

12

LEVEL III

AD-E 440124

AD

AD A101901

TECHNICAL REPORT ARLCB-TR-81019

NUMERICAL PREDICTION OF RESIDUAL STRESSES IN AN
AUTOFRETTAGED TUBE OF COMPRESSIBLE MATERIAL

P. C. T. Chen

DTIC
ELECTE
JUL 15 1981
S B D

May 1981



US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND
LARGE CALIBER WEAPON SYSTEMS LABORATORY
BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY
WATERVLIET, N. Y. 12189

AMCMS No. 6111.01.91A0.0

DA Project No. 1T161101A91A

PRON No. 1A1281501A1A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

DTIC FILE COPY

81 6 24 069

DISCLAIMER

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

The use of trade name(s) and/or manufacturer(s) does not constitute an official indorsement or approval.

DISPOSITION

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER ARLCB-TR-81019	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD A101901	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) NUMERICAL PREDICTION OF RESIDUAL STRESSES IN AN AUTOFRETTAGED TUBE OF COMPRESSIBLE MATERIAL		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) P. C. T. Chen		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Armament Research & Development Command Benet Weapons Laboratory, DRDAR-LCB-TL Watervliet, NY 12189		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS AMCMS No. 6111.01.91A0.0 DA Project No. 1T161101A91A PRON No. 1A1281501A1A
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Armament Research & Development Command Large Caliber Weapon Systems Laboratory Dover, NJ 07801		12. REPORT DATE May 1981
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 16
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Presented at 1981 Army Numerical Analysis and Computer Conference, Huntsville, Alabama, 26-27 February 1981. Published in proceedings of the conference.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Elastic-Plastic Deformation Residual Stress Autofrettaged Tube Finite-Difference Method		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The residual stresses in an autofrettaged tube of compressible material are obtained by a new finite-difference approach. The tube is assumed to obey the von Mises' yield criterion, the Prandtl-Reuss flow theory and the isotropic- hardening rule. In order to test the accuracy of the computer program, a convergence study for a nearly incompressible tube has been made and compared with the exact solution as well as the simulated results for residual stresses in an incompressible tube.		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
INCOMPRESSIBLE TUBE	1
FINITE-DIFFERENCE APPROACH	3
NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	5
REFERENCES	7

TABLES

I.	CONVERGENCE STUDY FOR A NEARLY INCOMPRESSIBLE TUBE UNDER INTERNAL PRESSURE ($b/a = 2$, $H' = 0$, $\nu = .49999999$)	8
II.	A COMPARISON OF RESIDUAL HOOP STRESS (σ_θ/σ_0) FOR $b/a = 2$, $H' = 0$	9
III.	THE EFFECT OF COMPRESSIBILITY ON THE RESIDUAL STRESS σ_θ/σ_0 ($b/a = 2$, $H' = 0$, $n = 400$)	10
IV.	THE EFFECT OF COMPRESSIBILITY ON THE RESIDUAL STRESS σ_r/σ_0 ($b/a = 2$, $H' = 0$, $n = 400$)	11
V.	THE EFFECT OF COMPRESSIBILITY ON THE RESIDUAL STRESS σ_z/σ_0 ($b/a = 2$, $H' = 0$, $n = 400$)	12
VI.	THE EFFECT OF HARDENING ON THE RESIDUAL STRESS σ_θ/σ_0 ($b/a = 2$, $\nu = .3$, $n = 400$)	13
VII.	THE EFFECT OF HARDENING ON THE RESIDUAL STRESS σ_r/σ_0 ($b/a = 2$, $\nu = .3$, $n = 400$)	14
VIII.	THE EFFECT OF HARDENING ON THE RESIDUAL STRESS σ_z/σ_0 ($b/a = 2$, $\nu = .3$, $n = 400$)	15

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	✓
DTIC TAB	
Unannounced	
Justified	
By	
Date	
Available	
Dist	
A	

INTRODUCTION

The importance of favorable residual stresses in an autofrettaged tube is well-known.¹ Many methods for predicting residual stresses have been reported.²⁻⁴ For an elastic-plastic material which obeys the von Mises' yield criterion and the associated flow rules, a closed form solution exists only in the plane-strain case neglecting strain hardening and compressibility.⁵ Recently a method to simulate this problem by thermal loads was devised by Hussain et al.⁶ For a compressible material with or without strain hardening, a new finite-difference approach has been developed by this author.⁷ Two types of incremental loadings were discussed in the preceding reference.

In the present paper, the numerical prediction of residual stresses in an autofrettaged tube of compressible material will be reported. The effect of Poisson's ratio will be discussed. In order to test the accuracy of the computer program, a convergence study for a nearly incompressible tube has been made and compared with the exact solution as well as the simulated results for residual stresses in an incompressible tube.

INCOMPRESSIBLE TUBE

For an ideally-plastic incompressible tube which obeys the von Mises' yield criterion and the associated flow rules, a closed form solution exists in the plane-strain case. The residual stresses and displacement after complete elastic unloading in a partially autofrettaged tube are given by,⁵

*References are listed at the end of this report.

$$\left. \begin{matrix} \sigma_r \\ \sigma_\theta \end{matrix} \right\} = \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{3}} \left[\left(\frac{\rho^2}{b^2} - 2 \log \frac{\rho}{r} + 1 \right) - p_1 \left(1 + \frac{b^2}{\rho^2} \right) \right] \quad a < r < \rho \quad (1)$$

$$\left. \begin{matrix} \sigma_r \\ \sigma_\theta \end{matrix} \right\} = \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{\rho^2}{b^2} - p_1 \right) \left(1 + \frac{b^2}{\rho^2} \right) \quad \rho < r < b \quad (2)$$

$$\sigma_z = \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\rho^2/b^2 - 2 \log \rho/r - p_1 \right) & a < r < \rho \\ \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\rho^2/b^2 - p_1 \right) & \rho < r < b \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

$$u/r = (\sqrt{3}/2)(\sigma_0/E)(\rho/r)^2 \quad (4)$$

where

$$p_1 = (1 - \rho^2/b^2 + 2 \log \rho/a)/(b^2/a^2 - 1) \quad (5)$$

and ρ is the radius of the autofrettaged interface.

According to Hussain et al,⁶ the distribution of radial and hoop stresses can be simulated by a steady state thermal loading. The equivalence between the temperature gradient and the yield stress is

$$\frac{E\alpha(T_a - T_\rho)}{2(1-\nu)\log(\rho/a)} = \frac{2\sigma_0}{\sqrt{3}} \quad (6)$$

and the temperature distribution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T &= T_a - \frac{(T_a - T_\rho)}{\log(\rho/a)} \log(r/a) & a < r < \rho \\ T &= T_\rho & \rho < r < b \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

FINITE-DIFFERENCE APPROACH

For a compressible material with or without strain hardening, a new finite-difference approach has been developed by this author.⁷ An incremental procedure is used for pressure beyond the elastic limit and the elastic solution is used as the initial condition. The cross section of the tube is divided into n rings and we want to determine all incremental quantities at all grid points in each incremental step. In the plastic region, the incremental stresses are related to the incremental strains by the incremental form

$$\Delta\sigma_i = d_{ij} \Delta\epsilon_j \quad \text{for } i, j = r, \theta, z \quad (8)$$

and

$$d_{ij}/2G = \nu/(1-2\nu) + \delta_{ij} - \sigma_i' \sigma_j' / S \quad (9)$$

where E is Young's modulus, ν is Poisson's ratio, δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta,

$$S = \frac{2}{3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} H'/G \right) \sigma^2, \quad 2G = E/(1+\nu)$$

$$\sigma_m = (\sigma_r + \sigma_\theta + \sigma_z)/3, \quad \sigma_i' = \sigma_i - \sigma_m$$

$$\sigma = (1/\sqrt{2}) [(\sigma_r - \sigma_\theta)^2 + (\sigma_\theta - \sigma_z)^2 + (\sigma_z - \sigma_r)^2]^{1/2} > \sigma_0 \quad (10)$$

and σ_0 is the yield stress in simple tension or compression. For a strain-hardening material, H' is the slope of the effective stress/plastic strain curve. For an ideally-plastic material, $H' = 0$. When $\sigma < \sigma_0$ or $d\sigma < 0$, the state of stress is elastic and the third term in equation (9) disappears.

Using equation (8) and $\Delta u = r \Delta \epsilon_\theta$, there exists only two unknowns at each station that have to be determined for each increment of loading. The unknown variables in the present formulation are $(\Delta \epsilon_\theta)_i$, $(\Delta \epsilon_r)_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, n+1$.

The equation of equilibrium and the equation of compatibility are valid for both the elastic and the plastic regions of a thick-walled tube. The finite-difference forms of these two equations at $i = 1, \dots, n$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (r_{i+1}-2r_i)(\Delta\sigma_r)_i - (r_{i+1}-r_i)(\Delta\sigma_\theta)_i + r_i(\Delta\sigma_r)_{i+1} \\ = (r_{i+1}-r_i)(\sigma_\theta-\sigma_r)_i - r_i[(\sigma_r)_{i+1} - (\sigma_r)_i] \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for the equation of equilibrium, and

$$\begin{aligned} (r_{i+1}-2r_i)(\Delta\epsilon_\theta)_i - (r_{i+1}-r_i)(\Delta\epsilon_r)_i + r_i(\Delta\epsilon_\theta)_{i+1} \\ = (r_{i+1}-r_i)(\epsilon_r-\epsilon_\theta)_i - r_i[(\epsilon_\theta)_{i+1} - (\epsilon_\theta)_i] \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

for the equation of compatibility.

With the aid of the incremental stress-strain relations (equation (8)), equation (11) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} [(r_{i+1}-2r_i)(d_{12})_i + (-r_{i+1}+r_i)(d_{22})_i](\Delta\epsilon_\theta)_i \\ + [(r_{i+1}-2r_i)(d_{11})_i + (-r_{i+1}+r_i)(d_{21})_i](\Delta\epsilon_r)_i \\ + r_i(d_{12})_{i+1}(\Delta\epsilon_\theta)_{i+1} + r_i(d_{11})_{i+1}(\Delta\epsilon_r)_{i+1} \\ = (r_{i+1}-r_i)(\sigma_\theta-\sigma_r)_i - r_i[(\sigma_r)_{i+1} - (\sigma_r)_i] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The boundary conditions for the problem are

$$\Delta\sigma_r(a,t) = -\Delta p, \quad \Delta\sigma_r(b,t) = 0 \quad (14)$$

Using the incremental relations (equation (8)), we rewrite equation (11) as

$$(d_{12})_i(\Delta\epsilon_\theta)_i + (d_{11})_i(\Delta\epsilon_r)_i = -\Delta p \quad (15)$$

and

$$(d_{12})_{n+1}(\Delta\epsilon_\theta)_{n+1} + (d_{11})_{n+1}(\Delta\epsilon_r)_{n+1} = 0 \quad (16)$$

Now we can form a system of $2(n+1)$ equations for solving $2(n+1)$ unknowns, $(\Delta\epsilon_\theta)_i, (\Delta\epsilon_r)_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, n+1$. Equations (15) and (16) are taken as the first and last equations, respectively, and the other $2n$ equations are set

up at $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ using equations (12) and (13). The final system is an unsymmetric band matrix with the nonzero terms clustered about the main diagonal, two below and one above.

When the total applied pressure p is given, it is natural to divide the loading path into m equal fixed increments with $\Delta p = (p - p^*)/m$ where p^* is the pressure corresponding to initial yielding. These fixed increments need not be equal for all steps and any sequence of m increments can be supplied by the user. In Reference 7, an adaptive algorithm to generate a sequence of load increments was described.

NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In order to test the accuracy of the computer program, a convergence study for a nearly incompressible tube ($\nu = .4999999$) has been made and compared with the exact solution for an incompressible tube ($\nu = 1/2$). The numerical results for a tube with $b/a = 2$ and $H' = 0$ are very accurate as shown in Table I for 30, 60, and 100 percent overstrain. A comparison of the calculated residual hoop stresses with the exact solution as well as the simulated results is shown in Table II. The finite-difference approach can generate more accurate results than the method of simulation by thermal load for incompressible material.

In order to discuss the effect of compressibility, we calculated the residual stresses for a tube with $b/a = 2$, $H' = 0$, $n = 400$, $\nu = 0, 0.3, 0.4999$. The results are shown in Tables III, IV, and V for residual hoop, radial, and axial components, respectively. The effect of hardening on the residual stresses can be discussed in a similar way. The results for a tube

with $b/a = 2$, $\nu = 0.3$, $n = 400$, $H'/E = 0, 1/9, 1/19$ ($w = Et/E = 0, 0.05, 0.0$) are shown in Tables VI, VII, and VIII for residual hoop, radial, and axial components, respectively. It can be seen that the effect of hardening on residual hoop stress is larger than that of compressibility.

REFERENCES

1. Hill, R., Mathematical Theory of Plasticity, Oxford University Press, 1950.
2. Hodge, P. G. and White, G. N., "A Quantitative Comparison of Flow and Deformation Theories of Plasticity," J. Appl. Mech., Vol. 17, 1956, pp. 180-184.
3. Chu, S. C., "A More Rational Approach to the Problem of an Elastoplastic Thick-Walled Cylinder," J. of the Franklin Institute, Vol. 294, pp. 57-65.
4. Chen, P. C. T., "The Finite Element Analysis of Elastic-Plastic Thick-Walled Tubes," Proceedings of Army Symposium on Solid Mechanics, 1972, The Role of Mechanics in Design-Ballistic Problems, pp. 243-253.
5. Prager, W. and Hodge, P. G., Theory of Perfectly Plastic Solids, Dover Publications, Inc., 1950.
6. Hussain, M. A., Pu, S. L., Vasilakis, J. D., and O'Hara, P., "Simulation of Partial Autofrettage by Thermal Loads," J. Pressure Vessel Technology, 1980, pp. 314-318.
7. Chen, P. C. T., "An Adaptive Algorithm For Exact Solution of an Over-Strained Tube," Proceedings 1980 Army Numerical Analysis and Computer Conference, pp. 347-355.

TABLE I. CONVERGENCE STUDY FOR A NEARLY INCOMPRESSIBLE TUBE UNDER
INTERNAL PRESSURE ($b/a = 2$, $H' = 0$, $\nu = .4999999$)

O.S.	n	P/σ_0	MAX σ_θ/σ_0	Inside σ_z/σ_0	$\frac{E}{\sigma_0} \frac{U_a}{a}$
30%	10	.64630	.80697	-.06895	1.54781
	20	.64099	.81444	-.06364	1.50104
	50	.63815	.81861	-.05080	1.47764
	100	.63725	.81996	-.05990	1.47047
	200	.63681	.82062	-.05946	1.46699
	400	.63659	.82095	-.05924	1.46528
	*	.63637	.82128	-.05902	1.46358
60%	10	.77375	.93345	-.19640	2.49329
	20	.76123	.94049	-.18388	2.33897
	50	.75464	.94438	-.17729	2.26259
	100	.75257	.94563	-.17522	2.23922
	200	.75156	.94625	-.17421	2.22805
	400	.75105	.94655	-.17371	2.22251
	*	.75056	.94685	-.17321	2.21703
100%	10	.82096	1.15470	-.24361	4.14111
	20	.80999	1.15470	-.23264	3.76669
	50	.80408	1.15470	-.22673	3.57791
	100	.80221	1.15470	-.22486	3.51990
	200	.80129	1.15470	-.22394	3.49173
	400	.80083	1.15470	-.22348	3.47785
	*	.80038	1.15470	-.22303	3.46410

* Exact solution.

TABLE II. A COMPARISON OF RESIDUAL HOOP STRESS (σ_θ/σ_0) FOR $b/a = 2$, $H' = 0$

O.S.	r/a	$\nu = .5$ Exact	$n = 400$ $\nu = .4999$	$\nu = .3000$ Simulation
30%	1.0	-0.54224	-0.54317	-0.54645
	1.1	- .28497	- .28582	- .29157
	1.2	- .07250	- .07329	- .08021
	1.3	+ .10709	+ .10636	+ .09897
	1.4	+ .09672	+ .09587	+ .08962
	1.5	+ .08835	+ .08774	+ .08205
	1.6	+ .8150	+ .08056	+ .07582
	1.7	+ .07583	+ .07487	+ .07065
	1.8	+ .07107	+ .07102	+ .06630
	1.9	+ .06705	+ .06610	+ .06261
	2.0	+ .06361	+ .06267	+ .05945
60%	1.0	-0.84679	-0.84865	-0.85480
	1.1	- .56305	- .56468	- .57384
	1.2	- .33048	- .33191	- .34250
	1.3	- .13525	- .13652	- .14766
	1.4	+ .03190	+ .03076	+ .01955
	1.5	+ .17737	+ .17635	+ .16534
	1.6	+ .30575	+ .30483	+ .29416
	1.7	+ .28446	+ .28345	+ .21408
	1.8	+ .26662	+ .26555	+ .25270
	1.9	+ .25152	+ .25042	+ .24288
	2.0	+ .23863	+ .23752	+ .23062
100%	1.0	-0.97964	-0.98130	-0.99326
	1.1	- .68437	- .68579	- .70058
	1.2	- .44303	- .44425	- .46027
	1.3	- .24098	- .24203	- .25841
	1.4	- .06842	- .06933	- .08559
	1.5	+ .08142	+ .08063	+ .06474
	1.6	+ .21338	+ .21268	+ .19729
	1.7	+ .33099	+ .33037	+ .31553
	1.8	+ .43681	+ .43634	+ .42205
	1.9	+ .53306	+ .53259	+ .51886
	2.0	+ .62111	+ .62069	+ .60749

TABLE III. THE EFFECT OF COMPRESSIBILITY ON THE RESIDUAL STRESS σ_θ/σ_0

(b/a = 2, H' = 0, n = 400)

O.S.	r/a	$\nu = .4999$	$\nu = .3000$	$\nu = .0000$
30%	1.0	-0.54317	-0.53992	-0.51455
	1.1	- .28528	- .28233	- .25808
	1.2	- .07329	- .07127	- .05712
	1.3	+ .10636	+ .16389	+ .09593
	1.4	+ .09587	+ .09358	+ .08647
	1.5	+ .08774	+ .08530	+ .07887
	1.6	+ .08056	+ .07854	+ .07266
	1.7	+ .07487	+ .07297	+ .06753
	1.8	+ .07012	+ .06831	+ .06324
	1.9	+ .06610	+ .06437	+ .05962
	2.0	+ .06267	+ .06102	+ .05653
60%	1.0	-0.84865	-0.84138	-0.80090
	1.1	- .56468	- .55776	- .51977
	1.2	- .33191	- .32513	- .28850
	1.3	- .13652	- .13036	- .09892
	1.4	+ .03076	+ .03487	+ .05298
	1.5	+ .17635	+ .17160	+ .17167
	1.6	+ .30483	+ .29721	+ .26278
	1.7	+ .28345	+ .27635	+ .24434
	1.8	+ .26555	+ .25889	+ .22892
	1.9	+ .25042	+ .24414	+ .21587
	2.0	+ .23752	+ .23155	+ .20474
100%	1.0	-0.98130	-0.97388	-0.92931
	1.1	- .68579	- .67902	- .63864
	1.2	- .44425	- .43792	- .40015
	1.3	- .24203	- .23600	- .20018
	1.4	- .06933	- .06370	- .03171
	1.5	+ .08063	+ .08531	+ .10837
	1.6	+ .21268	+ .21530	+ .22222
	1.7	+ .33037	+ .32918	+ .31266
	1.8	+ .43634	+ .42900	+ .38327
	1.9	+ .53259	+ .51654	+ .43768
	2.0	+ .62069	+ .59296	+ .47918

TABLE IV. THE EFFECT OF COMPRESSIBILITY ON THE RESIDUAL STRESS σ_r/σ_0

(b/a = 2, H' = 0, n = 400)

O.S.	r/a	$\nu = .4999$	$\nu = .3000$	$\nu = .0000$
30%	1.0	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	1.1	- .03732	- .03684	- .25808
	1.2	- .04891	- .04812	- .04462
	1.3	- .04368	- .04287	- .03940
	1.4	- .03320	- .03255	- .02994
	1.5	- .02477	- .02427	- .02234
	1.6	- .01789	- .01752	- .01613
	1.7	- .01220	- .01194	- .01100
	1.8	- .00744	- .00728	- .00671
	1.9	- .00342	- .00335	- .00309
	2.0	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
60%	1.0	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	1.1	- .06371	- .66302	- .05957
	1.2	- .09539	- .09415	- .08795
	1.3	- .10581	- .10413	- .09578
	1.4	- .10182	- .09986	- .09032
	1.5	- .08797	- .08598	- .07658
	1.6	- .06731	- .06566	- .05803
	1.7	- .04593	- .04480	- .03960
	1.8	- .02804	- .02735	- .02417
	1.9	- .01291	- .01259	- .01113
	2.0	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
100%	1.0	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000
	1.1	- .07520	- .07453	- .07076
	1.2	- .11561	- .11444	- .10780
	1.3	- .13282	- .13125	- .12233
	1.4	- .13424	- .13235	- .12165
	1.5	- .12474	- .12262	- .11079
	1.6	- .10764	- .10541	- .09335
	1.7	- .08523	- .08307	- .07197
	1.8	- .05911	- .05728	- .04850
	1.9	- .03042	- .02929	- .02423
	2.0	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

TABLE V. THE EFFECT OF COMPRESSIBILITY ON THE RESIDUAL STRESS σ_z/σ_0

(b/a = 2, H' = 0, n = 400)

O.S.	r/a	$\nu = .4999$	$\nu = .3000$	$\nu = .0000$
30%	1.0	-0.27153	-0.15819	+0.01264
	1.1	- .16153	- .08015	+ .03964
	1.2	- .06108	- .02272	+ .02990
	1.3	+ .03133	+ .01831	+ .00000
	1.4	+ .03133	+ .01831	+ .00000
	1.5	+ .03133	+ .01831	+ .00000
	1.6	+ .03133	+ .01831	+ .00000
	1.7	+ .03133	+ .01831	+ .00000
	1.8	+ .03133	+ .01831	+ .00000
	1.9	+ .03133	+ .01831	+ .00000
	2.0	+ .03133	+ .01831	+ .00000
60%	1.0	-0.42426	-0.28532	-0.07295
	1.1	- .31413	- .18422	+ .01377
	1.2	- .21360	- .10266	+ .06134
	1.3	- .12112	- .03886	+ .07385
	1.4	- .03551	+ .00946	+ .06108
	1.5	+ .04419	+ .04477	+ .03373
	1.6	+ .11874	+ .06946	+0.00000
	1.7	+ .11874	+ .06946	+0.00000
	1.8	+ .11874	+ .06946	+0.00000
	1.9	+ .11874	+ .06946	+0.00000
	2.0	+ .11874	+ .06946	+0.00000
100%	1.0	-0.49052	-0.36683	-0.16290
	1.1	- .38037	- .25538	- .05105
	1.2	- .27984	- .15795	+ .03647
	1.3	- .18737	- .07470	+ .09527
	1.4	- .10177	- .00538	+ .12490
	1.5	- .02210	+ .05073	+ .12951
	1.6	+ .05243	+ .09474	+ .11609
	1.7	+ .12243	+ .12800	+ .09177
	1.8	+ .18842	+ .15179	+ .06210
	1.9	+ .25084	+ .16184	+ .03078
	2.0	+ .31028	+ .17789	+ .00000

TABLE VI. THE EFFECT OF HARDENING ON THE RESIDUAL STRESS σ_θ/σ_0 (b/a = 2, $\nu = .3$, n = 400)

O.S.	r/a	w = 0.00	w = 0.05	w = 0.10
30%	1.0	-0.53992	-0.50612	-0.47446
	1.1	- .28233	- .26457	- .24824
	1.2	- .07127	- .06644	- .06254
	1.3	+ .16389	+ .09831	+ .09216
	1.4	+ .09358	+ .08861	+ .08306
	1.5	+ .08530	+ .08082	+ .07575
	1.6	+ .07854	+ .07445	+ .06978
	1.7	+ .07297	+ .06919	+ .06485
	1.8	+ .06831	+ .06480	+ .06073
	1.9	+ .06437	+ .06108	+ .05725
	2.0	+ .06102	+ .05792	+ .05428
60%	1.0	-0.84138	-0.78984	-0.74017
	1.1	- .55776	- .52382	- .49114
	1.2	- .32513	- .30556	- .28679
	1.3	- .13036	- .12276	- .11559
	1.4	+ .03487	+ .03245	+ .02989
	1.5	+ .17610	+ .16532	+ .15461
	1.6	+ .29721	+ .27952	+ .26204
	1.7	+ .27635	+ .25991	+ .24364
	1.8	+ .25889	+ .24349	+ .22825
	1.9	+ .24414	+ .22962	+ .21524
	2.0	+ .23155	+ .21778	+ .20414
100%	1.0	-0.97388	-0.91430	-0.85591
	1.1	- .67902	- .63781	- .59733
	1.2	- .43792	- .41165	- .38574
	1.3	- .23600	- .22219	- .20843
	1.4	- .06370	- .06050	- .05708
	1.5	+ .08531	+ .07938	+ .07388
	1.6	+ .21530	+ .20147	+ .18825
	1.7	+ .32918	+ .30854	+ .28866
	1.8	+ .42906	+ .40260	+ .37700
	1.9	+ .51634	+ .48518	+ .45473
	2.0	+ .59296	+ .55752	+ .52301

TABLE VII. THE EFFECT OF HARDENING ON THE RESIDUAL STRESS σ_r/σ_0 (b/a = 2, ν = .3, n = 400)

O.S.	r/a	w = 0.00	w = 0.05	w = 0.10
30%	1.0	0.00000	0.00000	-0.00000
	1.1	-0.03684	- .03467	- .03250
	1.2	-0.04812	- .04531	- .04249
	1.3	-0.04287	- .04039	- .03788
	1.4	-0.03255	- .03070	- .02879
	1.5	- .02427	- .02290	- .02149
	1.6	- .01752	- .01654	- .01551
	1.7	- .01194	- .01128	- .01057
	1.8	- .00728	- .00688	- .00645
	1.9	- .00335	- .00317	- .00297
	2.0	0.00000	0.00000	-0.00000
60%	1.0	0.00000	0.00000	-0.00000
	1.1	-0.06302	- .05919	- .05544
	1.2	- .09415	- .08844	- .08286
	1.3	- .10413	- .09784	- .09169
	1.4	- .09986	- .09386	- .08799
	1.5	- .08598	- .08084	- .07580
	1.6	- .06566	- .06174	- .05790
	1.7	- .04480	- .04213	- .03951
	1.8	- .02735	- .02572	- .02411
	1.9	- .01259	- .01184	- .01110
	2.0	- .00000	0.00000	-0.00000
100%	1.0	.00000	0.00000	-0.00000
	1.1	-0.07453	-0.06991	- .06543
	1.2	- .11444	-0.10736	- .10050
	1.3	- .13125	-0.12316	- .11530
	1.4	- .13235	-0.12421	- .11631
	1.5	- .12262	-0.11511	- .10781
	1.6	- .10541	0.09898	- .09272
	1.7	- .08307	- .07803	- .07312
	1.8	- .05728	- .05382	- .05045
	1.9	.02929	- .02753	- .02582
	2.0	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000

TABLE VIII. THE EFFECT OF HARDENING ON THE RESIDUAL STRESS σ_z/σ_0 (b/a = 2, $\nu = .3$, n = 400)

O.S.	r/a	w = 0.00	w = 0.05	w = 0.10
30%	1.0	-0.15819	-0.14544	-0.13389
	1.1	- .08015	- .07403	- .06852
	1.2	- .02272	- .02097	- .01953
	1.3	+ .01831	+ .01737	+ .01628
	1.4	+ .01831	+ .01737	+ .01628
	1.5	+ .01831	+ .01737	+ .01628
	1.6	+ .01831	+ .01737	+ .01628
	1.7	+ .01831	+ .01737	+ .01628
	1.8	+ .01831	+ .01737	+ .01628
	1.9	+ .01831	+ .01737	+ .01628
	2.0	+ .01831	+ .01737	+ .01628
60%	1.0	-0.28532	- .25926	-0.23525
	1.1	- .18422	- .16735	- .15185
	1.2	- .10266	- .09318	- .08450
	1.3	- .03886	- .03494	- .03142
	1.4	+ .00946	+ .00946	+ .00931
	1.5	+ .00447	+ .04219	+ .03959
	1.6	+ .06946	+ .06533	+ .06124
	1.7	+ .06946	+ .06533	+ .06124
	1.8	+ .06946	+ .06533	+ .06124
	1.9	+ .06946	+ .06533	+ .06124
	2.0	+ .06946	+ .06533	+ .06124
100%	1.0	-0.36683	-0.33011	-0.29555
	1.1	- .25538	- .22763	- .20188
	1.2	- .15795	-0.13872	- .12109
	1.3	- .07470	-0.06310	- .05265
	1.4	- .00538	-0.00025	+0.00416
	1.5	+ .05073	+0.05065	- .05022
	1.6	+ .09474	+0.09068	- .08655
	1.7	+ .12800	+0.12107	- .11426
	1.8	+ .15197	+0.14312	- .13449
	1.9	+ .16814	+0.15811	- .14835
	2.0	+ .17789	+0.16726	+ .15690

TECHNICAL REPORT INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>
COMMANDER	1
CHIEF, DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING BRANCH	1
ATTN: DRDAR-LCB-DA	1
-DM	1
-DP	1
-DR	1
-DS	1
-DC	1
CHIEF, ENGINEERING SUPPORT BRANCH	1
ATTN: DRDAR-LCB-SE	1
-SA	1
CHIEF, RESEARCH BRANCH	2
ATTN: DRDAR-LCB-RA	1
-RC	1
-RM	1
-RP	1
CHIEF, LWC MORTAR SYS. OFC.	1
ATTN: DRDAR-LCB-M	
CHIEF, IMP. 81MM MORTAR OFC.	1
ATTN: DRDAR-LCB-I	
TECHNICAL LIBRARY	5
ATTN: DRDAR-LCB-TL	
TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS & EDITING UNIT	2
ATTN: DRDAR-LCB-TL	
DIRECTOR, OPERATIONS DIRECTORATE	1
DIRECTOR, PROCUREMENT DIRECTORATE	1
DIRECTOR, PRODUCT ASSURANCE DIRECTORATE	1

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY ASSOC. DIRECTOR, BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY, ATTN:
DRDAR-LCB-TL, OF ANY REQUIRED CHANGES.

TECHNICAL REPORT EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST (CONT)

	NO. OF COPIES		NO. OF COPIES
COMMANDER US ARMY RESEARCH OFFICE P.O. BOX 1211 APPROXIMATE TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709	1	COMMANDER DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFO CENTER ATTN: DTIA-TCA CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314	12
COMMANDER US ARMY HARRY DIAMOND LAB ATTN: TECH LIB 2900 POWDER MILL ROAD ADELPHIA, MD 20783	1	METALS & CERAMICS INFO CEN BATTELLE COLUMBUS LAB 505 KING AVE COLUMBUS, OHIO 43201	1
DIRECTOR US ARMY INDUSTRIAL BASE ENG ACT ATTN: DRPE-MT PICK ISLAND, IL 61201	1	MECHANICAL PROPERTIES DATA CTR BATTELLE COLUMBUS LAB 505 KING AVE COLUMBUS, OHIO 43201	1
CHIEF, MATERIALS BRANCH US ARMY R&S GROUP, EUR BOX 65, FPO N.Y. 09510	1	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS ACTV ATTN: DRXSY-MP ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND MARYLAND 21005	1
COMMANDER NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CEN ATTN: CHIEF, MAT SCIENCE DIV DAHLGREN, VA 22448	1		
DIRECTOR US NAVAL RESEARCH LAB ATTN: DIR, MECH DIV CODE 26-27 (DOC LIB) WASHINGTON, D. C. 20375	1 1		
NASA SCIENTIFIC & TECH INFO FAC. P. O. BOX 3757, ATTN: ACQ BR BALTIMORE/WASHINGTON INTL AIRPORT MARYLAND 21240	1		

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY COMMANDER, ARRADCOM, ATTN: BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY, DRDAF-ICB-TL, WATERVLIET ARSENAL, WATERVLIET, N.Y. 12189, OF ANY REQUIRED CHANGES.

TECHNICAL REPORT EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

	<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>		<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>
ASST SEC OF THE ARMY		COMMANDER	
RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT		US ARMY TANK-AUTMV R&D COMD	
ATTN: DEP FOR SCI & TECH	1	ATTN: TECH LIB - DRDTA-UL	1
THE PENTAGON		MAT LAB - DRDTA-RK	1
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20315		WARREN, MICHIGAN 48090	
COMMANDER		COMMANDER	
US ARMY MAT DEV & READ. COMD		US MILITARY ACADEMY -	
ATTN: DRCDE	1	ATTN: CHMN, MECH ENGR DEPT	1
5001 EISENHOWER AVE		WEST POINT, NY 10996	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22333			
COMMANDER		US ARMY MISSILE COMD	
US ARMY ARRADCOM		REDSTONE SCIENTIFIC INFO CEN	
ATTN: DRDAR-LC	1	ATTN: DOCUMENTS SECT, BLDG 4484	2
-LCA (PLASTICS TECH	1	REDSTONE ARSENAL, AL 35898	
EVAL CEN)		COMMANDER	
-LCE	1	REDSTONE ARSENAL	
-LCM	1	ATTN: DRSMI-RRS	1
-LCS	1	-RSM	1
-LCW	1	ALABAMA 35809	
-TSS (STINFO)	2	COMMANDER	
DOVER, NJ 07801		ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL	
COMMANDER		ATTN: SARRI-ENM (MAT SCI DIV)	1
US ARMY ARRCOM		ROCK ISLAND, IL 61202	
ATTN: DRSAR-LEP-L	1	COMMANDER	
ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL		HQ, US ARMY AVN SCH	
ROCK ISLAND, IL 61299		ATTN: OFC OF THE LIBRARIAN	1
DIRECTOR		FT RUCKER, ALABAMA 36362	
US ARMY BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY		COMMANDER	
ATTN: DRDAR-TSB-S (STINFO)	1	US ARMY FGN SCIENCE & TECH CEN	
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MD 21005		ATTN: DRXST-SD	1
COMMANDER		220 7TH STREET, N.E.	
US ARMY ELECTRONICS COMD		CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22901	
ATTN: TECH LIB	1	COMMANDER	
FT MONMOUTH, NJ 07703		US ARMY MATERIALS & MECHANICS	
COMMANDER		RESEARCH CENTER	
US ARMY MOBILITY EQUIP R&D COMD		ATTN: TECH LIB - DRXMR-PL	2
ATTN: TECH LIB	1	WATERTOWN, MASS 02172	
FT BELVOIR, VA 22060			

NOTE: PLEASE NOTIFY COMMANDER, ARRADCOM, ATTN: BENET WEAPONS LABORATORY, DRDAR-LCB-TL, WATERVLIET ARSENAL, WATERVLIET, N.Y. 12189, OF ANY REQUIRED CHANGES.