

AD A100869

~~SECRET~~

①

G. Ganote
(Distribution copy
file)



TECHNICAL REPORT RR-77-4

**SUBMILLIMETER RESEARCH: PRELIMINARY REPORT
ON MILLIMETER AND INFRARED IMAGES OF MILITARY
VEHICLES**

B. D. Guenther
Physical Sciences Directorate
US Army Missile Research, Development and Engineering Laboratory
US Army Missile Command
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

10 November 1976

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



U.S. ARMY MISSILE COMMAND
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809

DTIC FILE COPY

DTIC
ELECTE
S JUL 2 1981 D

A

81 06 29 103

DISPOSITION INSTRUCTIONS

**DESTROY THIS REPORT WHEN IT IS NO LONGER NEEDED. DO NOT
RETURN IT TO THE ORIGINATOR.**

DISCLAIMER

**THE FINDINGS IN THIS REPORT ARE NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS AN
OFFICIAL DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY POSITION UNLESS SO DESIGNATED
BY OTHER AUTHORIZED DOCUMENTS.**

TRADE NAMES

**USE OF TRADE NAMES OR MANUFACTURERS IN THIS REPORT DOES
NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFICIAL INDORSEMENT OR APPROVAL OF
THE USE OF SUCH COMMERCIAL HARDWARE OR SOFTWARE.**


UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

DRSMI REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
14	1. REPORT NUMBER RR-77-4	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A100869	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER 4
6	4. TITLE (and Subtitle) SUBMILLIMETER RESEARCH: PRELIMINARY REPORT ON MILLIMETER AND INFRARED IMAGES OF MILITARY VEHICLES.	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
10	7. AUTHOR(s) B. D. Guenther	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	9. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & REPORT NUMBER 16 (DA) 11161102AH49 AMCMSC 611102.H490011
	9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Commander US Army Missile Command Attn: DRSMI-RR Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809	10. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander US Army Missile Command Attn: DRSMI-RPR Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809	11. REPORT DATE 11 18 November 1976
	11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Commander US Army Missile Command Attn: DRSMI-RPR Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809	12. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 18
	12. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) 12 24	14. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	15. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) A summary of the types of images obtained at 3.2 mm and 10.6 μm are presented along with samples of some of the images.			

fu

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The original suggestion to simulate submillimeter images by operating at 940 GHz and scaling the range was made by Dr. J. Allen, Office of Director of Defense for Research and Engineering (DD&E). The selection of target matrix was aided by Dr. V. Corcoran, IDA consultant to DDR&E. Mr. Robert McMillan of Rome Air Development Center gave valuable assistance by smoothing the contractual support. Colonel T. M. Robinson, NGB, USPFO for California, arranged availability of target vehicles. Lieutenant Colonel Reigel, Commander, 3d Battery, 185th Armored Battalion, California National Guard, provided support and allowed the use of facilities and equipment under his command. Dr. N. George, Dr. J. S. Bennett, and Dr. C. R. Christensen have been involved in fruitful discussions of imaging with coherent systems. Collaborators in the US Army Missile Command submillimeter program, Dr. R. L. Hartman and Dr. W. L. Gamble, were involved in this effort from its inception and have provided invaluable advice and support. Most of all, the author thanks Dr. J. M. Baird and Mr. John F. Heney of Hughes Research Laboratory who were truly dedicated to the support of this mission.

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 1968	
FBI - LOS ANGELES	
BY	
DATE	
TIME	
OFFICE	
AGENT	
A	

I. INTRODUCTION

micrometer

This report describes the image data on military vehicles at 3.2 mm and 10.6 ~~mm~~ wavelengths obtained by US Army Missile Command (MICOM), Redstone Arsenal, Alabama. The images were obtained through the use of the Hughes Research Laboratory (HRL) mobile images scanning equipment. The data described here were taken during June 7 through 11, 1976 at the California National Guard Armory in San Diego, California. A detailed description of the image scanning equipment and the method used to calibrate the equipment is found in the report entitled Millimeter and Infrared Image Scans of Military Vehicles [1]. An index of the 3.2 mm and 10.6 μ m image data tape is now in preparation [2]. This index will present a brief summary of the equipment and will give calibrated histograms of the images and a sample printout of each image.

II. BACKGROUND

A basic research program was established in December 1975 at MICOM to evaluate the submillimeter wavelength region of the electromagnetic spectrum for possible Army applications. The goal of this program is to determine if an all-weather capability will be provided by utilization of these wavelengths. Initial emphasis was driven by an ASAP summer study in 1974 by Paul W. Kruse which suggested that submillimeter waves could provide sufficient resolution and inclement weather penetration to allow the Army to acquire, recognize, and direct conventional weapons fire onto hostile targets, such as tanks and other military vehicles [3,4,5]. The 1976 ASAP summer study reviewed the MICOM effort [6].

The primary reasons for selecting this wavelength region are as follows:

1) Millimeter and centimeter wavelengths are not seriously degraded by inclement weather but they cannot provide sufficient resolution without exceeding the restrictions placed on antenna size by operational systems.

2) Optical and infrared wavelengths can provide the required resolution but propagation of these wavelengths in inclement weather is poor.

3) Submillimeter wavelengths can be used with system-compatible antenna sizes to provide a narrow beam which will minimize the problem of clutter returns and will provide enough resolution to provide accurate target location, size discrimination, and shape recognition capability. Clear air attenuation is expected to be high, but inclement weather effects should not seriously degrade the beam.

Critical data inadequacies exist in the area of propagation. There is no experimental data on scattering. Measurements of absorption in clear air are limited and do not include detailed meteorological measurements. Observed fluctuations in attenuation are not explained. The theories on clear air absorption do not work well in the atmospheric windows. The data base for extinction in rain, snow, and fog is very limited. A bibliography to provide an introduction to the field of submillimeter propagation is available [7].

Currently, a program is underway at MICOM to obtain a statistically meaningful data base for submillimeter propagation in the atmospheric windows around 0.75, 0.85, and 1.3 mm [8].

It was decided that the most productive technique for evaluating the imaging capability at these wavelengths was not to build an imaging submillimeter radar but rather to use an existing 3.2 mm radar at ranges which simulate the resolution one would obtain with a submillimeter wave radar.

In addition to obtaining the simulated submillimeter data, high resolution images were obtained at 3.2 mm and 10.6 μm . These data are now available in digital format. The high resolution data allow digital image processing to be used to study the effects of image degradation and image enhancement.

The intensity of the returned signal is calibrated with respect to the return from a polished gold sphere. It is hoped that these calibrated data will be useful to system designers. These calibrated target signature data are not available in the submillimeter wavelength region; nor are data available on optical properties of materials in this submillimeter region. A program is beginning at MICOM which is to fill this gap. It is hoped that the 3.2-mm and 10.6- μm data will aid in interpretation and evaluation of the submillimeter target signature and optical constant parameters.

Figure 1 contains a photograph of the equipment in the upper left corner. The location on the various components is given in the drawing in the upper right. The characteristics of the three subsystems are shown at the bottom of Figure 1. It should be noted that the 10.6- μm system is not diffraction limited nor does it have the same resolution as the 3.2-mm system. The visible data obtained in these experiments only provide coordinate information.



<u>Wavelength</u>	<u>Resolution</u>
3.2 mm	10 cm
10.6 μm	5 cm
Visible	4 cm

Figure 2 shows the targets used in the imaging experiment. The targets are located at the 50-ft range in these photographs.

The actual ranges used in these experiments are displayed in Figure 3. The resolution of the 3.2-mm imaging subsystem is given. The simulated range of a 700- μ m imaging system with a 1-m aperture is given at the bottom of each photograph. Figure 4 contains the image obtained during a run. The analog signal from the sensors modulate the Z-axis of a scope. The scope trace exposed the polaroid film. The middle column contains polaroid photographs of image data displayed on a conographics model C9. The middle photograph on the right is a polaroid photograph taken on-site. The other two photographs in the right-hand column were formed on a high quality, flying spot scanner which was matched to the recording film. The same digital image data were used to produce all of these displays. Figure 5 is another print from the same original used to produce Figure 4. The comparison again emphasizes the effect of the display or reproduction process and the difficulty in providing data for subjective judgement. Figure 6 contains two more aspects of the M48 tank. The 10.6- μ m system has speckle averaging; the 3.2-mm picture does not. The two photographs on Figure 7 are 3.2-mm images taken at 100 ft (a simulated range of 0.7 km). From left-to-right, the objects are a truck, a jeep, and a tank. A corner cube is located between the tank and the jeep. The photograph on the right is a 3.2-mm image taken at 200 ft (a simulated range of 2.1 km). From left to right, the objects are a truck, a tank, and a gasoline fuel storage tank.

Figure 8 contains records of images taken at the various ranges. Because of a parallax problem, no 10.6- μ m images were recorded at 200 and 400 ft. The fuel storage tank is visible in the 200- and 400-ft images. Figure 9 contains records of image data on three different truck types (canvas covered, plywood covered, and open bed) and on two jeep types (canvas covered and open). The top image in each photograph is the 10.6- μ m image; the bottom image is the 3.2-mm image.

SYSTEM




MODE	3.2 mm	10.6 μm	VISIBLE
DETECTION	ACTIVE	ACTIVE	PASSIVE
SOURCE	HETERODYNE	VIDEO	VIDEO
TRANSMITTER APERTURE	BACKWARD WAVE OSCILLATOR (BWO)	LASER	
RECEIVER APERTURE	45.7 cm	38 mm	
f NO.	45.7 cm	20 cm	0.02 cm
	f/30	f/4	f/300

MICOM 6246-1

Figure 1. Hughes mobile image scanner.

TARGETS




CANVAS TRUCK WITH WATER TANK



OPEN TRUCK



MESS TRUCK AND TRAILER
(PLYWOOD SIDES)



JEEPS AND TRAILER



M-48 TANK



PAINT AND METAL SAMPLES

FIG. MICOM 6245-1

Figure 2. Targets.





Figure 3. Operating ranges.

EFFECTS OF DISPLAY



MICOM 6245-2

Figure 4. Methods of display.




Figure 5. 10.6- μm and 3.2-mm images of a M48A5 tank, side view.




Figure 6. 10.6-um and 3.2-mm images of a M48A5 tank, 45° and head-on view.







Figure 7. 3.2-mm simulation of 700- μ m images at 0.7-km and 2.1-km ranges.




50 ft



100 ft






200 ft



400 ft

MICOM 6240-3

Figure 8. Quasi-real-time display at four ranges.



MICOM 6240-2

Figure 9. Quasi-real-time display of trucks and jeeps.

REFERENCES

1. Baird, J. M., "Millimeter and Infrared Image Scans of Military Vehicles," Hughes Research Laboratories Contract No. F30602-73-C-0191, August 1976.
2. Guenther, B. D., Submillimeter Wave Research: An Index of 3.2-mm and 10.6-um Image Data, US Army Missile Research, Development and Engineering Laboratory, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama, Technical Report in process of publication, November 1976.
3. Kruse, P. W., "A System Enabling the Army to See Through Inclement Weather," US Army Scientific Advisory Panel Report, June 1974.
4. Kruse, P. W. and Garber, V., "Technology for Battlefield Target Recognition in Inclement Weather," Proc. IRIS (23).
5. Hartman, R. L. and Kruse, P. W., "Submillimeter System for Imaging Through Inclement Weather," Second International Conference and Winter School on Submillimeter waves and Their Applications, San Juan, Puerto Rico (December 6-10, 1976).
6. Army Scientific Advisory Panel Summer Study 1976, Vol. 3 (September 1976).
7. Guenther, B. D., Submillimeter Wave Research: A Propagation Bibliography, US Army Missile Research, Development and Engineering Lab, Technical Report RR-77-3, November 1976.
8. Gamble, W. L. and Guenther, B. D., "Submillimeter Laser Wave Propagation," Second International Conference and Winter School on Submillimeter Waves and Their Applications, San Juan, Puerto Rico, 6-10 December 1976.

DISTRIBUTION

	No. of Copies		No. of Copies
Commander Defense Documentation Center Attn: DDC-TCA Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	2	Director US Army Ballistic Research Laboratories Attn: Ken Richer Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 31005	1
Commander US Army Research Office Attn: Dr. R. Lantz P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709	2	Commander US Army Combined Arms Combat Development Activity Fort Leavenworth, Kansas 66027	1
US Army Research and Standardization Group (Europe) Attn: DRESN-E-XX, Dr. Alfred K. Nadoluha Box 65 FPO, New York 09510	2	Commander US Army Frankford Arsenal Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19137	1
US Army Material Development and Readiness Command Attn: Dr. Gordon Hushy Dr. James Bender Dr. Edward Sedlak 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, Virginia 22333	1 1 1	Commander US Army Picatinny Arsenal Dover, New Jersey 07801	1
Headquarters Hq DA (DAMA-ARZ) Washington, D. C. 20310	2	Commander US Army Tank Automotive Development Command Attn: DRITA-161 Warren, Michigan 48090	1
Director of Defense Research and Engineering Engineering Technology Attn: Mr. L. Weisberg Washington, D. C. 20301	2	Commander US Army Mobility Equipment Research and Development Command Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060	1
Director Defense Advanced Research Project Agency 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209	1	Commander US Army Harry Diamond Laboratories Attn: Dr. Stan Kulpa 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, Maryland 20703	1
Commander US Army Aviation Systems Command 12th and Spruce Streets St. Louis, Missouri 63166	1	Commander US Army Armament Command Rock Island, Illinois 61202	1
Director US Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory Ame Research Center Moffett Field, California 94035	1	Commander US Army Foreign Science and Technology Center Federal Office Building 220 7th Street, NE Charlottesville, Virginia 22901	1
Commander US Army Electronics Command Attn: DRESL-TL-T, Dr. Jacobs DRESL-CT, Dr. R. Buser Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703	1 1	Commander US Army Training and Doctrine Command Fort Monroe, Virginia 22351	1
Director US Army Night Vision Laboratory Attn: John Johnson Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060	1	Director Ballistic Missile Defense Advanced Technology Center Attn: ATC-D ATC-O ATC-R ATC-T P.O. Box 1500 Huntsville, Alabama 35800	1 1 1 1 1
Director Atmospheric Sciences Laboratory US Army Electronics Command White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico 88002	1	Commander US Naval Air Systems Command Washington, D. C. 20360	1
		Chief of Naval Research Department of the Navy Washington, D. C. 20360	1
		Commander US Naval Air Development Center Attn: Radar Division Warminster, Pennsylvania 18974	1

	No. of Copies		No. of Copies
Commander US Naval Electronics Lab Center San Diego, California 92152	1	Science and Technology Division Institute of Defense Analysis Attn: Dr. Vincent J. Corcoran 400 Army-Navy Drive Arlington, Virginia 22202	1
Commander US Naval Surface Weapons Center Dahlgren, Virginia 22448	1	Director Calspan Corporation Attn: R. Kell P.O. Box 235 Buffalo, New York 14221	1
Commander US Naval Weapons Center Attn: Mr. Robert Moore China Lake, California 93555	1	California Institute of Technology Attn: Dr. N. George 1201 E. California Boulevard Pasadena, California 91109	1
Director Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Code 5300, Radar Division, Dr. Skolnik Code 5370, Radar Geophysics Branch Code 6460, Electromagnetic Prop Branch Washington, D. C. 20390	1 1 1	Optical Science Consultants Attn: Dr. D. L. Fried P.O. Box 188 Yorba Linda, California 92686	1
Commander Roma Air Development Center US Air Force Attn: R. McMillan, OCSA James Wasielewski, IRRC Griffiss Air Force Base, New York 13440	1 1	Dr. D. T. Hodges, Jr. The Aerospace Corporation 2350 East El Segundo Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90019	1
Commander US Air Force, AFOSR/NP Attn: LT COL Gordon Wepfer Bolling Air Force Base Washington, D. C. 20332	1	Commander Center for Naval Analyses Attn: Document Control 1401 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209	1
Commander US Air Force Avionics Laboratory Attn: D. Kees CPT James D. Pryce, AFAL/NE Dr. B. L. Sowers, AFAL/MS1 Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio 45433	1 1 1	Hughes Aircraft Company Missile System Group Attn: Dr. J. A. Glassman Canoga Park, California 91304	1
Commander (AFEL) Hanscom Air Force Base, Maryland 01731	1	Goodyear Aerospace Corp Arizona Division Attn: Mr. Fred Wilcox Mr. P. W. Murray Litchfield Park, Arizona 85340	1 1 1
Commander AFATL/LMT Eglin Air Force Base, Florida 32544	1	Honeywell, Inc. Systems And Research Division Attn: Dr. Paul Kruse Minneapolis, Minnesota 55453	1
Hughes Research Lab Attn: Mr. Smith John F. Honey J. M. Baird 1001 Malibu Canyon Rd Malibu, California 90265	1 1 1	Raytheon Company Attn: A. V. Jellalian 528 Boston Post Road Sudbury, Massachusetts 01776	1
Environmental Research Institute of Michigan Radar and Optics Division Attn: Dr. A. Kosma Dr. C. C. Aleksoff P.O. Box 618 Ann Arbor, Michigan 41807	1 1	Georgia Institute of Technology Engineering Experiment Station Attn: James Gallaper 347 Ferst Drive Atlanta, Georgia 30332	1
Dr. J. G. Castle Physics Department University of Alabama 4701 University Drive, N.W. Huntsville, Alabama 35807	1	The Rand Corporation Attn: Dr. S. J. Dudzinsky, Jr. 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, California 90406	2
		DRSMI-Pd, Mr. Strickland -LP, Mr. Voigt -R, Dr. McDaniel Dr. Kobler -RHH -RB	1 1 1 1 2 1

	No. of Copies
DRSMI-RC	
-RD	1
-RE	1
-RF, Mr. Pittman	1
-RF	1
-RG	1
-RH	1
-RI	1
-RJ	1
-RK	1
-RL	1
-RM, Dr. Hallowes	1
Mr. Shapiro	1
Mrs. Davis	1
-RRR	2
-Y	50
-RBD	1
-RPR, (Record Set)	3
(Reference Copy)	1
	1