

LEVEL

FLOOD PLAIN ⁽²⁾ INFORMATION

EAST BRANCH CHAGRIN RIVER

LAKE AND GEAUGA COUNTIES, OHIO



JUN 30 1981

This document contains color
information. All DTIC reproductions
will be in black and white.

DTIC FILE COPY

PREPARED FOR
OHIO DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF WATER
BY
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
CORPS OF ENGINEERS
BUFFALO DISTRICT
JULY 1976

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. <i>AD-ACC 838</i>	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Flood Plain Information East Branch Chagrin River Lake and Geauga Counties, Ohio		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Engineer District, Buffalo 1776 Niagara Street Buffalo, New York 14207		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Engineer District, Buffalo 1776 Niagara Street Buffalo, New York 14207		12. REPORT DATE 1976
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 29
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Distribution Unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Floods Flooding Chagrin River		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report has been prepared because a knowledge of flood potential and flood hazards is important in land use planning of flood plains. It includes a history of flooding along the East Branch Of the Chagrin River and identifies those areas that are subject to possible future floods. Special emphasis is given to these floods through maps, photographs, profiles, and cross sections. This report does not provide solutions to flood problems; however, it does furnish a suitable basis for the adoption of land use controls to guide flood plain development and thereby prevent intensification of the loss problems.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Page</u>
PREFACE	iv
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	1
Settlement	1
The Stream and Its Valley	2
SOURCES OF DATA	2
FLOOD SITUATION	3
Flood Season and Flood Characteristics	3
Factors Affecting Flooding and Its Impact	3
Morphologic-Hydraulic Conditions	3
Obstructions to Flood Flow	4
Unified Flood Plain Management Programs	4
Flood Plain Regulations	5
Development Zones	5
Formulation of Flood Plain Regulations	6
National Flood Insurance Program	6
Other Factors and Their Impacts	7
PAST FLOODS	15
Flood Description	15
March 1913 Flood	15
January 1959 Flood	15
FUTURE FLOODS	15
Flood Magnitudes and Their Frequencies	15
Hazards and Damages of Large Floods	16
Flooded Areas	17
Obstructions	17
Velocities of Flow	18
Rates of Rise and Duration of Flooding	18
Photographs, Future Flood Heights	18
GLOSSARY	26

Original contains color plates: All DTIC reproductions will be in black and white




TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	A Natural Obstruction to Flow	8
2	Natural Channel and Overbank Conditions for the East Branch of the Chagrin River	8
3	Kirtland-Chardon Road Bridge over Penitentiary Glen Creek	9
4	Markell Road Bridge at stream mile 2.52	9
5	Ohio Route 306 Bridge at stream mile 2.97	10
6	Chillicothe Road Bridge at stream mile 3.72	10
7	Booth Road Bridge at stream mile 6.93	11
8	Mitchells Mill Road Bridge at stream mile 10.81	11
9	Wiesner Road Bridge at stream mile 12.01	12
10	Kirtland-Chardon Road Bridge at stream mile 12.80	12
11	U. S. Route 6 Bridge at stream mile 14.38	13
12	Heath Road Bridge at stream mile 15.00	13
13	Sperry Road Bridge over Pierson Creek	14
14	The Dam at the Kirtland Country Club at stream mile 2.09	14
15	Future Flood Heights at the Kirtland Country Club at stream mile 2.12	25
16	Future Flood Heights at St. Hubert's Church at stream mile 6.31	25

Cover Photo

Debris deposited by storm of June 1959, at the upstream face of the state route 306 bridge over the East Branch of the Chagrin River at Kirkland, Ohio. Photo courtesy Mrs. C. G. Simpson.

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Population Trends	1
2	Drainage Areas	2
3	Peak Flows for Various Flows	19
4	Elevation Reference Marks for the East Branch of the Chagrin River	20
5	Bridges Across the East Branch of the Chagrin River	22
6	100- and 500-Year Flood Discharges and Average Velocities	24

PLATES

<u>Plate</u>		<u>Follows Page</u>
1	General	iv
2	Index Map - Flooded Areas	27
3 thru 5	Flood Area Maps	27
6 thru 11	Flood Profiles	27
12 thru 14	Valley Cross Sections	27




PLATE 1

PREFACE

The portions of the village of Waite Hill, the city of Kirtland, the village of Kirtland Hills, and the Chardon Township covered by this report are subject to flooding from the East Branch of the Chagrin River and its tributaries. The properties along these streams are primarily residential and agricultural and have been moderately damaged by the floods of 1913 and 1959. The open spaces in the flood plains which may come under pressure for future development are extensive. Although large floods have occurred in the past, studies indicate that even larger floods are possible.

This report has been prepared because a knowledge of flood potential and flood hazards is important in land use planning of flood plains. It includes a history of flooding along the East Branch of the Chagrin River and identifies those areas that are subject to possible future floods. Special emphasis is given to these floods through maps, photographs, profiles, and cross sections. The report does not provide solutions to flood problems; however, it does furnish a suitable basis for the adoption of land use controls to guide flood plain development and thereby prevent intensification of the loss problems. It will also aid in the development of other flood damage reduction techniques such as works to modify flooding and other adjustments, including flood proofing, which might be embodied in an overall Flood Plain Management (FPM) program. Other FPM program studies, those of environmental attributes and the current and future land use role of the flood plain as part of its surroundings, would also profit from this information.

The report was prepared at the request of Councils of the village of Waite Hill, the city of Kirtland, the village of Kirtland Hills, and the Trustees of Chardon Township with the endorsement of Ohio Department of Natural Resources, under continuing authority provided in Section 206 of the 1960 Flood Control Act (Public Law 86-645), as amended.

This assistance and cooperation of the Planning and Zoning Commission of the city of Kirtland, the Kirtland Library, the Mentor Public Library, the Lake County Historical Society, the News Herald of Willoughby, Ohio, the Division of Planning of the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, and private citizens in supplying useful data and photographs for the preparation of this report are appreciated.

Additional copies of this report can be obtained from the Ohio Department of Natural Resources. The Buffalo District of the Corps of Engineers, upon request, will provide technical assistance to planning agencies in the interpretation and use of the data presented as well as planning guidance and further assistance, including the development of additional information.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The portion of the East Branch of the Chagrin River included in this study is shown in blue on the General Map, Plate 1.

The area studied includes those sections of the flood plains along the East Branch of the Chagrin River in Lake and Geauga Counties. The portion of the East Branch of the Chagrin River within the study area extends from its mouth at the confluence with the Chagrin River (stream mile 0.00) to the Heath Road Bridge (mile 15.0). The study also includes the lower 0.5 miles of Penitentiary Glen Creek and the lower 0.8 miles of Pierson Creek.

SETTLEMENT.

The East Branch of the Chagrin River basin was part of the lands of the Connecticut Land Company. The lands were first developed by New Englanders. As the area became more populated the two counties of Geauga and Lake were established on 1 March 1806 and 6 March 1840, respectively. The area has been slowly developing since then and remains basically a rural area. Table 1 shows the population trends for political subdivisions in the watershed since 1930.

Table 1 - Population Trends

Political Subdivision	Population				
	1930	1940	1950	1960	1970
Geauga County	:	:	:	:	:
Chardon Township	:2,456	:2,682	:3,374	:5,210	:7,171
Lake County	:	:	:	:	:
Kirtland, township	:1,602	:1,859	:2,663	:4,876	:5,729
Kirtland, city	: -	: -	: -	: -	: 5,530
Kirtland Hills village	: 206	: 237	: 235	: 292	: 452
Waite Hill, village	: 237	: 289	: 305	: 360	: 514
TOTALS	:4,501	:5,067	:6,577	:10,738	:19,396

THE STREAM AND ITS VALLEY

The East Branch of the Chagrin River drains an area of about 51.1 square miles in Northeastern Ohio. It rises two miles west of Chardon near elevation 1,280 feet, and flows southwesterly about five miles, then north-northeasterly about five miles, and then westerly about nine miles to its junction with the Chagrin River about five miles upstream from Lake Erie. The East Branch has a generally moderate slope near the mouth and becomes progressively steeper upstream.

The flood plain which contains the East Branch is relatively narrow throughout its length. Only for a short length of the stream in Kirtland Hills does the flood plain exceed 1,500 feet in width. For most of the length of the stream, the flood plain width doesn't exceed 1,000 feet.

Drainage areas contributing to runoff at locations in the study are shown in Table 2.

Table 2 - Drainage Areas

<u>East Branch of the Chagrin River</u>	<u>:</u>	<u>:</u>	<u>Drainage Area</u>
	<u>mileage</u>	<u>:</u>	<u>Sq. Mi.</u>
At the confluence with the Chagrin River:	0.00	:	51.1
Upstream of the tributary at Waite Hill	2.03	:	45.2
Upstream of Penitentiary Glen Creek	3.77	:	40.9
Upstream of Pierson Creek	6.97	:	34.1
0.20 miles below Mitchell's Mill Road	10.61	:	26.5
At the Heath Road Bridge	15.00	:	18.8
Penitentiary Glen Creek	: mouth	:	3.4
Pierson Creek	: mouth	:	2.2

SOURCES OF DATA

DATA SOURCES AND RECORDS

Newspaper files, historical documents, and records were searched for information concerning past floods. Very few records of past flooding

exist. When floods did occur in the East Branch of the Chagrin River, they apparently were not as newsworthy as the corresponding floods on the adjoining Chagrin River which typically received broad coverage in the media.

The United States Geological Survey has operated a stream flow gage on the Chagrin River. The gage location is shown on Plate 1.

Field surveys were performed to obtain the necessary hydraulic information and field investigations were conducted to obtain essential flood plain information such as high water marks, flow obstructions, and existing and planned development.

The field surveys include 31 stream cross sections and cross sections of each bridge which crosses the stream and its two main tributaries. The field surveys are available from the Buffalo District of the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. Table 4 is a list of elevation reference marks which were used in the study.

FLOOD SITUATION

FLOOD SEASON AND FLOOD CHARACTERISTICS

Major floods can occur on East Branch of the Chagrin River during any season of the year. Floods within the basin result when excessive overland runoff concentrates.

Excessive runoff in the watershed results from one of the following conditions: (1) a collision over the watershed of a large mass of warm moisture-laden air from the South Atlantic or Gulf Regions with a mass of air of low temperature from the north; these are also known as "fronts;" (2) spring floods which are normally the result of sharp rises in temperature which melt the snow cover of the basin, being frequently accompanied by rains, and (3) localized thunderstorms.

FACTORS AFFECTING FLOODING AND ITS IMPACT

Morphologic-Hydraulic Conditions - It is impossible to separate flood plains from the streams themselves in order to consider their hydrologic and hydraulic aspects. All streams have flood plains along their entire length, although their width may vary from zero, in reaches where the stream banks have perpendicular side slopes, to thousands of feet in nearly flat plains. The behavior of East Branch of the Chagrin River during flood situation is determined by both the physical properties of the normal flow channels and the physical properties of the flood plains.

Under natural conditions flood waters in excess of channel capacity spread out over valley land. All encroachments onto the flood plain should be carefully studied to assess their impact upon existing flood plain conditions and future flood stages.

Obstructions to Flood Flow - Natural obstructions to flood flows include trees, brush and other vegetation growing along the stream banks in floodway areas. Man-made encroachments on or over the streams such as dams, bridges and culverts can also create more extensive flooding than would otherwise occur. A complete listing of all the bridges over the stream up to mile 15.00 including their stream bed elevation, low chord elevation, and the water surface elevation for various frequency floods is shown on Table 5.

During floods, ice, trees, brush, and other vegetation growing in floodways impede flood flows, thus creating backwater and increased flood heights. Trees and other debris may be washed away and carried downstream to collect on bridges and other obstructions to flow. The debris plugs the bridge or culvert entrances and retards flood flows. These retarded flood flows produce additional upstream flooding, erosion around the culvert entrances and bridge approach embankments, and possible damage to the overlying road bed. When masses of debris break loose, the debris and impounded water surge downstream until another obstruction is encountered. Debris may collect against a bridge until the load exceeds its structural capacity and the bridge is destroyed.

In general, obstructions restrict flood flows and result in overbank flows and unpredictable areas of flooding, destruction and damage to bridges and culverts. It is impossible to predict the degree or location of the accumulation of debris; therefore, for the purposes of this report, it was assumed that there would be no accumulation of debris at any of the bridges or culverts. Examples of obstructions to flow are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Photographs of typical bridges crossing are shown in Figures 3 through 13.

UNIFIED FLOOD PLAIN MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

Historically, the alleviation of flood damage has been accomplished almost exclusively by the construction of protective works such as reservoirs, channel improvements, and flood walls and levees. However, in spite of the billions of dollars that have already been spent for construction of well designed and efficient flood control works, annual flood damages continue to accelerate because the number of persons and structures occupying flood prone lands is increasing faster than protective works can be provided.

Recognition of this trend in recent years has forced a reassessment of the flood control concept and resulted in the broadened concept of unified flood plain management programs. A unified flood plain management program is composed of five overlapping components. The first is conventional structural measures including various combinations of reservoir storage, levees, and channel improvement. The second is land use management which indicates the type of development which should be located within a specific flood prone area. The third is flood proofing which sets forth the design, use and maintenance of those developments located on the flood plain to minimize losses when floods occur. The fourth is the development of adequate emergency preparations including flood forecasting and temporary evacuation procedures. The fifth is the establishment of adequate flood insurance and catastrophe-aftermath relief measures to insure against total collapse of an area's economy and to provide the individuals and businesses affected a means with which to rebuild and re-establish. Floods have first priority on the flood plains and man should recognize this "fact of life" before encroaching. The concept of unified flood plain management can be expressed as the realization that in many instances it is far better for man to adjust to nature rather than to have nature adjust to man.

Flood Plain Regulations - Flood plain regulation applies to the full range of ordinances and other means designed to control land use and construction within flood prone areas. The term encompasses zoning ordinances, subdivision regulations, building and housing codes, encroachment line statutes, open area regulations, and other similar methods of management affecting the use and development of flood prone areas.

Flood plain land use management does not prohibit use of flood prone areas; to the contrary, flood plain land use management seeks the best use of flood plain lands. The flood plain maps, the water surface profiles, and the cross-sections contained in this report can be used to guide development in the flood plain. The elevations shown on the profiles should be used to determine flood heights because they are more accurate than the flooded outlines. Development in areas susceptible to frequent flooding should consist of construction which has a low damage potential such as parking areas. If high value construction such as buildings are considered for areas subject to frequent flooding, the land should be elevated to minimize damages. If it is uneconomical to elevate the land in these areas, means of flood proofing the structures should be given careful consideration.

Development Zones - A flood plain can be conceptualized as consisting of two useful zones. The first being the designated "floodway" or

that cross-sectional area required for carrying or discharging the anticipated flood waters. Velocities are greatest and most damaging in the floodway. Regulations essentially maintain the flow conveying capability of the floodway to minimize inundation of additional adjacent areas. Uses which are acceptable for floodways include parks, parking areas, open spaces, etc. The vegetation cover of the floodways could be used as overland "Living Filters" for surface runoff during normal flow periods to reduce the polluttional impact of surface runoff prior to interception by the water course.

The second zone of the flood plain is termed the "floodway fringe" or restrictive zone, in which inundation might occur but where depths and velocities are generally low. Such areas can be developed provided structures are placed high enough or flood proofed to be reasonably free from flood damage during the Intermediate Regional (100-year) Flood.

Formulation of Flood Plain Regulations - Formulation of flood plain regulations in a simplified sense involves selecting the type and degree of control to be exercised for each specific flood plain. In principal, the form of the regulations is not as important as a maintained adequacy of control. The degree of control normally varies with the flood hazard as measured by depth of inundation, velocity of flow, frequency of flooding, and the need for available land. Considerable planning and research is required for the proper formulation of flood plain regulations. Where formulation of flood plain regulation is envisioned to require a lengthy period of time during which development is likely to occur, temporary regulations should be adopted to be amended as necessary.

National Flood Insurance Program - The National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 provides previously unavailable flood insurance protection to property owners in flood-prone areas. The program is administered by the Federal Insurance Administration (FIA) of the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and is subsidized by Federal funds. It operates through an insurance industry pool under the auspices of the National Flood Insurers Association.

To qualify for the sale of Federally subsidized flood insurance, a community must agree to adopt and enforce adequate land use and control measures consistent with Federal criteria. These criteria usually require a floodprone community to control development within the area anticipated to be inundated by the 100-year flood.

OTHER FACTORS AND THEIR IMPACTS

Flood Warning and Forecasting - At present there is no flood warning or forecasting network within the basin. However, the Surveillance Radar operated continuously by the National Weather Service at the Cleveland Airport can provide for early detection of a storm and information concerning the predicted path and amount of rainfall can be broadcasted by radio and television to affected areas. Appropriate action can then be taken to minimize flood losses.

Flood fighting and emergency evacuation plans - Although there are no formal flood fighting or emergency evacuation plans for the study area, provisions for alerting area residents and coordinating operations of city and county public service agencies in time of emergency are accomplished through the local governing agencies.

Material storage on the flood plain - Because the flood plain of the East Branch of the Chagrin River is largely undeveloped, there is very little danger of manmade floating materials being carried away by floodflows. However, a serious danger does exist because substantial quantities of dead timber from forested areas may be carried downstream by the floodflows.



Figure 1 - An example of a natural obstruction to flow on the East Branch of the Chagrin River.



Figure 2 - Natural channel and overbank conditions for the East Branch of the Chagrin River.

Photos taken April 1976




Figure 3 - Upstream view of the Kirtland-Chardon Road Bridge at stream mile 0.06 over Penitentiary Glen Creek. Note the natural obstructions in the channel.



Figure 4 - Upstream view of the Markell Road Bridge at stream mile 2.52.

Photos taken April 1976



Figure 5 - Upstream view of the Route 306 Bridge at stream mile 2.97.



Figure 6 - Upstream view of the Chillicothe Road Bridge at stream mile 3.72.

Photos taken April 1976



Figure 7 - Upstream view of Booth Road Bridge at stream mile 6.93.



Figure 8 - Upstream view of Mitchells Mill Road Bridge at stream mile 10.81.

Photos taken April 1976



Figure 9 - Upstream view of Wisner Road Bridge at stream mile 12.01.



Figure 1 - Upstream view of the Eirtland-Chardon Road Bridge at stream mile 14.9.

Photos taken April 1976




Figure 11 - Upstream view of the Route 6 Bridge at stream mile 14.38.



Figure 12 - Upstream view of the Heath Road Bridge at stream mile 15.00.

Photos taken April 1976



Figure 13 - Upstream view of the Sperry Road Bridge over Pierson Creek at stream mile 0.03.



Figure 14 - Downstream view of the dam at the Kirtland Country Club at stream mile 2.09.

Photos taken April 1976

PAST FLOODS

FLOOD DESCRIPTION

There is very little historical information on flooding in the basin. Local libraries, newspapers, and historians were contacted in an attempt to find records of past flooding. Previous floods on the East Branch of the Chagrin River were seldom recorded because of the rural nature of the basin. The extensive records of flooding on the Chagrin River were used to determine other important flood dates.

a. March 1913 Flood. Heavy rains during the periods 13-15 and 20-21 March were preliminary to the severe storm experienced from 23-27 March. Two low-pressure centers combined to form a long, low pressure trough which produced excessive rainfall in Ohio and neighboring states for about 60 hours. Belefontaine, located about 140 miles southwest of the Chagrin River basin, along the northeast edge of the storm, received an average of 7.56 inches of rainfall during the period.

b. January 1959 Flood. This flood event was the result of heavy rains on the 20th which fell on frozen ground previously blanketed by a six-inch snow cover. The storm developed from a large mass of cold air over northwestern Canada, a flow of warmer air from the southwest and the associated frontal system. Although total storm rainfall was not excessive, intensities were high which, when combined with the significant snow pack, produced high runoff rates during the period.

FUTURE FLOODS

Floods of the same or larger magnitude as those that have occurred in the past are likely to occur sometime in the future. Larger floods have been experienced in the past on streams with similar geographical and physiographical characteristics as those found in the study area. Similar combinations of rainfall and runoff which caused these floods could occur within the study area. Therefore, to assess the flooding potential of the study area, it was necessary to consider storms and floods that have occurred in regions of like topography, watershed cover and physical characteristics.

FLOOD MAGNITUDES AND THEIR FREQUENCIES.

There are no active gaging stations on East Branch of the Chagrin River, consequently a statistical approach to determining flood discharges

would not be possible. Nearby streams having the same basic characteristics were used in a regional frequency analysis. This analysis resulted in a mean annual flow versus drainage area relationship, which was developed into discharge-frequency curves.

The discharge-frequency curves mentioned above relate different discharge values to the frequency of their occurrence. It is used to describe the size of floods which will be equaled to or exceeded on the average of once every 500, 100, 50 or 10 years. Such a curve can define floods for any given number of years. It is important to note that, while on a long-term basis the occurrence (e.g. 100 years) averages out to be once per time interval (e.g. 100 years), floods of any given magnitude can occur in any year or even in consecutive years and within any time interval. For example, it is possible to have several 100-year floods in a given 100-year period, although it is unlikely. A useful example to help understand the 100-year flood is that a house which is built at the 100-year flood level has a one in four chance of being flooded in a 30-year mortgage life. Also, there is a 50% chance that a 100-year flood event will occur during a 70-year lifetime.

Table 3 is a summary of peak discharge values for different intervals (in years) at various points along the East Branch of the Chagrin River.

Floods larger than the 500-year flood are possible, however, the probability of the necessary coincident climatic conditions arising is sufficiently remote to preclude their consideration. Although it would be catastrophic if such floods occurred in a developed stream valley, their size and rarity are such that protection against them by protective works can seldom be economically provided.

HAZARDS AND DAMAGES OF LARGE FLOODS

The extent of damage caused by any flood depends on the topography of the area flooded, depth and duration of flooding, velocity of flow, rate of rise in water surface elevation and developments in the flood plain. Deep flood water flowing at high velocity and carrying floating debris would create conditions hazardous to persons and vehicles attempting to cross flooded areas. In general, flood water three or more feet deep and flowing at a velocity of three or more feet per second, could easily sweep an adult person off his feet, thus creating definite danger of injury or drowning. Rapidly rising and swiftly flowing flood water may trap persons in homes that are ultimately destroyed, or in vehicles that are ultimately submerged or floated.

Water lines can be ruptured by deposits of debris and the force of flood waters, thus creating the possibility of contaminated domestic water supplies. Damaged sanitary sewer lines and sewage treatment plants could result in the pollution of flood waters creating health hazards. Isolation of areas by flood water could create hazards in terms of medical, fire or law enforcement emergencies.

Flooded Areas - The Index Map, Plate 2, locates the flooded area maps, Plates 3 through 5. The areas that would be flooded by the 100-year and 500-year Floods are shown in detail on Plates 3 through 5. The actual limits of these overflow areas may vary somewhat from those shown on the maps because the 10-foot contour interval and scale of the maps do not permit precise plotting of the flooded area boundaries. Plates 6 through 11 show water surface profiles for the 500, 100, 50 and 10 year Floods. Depth of flow in the channel can be estimated from these illustrations. Typical cross sections of the flood plain at selected locations, together with the water surface elevation and lateral extent of the 500- and 100-year Floods are shown on Plates 12 through 14.

Table 4 is a list of elevation reference marks. The list is furnished as an aid to local interests in setting minimum elevations for future development or establishing other elevations necessary to flood plain planning.

Obstructions - During floods, debris collecting on bridges could decrease their flow carrying capacity and cause greater water depths (backwater effect) upstream of these structures. Since the occurrence and amount of debris are indeterminate factors, only the physical characteristics of the structures were considered in preparing the profiles. No reduction in carrying capacity from clogging or jamming were considered. Similarly, the maps of flooded areas show the backwater effect of obstructive bridges, but do not reflect increased water surface elevations that could be caused by debris collecting against the structures. Of the 17 bridges listed in Table 5 crossing the East Branch of the Chagrin River, most of them are obstructive to the 100-year flood and even more are obstructive to the 500-year flood. In some cases bridges may be high enough so as not to be inundated by flood flows; however, the approaches to these bridges may be at lower elevations and subject to flooding and rendered impassible.

Table 5 summarizes pertinent bridge data and lists water surface elevations for the 500- and 100- year floods at bridges that cross the East Branch of the Chagrin River, Penitentiary Glen Creek and Pierson Creek.

Velocities of Flow - Water velocities during floods depend largely on the size and shape of the cross sections, the conditions of the stream, and the bed slope, all of which vary on different streams and at different locations on the same stream. Table 6 shows average channel and overbank velocities for the 100-year and the 500-year flood. During an 100-year flood, velocities of the main channel flow in the East Branch of the Chagrin River in the study area would range up to about 13 feet per second. Water flowing at this rate is capable of causing severe erosion to stream banks and embankments at bridge abutments and transporting large objects. Overbank flow in the study area would average 1 to 6 feet per second. Water flowing at 2 feet per second or less would deposit debris and silt.

Rates of Rise and Duration of Flooding - Rates of rise are dependent upon the shape of the basin antecedent conditions, intensity of the storm, development within the basin, and debris in the channel at the time of the storm.

The duration of a flood is dependent upon the duration of the storm, the storage capacity of the overbank, prolonged runoff from snowmelt, and high stages caused by ice jams, etc.

It is impossible to predict accurate rates of rise and duration because many variations in rainfall distribution could produce the 100-year flood peak discharge with a variety of rise rates.

A study of the nature of flooding within the study area indicates that the East Branch of the Chagrin River through the study area is prone to rapid and dangerous rates of rise.

Photographs, Future Flood Heights - The expected levels of the 100-year and 500-year floods for various locations in the study area are indicated on Figures 15 and 16.

Table 3 - Peak Flows for the East Branch of the Chagrin River

Location	Stream: Mile	Drainage Area (Sq. Mi.)	10-Year		50-Year		100-Year (IRF)		500-Year	
			Flood Discharge (cfs)	Flood Discharge (cfs)	Flood Discharge (cfs)	Flood Discharge (cfs)	Flood Discharge (cfs)	Flood Discharge (cfs)		
Mouth of the East Branch of the Chagrin River	0.00	51.1	5,500	7,690	8,600	11,000				
Upstream of Penitentiary Glen Creek:	3.77	40.9	4,700	6,770	7,600	9,600				
Near St. Huberts Church: on Baldwin Road	6.34	36.4	4,450	6,300	7,100	9,100				
Upstream of Pierson Creek	6.97	34.1	4,300	6,030	6,850	8,800				
0.20 miles below Mitchells Mill Road Bridge	10.61	26.5	3,750	5,300	6,000	7,700				
0.80 miles below Kirtland Road Bridge	12.56	22.4	3,350	4,400	5,450	7,000				
Heath Road Bridge	15.00	18.8	2,900	4,300	4,950	6,350				
Mouth of Penitentiary Glen Creek	0.00	3.4	1,000	1,480	1,700	2,200				
Mouth of Pierson Creek	0.00	2.2	800	1,170	1,340	1,770				

Table 4 - Elevation Reference Marks for the East Branch of the Chagrin River

Bench Mark Designation and approximate River Mile	Elevation in Feet on U.S.C.&G.S. Datum	Description
Chagrin River Dam (0.10 miles below the mouth of the East Branch of the Chagrin River on the Chagrin River)	607.50	U.S.G.S. Disk on the left abutment of the dam which is just below the Ridge Road Bridge (Rt. 84).
Interstate Route 90 (0.42)	656.46	Chiseled square on the S.E. corner of the Upstream Bridge abutment on Rt. 90 eastbound.
Kirtland Country Club Bridge No. 2 (2.14)	634.32	Chiseled square on the concrete abutment of the downstream left bank of the bridge.
Markell Road Bridge (2.52)	639.03	Chiseled square on the top of the upstream right bank abutment of the Markell Road Bridge
Rt. 306 and Markell Rd. (2.97)	643.09	Disk set in the top of a concrete post at the S.W. corner of Rt. 306 and Markell Road
Chillicothe Road (3.72)	661.22	Top of a horizontal R.R. spike in a Power Pole (#899346) on the north side of Chillicothe Road.
Baldwin Road (5.89)	684.60	U.S.C.&G.S. Disk set in the top of a concrete post on the north side of Baldwin Road.
Booth Road Bridge (6.93)	723.35	Chiseled square on the top of the S.E. abutment of the Booth Road Bridge.
Mitchell's Mill Road (10.81)	832.01	Chiseled square on the top of the concrete curb at the S.E. corner of the Mitchell's Road Bridge.

Table 4 - Elevation Reference Marks for the East Branch of the Chagrin River

Bench Mark Designation and approximate River Mile	Elevation in Feet on U.S.C.&G.S. Datum	Description
Wisner Road (12.01)	852.95	Chiseled square on the top of the S.E. abutment of Wisner Road.
Kirtland Road Bridge (12.80)	874.81	Chiseled square on the top of the N.E. abutment of the Kirtland Road Bridge.
Route 6 Bridge (14.38)	911.37	Yellow mark painted on an iron on the S.W. corner of the Rt. 6 Bridge.
Heath Road Bridge (15.00)	917.81	Chiseled square on the top of the N.W. abutment of the Heath Road Bridge.
Sperry Road Bridge over Pierson Creek (0.03)	719.99	Chiseled square on the top of the S.W. abutment of the Sperry Road Bridge.

Table 5 - Bridges Across the East Branch of the Chagrin River and Principal Tributaries

Bridge	Mileage above Mouth	Approximate Stream Bed Elevation	Approximate Low Chord Elevation	Approximate Bridge Floor Elevation	Water Surface Elevation(a)	
					100-Year	500-Year
Interstate Route 90 (Eastbound bridge)	0.42	601.4	646.5	653.0	617.2	619.8
Kirtland Country Club Bridge No. 1	1.86	614.0	630.8	632.7	627.9	629.4
Kirtland Country Club Bridge No. 2	2.14	619.3	637.2	637.4	630.6	631.3
Kirtland Country Club Bridge No. 3	2.38	622.0	637.5	639.3	633.4	634.3
Markell Road Bridge	2.52	623.0	636.0	639.3	634.5	635.4
Route 306	2.97	628.1	641.4	644.4	639.7	641.1
Chillicothe Road Bridge	3.72	638.1	655.5	659.4	649.8	651.2
Booth Road Bridge	6.93	702.4	718.1	722.2	713.5	715.0
Mitchells Mill Road Bridge	10.81	819.9	829.5	832.1	830.5	831.7

Table 5 - Bridges Across the East Branch of the Chagrin River (Cont'd)

Bridge	Mileage : above : Mouth :	Approximate : Stream Bed : Elevation :	Approximate : Low Chord : Elevation :	Approximate : Bridge Floor : Elevation :	Water Surface : 100-Year : Elevation :	500-Year : Elevation :
Wisner Road Bridge	12.01	840.8	851.7	855.5	851.9	853.5
Kirtland Road Bridge	12.80	852.4	871.1	874.5	867.6	869.0
Route 6 Bridge	14.38	890.0	908.5	911.0	899.6	900.9
Heath Road Bridge	15.00	(d)	(d)	902.2	913.6(e)	914.5(e)
Penitentiary Glen Creek						
Kirtland-Chardon Road Bridge	0.06	642.1	653.8	660.5	652.0	654.0
Pierson Creek						
Sperry Road Bridge	0.03	706.7	718.0	720.5	715.2	717.2
Private Driveway	0.25	723.4	734.4	736.7	732.8	734.6
Private Driveway	0.42	738.5	744.4	746.1	746.0	747.0

Note: All elevations are on the U.S.C.&G.S. Datum.

- (a) All elevations are on the upstream side of the bridge unless otherwise noted.
- (b) The elevation at the downstream base of the dam.
- (c) The elevation of the crest of the dam.
- (d) Not available.
- (e) This elevation is at the downstream face of the bridge.

Table 6 - 100- and 500-Year Flood Discharges and Average Velocities

Stream Mile	Discharge in cfs		Average Velocity (feet per Second)			
	100-Year	500-Year	Channel		Overbank	
			100-Year	500-Year	100-Year	500-Year
1.42	8,600	11,000	7.9	8.5	1.1	1.1
2.05	8,000	10,100	4.2	4.2	2.2	2.3
3.60	8,000	10,100	11.4	12.7	2.6	2.6
4.17	7,600	9,600	6.9	6.9	1.9	2.1
6.29	7,350	9,400	9.2	9.8	3.4	3.8
7.29	6,650	8,500	8.5	9.6	3.8	5.5
10.15	6,650	8,500	12.7	13.3	4.4	3.9
11.21	5,700	7,300	10.5	11.5	3.9	4.5
13.09	5,450	7,000	6.7	6.8	2.9	3.2
14.13	5,450	7,000	6.4	6.9	0.0	0.5
Peniten- tiary Glen Creek						
0.21	1,700	2,200	9.2	8.9	1.9	2.1
Pierson Creek						
0.44	1,340	1,770	3.7	4.8	1.2	1.5



Figure 15 - The 100 and 500 year flood heights on the Pump house at the Kirtland Country Club at stream mile 2.12.




Figure 16 - The 100 and 500 year flood heights at St. Hubert's Church at stream mile 6.31.

Photos taken April 1976

GLOSSARY


- BACKWATER** The resulting high water surface in a given stream due to a downstream obstruction or high stages in an intersecting stream.
- DISCHARGE** The quantity of flow in a stream at any given time, usually measured in cubic feet per second (cfs).
- FLOOD** An overflow of lands not normally covered by water and that are used or usable by man. Floods have two essential characteristics: The inundation of land is temporary; and the lands are adjacent to and inundated by overflow from a river, stream, ocean, lake, or other body of standing water.
- Normally a "flood" is considered as any temporary rise in streamflow or stage, but not the ponding of surface water, that results in significant adverse effects in the vicinity. Adverse effects may include damages from overflow of land areas, temporary backwater effects in sewers and local drainage channels, creation of unsanitary conditions or other unfavorable situations by deposition of materials in stream channels during flood recessions, rise of ground water coincident with increased streamflow, and other problems.
- FLOOD CREST** The maximum stage or elevation reached by waters of a flood at a given location.
- FLOOD PLAIN** The areas adjoining a river, stream, watercourse, ocean, lake or other body of standing water that have been or may be covered by floodwater.
- FLOOD PROFILE** A graph showing the relationship of water surface elevation to location, the latter generally expressed as distance above mouth for a stream of water flowing in an open channel. It is generally drawn to show surface elevation for the crest of a specific flood but may be prepared for conditions at a given time or stage.

FLOOD STAGE The stage or elevation at which overflow of the natural banks of a stream or body of water begins in the reach or area in which the elevation is measured.

FLOODWAY The channel of a watercourse and that portion of the adjoining flood plain required to provide for the passage of the Intermediate Regional Flood.

INTERMEDIATE REGIONAL FLOOD A flood having an average frequency of occurrence in the order of once in 100 years although the flood may occur in any year. It is based on statistical analysis of streamflow records available for the watershed and analysis of rainfall and runoff characteristics in the general region of the watershed. It is commonly referred to as the "100 year flood."


LOW CHORD The elevation at the top of the opening of a bridge or other structure through which water may flow along a watercourse.




DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
 BUFFALO DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
 BUFFALO, NEW YORK
 FLOOD PLAIN INFORMATION
 LAKE AND GEauga COUNTIES, OHIO
 EAST BRANCH CHAGRIN RIVER

INDEX MAP

JULY 1956





MATCH LINE PLATE 4

BOUNDARY

615

8

700

STUDY LIMIT

CHESTERLAND

MATCH LINE PLATE 4

LEGEND

4 CROSS SECTION

----- CHANNEL LIMITS

3 MILES ABOVE MOUTH

~ 800 ~ GROUND ELEVATION IN FEET
(U.S.C. & G.S. 1927 NORTH AMERICAN DATUM.)

100-YEAR FLOOD 500-YEAR FLOOD

NOTES


1. MAP BASED ON U.S.G.S. 7.5 MIN. QUADR-ANGLE SHEET, CHESTERLAND, MENTOR, MAYFIELD HEIGHTS & EASTLAKE 1963. MINOR ADDITIONS AND ADJUSTMENTS MADE BY CORPS OF ENGINEERS.

2. LIMITS OF OVERFLOW SHOWN MAY VARY FROM ACTUAL LOCATION ON GROUND AS EXPLAINED IN THE REPORT.

3. AREAS OUTSIDE THE FLOOD PLAIN MAY BE SUBJECT TO FLOODING FROM LOCAL RUNOFF.


4. MINIMUM CONTOUR INTERVAL IS 10 FT

SCALE IN FEET



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
BUFFALO DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
BUFFALO, NEW YORK
FLOOD PLAIN INFORMATION
LAKE AND GEauga COUNTIES, OHIO
EAST BRANCH CHAGRIN RIVER

FLOODED AREAS
JULY 1976



and Hills

750
BALDWIN
Sperry
CHAGRIN

PIPE LINE

720
ROAD
TH

ROAD

899

950

1000

3

14

5

800

7

RIVER

SPERRY

PERSON

CREEK

ROAD

16

800

2

30

750

8

Kirtland Hills

6

9

Kirtland Hills

31

UPSTREAM
STUDY LIMIT

950


850

950

800

MATCH LINE PLATE 5

Little Mountain



LEGEND

4 CROSS SECTION

CHANNEL LIMITS

3 MILES ABOVE MOUTH
GROUND ELEVATION IN FEET
(U.S.C & G.S 1927 NORTH
AMERICAN DATUM.)

100-YEAR FLOOD 500-YEAR FLOOD

NOTES


1. MAP BASED ON U.S.G.S 75 MIN. QUADR-
ANGLE SHEET, CHESTERLAND AND
MENTOR 1963. MINOR ADDITIONS AND
ADJUSTMENTS MADE BY CORPS OF
ENGINEERS.

2. LIMITS OF OVERFLOW SHOWN MAY
VARY FROM ACTUAL LOCATION ON
GROUND AS EXPLAINED IN THE
REPORT.

3. AREAS OUTSIDE THE FLOOD PLAIN
MAY BE SUBJECT TO FLOODING FROM
LOCAL RUNOFF.

4. MINIMUM CONTOUR INTERVAL IS 10 FT

SCALE IN FEET





DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
BUFFALO DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
BUFFALO, NEW YORK


FLOOD PLAIN INFORMATION
LAKE AND GEAUGA COUNTIES, OHIO
EAST BRANCH CHAGRIN RIVER

FLOODED AREAS

JULY 1976








LEGEND

4 CROSS SECTION

CHANNEL LIMITS

3 MILES ABOVE MOUTH

GROUND ELEVATION IN FEET
(U.S.C & G.S. 1927 NORTH
AMERICAN DATUM)



NOTES


1. MAP BASED ON USGS 75 QUADR-
ANGLE SHEET, CHESTERLAND 1963
MINOR ADDITIONS AND ADJUSTMENTS
MADE BY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

2 LIMITS OF OVERFLOW SHOWN MAY
VARY FROM ACTUAL LOCATION ON
GROUND AS EXPLAINED IN THE
REPORT.

3 AREAS OUTSIDE THE FLOOD PLAIN
MAY BE SUBJECT TO FLOODING FROM
LOCAL RUNOFF.

4 MINIMUM CONTOUR INTERVAL IS 10 FT

SCALE IN FEET



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
BUFFALO DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
BUFFALO, NEW YORK
FLOOD PLAIN INFORMATION
LAKE AND GAUGA COUNTIES, OHIO
EAST BRANCH CHAGRIN RIVER

FLOODED AREA

ELEVATION IN FEET U.S.C. & G.S. DATUM

680
670
660
650
640
630
620
610
600
590

INTERSTATE ROUTE 90

KIRTLAND COUNTRY CLUB


1


2

3

0

EAST BRANCH CHAGRIN RIVER-MILES UPST













- LEGEND:
- 100 YEAR
 - 50 YEAR
 - 10 YEAR
 - APPROXIMATE
 - APPROXIMATE
 - APPROXIMATE
 - CROSS SECTION


DEPARTMENT OF THE
 BUFFALO COUNTY ENGINEERS
 BUFFALO, NEW YORK
 FLOOD PLAIN INFORMATION
 LAKE AND GEauga COUNTY
 EAST BRANCH CHAGR
 FLOOD PROFILE


LEGEND:

	500 YEAR FLOOD
	100 YEAR FLOOD
	50 YEAR FLOOD
	10 YEAR FLOOD
	APPROXIMATE BRIDGE FLOOR
	APPROXIMATE LOW STEEL
	APPROXIMATE STREAM BED
	CROSS SECTION

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
BUFFALO DISTRICT OFFICE OF ENGINEERS
BUFFALO, NEW YORK
FLOOD PLAIN INFORMATION
LAKE AND GEauga COUNTIES, OHIO
EAST BRANCH CHAGRIN RIVER

FLOOD PROFILES






LEGEND:

- (solid line)
- - - (dashed line)
- ⌈ (vertical line with caps)
- ▨ (hatched area)
- 9 (boxed number)
- 10 (boxed number)
- 11 (boxed number)









DE
BUFFALO

FLO
LAKE A
EAST

ER-MILES UPSTREAM FROM MOUTH





LEGEND:

-  500 YEAR FLOOD
-  100 YEAR FLOOD
-  50 YEAR FLOOD
-  10 YEAR FLOOD
-  APPROXIMATE BRIDGE FLOOR
-  APPROXIMATE LOW STEEL
-  APPROXIMATE STREAM BED
-  CROSS SECTION


DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
 BUFFALO DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
 BUFFALO, NEW YORK
 FLOOD PLAIN INFORMATION
 LAKE AND GEAUGA COUNTIES, OHIO
 EAST BRANCH CHAGRIN RIVER

FLOOD PROFILES





IVER-MILES UPSTREAM FROM MOUTH





LEGEND:

- 500 YEAR FLOOD
- 100 YEAR FLOOD
- 50 YEAR FLOOD
- 10 YEAR FLOOD
- I APPROXIMATE BRIDGE FLOOR
- I APPROXIMATE LOW STEEL
- ▲▲▲▲ APPROXIMATE STREAM BED
- [2] CROSS SECTION

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
 BUFFALO DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
 BUFFALO, NEW YORK
 FLOOD PLAIN INFORMATION
 LAKE AND GAUGA COUNTIES, OHIO
 EAST BRANCH CHAGRIN RIVER

FLOOD PROFILES





LEG



BUI

LAI

RIVER-MILES UPSTREAM FROM MOUTH

890

880

870

860

850

840

830









820

810

800

12


LEGEND:


-  500 YEAR FLOOD
-  100 YEAR FLOOD
-  50 YEAR FLOOD
-  10 YEAR FLOOD
-  APPROXIMATE BRIDGE FLOOR
-  APPROXIMATE LOW STEEL
-  APPROXIMATE STREAM BED
-  CROSS SECTION

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
 BUFFALO DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
 BUFFALO, NEW YORK
 FLOOD PLAIN INFORMATION
 LAKE AND GEAUGA COUNTIES, OHIO
 EAST BRANCH CHAGRIN RIVER

FLOOD PROFILES

JULY 1976













LEGEND:

 T
 [2]

DI
 REFFAL
 FLC
 LAKE /
 EAS

RIVER-MILES UPSTREAM FROM MOUTH


LEGEND:

-  500 YEAR FLOOD
-  100 YEAR FLOOD
-  50 YEAR FLOOD
-  10 YEAR FLOOD
-  APPROXIMATE BRIDGE FLOOR
-  APPROXIMATE LOW STEEL
-  APPROXIMATE STREAM BED
-  CROSS SECTION


DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
BUFFALO DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
BUFFALO, NEW YORK
FLOOD PLAIN INFORMATION
LAKE AND GEAUGA COUNTIES, OHIO
EAST BRANCH CHAGRIN RIVER

FLOOD PROFILES


1976



PENITENTIARY GLEN CREEK - MILES UPSTREAM FROM MOUTH



PIERSON CREEK - MILES UPSTREAM FROM MOUTH




0.8


LEGEND:




500 YEAR FLOOD



100 YEAR FLOOD



50 YEAR FLOOD



10 YEAR FLOOD



APPROXIMATE BRIDGE FLOOR

APPROXIMATE LOW STEEL



APPROXIMATE STREAM BED




CROSS SECTION


DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
 BUFFALO DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
 BUFFALO, NEW YORK
 FLOOD PLAIN INFORMATION
 LAKE AND GEauga COUNTIES, OHIO
 EAST BRANCH CHAGRIN RIVER

FLOOD PROFILES


1954-1976




DISTANCE IN HUNDRE
CROSS SECTION NO. 3





DISTANCE IN HUNDRE
CROSS SECTION NO. 5




- LEGEND:
- 100 YEAR FLOOD
 - 100 YEAR FLOOD
 - 50 YEAR FLOOD
 - 20 YEAR FLOOD
 - I I I APPROXIMATE BRIDGE FLOOR
 - I I I APPROXIMATE LOW STEEL
 - W W W APPROXIMATE STREAM BED




DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
 OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ENGINEER
 CLEVELAND, OHIO
 FLOOD PLAIN INFORMATION
 LAKE AND GEauga COUNTIES, OHIO
 EAST BRANCH CHAGRIN RIVER
 VALLEY CROSS SECTIONS







PENITENTIARY GLEN CREEK CROSS SECTION NO. 27



PIERSON CREEK CROSS SECTION NO. 31



STATION NO. 27 MILE POINT 0.21



STATION NO. 31 MILE POINT 0.85

LEGEND:

- 500 YEAR FLOOD
- 100 YEAR FLOOD
- 50 YEAR FLOOD
- 10 YEAR FLOOD
- I I APPROXIMATE BRIDGE FLOOR
- APPROXIMATE LOW STEEL
- /// APPROXIMATE STREAM BED

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
 BUFFALO DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
 BUFFALO, NEW YORK
 FLOOD PLAIN INFORMATION
 LAKE AND GEauga COUNTIES, OHIO
 EAST BRANCH CHAGRIN RIVER

VALLEY CROSS SECTIONS

JULY 1976