PI*(PI+(20.*DAY)/365.)
DEL=23.45*SIN(D)
DELTA=DEL/RAD
WRITE(6,1005)DEL1
WS=ACOS(-TAN(LAT)*TAN(DELTA))
WS=WS*PI
WRITE(6,1015)WS
OC=1.33*(COS(2.*PI*DAY/365.))
OS=(COS(DELTA)*COS(LAT)*SIN(WS))+(WS*SIN(LAT)*SIN(DELTA))
HO=(24./PI)*32.*(1.+OC)*OS
WRITE(6,1025)HO
IF(KTAVG.GT.0.) GO TO 4
KTAVG=HAVG/HO
WRITE(6,1030)KTAVG
IF(KTAVG.LE.0.32)GO TO 5
DAVG=HAVG*(0.73-(0.32-KTAVG))

```

01120
01121
01122
01123
01124
01125
01126
01127
01128
01129
01130
01131
01132
01133
01134
01135
01136
01137
01138
01139
01140

```

5      GO TO 10
10     DAVG=H*AVG*(1.2-(2.*K*AVG))
      CONTINUE
      INTV=INT+1
      DO 5 L=1,INTV,1
      READ,DKT(L)
      N=L-1
      WRITE(6,1230) N,DKT(L)
      CONTINUE
      WRITE(6,1025)
      WRITE(6,1035) DAVG
      S=SD/PAD
      GA444=36AMM4/340
      DO 2 N=1,N0,1
      WD=-3.147
      CSUM=0.0
      CRU=1.
      C DO LOOP 1 IS COMPLETED FOR EACH HOUR OF THE DAY
      HP=12.
      HP=1.
      DO 1 J=1,N4
      IF(HP.GT.12.) GO TO 86
      HR=HP
      GO TO 87
      HK=HP-12.
      IF(HP.GT.12.) GO TO 88
      HP=HP
      GO TO 89
      HP=HP-12.
      WRITE(6,1030) HR,HP
      HP=HP+1.
      HP=HP+1.
      C FIND THE ANGLE BETWEEN THE COLLECTOR SURFACE NORMAL AND THE SUN'S RAYS
      C (THETA) AND THE SOLAR ZENITH ANGLE (THETA7)
      IF(OSA4MA)/.E.0

```

```

7
AS1=COS(LAT)/(SIN(GAMMA)*TAN(S))
AS2=SIN(LAT)/TAN(GAMMA)
ASR=AS1+AS2
BS1=COS(LAT)/TAN(GAMMA)
BS2=SIN(LAT)/(SIN(GAMMA)*TAN(S))
BSR=TAN(DELTA)*(BS1-BS2)
ABSQT=SQRT((ASR*ASR)-(BSR*BSR)+1.)
WSM=ACOS(((ASR*BSR)+ABSQT)/(ASR*ASR+1.))
WSO=ACOS(((ASR*BSR)-ABSQT)/(ASR*ASR+1.))
WSP=-WSH
WS3=WSO
IF(WO.LT.WSR) GO TO 13
IF(WO.GT.WSS) GO TO 13
GO TO 21
9
AS1=COS(LAT)/(SIN(GAMMA)*TAN(S))
AS2=SIN(LAT)/TAN(GAMMA)
ASR=AS1+AS2
BS1=COS(LAT)/TAN(GAMMA)
BS2=SIN(LAT)/(SIN(GAMMA)*TAN(S))
BSR=TAN(DELTA)*(BS1-BS2)
ABSQT=SQRT((ASR*ASR)-(BSR*BSR)+1.)
WSM=ACOS(((ASR*BSR)+ABSQT)/(ASR*ASR+1.))
WSO=ACOS(((ASR*BSR)-ABSQT)/(ASR*ASR+1.))
WSR=-WSO
WSS=WSM
IF(WO.LT.WSR) GO TO 13
IF(WO.GT.WSS) GO TO 13

```

```

      GO TO 21
      WA=ABS(WD)
      IF(WA.GT.WS) GO TO 13
      W=W
      A1=SIN(DELTA)*SIN(LAT)*COS(S)
      A2=SIN(DELTA)*COS(LAT)*SIN(S)+COS(GAMMA)
      A3=COS(DELTA)*COS(LAT)*COS(S)+COS(W)
      A4=COS(DELTA)*SIN(LAT)*SIN(S)+COS(GAMMA)*COS(W)
      A5=COS(DELTA)*SIN(LAT)*SIN(GAMMA)*SIN(W)
      A6=A1-A2+A3+A4+A5
      THETA=ACOS(A6)
      THETA=THETA*PI/180
      WRITE(6,1035)THETA
      IF(THETA.GT.90) GO TO 13
      THETA=ACOS(COS(LAT)*COS(DELTA)*COS(S)+SIN(DELTA)*SIN(LAT))
      THETA=THETA*PI/180
      WRITE(6,1036)THETA
      R=COS(THETA)/COS(THETA)
      C FIND THE MONTHLY AVERAGE RATIO OF TOTAL RADIATION ON A TILTED SURFACE TO
      C TOTAL RADIATION ON A HORIZONTAL SURFACE (RAVG)
      R=(PI/2)*((COS(W)-COS(WS))/(SIN(WS)-(WS*COS(WS))))
      ANGLE=WS-1.107
      COEF1=.9+.5*15*SIN(ANGLE)
      COEF2=.0069+.0757*SIN(ANGLE)
      ANGLE=(COS(W)-COS(WS))/(SIN(WS)-(WS*COS(WS)))
      RT=(PI/2)*((COEF1+COEF2*COS(W))/(ANGL))
      C=CP/100
      RHOG=(.2*(1.-C))+(.7*C)
      WRITE(6,1037)RHOG
      RA=(R/RT)*C*W/G/HAVG
      RAVG=((1.-RA)*R3)+(.5*(1.+COS(S))*1)+.5*(1.-COS(S))*RHOG
      C FIND THE MONTHLY AVERAGE INSTANTANEOUS TOTAL RADIATION ON A TILTED
      C SURFACE (ITT)
      ITT=RAVG*RT*HVG
      IF(ITT.GE.0.0) GO TO 12
      ITT=0.0

```

```

12 GO TO 13
12 CONTINUE
WRITE(6,1050)
WRITE(6,1051)IT
C SECOND, FIND THE AMOUNT OF RADIATION UTILIZED BY THE COLLECTOR SYSTEM
C FIND THE TRANSMITTANCE (TAUI) OF THE GLASS, ACCOUNTING FOR REFLECTION
C (TAUR) AND FOR ABSORPTANCE (TAUAI)
IF(THETAR.GT.1.0) GO TO 15
THETAC=0.0
GO TO 21
15 THETAC=ASIN(SIN(THETAR))/ETAC)
THETC=THETAC/RAD
21 CONTINUE
IF(THETAR.GT.1.0) GO TO 25
RHOC=((ETAC-1.)/(ETAC+1.))**2
TAUR1=(1.-RHOC)/(1.+((2.*CN)-1.)*RHOC)
GO TO 21
25 TCR=ABS(THETAC-THETAR)
TCC=THETAC+THETAR
RH01=(SIN(TCR)/SIN(TCC))**2
RH02=(TAN(TCR)/TAN(TCC))**2
TA1=(1.-RH01)/(1.+((2.*CN-1.)*RH01))
TA2=(1.-RH02)/(1.+((2.*CN-1.)*RH02))
TAUR1=(TA1+TA2)/2.
TAUAI=EXP(-(CONC*THC)/COS(THETAC))
TAUI=TAUR1*TAUAI
WRITE(6,1052) TAUI
C FIND THE EFFECTIVE TRANSMITTANCE-ABSORPTANCE PRODUCT (TAUAA)
C THET= (COS(THETAR))**.15
ALPHA=ALPHAN*GTHET
DD=CN-2.
IF(CN)35,40,45
RHOD=.16
GO TO 50
RHOD=.2+
GO TO 50

```

```

101910
101920
101930
101940
101950
101960
101970
101980
101990
102000

102010
102020
102030
102040
102050
102060
102070
102080
102090
102100
102110
102120
102130
102140
102150
102160
102170
102180
102190
102200
102210
102220
102230
102240
102250
102260
102270
102280
102290
102300
102310
102320
102330
102340
102350
102360
102370
102380
102390
102400
102410
102420
102430
102440
102450
102460
102470
102480
102490
102500
102510
102520
102530
102540
102550
102560
102570
102580
102590
102600
102610
102620
102630
102640
102650
102660
102670
102680
102690
102700
102710
102720
102730
102740
102750
102760
102770
102780
102790
102800
102810
102820
102830
102840
102850
102860
102870
102880
102890
102900
102910
102920
102930
102940
102950
102960
102970
102980
102990
103000

103010
103020
103030
103040
103050
103060
103070
103080
103090
103100
103110
103120
103130
103140
103150
103160
103170
103180
103190
103200
103210
103220
103230
103240
103250
103260
103270
103280
103290
103300
103310
103320
103330
103340
103350
103360
103370
103380
103390
103400
103410
103420
103430
103440
103450
103460
103470
103480
103490
103500
103510
103520
103530
103540
103550
103560
103570
103580
103590
103600
103610
103620
103630
103640
103650
103660
103670
103680
103690
103700
103710
103720
103730
103740
103750
103760
103770
103780
103790
103800
103810
103820
103830
103840
103850
103860
103870
103880
103890
103900
103910
103920
103930
103940
103950
103960
103970
103980
103990
104000

104010
104020
104030
104040
104050
104060
104070
104080
104090
104100
104110
104120
104130
104140
104150
104160
104170
104180
104190
104200
104210
104220
104230
104240
104250
104260
104270
104280
104290
104300
104310
104320
104330
104340
104350
104360
104370
104380
104390
104400
104410
104420
104430
104440
104450
104460
104470
104480
104490
104500
104510
104520
104530
104540
104550
104560
104570
104580
104590
104600
104610
104620
104630
104640
104650
104660
104670
104680
104690
104700
104710
104720
104730
104740
104750
104760
104770
104780
104790
104800
104810
104820
104830
104840
104850
104860
104870
104880
104890
104900
104910
104920
104930
104940
104950
104960
104970
104980
104990
105000

105010
105020
105030
105040
105050
105060
105070
105080
105090
105100
105110
105120
105130
105140
105150
105160
105170
105180
105190
105200
105210
105220
105230
105240
105250
105260
105270
105280
105290
105300
105310
105320
105330
105340
105350
105360
105370
105380
105390
105400
105410
105420
105430
105440
105450
105460
105470
105480
105490
105500
105510
105520
105530
105540
105550
105560
105570
105580
105590
105600
105610
105620
105630
105640
105650
105660
105670
105680
105690
105700
105710
105720
105730
105740
105750
105760
105770
105780
105790
105800
105810
105820
105830
105840
105850
105860
105870
105880
105890
105900
105910
105920
105930
105940
105950
105960
105970
105980
105990
106000

106010
106020
106030
106040
106050
106060
106070
106080
106090
106100
106110
106120
106130
106140
106150
106160
106170
106180
106190
106200
106210
106220
106230
106240
106250
106260
106270
106280
106290
106300
106310
106320
106330
106340
106350
106360
106370
106380
106390
106400
106410
106420
106430
106440
106450
106460
106470
106480
106490
106500
106510
106520
106530
106540
106550
106560
106570
106580
106590
106600
106610
106620
106630
106640
106650
106660
106670
106680
106690
106700
106710
106720
106730
106740
106750
106760
106770
106780
106790
106800
106810
106820
106830
106840
106850
106860
106870
106880
106890
106900
106910
106920
106930
106940
106950
106960
106970
106980
106990
107000

107010
107020
107030
107040
107050
107060
107070
107080
107090
107100
107110
107120
107130
107140
107150
107160
107170
107180
107190
107200
107210
107220
107230
107240
107250
107260
107270
107280
107290
107300
107310
107320
107330
107340
107350
107360
107370
107380
107390
107400
107410
107420
107430
107440
107450
107460
107470
107480
107490
107500
107510
107520
107530
107540
107550
107560
107570
107580
107590
107600
107610
107620
107630
107640
107650
107660
107670
107680
107690
107700
107710
107720
107730
107740
10775
```

```

C TO FIND THE UTILIZABILITY
PCRTT=(U-(I3-TAM3))/(TAUAA*IIT)
WRITE(6,1031)
WRITE(6,1035) PCRTT
TN1=1./INT
K=0
E=0.
DO 35 M=1,INTV,1
KT=JKT(M)
IF(KT.EQ.0.75) GO TO 80
DH=(2.32*KT-3.22)*KT*KT+1.
GO TO 95
DH=.17
CONTINUE
KT=RO/PT
S=.5*(1.-COS(S))*RHUG
P=(1.-(P7*DH))*KP+(.5*(1.+COS(S))*R7*DH)+S
IIT=(K/RAVG)*KT/KTAVG
AVG(K)=IIT
IF(K.EQ. ) GO TO 91
IF(K.EQ.INT) GO TO 91
UT(K)=(AVG(K)-PCRTT)*TN1
GO TO 92
UT(K)=(AVG(K)-ROKTT)*TN1/2.
CONTINUE
IF(UT(K).GE.0.) GO TO 96
UT(K)=0.
CONTINUE
K=K+1
E=E+1/INT
CONTINUE
UTIL=0.
DO 35 M=1,INTV,1
L=L+1
UTIL=UTIL+UT(L)
CONTINUE

```

90
85
91
32
30
75
95

002151
002152
002153
002154
002155
002156
002157
002158
002159
002160
002161
002162
002163
002164
002165
002166
002167
002168
002169
002170
002171
002172
002173
002174
002175
002176
002177
002178
002179
002180
002181
002182
002183
002184
002185
002186
002187
002188
002189
002190
002191
002192
002193
002194
002195
002196
002197
002198
002199
002200
002201
002202
002203
002204
002205
002206
002207
002208
002209
002210
002211
002212
002213
002214
002215
002216
002217
002218
002219
002220
002221
002222
002223
002224
002225
002226
002227
002228
002229
002230
002231
002232
002233
002234
002235
002236
002237
002238
002239
002240
002241
002242
002243
002244
002245
002246
002247
002248
002249
002250
002251
002252
002253
002254
002255
002256
002257
002258
002259
002260
002261
002262
002263
002264
002265
002266
002267
002268
002269
002270
002271
002272
002273
002274
002275
002276
002277
002278
002279
002280
002281
002282
002283
002284
002285
002286
002287
002288
002289
002290
002291
002292
002293
002294
002295
002296
002297
002298
002299
002300
002301
002302
002303
002304
002305
002306
002307
002308
002309
002310
002311
002312
002313
002314
002315
002316
002317
002318
002319
002320
002321
002322
002323
002324
002325
002326
002327
002328
002329
002330
002331
002332
002333
002334
002335
002336
002337
002338
002339
002340
002341
002342
002343
002344
002345
002346
002347
002348
002349
002350
002351
002352
002353
002354
002355
002356
002357
002358
002359
002360
002361
002362
002363
002364
002365
002366
002367
002368
002369
002370
002371
002372
002373
002374
002375
002376
002377
002378
002379
002380
002381
002382
002383
002384
002385
002386
002387
002388
002389
002390
002391
002392
002393
002394
002395
002396
002397
002398
002399
002400
002401
002402
002403
002404
002405
002406
002407
002408
002409
002410
002411
002412
002413
002414
002415
002416
002417
002418
002419
002420
002421
002422
002423
002424
002425
002426
002427
002428
002429
002430
002431
002432
002433
002434
002435
002436
002437
002438
002439
002440
002441
002442
002443
002444
002445
002446
002447
002448
002449
002450
002451
002452
002453
002454
002455
002456
002457
002458
002459
002460
002461
002462
002463
002464
002465
002466
002467
002468
002469
002470
002471
002472
002473
002474
002475
002476
002477
002478
002479
002480
002481
002482
002483
002484
002485
002486
002487
002488
002489
002490
002491
002492
002493
002494
002495
002496
002497
002498
002499
002500
002501
002502
002503
002504
002505
002506
002507
002508
002509
002510
002511
002512
002513
002514
002515
002516
002517
002518
002519
002520
002521
002522
002523
002524
002525
002526
002527
002528
002529
002530
002531
002532
002533
002534
002535
002536
002537
002538
002539
002540
002541
002542
002543
002544
002545
002546
002547
002548
002549
002550
002551
002552
002553
002554
002555
002556
002557
002558
002559
002560
002561
002562
002563
002564
002565
002566
002567
002568
002569
002570
002571
002572
002573
002574
002575
002576
002577
002578
002579
002580
002581
002582
002583
002584
002585
002586
002587
002588
002589
002590
002591
002592
002593
002594
002595
002596
002597
002598
002599
002600
002601
002602
002603
002604
002605
002606
002607
002608
002609
002610
002611
002612
002613
002614
002615
002616
002617
002618
002619
002620
002621
002622
002623
002624
002625
002626
002627
002628
002629
002630
002631
002632
002633
002634
002635
002636
002637
002638
002639
002640
002641
002642
002643
002644
002645
002646
002647
002648
002649
002650
002651
002652
002653
002654
002655
002656
002657
002658
002659
002660
002661
002662
002663
002664
002665
002666
002667
002668
002669
002670
002671
002672
002673
002674
002675
002676
002677
002678
002679
002680
002681
002682
002683
002684
002685
002686
002687
002688
002689
002690
002691
002692
002693
002694
002695
002696
002697
002698
002699
002700
002701
002702
002703
002704
002705
002706
002707
002708
002709
002710
002711
002712
002713
002714
002715
002716
002717
002718
002719
002720
002721
002722
002723
002724
002725
002726
002727
002728
002729
002730
002731
002732
002733
002734
002735
002736
002737
002738
002739
002740
002741
002742
002743
002744
002745
002746
002747
002748
002749
002750
002751
002752
002753
002754
002755
002756
002757
002758
002759
002760
002761
002762
002763
002764
002765
002766
002767
002768
002769
002770
002771
002772
002773
002774
002775
002776
002777
002778
002779
002780
002781
002782
002783
002784
002785
002786
002787
002788
002789
002790
002791
002792
002793
002794
002795
002796
002797
002798
002799
002800
002801
002802
002803
002804
002805
002806
002807
002808
002809
002810
002811
002812
002813
002814
002815
002816
002817
002818
002819
002820
002821
002822
002823
002824
002825
002826
002827
002828
002829
002830
002831
002832
002833
002834
002835
002836
002837
002838
002839
002840
002841
002842
002843
002844
002845
002846
002847
002848
002849
002850
002851
002852
002853
002854
002855
002856
002857
002858
002859
002860
002861
002862
002863
002864
002865
002866
002867
002868
002869
002870
002871
002872
002873
002874
002875
002876
002877
002878
002879
002880
002881
002882
002883
002884
002885
002886
002887
002888
002889
002890
002891
002892
002893
002894
002895
002896
002897
002898
002899
002900
002901
002902
002903
002904
002905
002906
002907
002908
002909
002910
002911
002912
002913
002914
002915
002916
002917
002918
002919
002920
002921
002922
002923
002924
002925
002926
002927
002928
002929
002930
002931
002932
002933
002934
002935
002936
002937
002938
002939
002940
002941
002942
002943
002944
002945
002946
002947
002948
002949
002950
002951
002952
002953
002954
002955
002956
002957
002958
002959
002960
002961
002962
002963
002964
002965
002966
002967
002968
002969
002970
002971
002972
002973
002974
002975
002976
002977
002978
002979
002980
002981
002982
002983
002984
002985
002986
002987
002988
002989
002990
002991
002992
002993
002994
002995
002996
002997
002998
002999
003000

```

WRITE(6,1105)UTIL
C FIND THE ENERGY TRANSFERRED TO STORAGE (QAVG)
G=FLOWC/AC
FRPRIME=FR/(1.+(FR*U)/(G+CPO))**((1./EFF)-1.))
QAVG=AC*FRPRIME*TAUA*ITT*UTIL
WRITE(6,1120)QAVG
GO TO 14
13 QAVG=1.0
WRITE(6,1110)
14 CONTINUE
C THIRD, FIND THE AMOUNT OF ENERGY TRANSFERRED TO STORAGE.
C WHEN PROG EQUALS 10 THE SOLAR AIR HEATING PROGRAM IS IN EFFECT AND THE
C WATER-SYSTEM ANALYSIS IS SURPASSED
IF(PROG.EQ.4.) GO TO 213
HLOAD=FLOW(I)-QAVFLOW*CPS*(T3-TTIN)*3.335
IF(PROG.EQ.1.)GO TO 16
IF (PROG.EQ.2.)GO TO 17
IF (PROG.EQ.3.) GO TO 13
GO TO 13
C SYSTEM 1 IS A SIMPLE WATER HEATING SYSTEM WITH NO BACKUP HEAT
15 T4=T3+(QAVG-UAST*(T3-TROOM))/MASS
T3=T4
GO TO 11
C SYSTEM 2 IS A WATER HEATING SYSTEM WITH A CONVENTIONAL WATER HEATER THAT
C PROVIDES ITS SUPPLY FROM A SOLAR HEATED STORAGE TANK
17 T4=T3+(QAVG-HLOAD-(UAST*(T3-TROOM)))/MASS
T5=(T3+T4)/2.
T3=T4
QAVX=FLOW(I)+QAVFLOW*CPS*(140.-T5)*9.335
IF(QAVX.GT.0.) GO TO 41
QAVX=1.0
GO TO 41
C SYSTEM 3 IS LIKE SYSTEM 2 EXCEPT THAT AN ANTI-FREEZE TYPE SOLUTION IS
C USED AS THE COLLECTOR FLUID
19 T4=T3+(QAVG-HLOAD-(UAST*(T3-TROOM)))/MASS
T5=(T3+T4)/2

```

02152
02153
02154
02155
02156
02157
02158
02159

02160
02161
02162
02163
02164

102530

```

T3=T4
QAUJ=FLOW(I)*DAYFLOW*CPS*(140.-T3)*9.335
IF(QAUJ.GT.0.) GO TO 41
QAUJ=9.9
WRITE(6,1115)T4
+1  C SPACE-HEATING LOAD IS CALCULATED ALONG WITH VARIOUS "IF" STATEMENTS
C NECESSARY TO OBTAIN THE AMOUNT OF AUXILIARY ENERGY REQUIRED
210  QSHL=(UA*DDAY)/DAYMON
      BHI=((AX*LB)/(FLOAT(KJ-1)))*RHOS*(1.-EG)
      B42=(BM1/2.1)
      IF(QAVG.LE.0MIN) GO TO 24J
      IF(QAVG.GT.0SHL) GO TO 241
C  MODE 1
      T3=ITROM
      QLOST=0.0
      DO 226 I=1,KJ
        QLOSS1=((KINS*AINS)/(FLOAT(KJ)*TINS))* (TB(I,J)-TOP)*DELTA5
        IF((I.EQ.1).OR.(I.EQ.KJ)) GO TO 211
        TQLOSS1=QLOSS1/(RM1+CPS)
        GO TO 214
      212  TQLOSS1=QLOSS1/(RM2+CPS)
      213  QLOST=QLOST+QLOSS1
      226  TB(I,J+1)=TB(I,J)-TQLOSS1
      QAUJ=QSHL-QAVG-QLOST
      GO TO 18
C  MODE 2 AND RED REVERSAL
241  QAUJ=1.
      DELT1=(QSHL*DELTA5)/QAVG
      WRITE(6,240) DELT1
      DELT2=1.-DELT1
      WRITE(6,3/2) DELT2
      DELT3=DELT2
      DELT4=DELT1
      QLOSTT=1.9
      DO 234 I=1,KJ
        QLOSS2=((KINS*AINS)/(FLOAT(KJ)*TINS))* (TB(I,J)-T(R))*DELT4

```

```

IF((I.EQ.1).OR.(I.EQ.KJ)) GO TO 215
TLOSS2=QLOSS2/(R41+CPS)
GO TO 217
215 TLOSS2=QLOSS2/(R42+CPS)
217 QLOSS=QLOSS1+TLOSS2
230 T3(I,J)=T3(I,J)-TLOSS2
IF(FLAG2.EQ.1.) GO TO 260
T3(I,J)=T3(I,J)
IF((I.EQ.1).AND.(J1.EQ.1)) GO TO 231
DO 27 I=1,KJ
WH=KJ-I
27 T3(MN+1,J)=T3(I,J)
GO 218 I=1,KJ
218 T3(I,J)=T3(I,J)
251 FLAG2=1.
FLAG3=1.
GO TO 270
260 T3(I,J)=T3(KJ,J)
C TEMPERATURE OUT OF THE COLLECTOR IS DETERMINED
270 T3(I+1)=(QAVG7(MFLOA*CPA))+T3(I)
WRITE (5,240) T3(I+1)
TA(I,J+1)=T3(I+1)
GO TO 265
240 CONTINUE
IF((J1.EQ.1).AND.(J.EQ.1).AND.(J.LE.17)) GO TO 245
IF(FLAG3.EQ.1.) GO TO 249
C MODE 3 AND REF REVERSAL
DO 28 I=1,KJ
WH=KJ-I
240 T3(MN+1,J)=T3(I,J)
GO 219 I=1,KJ
219 T3(I,J)=T3(I,J)
FLAG2=1.
FLAG3=1.
249 DELT=1.0
560 T3(I,J+1)=T3(I,J)

```

```

      DELT1=DELTI*(TMIN/TS)
      TIC(J)=TROOH
      T=TIC(J)
      C2=(MELDGA*CPA*DELTI)/((1.-EG)*RHO*CP*AX*DELX)
      DO 23 I=1,JI
C      IMPLICIT FINITE-DIFFERENCED AIR TEMPERATURE EQUATION AS A
C      FUNCTION OF TIME AND BED POSITION IS DEVELOPED, ENERGY LOSSES FROM
C      THE BED ARE ALSO TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT
          TA(I+1,J+1)=((1.-C1+C2)/(1.-C1+C1+C2))*TA(I,J+1)+
          2*((C1)/(1.-C1+C1+C2))*TB(I+1,J)-((C1)/(1.-C1+C1+C2))*
          3*((KINS*AINS)/TINS)*(TB(I+1,J)-TQ)*DELTI/((1.-EG)*(RHO*CP*AX*
          4(AX*DELX)))
C      IMPLICIT FINITE-DIFFERENCED BED TEMPERATURE EQUATION AS A FUNCTION
C      OF TIME AND POSITION IS ALSO DEVELOPED
          TB(I+1,J+1)=((C1+C2)/(1.-C1+C1+C2))*TA(I,J+1)+
          5*((1.-C1)/(1.-C1+C1+C2))*((TB(I+1,J))-((KINS*AINS)/TINS))*
          6*(TB(I+1,J)-TQ)*DELTI/((1.-EG)*(RHO*CP*AX*DELX)))
C      CONTINUE
C      BED TEMP AT NODE 1, CURVE FIT SOLUTION
          TB(1,J+1)=TB(1,J+1)-(3.*TB(3,J+1))+13.*TB(2,J+1)
          IF (DAYS.GT.0.5HL) GO TO 18
          TQ=TA(KJ,J+1)
          WRITE(6,343) TQ
          C27H=4510A*CPA*(TQ-TROOH)
          IF (DAYS.GT.0.5HL) GO TO 67
          DELTAS1=DELTA3-EPSIL
          TB(DELTI,GT,DELTA1) GO TO 503
          GO TO 587
C      AUX=1.3
          DELT3=(QSHL*DELTA4S)/QREOH
          WRITE(6,345) DELT3
          DELT4=1.-DELT3
          DO 235 I=1,KJ

```

```

      QLOSS=((KINS*AINS)/(FLOAT(KJ)*TINS))*(TB(I,J+1)-TB(I,J))*DELTA
      IF(IJ.EQ.1).OR.(I.EQ.KJ) GO TO 239
      TLOSS=QLOSS/(3M1+CPS)
      GO TO 239
278  TLOSS=QLOSS/(342*CPS)
239  TB(I,J+1)=TB(I,J+1)-TLOSS
236  CONTINUE
      GO TO 19
      QAUH=QSHL-QRECH
      GO TO 13
246  QAUH=QSHL
      T3=TFROM
      CONTINUE
      WEND+.2512
      WRITE(6,131) QAUH
      IF((IJ.EQ.1).AND.(IJ.EQ.1)) GO TO 1
      QSUM=QSUM+QAUH
      Q31=Q31+QAUH
      CONTINUE
      IF((IJ.EQ.1).AND.(IJ.EQ.1)) GO TO 332
      WRITE(6,131) Q31
      GO 332 I=1,KJ
232  TB(I,1)=TB(I,24)
231  IF((IJ.EQ.1).AND.(IJ.EQ.1)) GO TO 112
      GO TO 2
103  J=J+1
      GO TO 50
      CONTINUE
2  MONTHLY SPACE-HEATING LOAD AND MONTHLY SOLAR ENERGY
3  ACQUIRED IS CALCULATED
      QSHL=QSHL+DAY40*24.0
      Q31=Q31+DAY40
      WRITE(6,1235) TTOT
      IF(PA06.EQ.1) GO TO 271
      MFLOW=DAYFLW+DAY40N
      WRITE(6,1315) MFLOW

```

102350
102570

1027

102710

```

PMFLOW=YFLOW*3.335*(141.-TIN)
WRITE(5,1325) RMFLOW
GO TO 24
271 QSHLT=QSHLT+Q34LM
280 Q3U4=Q3U Q4Y4Q4
WRITE(5,1326) Q3U4
YQTOT=YQTOT+Q3U4
Y3U4=Y3U4+Q3U4
IF(P105.NE.3.) GO TO 292
PERSOL=YQTOT/(YQTOT+Y3U4)
UQTOT=QSHLT-Y3U4
GO TO 3
290 YFLOW=YFLOW+RMFLOW
UQTOT=YFLOW-Y3U4
CONTINUE
3 IF(P105.EQ.4.) GO TO 295
WRITE(5,1330) YFLOW
295 WRITE(5,1331) YQTOT
WRITE(5,1332) Y3U4
WRITE(5,1333) UQTOT
IF(P105.NE.4.) GO TO 298
WRITE(5,1334) PERSOL
WRITE(5,1335) QSHLT
298 CONTINUE
3 FOURTH, DO A COST ANALYSIS TO DETERMINE THE ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY
3 THE COST ANALYSIS IS A LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS PROGRAM
READ*,FTC1
IF(EOF(5)INPUT).NE.0.) GO TO 93
WRITE(5,730) FTC1
READ*,FTC2
WRITE(5,731) FTC2
READ*,OTC
WRITE(5,732) OTC
READ*,STCA
WRITE(5,733) STCA

```

```

READ*,LICA
WRITE(5,740) LICA
READ*,TMAXC
WRITE(5,745) TMAXC
READ*,AMIR
WRITE(5,750) AMIR
READ*,YRMORT
WRITE(5,755) YRMORT
READ*,DNFMT
WRITE(5,760) DNFMT
READ*,BUFCOST
WRITE(5,765) BUFCOST
READ*,CONFCST
WRITE(5,770) CONFCST
READ*,BUEFF
WRITE(5,775) BUEFF
READ*,CONEFF
WRITE(5,780) CONEFF
READ*,TAXRT
WRITE(5,785) TAXRT
READ*,TAXPRKT
WRITE(5,790) TAXPRKT
READ*,XTRINS
WRITE(5,795) XTRINS
READ*,GENINF
WRITE(5,800) GENINF
READ*,FLINF
WRITE(5,805) FLINF
READ*,DISCRT
WRITE(5,810) DISCRT
READ*,ANALTRM
WRITE(5,815) ANALTRM
3 COST ANALYSIS MODIFIED FOR AIR HEATER
IF (PROG.EQ.4.) GO TO 505

```

```

VR1FL=(VFLOW/(19.**6))*(CONF CST/CONF EFF)
GO TO 51
505 VR1FL=(OSHLT/(19.**6.))*(CONF CST/CONF EFF)
517 WRITE(6,2070) VR1FL
READ*,AREACST
WRITE(6,2120) AREACST
READ*,ARINCS
WRITE(6,2125) ARINCS
C THE INFLATION-DISCOUNT FACTOR IS USED TO REDUCE COSTS TO PERSENT YEAR COSTS
CALL DI(ANALTRM,FLINF,DISCRT,AA)
CALL DI(ANALTRM,GENINF,DISCRT,BB)
IF(YRMORT.GT.ANALTRM) GO TO 115
CALL DI(YRMORT,AMIR,DISCRT,CC)
CALL DI(YRMORT,J.0,DISCRT,FF)
GO TO 116
115 CALL DI(ANALTRM,AMIR,DISCRT,CC)
116 CALL DI(ANALTRM,J.0,DISCRT,FF)
CONTINUE
CALL DI(YRMORT,J.0,AMIR,EE)
WRITE(6,2000) AA
WRITE(6,2000) BB
WRITE(6,2010) CC
WRITE(6,2015) EE
WRITE(6,2020) FF
GG=FF/EE
WRITE(6,2180) GG
HH=SG+(CC*(AMIR-(1./EE)))
WRITE(6,2035) HH
II=DNPMT+((1.-DNPMT)*(GG-(HH*TAXBKRT)))
WRITE(6,2130) II
JJ=(XTRINS*BB)
WRITE(6,2155) JJ
KK=TAXRT*BB*(1.-TAXBKRT)
OO=II+JJ+KK
WRITE(6,2100) KK

```

```

WRITE(6,2115) 00
RTC=(ARFACST*AC)+ARINCST
IF(RTC.GT.LICA) GO TO 600
C INVESTMENT IN SOLAR MINUS TAX CREDIT
OFTC1=((FTC1+OTC)*(STCA)+(FTC2+OTC)*(RTC-STCA))
IF(OFTC1.GT.TMAXC) GO TO 612
R3=RTC-OFTC1
GO TO 611
500 OFTC2=((FTC1+OTC)*(STCA)+(FTC2+OTC)*(LICA-STCA))
IF(OFTC2.GT.TMAXC) GO TO 612
R3=RTC-OFTC2
GO TO 611
512 R3=RTC-TMAXC
510 IF(PROG.EQ.4.) GO TO 530
R2=UNTOT/YFLOW
R4=YFLOW*(1.-R2)*BUEFCST/(BUEFF*(10.**6))
GO TO 540
530 R2=UNTOT/OSHLT
R4=OSHLT*(1.-R2)*BUEFCST/(BUEFF*(10.**6.))
540 R5=YR1FL-R4)*AA
R5=00*R3
R3=R3-R5
WRITE(6,2160) R2
WRITE(6,2130) R3
WRITE(6,2135) R4
WRITE(6,2140) R5
WRITE(6,2145) R6
WRITE(6,2150) R8
99 CONTINUE
340 FORMAT(" TIME TO HOUSE,MODE 2",35X,F9.5)
342 FORMAT(" TIME TO BED,MODE 2",35X,F9.5)
344 FORMAT(" TEMP OUT OF COLLECTOR",35X,F9.3)
346 FORMAT(" TIME TO SATISFY LOAD",35X,F8.5)
348 FORMAT(" TA AT EXIT,MODE 3",35X,F9.3)

```

71	FORMAT(" FEDERAL TAX CREDIT PERCENTAGE INITIALLY",3EX,F8.4)	102730
711	FORMAT(" FEDERAL TAX CREDIT PERCENTAGE SECONDARY",3CX,F8.4)	002740
720	FORMAT(" OHIO TAX CREDIT PERCENTAGE",3EX,F8.4)	002750
73	FORMAT(" INITIAL TAX CREDIT AMOUNT",3EX,F10.2)	002760
741	FORMAT(" SECONDARY TAX CREDIT AMOUNT",35X,F10.2)	002770
745	FORMAT(" MAX TAX CREDIT AMT.",35X,F10.2)	002780
905	FORMAT(" MINUTE TIME INCREMENT FOR DISCHARGE",35X,F7.3)	
907	FORMAT(" EPSILON FOR TIME INCREMENT",37X,F8.5)	
910	FORMAT(" MINIMUM PUMP ENERGY",40X,F9.2)	
915	FORMAT(" STRUCTURE CONDUCTANCE",40X,F9.3)	
920	FORMAT(" TIME STEP",45X,F6.3)	
925	FORMAT(" VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE (CFS)",40X,F5.3)	
930	FORMAT(" RED HEIGHT",45X,F5.3)	
935	FORMAT(" RED WIDTH",45X,F6.3)	
940	FORMAT(" AIR DENSITY",45X,F9.5)	
945	FORMAT(" PARTICLE DIAMETER(FT)",45X,F10.5)	
950	FORMAT(" PANOIL NUMBER",45X,F3.3)	
955	FORMAT(" CONDUCTIVITY OF AIR",42X,F9.5)	
960	FORMAT(" MOMENTUM DIFFUSIVITY",42X,F9.5)	
965	FORMAT(" SPECIFIC HEAT(AIR)",42X,F9.5)	
970	FORMAT(" OUTSIDE BED TEMP.",42X,F5.2)	
975	FORMAT(" SOLID DENSITY",40X,F9.3)	
980	FORMAT(" SOLID SPECIFIC HEAT",42X,F9.5)	
985	FORMAT(" BED LENGTH",45X,F5.2)	
990	FORMAT(" NUMBER OF NODES",43X,I3)	
995	FORMAT(" VOID FRACTION OF BED",4CX,F3.4)	
999	FORMAT(" NUMBER OF DEGREE-DAYS",40X,F9.3)	
1100	FORMAT(" DAY OF YEAR",49X,F5.0)	
1105	FORMAT(" DECLINATION (DEGREES)",4X,F8.4)	
1110	FORMAT(" EXTRATERRESTRIAL RADIATION ON A HORIZ SURFACE",13X,F9.4)	
1115	FORMAT(" SUNSET HOUR ANGLE (DEGREES)",33X,F8.4)	
1120	FORMAT(" LONGTERM CLEARNESS INDEX ",37X,F5.4)	
1125	FORMAT(" MONTHLY AVERAGE DIFFUSE RADIATION ON A ")	
1130	FORMAT(" HORIZONTAL SURFACE ",42X,F9.4)	
1135	FORMAT(" ANGLE BETWEEN SUN AND COLLECTOR NORMAL",22X,F8.4)	
1140	FORMAT(" SOLAR ZENITH ANGLE ",42X,F8.4)	002800

1134	FORMAT(" GROUND REFLECTANCE ",4X,F5.4)	002810
1135	FORMAT(" MONTHLY AVERAGE INSTANTANEOUS TOTAL RADIATION")	002820
1136	FORMAT(" ON A TILTED SURFACE ",4X,F5.4)	002830
1137	FORMAT(" TOTAL TRANSMITTANCE ",4X,F5.4)	002840
1138	FORMAT(" SHADING FACTOR",4X,F5.4)	002850
1139	FORMAT(" EFFECTIVE TRANSMITTANCE-ABSORPTANCE PRODUCT",20X,F5.4)	002860
1140	FORMAT(" RATIO OF CRITICAL RADIATION TO MONTHLY AVERAGE INSTANT")	002870
1141	FORMAT(" TOTAL RADIATION ON A TILTED SURFACE",27X,F7.4)	002880
1142	FORMAT(" FROM ",F4.0," TO ",F4.0," O'CLOCK")	002890
1143	FORMAT(" UTILITY",50X,F6.4)	002900
1144	FORMAT(" NO HEAT GAIN THIS HOUR")	002910
1145	FORMAT(" TEMPERATURE OF FLUID IN STORAGE TANK(DEG F)",17X,F5.1)	002920
1146	FORMAT(" HEAT TRANSFER THIS HOUR(BTU/HR)",28X,F9.1)	002930
1147	FORMAT(" LATITUDE(DEGREES)",4X,F3.1)	002940
1148	FORMAT(" AVERAGE AMBIENT TEMPERATURE(DEG F)",27X,F5.1)	002950
1149	FORMAT(" AVERAGE DAILY RADIATION ON A HORIZONTAL")	002960
1150	FORMAT(" SURFACE (BTU/HR-SQ FT)",37X,F7.1)	002970
1151	FORMAT(" DIFT FACTOR",52X,F4.2)	002980
1152	FORMAT(" PERCENT OF TIME THE GROUND IS COVERED WITH")	002990
1153	FORMAT(" MORE THAN ONE INCH OF SNOW",35X,F4.0)	003000
1154	FORMAT(" SLOPE OF COLLECTOR (DEGREES)",34X,F2.0)	003010
1155	FORMAT(" NUMBER OF COVERS ON COLLECTOR",34X,F2.0)	003020
1156	FORMAT(" COLLECTOR AZIMUTH ANGLE (DEGREES)",28X,F5.1)	003030
1157	FORMAT(" SURFACE AREA OF COLLECTOR FACE (SQ FT)",22X,F6.1)	003040
1158	FORMAT(" THICKNESS OF ONE LAYER OF COVER MATERIAL",23X,F5.3)	003050
1159	FORMAT(" EXTINCTION COEFFICIENT OF COVER MATERIAL",23X,F5.3)	003060
1160	FORMAT(" INDEX OF REFRACTION OF COVER MATERIAL",26X,F5.3)	003070
1161	FORMAT(" ABSORPTIVITY OF COLLECTOR",38X,F4.2)	003080
1162	FORMAT(" HEAT REMOVAL EFFICIENCY OF COLLECTOR",27X,F4.2)	003090
1163	FORMAT(" COLLECTOR HEAT LOSS COEFFICIENT",32X,F4.2)	003100
1164	FORMAT(" MASS FLOW RATE OF FLUID THROUGH COLLECTOR IN")	003110
1165	FORMAT(" POUNDS-PER-HOUR",45X,F5.1)	003120
1166	FORMAT(" COEFF OF SPECIFIC HEAT OF COLLECTOR FLUID",22X,F4.2)	003130
1167	FORMAT(" NUMBER OF INTERVALS DESIRED ON F-CHART",12)	003140
1168	FORMAT(" INITIAL TEMPERATURE OF FLUID IN STORAGE TANK",17X,F5.1)	003150
1169	FORMAT(" INITIAL TEMPERATURE INSIDE BUILDING",26X,F5.1)	003160

124	FORMAT(" EFFICIENCY OF HEAT EXCHANGER",35X,F4.2)	
124F	FORMAT(" TYPE OF SYSTEM IN USE",41X,F3.0)	
125	FORMAT("AMOUNT OF FLUID IN STORAGE TANK (GAL)",24X,F7.1)	003240
125F	FORMAT(" SURFACE AREA OF STORAGE TANK (SQ FT)",25X,F5.1)	003250
126	FORMAT(" INSULATION THICKNESS ON STORAGE TANK (IN)",20X,F4.2)	003260
126F	FORMAT(" CONDUCTIVITY OF STORAGE TANK INSULATION",24X,F4.2)	003270
127	FORMAT(" COEFFICIENT OF SPECIFIC HEAT OF STORAGE FLUID",13X,F4.2)	
127F	FORMAT(" NUMBER OF DAYS PROGRAM IS TO RUN",31X,I2)	
128	FORMAT(" KT(",I2,") = ",F6.4)	
129	FORMAT(" (NUMBER OF DAYS IN THE MONTH",38X,F4.0)	
129F	FORMAT(" TOTAL USEFUL SOLAR ENERGY FOR MONTH",24X,F10.1)	
130	FORMAT(" AVERAGE DAILY FLOW USED (GAL)",31X,F10.1)	
130F	FORMAT(" MONTHLY FLOW USED (GAL)",35X,F11.1)	
131	FORMAT(" BACKUP HEAT USED THIS HOUR(BTU)",27X,F11.1)	
131F	FORMAT(" DAILY BACKUP HEAT USED(BTU)",31X,F11.1)	
132	FORMAT(" MONTHLY BACKUP HEAT USED (BTU)",28X,F11.1)	
132F	FORMAT(" MONTHLY LOAD USED (BTU)",35X,F11.1)	
133	FORMAT(" YEARLY BACKUP ENERGY USED (BTU)",25X,F13.1)	
133F	FORMAT(" PERCENT SOLAR ENERGY",30X,F8.0)	
133F	FORMAT(" YEARLY SOLAR ENERGY USED (BTU)",26X,F13.1)	
134	FORMAT(" YEARLY LOAD USED (BTU)",34X,F13.1)	
134F	FORMAT(" NUMBER OF MONTHS PROGRAM IS TO RUN",31X,I2)	
135	FORMAT(" YEARLY SOLAR ENERGY ACCOUNTING FOR TANK LOSS",12X,F13.1)	
200	FORMAT(" ANNUAL MORTGAGE INTEREST RATE",36X,F4.2)	
200F	FORMAT(" TERM OF MORTGAGE",49X,F4.0)	
201	FORMAT(" DOWN PAYMENT, AS FRACTION OF INVESTMENT",24X,F6.4)	
201F	FORMAT(" BACKUP FURNACE COST",41X,F15.2)	
202	FORMAT(" CONVENTIONAL FURNACE COST",34X,F11.2)	
202F	FORMAT(" EFFICIENCY OF SOLAR BACKUP FURNACE",31X,F4.2)	
203	FORMAT(" EFFICIENCY OF CONVENTIONAL SYSTEM FURNACE",24X,F4.2)	
203F	FORMAT(" TAX RATE, FRACTION OF INVESTMENT",32X,F6.4)	
204	FORMAT(" EFFECTIVE INCOME TAX BRACKET",35X,F5.3)	
204F	FORMAT(" EXTRA INS AND MAINT COST, FRACT OF INVESTMENT",20X,F4.2)	
205	FORMAT(" GENERAL INFLATION RATE PER YEAR",33X,F3.3)	
205F	FORMAT(" FUEL INFLATION RATE PER YEAR",35X,F6.3)	
206	FORMAT(" DISCOUNT RATE",51X,F5.3)	

2165 FORMAT(" TERM OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS",+0X,+4.0,
 2170 FORMAT(" FIRST YEAR NON-SOLAR FUEL EXPENSE",28X,F6.2)
 2180 FORMAT(" LOAN PAYMENT",50X,F7.2)
 2185 FORMAT(" LOAN INTEREST",45X,F10.2)
 2190 FORMAT(" CAPITAL COST",47X,F10.2)
 2195 FORMAT(" INSURANCE AND MAINTENANCE COSTS",28X,F10.2)
 2200 FORMAT(" PROPERTY TAX",47X,F10.2)
 2210 FORMAT(" RESIDENTIAL COSTS",+2X,F10.2)
 2220 FORMAT(" COLLECTOR AREA DEPENDENT COSTS",29X,F10.2)
 2225 FORMAT(" COLLECTOR AREA INDEPENDENT COSTS",27X,F10.2)
 2230 FORMAT(" INVESTMENT IN SOLAR MINUS TAX CREDIT",35X,F10.2)
 2235 FORMAT(" FIRST YEAR FUEL EXPENSE",35X,F10.2)
 2240 FORMAT(" FUEL SAVINGS",47X,F10.2)
 2245 FORMAT(" RESIDENTIAL EXPENSES",39X,F10.2)
 2250 FORMAT(" RESIDENTIAL SAVINGS",40X,F10.2)
 2255 FORMAT(" TEMPERATURE OF FLUID FROM SUPPLY",32X,F5.1)
 2260 FORMAT(" PERCENT OF TOTAL LOAD SATISFIED",30X,F5.3)
 2265 FORMAT(" AA =",56X,F8.3)
 2270 FORMAT(" BB =",56X,F8.3)
 2275 FORMAT(" CC =",56X,F8.3)
 2280 FORMAT(" EE =",56X,F8.3)
 2285 FORMAT(" FF =",56X,F8.3)
 2290 FORMAT(" SOLID CONDUCTIVITY",44X,F6.4)
 2295 FORMAT(" PARTICLE DIAMETER(INCHES)",42X,F6.3)
 2300 FORMAT(" INITIAL BED TEMP.",+2X,F5.2)
 2305 FORMAT(" INSULATION CONDUCTIVITY",52X,F8.4)
 2310 FORMAT(" INSULATION THICKNESS(FT)",42X,F5.3)
 2315 FORMAT(" SURFACE AREA OF INSULATION",+0X,F9.3)
 2320 FORMAT(" DYNAMIC VISCOSITY OF AIR",40X,F10.6)
 2325 FORMAT(" FAN EFFICIENCY",+5X,F8.2)
 2330 FORMAT(" COLLECTOR AIR GAP SPACING",+0X,F10.6)
 2335 FORMAT(" WIDTH OF SINGLE COLLECTOR",+0X,F5.3)
 2340 FORMAT(" LENGTH OF SINGLE COLLECTOR",40X,F6.3)
 2345 FORMAT(" LENGTH OF DUCT WORK",43X,F7.3)
 2350 FORMAT(" DUCT DIAMETER",45X,F6.3)
 2355 FORMAT(" NUMBER OF TURNS(ELBOWS)",+0X,F6.3)

```

4187  FORMAT(" HOURS OF OPERATION",42X,"6.3")
      STOP"END OF PROGRAM"
      END

      SUBROUTINE DI(YRS,RTINF,DISC,ZZ)
      IF(RTINF.EQ.DISC) GO TO 105
      ZZ=(1./((DISC-RTINF))+(1.-(((1.+RTINF)/(1.+DISC)))*YRS))
      RETURN
105   ZZ=YRS/(1.+RTINF)
      RETURN
      END

```

Vita

Daniel Bartholomew Fant was born on 2 July 1957 in Queens, New York. He attended Newtown High School in Connecticut and graduated in June, 1975. He then attended the University of Connecticut and graduated in May, 1979 with the degree of Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering. Upon graduation, he received a commission in the USAF through the AFROTC program. He then entered the School of Engineering at the Air Force Institute of Technology in June, 1979.

Permanent Address: 35 Appleblossom Lane
Newtown CT 06470

Thesis was typed by Patricia Browder.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER AFIT/GAE/AA/80D-4	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A094771	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Computer Simulation of Solar Air Heating Systems Using Rock Bed Thermal Storage Units		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED MS Thesis
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Daniel B. Fant, 2D LT, USAF		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT/EN) Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE December 1980
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 128
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION, DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Approved for public release; IAW AFR 190-17 FREDERICK C. LYNCH, MAJOR, USAF 30 DEC 1980 Director of Public Affairs		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Solar Air Heating System Simulation Rock Bed Thermal Storage Fully-Implicit Numerical Scheme		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This thesis is concerned with the analysis and design of solar air heating systems utilizing rock beds as thermal storage units. A computer simulation model capable of estimating the response of both the solar collector and the rock bed is described.		

Block 20: Abstract (Cont'd)

Differential equations describing the rock bed were approximated in a finite-difference form and solved numerically on a digital computer. The temperature of both the solid (rock) and the fluid (air) is determined as a function of time and distance along the bed. The simulation required both charging and discharging of the rock bed for time-varying inlet fluid temperatures. The numerical method used to solve the rock bed equations proved to be stable and convergent and showed satisfactory agreement in comparison to an analytical solution for constant-inlet air temperatures. A cost-analysis was also incorporated within this program; by varying the collector area one could determine the optimum collector size for maximum savings. Pressure drop relationships for flat-plate collectors, duct work and packed beds were used to determine operating costs. The particular air system tested proved to be cost effective when compared with natural gas fuel costs for an economic term of 20 years.