

AD-A096 662

WISCONSIN UNIV-MADISON MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

F/6 12/1

TWO APPLICATIONS OF APPROXIMATE DIFFERENTIATION FORMULAE: AN EX--ETC(U)

DEC 80 I J SCHOENBERG

DAAG29-80-C-0041

UNCLASSIFIED

MRC-TSR-2145

NL

1 of 1
AD-A096662



END
DATE
FILMED
4-81
DTIC

LEVEL II (2)

AD A096662

MRC Technical Summary Report #2145 ✓

TWO APPLICATIONS OF
APPROXIMATE DIFFERENTIATION FORMULAE:
AN EXTREMUM PROBLEM FOR MULTIPLY MONOTONE
FUNCTIONS AND THE DIFFERENTIATION OF
ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSIONS

I. J. Schoenberg

See 1473

Mathematics Research Center
University of Wisconsin-Madison
610 Walnut Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

DTIC
LECTE
MAR 23 1981
D

December 1980

(Received October 28, 1980)

Approved for public release
Distribution unlimited

Sponsored by

U. S. Army Research Office
P. O. Box 12211
Research Triangle Park
North Carolina 27709

81 3 19 079

FILE COPY

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON
MATHEMATICS RESEARCH CENTER

TWO APPLICATIONS OF APPROXIMATE DIFFERENTIATION FORMULAE:
AN EXTREMUM PROBLEM FOR MULTIPLY MONOTONE FUNCTIONS
AND THE DIFFERENTIATION OF ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSIONS

I. J. Schoenberg

Technical Summary Report #2145

December 1980

ABSTRACT

This report presents two further applications of very elementary formulae of approximate differentiation. The first is a new derivation in a somewhat sharper form of the following theorem of V. M. Olovyanishnikov:

Let N_n ($n \geq 2$) be the class of functions $g(x)$ such that $g(x)$, $g'(x), \dots, g^{(n)}(x)$ are ≥ 0 , bounded, and non-decreasing on the half-line $-\infty < x \leq 0$. A special element of N_n is

$$g_*(x) = 0 \quad \text{if } -\infty < x < -1, \quad g_*(x) = (1+x)^n \quad \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0.$$

If $g(x) \in N_n$ is such that

$$g(0) < g_*(0) = 1, \quad g^{(n)}(0) < g_*^{(n)}(0) = n!$$

then

$$(1) \quad g^{(v)}(0) \leq g_*^{(v)}(0) \quad \text{for } v = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Moreover, if we have equality in (1) for some value of v , then we have there equality for all v , and this happens only if $g(x) = g_*(x)$ in $(-\infty, 0]$.

The second application gives sufficient conditions for the differentiability of asymptotic expansion (Theorem 4).

AMS(MOS) Subject Classifications: 41A17, 65D25.

Key Words: multiply monotone functions, asymptotic expansions.

Work Unit Number 3 - Numerical Analysis and Computer Science

SIGNIFICANCE AND EXPLANATION

↓
This report presents two further applications of very elementary formulae of approximate differentiation. The first is a new derivation in a somewhat sharper form of a theorem of V. M. Olovyanisnikov on multiply monotone functions. The second applications gives sufficient conditions for the differentiability of asymptotic expansions.

Accession For

NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	

By _____

Distribution/ _____

Availability Codes _____

Dist _____

A

The responsibility for the wording and views expressed in this descriptive summary lies with MRC, and not with the author of this report.

TWO APPLICATIONS OF APPROXIMATE DIFFERENTIATION FORMULAE:

AN EXTREMUM PROBLEM FOR MULTIPLY MONOTONE FUNCTIONS

AND THE DIFFERENTIATION OF ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSIONS

I. J. Schoenberg

1. Introduction. Approximate differentiation formulae are admirably suited for the solution of certain extremum problems of the Landau-Kolmogorov type (see [5] and [1]). Here we deal with two unrelated further applications.

In Part I we establish Theorem 1 below due to V. M. Olovyanisnikov [4] (see R. P. Boas' review in Math. Reviews 13, p. 17. I owe to Boas the reference to this paper). We restate Theorem 1 as Theorem 2 in terms of multiply monotone functions on the positive real axis, at the same time showing the unicity of the extremizing functions (§3). In §4 we use a result from R. E. Williamson's paper [7] to derive a second proof of Olovyanisnikov's theorem.

Part II deals with our second topic. We derive sufficient conditions for the differentiation of asymptotic expansions.

I. Multiply monotone functions.

2. Olovyanisnikov's theorem. Let N_n ($n \geq 2$) denote the class of functions $g(x)$ defined on $(-\infty, 0]$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad g(x), g'(x), \dots, g^{(n)}(x) \text{ are } \geq 0, \text{ bounded,} \\ \text{and non-decreasing on } (-\infty, 0] .$$

Here the first n functions are assumed absolutely continuous, while $g^{(n)}(x)$ may not exist for a countable number of values of x . A special element of N_n is the function

$$(2.2) \quad g_*(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -\infty < x < -1, \\ (1+x)^n & \text{if } -1 \leq x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

An extremizing property of this special function is stated in the following

Theorem 1 (Olovyanishnikov). If

$$(2.3) \quad g(x) \in N_n$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad g(0) \leq g_*(0) = 1, \quad g^{(n)}(0) \leq g_*^{(n)}(0) = n! ,$$

then

$$(2.5) \quad g^{(v)}(0) \leq g_*^{(v)}(0) \quad \text{for } v = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 .$$

To this we add now the following new unicity property of the extremizing function $g_*(x)$:

Unicity theorem. If we have equality in (2.5) for some value of v ,
then the equality holds for all v , and this happens only if

$$(2.6) \quad g(x) = g_*(x) \quad \text{in } [-\infty, 0] .$$

The class N_n seems to be the right setting for these remarkable results. However, to carry out our new approach we prefer to pass from x to $-x$, writing $f(x) = g(-x)$, and to state the following definitions.

Let M_n ($n \geq 2$) denote the class of functions $f(x)$, $0 \leq x < \infty$, such that

$$(2.7) \quad (-1)^v f^{(v)}(x) \quad (v = 0, \dots, n) \text{ are } \geq 0, \text{ bounded,} \\ \text{and non-increasing on } [0, \infty) .$$

This is the class of n -times monotone functions on $[0, \infty)$. A special element of M_n is the function

$$(2.8) \quad f_+(x) = (1-x)_+^n, \quad (x \leq 0) ,$$

where $t_+ = \max(t, 0)$.

We may now restate all previous results as follows.

Theorem 2. If

$$(2.9) \quad f(x) \in M_n$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad f(0) \leq f_+(0) = 1, \quad (-1)^n f^{(n)}(0) \leq (-1)^n f_+^{(n)}(0) = n! ,$$

then

$$(2.11) \quad (-1)^v f^{(v)}(0) \leq (-1)^v f_+^{(v)}(0) \text{ for } v = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 .$$

Moreover, if we have equality in (2.11) for some value of v , then equality holds for all v , and this happens only if

$$(2.12) \quad f(x) = f_*(x) \text{ in } [0, \infty) .$$

3. A proof of Theorem 2. We use a formula of approximate differentiation that expresses $f^{(v)}(0)$ as an appropriate linear combination of the n data

$$(3.1) \quad f(0), f(1), f'(1), \dots, f^{(n-2)}(1) ,$$

and is such that the formula should be exact for polynomials of degree $n - 1$. Such a formula can easily be obtained from Peano's theorem (see [2, §3.7]), but in this case we may derive it directly as follows.

Taylor's formula gives the identity

$$(3.2) \quad f(0) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} f^{(k)}(1) + \frac{(-1)^n}{(n-1)!} \int_0^1 x^{n-1} f^{(n)}(x) dx .$$

Applying this to $f^{(v)}(x)$, rather than $f(x)$, and for $n - v$ in place of n , we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad f^{(v)}(0) = \sum_{k=v}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^{k-v}}{(k-v)!} f^{(k)}(1) + \frac{(-1)^{n-v}}{(n-v-1)!} \int_0^1 x^{n-v-1} f^{(n)}(x) dx .$$

In view of the data (3.1) we eliminate the unwanted term $f^{(n-1)}(1)$, between these relations: Multiplying (3.2) by $-(n-1)(n-2)\cdots(n-v)$, and (3.3) by $(-1)^v$, and adding them, we finally obtain the identity

$$(3.4) \quad (-1)^v f^{(v)}(0) = \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-v-1)!} \left\{ f(0) - \sum_{k=0}^{v-1} \frac{1}{k!} (-1)^k f^{(k)}(1) \right\} \\ - \sum_{k=v}^{n-2} A_k (-1)^k f^{(k)}(1) + \int_0^1 K(x) (-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) dx,$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad A_k = \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-v-1)!} - \frac{k!}{(k-v)!} > 0 \quad \text{for } k = v, v+1, \dots, n-2$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad K(x) = \frac{1}{(n-v-1)!} x^{n-v-1} (1-x)^v > 0 \quad \text{in } (0,1).$$

Notice that the second sum on the right side of (3.4) is absent if $v = n-1$.

All the desired conclusion follow almost immediately from the identity

(3.4) in view of the positivity of the A_k and $K(x)$.

(i) If $f(x) = f_+(x) = (1-x)_+^n$, then (3.4) gives

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{n!}{(n-v)!} = (-1)^v f_+^{(v)}(0) = \\ \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-v-1)!} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{v-1} 0 \right\} + \sum_{k=v}^{n-2} 0 + n! \int_0^1 K(x) dx.$$

On the other hand, if $f(x) \in M_n$ and satisfies (2.10), then (3.4) and (3.7) show that

$$(3.8) \quad (-1)^v f^{(v)}(0) \leq \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-v-1)!} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{v-1} 0 \right\} + \sum_{k=v}^{n-2} 0 + n! \int_0^1 K(x) dx \\ = (-1)^v f_+^{(v)}(0),$$

establishing (2.11).

(ii) When does the equality sign hold in (3.8)? In view of (3.4) and (2.10) this will be the case if and only if the following conditions are simultaneously satisfied:

$$(3.9) \quad f(0) = 1, f(1) = f'(1) = \dots = f^{(n-2)}(1) = 0,$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad (-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) = n! \text{ almost everywhere in } (0,1).$$

Now (3.10) shows that $f(x)$ is a polynomial of degree n , while the equations (3.9) show that it must be of the form

$$f(x) = (1-x)^{n-1}(1-ax).$$

Finally, again (3.10) shows that we must have $a = 1$. Thus the identity (2.12) is established.

4. A second proof of Theorem 2. Here we use a fundamental result from Williamson's paper [7] which we state as

Lemma 1. The class $M_n = \{f(x)\}$ defined by (2.7), is identical with the class of functions $f(x)$ admitting a Stieltjes integral representation

$$(4.1) \quad f(x) = \int_0^{\infty} (1-xt)_+^n d\alpha(t),$$

where

(4.2) $\alpha(t)$ is non-decreasing and bounded on $[0, \infty)$, $\alpha(0) = 0$,

and

$$(4.3) \quad \mu_n = \int_0^{\infty} t^n d\alpha(t) < \infty .$$

There is a close relationship between the discontinuities of the monotone functions $\alpha(t)$ and $f^{(n)}(x)$: $t = \tau > 0$ is a discontinuity of $\alpha(t)$ if and only if $x = 1/\tau$ is a discontinuity of $f^{(n)}(x)$. However, $f^{(n)}(x)$ is continuous at $x = 0$, hence $f^{(n)}(0) = f^{(n)}(0+)$.

The derivatives $f^{(v)}(x)$ are obtained by differentiation of (4.1) with the result that

$$(4.4) \quad (-1)^v f^{(v)}(x) = c_{n,v} \int_0^{\infty} (1-xt)_+^{n-v} t^v d\alpha(t), \quad (v = 0, \dots, n) ,$$

where $c_{n,v} = n(n-1)\dots(n-v+1)$, $c_{n,0} = 1$, and in particular

$$(4.5) \quad (-1)^v f^{(v)}(0) = c_{n,v} \int_0^{\infty} t^v d\alpha(t), \quad (v = 0, \dots, n) .$$

We obtain the special function $f_*(x) = (1-x)_+^n$ from (4.1) if

$$(4.6) \quad \alpha(t) = \alpha_*(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t < 1 , \\ 1 & \text{if } t \geq 1 , \end{cases}$$

and therefore

$$(4.7) \quad (-1)^v f_*^{(v)}(0) = c_{n,v} \cdot 1, \quad (v = 0, \dots, n) .$$

It follows that in terms of $\alpha(t)$ the assumptions (2.10) are equivalent to the inequalities

$$(4.8) \quad \mu_0 = \int_0^\infty d\alpha(t) \leq 1, \quad \mu_n = \int_0^\infty t^n d\alpha(t) \leq 1;$$

to establish Theorem 2 we are to show that (4.8) imply the inequalities

$$(4.9) \quad \mu_\nu = \int_0^\infty t^\nu d\alpha(t) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

This follows immediately from a known property of the moments μ_ν :

$$(4.10) \quad \text{The sequence } \mu_\nu (\nu = 0, \dots, n) \text{ is logarithmically convex,}$$

which means that

$$(4.11) \quad \text{the sequence } \log \mu_\nu (\nu = 0, \dots, n) \text{ is convex.}$$

For completeness we sketch a proof of the property (4.11): It amounts to proving the inequalities

$$(4.12) \quad (\mu_\nu)^2 \leq \mu_{\nu-1} \mu_{\nu+1} \quad \text{for } \nu = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

It is clearly sufficient to establish (4.12) for the case when $\alpha(t)$ is a non-decreasing step-function with a finite number of discontinuities, in which case

$$\mu_\nu = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j b_j^\nu, \quad 0 \leq b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_m, \quad \text{all } c_j > 0.$$

By Cauchy's inequalities we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_v^2 &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j b_j^v \right)^2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j^{1/2} b_j^{(v-1)/2} \cdot c_j^{1/2} b_j^{(v+1)/2} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j b_j^{v-1} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j b_j^{v+1} \right) = \mu_{v-1} \mu_{v+1}\end{aligned}$$

establishing (4.12).

Let us finally establish the last sentence of Theorem 2: We assume that we have the equality sign in (4.9) for some value of v , and we are to show that $\alpha(t) = \alpha_+(t)$.

From the convexity property (4.11) the assumptions $\mu_0 \leq 1$ and $\mu_n \leq 1$, and the equation $\mu_v = 1$, for some v , evidently imply that

$$(4.13) \quad \mu_v = \int_0^\infty t^v d\alpha(t) = 1 \quad \text{for } v = 0, \dots, n,$$

and we are to conclude that

$$(4.14) \quad \alpha(t) = \alpha_+(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } t \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof: We distinguish two cases depending on the parity of n . If n is even we observe that (4.13) imply that

$$\int_0^\infty (t-1)^n d\alpha(t) = \sum_{v=0}^n (-1)^v \binom{n}{v} = 0.$$

The integrand being positive if $t \neq 1$, this implies (4.14). If n is odd we similarly conclude that

$$\int_0^{\infty} t(1-t)^{n-1} d\alpha(t) = 0 .$$

Again, the integrand being positive if $t \neq 0$ and $t \neq 1$, we conclude that $(0,1)$ and $(1,\infty)$ are intervals of constancy of $\alpha(t)$. Thus $\alpha(t)$ is a step-function having non-negative jumps A_0 and A_1 at $t = 0$ and $t = 1$, respectively. Now (4.13), for $v = 0,1$, show that $A_0 + A_1 = 1$, $A_1 = 1$, hence $A_0 = 0$ and (4.14) is established.

II. Asymptotic Expansions

5. The differentiation of asymptotic estimates. Theorem 3 below was suggested by a theorem of Hardy-Littlewood-Landau in a version due to Widder [6, 223]. This theorem is as follows:

Let $f(x) \in C^2(0,\infty)$ such that

$$(A_1) \quad f(x) \sim \frac{a_1}{x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty) ,$$

$$(A_2) \quad f''(x) = O(x^{-3})$$

Then

$$(C) \quad f'(x) \sim -\frac{a_1}{x^2} .$$

Widder proves it elegantly by applying one of Wiener's Tauberian theorems.

This result becomes more elementary if we modify its statement by replacing the assumption (A_1) by the stronger assumption

$$(A_1') \quad f(x) = \frac{a_1}{x} + \frac{a_2}{x^2} + o(x^{-3}) .$$

We shall show that (A_1') and (A_2) imply that

$$(C') \quad f'(x) = -\frac{a_1}{x^2} + o(x^{-3}) ,$$

which is stronger than (C).

This modified theorem also generalizes to higher derivatives and we may state our

Theorem 3. Let $f(x) \in C^n(0, \infty)$, $(n \geq 2)$, and let us assume that

$$(5.1) \quad f(x) = \frac{a_1}{x} + \frac{a_2}{x^2} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{x^n} + o(x^{-n-1}) ,$$

$$(5.2) \quad f^{(n)}(x) = o(x^{-n-1}) .$$

Then

$$(C_1) \quad f'(x) = -\frac{a_1}{x^2} - \frac{2a_2}{x^3} - \dots - \frac{(n-1)a_{n-1}}{x^n} + o(x^{-n-1})$$

$$(C_2) \quad f''(x) = \frac{2a_1}{x^3} + \dots + \frac{(n-2)(n-1)a_{n-2}}{x^n} + o(x^{-n-1})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$(C_{n-1}) \quad f^{(n-1)}(x) = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(n-1)!a_1}{x^n} + o(x^{-n-1}) .$$

In words: The assumption (5.2) insures that we can differentiate formally the asymptotic estimate (5.1) $n-1$ times.

Proof: Writing as usual $(a)_n = a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)$, let us establish the estimate

$$(C_k) \quad f^{(k)}(x) = (-1)^k \frac{(1)_k a_1}{x^{k+1}} + \dots + (-1)^k \frac{(n-k)_k a_{n-k}}{x^n} + O(x^{-n-1}),$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. To obtain it we need a formula for approximate differentiation of the form

$$(5.3) \quad F^{(k)}(0) = \sum_0^{n-1} A_v(F(v) + R(F)).$$

Here the A_v are appropriate numerical constants and $R(F)$ is a linear functional which is to vanish whenever $F \in \pi_{n-1}$. We do not need the values of the A_v , but only wish to clarify their existence: If

$$(5.4) \quad P(x) = \sum_0^{n-1} P(v) l_v(x), \text{ where } P \in \pi_{n-1},$$

is Lagrange's interpolation formula, then also

$$P^{(k)}(x) = \sum_0^{n-1} P(v) l_v^{(k)}(x),$$

and in particular

$$P^{(k)}(0) = \sum_0^{n-1} P(v) l_v^{(k)}(0), \text{ whence } A_v = l_v^{(k)}(0).$$

By Peano's theorem (see [2, §3.7]) we may rewrite (5.3) as

$$(5.5) \quad F^{(k)}(0) = \sum_0^{n-1} A_v F(v) + \int_0^{n-1} K(t) F^{(n)}(t) dt.$$

Here $K(x)$ is a kernel that can be determined by Peano's theorem, but whose explicit form we do not need. Applying (5.5) to the function $F(t) = f(x+t)$ we obtain the identity

$$(5.6) \quad f^{(k)}(x) = \sum_0^{n-1} A_v f(x+v) + \int_0^{n-1} K(t) f^{(n)}(x+t) dt, \quad (x > 0) .$$

To simplify notations we use the symbols

$$\Delta(x; f) = \sum_0^{n-1} A_v f(x+v) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x) = \frac{a_1}{x} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{x^n} .$$

Applying (5.6) to our function $f(x) = \varphi(x) + O(x^{-n-1})$ and using (5.2), we obtain that

$$(5.7) \quad f^{(k)}(x) = \Delta(x; \varphi) + O(x^{-n-1}) .$$

However, if we apply (5.6) to the function $\varphi(x)$ we obtain

$$\varphi^{(k)}(x) = \Delta(x; \varphi) + O(x^{-n-1}), \quad \text{or} \quad \Delta(x; \varphi) = \varphi^{(k)}(x) + O(x^{-n-1}) .$$

Substituting this into (5.7) we finally have

$$f^{(k)}(x) = \varphi^{(k)}(x) + O(x^{-n-1}) ,$$

and this reduces to (C_k) if we incorporate with the remainder those terms of $\varphi^{(k)}(x)$ which are $O(x^{-n-1})$. This establishes (C_k) .

By way of a counter-example we consider the function

$$f(x) = \sum_{v=1}^n a_v x^{-v} + (\sin e^x)/x^{n+1}.$$

Observe that it satisfies all assumptions of Theorem 3 with the exception of (5.2). It is likewise evident by differentiations that none of the estimates (C_k) hold.

6. The differentiation of asymptotic expansions. Let $f(x)$ ($x > 0$) admit the asymptotic expansion

$$(6.1) \quad f(x) \sim \frac{a_1}{x} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{x^n} + \dots \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

This means that the estimates

$$(6.2) \quad f(x) = \frac{a_1}{x} + \dots + \frac{a_n}{x^n} + o(x^{-n-1})$$

hold for all values of $n = 0, 1, \dots$. It is even sufficient for (6.2) to hold for arbitrarily large values of n .

Let us assume that $f(x) \in C^\infty(0, \infty)$ and find conditions which will insure that the expansion (6.1) may be differentiated any number of times leading to the expansion

$$(6.3) \quad f^{(k)}(x) \sim (-1)^k \frac{{}^{(1)}_k a_1}{x^{k+1}} + \dots + (-1)^k \frac{{}^{(n-k)}_k a_{n-k}}{x^n} + \dots \quad (k \geq 1).$$

A necessary condition for this to hold is that we have

$$(6.4) \quad f^{(n)}(x) = o(x^{-n-1}) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

As an application of Theorem 3 we will show not only that the conditions (6.4) are also sufficient, but that it is already sufficient that (6.4) should hold for some arbitrarily large values of n . This we state as

Theorem 4. Let $f(x) \in C^\infty(0, \infty)$ admit the expansion (6.1). Then (6.1)
may be differentiated leading to (6.3) for all k , provided that the estimate

$$(6.5) \quad f^{(n)}(x) = O(x^{-n-1}) \quad \text{if } n \in N,$$

holds for some infinite set N of integers.

Proof: We assume (6.1), and (6.5) for $n \in N$. However, (6.1) implies that (6.2) holds. Let $k \leq n-1$. By Theorem 3 (6.2) and (6.5) imply that we can differentiate (6.2) leading to

$$(6.6) \quad f^{(k)}(x) = (-1)^k \frac{(1)_k a_1}{x^{k+1}} + \dots + (-1)^k \frac{(n-k)_k a_{n-k}}{x^n} + O(x^{-n-1}).$$

Since $n \in N$ may be chosen arbitrarily large, this establishes the asymptotic expansion (6.3), proving the theorem.

For other conditions insuring the differentiability of asymptotic expansion see [3, theorem 3 on p. 542].

REFERENCES

1. C. de Boor and I. J. Schoenberg, Cardinal interpolation and spline functions VIII. The Budan-Fourier theorem and applications, MRC T.S. Report #1546, July 1975. Appeared in Conference on Spline Functions, Karlsruhe 1975, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 501, 1-79.
2. P. J. Davis, Interpolation and Approximation, Blaisdell Publ. Co., New York, 1963.
3. K. Knopp, Theory and applications of infinite series, Hafner Publ. Co., New York.
4. V. M. Olovyanishnikov, On inequalities between upper bounds of consecutive derivatives on a half-line, Uspehi Matem. Nauk (N.S.) 6, No. 2 (42), 167-170 (1951), (in Russian). See Math. Rev. 13, p. 17.
5. I. J. Schoenberg, The elementary cases of Landau's problem of inequalities between derivatives, Amer. Math. Monthly, 80 (1973), 121-158.
6. D. V. Widder, The Laplace Transform, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.
7. R. E. Williamson, Multiply monotone functions and their Laplace transforms, Duke Math. Journal, 23 (1956), 189-207.

IJS/jvs

(14) MSC-TSK-2145

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER #2145	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A096662	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) TWO APPLICATIONS OF APPROXIMATE DIFFERENTIATION FORMULAE: AN EXTREMUM PROBLEM FOR MULTIPLY MONO- TONE FUNCTIONS AND THE DIFFERENTIATION OF ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSIONS.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Summary Report - no specific reporting period
7. AUTHOR I. J. Schoenberg		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Mathematics Research Center, University of 610 Walnut Street Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DAAG29-80-C-0041
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U. S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Work Unit Number 3 - Numerical Analysis and Computer Science
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE December 1980
(1) Technical summary rept.		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 16
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) multiply monotone functions, asymptotic expansions		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report presents two further applications of very elementary formulae of approximate differentiation. The first is a new derivation in a somewhat sharper form of the following theorem of V. M. Olovyanishnikov: Let N_n ($n \geq 2$) be the class of functions $g(x)$ such that $g(x)$, $g'(x), \dots, g^{(n)}(x)$ are ≥ 0 , bounded, and non-decreasing on the half-line $-\infty < x \leq 0$. A special element of N_n is		

ABSTRACT (continued)

$$g_*(x) = 0 \text{ if } -\infty < x < -1, g_*(x) = (1+x)^n \text{ if } -1 \leq x \leq 0 .$$

If $g(x) \in N_n$ is such that

$$g(0) < g_*(0) = 1, g^{(n)}(0) < g_*^{(n)}(0) = n!$$

then

$$(1) \quad g^{(v)}(0) \leq g_*^{(v)}(0) \text{ for } v = 1, \dots, n-1 .$$

Moreover, if we have equality in (1) for some value of v , then we have there equality for all v , and this happens only if $g(x) = g_*(x)$ in $(-\infty, 0]$.

The second application gives sufficient conditions for the differentiability of asymptotic expansion (Theorem 4).

DATE
FILMED
-8