

AD-A101 161

NORTHWESTERN UNIV EVANSTON IL

F/S 7/4

ION-PAIRING IN POLYETHER SOLID ELECTROLYTES AND ITS INFLUENCE O--ETC(U)

MAY 81 B L PAPKE, R DUPON, M A RATNER

N00014-80-C-0532

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1 of 1
AD-A
111 61



END
DATE
FILMED
7-81
DTIC

COPY 2

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 003	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A101 161	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Ion Pairing in Polyether Solid Electrolytes and Its Influence on Ion Transport.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim Technical Report
6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER		7. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-80-C-0532, NSF-DNR 76-81-471
8. AUTHOR(s) L. Papke, R. Dupon, M. A. Ratner and D. F. Shriver		9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Department of Chemistry and Materials Research Center, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201
10. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Department of Navy Arlington, Virginia 22217		11. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR 359-746
12. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) C/E		13. REPORT DATE May 1981
14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release and sale. Distribution unlimited.		15. NUMBER OF PAGES 4
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
17. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES To be published in The Journal of Solid State Ionics		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
18. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Solid electrolytes, polymer electrolytes Poly(ethylene oxide), polymer salt complexes		
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Vibrational spectroscopy and conductivity data indicate the presence of contact ion pairing in NaBH ₄ ·PEO (PEO = poly(ethylene oxide)) and absence of such ion pairs in NaBF ₄ ·PEO. The influence of salt content on the temperature dependent conductivity is elucidated.		

AD A101161

DTIC FILE COPY

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-LF-014-6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

260800

11

ION-PAIRING IN POLYETHER SOLID ELECTROLYTES AND ITS INFLUENCE ON ION TRANSPORT

B. L. Papke, F. Dupon, M. A. Ratner and D. F. Shriver

Department of Chemistry and the Materials Research Center
Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201

Vibrational spectroscopic and conductivity data are presented for complexes of NaBF_4 and NaBH_4 with poly(ethylene oxide). These studies indicate that extensive contact ion pairing occurs in the NaBH_4 complex but not in the NaBF_4 complex. As a result the ionic conductivity is considerably lower in the NaBH_4 complex, due to trapping of the mobile sodium cations by the anion. The effect of salt stoichiometry on the conductivity behavior is also reported.

1. INTRODUCTION

Poly(ethylene oxide), (PEO), is known to form solvent-free complexes with a large number of alkali metal salts. The Na^+ salt complexes are highly crystalline and typically exhibit ionic conductivities of about 10^{-7} (ohm-cm^{-1}) at room temperature, increasing to about 10^{-3} (ohm-cm^{-1}) at 120°C (1). The cation has been implicated as the mobile species in the $\text{PEO}\cdot\text{NaSCN}$ complex through transference number measurements using a sodium/mercury amalgam concentration cell (2). All of the Na^+ complexes have essentially the same polyether backbone conformation (3), and to date the reported conductivities at a given salt stoichiometry are very similar, providing evidence against contact ion pairing. In this paper we present vibrational spectroscopic and conductivity studies which indicate that ion pairing occurs in the $\text{PEO}\cdot\text{NaBH}_4$ complex. Previous work has also shown that a knee occurs in Arrhenius plots of $\ln\sigma T$ against $1/T$ for the Na^+ complexes. The origin of this phenomenon is discussed.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

Preparation and characterization of the $\text{PEO}\cdot\text{NaBF}_4$ complex has been described elsewhere (3). The $\text{PEO}\cdot\text{NaBH}_4$ complex was prepared by suspending films of pure PEO (m.w. 600,000 purified by ion exchange and filtration (3)) in a saturated isopropylamine/ NaBH_4 solution. The isopropylamine (Aldrich, 99%) was refluxed over CaH_2 under dry N_2 and distilled before use. Care was taken to exclude all traces of water and the samples were handled using standard inert atmosphere techniques (4). The complexes were characterized by infrared and Raman spectroscopy, differential scanning calorimetry, (DSC), visual observations using a hot stage polarizing microscope and x-ray diffraction. The stoichiometry of the complexes is indicated by the ratio of ether oxygens to sodium cations, i.e. 4:1 etc.

The stoichiometry of the $\text{PEO}\cdot\text{NaBH}_4$ complex was determined by protolysis of the BH_4^- using aqueous HCl followed by PVT measurement of the evolved H_2 . The fully complexed stoichiometry thus established was about 3.4:1. The absence of regions of uncomplexed PEO or excess NaBH_4 was established through x-ray diffraction and differential scanning calorimetry. The maximum stoichiometry of the $\text{PEO}\cdot\text{NaBF}_4$ complex was shown to be about 4:1 at room temperature by x-ray diffraction. The maximum stoichiometry decreases slightly with increasing temperature up to the complex melting range of $105\text{--}115^\circ\text{C}$.

Complexes of NaBF_4 and NaBH_4 salts at less than maximum stoichiometry, (4.5:1), were also studied; these are two phase systems below 60°C , as demonstrated through x-ray diffraction and DSC. Apparently small regions of crystalline PEO are interdispersed with the fully complexed phase.

Conductivities were measured on hot pressed pellets of the polymer complex using the complex admittance technique over the frequency range of 5 Hz to 500 kHz. Reversible sodium/mercury amalgam liquid electrodes were used as electrical contacts in a sealed cell filled with dry nitrogen (2).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Spectroscopic Evidence for Ion Pairing

Vibrational spectroscopic techniques have been used to deduce a reasonable polyether conformation for the PEO -sodium salt complexes (3). The $\text{PEO}\cdot\text{NaBH}_4$ and $\text{PEO}\cdot\text{NaBF}_4$ have virtually identical polyether conformations, both complexes are highly crystalline, and the only physical difference between the complexes is their melting ranges, $170\text{--}177^\circ\text{C}$ and $105\text{--}115^\circ\text{C}$, respectively. Comparisons of spectroscopic and conductivity data were therefore limited to temperatures below 90°C .

81 7 09 046

The internal vibrational modes of the BH_4^- and BF_4^- anions provide a convenient and powerful spectroscopic probe to study the local environment about the anion. If no cation-anion interactions are observed, then the anion is expected to reside outside of the helical polyether conformation proposed earlier (3), in essentially a hydrocarbon-like environment. The internal vibrational bands observed would then be expected to correspond closely to those for an unperturbed 'free ion' symmetry; in this case an anion of tetrahedral (T_d) symmetry (5). Any significant cation-anion interactions would result in a lower symmetry accompanied by a splitting of degenerate vibrational modes.

The aqueous BH_4^- (BD_4^-) anion is representative of the unperturbed anion; its vibrational frequencies and assignments are shown in Tables 1 and 2. Only the symmetric and asymmetric deformation modes, ν_3 and ν_4 respectively, are formally infrared active and Fermi resonance has been invoked to explain the position of the totally symmetric $\nu_1(A_1)$ stretching mode (5). In contrast the BH_4^- (BD_4^-) vibrational bands for the PEO complexes are strongly perturbed. From a comparison of the number and intensity of the vibrational bands indicated in Tables 1 and 2 it is clear that the symmetry of the BH_4^- (BD_4^-) anion has been lowered from tetrahedral. For example, vibrational bands which are normally expected to be degenerate in a T_d symmetry, such as $\nu_4(F)$, are no longer degenerate in the BH_4^- complexes, and the large number of vibrational bands observed in the B-H and B-D stretching regions ($2150\text{--}2450\text{ cm}^{-1}$ or $1570\text{--}1750\text{ cm}^{-1}$, respectively) are due in part to a lifting of degeneracies. Tentative vibrational assignments have been made for some of these bands; these are indicated in Tables 1 and 2.

The symmetry of the anion may be lowered through cation-anion interactions or through solvent interactions. However, solvent interactions with BH_4^- or BD_4^- are likely to be weak in this case, and are not consistent with experimental observations. Far infrared data also support the hypothesis of cation-anion pair interactions. Cation-dependent vibrational bands have been observed in the far infrared for the PEO-alkali metal salt complexes (3), these correspond to the motion of the alkali metal cations relative to their counter anions and surrounding ether oxygens. A number of the sodium salt complexes, including the $\text{PEO}\cdot\text{NaBF}_4$ complex, exhibit no anion dependence in their far infrared spectra. However significant changes are seen in the far infrared when BD_4^- is substituted for BH_4^- , which is strong evidence that ion-pair interactions occur in these complexes. There is no spectroscopic indication of ion pairing in the $\text{PEO}\cdot\text{NaBF}_4$ complex.

Table I. Infrared and Raman vibrational assignments for the BH_4^- anion.

$\text{PEO}\cdot\text{NaBH}_4$		NaBH_4 in basic aqueous solution		Approximate Assignments
Raman	IR	Raman	IR	
2342w,sh	2347vs	2460w,p		$2\nu_4$
2313vs		2340w,p		$\nu_2 + \nu_4$
	2295m	2294s,p		ν_1
		2266w,dp	2272s	ν_1
2230w,b	2232vs			ν_3
		2196w,dp	2200sh	ν_3
2177m,sh	2178s			$2\nu_4(E \text{ or } F_2)$
	2169s			-
2155ms				-
		2146m,p		$2\nu_4(A_1)$
		1246w		ν_2
		1097w		ν_4

Band intensities: vw (very weak), w(weak), sh(shoulder), mw (medium weak), m (medium), ms (medium strong), s (strong), vs (very strong), b (broad), p (polarized), dp (depolarized).

Table II. Infrared and Raman vibrational assignments for the BD_4^- anion.

$\text{PEO}\cdot\text{NaBD}_4$		NaBD_4 in basic aqueous solution		Approximate Assignments
Raman	IR	Raman	IR	
1750sh	1751vs			$\nu_2 + \nu_4(?)$
1730m	1731vs			$\nu_2 + \nu_4(?)$
		1723w,dp	1721s	ν_3
1713m	1710w			-
	1684s	1694m,p		$2\nu_4(A_1)$
	1679s			-
		1675w,dp	1666sh	$2\nu_4(E \text{ or } F_2)$
1653w	1650sh			-
1638w	1639s			-
1578s	1579m	1585vs,p		ν_1
	947vw	890w		ν_2
	853mw			ν_4
	830m	842vw	843w	ν_4

Band intensities: see Table I.

3.2 Ionic Conductivity Measurements

Detailed ionic conductivity measurements on the $\text{PEO}\cdot\text{NaBF}_4$ and $\text{PEO}\cdot\text{NaBH}_4$ complexes with varying salt stoichiometries have been made. The temperature range was from 20° to 90°C for the NaBF_4 complex, and 20° to 150°C for the NaBH_4 complex. A complex impedance diagram

representative of those obtained for these complexes with reversible liquid electrodes is shown in Figure 1; this arc may be modeled by a simple circuit consisting of an internal resistance in parallel with an internal capacitance.

A comparison of the conductivity values for these complexes is shown in Figure 2. The 4.5:1 PEO:NaBF₄ complex exhibits a conductivity similar to that reported for other sodium salt complexes at this stoichiometry (1). If a slight excess of NaBF₄ is present in the PEO:NaBF₄ complex to prevent the formation of small regions of crystalline PEO, the overall conductivity falls by roughly a factor of 3 relative to the 4.5:1 complex, and a knee is not observed in the conductivity plot for the unannealed complex prepared at room temperature (see Figure 2). The absence of a knee at roughly 60°C for the salt-rich PEO electrolyte has been observed independently by P.V. Wright (6). The temperature range over which this knee occurs is coincident with the melting range of pure PEO and suggests that the melting of small regions of uncomplexed PEO or




Figure 1. Representative complex impedance diagram for a PEO:NaBF₄ complex at 41°C from 100 Hz to 5×10^5 Hz, RE = real axis, IM = imaginary axis.

PEO with a low salt content may result in the observed conductivity behavior. This interpretation is consistent with our DSC and x-ray observations for complexes of varying salt




Figure 2. Variable temperature conductivity values for PEO:NaBF₄ complexes at 4.5:1 (□) and 3:1 (○), stoichiometry, and PEO:NaBF₄ complexes at 4.5:1 (Δ) and 3.4:1 (+) stoichiometry.

The conductivity of the 4.5:1 PEO-NaBF₄ complex is about 1.2×10^{-7} (ohm-cm)⁻¹ at 30°C and increases to 7.0×10^{-5} (ohm-cm)⁻¹ at 90°C. A linear Arrhenius type behavior is observed both above and below the knee at roughly 60°C. In contrast, the 4.5:1 PEO-NaBH₄ complex has a conductivity lower by roughly 10^2 over the same temperature range, and the conductivity plot is slightly curved. This sharp decrease in ionic conductivity, supported by the spectroscopic data presented above, indicates that ion pairing is likely to be the principal origin of the lower conductivity in the PEO-NaBH₄ complex.

REFERENCES:

- Accusation Form
 1. NAME OF VIOLATOR
 2. NAME OF VICTIM
 3. NAME OF WITNESS
 4. NAME OF REPORTER
 5. NAME OF OFFICIAL
 6. NAME OF JUDGE
 7. NAME OF CLERK
 8. NAME OF SHERIFF
 9. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 10. NAME OF JAILER
 11. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 12. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 13. NAME OF SHERIFF
 14. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 15. NAME OF JAILER
 16. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 17. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 18. NAME OF SHERIFF
 19. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 20. NAME OF JAILER
 21. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 22. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 23. NAME OF SHERIFF
 24. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 25. NAME OF JAILER
 26. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 27. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 28. NAME OF SHERIFF
 29. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 30. NAME OF JAILER
 31. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 32. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 33. NAME OF SHERIFF
 34. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 35. NAME OF JAILER
 36. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 37. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 38. NAME OF SHERIFF
 39. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 40. NAME OF JAILER
 41. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 42. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 43. NAME OF SHERIFF
 44. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 45. NAME OF JAILER
 46. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 47. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 48. NAME OF SHERIFF
 49. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 50. NAME OF JAILER
 51. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 52. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 53. NAME OF SHERIFF
 54. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 55. NAME OF JAILER
 56. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 57. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 58. NAME OF SHERIFF
 59. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 60. NAME OF JAILER
 61. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 62. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 63. NAME OF SHERIFF
 64. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 65. NAME OF JAILER
 66. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 67. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 68. NAME OF SHERIFF
 69. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 70. NAME OF JAILER
 71. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 72. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 73. NAME OF SHERIFF
 74. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 75. NAME OF JAILER
 76. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 77. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 78. NAME OF SHERIFF
 79. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 80. NAME OF JAILER
 81. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 82. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 83. NAME OF SHERIFF
 84. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 85. NAME OF JAILER
 86. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 87. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 88. NAME OF SHERIFF
 89. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 90. NAME OF JAILER
 91. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 92. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 93. NAME OF SHERIFF
 94. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 95. NAME OF JAILER
 96. NAME OF CHIEF OF POLICE
 97. NAME OF DEPUTY CHIEF OF POLICE
 98. NAME OF SHERIFF
 99. NAME OF DEPUTY SHERIFF
 100. NAME OF JAILER

