


AD-A100 691

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION WASHINGTON DC OFFICE --ETC F/8 1/2
HELICOPTER NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL DATA: VARIATIONS WITH TEST TARG--ETC(U)
JUL 80 J S NEWMAN
FAA-AEE-80-34

UNCLASSIFIED

NL

1 of 1
AD-A
100 691



END
DATE
FILMED
8-81
DTIC



Department
of Transportation
Federal Aviation
Administration

Office Of Environment
And Energy
Washington, D.C. 20591

Helicopter Noise Exposure Level Data:

Variations With Test Target.

- Indicated Airspeed
- Distance
- Main Rotor RPM
And
- Takeoff Power

AD A100691

DTIC FILE COPY

FAA-AEE-80-34

JULY 10, 1980

J. Steven Newbran

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

816 25 008

1. Report No. FAA-AEE-80-34 [✓]	2. Government Accession No. AD-A100 691	3. Recipient's Catalog No.
4. Title and Subtitle Helicopter Noise Exposure Level Data: Variations with Test Target - Indicated Airspeed - Distance - Main Rotor RPM and - Takeoff Power		5. Report Date July 1980
7. Author(s) J. Steven Newman		6. Performing Organization Code
9. Performing Organization Name and Address U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration Office of Environment and Energy Washington, D.C. 20591		8. Performing Organization Report No.
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration 800 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20591		10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)
		11. Contract or Grant No.
		13. Type of Report and Period Covered
15. Supplementary Notes		14. Sponsoring Agency Code AEM-120
16. Abstract		
17. Key words		
18. Distribution Statement Document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA. 22161		
19. Security Classification of this report Unclassified	20. Security Classification of this report Unclassified	21. Number of Pages 36 p.

FOREWORD AND ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This report provides uncorrected noise exposure level data measured using an integrating sound level meter at a single measurement location during the recently completed, week long, FAA helicopter noise test.

These data have been acquired as a result of the combined efforts of many individuals, including the following persons who played key roles in conducting the program.

Larry Bedore - NEL measurements

Dave Ford - Cockpit coordination

Ed Sellman - Range control coordination

Dave Smith - Tower coordination

In addition to the measurements herein reported, primary acoustical measurements have been conducted by the Transportation Systems Center Noise Measurement and Assessment Laboratory under the direction of E. J. Rickley. This acoustical data (acquired for nine microphones) will be combined with flight path track data processed at the FAA, Dulles Noise Laboratory by D. W. Ford. Meteorological data acquired from surface readings and radiosondes will be processed by U.S. Weather Service personnel.

The coalation and reporting of these data will require a considerable period of time. Thus, this report has been prepared to provide limited but nevertheless useful information to interested parties.

8-	Distribution/	Availability Codes	Avail and/or	Special	
					A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LIST OF FIGURES	i
LIST OF TABLES	ii
LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	iii
 1.0 INTRODUCTION	 1
1.1 Noise Exposure Level Data	1
1.2 A-Weighted Sound Level Data	1
1.3.0 Flight Paths - Speeds - Main Rotor RPM	3
1.3.1 Takeoff Profile	3
1.3.2 Approach Profile	3
1.4 Statistical Analysis	3
1.5 Data Plots	4
1.6 NEL - dB(A) Data	4
1.7 Comparison of Average Takeoff, Approach, and Level Flyover Data	4
1.8 Analysis Benefit	4
 2.0 UH-60A: DESCRIPTION	 6
Noise Exposure Level for Takeoff and Approach Table 2.1	7
Noise Exposure Level Versus Indicated Airspeed for 500' Level Flyover Table 2.2	8
Noise Exposure Level Versus Indicated Airspeed Figure 2.2	9
Noise Exposure Level Versus Distance Table 2.3	10
Noise Exposure Level Versus Distance Figure 2.3	11
Noise Exposure Level Versus Speed Variation Table 2.4	12
Noise Exposure Level Versus Power Variation Table 2.5	13

	<u>Page</u>
3.0 S-76: DESCRIPTION	14
NEL for Takeoffs and Approaches Using 107% Main Rotor RPM Table 3.1.1	15
NEL For Takeoffs and Approaches Using 100% Main Rotor RPM Table 3.1.2	16
NEL Versus Indicated Airspeed for 107% Main Rotor RPM Table 3.2.1	17
NEL Versus Indicated Airspeed for 100% Main Rotor RPM Table 3.2.2	18
NEL Versus Indicated Airspeed for 107% + 100% Main Rotor RPM Figure 3.2	19
NEL Versus Distance for 107% Main Rotor RPM Table 3.3.1	20
NEL Versus Distance for 100% Main Rotor RPM Table 3.3.2	21
NEL Versus Distance for 107% + 100% Main Rotor RPM Figure 3.3	22
4.0 A109: DESCRIPTION	23
NEL For Takeoffs and Approaches Table 4.1	24
NEL Versus Distance Table 4.2	25
NEL Versus Distance Figure 4.2	26
NEL Versus Indicated Airspeed for 500' AGL Level Flyovers Table 4.3	27
NEL Versus Indicated Airspeed for 500' AGL Level Flyovers Figure 4.3	28
5.0 BELL 206-L: DESCRIPTION	29
NEL Versus Distance Table 5.1	30
NEL Versus Distance Figure 5.1	31

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1.1 NEL Measurement Station Location
- Figure 2.2 NEL Versus Indicated Airspeed Graph
- Figure 2.3 NEL Versus Distance Graph
- Figure 3.2 NEL Versus Indicated Airspeed
for 100% + 107% Main Rotor RPM
- Figure 3.3 NEL Versus Distance for
100% + 107% Main Rotor RPM
- Figure 4.2 NEL Versus Distance Graph
- Figure 4.3 NEL Versus Indicated Airspeed
for 500' Flyover
- Figure 5.1 NEL Versus Distance Graph

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.7	Comparison of Takeoff Approach and Level Flyover
Table 2.1	NEL for Takeoff and Approach
Table 2.2	NEL for Indicated Airspeed for 500' Level Flyover
Table 2.3	NEL Versus Distance
Table 2.4	NEL Versus Speed Variation
Table 2.5	NEL Versus Power Variation
Table 3.1	NEL for Takeoffs and Approaches Using 107% Main Rotor RPM
Table 3.1.2	NEL for Takeoffs and Approaches Using 100% Main Rotor RPM
Table 3.2.1	NEL Versus Indicated Airspeed for 107% Main Rotor RPM
Table 3.2.2	NEL Versus Indicated Airspeed for 100% Main Rotor RPM
Table 3.3.1	NEL Versus Distance for 107% Main Rotor RPM
Table 3.3.2	NEL Versus Distance for 100% Main Rotor RPM
Table 4.1	NEL for Takeoffs and Approaches
Table 4.2	NEL Versus Distance
Table 4.3	NEL Versus Indicated Airspeed for 500' AGL Level Flyovers
Table 5.1	NEL Versus Distance

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Avg.	=	Arithmetic Average of Sample Values
B & K	=	Bruel and Kjaer (2218) Precision Sound Level Meter
dB(A)	=	Maximum Slow Response A-Weighted Sound Level Expressed in Decibels
GR	=	General Radio (1500) Precision Sound Level Meter
H	=	Hover Power
NEL	=	Noise Exposure Level
RPM	=	Revolution Per Minute
Std.Dev.	=	Standard Deviation
V _H	=	Maximum Speed for Level Flight with Maximum Continuous Power (knots)
V _{NE}	=	Never Exceed Speed (knots)
V _y +10	=	Best Rate of Climb Speed Plus 10 Knots
V _y -10	=	Best Rate of Climb Speed Minus 10 Knots
V _y	=	Best Rate of Climb Speed
Kt.	=	Knots
AGL	=	Above Ground Level

Note: In the context of this report the Sound Exposure Level (SEL) is considered synonymous with NEL.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report has been prepared in a short time frame in order to provide a "first look" at data acquired in the FAA helicopter noise measurement program conducted at the FAA Technical Center during the week of June 21, 1980. Subsequent reports will provide extensive meteorological data, tracking data, normalized acoustical data, and analysis.

1.1 Noise Exposure Level Data

The Noise Exposure Level (NEL) data reported in this document were measured primarily with the GenRad 1988 precision integrating sound level meter. The Bruel and Kjaer 2218 was used for the 206-L measurements.


NEL readings were measured over approximately the 20 dB down, time history for each event. Readings were measured 4 feet above ground level at a distance of approximately 100 feet to the side of the centerline - center microphone location (see Figure 1.1).

1.2 A-Weighted Sound Level Data

The maximum, slow response A-weighted sound level is presented along with the NEL for each event.

Figure 1.1

MICROPHONE AND RECORDING SYSTEM DEPLOYMENT; JUNE 1980 HELICOPTER NOISE MEASUREMENT PROGRAM AT THE FAA TECHNICAL CENTER



1.3.0 Flight Paths - Speeds - Main Rotor RPM

The NEL data have been presented for TARGET testing parameters. The tracking data, meteorological data, and cockpit photographs have not yet been reduced. However, examination of the NEL data variability within any given test series does provide an ideal of how consistent conditions were.

1.3.1 Takeoff Profile

The takeoff rotation point for the UH-60A on 6-22 was 1,632 feet from the centerline-center microphone location (takeoffs east to west). During the remainder of the test, the takeoff rotation point was 1,561 feet from the centerline-center microphone location (takeoffs west to east).

1.3.2 Approach Profile

Approaches were conducted along a 6 degree glide slope, intercepting the ground surface 3,750 feet to the west of the centerline-center microphone location.

1.4 Statistical Analysis

The arithmetic average and the standard deviation have been provided for each data sample. Subsequent analysis will include consideration of small sample statistics.

1.5 Data Plots

The speed versus noise and distance versus noise plots provided in this report include trend lines which are eye-ball/French curve approximations of the ordinate-abscissa relationship. After subsequent data correction and analysis, a thorough correlation and regression analysis will be conducted.

1.6 NEL-dB(A) Data

The difference between the average NEL and average maximum dB(A) has been provided for each data set. The use of this data in assessing the duration correction relationship is not recommended until data corrections are applied.

1.7 Comparison of Average Takeoff, Approach, and Level Flyover Data

Table 1.7 provides a comparison of noise exposure levels for the various test helicopters in a variety of operational modes.

1.8 Analysis Benefit

The absolute values of uncorrected measured data may be different from rigorously normalized data, however, the trends, slopes and mathematical functions relating NEL with speed, distance and other parameters should be similar to those derived from corrected data.

TABLE 1.7
AVERAGE NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL (dB):
COMPARISON OF TAKEOFF APPROACH
AND LEVEL FLYOVER DATA

<u>Helicopter</u>	<u>Takeoff</u>	<u>Approach</u>	<u>Level Flyover</u>	<u>Test Weight</u>
S-76 (100%)	85.6	93.3	86.3	10,000 lbs
S-76 (107%)	87.5	95.5	88.5	10,000 lbs
A109	91.6	98.2	89.8	5,730 lbs
UH-60A ($V_y + 10$)	-	94.0	-	20,250 lbs
UH-60A ($V_y - 10$)	-	93.4	-	20,250 lbs
UH-60A (V_y)	-	93.1	-	20,250 lbs
UH-60A (6-22) (Max. Pwr.) (V_y)	84.6	94.1	93.5	20,250 lbs
UH-60A (6-26) (H + 10%)	87.0	-	-	20,250 lbs
UH-60A (6-26) (Max. Pwr.)	86.6	-	-	20,250 lbs

2.0 SIKORSKY UH-60A "BLACKHAWK"

The UH-60A was provided through the courtesy of the U.S. Army Transportation School located at Ft. Eustis, Virginia.

The UH-60A was utilized to investigate the following influences on noise levels:

- 1) Distance (level flyovers)
- 2) Speed (level flyovers and approach)
- 3) Engine power (takeoff)

NEL and maximum dB(A) data are also provided for takeoffs, approaches and level flyovers utilizing proposed helicopter noise certification procedures.

TABLE 2.1

UH-60A: TEST DATE 6/22/80 (SUNDAY)

CENTERLINE CENTER LOCATION (GR)

NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL FOR TAKEOFF
AND APPROACH

<u>Takeoff</u>			<u>Approach</u>		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
7	85.1	74.4	16	93.8	85.9
8	85.4	76.3	17	94.6	86.8
9	85.1	75.0	18	94.0	85.8
10	84.4	74.3	19	93.6	85.1
11	84.2	73.2	20	94.3	86.4
12	84.5	74.4	21	94.2	85.8
13	84.7	74.5	22	94.3	86.3
14	84.1	73.5	23	94.2	86.4
15	84.1	74.3	24	94.3	86.7
Avg.	84.6	74.4	Avg.	94.1	86.1
Std. Dev.	0.48	0.88	Std. Dev.	0.30	0.53
NEL - dB(A) = 10.2			NEL - dB(A) = 8.0		

TABLE 2.2

UH60A: TEST DATE 6/22/80 (SUNDAY)

CENTERLINE CENTER LOCATION (GR)

NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL VERSUS
INDICATED AIRSPEED FOR 500' LEVEL FLYOVERS

<u>165 Kts</u>			<u>152 Kts</u>			<u>132 Kts</u>		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
49	93.6	78.5	25	92.4	84.4	26	91.1	-
50	94.7	78.2	28	92.6	84.4	29	92.0	84.0
51	92.7	76.5	31	93.5	85.8	32	91.5	84.3
			34	95.6	87.9	35	91.4	87.9
Avg.	93.6	77.7	Avg.	93.5	85.6	Avg.	91.5	85.4
S. Dev.	1.0	1.07	S. Dev.	1.46	1.65	S. Dev.	.374	2.17
$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 15.9$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dBA} = 7.9$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dBA} = 6.1$		

<u>115 Kts</u>			<u>100 Kts</u>		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
27	90.2	81.7	52	88.0	71.6
30	89.2	80.7			
33	91.4	82.6			
36	90.2	83.2			
Avg.	90.2	82.0			
S. Dev.	0.9	1.09			
$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 8.2$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 16.4$		

Figure 2.2

UH-60A (6-22-80)
NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL VERSUS
TARGET INDICATED AIRSPEED
FOR 500' LEVEL FLYOVERS




TABLE 2.3

UH-60A: TEST DATE 6/25/80 (WEDNESDAY)

CENTERLINE CENTER LOCATION (GR)

NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL VERSUS
DISTANCE FOR 152 KNOT LEVEL FLYOVERS

<u>300' AGL</u>			<u>500' AGL</u>			<u>700' AGL</u>		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
56	95.6	90.3	25	92.4	84.4	60	-	-
57	97.6	91.7	28	92.6	84.4	61	93.5	84.0
58	96.2	90.7	31	93.5	85.8	62	91.3	82.7
59	99.6	93.1	34	95.6	87.9	63	93.6	84.9
Avg. 97.2		91.4	Avg. 93.5		85.6	Avg. 92.8		83.8
Std.Dev.		1.24	Std.Dev. 1.46		1.43	Std.Dev. 1.1		1.1
$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dBA} = 5.7$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dBA} = 7.9$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dBA} = 9.0$		

<u>1000' AGL</u>			<u>1500' AGL</u>		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
64	90.2	81.5	68	86.2	75.4
65	90.8	81.6	69	88.5	77.6
66	89.3	79.9	70	86.4	75.7
67	90.3	81.0	71	88.5	77.7
Avg. 90.1		81.0	Avg. 87.4		76.6
Std. Dev. .029		.777	Std. Dev. 1.27		1.2
$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dBA} = 9.1$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dBA} = 10.8$		

<u>2000' AGL</u>			<u>2500' AGL</u>		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
72	84.7	74.5	76	-	-
73	85.4	73.0	77	84.7	72.1
74	84.3	72.6			
75	86.0	73.5			
Avg. 85.1		73.4	Avg. 84.7		
Std. Dev. .752		0.82	Std. Dev.		
$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dBA} = 11.7$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dBA} = 12.6$		

Figure 2.3
UH-60A (6-25-80)
NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL
VERSUS DISTANCE




TABLE 2.4

UH-60A: TEST DATE 6/26/80 (THURSDAY)

CENTERLINE CENTER LOCATION (GR)

Approach at Vy + 10 Kt.			Approach at Vy - 10 Kt.			Approach at Vy		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
22	94.1	86.6	30	94.3	86.6	40	93.1	85.4
24	94.0	87.0	32	93.8	85.2	42	93.2	85.0
26	94.5	86.7	34	92.8	85.2			
28	93.6	86.4	36	93.0	84.9			
			38	93.4	84.6			
Avg. 94.0			Avg. 93.4			Avg. 93.1		
Std.Dev. .36			Std.Dev. 0.60			Std.Dev. .07		
$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 7.4$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 8.1$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 7.9$		

TABLE 2.5

UH-60A: TEST DATE 6/26/80 (THURSDAY)

CENTERLINE CENTER LOCATION

Takeoff at Hover Power + 10%			Takeoff at Max. Takeoff Power		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
21	-		33	86.8	77.8
23	86.9	77.9	35	86.6	77.3
25	87.0	78.2	37	87.1	78.2
27	87.4	78.5	39	86.4	76.5
29	86.6	77.8	41	86.2	76.1
31	87.1	77.9			
Avg.	87.0	78.0	Avg.	86.6	77.1
Std. Dev.	.29	0.28	Std. Dev.	0.34	0.87
NEL - dB(A) = 9.0			NEL - dB(A) = 9.5		

3.0 SIKORSKY S-76 "SPIRIT"

The S-76 was provided through the courtesy of the Sikorsky Helicopter Division of United Technologies.

The S-76 was utilized to investigate the following influences on noise levels:

- 1) Distance (level flyovers)
- 2) Speed (level flyovers)
- 3) Main rotor RPM (all flight modes)

NEL and maximum dB(A) data are also provided for takeoffs, approaches and level flyovers utilizing proposed helicopter noise certification procedures.

TABLE 3.1.1

S-76: TEST DATE 6/25/80 (WEDNESDAY)

CENTERLINE CENTER LOCATION

NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL FOR TAKEOFFS AND
APPROACHES USING 107% MAIN ROTOR RPM

<u>Takeoff</u>			<u>Approach</u>		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
41	88.3	80.4	40	-	-
43	87.5	80.1	42	96.3	88.1
45	87.1	79.8	44	95.1	85.1
47	87.0	79.6	46	95.2	85.6
49	87.4	79.5	48	95.0	85.6
51	-		50	96.0	87.9
Avg.	87.5	79.8	Avg.	95.5	86.5
Std. Dev.	.46	0.3	Std. Dev.	.53	1.2
$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 7.7$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 9.0$		

TABLE 3.1.2

S-76: TEST DATE 6/23/80 (MONDAY

CENTERLINE CENTER LOCATION

NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL FOR
TAKEOFFS AND APPROACHES USING
100% MAIN ROTOR RPM

<u>Takeoffs</u>			<u>Approaches</u>		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
33	86.0	76.8	34	91.5	81.8
35	86.5	78.1	36	93.5	86.3
37	86.2	77.5	38	94.6	87.6
39	85.3	77.5	40	94.2	86.2
41	85.1	77.3	42	94.0	85.6
43	85.4	77.8	44	92.5	83.7
55	84.5	76.3	56	93.1	85.5
Avg.	85.6	77.4	Avg.	93.3	85.3
Std. Dev.	.64	.56	Std. Dev.	1.0	1.7
$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 8.2$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 8.0$		

TABLE 3.2.1

S-76: TEST DATES 6/23/80 (MONDAY) AND

6/25/80 (WEDNESDAY)

NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL VERSUS
INDICATED AIRSPEED FOR 107% MAIN ROTOR RPM,
500' AGL LEVEL FLYOVERS

155 Kts (M)			140 Kts (M)			124 Kts (W)		
Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)	Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)	Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)
65	92.1	85.8	57	87.7	81.8	24	-	80.5
66	95.3	89.0	58	89.7	82.5	25	86.7	80.4
67	98.0	92.3	59	88.4	81.9	26	89.0	80.8
68	95.3	89.2	60	88.4	81.0	27	89.0	80.0
						28	89.4	81.4
						29	86.4	79.2
Avg.	95.1	89.1	Avg.	88.5	81.8	Avg.	87.7	80.3
Std. Dev.	2.41	2.6	Std. Dev.	.834	.53	Std. Dev.	1.39	0.6
$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 6.0$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 6.7$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 7.4$		

109 Kts (W)			93 Kts (M)		
Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)	Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)
18	88.7	79.7	61	85.6	76.5
19	86.2	78.6	62	-	-
20	88.9	80.0	63	85.1	75.8
21	87.4	80.4	64	87.3	79.0
22	89.0	80.6			
23	86.1	78.4			
Avg.	87.7	79.7	Avg.	86.0	77.1
Std. Dev.	1.34	.84	Std. Dev.	1.15	1.3
$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 8.0$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 8.9$		

TABLE 3.2.2

S-76: TEST DATE 6/23/80 (MONDAY)

CENTERLINE CENTER LOCATION (GR)

NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL VERSUS
INDICATED AIR SPEED FOR 100% MAIN ROTOR RPM,
500' AGL LEVEL FLYOVERS

155 Kts			140 Kts			124 Kts		
Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)	Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)	Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)
25	88.6	81.5	17	86.9	78.5	49	84.8	78.0
26	87.9	81.6	18	86.3	80.3	50	86.2	78.2
27	90.0	83.4	19	87.1	79.8	51	83.8	76.2
28	89.2	83.0	20	85.7	79.9	52	85.0	76.8
Avg.	88.9	82.4	Avg.	86.3	79.6	Avg.	84.9	77.3
Std. Dev.	.892	.84	Std. Dev.	.632	.67	Std. Dev.	.984	.83

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 6.5$$

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 6.7$$

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 7.6$$

109 Kts			93 Kts		
Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)	Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)
29	-		21	86.0	75.9
30	83.0	75.5	22	88.9	93.2
31	84.8	75.9	23	85.9	76.5
32	82.5	74.3	24	88.4	81.8
Avg.	83.4	75.2	Avg.	87.3	79.3
Std. Dev.	1.20	.67	Std. Dev.	1.57	3.6

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 8.2$$

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 8.0$$

Figure 3.2
S-76
NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL
VERSUS TARGET IAS
○ 100% 6-23-80
● 107% 6-25-80




TABLE 3.3.1

S-76: TEST DATE 6/25/80 (WEDNESDAY)

CENTERLINE CENTER LOCATION (GR)

NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL VERSUS
DISTANCE FOR 107% MAIN ROTOR RPM

300' AGL			500' AGL (6/23)			700' AGL		
Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)	Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)	Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)
10	91.9	85.5	57	87.7	81.8	8	88.9	80.1
11	90.5	85.1	58	89.7	82.5	9	86.2	78.9
16	93.1	86.5	59	88.4	81.9	14	87.7	79.0
17	90.1	85.1	60	88.4	81.0	15	86.3	79.0
Avg.	91.4	85.6	Avg.	88.5	81.8	Avg.	87.2	79.3
Std. Dev.	1.37	.57	Std. Dev.	.834	.53	Std. Dev.	1.28	.49

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 5.8$$

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 6.7$$

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 7.9$$

1000' AGL*			1000' AGL*			1500' AGL		
Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)	Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)	Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)
1	82.7	74.0	52	85.1	76.3	5	80.3	70.6
2	85.6	76.1	53	84.5	74.8	6	83.1	72.2
3	84.4	76.3	54	86.1	77.1	7	81.2	71.7
4	85.9	76.6	55	85.5	76.7	12	83.5	73.1
						13	81.1	71.6
Avg.	84.6	75.7	Avg.	85.3	76.3	Avg.	81.8	71.9
Std.Dev.	1.45	1.1	Std. Dev.	.673	.08	Std.Dev.	1.38	.81

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 8.9$$

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 9.0$$

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 9.9$$

2000' AGL			2500' AGL		
Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)	Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)
34	81.7	70.8	30	78.6	66.4
35	79.4	68.4	31	77.6	66.3
36	80.5	68.9	32	79.6	67.7
37	79.4	68.7	33	77.8	66.5
Avg.	80.2	69.2	Avg.	78.4	66.8
Std. Dev.	1.09	.94	Std. Dev.	.909	.56

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 11.0$$

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 11.6$$

Note: The 1000' AGL level flyovers were conducted at the beginning and the end of the test session to examine changes in propagation path characteristics.

TABLE 3.3.2

S-76: TEST DATE 6/23/80 (MONDAY)

CENTERLINE CENTER LOCATIONNOISE EXPOSURE LEVELVERSUS DISTANCE FOR 100% MAIN ROTOR RPM

<u>300' AGL</u>			<u>500' AGL</u>			<u>700' AGL</u>		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
9	90.7	84.5	17	86.9	78.5	7	85.1	75.5
10	89.1	83.7	18	86.3	80.3	8	84.7	77.2
15	90.0	84.2	19	87.1	79.8	13	85.5	76.5
16	89.3	84.2	20	85.7	79.9	14	85.4	78.2
Avg.	89.7	84.2	Avg.	86.3	79.4	Avg.	85.1	76.9
Std. Dev.	.727	.33	Std. Dev.	.632	.69	Std. Dev.	.359	.98

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 5.5$$

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 6.9$$

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 8.2$$

<u>1000' AGL</u>			<u>1500' AGL</u>		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
45	82.3	74.0	5	80.9	69.9
46	82.6	72.6	6	79.9	69.4
47	82.4	74.3	11	80.8	70.3
48	83.4	74.2	12	80.2	70.6
Avg.	82.6	73.8	Avg.	80.4	69.9
Std. Dev.	.43	.69	Std. Dev.	.479	.36

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 8.8$$

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 10.5$$


Figure 3.3

S-76

NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL
VERSUS DISTANCE

○ 100% ROTOR RPM (6-28-80)

● 107 ROTOR RPM (6-25-80)



4.0 AGUSTA A-109

The A-109 was provided through the courtesy of Costruzioni Aeronautiche, Giovanni Agusta.

The A-109 was utilized to investigate the following influences on noise levels:

- 1) Distance (level flyovers)
- 2) Speed (level flyovers)

NEL and maximum dB(A) data are also provided for takeoffs, approaches and level flyovers utilizing proposed helicopter noise certification procedures.

TABLE 4.1

A109: TEST DATE 6/24/80 (TUESDAY)

CENTERLINE CENTER LOCATION (GR)

NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL FOR
TAKEOFFS AND APPROACHES

<u>Takeoffs</u>			<u>Approaches</u>		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
23	91.2	83.7	24	98.3	90.1
25	92.3	88.1	26	97.6	88.1
27	92.3	85.1	28	99.3	91.0
29	91.2	84.2	30	98.6	89.7
31	91.3	84.2	32	98.7	90.5
33	91.1	83.8	34	98.9	89.9
35	91.7	85.1	36	96.2	87.6
37	91.4	84.1	38	97.8	89.7
39	91.5	84.2	40	97.6	89.1
41	91.1	83.6			
Avg.	91.5	84.7	Avg.	98.1	89.5
Std. Dev.	.45	1.3	Std. Dev.	.93	1.09

TABLE 4.2

A109: TEST DATE 6/24/80 (TUESDAY)

CENTERLINE CENTER LOCATION (GR)

NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL

VERSUS DISTANCE

<u>300' AGL</u>			<u>500' AGL</u>			<u>700' AGL</u>		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
9	91.6	84.0	17	88.5	80.1	7	87.2	78.0
10	92.9	85.0	18	90.7	80.9	8	88.6	78.7
15	92.0	84.7	19	89.4	81.3	13	87.6	78.3
16	93.7	85.5	20	90.9	82.0	14	88.4	78.1
			62	90.8	87.7			
			63	88.8	80.5			
Avg.	92.5	84.8	Avg.	89.8	82.1	Avg.	87.9	78.3
Std.Dev.	.938	.54	Std.Dev.	1.08	2.6	Std.Dev.	.66	.27
$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 7.7$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 7.7$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 9.6$		

<u>1000' AGL</u>			<u>1500' AGL</u>		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
2	87.3	76.4	5	81.6	70.4
3	85.3	74.6	6	84.9	74.3
4	86.8	76.1	11	81.6	70.1
			12	84.9	72.4
Avg.	86.4	75.7	Avg.	83.2	71.8
Std.Dev.	1.04	.78	Std.Dev.	1.30	1.7
$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 10.7$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 11.4$		

Figure 4.2
A-109 (6-24-80)
NOISE EXPOSURE I FVFI
VERSUS DISTANCE




TABLE 4.3

A109: TEST DATE 6/24/80 (TUESDAY)

CENTERLINE CENTR LOCATION (GR)


NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL VERSUS
INDICATED AIRSPEED FOR 500' AGL
LEVEL FLYOVERS

<u>145 Knots</u>			<u>130 Knots</u>			<u>116 Knots</u>		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
48	92.6	84.8	17	88.5	80.1	54	89.4	(80.8)ex
49	91.6	83.7	18	90.7	80.9	55	87.6	78.7
50	-		19	89.4	81.3	57	87.4	78.0
59	91.9	84.4	20	90.9	82.0	58	88.2	79.4
60	92.6	84.0	62	90.8	87.7			
61	91.0	83.5	63	88.8	80.5			
Avg.	91.9	84.1	Avg.	89.8	82.1	Avg.	88.1	78.7
Std.Dev.	.684	.47	Std.Dev.	1.08	.26	Std.Dev.	0.9	.57
$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 7.8$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 7.7$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 9.4$		

<u>102 Knots</u>			<u>87 Knots</u>		
<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>	<u>Run No.</u>	<u>NEL</u>	<u>Max. dB(A)</u>
51	-	-	21	86.5	78.3
52	88.4	79.6	22	87.6	77.9
53	86.7	77.7	46	87.9	80.1
56	87.9	79.2	47	86.9	76.9
Avg.	87.6	78.8	Avg.	87.2	78.3
Std.Dev.	.873	.81	Std.Dev.	.639	1.2
$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 8.8$			$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 8.9$		

Figure 4.3

A-109 (6-24-80)
NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL VERSUS
TARGET INDICATED AIRSPEED
FOR 500' LEVEL FLYOVERS



5.0 FAA, BELL 206-L

The Bell 206-L was one of the principal test helicopter participating in the June 1978, FAA measurement program (see FAA-EE-79-03).

In the recently completed test, the 206-L has been used to acquire noise versus distance information.

TABLE 5.1

BELL 206-L: TEST DATE 6/26/80 (THURSDAY)

CENTERLINE CENTER LOCATION (BK)

NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL

VERSUS DISTANCE

300' AGL			700' AGL			1000' AGL		
Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)	Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)	Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)
1	88.1	-	5	84.4	73	9	81.2	70
2	87.7	81.0	6	82.5	73	10	81.2	70
3	88.1	80.5	7	83.8	74	11	81.0	68
4	88.8	81.5	8	83.3	-	12	79.4	69
Avg.	88.1	81.0	Avg.	83.5	73.3	Avg.	80.7	69.2
Std.Dev.	.457	.5	Std.Dev.	.804	0.57	Std.Dev.	.871	0.95

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 7.1$$

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 10.2$$

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 11.5$$


1500' AGL			2000' AGL		
Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)	Run No.	NEL	Max. dB(A)
13	78.2	66	17	75.3	62
14	76.4	65	18	74.2	62
15	77.5	64	19	74.7	61
16	76.5	64			
Avg.	77.1	64.7	Avg.	74.7	61.6
Std.Dev.	.858	0.95	Std.Dev.	.550	0.57

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 12.4$$

$$\overline{NEL} - \overline{dB(A)} = 13.1$$

Figure 5.1

BELL 206-L (6-26-80)
NOISE EXPOSURE LEVEL
VERSUS DISTANCE



**DAT
FILM**