

F/6 9/5

JAN 81 L G CHARRON, R T CLARKE

MIPR-HC1001-8-40079

USNO-TS-1-81

NL

104
 1953-54

END
DATE
FILMED
4-8
DTIC

AD A 096330

LEVEL

64

U.S. NAVAL OBSERVATORY



EVALUATION OF PREDICTABILITY
OF QUARTZ-CRYSTAL OSCILLATORS
AND OTHER DEVICES

THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY AVAILABLE.
THE COPY FURNISHED TO RDC CONTAINED A
SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

DTIC
ELECTE
MAR 16 1981
S D
A

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

FILE COPY

81 3 13 101

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

**THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY
PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED
TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT
NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.**

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER (14) USNO-TS-1-81	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD A096380	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER (9) Rept.	4. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Nov 1978 - Jan 1981
5. TITLE (and Subtitle) EVALUATION OF PREDICTABILITY OF QUARTZ-CRYSTAL OSCILLATORS AND OTHER DEVICES		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
7. AUTHOR(s) (10) Laura G./Charron Randolph T./Clarke, III		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) MIPR-HC1001-8-40079	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Time Service Division U. S. Naval Observatory Washington, DC 20390		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 33126K 2007/1K0110	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Director Defense Communications Agency (Code 660) Washington, DC 20305		12. REPORT DATE (11) 15 January 1981	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Defense Communications Engineering Center Codes R220 and R103R 1860 Wiehle Avenue Reston, VA 22090 (12) 53		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 47 pages	
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES DCEC project monitor, Harris A. Stover			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) frequency standards prediction models quartz-crystal oscillators ARIMA model cesium beam oscillators rubidium oscillators hydrogen maser			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Data were collected over varying intervals for several types of devices (quartz-crystal, rubidium, cesium beam oscillators and hydrogen maser) and compared to NAVOBSY USNO MC. An ARIMA and several polynomial models for prediction purposes were evaluated. It is concluded that very simple prediction methods can suffice in maintaining a timing system.			

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-LF-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

4112227

fwi

U.S. NAVAL OBSERVATORY



EVALUATION OF PREDICTABILITY OF QUARTZ-CRYSTAL OSCILLATORS AND OTHER DEVICES

FEBRUARY 1981

A 23
NH.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page
Introduction	1
Discussion of Measurements	2
Conclusions	3
Appendix	
A.1 Disciplined Time Frequency Oscillator	A.1-1
A.2 Oscilloquartz Excerpt	A.2-1
B Bibliography	B-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1 UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Oscilloquartz Crystal Frequency Oscillator 51)	6
2 UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Oscilloquartz Crystal Frequency Oscillator 52)	7
3 UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (URQ Crystal Frequency Oscillator 10)	8
4 UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Austron Crystal Frequency Oscillator 9714)	9
5 UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Hewlett-Packard Rubidium Frequency Oscillator 236)	10
6 UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Hewlett-Packard Rubidium Frequency Oscillator 229)	11
7 UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Hewlett-Packard Cesium Frequency Oscillator 207)	12
8 UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Hewlett-Packard Cesium Frequency Oscillator 1114)	13
9 UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Hewlett-Packard Cesium Frequency Oscillator 875)	14
10 UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Hewlett-Packard Cesium Frequency Oscillator 549)	15
11 UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Hewlett-Packard Cesium Frequency Oscillator 1264)	16
12 UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Frequency Time System Frequency Oscillator 107)	17
13 UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Frequency Time System Frequency Oscillator 108)	18
14 UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Oscilloquartz Cesium Frequency Oscillator 68)	19
15 UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Hydrogen Maser 10)	20
16 RMS Error Versus Prediction Lead Time, URQ 10 Crystal, ARIMA Model	39
17 RMS Error Versus Prediction Lead Time, HP 236 Rubidium, ARIMA Model	40

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Devices Evaluated	5
2	RMS Prediction Errors for Oscilloquartz Crystal Frequency Oscillator 51	21
3	RMS Prediction Errors for Oscilloquartz Crystal Frequency Oscillator 52	22
4	RMS Prediction Errors for URQ Crystal Frequency Oscillator 10 . . .	23
5	RMS Prediction Errors for Disciplined Time Frequency Oscillator FE 150A	24
6	RMS Prediction Errors for Austron Crystal Frequency Oscillator 9714	25
7	RMS Prediction Errors for Efratom Rubidium Frequency Oscillator FR1	26
8	RMS Prediction Errors for Hewlett-Packard Rubidium Frequency Oscillator 236	27
9	RMS Prediction Errors for Hewlett-Packard Rubidium Frequency Oscillator 229	28
10	RMS Prediction Errors for Hewlett-Packard Cesium Frequency Oscillator 207	29
11	RMS Prediction Errors for Hewlett-Packard Cesium Frequency Oscillator 1114	30
12	RMS Prediction Errors for Hewlett-Packard Cesium Frequency Oscillator 875	31
13	RMS Prediction Errors for Hewlett-Packard Cesium Frequency Oscillator 549	32
14	RMS Prediction Errors for Hewlett-Packard Cesium Frequency Oscillator 1264	33
15	RMS Prediction Errors for Frequency Time System Frequency Oscillator 107	34
16	RMS Prediction Errors for Frequency Time System Frequency Oscillator 108	35
17	RMS Prediction Errors for Oscilloquartz Cesium Frequency Oscillator 68	36
18	RMS Prediction Errors for Hydrogen Maser 10	37
19	RMS Predication Errors for Quartzmatic 1	38

INTRODUCTION

The work to be discussed in this report has resulted from the following:

- a. The recent discovery that Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) models can be applied in the representation of the statistics of clock behavior, and that this can lead to a better separation of purely random from systematic clock noise;
- b. The desire to replace cesium beam atomic clocks with less expensive devices in those applications where frequent comparisons with an external reference are possible; and
- c. The recent availability of superior quartz crystal clocks and microprocessors at relatively low cost.

The use of ARIMA routines for clock modelling had originally been proposed by Barnes (reference 1). At that time, it was not believed to be a practical model because of the extraordinary precision with which the higher coefficients had to be determined in order to achieve acceptable results. The user of higher order polynomials for extrapolation purposes also has this difficulty. In both cases the available data simply does not allow determination of coefficients. This inability produces a compounded effect as extrapolation time goes beyond a few days. In time, even a third power polynomial as a representation of a frequency drift is useless in the case of cesium clocks unless the drift is based on several months of data. However, Percival (reference 2) has showed that for shorter intervals which are important in most practical applications it is not necessary to use such complicated procedures. The question then arises whether these newer predicting models will not allow an extrapolation of quartz crystal clocks for a few days in a simple and reliable manner. For a general discussion of the problem in separation of systematics from purely random (white) clock noise, see reference 3 and particularly figure 3 in reference 4.

The second issue, the replacement of expensive clocks, has also been considered previously. Current digital techniques and, particularly, the availability of inexpensive microprocessors now necessitate consideration of replacing hardware phase-lock loops with processor-controlled phase-steppers. Once data has been obtained from an oscillator connected to an external reference, digital filtering offers a sophisticated way of extrapolating beyond that data whenever the external reference is unavailable. For a general introduction to digital filtering, see reference 5.

The principal idea of using ARIMA models for this purpose is explained on p. 380 of reference 4. An ARIMA model (p,d,q) is a model with p regression and q moving average coefficients in the representation of the d-th differences of clock errors.

Suppose we represent these second differences in time (this yields a stationary time series, in contrast to the series of frequencies which usually exhibit drifts) by a linear difference equation such as:

$$z(t) = \sum_{i=1}^p \theta_i \cdot z(t-i) + \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j \cdot a(t-j) + \theta_0 + a(t)$$

where the θ 's represent regression coefficients and the D 's smoothing coefficients. The a 's then represent the purely random part of the noise, i.e., the one-step advance prediction errors. The D_0 term (if it can be determined with sufficient confidence) is the systematic drift. Considering the purpose of this investigation, i.e., a study of the feasibility of using simple routines in field applications, it is noteworthy that after considerable experimentation with different choices of models and parameters it has become obvious that the simplest model, the ARIMA (0,2,1) with a coefficient of 0.75 as smoothing parameter is best for the purpose desired. The criteria used was that of a least square solution. In no case has a drift coefficient been included. The above equation therefore reduces to:

$$z(t) = -0.75 \cdot a(t-1) + a(t)$$

where the $z(t)$ are the second differences of oscillator phase.

Another general comment should be made. For several years, it has been a common complaint that system designers could not relate to the stability measure which is most commonly used, the two-sample (Allan) variance. Indeed, as pointed out in reference 2, the use of this measure is not without problems. While the two-sample variance (and its square root, which corresponds to a standard deviation) is very simple to obtain, it is not completely insensitive to the presence of noise concentrated in narrow (Fourier) spectral regions; i.e., it is a measure which depends on the presence of a power low-noise spectrum. In contrast, the prediction errors obtained in this report are by themselves a measure of clock performance which is completely independent of any theory. It depends only on the choice of the prediction model. In this way, we obtain a measure which gives the designer exactly what is needed in any practical application.

DISCUSSION OF MEASUREMENTS

Table 1 lists the devices for which measurements were made using the data acquisition system (DAS) of the U.S. Naval Observatory (USNO) which was described by Pu'kovich (reference 6). [Specifications for the URQ10 and the Disciplined Time Frequency Oscillator (DTFO) are given in Appendix A. Specifications for the other devices are available in the manufacturers' manuals.] In this system, a matrix of clock starts against clock stops is measured with a time interval counter (HP5360 Computing Counter) with a resolution of 100ps. The measurements are performed every hour and form the basis for all time scale computations. The main advantage of this system is that the measurements, since they are time interval measurements, are strictly linear and are not plagued by nonlinearities of phase meters, etc. However, if 5MHz signals are used directly and the zero cross-overs are adopted as time markers, cycle ambiguities, of 200ns may exist. This is of no concern in the case of atomic clocks, but crystal clocks exhibit large frequency (rate) differences and the cycle adjustments cannot always be made unambiguously. As a consequence, only the 1 pps measurements are considered completely authoritative for the crystal clocks. This problem is peculiar to the data collection method and not at all representative of a real-life situation where code generators would be driven from the oscillators. After adjustment for cycle slips and other discontinuities, the value of 0000 UT has been used in the various solutions.

In some cases, there have been periods when it became obvious that the clock under test had suffered a disturbance (such as breakdown of laboratory temperature control). Such obviously disturbed periods for any clock have been excluded from analysis since the extrapolation of precise time measurements would not be representative of the capability of that clock. Figures 1 through 15 present UTC (USNO, MC) minus UTC (device).

The prediction errors have all been computed as the root mean square (rms) difference between a specific model prediction and the actual clock error as measured after the fact. These values, as well as the number of samples upon which they were based, are presented in tables 2 through 19. For these purposes, it is clear that the confidence in the prediction errors so determined will increase the longer the total data run.

Figures 16 and 17 are plots of the rms error versus prediction lead time for the shortest and longest calibration interval as determined by the ARIMA model for the URQ10 crystal oscillator and the HP236 rubidium. These are graphic representations of the data contained in tables 4 and 8. As anticipated, discontinuities are introduced when the number of sample intervals change. An extreme case is seen for URQ10 for the calibration interval of 56 days; between days 25 and 26 the number of sample intervals changes from 7 to 8.

In general, one can see from the tables that prediction errors decrease as the calibration interval increases. However, in all cases one can observe that there is a definite limit beyond which the prediction errors do not improve with longer calibration intervals. At this point, it would be necessary to change models. In the case of cesiums, at least, reference 2 gives several examples of (1,2,1) and (0,2,2) models which give very small prediction errors for 64 days. In the context of this investigation, however, direct comparability is judged the most important goal and the most interesting lead times for practical applications will be short ones.

The quality of the clock considered is directly given by its predictability. However, the manner in which the prediction errors decrease both with an increase in calibration interval and with an increase in lead times is much influenced by the model chosen. Overall, the simple (0,2,1) ARIMA model does best. But the difference with a linear extrapolation is not great. The higher power polynomials are all very poor except for Oscilloquartz #51 where we have strong indication that a third power model is best for long-lead times.

An example of the simplest possible oscillator included in the project is a watch crystal (\$10 per unit), identified as Quartzmatic #1 (table 19). The factor of over 1000 by which this oscillator performs worse than any of the others is partially explained by the fact that there is no temperature control or compensation. This example is also interesting for the degree to which the higher power extrapolations degenerate for short calibration intervals. The signal-to-noise ratio is too poor to allow any but the simplest predictions for such short calibrations.

CONCLUSIONS

From the data presented, it is concluded that very simple prediction methods will suffice in maintaining a timing system during interruptions of the linkage with a timing reference. Clocks comparable in quality with the

Oscilloquartz units or the DTFO allow accuracies of about 1 microsecond over a day to a few tens of microseconds over more than 30 days free-running after calibration intervals as short as 1 or 2 weeks. The importance of providing an excellent operational environment for such "flywheel" oscillators must be stressed. This means, among other things, the avoidance of physical frequency adjustments, i.e., the control must be exercised with an external phase stepper or in the form of corrections which the local control processor has to apply arithmetically to all measurements.

Table 1. DEVICES EVALUATED

EVALUATION INTERVAL
(Modified Julian Day Numbers)

I. QUARTZ CRYSTALS		
1. OSCILLOQUARTZ 51	1 pps	44353 - 44490
2. OSCILLOQUARTZ 52	1 pps	44352 - 44496
3. URQ 10	1 pps	44236 - 44359
4. DISCIPLINED TIME FREQUENCY OSC.	1 pps	43900 - 44280
5. AUSTRON 1220-9714	5 MHz	44160 - 44420
II. RUBIDIUMS		
1. EFRATOM FRT	1 pps	43903 - 44114
2. HEWLETT-PACKARD 236	5 MHz	44050 - 44350
3. HEWLETT-PACKARD 229	5 MHz	44120 - 44350
III. CESIUMS		
A. HEWLETT-PACKARD (HP)		
1. HP/5060 207	5 MHz	44120 - 44330
2. HP/5061 1114	5 MHz	44236 - 44420
3. HP/5061/2 ¹ 875	5 MHz	44236 - 44391
4. HP/5061 549	5 MHz	44120 - 44330
5. HP/5061/2 ¹ 1264	5 MHz	44120 - 44350
B. FREQUENCY TIME SYSTEM (FTS)		
1. FTS/4050 107	5 MHz	44297 - 44429
2. FTS/4050 108	5 MHz	44305 - 44429
C. OSCILLOQUARTZ CO. (OSQ)		
OSQ 68	5 MHz	44120 - 44350
IV. HYDROGEN MASER 10 ²	5 MHz	43970 - 44028
V. QUARTZMATIC #1 ³	1 pps	43730 - 44040

¹High performance option.²Due to lack of data, calibration intervals greater than 28 days were not computed.³As this device is for comparison purposes only, the first order solution was not computed.

UTC (USNO, MC) VS. OSO 51 (PPS)

1 RATE -716650.62 NS/DAY SUBTRACTED 1




Figure 1. UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Oscilloquartz Crystal Frequency Oscillator 51)

UTC (USNO, MC) VS. OSO 52 (PPS)
 RATE 36764.44 NS/DAY SUBTRACTED)




Figure 2. UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Oscilloquartz Crystal Frequency Oscillator 52)

UTC (USNO, MC) VS. URQ 10

RATE 1392109.00 NS/DAY SUBTRACTED




Figure 3. UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (URQ Crystal Frequency Oscillator 10)




Figure 4. UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Astron Crystal Frequency Oscillator 9714)

UTC(USNO, MC) VS HP HP 236 (5MHz)
 DATE - 0992 07 05 001 SUBINCL10 1




Figure 5. UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Hewlett-Packard Rubidium Frequency Oscillator 236)




Figure 6. UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Hewlett-Packard Rubidium Frequency Oscillator 229)




Figure 7. UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Hewlett-Packard Cesium Frequency Oscillator 207)




Figure 8. UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Hewlett-Packard Cesium Frequency Oscillator 1114)




Figure 9. UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Hewlett-Packard Cesium Frequency Oscillator 875)




Figure 10. UTC (USNO, MC) (Hewlett-Packard Cesium Frequency Oscillator 549)




Figure 11. UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Hewlett-Packard Cesium Frequency Oscillator 1264)

UTC (USNO, MC) VS. FTS 4050-107

(RATE -148.50 NS/DAY SUBTRACTED)




Figure 12. UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Frequency Time System Frequency Oscillator 107)

UTC (USNO, MC) VS. FTS 4050-108

(RATE 172.82 NS/DAY SUBTRACTED)




Figure 13. UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Frequency Time System Frequency Oscillator 108)




Figure 14. UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Oscilloquartz Cesium Frequency Oscillator 68)

UTC (USNO, MC) VS. H MASER

(RATE 267.10 NS/DAY SUBTRACTED)




Figure 15. UTC (USNO, MC) Minus UTC (Hydrogen Maser 10)

TABLE 2
RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FOR
OSCILLOQUANTZ CRYSTAL FREQUENCY OSCILLATOR #51
(1 pps)
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 4353 - 4440

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS						CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS					
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	
1 DAY	1.5	12.3	2.2	2.3	3.4	1.4	42.0	1.9	3.0	2.3	
2 DAYS	3.5	22.4	4.5	6.2	12.4	2.2	59.0	3.3	5.7	5.4	
5 DAYS	10.5	63.7	13.5	32.6	116.6	5.3	121.3	6.6	17.5	25.4	
10 DAYS	27.7	173.2	35.0	151.3	917.6	12.4	268.8	13.0	56.0	157.7	
15 DAYS	54.5	355.5	69.2	419.7	3570.8	23.5	467.1	24.3	130.2	511.5	
20 DAYS	91.2	551.0	115.1	897.7	9712.0	36.8	718.1	39.3	253.5	1264.5	
25 DAYS	135.3	814.1	172.7	1648.9	21734.0	54.2	1022.1	58.2	432.4	2334.7	
30 DAYS	200.5	1140.1	255.4	2333.4	44454.5	74.4	1377.5	80.5	676.0	4336.5	

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS						CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS					
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	
1 DAY	1.1	86.7	2.0	2.4	2.4	1.1	152.6	2.5	2.6	2.5	
2 DAYS	2.1	110.6	2.7	3.3	5.2	2.2	183.9	3.3	3.9	4.3	
5 DAYS	5.4	195.2	5.3	3.0	19.8	5.4	291.8	5.0	6.4	12.8	
10 DAYS	11.2	379.1	4.5	20.7	79.7	16.0	514.7	13.8	15.3	42.5	
15 DAYS	20.8	613.9	17.6	45.8	223.0	26.3	789.1	23.3	32.0	104.3	
20 DAYS	33.9	903.5	23.2	87.4	499.4	39.6	1116.7	34.5	55.7	210.3	
25 DAYS	50.0	1247.2	40.3	153.3	1019.7	52.5	1495.0	46.0	91.9	380.9	
30 DAYS	69.0	1644.1	57.1	241.2	1825.7	71.4	1929.4	60.4	142.4	553.1	

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS						CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS					
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	
1 DAY	1.2	331.9	4.9	2.6	1.7	0.6	571.7	4.8	2.0	2.7	
2 DAYS	1.3	373.5	6.0	3.5	2.6	1.3	631.5	6.1	2.6	3.4	
5 DAYS	2.8	530.0	7.4	5.3	6.0	3.3	823.3	9.6	4.2	7.1	
10 DAYS	7.0	824.3	13.7	16.8	19.0	6.7	1184.9	14.9	5.1	21.2	
15 DAYS	13.5	1167.3	19.0	31.1	45.4	13.2	1598.2	21.7	11.6	42.3	
20 DAYS	21.2	1567.6	24.7	50.1	38.3	20.5	2054.3	29.3	20.4	76.4	
25 DAYS	30.5	2019.7	32.9	78.6	151.4	29.6	2534.7	41.8	21.7	132.0	
30 DAYS	42.7	2520.2	41.4	112.3	260.7	46.6	3156.9	64.6	16.4	236.1	

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS						
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	CALIBRATION INTERVAL					
	7	14	21	28	42	56
1 DAY	76	36	24	15	12	8
2	73	36	24	15	12	8
5	76	36	24	15	12	8
10	75	34	24	15	12	7
15	72	33	22	15	12	7
20	67	31	21	15	12	7
25	67	30	18	15	11	6
30	60	30	17	14	11	4

TABLE 3
RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FOR
OSCILLOQUARTZ CRYSTAL FREQUENCY OSCILLATOR 252
(1 pps)
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 44352 - 44436

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.7	4.1	1.5	1.7	1.8	0.8	13.2	3.0	2.3	2.9
2 DAYS	2.0	7.3	2.3	4.3	5.6	1.2	18.6	3.1	4.7	5.7
5 DAYS	7.7	20.5	9.2	23.2	54.5	5.9	38.6	8.1	17.8	32.9
10 DAYS	22.0	55.3	25.4	106.3	521.3	13.5	85.9	17.8	59.7	157.7
15 DAYS	41.2	105.7	45.0	289.7	2043.3	27.7	152.3	34.8	138.0	512.1
20 DAYS	71.5	174.2	83.5	524.3	5573.7	40.3	234.2	55.4	273.9	1252.3
25 DAYS	106.3	259.2	125.4	1135.3	12493.8	60.7	333.1	81.7	466.8	2566.5
30 DAYS	157.5	360.3	181.0	1893.2	24424.2	83.9	450.2	111.0	731.8	4573.1

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.7	23.7	2.2	2.0	2.8	0.8	48.3	3.0	2.5	2.2
2 DAYS	1.9	35.9	2.7	3.3	6.0	1.5	58.1	4.1	3.6	3.3
5 DAYS	5.5	84.2	6.7	8.7	23.0	5.2	72.3	7.7	9.3	11.7
10 DAYS	15.3	121.5	13.2	21.0	88.7	11.6	152.4	15.8	22.8	40.1
15 DAYS	27.1	195.5	24.9	45.9	234.9	16.6	248.1	27.6	39.5	88.0
20 DAYS	34.7	283.2	40.6	99.1	509.1	24.1	350.7	41.0	70.0	184.7
25 DAYS	52.1	397.5	57.3	150.2	956.4	36.7	469.9	60.0	112.8	343.2
30 DAYS	74.7	523.2	83.3	237.3	1675.4	51.6	609.2	81.4	166.4	570.3

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.7	105.6	5.3	2.6	2.7	0.6	131.0	7.0	4.6	1.6
2 DAYS	1.6	123.7	7.1	3.9	4.1	1.1	200.6	8.2	5.7	3.1
5 DAYS	5.7	170.5	11.4	10.7	12.1	4.9	251.6	12.3	10.7	9.0
10 DAYS	12.2	263.5	19.2	21.3	28.1	13.5	379.3	19.8	23.4	27.4
15 DAYS	15.5	375.0	30.0	41.8	59.4	11.0	538.3	25.7	40.9	24.0
20 DAYS	23.4	503.1	41.3	71.5	109.3	14.7	657.6	31.0	76.7	45.4
25 DAYS	31.2	645.7	53.1	111.3	135.1	17.7	826.8	36.9	116.6	72.0
30 DAYS	37.9	805.9	67.5	163.2	286.5	25.3	1013.0	46.4	164.4	133.9

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

		NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS					
		CALIBRATION INTERVAL					
PREDICTION LEAD TIME		7	14	21	28	42	56
DAY	1	83	40	24	20	12	8
	2	80	40	24	20	12	8
	5	70	40	24	20	12	8
	10	77	37	27	19	11	8
	15	75	39	25	13	11	7
	20	67	36	23	11	11	7
	25	67	35	23	17	11	7
	30	67	35	22	17	11	7

TABLE 4
RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FOR
JAN CRYSTAL FREQUENCY OSCILLATOR 610
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 44236 - 44359

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	6	13	9	9	13	8	47	24	13	19
2 DAYS	16	23	19	23	47	16	66	35	25	49
5 DAYS	58	54	54	128	115	48	137	70	132	255
10 DAYS	173	155	139	640	3219	129	260	143	531	1222
15 DAYS	362	275	383	1741	12461	243	418	265	1282	3540
20 DAYS	514	473	627	3704	33532	412	527	409	2529	7079
25 DAYS	639	698	832	5130	66427	647	702	559	3525	11033
30 DAYS	1133	765	1149	10029	125747	903	1167	768	5545	19442

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	6	72	22	19	19	9	109	45	28	23
2 DAYS	17	91	36	35	38	21	134	63	43	45
5 DAYS	64	164	74	108	149	72	210	115	99	163
10 DAYS	166	308	215	322	615	177	374	213	246	676
15 DAYS	236	478	356	646	1737	295	589	333	430	1639
20 DAYS	426	738	518	970	3726	409	834	461	734	3419
25 DAYS	512	991	700	1543	7152	555	1099	602	1161	5191
30 DAYS	735	1265	920	2297	12465	757	1414	725	1575	6724

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	1	217	41	40	39	11	465	112	72	35
2 DAYS	3	248	46	56	59	23	514	127	91	59
5 DAYS	35	350	52	136	149	72	669	169	175	171
10 DAYS	101	544	122	326	422	151	936	254	359	445
15 DAYS	190	733	246	625	656	231	1233	372	593	873
20 DAYS	380	1204	511	1005	1183	294	1579	481	958	1532
25 DAYS	445	1531	629	1422	2081	373	1921	510	1196	2474
30 DAYS	547	1879	797	1956	3371	419	2338	605	1522	3779

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS					
	CALIBRATION INTERVAL					
	7	14	21	28	42	56
2	63	32	20	15	3	8
2	68	32	20	15	3	8
5	63	32	20	16	3	3
10	67	32	19	15	3	8
15	61	32	17	14	5	8
20	61	31	16	14	3	3
25	50	28	16	14	3	3
30	56	23	16	12	3	7

TABLE 5
RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FOR
DISCIPLINED TIME FREQUENCY OSCILLATOR
MODEL NO. FE150A SERIAL NO. 7522-5127
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 43900 - 44200

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	2.2	4.8	3.2	3.4	5.0	2.2	10.6	5.3	4.2	4.7
2 DAYS	5.7	7.7	7.0	9.5	18.2	4.4	14.6	8.3	8.3	12.3
5 DAYS	19.7	13.2	22.2	49.4	134.2	12.8	27.6	19.1	28.5	55.4
10 DAYS	59.0	40.2	65.0	227.4	1271.8	36.9	56.1	46.2	104.7	346.3
15 DAYS	119.0	67.9	130.8	520.7	4804.9	73.3	91.9	88.8	258.1	1055.1
20 DAYS	198.2	79.5	215.3	1307.7	12930.2	124.3	132.2	146.0	514.9	2567.1
25 DAYS	293.7	135.8	326.9	2385.2	29631.4	133.4	176.9	212.2	890.0	5225.7
30 DAYS	420.5	160.3	461.1	3937.3	55304.3	247.7	229.5	287.4	1405.6	9532.4

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	2.4	19.7	7.4	5.5	5.3	2.3	34.7	10.9	7.7	7.6
2 DAYS	5.0	23.4	10.9	9.2	10.8	4.8	41.0	14.4	12.4	14.1
5 DAYS	15.3	39.2	24.2	25.0	42.5	14.6	61.8	28.6	31.1	46.1
10 DAYS	42.4	67.6	55.8	74.5	175.2	39.5	97.8	60.0	90.4	152.6
15 DAYS	79.1	105.4	97.4	162.6	474.1	71.8	135.9	98.5	188.5	403.7
20 DAYS	126.1	150.2	153.1	307.0	1056.5	108.5	131.6	142.9	326.0	329.3
25 DAYS	173.3	200.5	213.3	505.3	2035.2	151.6	237.8	196.5	513.8	1512.7
30 DAYS	238.1	255.9	285.7	778.2	3566.1	201.4	298.3	258.3	758.7	2527.4

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	2.5	49.3	11.8	13.5	10.8	2.2	74.0	25.2	20.7	14.6
2 DAYS	5.1	56.0	14.4	13.5	17.2	4.6	31.3	29.9	27.6	20.2
5 DAYS	13.1	70.2	23.4	36.5	44.6	10.8	104.0	45.4	47.8	37.6
10 DAYS	33.1	110.5	41.3	75.4	133.3	27.4	146.4	74.3	88.5	97.6
15 DAYS	53.3	152.6	66.3	131.4	291.5	43.0	197.4	105.8	145.4	175.3
20 DAYS	92.0	203.7	97.2	213.2	566.7	55.9	253.5	143.0	224.4	322.3
25 DAYS	127.1	254.1	130.6	306.2	946.3	37.9	315.9	182.6	326.7	519.1
30 DAYS	163.0	323.5	170.0	433.5	1523.7	114.1	335.5	226.4	451.2	792.4

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

		NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS					
PREDICTION LEAD TIME		CALIBRATION INTERVAL					
		7	14	21	28	42	56
DAY	1	215	103	72	52	36	24
	2	215	103	72	52	36	24
	5	215	103	72	52	36	24
	10	215	107	72	52	36	24
	15	211	104	72	52	36	23
	20	210	102	59	52	35	22
	25	207	102	58	51	35	22
	30	202	102	56	51	34	22

TABLE 6

RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FOR
AUSTRON CRYSTAL FREQUENCY OSCILLATOR MODEL 1220 #9714
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 44150 - 44420

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	2	6	3	3	4	3	10	7	4	4
2 DAYS	6	4	7	4	10	6	14	11	3	11
5 DAYS	22	21	25	51	140	19	23	27	32	60
10 DAYS	50	43	75	247	1053	47	53	60	115	310
15 DAYS	137	70	131	677	3774	89	84	103	271	755
20 DAYS	253	101	256	1447	10003	142	114	163	530	2236
25 DAYS	350	136	332	2587	23293	209	147	245	905	4223
30 DAYS	499	175	547	4291	45301	293	187	337	1411	7615

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	3	18	9	7	7	3	33	12	10	8
2 DAYS	7	23	14	13	15	6	40	17	15	16
5 DAYS	20	38	29	33	61	20	62	34	40	53
10 DAYS	53	69	56	38	250	47	98	74	109	180
15 DAYS	97	101	117	136	669	92	139	129	215	442
20 DAYS	161	141	185	342	1475	142	177	191	365	923
25 DAYS	242	194	276	509	2795	203	217	267	574	1639
30 DAYS	338	228	306	854	4375	272	267	354	937	2990

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	2	49	24	11	9	3	87	40	29	17
2 DAYS	5	56	29	15	15	5	95	47	38	24
5 DAYS	19	79	50	33	43	15	117	69	71	58
10 DAYS	54	120	91	37	132	41	159	119	145	141
15 DAYS	97	165	130	109	291	76	202	176	255	238
20 DAYS	145	213	136	232	548	113	245	236	400	529
25 DAYS	179	254	240	433	925	171	294	320	603	906
30 DAYS	239	327	300	624	1440	223	348	392	820	1415

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS					
	CALIBRATION INTERVAL					
	7	14	21	28	42	56
DAY 1	143	72	48	35	24	15
2	143	72	48	35	24	15
5	143	72	48	35	24	15
10	146	72	48	35	23	16
15	144	72	48	35	23	15
20	141	71	47	35	22	15
25	135	61	45	35	21	13
30	129	60	45	35	21	13

TABLE 7

RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FOR
SEPRATOR RUBIDIUM FREQUENCY OSCILLATOR
TYPE FRT
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 43903 - 44114

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.4	1.2	0.6	0.7	1.0	0.6	2.6	1.9	1.2	1.2
2 DAYS	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.9	3.8	1.4	3.3	2.8	2.4	3.6
5 DAYS	5.1	3.7	5.0	10.1	35.2	4.7	5.1	6.2	10.7	22.2
10 DAYS	15.4	7.3	13.9	45.5	232.1	12.5	8.9	15.0	39.1	115.9
15 DAYS	34.3	10.9	39.5	125.0	1038.4	23.2	12.7	27.4	72.3	363.7
20 DAYS	57.4	14.4	65.3	261.2	2950.2	37.6	16.1	43.8	182.6	893.0
25 DAYS	87.7	17.5	100.0	477.9	6648.0	54.4	19.8	62.4	318.1	1343.0
30 DAYS	123.7	21.4	142.4	797.7	13181.9	74.1	24.1	85.5	504.2	3389.1

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.7	3.3	2.7	1.4	1.3	0.5	3.6	2.8	3.2	2.0
2 DAYS	1.0	4.2	4.2	2.4	2.9	1.2	4.3	3.4	4.7	4.6
5 DAYS	3.9	6.3	8.2	6.3	13.4	3.5	6.5	5.7	10.6	13.9
10 DAYS	10.0	10.0	17.5	19.6	60.5	8.0	10.2	11.1	28.4	47.1
15 DAYS	17.6	14.3	29.4	42.9	157.6	13.1	13.6	16.4	57.7	115.6
20 DAYS	27.9	18.5	44.4	83.0	388.4	20.8	17.4	23.2	102.0	242.6
25 DAYS	39.0	19.9	60.7	137.5	743.5	29.3	21.6	31.2	162.1	448.6
30 DAYS	52.4	23.9	81.4	213.3	1309.3	39.7	39.7	42.4	250.1	775.9

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.5	5.0	2.5	3.1	2.3	0.6	6.5	3.8	3.1	3.7
2 DAYS	1.0	5.5	3.2	4.1	3.8	1.1	7.0	4.8	4.0	5.2
5 DAYS	2.9	7.3	5.5	7.5	10.6	1.7	9.1	8.1	7.2	7.9
10 DAYS	5.6	10.1	10.1	18.1	32.2	3.8	14.2	14.4	12.7	21.1
15 DAYS	11.7	14.7	15.7	23.3	72.5	6.6	20.4	20.6	18.2	40.7
20 DAYS	15.7	19.5	21.7	43.9	135.0	9.6	25.9	27.2	24.2	74.2
25 DAYS	17.4	24.9	28.2	64.2	229.6	10.8	31.4	32.3	30.8	124.4
30 DAYS	24.1	30.7	34.1	89.3	358.0	14.8	34.4	41.5	42.3	214.1

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS					
	7	14	21	28	42	56
DAY 1	120	60	40	28	20	12
2	120	60	40	28	20	12
5	120	60	40	23	20	12
10	119	60	39	23	20	12
15	114	53	39	20	19	12
20	113	55	35	27	19	11
25	110	53	35	26	19	11
30	105	52	34	24	19	9

TABLE 8

RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FJP
HP RUBIDIUM FREQUENCY OSCILLATOR #236
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 44050 - 44350

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
2 DAYS	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
5 DAYS	0.6	0.5	0.7	1.7	7.6	0.5	0.6	0.7	1.0	1.3
10 DAYS	1.9	1.1	2.1	3.7	58.5	1.3	1.1	1.6	3.3	10.0
15 DAYS	3.7	1.7	4.1	23.5	221.5	2.7	1.6	3.1	7.7	31.4
20 DAYS	5.2	2.3	5.8	43.4	578.4	4.4	2.0	4.9	14.7	74.7
25 DAYS	7.4	2.7	10.3	83.2	1323.5	6.4	2.4	7.0	25.4	154.5
30 DAYS	13.3	3.4	14.5	142.4	2513.7	8.6	2.7	9.4	40.0	283.4

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2
2 DAYS	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4
5 DAYS	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.8	1.4	0.5	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.0
10 DAYS	1.1	1.2	1.3	2.3	5.6	1.1	1.6	1.7	2.4	3.5
15 DAYS	2.2	1.7	2.4	5.0	15.1	1.9	1.9	2.7	5.1	9.0
20 DAYS	3.4	2.1	3.0	9.2	33.2	2.8	2.2	3.8	9.1	18.5
25 DAYS	4.6	2.5	4.9	15.7	63.4	3.8	2.3	5.0	13.5	33.3
30 DAYS	5.2	2.3	6.2	23.0	110.4	4.8	2.6	6.2	20.0	56.6

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.1	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.4
2 DAYS	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.9	1.1	0.8	0.6
5 DAYS	0.5	0.9	1.1	1.3	1.4	0.5	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.5
10 DAYS	1.1	1.3	2.1	2.8	7.2	1.1	1.5	2.3	2.4	4.0
15 DAYS	1.9	1.7	3.2	5.1	9.1	1.8	1.8	3.0	3.8	7.6
20 DAYS	2.2	2.0	4.1	7.9	16.8	2.6	2.2	3.6	5.5	13.7
25 DAYS	3.0	2.4	4.3	11.6	24.8	3.4	2.5	4.1	7.6	22.3
30 DAYS	3.2	3.0	5.3	14.1	39.5	4.5	2.9	4.8	10.2	34.7

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

		NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS					
		CALIBRATION INTERVAL					
PREDICTION LEAD TIME		7	14	21	28	42	56
DAY	1	172	84	56	40	28	20
	2	172	84	56	40	28	20
	5	172	84	56	40	28	20
	10	172	73	56	40	28	19
	15	172	79	55	33	23	13
	20	171	73	54	33	27	13
	25	169	77	54	37	25	13
	30	167	77	54	37	25	13

TABLE 9
RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FOR
HP RUBIDIUM FREQUENCY OSCILLATOR #229
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 44120 - 44350

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS						CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS					
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	
1 DAY	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	
2 DAYS	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.5	1.1	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	
5 DAYS	0.9	0.7	1.1	2.0	9.7	0.6	0.7	0.8	1.5	2.0	
10 DAYS	2.7	1.2	3.1	12.0	73.9	1.4	1.1	1.8	5.1	13.7	
15 DAYS	5.3	1.7	6.1	32.8	279.2	2.4	1.8	3.2	12.0	43.3	
20 DAYS	8.7	2.5	10.1	69.3	751.7	3.7	2.5	4.9	23.0	135.1	
25 DAYS	13.1	3.3	15.1	126.8	1603.7	5.5	3.4	7.2	39.6	220.3	
30 DAYS	13.5	4.4	21.2	205.8	3247.1	7.5	4.6	9.8	62.5	406.1	

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS						CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS					
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	
1 DAY	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.3	
2 DAYS	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.6	
5 DAYS	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.2	2.9	0.6	1.0	0.8	1.2	2.1	
10 DAYS	1.5	1.4	1.6	3.7	11.5	1.3	1.7	1.5	3.2	7.4	
15 DAYS	2.5	2.1	2.5	8.1	31.1	2.0	2.5	2.1	6.2	17.7	
20 DAYS	3.9	2.7	3.6	15.0	58.2	2.6	3.5	2.9	10.4	36.4	
25 DAYS	5.5	3.9	4.9	24.9	130.7	3.6	4.6	3.9	16.4	66.5	
30 DAYS	7.2	5.0	6.5	38.5	225.7	4.8	5.8	4.9	24.1	110.8	

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS						CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS					
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	
1 DAY	0.1	0.9	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.1	1.6	0.4	0.4	0.5	
2 DAYS	0.2	1.1	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.2	1.8	0.5	0.5	0.6	
5 DAYS	0.4	1.4	0.6	0.9	0.8	0.4	2.4	0.7	0.8	1.4	
10 DAYS	0.7	2.3	0.9	1.7	2.0	0.7	3.6	0.9	1.1	2.9	
15 DAYS	1.1	3.3	1.2	3.2	4.3	1.3	4.8	1.0	1.8	5.3	
20 DAYS	1.6	4.4	1.6	4.8	8.2	1.7	6.2	1.2	2.5	9.0	
25 DAYS	2.3	5.7	2.2	7.0	14.1	2.1	7.7	1.5	3.4	14.3	
30 DAYS	3.1	7.2	2.6	10.0	22.7	2.7	9.3	1.9	4.6	19.2	

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS							
PREDICTION LEAD TIME		CALIBRATION INTERVAL					
		7	14	21	28	42	56
JAY	1	132	64	44	32	20	13
	2	132	64	44	32	20	16
	5	132	64	44	32	20	19
	10	132	63	44	31	20	16
	15	130	61	43	31	20	16
	20	127	59	42	30	20	16
	25	126	55	41	29	20	15
	30	122	52	40	27	20	15

TABLE 10

RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FOR
HP CESIUM FREQUENCY OSCILLATOR #207
MULTIPLIED JULIAN DAYS: 44120 - 44330

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04
2 DAYS	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.17	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.10
5 DAYS	0.15	0.07	0.17	0.43	1.49	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.18	0.55
10 DAYS	0.41	0.14	0.46	1.78	11.55	0.23	0.14	0.24	0.63	2.26
15 DAYS	0.77	0.20	0.88	4.79	43.62	0.40	0.20	0.44	1.49	8.58
20 DAYS	1.33	0.27	1.44	10.04	118.03	0.62	0.28	0.69	2.38	19.92
25 DAYS	1.95	0.34	2.13	18.13	260.05	0.87	0.36	0.94	4.70	33.86
30 DAYS	2.69	0.40	2.87	28.22	483.22	1.19	0.42	1.29	6.95	59.63

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.05
2 DAYS	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.09
5 DAYS	0.08	0.10	0.12	0.16	0.29	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.14	0.23
10 DAYS	0.15	0.16	0.24	0.42	1.19	0.12	0.14	0.20	0.36	0.75
15 DAYS	0.23	0.22	0.41	0.87	3.22	0.22	0.19	0.33	0.71	1.85
20 DAYS	0.44	0.28	0.62	1.59	6.64	0.36	0.25	0.51	1.21	3.77
25 DAYS	0.66	0.35	0.88	2.61	11.64	0.49	0.34	0.69	1.39	6.44
30 DAYS	0.91	0.43	1.10	3.97	17.31	0.67	0.42	0.88	2.51	10.49

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.01	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.14	0.08	0.07	0.03
2 DAYS	0.02	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.15	0.08	0.08	0.06
5 DAYS	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.14	0.05	0.19	0.12	0.15	0.12
10 DAYS	0.15	0.16	0.13	0.24	0.42	0.12	0.23	0.19	0.33	0.31
15 DAYS	0.25	0.24	0.27	0.42	0.90	0.16	0.32	0.27	0.53	0.62
20 DAYS	0.41	0.31	0.39	0.68	1.59	0.24	0.41	0.37	0.79	1.02
25 DAYS	0.57	0.38	0.51	0.94	2.92	0.28	0.48	0.38	0.99	1.73
30 DAYS	0.71	0.47	0.62	1.30	4.57	0.31	0.56	0.45	1.36	2.74

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS					
	7	14	21	28	42	56
1	120	60	40	28	20	12
2	120	60	40	28	20	12
5	120	60	40	28	20	12
10	117	53	40	23	20	12
15	117	56	39	29	20	12
20	115	55	37	27	20	11
25	113	52	35	25	17	10
30	111	51	33	24	17	10

TABLE 11
RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FJR
HP CESIUM FREQUENCY OSCILLATOR #1114
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 44236 - 44420

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
2 DAYS	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.12	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04
5 DAYS	0.09	0.05	0.04	0.27	1.00	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.11	0.17
10 DAYS	0.26	0.09	0.25	1.25	7.81	0.11	0.06	0.12	0.41	0.87
15 DAYS	0.59	0.11	0.47	3.38	29.61	0.19	0.08	0.20	0.94	2.76
20 DAYS	0.84	0.15	0.79	7.15	60.07	0.30	0.10	0.31	1.79	6.42
25 DAYS	1.27	0.13	1.18	13.03	177.59	0.45	0.12	0.46	3.12	12.86
30 DAYS	1.73	0.22	1.65	21.52	342.54	0.61	0.14	0.64	4.84	23.70

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03
2 DAYS	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.06
5 DAYS	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.12	0.18	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.10	0.18
10 DAYS	0.09	0.05	0.13	0.31	0.78	0.10	0.05	0.08	0.22	0.60
15 DAYS	0.15	0.03	0.21	0.65	2.19	0.16	0.07	0.11	0.42	1.47
20 DAYS	0.22	0.13	0.33	1.17	4.92	0.22	0.10	0.15	0.70	2.98
25 DAYS	0.31	0.12	0.42	1.97	10.07	0.31	0.14	0.21	1.08	5.40
30 DAYS	0.42	0.13	0.55	3.01	17.73	0.39	0.16	0.27	1.57	9.00

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.03
2 DAYS	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.04
5 DAYS	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.09	0.10	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.06
10 DAYS	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.16	0.21	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.08	0.13
15 DAYS	0.16	0.06	0.11	0.26	0.34	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.13	0.24
20 DAYS	0.22	0.09	0.14	0.38	0.59	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.19	0.41
25 DAYS	0.29	0.11	0.17	0.54	0.95	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.26	0.63
30 DAYS	0.43	0.14	0.23	0.70	1.51	0.25	0.21	0.23	0.34	0.83

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS						
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	CALIBRATION INTERVAL					
	7	14	21	28	42	56
DAY 1	104	52	32	24	16	12
2	104	52	32	24	16	12
5	104	52	32	24	16	12
10	102	52	31	24	15	12
15	102	51	30	23	14	12
20	97	49	29	23	14	11
25	93	46	25	23	14	11
30	93	43	23	23	13	10

TABLE 12

RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FOR
HP DESIGN FREQUENCY OSCILLATOR #875
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 44236 - 44391

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS					CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS				
	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03
2 DAYS	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.15	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03
5 DAYS	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.32	1.26	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.12	0.30
10 DAYS	0.27	0.03	0.22	1.47	7.30	0.19	0.08	0.13	0.41	1.45
15 DAYS	0.55	0.12	0.44	4.06	34.14	0.28	0.12	0.23	0.92	4.20
20 DAYS	0.85	0.15	0.71	8.53	87.67	0.45	0.15	0.35	1.77	9.94
25 DAYS	1.30	0.21	1.07	15.59	195.10	0.58	0.21	0.51	3.07	20.61
30 DAYS	1.82	0.25	1.52	25.84	379.09	0.93	0.25	0.69	4.37	37.95

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS					CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS				
	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03
2 DAYS	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05
5 DAYS	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.11	0.22	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.13
10 DAYS	0.17	0.08	0.13	0.30	0.87	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.20	0.45
15 DAYS	0.29	0.11	0.20	0.60	2.31	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.40	1.11
20 DAYS	0.45	0.14	0.32	1.10	5.16	0.21	0.18	0.22	0.59	2.24
25 DAYS	0.65	0.19	0.45	1.83	10.18	0.30	0.22	0.30	1.08	4.03
30 DAYS	0.92	0.22	0.61	2.75	18.01	0.37	0.27	0.38	1.54	6.84

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS					CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS				
	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.03
2 DAYS	0.02	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.01	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.04
5 DAYS	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.07	0.12	0.03	0.09	0.06	0.07	0.08
10 DAYS	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.15	0.33	0.06	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.20
15 DAYS	0.16	0.14	0.15	0.26	0.70	0.10	0.14	0.17	0.20	0.40
20 DAYS	0.23	0.19	0.21	0.41	1.27	0.16	0.17	0.24	0.34	0.67
25 DAYS	0.34	0.21	0.30	0.59	2.09	0.19	0.20	0.31	0.53	1.04
30 DAYS	0.50	0.22	0.40	0.86	3.28	0.28	0.20	0.37	0.72	1.71

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS					
	7	14	21	28	42	56
1	93	44	28	20	12	8
2	88	44	28	20	12	8
5	33	44	28	20	12	8
10	37	44	28	19	12	8
15	31	42	28	19	12	8
20	77	42	26	19	12	7
25	77	40	24	19	12	6
30	74	39	23	13	12	5

TABLE 13
RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FOR
Rb Cesium Frequency Oscillator #549
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 44120 - 44330

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS						CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS				
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03
2 DAYS	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.15	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.09
5 DAYS	0.12	0.05	0.13	0.32	1.36	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.14	0.29
10 DAYS	0.37	0.10	0.40	1.49	10.46	0.16	0.08	0.20	0.40	1.49
15 DAYS	0.71	0.15	0.77	4.06	39.73	0.30	0.12	0.35	1.05	4.63
20 DAYS	1.19	0.21	1.27	8.57	107.87	0.48	0.16	0.55	1.79	11.07
25 DAYS	1.73	0.26	1.89	15.61	233.95	0.71	0.19	0.72	3.34	21.21
30 DAYS	2.43	0.29	2.59	24.75	451.37	0.96	0.21	0.89	4.95	35.25

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS						CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS				
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04
2 DAYS	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.09
5 DAYS	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.18	0.23	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.13
10 DAYS	0.13	0.10	0.12	0.47	0.96	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.19	0.57
15 DAYS	0.23	0.14	0.19	0.98	2.63	0.20	0.13	0.17	0.33	1.35
20 DAYS	0.34	0.13	0.23	1.67	4.64	0.29	0.17	0.25	0.62	2.80
25 DAYS	0.43	0.22	0.39	2.32	9.06	0.42	0.20	0.34	0.98	4.84
30 DAYS	0.65	0.25	0.49	3.10	15.07	0.52	0.21	0.47	1.36	6.49

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS						CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS				
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.03
2 DAYS	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.05
5 DAYS	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.09	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.12	0.11
10 DAYS	0.09	0.09	0.14	0.20	0.25	0.10	0.13	0.15	0.22	0.27
15 DAYS	0.15	0.12	0.22	0.36	0.51	0.16	0.16	0.21	0.38	0.51
20 DAYS	0.21	0.16	0.30	0.55	0.96	0.25	0.16	0.27	0.61	0.93
25 DAYS	0.27	0.19	0.38	0.84	1.51	0.35	0.20	0.32	0.90	1.54
30 DAYS	0.32	0.20	0.46	1.15	2.42	0.43	0.22	0.38	1.24	2.27

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

		NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS					
		CALIBRATION INTERVAL					
PREDICTION LEAD TIME		7	14	21	28	42	56
DAY	1	120	60	40	23	20	12
	2	120	60	40	23	20	12
	5	120	60	40	23	20	12
	10	119	53	40	23	20	12
	15	117	56	37	23	20	12
	20	115	53	37	27	20	11
	25	113	52	35	25	19	10
	30	111	51	33	24	17	10

TABLE 14

RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FOR
HP CESIUM FREQUENCY OSCILLATOR #1254
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 44120 - 44350

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03
2 DAYS	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.16	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.07
5 DAYS	0.11	0.05	0.11	0.35	1.37	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.12	0.33
10 DAYS	0.31	0.09	0.27	1.63	10.68	0.21	0.07	0.13	0.42	1.59
15 DAYS	0.60	0.13	0.56	4.44	39.23	0.40	0.09	0.23	0.99	4.73
20 DAYS	0.95	0.17	0.90	9.39	105.59	0.65	0.12	0.36	1.94	11.30
25 DAYS	1.42	0.21	1.31	16.72	224.65	0.92	0.15	0.52	3.25	23.37
30 DAYS	2.00	0.25	1.85	27.79	431.36	1.29	0.18	0.73	5.25	43.25

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
2 DAYS	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05
5 DAYS	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.15	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.15
10 DAYS	0.13	0.09	0.13	0.30	0.50	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.21	0.52
15 DAYS	0.23	0.12	0.21	0.55	1.51	0.17	0.11	0.17	0.39	1.23
20 DAYS	0.33	0.14	0.32	1.21	3.51	0.23	0.13	0.23	0.69	2.66
25 DAYS	0.51	0.17	0.44	2.02	5.69	0.34	0.16	0.31	1.07	4.93
30 DAYS	0.67	0.21	0.58	3.11	11.69	0.45	0.20	0.39	1.56	8.24

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.03
2 DAYS	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.05
5 DAYS	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.11
10 DAYS	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.13	0.19	0.08	0.11	0.09	0.16	0.24
15 DAYS	0.14	0.10	0.14	0.22	0.39	0.12	0.14	0.11	0.26	0.48
20 DAYS	0.20	0.13	0.13	0.35	0.75	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.39	0.90
25 DAYS	0.29	0.15	0.22	0.54	1.29	0.22	0.19	0.19	0.54	1.26
30 DAYS	0.36	0.18	0.23	0.76	2.04	0.26	0.23	0.25	0.69	1.90

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS						
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	CALIBRATION INTERVAL					
	7	14	21	28	42	56
DAY 1	132	64	44	32	20	16
2	132	64	44	32	20	16
5	132	64	44	32	20	16
10	132	63	44	31	20	16
15	130	61	43	31	20	16
20	129	59	42	30	20	16
25	126	55	41	29	20	16
30	122	52	40	29	20	16

TABLE 15

RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FOR
FTS CESIUM FREQUENCY OSCILLATOR MODEL 4350 #107
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 44297 - 44429

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS						CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS				
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
2 DAYS	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.08	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03
5 DAYS	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.17	0.59	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.07	0.14
10 DAYS	0.17	0.05	0.15	0.75	5.19	0.08	0.04	0.07	0.25	0.77
15 DAYS	0.32	0.07	0.23	2.10	19.10	0.15	0.07	0.14	0.57	2.42
20 DAYS	0.50	0.10	0.44	4.47	50.91	0.24	0.10	0.23	1.08	5.60
25 DAYS	0.75	0.15	0.65	8.18	112.59	0.36	0.13	0.33	1.77	11.49
30 DAYS	1.04	0.17	0.91	13.45	219.39	0.50	0.17	0.46	2.79	20.57

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS						CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS				
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01
2 DAYS	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02
5 DAYS	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.10	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06
10 DAYS	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.15	0.43	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.11	0.18
15 DAYS	0.11	0.05	0.09	0.32	1.17	0.12	0.09	0.12	0.22	0.45
20 DAYS	0.13	0.07	0.14	0.60	2.60	0.20	0.13	0.17	0.39	0.91
25 DAYS	0.25	0.10	0.20	0.96	4.96	0.29	0.16	0.23	0.63	1.64
30 DAYS	0.33	0.13	0.29	1.51	9.06	0.40	0.20	0.29	0.96	2.76

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS						CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS				
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE	ARIMA	1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.03	0.02	0.02
2 DAYS	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.16	0.04	0.02	0.02
5 DAYS	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.04
10 DAYS	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.08	0.15	0.04	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.11
15 DAYS	0.09	0.12	0.08	0.14	0.33	0.07	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.22
20 DAYS	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.24	0.62	0.11	0.14	0.16	0.23	0.34
25 DAYS	0.20	0.17	0.15	0.37	1.07	0.17	0.15	0.21	0.33	0.46
30 DAYS	0.28	0.20	0.19	0.54	1.69	0.23	0.17	0.26	0.46	0.67

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS						
PREDICTION LEAD TIME		CALIBRATION INTERVAL				
		7	14	21	23	42
DAY	1	76	36	24	15	12
	2	76	36	24	15	12
	5	75	36	24	16	12
	10	74	35	24	15	12
	15	70	34	22	15	10
	20	64	30	21	15	10
	25	62	23	21	15	9
	30	61	27	19	15	8

TABLE 16

RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FOR
FTS CESIUM FREQUENCY OSCILLATOR MODEL 4050 #108
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 44305 - 44429

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.01	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03
2 DAYS	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.08	0.20	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.07
5 DAYS	0.14	0.08	0.14	0.37	1.72	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.21	0.33
10 DAYS	0.42	0.17	0.42	1.75	13.16	0.21	0.19	0.28	0.71	1.39
15 DAYS	0.83	0.28	0.60	4.86	50.59	0.40	0.25	0.56	1.08	5.67
20 DAYS	1.41	0.35	1.33	10.06	136.04	0.59	0.23	0.39	3.44	13.84
25 DAYS	2.14	0.35	1.90	18.23	300.40	0.92	0.23	1.24	5.98	28.34
30 DAYS	2.98	0.38	2.09	30.16	584.95	0.95	0.23	1.12	8.45	52.81

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.08	0.09	0.04	0.04
2 DAYS	0.03	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.08	0.03	0.10	0.12	0.07	0.08
5 DAYS	0.09	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.32	0.08	0.15	0.21	0.19	0.25
10 DAYS	0.21	0.22	0.25	0.42	1.23	0.23	0.28	0.43	0.55	0.80
15 DAYS	0.38	0.13	0.32	1.00	3.33	0.37	0.21	0.41	1.09	1.74
20 DAYS	0.60	0.20	0.46	1.91	7.14	0.54	0.20	0.56	1.35	3.33
25 DAYS	0.87	0.19	0.59	3.13	13.44	0.47	0.22	0.61	1.75	5.64
30 DAYS	1.05	0.24	0.74	2.94	18.57	0.53	0.21	0.65	2.54	7.35

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.01	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.06
2 DAYS	0.03	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.03	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.07
5 DAYS	0.07	0.08	0.14	0.15	0.18	0.07	0.15	0.12	0.17	0.16
10 DAYS	0.13	0.15	0.29	0.33	0.54	0.16	0.21	0.15	0.32	0.46
15 DAYS	0.28	0.13	0.22	0.57	1.03	0.25	0.28	0.16	0.47	0.91
20 DAYS	0.35	0.23	0.25	0.86	1.90	0.32	0.32	0.14	0.59	1.54
25 DAYS	0.38	0.27	0.23	1.17	3.17	0.39	0.36	0.21	0.72	2.39
30 DAYS	0.41	0.32	0.30	1.54	5.00	0.28	0.44	0.31	0.97	3.63

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

		NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS					
		CALIBRATION INTERVAL					
PREDICTION LEAD TIME		7	14	21	28	42	56
DAY 1	53	32	20	15	3	3	
2	63	32	20	15	3	8	
5	68	32	20	15	3	8	
10	63	32	20	15	3	3	
15	64	32	18	12	7	8	
20	62	30	18	12	7	3	
25	57	29	13	10	7	3	
30	57	25	16	7	7	7	

TABLE 17

RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FOR
OSCILLQUARTZ CESIUM FREQUENCY OSCILLATOR #58
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 44120 - 44350

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03
2 DAYS	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.12	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06
5 DAYS	0.10	0.05	0.11	0.33	1.11	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.14	0.21
10 DAYS	0.28	0.09	0.30	1.50	3.71	0.17	0.10	0.15	0.47	1.55
15 DAYS	0.54	0.14	0.59	4.06	33.10	0.31	0.15	0.26	1.06	4.84
20 DAYS	0.87	0.20	0.95	8.54	93.05	0.50	0.20	0.41	2.11	11.63
25 DAYS	1.33	0.25	1.42	15.62	200.91	0.75	0.25	0.59	3.36	23.91
30 DAYS	1.83	0.32	1.93	24.91	362.05	1.05	0.30	0.77	5.11	40.60

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03
2 DAYS	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05
5 DAYS	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.15	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.15
10 DAYS	0.13	0.10	0.13	0.23	0.59	0.12	0.11	0.15	0.17	0.43
15 DAYS	0.23	0.15	0.22	0.48	1.53	0.20	0.16	0.25	0.33	1.15
20 DAYS	0.37	0.22	0.34	0.89	3.31	0.30	0.22	0.34	0.59	2.37
25 DAYS	0.52	0.23	0.49	1.45	5.09	0.41	0.26	0.45	0.76	4.27
30 DAYS	0.70	0.34	0.64	2.17	10.51	0.53	0.30	0.56	1.44	7.13

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.03
2 DAYS	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05
5 DAYS	0.04	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.04	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.11
10 DAYS	0.09	0.08	0.16	0.15	0.27	0.08	0.12	0.14	0.19	0.23
15 DAYS	0.15	0.12	0.26	0.25	0.58	0.13	0.16	0.20	0.33	0.55
20 DAYS	0.23	0.15	0.33	0.36	1.11	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.50	0.98
25 DAYS	0.32	0.20	0.41	0.54	1.91	0.27	0.26	0.31	0.70	1.60
30 DAYS	0.43	0.23	0.52	0.75	3.05	0.38	0.28	0.36	0.97	2.34

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

		NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS					
		CALIBRATION INTERVAL					
PREDICTION LEAD TIME		7	14	21	28	42	56
DAY	1	132	64	44	32	20	16
	2	132	64	44	32	20	16
	5	132	64	44	32	20	15
	10	132	63	44	31	20	16
	15	130	61	43	31	20	16
	20	129	59	42	30	20	16
	25	125	55	41	29	20	16
	30	122	52	40	29	20	15

TABLE 18

RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FOR
HYDROGEN MASER #10
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 43970 - 44023

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02
2 DAYS	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.11	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.03
5 DAYS	0.08	0.03	0.09	0.25	0.97	0.05	0.11	0.04	0.07	0.36
10 DAYS	0.20	0.15	0.25	1.17	7.34	0.11	0.21	0.07	0.25	1.84
15 DAYS	0.38	0.29	0.45	3.05	23.70	0.22	0.38	0.10	0.54	5.74
20 DAYS	0.57	0.44	0.64	6.49	79.26	0.28	0.60	0.10	0.64	11.13
25 DAYS	0.91	0.66	0.92	12.24	173.46	0.39	0.84	0.15	1.39	22.57
30 DAYS	1.20	0.93	1.27	18.29	365.65	0.75	1.14	0.17	2.34	41.95

PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS				ARIMA	CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS			
		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE		1ST DEGREE	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.12	0.02	0.02	0.02
2 DAYS	0.02	0.10	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.15	0.03	0.03	0.04
5 DAYS	0.04	0.17	0.05	0.07	0.13	0.07	0.23	0.05	0.05	0.13
10 DAYS	0.10	0.33	0.07	0.16	0.56	0.12	0.42	0.07	0.11	0.38
15 DAYS	0.19	0.52	0.09	0.35	1.27	0.18	0.64	0.07	0.20	0.95
20 DAYS	0.12	0.74	0.19	0.76	2.52	0.19	0.90	0.06	0.24	1.58
25 DAYS	0.08	1.05	0.26	1.38	5.29	0.17	1.21	0.13	0.63	1.19
30 DAYS	0.10	1.39	0.33	2.03	9.09	----	----	----	----	----

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF MICROSECONDS.

NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS					
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	CALIBRATION INTERVAL				
	7	14	21	28	
DAY 1	32	16	9	8	
2	32	16	8	3	
5	32	16	8	3	
10	31	15	8	3	
15	27	15	6	5	
20	25	13	4	3	
25	23	12	3	1	
30	13	4	3	----	

TABLE 19

RMS PREDICTION ERRORS
FOR
QUARTZMATIC #1
MODIFIED JULIAN DAY: 43730 - 44040

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 7 DAYS				
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	.313	.020	.024	.038
2 DAYS	.035	.043	.072	.137
5 DAYS	.125	.135	.391	1.231
10 DAYS	.373	.391	1.782	7.564
15 DAYS	.740	.772	4.304	36.326
20 DAYS	1.109	1.145	9.735	98.021
25 DAYS	1.670	1.723	17.645	217.230
30 DAYS	2.373	2.444	29.075	423.963

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 14 DAYS			
ARIMA	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
0.015	0.032	0.036	0.038
0.034	0.055	0.069	0.074
0.075	0.134	0.215	0.493
0.244	0.320	0.709	2.657
0.474	0.596	1.462	7.249
0.731	0.892	2.792	15.830
1.149	1.274	4.740	32.244
1.600	1.769	7.451	59.191

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 21 DAYS				
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	.313	.042	.033	.033
2 DAYS	.038	.063	.057	.069
5 DAYS	.092	.120	.129	.233
10 DAYS	.257	.313	.395	.909
15 DAYS	.477	.559	.838	2.442
20 DAYS	.721	.853	1.530	5.259
25 DAYS	1.032	1.200	2.546	10.141
30 DAYS	1.403	1.602	3.917	17.657

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 28 DAYS			
ARIMA	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
0.012	0.053	0.041	0.029
0.029	0.078	0.065	0.053
0.078	0.161	0.161	0.175
0.197	0.334	0.422	0.617
0.399	0.560	0.737	1.669
0.646	0.848	1.356	3.558
0.941	1.192	2.114	6.652
1.284	1.583	3.109	11.395

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 42 DAYS				
PREDICTION LEAD TIME	ARIMA	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
1 DAY	.313	.086	.087	.044
2 DAYS	.031	.114	.123	.067
5 DAYS	.094	.213	.241	.170
10 DAYS	.169	.393	.471	.473
15 DAYS	.317	.626	.720	1.163
20 DAYS	.503	.845	1.025	2.283
25 DAYS	.735	1.142	1.454	4.142
30 DAYS	1.013	1.465	1.979	6.359

CALIBRATION INTERVAL 56 DAYS			
ARIMA	2ND DEGREE	3RD DEGREE	4TH DEGREE
0.016	0.132	0.085	0.066
0.035	0.159	0.107	0.100
0.093	0.244	0.188	0.226
0.239	0.439	0.356	0.628
0.416	0.648	0.577	1.306
0.633	0.907	0.878	2.301
0.872	1.191	1.230	3.721
1.223	1.541	1.715	5.838

NOTE: THE RMS PREDICTION ERRORS ARE IN UNITS OF SECONDS.

NUMBER OF SAMPLE INTERVALS						
		CALIBRATION INTERVAL				
PREDICTION LEAD TIME		7	14	21	28	56
DAY	1	180	38	50	44	23
	2	180	33	60	44	23
	5	180	33	60	44	23
	10	180	33	60	43	23
	15	177	35	60	41	23
	20	177	84	59	41	23
	25	175	84	57	41	23
	30	173	33	55	41	23

FIGURE 16





FIGURE 17
HP 235 RUBIDIUM
ARIMA MODEL



Appendix A.1

DISCIPLINED TIME FREQUENCY OSCILLATOR*

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

OUTPUT SIGNALS

FREQUENCIES

Sine Wave: 5 MHz, 1 MHz
Square Wave: 100 kHz
Pulse: 1 PPS, 1 MPPS

LEVELS:

Sine Wave: 1.0 Vrms $\pm 20\%$ into 50 ohms
Square Wave: TTL compatible, 50% duty cycle
Pulse:
1 PPS: $+4.7 \text{ V} \pm 10\%$ into 50 ohm, 20 usec. wide. Rise time less than 10ns. Jitter less than 100ps (unsynchronized)
1 MPPS: 0.2 usec. wide, negative going, TTL compatible

STABILITY (5 MHz):

AVERAGING TIME:

100 usec.	3 PP10 ⁹
1 msec.	4 PP10 ¹⁰
10 msec.	5 PP10 ¹¹
100 msec.	6 PP10 ¹²
1 sec.	2 PP10 ¹²
10 sec.	2 PP10 ¹²
100 sec.	3 PP10 ¹²

24 Hours:	3-5 PP10 ¹¹
Under shock (MIL-E-16400)	2 PP10 ⁹
Temperature (0° to +50°C)	2 PP10 ¹⁰
Orientation	$\Delta f/f \pm 5 \text{ PP10}^{10} \text{ max at } \pm 45^\circ$

ISOLATION: All outputs short circuit proof.
1 PP 10¹¹ freq change no load to full load.

SPECTRAL PURITY:

Harmonics: $< -40 \text{ dB}$
Spurious: 5 MHz, 1 MHz $< -110 \text{ dB}$
Single Sideband Noise (dB/Hz). Referenced to Carrier

Noise	Frequency From Carrier	5 MHz	1 MHz
	10 Hz	-130	-135
	100 Hz	-140	-140
	1 kHz	-160	-145
	10 kHz	-165	-150

WARM-UP CHARACTERISTIC (at +22°C)

To lock $< 1 \text{ hour}$
To 5 PP10¹¹/day $< 11 \text{ days}$

OSCILLATOR ADJUSTMENT

Coarse Adj. Range: 1 PP 10⁷
Fine Adj. Range: Digital Indicator 0 to 999 in PP10¹¹

*Excerpt from Reference 7

PHASE LOCK FUNCTIONS

INPUT FREQUENCIES:

5 MHz	1-10V PP
1 MHz	1-4V PP

INPUT IMPEDANCE:

$> 1000 \text{ ohms}$

RESOLUTION (With Memory):

$\pm 2.5 \text{ PP10}^{12}$

PHASE LOCK LOOP FILTER:

Time Constant: 100 sec. or 1 sec., switch selectable.
Control Range: $\pm 1 \text{ PP10}^9$

UNLOCK DETECTOR:

Responds to loss of input signal level, phase detector slippage, or loss of internal reference

LOCK ACQUISITION:

Manual control.

1 PPS GENERATOR

SYNCHRONIZATION INPUT:

- 1 PPS, 1-10V PP width $> 5 \text{ usec.}$
- 1 PPS +1 MHz (Algebraically added) equal amplitudes of 1-3V PP, pulse width $> 5 \text{ usec.}$
- Sync Pulse Rise Time: 0.1 usec. maximum

Synchronization Delay:

0.5 usec. min., 0.25 usec. max.

Synchronization Mode:

- Continuous (Syncs to every input pulse)
- Intermittent (Syncs to first pulse after switch is thrown)

POWER REQUIREMENTS:

AC: 115 Vac $\pm 10\%$, 50-400 Hz, single phase (15 watts nominal) 28 watts max.

External DC: +22 to +30 Vdc (12 watts nominal) 26 watts max. (cold start)

Internal Battery Capacity: 7 hours at +22°C (automatic recharge)

CIRCUIT CHECK FUNCTIONS

TEST METER/SWITCH	Front Panel Switch; 12 Positions 5 MHz output 1 MHz output 100 kHz output Memory Voltage 5 MHz or 1 MHz Reference Input Battery Charge/Discharge Current Battery Voltage +10 VDC DC Input Outer Oven Monitor Regulator Voltage Inner Oven Monitor
-------------------	--

CONNECTORS

Front and Rear:	5 MHz, 1 MHz, 100 kHz, 1 PPS (BNC)
Front Only:	1 PPS sync input (BNC) 5 MHz lock input (BNC)
Rear Only:	1 MHz +1 PPS sync and lock input, 1 MPPS output, (BNC) EXT. DC input. (MS 3102E-10SL-3P)

ENVIRONMENTAL

Humidity:	95% RH
Altitude:	0 to 15,000 ft.
Operating Temperature Range:	0°C to +50°C (Navy Class 3)
Shock & Vibration:	Per MIL-E-16400 (Note: to MIL-E-5400 optional)
EMI	Meets MIL-STD-461, MIL-STD-462.

MECHANICAL

Portable:	Carrying handle integral to case.
Housing Dimensions:	12" long x 5 1/2" wide x 7 3/4" high
Weight w/Batteries:	23 pounds

OPTIONS:

- 1) Phase lock input for 1/N MHz rate, all integral submultiples of 1 MHz from 500 kHz down to 1 Hz.
- 2) Other operating temperature ranges (-54°C to +65°C, -40°C to +55°C, +15°C to +35°C, Navy classes 1, 2, 4 respectively).
- 3) 10 minutes warmup to lock.
- 4) DTF Module FE 150A may be ordered separately for OEM users (See outline drawing for installation details).
- 5) DTF without 1 PPS Generator and Frequency Control is a low noise high stability standard.
- 6) Additional Battery Pack for extended battery operating periods up to 24 hours.
- 7) MIL-E-5400 environmental specification.
- 8) AC failure remote alarm relay.
- 9) Permanent non-volatile memory for restoration of DTF accuracy after complete power failure.
- 10) Other output frequencies available.
- 11) External Memory "Hold" Control.
- 12) 100 MHz Output Module

APPLICATIONS:

- 1) Quartz Crystal Frequency and Time Standard for laboratory, factory, ground and satellite communications, air collision avoidance or navigation systems.
- 2) Tracking Filter — Narrowband tracking filter (1 Hz or 0.01 Hz bandwidth).
- 3) Time clean-up filter. Synchronized at user's option, 50 pico-sec. jitter when unsynced.
- 4) Portable Time/Frequency Transfer. Sync the DTF to an Atomic Standard and transport to site for transfer or comparison of time-frequency.
- 5) Local or remote slave clean-up oscillator for cesium beam standard provides better short term stability characteristic and spectral purity.
- 6) Low noise carrier generator for exciting transmitters.
- 7) Low-noise reference for signal measurements.
- 8) Redundant clock with cesium standard, two DTF's plus cesium replaces three cesium standards and comparators.
- 9) Terminus of TV time link where information is intermittent.
- 10) Terminus of microwave link where information is intermittent.
- 11) Observation of unfiltered phase detector output enables measurement of jitter, spurious, etc. on other equipment.
- 12) Portable accurate time transfer for line delay measurements.

Appendix A.2

OSCILLOQUARTZ*

3.0 Performance. Equipment performances established by this specification are minimum requirements, and any variations must only be in the direction of improved performance. The contractor is held responsible for meeting these performance requirements unless specific exception has been obtained in writing from the Contracting Officer.

3.1 Output Signals.

Sine Wave 1 MHz and 5 MHz at 1.0 V_{rms} ±20%
into 50 ohms

Pulse 1 PPS at 5V PP ±20% into 50 ohms

3.2 Frequency Stability. $\frac{\Delta f}{f}$

-5 MHz Signal (Under free-running
and memory conditions)

Averaging Time

$$\frac{\Delta f}{f}$$

10 msec	5 in 10 ¹¹
100 msec	6 in 10 ¹²
1 sec	2 in 10 ¹²
100 sec	3 in 10 ¹²

Frequency Drift. After a warm-up period of 10 days, the accumulated frequency drift shall not exceed the following rates:

24 hours	1 in 10 ¹⁰
10 days	7 in 10 ¹⁰

Environmental Effects. The frequency errors caused by the following effects shall not exceed the following:

Temperature (0° to 50°C)	±5 in 10 ¹⁰
Supply Voltage (115 VAC ±10%)	±3 in 10 ¹¹
Load Variation (50 ohms ±10%)	±5 in 10 ¹¹
Orientation (±45°)	±5 in 10 ¹⁰

*Excerpt from Solicitation No. N68171-76-R-0144, 13 April 1976.

3.3 Spectral Purity.

- (a) Harmonics 5 MHz - At least 40 dB below output
 1 MHz - At least 30 dB below output
- (b) Non-Harmonic, Subharmonics, & Spurious 5 MHz - At least 110 dB below output for frequency offset greater than 1000 Hz from carrier.

(c) Single Sideband Noise (dB/Hz)

<u>Frequency Offset from Carrier (Hz)</u>	<u>5 MHz</u>	<u>1 MHz</u>
0.1	-71	-76
1	-101	-106
10	-130	-135
100	-140	-140
1000	-160	-145

3.4 Frequency Adjustment.

- Coarse Adjustment Range 1 in 10^7
- Fine Adjustment Range 1 in 10^8
- Resolution 2 in 10^{11}

3.5 Phase Lock Function. The capability of being phase-locked to the following input reference frequencies shall be provided.

- 5 MHz 1 to 10V PP
- 1 MHz 1 to 4V PP
- Input Impedance 50 ohms
- Loop Filter Time constant selectable 1, 10, and 100 seconds
- Control Range 1 in 10^8
- Resolution (with memory) 1 in 10^{11}
- Unlock Indicator Activated by loss of input signal, phase detector slippage, or loss of reference signal
- Loop Control Voltage Indicator To allow course adjustment of oscillator frequency

3.6 Digital Memory. Capable of maintaining the oscillator at its last phase-locked frequency for a period of at least 10 days after reference signal is lost. During the period of no reference signal, the frequency stability and spectral purity shall be as specified in Paragraphs 3.2 and 3.3 respectively. Reacquisition of phase lock shall be automatic after the reference signal is restored and shall be accomplished at a correction rate no greater than the oscillator loop time constant.

3.7 Synchronous Counter.

Output	1 PPS at 5V PP
Synchronization Input	1 PPS at 1 to 10V into 50 ohms
Synchronization Mode	Continuous (syncs to every pulse), intermittent (to first pulse)
Synchronization Delay	The internal 1 PPS shall be capable of being shifted in steps of 0.1 μ sec maximum over a range of 10 μ sec minimum.

3.8 Clock.

Time Counter

Display	Hours, minutes, and seconds
Synchronization	Internal 1 PPS operates clock and can be synchronized to external 1 PPS reference
Time Setting	Push-button for rapid time setting

Time Comparator

Display	Digital display of measured Δt
Reference Input	1 PPS, 1 to 10V PP into 50 ohms
Resolution	0.1 μ sec

3.9 Power.

Primary Power	115 VAC $\pm 10\%$, 50 to 400 Hz $\pm 5\%$
Standby Battery Supply	2 hours at +22°C (including clock) Floating input Automatic recharge

3.10 Environmental Conditions.

3.10.1 Equipment Operating. The equipments shall meet the performance of this specification while subjected to any of the following conditions or combinations thereof:

Operation	Continuous (24 hours per day)
Temperature Range	0 to 50°C
Humidity	5% to 95% RH over temperature range
Altitude	0 to 10,000 feet above sea level.

3.10.2 Equipment Non-Operating. The equipments - as packaged for storage, during transit, and in any non-operating configuration - shall be constructed to comply with the operational requirements of this specification after subjection to any of the following non-operating conditions:

Temperature	-32°C to +40°C
Altitude	0 to 40,000 feet above sea level
Salt Atmosphere	As encountered in coastal service
Shock & Vibration	As encountered in military transportation via rail, truck, or fixed-wing aircraft

Appendix B

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Primary References

1. Barnes, J.A. "Models for the Interpretation of Frequency Stability Measurements." NBS Technical Note 683, 1976.
2. Percival, D.B. "Prediction Error Analysis of Atomic Frequency Standards." Proc. 31st Annual Symp. Frequency Control, pp. 319-326.
3. Winkler, G.M.R. "A Brief Review of Frequency Stability Measures." Proc. 8th PTTI Conference, pp. 489-527.
4. Percival, D.B. "The U.S. Naval Observatory Clock Time Scales." IEEE Trans. I&M vol. IM-27, pp. 376-385.
5. Oppenheim, A.V. and R.W. Schaffer. Digital Signal Processing. Prentice-Hall, 1975.
6. Putkovich, Kenneth. "Automated Timekeeping." IEEE Trans. I&M Vol. 21, pp. 400-405.

Other References

7. The Frequency Electronics Information Center, Frequency Electronics, Inc.
8. Solicitation No. N68 171-76-R-D144, 13 April 1976.
9. Box, George E.P. and Gwilym M. Jenkins. Time Series Analysis: Forecasting and Control. Holden-Day, 1970.
10. Ostle, Bernard. Statistics in Research. Iowa State College Press, 1954.
11. Cooley, William W. and Paul R. Lohnes. Multivariate Procedures for the Behavioral Sciences. John Wiley & Sons, 1962.

**END
DATE
ILME**