

AD-A104 210

NORTH CAROLINA UNIV AT CHAPEL HILL DEPT OF CHEMISTRY

F/G 7/3

SYNTHESES OF 1,1'-BICOBALTOCENE SALTS OF TETRACYANO-P-QUINODIME--ETC(U)

SEP 81 C LAU, P SINGH, S J CLINE, R SEIDERS

N00014-76-C-0816

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-17

NL

| of |
ADA
103210

END
DATE
FILMED
10-81
DTIC

LEVEL

(13)

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

CONTRACT N00014-76-C-0816

TASK NO. NR 053-617

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 17

SYNTHESES OF 1,1'-BICOBALTOCENE SALTS OF
TETRACYANO-P-QUINODIMETHANE, TETRABROMOQUINONE, AND
TETRABROMODIPHENOQUINONE; AND THE STRUCTURE OF
1,1'-BICOBALTOCENE[Co(III)Co(III)][TCNQ]₃

BY

Chak-po/Lau, Phirtu/Singh, Susan J./Cline/
Reginald/Seiders, Maurice/Brookhart, Wayne E. Marsh,
Derek J. Hodgson, and William E. Hatfield

PREPARED FOR PUBLICATION

IN

MOLECULAR CRYSTALS and LIQUID CRYSTALS

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY 045A
CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA 27514

DTIC
ELECTE
SEP 14 1981

September 1, 1981

REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR PART IS PERMITTED FOR ANY PURPOSE
OF
THE UNITED STATE GOVERNMENT

*THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE AND
SALE; ITS DISTRIBUTION IS UNLIMITED

*THIS STATEMENT SHOULD ALSO APPEAR IN ITEM 10 OF DOCUMENT
CONTRAL DATA - DD FORM 1473. COPIES OF FORM AVAILABLE FROM
COGNIZANT CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR.

81 9

14 094

AD A104210

DTIC FILE COPY

Abstract - Technical Report No. 17 continued

fulvalene moieties in the cation is 3.270 Å, and the cobalt-carbon bond distances range from 2.012(5) to 2.041(5) Å. The complex salts are diamagnetic, and the electrical conductivities of pressed pellet samples range from 3.0×10^{-2} to $3.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ ohm}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

Preparation For	
1.000 g	☒
1.000 g B	☐
1.000 g C	☐
Preparation/	
Preparation Codes	
Special and/or	
Special	
A	

SYNTHESES OF 1,1'-BICOBALTOCENE SALTS OF
TETRACYANO-P-QUINODIMETHANE, TETRABROMOQUINONE, AND
TETRABROMODIPHENOQUINONE; AND THE STRUCTURE OF
1,1'-BICOBALTOCENE[Co(III)Co(III)][TCNQ]₃^{*}

CHAK-PO LAU, PHIRTU SINGH, SUSAN J. CLINE,
REGINALD SEIDERS, MAURICE BROOKHART, WAYNE E. MARSH,
DEREK J. HODGSON, AND WILLIAM E. HATFIELD
Department of Chemistry
University of North Carolina
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

The reaction of 1,1'-bicobaltocene[Co(III)Co(III)]-(PF_6)₂, [BFDCo(III,III)](PF_6)₂, or the analogous mixed valence compound [Co(III)Co(II)] with excess [(C₂H₅)₃NH][TCNQ]₂ and TCNQ yields the complex salt

1,1'-bicobaltocene[Co(III)Co(III)][TCNQ]₃. Reaction of [BFDCo(III,III)](PF₆)₂ with excess tetrabromoquinone (bromanil, BA) and its sodium salt produces [BFD-Co(III,III)][BA]₃, while reaction with tetrabromodiphenylquinone (TBDQ) yields [BFDCo(III,III)][TBDQ]₃. The TCNQ units in the complex salt [BFDCo(III,III)][TCNQ]₃ stack to form an alternating chain with two short, adjacent interplanar spacings of 3.15 Å, followed by a longer interplanar spacing of 3.45 Å. The interplanar spacing of the fulvalene moieties in the cation is 3.270 Å, and the cobalt-carbon bond distances range from 2.012(5) to 2.041(5) Å. The complex salts are diamagnetic, and the electrical conductivities of pressed pellet samples range from 3.0×10^{-2} to $3.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ ohm}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

 *Supported in part by the Office of Naval Research

INTRODUCTION

The relatively high electrical conductivities of pressed pellet samples of the mixed valence compound 1,1'-biferrocene[Fe(II)Fe(III)][TCNQ]₂,^{1,2} where [TCNQ]₂⁻ is the tetracyano-p-quinodimethanide radical anion dimer, continues to attract attention³ since the mechanism of the conductivity process is unknown. The analogous 1,1'-bicobaltocene salts of TCNQ and related acceptors such as tetrabromoquinone (bromanil, BA) and tetrabromodiphenoquinone (TBDQ) are of interest in this regard since it is well known that bicobaltocene is much more easily oxidized than the iron compound, and a different degree of charge transfer, band filling, and electrical conductivities may be anticipated in bicobaltocene charge transfer salts. Results of our studies of the TCNQ, BA, and TBDQ salts of 1,1'-bicobaltocene are reported in this Article.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Synthesis of TCNQ Salts of Bicobaltocene

The hexafluorophosphate salt of bicobaltocene(III,III) was prepared by the method of Davison and Smart,⁴ and the mixed

valence salt 1,1'-bicobaltocene[Co(III)Co(II)](PF₆) was prepared by the method described by Smart.⁵

The new compound 1,1'-bicobaltocene[Co(III)Co(III)]-[TCNQ]₃ resulted from the reaction of the mixed valence hexafluorophosphate salt with a mixture of [Et₃NH][TCNQ]₂ and neutral TCNQ. However, it was later found that this compound is more conveniently prepared from 1,1'-bicobaltocene[Co(III)Co(III)](PF₆)₂, and this procedure has been described.⁶ The simple salt 1,1'-bicobaltocene[Co(III)Co(III)][TCNQ]₂ was prepared by the reaction of 1,1'-bicobaltocene[Co(III)Co(III)](PF₆)₂ with a stoichiometric amount of LiTCNQ in refluxing acetonitrile.

Synthesis of Bromanil Salts of Bicobaltocene

Sodium bromanil (0.48 g., 1.1 mmole) and bromanil (0.61 g., 1.43 mmole) were dissolved in 250 mL acetonitrile at room temperature. To this solution was added, drop by drop, a solution of [BFDCo(III,III)](PF₆)₂ in 20 mL acetonitrile. A shiny green precipitate formed immediately. After addition of [BFDCo(III,III)](PF₆)₂ was complete, the mixture was stirred for one hour, the green precipitate was collected, washed with acetonitrile and acetone, and dried in vacuo at 140° for two days. The yield was 0.61 g. Analyses: Calc'd for [BFDCo(III,III)][BA]₃ 27.72% C; 0.97% H; 58.30% Br;

5.84% O; and 7.17% Co. Found 27.50% C; 1.01% H; 58.73% Br; 5.91% O; and 7.23% Co.

Synthesis of Tetrabromodiphenoquinone Salts of Bicobaltocene

The complex salt $[\text{BFDCo(III,III)}][\text{TBDQ}]_3$ was prepared by the same experimental procedure used for the analogous bromanil complex. Analyses: Calc'd for $[\text{BFDCo(III,III)}][\text{TBDQ}]_3$ 35.88% C; 1.49% H; 51.20% Br; 6.23% Co; and 5.20% O. Found 35.81% C; 1.57% H; 51.31% Br; 6.15% Co; and 5.19% O.

Physical Characterizations

Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra were recorded using a Varian E-3 spectrometer, and infrared spectra were collected using a Beckmann IR-4250 spectrophotometer. Electrical conductivities of pressed pellet samples were measured using four point contacts as necessary for the van der Pauw method⁷. A constant current of 10 microamperes was supplied by a Keithley Model 227 constant current source, and the voltage drop was measured with a Keithley Model 180 nanovoltmeter. Magnetic susceptibilities were measured as a function of temperature using procedures which have been described previously.⁸ The data were corrected for the diamagnetism of the constituent atoms.⁹

X-ray Crystallographic Studies

Deep red crystals of 1,1'-bicobaltocene[Co(III)Co(III)]-[TCNQ]₃ were obtained by slowly cooling a hot saturated solution of the compound in dimethylformamide. Data reduction and all other calculations, except those for the drawings, were done with the CAD-4 SDP set of programs on a PDP 11/34 computer. Drawings of the structure were made using ORTEP-II.¹⁰ Details of data collection and solution of the structure have been given elsewhere.⁶

RESULTS

Description of the structure of
1,1'-bicobaltocene[Co(III)Co(III)][TCNQ]₃

The central bond distance of 1.465(6) Å in the fulvalene moiety is somewhat longer than the carbon-carbon bond distances in the rings, the latter having a mean value of 1.420 Å. The fulvalene ring bonds thus have more double bond character than the central bond connecting the two rings. The mean value of the ten ring angles in the fulvalene moiety is 108.0°. Each five membered ring of the fulvalene

moiety is planar, but the two five membered rings of the fulvalene units are, however, slightly noncoplanar. The dihedral angle between the mean planes of the two five-membered rings is 1.3° . There is complete overlap of the corresponding atoms of the two fulvalene units in the cation. The distance between the planes of the fulvalene units is $3.270 \text{ \AA}$ and that between the two cobalt atoms is $3.912(1) \text{ \AA}$. The distances between the cobalt atom and the carbon atoms of the fulvalene rings range from $2.012(5) \text{ \AA}$ to $2.041(5) \text{ \AA}$.

There are two crystallographically independent TCNQ units, and one of these, TCNQ-2, lies on a crystallographic inversion center. The estimated standard deviations in the bond distances of the TCNQ ions are unfortunately too high ($\sim 0.007 \text{ \AA}$) for any reasonable estimate to be made of the amount of negative charge associated with the TCNQ molecule.¹¹ The six atom ring of both TCNQ-1 and TCNQ-2 are very nearly planar, but the TCNQ unit as a whole is slightly nonplanar in both cases.

TABLE 1. Electrical and Magnetic Properties

Compound	Electrical Conductivity,	EPR, RT
	ohm ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹ , RT	g value
[BFDCo(III,III)][TCNQ] ₃	3.0 x 10 ⁻²	2.0023
[BFDCo(III,III)][TCNQ] ₂	5.0 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.0023
[BFDCo(III,III)][BA] ₃	3.0 x 10 ⁻⁴	2.0113
[BFDCo(III,III)][BA] ₂	1.0 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.0007
[BFDCo(III,III)][TBDQ] ₃	5.3 x 10 ⁻³	2.0132
		2.0058
[BFDCo(III,III)][TBDQ] ₂	2.0 x 10 ⁻⁶	-----

The packing of the ions in the crystal lattice is shown in a projection along the a-axis in Figure 1. It can be seen that the TCNQ anions form parallel stacks approximately along the b-axis at c = ± 1/2. The bicobaltocene cations are situated in between the TCNQ anion stacks, and these cations do not form parallel planar stacks. All of the TCNQ anions along a stack are parallel to each other, the dihedral angle between the least squares planes of TCNQ-1 and TCNQ-2 being only 0.2°. The stacking distances between two centrosymmetrically related TCNQ-1 anions is 3.45 Å, which is a normal distance for the van der Waals separation be-

tween such units. The stacking distance between TCNQ-1 and TCNQ-2 anions, however, is 3.15 Å, which is an extremely short interplanar separation in stacks of TCNQ anions. The modes of molecular overlap are the so-called "ring external bond" type.¹¹ There is more direct atomic overlap between two centrosymmetrically related TCNQ-1 anions than between TCNQ-1 and TCNQ-2 anions, which may explain partly the smaller stacking distance (3.15 Å) in the latter case.

Magnetic and electrical properties

The magnetic properties of all of the compounds prepared in this study were measured with a vibrating sample magnetometer. All of the compounds were diamagnetic, although some of the compounds exhibited resonances in the EPR spectra. The *g* values which were observed are given in Table 1.

Room temperature electrical conductivities of pressed pellet samples of the charge transfer compounds and simple salts with the cation 1,1'-bicobaltocene[Co(III)Co(III)]ⁿ⁺ were measured using the four point van der Pauw technique and the data are summarized in Table 1. Room temperature conductivities of the simple salts were several orders of magnitude smaller than those of the complex salts.

DISCUSSION

The reaction of 1,1'-bicobaltocene[Co(III)Co(III)](PF₆)₂ with excess [Et₃NH][TCNQ]₂ and TCNQ yields the complex salt 1,1'-bicobaltocene[Co(III)Co(III)][TCNQ]₃. The formation of this complex salt was not expected, since the reaction had been designed to form a compound with a TCNQ to cobalt ratio of two. The complex salt also results from the reaction of the mixed valence compound 1,1'-bicobaltocene[Co(III)-Co(II)](PF₆) with excess [Et₃NH][TCNQ]₂ and TCNQ. In this latter reaction apparently the "cobalt(II) center" is oxidized to cobalt(III) by the TCNQ. Attempts to prepare salts of the mixed valence cobaltocene have not been successful.

Similar to the formation of the TCNQ salt, the reaction of [BFDCo(III,III)](PF₆)₂ with excess bromanil and its sodium salt yields a complex salt with three molecules of bromanil per organocobalt moiety. The simple salt [BFDCo(III,III)][BA]₂ can be prepared by the reaction of [BFDCo(III,III)](PF₆)₂ with two moles of bromanil. The conductivity of the simple salt is about three orders of magnitude lower than that of the complex salt (Table 3). Attempts to prepare a complex salt of the mixed valence organocobalt cation [BFDCo(III,II)]⁺ were not successful, since reaction of [BFDCo(III,II)](PF₆) with a mixture of excess bromanil and sodium bromanil led to oxidation of the

cation and to the formation of $[\text{BFDCo(III,III)}][\text{BA}]_3$.

The reaction of $[\text{BFDCo(III,III)}](\text{PF}_6)_2$ with excess tetrabromodiphenoquinone and its sodium salt yields the complex salt $[\text{BFDCo(III,III)}][\text{TBDQ}]_3$. The simple salt $[\text{BFDCo(III,III)}][\text{TBDQ}]_2$, which results from the reaction of $[\text{BFDCo(III,III)}](\text{PF}_6)_2$ with two moles of NaTBDQ , is two orders of magnitude less conductive than the complex salt. Reaction of $[\text{BFDCo(III,II)}]\text{PF}_6$ with excess TBDQ and NaTBDQ did not give the complex salt of the mixed valence compound, but instead yielded $[\text{BFDCo(III,III)}][\text{TBDQ}]_3$.

The TCNQ units in $[\text{BFDCo(III,III)}][\text{TCNQ}]_3$ stack in the solid state to give an alternating chain which may be viewed as being formed by the stacking of $[\text{TCNQ}]_3^{2-}$ units. The repeat distance in the trimer is 3.15 Å and the distance between trimers is 3.45 Å. It is of value to compare the distance between the centers of TCNQ^{n-} in other chain systems. Distances are 3.091(2) Å (60 K)¹² and 3.168(2) Å (298 K)¹³ in TTF-TCNQ, and range from 3.20 Å to 3.45 Å in other uniformly spaced cation TCNQ chain compounds.¹⁴⁻¹⁸ Neutral TCNQ also crystallizes with a chain structure with an interplanar spacing of 3.45 Å.¹⁹

A vast array of alternately spaced TCNQ chains exists. The simplest of these are a series of compounds in which there is pairwise alternation of the interplanar separations. Particularly germane to this discussion are

the alternating interplanar spacings of 3.162(5) and 3.423(10) Å in $[\text{Fe}(\text{C}_5\text{H}_4)_2(\text{CH}_2)_3][\text{TCNQ}]_2$.²⁰ The cation in this latter compound is the trimethyleneferrocenium ion. It is tempting to think of these compounds with large differences in the interplanar separations as being chains of non-interacting, tightly bound dimers. However, the semiconducting nature of the electrical conductivity of these materials indicates that there is some interaction between the dimers.

Trimeric units similar to those found in [bicobaltocene][TCNQ]₃ have also been found in $\text{Cs}_2[\text{TCNQ}]_3$ ²¹ and (morpholinium)₂[TCNQ]₃²² although the alternation spacings in these two compounds are very similar, being 3.22–3.26 Å in the former and 3.24–3.25 in the latter. The alternation spacings in [bicobaltocene][TCNQ]₃ vary much more widely.

A first approximation to the bonding in the TCNQ chain involves the trimeric unit and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital on each TCNQ molecule. Assuming D_{2h} symmetry for TCNQ,²³ the LUMO is B_{2g} , and in the trimeric unit of idealized point symmetry C_{2h} the three LUMOs combine to give one bonding level, one antibonding level, and an intermediate level which is largely nonbonding. The two electrons associated with the charge transfer in the formulation $[\text{TCNQ}]_3^{2-}$ are accommodated in the bonding level, thereby accounting for the short interplanar spacing and the dia-

magnetism of the compound. This picture is incomplete since the intertrimer, interplanar TCNQ-TCNQ separation is relatively small, being 3.45 Å, and a refined description of the electronic structure must take interactions between trimers in the chain into consideration. The interactions between the trimers lead to the relatively high electrical conductivity of this semiconductor.

REFERENCES


1. D. O. Cowan, C. LeVanda, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94, 9271, (1972).
2. U. T. Mueller-Westerhoff, P. J. Eilbracht, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94, 9272, (1972).
3. L. Alcacer, R. J. H. Clark, W. E. Hatfield, K. Klabunde, J. S. Miller, G. D. Stucky, G. A. Toombs, F. Wudl, S. Yoshimura, S. In "Molecular Metals"; Hatfield, W. E., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York; 1979; pp. 517-525.
4. A. Davison, J. C. Smart, J. Organometal. Chem., 49, C43, (1973).
5. J. C. Smart, Ph. D. Dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, 1973.
6. C.-p. Lau, P. Singh, S. J. Cline, R. Seiders, M. Brookhart, W. E. Marsh, D. J. Hodgson, W. E. Hatfield, Inorg. Chem. in press.
7. L. J. van der Pauw, Philips Res. Rep., 13, 1, (1958). Philips Tech. Rev., 20, 320, (1958). See, also, D. Cahen, J. R. Hahn, J. R. Anderson, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 44, 1567, (1973).
8. P. J. Corvan, W. E. Estes, R. R. Weller, W. E. Hatfield, Inorg. Chem., 19, 1297, (1980).
9. R. R. Weller, W. E. Hatfield, J. Chem. Educ., 56, 652, (1979).

10. C. K. Johnson, ORTEP-II, "A Fortran Thermal Ellipsoid Plot Program for Crystal Structure Illustrations", ORNL-5138, National Technical Information Service, U. S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, VA 22161.
11. F. H. Herbstein, in "Perspectives in Structural Chemistry", Vol. II, pp. 166-395, J. D. Dunitz and J. A. Ibers, Editors, 1971. New York: John Wiley.
12. A. J. Schultz, G. D. Stucky, R. H. Blessing, P. Coppens, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 98, 3194, (1976).
13. T. J. Kistenmacher, T. E. Phillips, D. O. Cowan, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 30, 763, (1974).
14. K. Bechgaard, T. J. Kistenmacher, A. N. Bloch, D. O. Cowan, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B., 33, 417, (1977).
15. C. J. Fritchie, Acta Crystallogr., 20, 892, (1966).
16. H. Kobayashi, F. Marumo, Y. Saito, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 27, 373, (1971).
17. T. E. Phillips, T. J. Kistenmacher, A. N. Bloch, D. O. Cowan, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 334, (1976).
18. S. R. Wilson, P. J. Corvan, R. Seiders, D. J. Hodgson, M. Brookhart, W. E. Hatfield, J. S. Miller, A. H. Reis, P. K. Rogan, E. Gebert, A. J. Epstein, In "Molecular Metals"; Hatfield, W. E., Ed.; Plenum Press: New York, 1979; pp. 407-414.
19. R. E. Long, R. A. Sparks, K. N. Trueblood, Acta Crystallogr., 18, 932, (1965).

20. C. Willi, A. H. Reis, Jr., E. Gebert, J. S. Miller,
Inorg. Chem., 20, 313, (1981).
21. C. J. Fritchie, Jr., P. Arthur, Jr., Acta Crystallogr.,
21, 139, (1966).
22. T. Sundaresan, S. C. Wallwork, Acta Crystallogr.,
Sect. B, 28, 491, (1972).
23. S. A. Goldberg, B. Spivack, G. Stanley, R. Eisenberg,
D. M. Braitsch, J. S. Miller, M. Abkowitz, J.
Amer. Chem. Soc., 99, 110, (1977).

CAPTION FOR THE FIGURE

FIGURE 1 A view of the crystal packing along the a axis.
The origin is in the center of the figure with b
going down and c to the right.



TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 472 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	2	U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709	1
ONR Branch Office Attn: Dr. George Sandoz 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney San Diego, California 92152	1
ONR Area Office Attn: Scientific Dept. 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003	1	Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster, Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
ONR Western Regional Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko Port Hueneme, California 93401	1
ONR Eastern/Central Regional Office Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles Building 114, Section D 666 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210	1	Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Code 6100 Washington, D.C. 20390	1	Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1
The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (REAS) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1	Office of Naval Research Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser) Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360	1	Naval Ship Research and Development Center Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian, Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1
Dr. Fred Saalfeld Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 053

No.
Copies

Professor M. Newcomb
Texas A&M University
Department of Chemistry
College Station, Texas 77843

1

Professor Richard Eisenberg
Department of Chemistry
University of Rochester
Rochester, New York 14627

1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 053

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Dr. R. N. Grimes Department of Chemistry University of Virginia Charlottesville, Virginia 22901	1	Professor H. Abrahamson Department of Chemistry University of Oklahoma Norman, Oklahoma 73019	1
Dr. M. F. Hawthorne Department of Chemistry University of California Los Angeles, California 90024	1	Dr. M. H. Chisholm Department of Chemistry Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana 47401	1
Dr. D. B. Brown Department of Chemistry University of Vermont Burlington, Vermont 05401	1	Dr. B. Foxman Department of Chemistry Brandeis University Waltham, Massachusetts 02154	1
Dr. W. B. Fox Chemistry Division Naval Research Laboratory Code 6130 Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Dr. T. Marks Department of Chemistry Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1
Dr. J. Adcock Department of Chemistry University of Tennessee Knoxville, Tennessee 37916	1	Dr. G. Geoffrey Department of Chemistry Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pennsylvania 16802	1
Dr. A. Cowley Department of Chemistry University of Texas Austin, Texas 78712	1	Dr. J. Zuckerman Department of Chemistry University of Oklahoma Norman, Oklahoma 73019	1
Dr. W. Hatfield Department of Chemistry University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514	1	Professor O. T. Beachley Department of Chemistry State University of New York Buffalo, New York 14214	1
Dr. D. Seyferth Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	Professor P. S. Skell Department of Chemistry The Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pennsylvania 16802	1
Professor Ralph Rudolph Department of Chemistry University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109	1	Professor K. M. Nicholas Department of Chemistry Boston College Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02167	1
		Professor R. Neilson Department of Chemistry Texas Christian University Fort Worth, Texas 76129	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GENNo.
Copies

Dr. Rudolph J. Marcus
Office of Naval Research
Scientific Liaison Group
American Embassy
APO San Francisco 96503

1

Mr. James Kelley
DTNSRDC Code 2803
Annapolis, Maryland 21402

1

A. M. Anzalone
ARRADCOM
Plastics Technical Evaluation Center
Bldg. 3401
Dover, New Jersey 07801

ATE
LMED
-8