

AD-A099 626

MATERIALS RESEARCH LABS ASCOT VALE (AUSTRALIA)  
HYDROCRACKING OF THE OILS OF BOTRYOCOCCUS BRAUNII TO TRANSPORT --ETC(U)  
JUL 80 L W MILLEN, G POLLARD, L V WAKE  
MRL-R-783

F/G 21/4


UNCLASSIFIED

NL

[ ]  
[ ]  
[ ]

■

END  
DATE  
FILMED  
6-81  
DTIC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

MRL-R-783

LEVEL II

AR-002-170



(12)

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE  
DEFENCE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY ORGANISATION  
MATERIALS RESEARCH LABORATORIES**

MELBOURNE, VICTORIA

**REPORT**

**MRL-R-783**

**DTIC  
ELECTE  
JUN 0 2 1981**

**E**

**HYDROCRACKING OF THE OILS OF BOTRYOCOCCUS BRAUNII  
TO TRANSPORT FUELS**

**L.W. Hillen, G. Pollard, L.V. Wake and N. White**

Approved for Public Release



© COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA 1980

JULY, 1980

AD A 099 626

DTIC FILE COPY

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE  
MATERIALS RESEARCH LABORATORIES

REPORT

MRL-R-783

6 HYDROCRACKING OF THE OILS OF BOTRYOCOCCUS BRAUNII  
TO TRANSPORT FUELS

10 L.W. Hillen, G. Pollard, L.V. Wake and N. White

ABSTRACT

Hydrocarbon oils of the alga *Botryococcus braunii*, extracted from a natural 'bloom' of the plant, have been hydrocracked to produce a distillate comprising 67% a petrol fraction, 15% an aviation turbine fuel fraction, 15% a diesel fuel fraction and 3% residual oil. The distillate was examined by a number of standard petroleum industry test methods. This preliminary investigation indicates that the oils of *B. braunii* are suitable as a feedstock material for hydrocracking to transport fuels.

Approved for Public Release

|                    |                                            |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Accession For      |                                            |
| NTIS               | GRA&I                                      |
| DTIC TAB           | <input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> |
| Unannounced        | <input type="checkbox"/>                   |
| Justification      |                                            |
| By                 |                                            |
| Distribution/      |                                            |
| Availability Codes |                                            |
| Dist               | Avail and/or Special                       |
| A                  |                                            |

© COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA 1980

POSTAL ADDRESS: Chief Superintendent, Materials Research Laboratories  
P.O. Box 50, Ascot Vale, Victoria 3032, Australia

409011

## DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA SHEET

Security classification of this page:

UNCLASSIFIED

## 1. DOCUMENT NUMBERS:

a. AR Number: AR-002-170  
b. Series & Number: REPORT MRL-R-783  
c. Report Number: MRL-R-783

## 2. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:

a. Complete document: UNCLASSIFIED  
b. Title in isolation: UNCLASSIFIED  
c. Abstract in isolation: UNCLASSIFIED

## 3. TITLE:

HYDROCRACKING OF THE OILS OF BOTRYOCOCCUS BRAUNII  
TO TRANSPORT FUELS

## 4. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S):

HILLEN, L.W.  
POLLARD, G.  
WAKE, L.V.  
WHITE, N.

## 5. DOCUMENT DATE:

JULY, 1980

## 6. TYPE OF REPORT &amp; PERIOD COVERED:

## 7. CORPORATE AUTHOR(S):

Materials Research Laboratories

## 8. REFERENCE NUMBERS:

a. Task: DST 77/006  
b. Sponsoring Agency: MRL

## 9. COST CODE: 284410

## 10. IMPRINT (Publishing establishment)

Materials Research Laboratories,  
P.O. Box 50, Ascot Vale, Vic. 3032

## 11. COMPUTER PROGRAMME(S):

(Title(s) and language(s)):

JULY, 1980

## 12. RELEASE LIMITATIONS (of the document):

Approved for Public Release

## 12-D. OVERSEAS:

N.O.

P.R.

1

A

B

C

D

E

## 13. ANNOUNCEMENT LIMITATIONS (of the information on this page):

No Limitation

## 14. DESCRIPTORS:

630 Aircraft fuels Catalytic cracking  
636 Botryococcus braunii 645 Hydrocracked algal oil Australia  
Algae Avtur Feed oil

## 15. COSATI CODES: 2104 0701 0603 1308

## 16. ABSTRACT (if this is security classified, the announcement of this report will be similarly classified):

Hydrocarbon oils of the alga *Botryococcus braunii*, extracted from a natural 'bloom' of the plant, have been hydrocracked to produce a distillate comprising 67% a petrol fraction, 15% an aviation turbine fuel fraction, 15% a diesel fuel fraction and 3% residual oil. The distillate was examined by a number of standard petroleum industry test methods. This preliminary investigation indicates that the oils of *B. braunii* are suitable as a feedstock material for hydrocracking to transport fuels.

## C O N T E N T S

|                                               | <u>Page No.</u> |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. INTRODUCTION                               | 1               |
| 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS                      | 1               |
| 2.1 <i>Algal Material</i> .                   | 1               |
| 2.2 <i>Hydrocracking Treatment of the Oil</i> | 2               |
| 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION                     | 2               |
| 4. CONCLUSIONS                                | 4               |
| 5. REFERENCES                                 | 5               |

\* \* \*

## HYDROCRACKING OF THE OILS OF BOTRYOCOCCUS

### BRAUNII TO TRANSPORT FUELS

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The phytoplanktonic species *Botryococcus braunii* is a prolific hydrocarbon-producing plant which has been suggested as a potential energy crop [1]. The hydrocarbon oils of *B. braunii* are high boiling liquids which have been loosely described as equivalent to the 'gas-oil fraction of crude oil'.

Before a detailed biological research programme can be undertaken, the question arises concerning the suitability of the algal oil as a renewable source of transport fuels. Therefore as part of the preliminary assessment of *B. braunii*, a sample of the plant's oil has been subjected to a hydrocracking procedure and the product fractions tested as to their suitability for use as petrol and aviation turbine fuels.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

##### *2.1 Algal Material*

The *B. braunii* oils used for the present study were obtained from plant material harvested from the Darwin River Reservoir, Northern Territory during October 1977. The characteristics of the algal bloom which occurred on this reservoir over the period 1975-79 have been described elsewhere [2]. After collection, the algal colonies were freeze-dried. The hydrocarbons, which constituted 30% of the algal mass, were extracted with acetone and purified by column chromatography on alumina by the method of Brown et al. [3].

## 2.2 Hydrocracking Treatment of the Oil

The apparatus, which was used for the hydrocracking experiment, has been previously employed for the hydrogenation of coal and coal derived liquids. It has been described in detail elsewhere [4]. A simplified flow diagram is shown in Figure 1. In short, the reactor was a 6.5 m coiled stainless steel tube of 6.35 mm diameter, packed with 120 g of a cobalt molybdate catalyst (HT/400E, 1/16", Harshaw Chemical Co.). The oil and hydrogen gas at 20 MPa (3000 psi) were passed through the reactor which was held at 400°C. The liquid products were cooled and collected in knock-out pots, surplus hydrogen being vented. A total of 160 g of oil sample was fed to the hydrocracker at the rate of 230 ml/hour and 127 g of product was obtained. Variations in column temperature were noted and are shown in Table 1.

Both the substrate, i.e. the uncracked algal oil and the hydrocracked products were subjected to a 'true boiling point distribution' determination by gas chromatography (G.C.) according to the method of Jackson et al. [5]. These workers had earlier found excellent agreement between boiling point distribution by G.C. with true boiling point curves obtained by conventional distillation.

The liquid hydrocarbons were also examined by the American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM) and the American Petroleum Institute (API) test methods for product suitability as set out in Table 2. In addition the products were analysed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) employing a Finnigan 3300-E with a 6100 information collection system equipped with chemical ionization using methane gas. Each spectrum was compared with standard mass spectra [6] with the aim of assigning structures where possible.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analyses of the *B. braunii* oil as extracted from the plant are provided in Table 3. The structural characteristics of the oil's components have not yet been fully evaluated but mass spectral data suggests they are all closely related to botryococcene, Table 3 and Fig. 2 (see also 1). Elemental analysis of the oil revealed that the nitrogen and sulphur values were at levels which are considered most unlikely to affect operation of the catalyst (see Table 3).

Figure 3 compares the boiling point (BP) variation of the cracked oil with that of the uncracked feed-oil as well as the BP variation of a typical Bass Strait crude-oil. The figure indicates that, in the actual sample of plant oil to be hydrocracked, not all of the low boiling hydrocarbon solvent (hexane) used during the column chromatographic purification of the oil was removed by the rotary evaporator. The presence of this low boiling solvent in the feed-oil (Table 4), while disconcerting, does not alter the general conclusions to be drawn from the experiment.

Upon charging, the reactor cooled slightly which is considered to be related to partial volatilisation of the uncracked oil (Table 1). A temperature rise was subsequently observed one metre down the column, presumably as a consequence of the hydrogenation of the alkene components, this being an exothermic process [7]



The observed temperature rise along the column suggests that saturation of the hydrocarbon took place prior to cracking. The catalyst used is known primarily as a hydrogenation agent with only mild cracking properties. The minor temperature rise half way down the column is believed to be associated with ring formation and/or hydrogenation of the cracked products. The degree of unsaturation would be lower at this stage than in the original feed oil.

The properties of the liquid hydrocarbons produced by processes such as hydrogenation vary, depending on the temperature and hydrogen pressure, as well as the type of catalyst employed. As can be seen from Table 5, the transport fuels gasoline, kerosine and diesel distillate comprised 97% of the product. In this first run, the conditions employed resulted in the production of two-thirds of the product volume as gasoline and approximately one sixth each as kerosine and diesel distillate. A very low concentration of aromatics was present in the hydrocracked material unlike similarly treated materials obtained from coal sources (e.g. Table 11).

The hydrogen pressures used and temperature of cracking were probably more severe than would actually be needed in practice as evidenced by the high petrol fraction yield in the product boiling point distribution (Table 6, Figure 3). As the catalyst reportedly had only mild cracking properties it is evident that the hydrocarbons of *B. braunii* readily undergo cleavage. This is in keeping with the known cracking properties of isoparaffins, of which botryococcene is a highly branched member, compared to normal paraffins [8] and indicates that the plant would make a suitable feedstock. Due to the small sample size and lack of ageing of the catalyst, the results obtained must be treated as illustrative rather than definitive for the cracking characteristics of the feed oil.

Analytical data on the hydrocracked oils are provided in Tables 5 to 10. Table 9 lists individual hydrocarbon compounds identified from GC retention index data. Those that have been confirmed by GC-MS are marked with an asterisk. Interpretation of the observed product spread of Table 9 must await more detailed information on the chemical structure of the feed-oil components.

As insufficient material was available for the determination of the octane rating of the petrol fraction by an engine test method, the value was estimated by regression analysis (9 and 10) utilising the data from Table 9. The composition and octane rating of the gasoline fraction are compared in Table 11 with gasolines derived from the hydrogenation of brown and black coal tars and also with the straight run gasoline fraction of a Bass Strait crude oil [4]. In preparing Table 11, the components which were shown as

'not identified' in Tables 9 and 10 have been apportioned to paraffins and naphthenes in the same ratio as the identified fractions. GC-MS studies indicated that the unidentified components were a mixture of  $C_nH_{2n}$  and  $C_nH_{2n+2}$  hydrocarbons. As FIA analysis had previously shown the olefins to be insignificant (Table 6), the  $C_nH_{2n}$  compounds can be taken to be naphthenes. In apportioning the 'unidentifieds' in this manner, the GC-MS results suggest that the paraffin content, as shown in Table 11, can be assumed to be a maximum figure and the naphthene figure to be a minimum.

Table 11 draws attention to the fact that even gasoline fractions derived from a mineral oil require further processing in order to meet product specifications (in this case octane number). Thus the need to further refine *Botryococcus* oils to yield specification transport fuels does not necessarily detract from the plant's potential as an energy crop.

From Table 8 the most interesting aspect of the product is the low aromatic content and the virtual absence of olefins. The higher octane rating for this material compared to straight run Bass Strait crude reflects the lower n-hydrocarbon content (<8%, Table 9) of the treated algal oil. Normal hydrocarbons account for 70% of the paraffins of Bass Strait crude [8]. It would therefore appear that petrols derived from *B. braunii* will be useful as blendstock additives.

The low yield of the aviation turbine fuel fraction allowed only a few simple tests to be carried out. The cut easily meets the density and the aromatic content requirements for turbine fuel. Given the wide range of components in the petrol fraction (Table 9), the freezing point of the 'AVTUR' fraction is probably close to specification. However it is the low aromatic figure which is of prime importance, emphasizing as it does the potential for hydrocracked *B. braunii* oil to be used as a blendstock in the production of aviation fuels where specifications call for a figure of less than 20% aromatics (DEF AUST 208 B).

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

The oils of *B. braunii* are suitable as a feed oil for use in a hydrocracker. The products are low in aromatic hydrocarbons and would appear to be potentially suitable for use as a blendstock especially in the production of aviation turbine fuels. A larger scale evaluation would be needed to adequately define the cracked product type and to evaluate catalyst degradation.

No serious technical problems associated with the raw plant oil are envisaged at this stage which would mitigate against *B. braunii* being used as a potential energy crop. From this preliminary study, the algal oils appear suitable as hydrocarbon feedstock materials for conversion to specification transport fuels.

## 5. REFERENCES

1. Hillen, L.W. and Wake L.V. (1979). Aust. Inst. Energy, 1st Nat. Conf., "Energy Sources - Australian Needs", Conf. Proc. pp 18-25, Newcastle, 5-9 Feb.
2. Wake, L.V. and Hillen, L.W. (1980). A Study of a Bloom of the Oil-Rich Alga *Botryococcus braunii* in the Darwin River Reservoir. Submitted to the Journal of Biotechnology and Bioengineering, USA. (Accepted for publication).
3. Brown, A.C., Knights, P.A. and Conway, E. (1969). Hydrocarbon Content and Its Relationship to Physicochemical State in the Green Alga *Botryococcus braunii*. *Phytochem.* (8), 543.
4. White, N. and Keith, G.N. (1978). Properties of Coal-derived Oil and some Comparisons with Natural Crude-oil. *Chemistry in Australia*, (45), 447.
5. Jackson, R.W., Judges, R.W. and Powell, J.W. (1976). Boiling Range Distribution of Petroleum with a Short Capillary Column. *J. Chromat. Sci.* (14), 49.
6. Stenhagen, E., Abrahamsson, S. and McLafferty, F.W. (1974). Registry of Mass Spectral Data. VI. J. Wiley and Sons N.Y.
7. Roberts, J.D. and Caserio, M.C. (1965). Basic Principles of Organic Chemistry. Benjamin, New York.
8. Salusinszky, A.L. (1973). Processing Australian Crudes. *Proc. R. Aust. Chem. Inst.*, 40, p. 1.
9. Walsh, R.P. and Mortimer, J.V. (1971). New Way to Test Product Quality. *Hydrocarbon Processing*, (50), 153-158.
10. Anderson, P.C. Sharkey, J.M. and Walsh, R.P. (1972). Calculation of the Research Octane Number of Motor Gasolines from Gas Chromatographic Data and a New Approach to Motor Gasoline Quality Control. *J. Inst. Petroleum*, (58), 83.
11. American Petroleum Institute Technical Data Book. (see Figs. 2B1.1 and 2b2.1).

TABLE 1

## HYDROCARBONS FROM ALGAL BLOOMS OF B. BRAUNII

| Retention Index        | Compound                        | Figure No. | Molecular Mass | Percentage Composition |                   |                 |                    |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
|                        |                                 |            |                | Tarago                 | Sorrento Green 76 | Sorrento Red 76 | Sorrento 78 Sample |
| 2467                   | C <sub>25</sub> H <sub>48</sub> | 1          | 348            | 2                      | 2                 | 1               | 7                  |
| 2666                   | C <sub>27</sub> H <sub>50</sub> | -          | 374            | 3                      | -                 | -               | -                  |
| 2673                   | C <sub>27</sub> H <sub>52</sub> | 2          | 376            | 40                     | 18                | -               | 56                 |
| 2858                   | C <sub>29</sub> H <sub>54</sub> | 3          | 402            | 13                     | 37                | 27              | 23.5               |
| 2874                   | C <sub>29</sub> H <sub>56</sub> | 4          | 404            | 37                     | "                 | "               | 6.5                |
| 3046                   | C <sub>31</sub> H <sub>58</sub> | 5          | 430            | -                      | 16                | 8               | 4                  |
| 3071                   | C <sub>31</sub> H <sub>60</sub> | 6          | 432            | 5                      | "                 | "               | 3                  |
| 3237 <sup>+</sup>      | -                               | -          | -              | -                      | 27                | 64              | -                  |
| TOTALS                 |                                 |            |                | 100                    | 100               | 100             | 100                |
| Percentage Oil Content |                                 |            |                | 30+3                   | 27                | NE              | 30                 |

NE Not examined.

+ Not positively identified by MS.

" Inverted commas indicate peak not resolved from immediately preceding figure.

T A B L E 2

ASTM AND API ANALYSIS

| Description                                       | Analysis Reference                              | Sample Fraction | Table No |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Distillation                                      | ASTM-D86-67                                     | < 191°C         | Table 6  |
|                                                   | modified by<br>using 10 ml and<br>20 ml samples | 191-232°C       | Table 8  |
| Density, S.G. or API<br>gravity by hydrometer     | ASTM-D1298-67                                   | Total sample    | Table 5  |
| Density and S.G.<br>by Lipkin                     | ASTM-D941-55                                    | < 191°C         | Table 6  |
| bicapillary pycnometer                            |                                                 | 191-232°C       | Table 8  |
| Tests for hydrocarbon<br>types by FIA             | ASTM-D1319-70                                   | < 191°C         | Table 6  |
|                                                   |                                                 | 191-232°C       | Table 8  |
| Volumetric average <sup>+</sup><br>boiling point, | API Ref 9                                       | < 191°C         | Table 7  |
| Mean average boiling<br>point <sup>+</sup>        | API Ref 9                                       | < 191°C         | Table 7  |
| C:H mass ratio,                                   | API Ref 9                                       | < 191°C         | Table 7  |
| Aniline point,*                                   | API Ref 9                                       | < 191°C         | Table 7  |
| Molecular weight,                                 | API Ref 9                                       | < 191°C         | Table 7  |
| Aniline gravity<br>constant <sup>++</sup>         | API Ref 9                                       | < 191°C         | Table 7  |
| Watson K factor**                                 | API Ref 9                                       | < 191°C         | Table 7  |
| True boiling point<br>distribution <sup>#</sup>   | API Ref 5                                       | Total sample    | Table 5  |

T A B L E 2

(Continued)

- + The average boiling point distillations are used to define the volatility characteristics of petroleum fractions. These are batch distillations which differ from ASTM distillations mainly in the degree of fractionation obtained.
- \* The aniline point is the lowest temperature at which the fraction is miscible with an equal volume of aniline. It is a measure of paraffinicity.
- ++ The aniline gravity constant is an estimation of combustion based on an empirical correlation with the product of the aniline point and the specific gravity.
- \*\* The Watson characterisation factor is an approximate index of paraffinicity, with high values corresponding to high degrees of saturation. The characterisation factor is a satisfactory approach for correlating the physical and chemical properties of straight run petroleum fractions. It does not, however, accurately characterise fractions containing appreciable amounts of olefins, diolefins or cyclic compounds.
- # The 'true boiling point distribution' was determined by G.C. according to the method of Jackson et al. [5] who found excellent agreement between boiling point distribution by G.C. with 'true boiling point distribution' curves obtained by conventional distribution.

T A B L E   3

MAJOR HYDROCARBON COMPONENTS AND ELEMENTAL ANALYSIS OF B. BRAUNII OIL

| Compound                        | Molecular Weight | % Mass | GC Retention Index |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--------|--------------------|
| Isobotryococcene                | 466              | 4      | 2708               |
| Botryococcene                   | 466              | 9      | 2730               |
| C <sub>34</sub> H <sub>58</sub> | 466              | 11     | 2762               |
| C <sub>36</sub> H <sub>62</sub> | 494              | 34     | 2920               |
| C <sub>36</sub> H <sub>62</sub> | 494              | 4      | -                  |
| C <sub>37</sub> H <sub>64</sub> | 508              | 20     | 2962               |
| Other hydrocarbons              |                  | 18     | -                  |

| <u>Element</u> | <u>% Mass</u> |
|----------------|---------------|
| Carbon         | 86.38         |
| Hydrogen       | 11.96         |
| Oxygen         | 1.1           |
| Nitrogen       | 0.17          |
| Sulphur        | < 0.1         |

T A B L E 4

TRUE BOILING POINT DISTRIBUTION BY G.C. OF UNCRACKED OIL

| Recovered Point                            |                 |   | (°C)   |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|---|--------|
| 5%                                         | Recovered Point |   | 54     |
| 10%                                        | "               | " | 77     |
| 20%                                        | "               | " | 430    |
| 30%                                        | "               | " | 447    |
| 40%                                        | "               | " | 452    |
| 50%                                        | "               | " | 456    |
| 60%                                        | "               | " | 462    |
| 70%                                        | "               | " | 466    |
| 80%                                        | "               | " | 472    |
| 90%                                        | "               | " | 476    |
| 95%                                        | "               | " | 481    |
| 100%                                       | "               | " | 527    |
| <hr/>                                      |                 |   |        |
| Yield of I.B.Pt. -190.5°C Fraction, Mass % |                 |   | = 17.0 |
| Yield of 190.5°C-232°C Fraction, Mass %    |                 |   | = 0.2  |
| Yield of 232°C-315.5°C Fraction, Mass %    |                 |   | = 0.6  |
| Yield of > 315.5°C Fraction, Mass %        |                 |   | = 82.2 |

T A B L E 5

TESTING OF THE TOTAL SAMPLE

| Method                               | Test                        | Result         |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| ASTM D1298-67                        | S.G. (60/60)<br>API gravity | 0.7542<br>56.1 |
| True boiling point by G.C.           |                             | (°C)           |
|                                      | 5% Recovered point          | 30             |
|                                      | 10%       "       "         | 50             |
|                                      | 20%       "       "         | 84             |
|                                      | 30%       "       "         | 108            |
|                                      | 40%       "       "         | 128            |
|                                      | 50%       "       "         | 149            |
|                                      | 60%       "       "         | 172            |
|                                      | 70%       "       "         | 199            |
|                                      | 80%       "       "         | 228            |
|                                      | 90%       "       "         | 269            |
|                                      | 95%       "       "         | 298            |
|                                      | 100%       "       "        | 485            |
| Yield of I. B. Point-191°C Fraction, |                             | % Mass = 67.0  |
| Yield of 191°C-232°C Fraction,       |                             | % Mass = 14.3  |
| Yield of 232°C-315°C Fraction,       |                             | % Mass = 15.7  |
| Yield of > 315°C Fraction,           |                             | % Mass = 3.0   |

T A B L E   6

ASTM TESTING OF THE GASOLINE CUT<sup>+</sup>

| Method                 | Test                                        | Result         |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------|
| ASTM D941-55           | S.G. (60/60)<br>API gravity                 | 0.7364<br>60.7 |
| ASTM D1319-70          | Aromatics, % volume<br>Olefins,    % volume | 1.62<br>< 0.2  |
|                        | Micro D86 on 10 ml sample                   | (°C)           |
| ASTM D86-67 (modified) | Initial Boiling Point                       | 44             |
|                        | 5%    Recovered point                       | 57             |
|                        | 10%    "            "                       | 69             |
|                        | 20%    "            "                       | 88             |
|                        | 30%    "            "                       | 103            |
|                        | 40%    "            "                       | 115            |
|                        | 50%    "            "                       | 128            |
|                        | 60%    "            "                       | 139            |
|                        | 70%    "            "                       | 149            |
|                        | 80%    "            "                       | 163            |
|                        | 90%    "            "                       | 179            |
|                        | Final Boiling Point                         | 180            |
|                        | % recovered                                 | 92.5           |
|                        | % residue                                   | 4.5            |
|                        | % loss                                      | 3.0            |

<sup>+</sup> Primary distillation details. Micro D86-67 on 20 ml of whole crude.  
Cut point VT 20-191°C, % volume cut 0-62.5.

TABLE 7

API TESTING OF THE GASOLINE FRACTION

| Method                                                   | Test                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Result                                                       |
|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| API Testing<br><br>TECH. DATA BOOK<br>FIGS. 2B1.1, 2B2.1 | Calculation of characterising<br>parameters on<br><br>20-191°C fraction<br><br>volumetric average boiling point, (°C)<br>mean average boiling point, (°C)<br>C:H mass ratio<br>aniline point, (°C)<br>molecular weight<br>aniline gravity constant<br>Watson characterising factor | <br><br><br>126<br>116<br>5.7:1<br>54<br>112<br>7891<br>12.1 |

T A B L E 8

ASTM TESTING OF THE KEROSENE CUT<sup>+</sup>

| Method        | Test                                     | Result         |
|---------------|------------------------------------------|----------------|
| ASTM D941-55  | S.G. (60/60)<br>API gravity              | 0.8032<br>44.7 |
| ASTM D1319-70 | Aromatics, % volume<br>Olefins, % volume | 4.65<br>< 0.2  |

<sup>+</sup> Primary distillation details. Micro D86-67 on 20 ml of whole crude sample. Cut point VT, 191-232°C; % volume cut 17.5, yield cut range (% vol.) 62.5-80.0%.

TABLE 9

## COMPOSITION OF THE GASOLINE FRACTION BY G.C.

| Component                         | % Mass <sup>+</sup> | Component                                         | % Mass <sup>+</sup> |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Propane*                          | 0.015               | 1-Cis-2-Cis-4-Trimethylcyclopentane <sup>b</sup>  | ("                  |
| Isobutane*                        | 0.307               | 2,2,4-Trimethylhexane                             | 0.383               |
| n-Butane*                         | 0.502               | 1,1-Dimethylcyclohexane <sup>c</sup> *            | (1.611              |
| Isopentane*                       | 3.635               | 1-Trans-4-Dimethylcyclohexane <sup>c</sup>        | ("                  |
| n-Pentane*                        | 1.768               | 1-Cis-3-Dimethylcyclohexane                       | 1.068               |
| 2,2-Dimethylbutane*               | 0.320               | 1-Methyl-Trans-3-Ethylcyclopentane <sup>d</sup> * | (1.558              |
| Cyclopentane <sup>a</sup>         | (5.087              | 1-Methyl-1-Cis-3-Ethylcyclopentane <sup>d</sup> * | ("                  |
| 2,3-Dimethylbutane <sup>a</sup> * | ("                  | 1-Methyl-Trans-2-Ethylcyclopentane <sup>d</sup>   | ("                  |
| 2-Methylpentane <sup>a</sup> *    | ("                  | 1-Methyl-1-Ethylcyclopentane <sup>d</sup>         | ("                  |
| 3-Methylpentane*                  | 2.634               | 1-Cis-2-Cis-3-Trimethylcyclopentane*              | 1.012               |
| n-Hexane*                         | 3.011               | n-Octane*                                         | 1.002               |
| 2,2-Dimethylpentane               | 0.287               | 1-Trans-2-Dimethylcyclohexane*                    | 1.113               |
| Methylcyclopentane*               | 1.549               | 1-Trans-3-Dimethylcyclohexane <sup>e</sup> *      | (0.327              |
| 2,4-Dimethylpentane*              | 0.595               | 1-Cis-4-Dimethylcyclohexane <sup>e</sup>          | ("                  |
| Benzene                           | 0.026               | 2,2-Dimethylheptane <sup>f</sup>                  | (0.516              |
| 3,3-Dimethylpentane*              | 0.200               | 2,4-Dimethylheptane <sup>f</sup> *                | ("                  |
| Cyclohexane*                      | 0.992               | 3-Propylcyclopentane                              | 0.242               |
| 2-Methylhexane*                   | 2.897               | 2,2,3-Trimethylhexane                             | 0.260               |
| 2,3-Dimethylpentane               | 1.250               | 2-Methyl-4-Ethylhexane                            | 0.238               |

TABLE 9

(Continued)

| Component                              | % Mass <sup>+</sup> | Component                        | % Mass <sup>+</sup> |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1,1-Dimethylcyclopentane               | 0.264               | 2,6-Dimethylheptane <sup>g</sup> | (0.667              |
| 3-Methylhexane*                        | 3.437               | 4,4-Dimethylheptane <sup>g</sup> | "                   |
| 1-Cis-3-Dimethylcyclopentane*          | 0.889               | 2,5-Dimethylheptane <sup>b</sup> | (2.050              |
| 1-Trans-2-Dimethylcyclopentane*        | 1.071               | 3,5-Dimethylheptane <sup>h</sup> | "                   |
| 1-Trans-2-Dimethylcyclopentane*        | 0.845               | 1-Cis-2-Dimethylcyclohexane*     | -                   |
| n-Heptane*                             | 1.658               | 3,3-Dimethylheptane              | 0.357               |
| 1-Cis-2-Dimethylcyclopentane           | 0.217               | n-Propylcyclopentane             | -                   |
| 2,2-Dimethylhexane                     | 0.412               | Ethylcyclohexane <sup>i</sup>    | (0.931              |
| 1,1,3-Trimethylcyclopentane*           | 0.463               | Ethylbenzene <sup>i</sup>        | "                   |
| Methylcyclohexane*                     | 1.445               | 1,1,4-Trimethylcyclohexane       | 0.379               |
| 2,5-Dimethylhexane*                    | -                   | 2,3,4-Trimethylhexane            | 0.287               |
| 2,4-Dimethylhexane*                    | 0.626               | Methylcycloheptane               | 0.973               |
| Ethylcyclopentane*                     | 0.845               | 3,3,4-Trimethylhexane            | 0.980               |
| 2,2,3-Trimethylpentane                 | -                   | 2,3-Dimethylheptane <sup>j</sup> | (0.020              |
| 1-Trans-2-Cis-4-Trimethylcyclopentane* | 0.519               | 3,4-Dimethylheptane <sup>j</sup> | "                   |
| 3,3-Dimethylhexane                     | 0.863               | p-Xylene                         | 0.063               |
| Toluene                                | 0.265               | m-Xylene                         | 0.859               |
| 1-Trans-2-Cis-3-Trimethylcyclopentane* | 0.519               | 4-Ethylheptane                   | -                   |
| 1,1,2-Trimethylcyclopentane*           | (0.011              | 4-Methyloctane                   | 1.437               |

TABLE 9

(Continued)

| Component                                                     | % Mass <sup>+</sup> | Component        | % Mass <sup>+</sup> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| 2,3,4-Trimethylpentane                                        | (0.011              | 2-Methyloctane   | 0.930               |
| 2,3-Dimethylhexane*                                           | 0.654               | 3-Ethylheptane   | 0.294               |
| 2-Methyl-3-Ethylpentane                                       | 0.147               | 3-Methyloctane   | 1.232               |
| 2-Methylheptane*                                              | 1.874               | n-Nonane         | 0.651               |
| 4-Methylheptane                                               | 0.776               | Isopropylbenzene | 0.132               |
| 3-Ethylhexane                                                 | 0.348               | n-Propylbenzene  | 0.021               |
| 3-Methylheptane*                                              | 2.401               |                  |                     |
| 1-Cis-2-Trans-4-Trimethylcyclopentane <sup>b</sup>            | 0.550               |                  |                     |
| o-Xylene                                                      | 0.279               |                  |                     |
| 1-Trans-2-Cis-3-Trimethylcyclohexane                          | 0.586               |                  |                     |
| 1-Trans-2-Cis-4-Trimethylcyclohexane                          | 0.925               |                  |                     |
| 1,1,2-Trimethylcyclohexane                                    | 0.327               |                  |                     |
| Unidentified Components Eluting before n-Nonane               |                     |                  | 7.242               |
| Unidentified Components Eluting between n-Nonane and n-Decane |                     |                  | 17.126              |
| Unidentified Components Eluting after n-Decane                |                     |                  | 6.349               |

Each superscript (a-h) respectively represents a group of hydrocarbons unresolved by gas chromatography.

<sup>+</sup> The use of four significant figures has been retained for internal consistency of the table.

\* Components confirmed by GC-MS.

T A B L E 10

PONA<sup>+</sup> COMPONENT CLASSIFICATION

| Category                 | Volume % |
|--------------------------|----------|
| Paraffins <sup>++</sup>  | 49.5     |
| Olefins*                 | 0.1      |
| Naphthenes <sup>++</sup> | 19.8     |
| Aromatics*               | 1.4      |
| Unidentified             | 29.2     |
| Total                    | 100.0    |

<sup>+</sup> PONA = Paraffin, Olefin, Naphthene and Aromatic Compounds

<sup>++</sup> Based on Table 9.

\* Based on FIA Analysis

T A B L E   11

A COMPARISON OF SOME SYNTHETIC GASOLINES (REF. 4)

| Gasoline<br>Source                           | Olefins<br>% y/y | Paraffins<br>% y/y | Napthenes<br>% y/y | Aromatics<br>% y/y | RON<br>Clear |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Hydrogenated<br>Brown Coal Tar               | 4.8              | 34.0               | 41.5               | 19.7               | 86           |
| Hydrogenated<br>Black Coal                   | 0.3              | 23.0               | 54.0               | 22.7               | -            |
| Bass Strait Crude<br>(straight-run)          | 0.0              | 45.4               | 40.7               | 13.9               | 54           |
| Hydrocracked<br>B. braunii oil <sup>++</sup> | < 0.2            | 68.5 <sup>+</sup>  | 30.0 <sup>+</sup>  | 1.4                | 82           |

<sup>+</sup> Partially estimated - see text.

<sup>++</sup> Results of present study




Fig.1 Flowsheet of Pilot Hydro-Cracker




Fig.2 Structure of Botryococcene,  $C_{34}H_{58}$




Fig.3 Comparison of Boiling Point Ranges of:-

- a. Botryococcus Oil
- b. Hydro-cracked Botryococcus Oil
- c. Typical Bass Strait crude Oil

(MRL-R-783)

DISTRIBUTION LIST

MATERIALS RESEARCH LABORATORIES

Chief Superintendent  
Superintendent, Physical Chemistry Division  
Mr F. Marson  
Dr L.W. Hillen  
Mr G. Pollard  
Dr L.V. Wake  
Dr N. White  
Library  
Librarian, Materials Testing Laboratories, NSW Branch  
(Through Officer-in-Charge)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENCE

Chief Defence Scientist  
Deputy Chief Defence Scientist  
Controller, Projects and Analytical Studies  
Controller, Service Laboratories and Trials  
Army Scientific Adviser  
Air Force Scientific Adviser  
Navy Scientific Adviser  
Chief Superintendent, Aeronautical Research Laboratories  
Chief Superintendent, Weapons Systems Research Laboratory,  
Defence Research Centre  
Chief Superintendent, Electronics Research Laboratory,  
Defence Research Centre  
Chief Superintendent, Advanced Engineering Laboratory,  
Defence Research Centre  
Superintendent, Trials Resources Laboratory, Defence Research  
Centre  
Senior Librarian, Defence Research Centre  
Librarian, RAN Research Laboratory  
Officer-in-Charge, Document Exchange Centre (16 copies)  
Technical Reports Centre, Defence Central Library  
Central Office, Directorate of Quality Assurance - Air Force  
Deputy Director, Scientific and Technical Intelligence,  
Joint Intelligence Organisation  
Head, Engineering Development Establishment  
Librarian, Bridges Library, Royal Military College

DEPARTMENT OF PRODUCTIVITY

NASA Canberra Office, C/o Department of Science  
Head of Staff, British Defence Research and Supply Staff (Aust.)

(MRL-R-783)

DISTRIBUTION LIST

(continued)

OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE DEPARTMENTS AND INSTRUMENTALITIES

The Chief Librarian, Central Library, CSIRO  
Australian Atomic Energy Commission Research Establishment

MISCELLANEOUS - OVERSEAS

Defence Scientific and Technical Representative, Australian High  
Commission, Australia House, London, England  
Assistant Director/Armour and Materials, Military Vehicles and  
Engineering Establishment, Surrey, England  
Reports Centre, Directorate of Materials Aviation, Kent, England  
Library - Exchange Desk, National Bureau of Standards,  
Washington, USA  
US Army Standardization Representative, C/o DGAD (NSO), ACT  
The Director, Defence Scientific Information and Documentation  
Centre, Delhi, India  
Colonel B.C. Joshi, Military, Naval and Air Adviser, High  
Commission of India, Red Hill, ACT  
Director, Defence Research Centre, Ministry of Defence,  
Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia  
Exchange Section, British Library, Lending Division, Yorkshire,  
England  
Periodicals Recording Section, Science Reference Library, The  
British Library, Holborn Branch, London, England  
Library, Chemical Abstracts Service, Ohio, USA  
INSPEC: Acquisition Section, Institution of Electrical Engineers,  
Herts, England  
Overseas Reports Section, Defence Research Information Centre,  
Ministry of Defence, Kent, England  
Engineering Societies Library, New York, USA