

AD-A100 258

NAVAL HEALTH RESEARCH CENTER SAN DIEGO CA
TRANSLATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DISEASE AND INJURY COD--ETC(U)
JUN 76 J G LOONEY; E K GUNDERSON; D KOLB
NAVHLTHRSCHC-76-41

F/6 6/5

UNCLASSIFIED

NL

1 of 1
AD-A
100-258



END
DATE
FILMED
7-81
DTIC

LEVEL II

② *yo*

TRANSLATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DISEASE AND INJURY
CODES TO THE EIGHTH REVISION INTERNATIONAL CLASSIFICATION
OF DISEASES FOR USE BY THE MILITARY SERVICES

J. G. LOONEY
E. K. E. GUNDERSON
D. KOLB
A. DOUGLASS

REPORT NO. 76-41

Seq 1473

DTIC
ELECTE
JUN 16 1981
S D
E

DTIC FILE COPY



NAVAL HEALTH RESEARCH CENTER
P. O. BOX 85122
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92138

NAVAL MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND
BETHESDA, MARYLAND

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

81 6 15 027

Translation of the Department of Defense Disease and Injury Codes
to the
Eighth Revision International Classification of Diseases
for Use by the Military Services*

LCDR John G. Looney, MC, USNR,** E. K. Eric Gunderson, Ph.D.,[†]
Douglas Kolb, M.S.W.,[‡] and Arthur Douglass, B.A.[§]

*Report Number 76-43, supported by the Naval Medical Research and Development Command, Department of the Navy, under Research Work Unit MF51.524.022-0001. The views presented in this paper are those of the authors. No endorsement by the Department of the Navy has been given or should be inferred.

**Head, Health Care Systems Branch, Naval Health Research Center, San Diego, California.

[†]Head, Environmental and Social Medicine Division, Naval Health Research Center, San Diego, California.

[‡]Head, Drug and Alcohol Branch, Naval Health Research Center, San Diego, California.

[§]Formerly a graduate student research assistant with the Drug and Alcohol Branch.

latest military disease coding system to be used, from 1963 until the adoption of the ICDA-8, was the Department of Defense Disease and Injury Codes (DDDIC).¹²

Transition from the use of one coding system to another has caused serious problems for the clinician reviewing the patients' health records, for the medical record historian desiring a unified coding system, and for the researcher attempting to pursue record review studies. A translation of one coding system into the other has been needed.

At the Naval Health Research Center we are involved in epidemiological and longitudinal studies involving the use of computer-stored data concerning active duty personnel hospitalized in naval facilities. Such research necessitated the development of a DDDIC/ICDA-8 translation. Previously one of the authors reported in this journal the development of a translation of the Mental Disorder sections of the two coding systems.¹³ The purpose of this paper is to report on the further development of a translation for all medical disorders, accidents, and other conditions.

Problem and Method

Problem

The DDDIC is a classification system consisting of 3,213 diagnostic entities in 18 systems. Ten systems are organized with regard to anatomy; other systems classify Infections, Neoplasms, Mental Disorders, Congenital Malformations, Accidents, and Diseases of Early Infancy. Another system classifies Symptoms, Senility, and Ill Defined Conditions. The DDDIC also contains "Supplementary Classifications for Special Cases, Live Births and

Fetal Deaths." The Special Cases section describes such things as Fitting of Dental Prosthetic Device.

The ICDA-8 contains 3,206 entities also divided into 18 systems. Ten systems are organized with regard to anatomy, and the others are organized in a manner similar to that of the DDDIC. However, in the ICDA-8 two systems are used to describe accidents rather than one as in the DDDIC. The first accident coding system describes the nature of the injury, as for example, Fracture of Humerus. The second category, seldom used, describes the cause of the injury, Fall in, On, or From Train. This second accident coding system has no comparable section in the DDDIC.

One would expect an increased number of diagnostic entities in the ICDA-8 resulting from incorporation into this newer disease classification of advances in knowledge about newly identified disease states. The Neoplasm section in the ICDA-8, for example, contains almost three times as many entities as are found in the DDDIC, and this expansion reflects increased knowledge about classification of neoplastic disorders. Overall, however, the ICDA-8 contains a few less diagnostic entities than does the DDDIC. This greater number of disease entities in the DDDIC is the result of a different manner of categorizing diseases. This different manner of categorization might be called a "splitting" approach, as opposed to a "lumping" approach. Using the former approach, multiple subtypes of a given disease are split apart and given separate code numbers.

In reviewing the different organizations of the two coding systems, problems in making a translation might be expected, and indeed significant problems did exist. Problems consistently encountered are outlined as follows:

1. A new disease entity is found in the ICDA-8. No corresponding entity exists in the DDDIC.

Example:

<u>DDDIC Code Number</u>	<u>ICDA-8 Code Number</u>	<u>Disease Entity Designator</u>
None	2680	Nutritional marasmus

No entity the same as, or similar to, Nutritional marasmus is found in the DDDIC.

2. The ICDA-8 fails to categorize a disease found in the DDDIC.

Example:

<u>DDDIC Code Number</u>	<u>ICDA-8 Code Number</u>	<u>Disease Entity Designator</u>
3330	None	Angiospasm of cerebral arteries

There is no disease entity in the ICDA-8 similar enough to be equated with, or grouped with, the DDDIC entity.

3. The ICDA-8 contracts groups of diseases or accidents which had been presented in expanded form in the DDDIC.

Example:

<u>DDDIC Code Number</u>	<u>ICDA-8 Code Number</u>	<u>Disease Entity Designator</u>
	8000	Fracture of skull vault/Closed
	8001	Fracture of skull vault/Open
	8009	Fracture of skull vault/Late effect
8000		Fracture of skull vault/Simple
8001		Fracture of skull vault/Simple but with delayed healing

<u>DDDIC Code Number</u>	<u>ICDA-8 Code Number</u>	<u>Disease Entity Designator</u>
8002		Fracture of skull vault/Open wound without mention of infection, foreign body or delayed healing
8003		Fracture of skull vault/Open wound with infection
8004		Fracture of skull vault/Open wound with foreign body
8005		Fracture of skull vault/Open wound with delayed healing
8006		Fracture of skull vault/Open wound with infection and delayed healing
8007		Fracture of skull vault/Open wound with foreign body and delayed healing
8008		Fracture of skull vault/Open wound with infection and foreign body, with or without delayed healing
8009		Fracture of skull vault/Late effects of injury

Thus, in the ICDA-8 there are only three entities describing skull fracture, while there are ten such entities in the DDDIC.

4. The ICDA-8 expands groups of entities which had been presented in more condensed form in the DDDIC.

Example:

<u>DDDIC Code Number</u>	<u>ICDA-8 Code Number</u>	<u>Disease Entity Designator</u>
2950		Coagulation defects/Hemophilia (no subtypes)

<u>DDDIC Code Number</u>	<u>ICDA-8 Code Number</u>	<u>Disease Entity Designator</u>
	2860	Coagulation defects/Hemophilia (four subtypes)
	2861	Coagulation defects/Christmas disease
	2862	Coagulation defects/Plasma thromboplastin antecedent deficiency
	2863	Coagulation defects/Vascular hemophilia
	2864	Coagulation defects/Hemor- rhagic fibrinolysis
	2865	Coagulation defects/Circu- lating anticoagulants
	2869	Coagulation defects/Other

5. Terminology for similar diseases change so that they cannot be equated.

Example:

<u>DDDIC Code Number</u>	<u>ICDA-8 Code Number</u>	<u>Disease Entity Designator</u>
	5641	Function disorders of intestines/Irritable colon
5731		Functional disorders of intestines/Mucous colitis
5732		Functional disorders of intestines/Irritability of colon

The ICDA-8 Irritable colon and the DDDIC Irritability of colon are similar entities, but they are termed slightly differently. Further, it can be assumed that the ICDA-8's Irritable colon subsumes Mucous colitis, and thus

it is not the same as the DDDIC's Irritability of colon, which is distinguished from Mucous colitis.

6. A disease, or group of disease entities, in one ICDA-8 system may only be equated with the DDDIC entities found in another system.

Example:

<u>DDDIC Code Number</u>	<u>ICDA-8 Code Number</u>	<u>Disease Entity Designator</u>
	7710	Conditions of umbilical cord/ Compression of cord
	7711	Conditions of umbilical cord/ Prolapse of cord, no mention of compression
	7719	Conditions of umbilical cord/ Other
Y360		Conditions of umbilical cord/ Abnormal cord condition with- out mention of placental abnormality

The ICDA-8 entities are found in the system, "Certain Causes of Perinatal Morbidity and Mortality." The DDDIC entities are found in the system, "Supplementary Classifications for Special Cases, Live Births, and Fetal Deaths."

7. There are areas of marked incompatibility between diagnostic classifications for the same diseases within comparable systems. Such incompatibility usually represents a difference in philosophy regarding the nature of the disease entities.

Example:

<u>DDDIC Code Number</u>	<u>ICDA-8 Code Number</u>	<u>Disease Entity Designator</u>
	4000	Malignant hypertension/With- out mention of organ damage
	4001	Malignant hypertension/With heart involvement

<u>DDDIC Code Number</u>	<u>ICDA-8 Code Number</u>	<u>Disease Entity Designator</u>
	4002	Malignant hypertension/With cerebrovascular involvement
	4003	Malignant hypertension/With renal involvement
	4009	Malignant hypertension/With multiple organ involvement
4410		Essential malignant hyperten- sive cardiovascular disease
4450		Essential malignant vascular hypertension

The example demonstrates quite different approaches to categorizing malignant hypertension. The ICDA-8 approach stresses a description of organ damage. It does not specify etiology of the hypertension. The DDDIC stresses that the hypertension is essential in nature, but it does not allow for a complete classification of organ damage.

Method

Since the main purpose for developing the translation between the two classification systems was use in computer assisted record review research, a number of criteria, consistent with this purpose, were established. The criteria were as follows:

1. The numerical sequence of the ICDA-8 was retained throughout the translation. The ICDA-8 is the newer and more complete coding method. The DDDIC disease entities might be taken out of their sequence to match the

sequence of the ICDA-8.

2. Equations were made between disease entities only when they were exactly, not approximately, the same.

Example

<u>DDDIC Code Number</u>	<u>ICDA-8 Code Number</u>	<u>Disease Entity Designator</u>
	0600	Yellow fever/Sylvatic
	0601	Yellow fever/Urban
	0609	Yellow fever/Unspecified
0910		Yellow fever/Unspecified type

It would be tempting to equate the ICDA-8 Yellow fever/Unspecified with the DDDIC Yellow fever/Unspecified type. Yet they are not the same, and the difference is an important one for epidemiological research. Assume, for instance, that there were 1,000 cases of Yellow Fever during a 3-year period of time during which the DDDIC was used and the same number of cases during a 3-year period during which the ICDA-8 was used. In the DDDIC system, the 1,000 cases would all be in the Yellow fever/Unspecified type category, but in the ICDA-8 they would be divided among the three types of Yellow fever.

3. When equations were made, they were made only between two corresponding entities. There were occasions when equating one disease entity from one classification to two or more entities from another would have at first seemed logical.

Example:

<u>DDDIC Code Number</u>	<u>ICDA-8 Code Number</u>	<u>Disease Entity Designator</u>
	4440	Arterial embolism and thrombosis/Of abdominal aorta

<u>DDDIC Code Number</u>	<u>ICDA-8 Code Number</u>	<u>Disease Entity Designator</u>
	4441	Arterial embolism and thrombosis/Of other aorta
	4442	Arterial embolism and thrombosis/Of mesenteric artery
	4443	Arterial embolism and thrombosis/Of renal artery
	4444	Arterial embolism and thrombosis/Of arteries of the extremities
	4449	Arterial embolism and thrombosis/Of other and unspecified arteries
4540		Arterial embolism and thrombosis/any artery except cerebral, coronary, pulmonary, mesenteric, puerperal

The DDDIC 4540 could be equated to the ICDA-8 group 4440, 4441, 4443, 4444, and 4449; however, doing so would collapse the ICDA-8 entities into one category which would rule out the possibility of comparing and contrasting the subtypes of the ICDA-8 category, Arterial embolism and thrombosis. If one were studying patients diagnosed only in the time period during which the ICDA-8 were used, one might be interested in how a sample was distributed among the six subtypes.

4. A system had to be devised so that the computer, or the human user, could rapidly find a disease entity and all closely related entities.

At the Naval Health Research Center (NHRC) a new code number was given to each disease entity. In most cases the new number designated either a DDDIC or ICDA-8 diagnostic entity for which no corresponding entity was found

in the other classification system. In some cases the new number designates disease entities from each coding system which have been equated.

Example:

<u>DDDIC Code Number</u>	<u>ICDA-8 Code Number</u>	<u>NHRC Code Number</u>	<u>Disease Entity Designator</u>
	5000	08-467-01	Hypertrophy of tonsils and adenoids/ Unspecified with regard to surgical treatment
5100		08-467-02	Hypertrophy of tonsils and adenoids/ Without mention of tonsillectomy or adenoidectomy
5101		08-467-03	Hypertrophy of tonsils and adenoids/ With tonsillectomy or adenoidectomy
5110	5010	08-468-01	Peritonsillar abscess/All types
5120	5020	08-469-01	Chronic pharyngitis and naso- pharyngitis/Chronic pharyngitis
5121	5021	08-469-02	Chronic pharyngitis and naso- pharyngitis/Chronic nasopharyngitis

The NHRC code numbers for Peritonsillar abscess and Chronic pharyngitis and nasopharyngitis refer to disease entities for which a direct translation was made between the entities in the DDDIC and the ICDA-8. On the other hand, the three NHRC code numbers describing Hypertrophy of tonsils and adenoids refer to the DDDIC and the ICDA-8 entities which could not be matched directly.

The above example demonstrates other important aspects of the NHRC coding system. The code number contains seven digits. The first two describe the system in which the disease entity is found; in this case the system is

Diseases of the Respiratory System. The next three digits describe the category. The last two digits describe the subtypes within a category. Disease entities with marked similarities will be combined within a category. A category may contain from one to a very large number of subtypes. The category is described in the Disease Entity Designator before the slash. Three categories are contained in the above example. The subtypes are described after the slash in the Disease Entity Designator.

5. A translation design must allow for periodic changes. Changes in the translation have been envisioned as periodic additions are made to the ICDA-8. The designers of the translation also had to take into account the possibility that changes would be made if mistakes were found. Each system of the translation was edited by a physician thoroughly conversant with the diseases within that particular system. Still it is possible that with use of the translation a small percentage of errors may be discovered. The seven digit NHRC code system allows for expansion or contraction so that changes can be made easily.

Results

The Naval Health Research Center translation of the DDDIC and the ICDA-8 classifications contains 18 systems, 999 categories, and 5,495 subtypes (entities describing diseases, accidents, symptoms, and abnormal conditions). Of the 5,495 entries, 924 are ones in which an exact equivalency was found to exist between an entity in the DDDIC and the ICDA-8 (observing the strict criteria for equivalency described previously). The different disease systems vary in the amount of equivalency found between the DDDIC and the ICDA-8.

These differences are presented in tabular form in Table 1.

Insert Table 1 about here.

The low percentages of equivalency for many disease systems in the Table reflect the many changes that occurred with the development of the ICDA-8.

The translation is one in which the problems described earlier have been satisfactorily resolved, and the five criteria previously described have been met. The translation is in routine use for epidemiological research at the Naval Health Research Center.

Summary

During the past decade the Medical Corps of the Armed Forces have used two different disease classifications. A way of conjoining the two systems into some type of translation has been needed. At the Naval Health Research Center such a translation has been needed for use in research. A translation has been made in a way that meets criteria important to the epidemiological researcher, and it has proved useful in ongoing epidemiological studies. The translation is available for use at other medical facilities. It should be helpful to clinicians, researchers, and medical administrators or librarians.

References

¹Eighth Revision International Classification of Diseases, Adapted for Use in the United States, Vol. 1. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, Public Health Service Publication No. 1693, 1968, p. xv.

²Eighth Revision International Classification of Diseases, Adapted for Use in the United States, Vol. 1. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, Public Health Service Publication No. 1693, 1968, p. xvi.

³Eighth Revision International Classification of Diseases, Adapted for Use in the United States, Vols. 1 and 2. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, Public Health Service Publication No. 1693, 1968.

⁴Nomenclature of Diseases and Injuries. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, Medical Department, United States Navy, 1919.

⁵Nomenclature of Diseases and Injuries. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, Medical Department, United States Navy, 1926.

⁶Nomenclature of Diseases and Injuries, Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, Medical Department, United States Navy, 1927.

⁷Diagnostic Nomenclature for the Medical Department of the United States Navy. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1938.

⁸Diagnostic Nomenclature for the Medical Department of the United States Navy. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1943.

⁹Diagnostic Nomenclature for the Medical Department of the United States Navy. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, NavMed 117-2, 1944.

¹⁰Statistical Reporting and Diagnostic Nomenclature. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, NavMed-351, 1945.

¹¹Joint Armed Forces Statistical Classification and Basic Diagnostic Nomenclature of Diseases and Injuries, with a List of Surgical Operations. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, NavMed P-1294, 1949.

¹²Department of Defense Disease and Injury Codes. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, NavMed P-5082, 1963.

¹³Edwards, D. and Gunderson, E. K. E. The use of the Eighth Revision International Classification of Disease Adapted for Use in the United States for psychiatric disorders in the Navy. Mili. Med., 136:745-753, 1971.

Table 1

<u>Disease System</u>	<u>Percentage of Equivalencies between DDDIC and ICDA-8 Entities</u>
1. Infective and Parasitic Diseases	27
2. Neoplasms	27
3. Endocrine, Nutritional, and Metabolic Diseases	19
4. Diseases of the Blood and Blood Forming Organs	10
5. Mental Disorders	15
6. Diseases of Nervous System and Sense Organs	29
7. Diseases of Circulatory System	22
8. Diseases of the Respiratory System	28
9. Diseases of the Digestive System	26
10. Diseases of the Genitourinary System	23
11. Complications of Pregnancy, Childbirth, and the Puerperium	6
12. Diseases of Skin and Subcutaneous Tissue	43
13. Diseases of the Musculoskeletal System and Connective Tissue	19
14. Congenital Anomalies	9
15. Certain Causes of Perinatal Morbidity and Mortality	9
16. Symptoms and Ill Defined Conditions	90
17. Accidents, Poisonings, and Violence	8
18. Supplementary Classification -- Special Conditions	13

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

(14) NAVHL7HRSCHC-76-14

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM

1. REPORT NUMBER 76-41	2. GQVT ACCESSION NO. AD A100258	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER (7)
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Translation of the Department of Defense Disease and Injury Codes to the Ninth Revision International Classification of Diseases for use by the Military Services.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final rpt.
7. AUTHOR(s) John G. LOONEY, E.K. Eric GUNDERSON, R. / KOLB & Arthur DOUGLASS		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) (12) / 14
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Health Research Center P.O. Box 85122 San Diego, CA 92138		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS MF51 524 622-0001
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Medical Research & Development Command National Naval Medical Center Bethesda, MD 20014		12. REPORT DATE June 1976
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Bureau of Medicine and Surgery Department of the Navy Washington, DC 20372		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 17
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE

6

15

17

11

16) FS1524

16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)
Disease Classification
Epidemiology
Medical Records
Military medicine

20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)
During the past decade the Medical Corps of the Armed Forces have used two different disease classifications. A way of conjoining the two systems into some type of translation has been needed. At NHRC such a translation has been needed for use in research. A translation has been made in a way that meets criteria important to the epidemiological researcher, and it has proved useful in ongoing epidemiological studies. The translation is available for use at other medical facilities. It should be helpful to clinicians, researchers, and medical administrators or librarians.