

AD-A104 427

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL UNIV SAN DIEGO CA SCHOOL --ETC F/G 5/11
SUPPORT SYSTEMS FOR MILITARY FAMILIES: THE MILITARY TAKES CARE --ETC(11)
AUG 81 E J HUNTER, P MCEVOY, B SELMAN N00014-79-C-0519

UNCLASSIFIED

USIU-81-08

NL

1 of 1
AL 810427

END
DATE
FILMED
10 23
DTIC

**FAMILY
RESEARCH
CENTER**

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF HUMAN BEHAVIOR

DIRECTOR: EDNA J. HUNTER

LEVEL II



AD A104427

**SUPPORT SYSTEMS FOR MILITARY FAMILIES
THE MILITARY TAKES CARE OF ITS OWN -- SOMETIMES**

EDNA J. HUNTER
PAMELA McEVoy
BERNARD SELMAN

REPORT No. TR-USIU-81-08
1981



DTIC FILE COPY

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

81 9 21 012
412487



UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY

10455 POMERADO ROAD, SAN DIEGO, CA. 92131 (714) 271-4300 EXT. 291

SUPPORT SYSTEMS FOR MILITARY FAMILIES

The Military Takes Care of Its Own -- Sometimes

EDNA J. HUNTER
PAMELA McEVOY
BERNARD SELMAN*

Accession For	
NTIS CBA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	
Dist	Special
A	

Report No. TR-USIU-81-08

1981

*Dr. Edna J. Hunter is currently Director, Family Research Center, United States International University, San Diego CA 92131; Pamela McEvoy and Bernard Selman serve as Research Assistants at the Center. This project was funded jointly by the United States Air Force Office of Scientific Research under MIRP 79-0042, dtd. 4-18-79, and the Organizational Effectiveness Research Program, Office of Naval Research (Code 452), Department of the Navy, under Work Order Request Number N00014-79-C-0519, NR 179-888. None of the opinions and assertions contained herein are to be construed as official or as reflecting the views of the Department of the Navy or the Department of the Air Force.

INTRODUCTION

Because military service personnel who experience family problems have lowered efficiency on the job and because career retention is a significant concern of the military organization, it is in the interest of the military system to view family functioning as a critical issue in day-to-day operations (Stanton, 1976). Moreover, support systems that promote optimum functioning for the military family need to be explored.

It has been found that approximately half of all personnel entering the Naval health care delivery system indicate problems that are caused or aggravated by social or emotional needs (Nice, 1979). Since the military family is the primary social support system that protects the service member from both physiological and psychological disorders, it becomes evident that the social support systems available to the military family are of critical importance to the well-being and functional capacity of the service member.

During World War II (WWII), the military community system was compared to an advanced form of welfare and social service state (Benson, 1977) because during that time the military provided structured social supports through combined efforts of federal legislation, civilian community resources, and its own resources. However, a review of the literature reveals that support systems were and still are generally lacking for military families.

A major problem for the military family is its isolation from the surrounding community. Getting communities involved with military families has been viewed as one way to remove the isolation effects experienced by families who have problems adapting to new environments. Problems caused by moving into an unfamiliar area are eased by involvement with the new neighborhood. Unfortunately, the development of structured, care-taking neighborhoods has not yet been adequately developed for the military

family (Allen, 1972; McKain, 1973).

Research subsequent to WWII indicates that community support and understanding can be implemented through media presentations aimed at changing the public's attitude to one of support, rather than rejection of the military family (Schuetz, 1945). After WWII, the government provided its veterans and their dependents with civilian social services through legislative procedures based on moral justice because returning veterans needed aid. The public was informed and suggestions were made to open up and also provide basic rights and services to military families (Chaskill, 1964).

Some researchers contend that it is the responsibility of the military organization to inform and acquaint the civilian community with the problems of military families and to assist in developing resources. Studies show, however, that military families tend to seek out other family members first, rather than seeking more formal resources within the community (Montalvo, 1976). An informal social network of families seems to provide a major supportive influence in times of stress for the military family, rather than the formal support systems offered within the larger community (Benson, 1977). Reciprocity, however, between military communities and civilian communities tends to cement relations between them (Caplan, 1975).

Research since 1975 (Hunter & Hickman, 1981) indicates that the civilian community, as well as the military family community, are valuable assets in management of family stress. The community provides the circumstances and context in which families unite in order to deal with events that cause stress (McCubbin, 1979).

An example of community cohesiveness was provided by the studies of Kibbutz life in Israel. Community efforts provided the cohesiveness, stability, and organization which allowed families to cope with stresses of war (Lifshitz, 1975; Ziv, 1975).

To date, awareness and knowledge of various services to U.S. military families have been limited (Van Vranken & Benson,

1978; Spellman, 1976). Efforts need to be taken to increase the provision of information systems which increase families' awareness of existing support systems (Benson, 1977). If adequate support systems and information resources are made available for families, satisfaction with the military lifestyle should increase, along with a concomitant increase in retention rates.

Following are descriptions of research findings on the various support services and programs implemented to help the military family.

PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES FOR MILITARY FAMILIES

In general, psychological services for active duty military families have been difficult to obtain. Treatment within the military has included conjoint family therapy to help couples cope with the military environment (Frances & Gale, 1973), programs for treatment of alcoholism (Sobie, 1979), group therapy for military personnel who abuse or neglect their children (Wallace & Dycus, 1978), outpatient psychiatric treatment for military wives during separation (Hartog, 1966; Lumsden, 1978; MacIntosh, 1968), and marital therapy after prolonged separation in order to assist spouses in clarifying changes in family roles and lifestyles (Worthington, 1977).

PREVENTATIVE PROGRAMS

Prevention or preparatory services have been advocated as the best route to go when working with military families. Family separation has been seen as the major cause of family stress, and programs have been suggested to assist wives; such as counseling services before, during and/or following separation (Benson, 1977; Van Vranken & Benson, 1977; Bey & Lange, 1974; Fagen et al., 1967; Jones, 1977). Adequate preparation of the family during these separations is significantly related to satisfactory family adjustment during that separation; and wives have expressed the need for counseling, not only before and during, but following deployments (Hunter, 1977).

SERVICES FOR MILITARY VETERAN FAMILIES

After World War I vocational training for neuropsychiatric veterans was attempted, but was found inadequate in type and quality provided. However, after WWII rehabilitation efforts were more effective, due to previous experience and improved programs (Sands, 1947). Suggestions at that time were for reception centers staffed with qualified personnel to identify those veterans under particular stress in readjusting, and to assist others in reestablishing family relationships, jobs, friendships, and contacts within the community. In addition, educational programs for civilian helping services, programs to inform and educate the public and to promote greater understanding between veterans and employers were indicated as beneficial for returning veterans and family members (Bennett, 1945; Finesinger, 1945).

More recently, the emphasis has been on rehabilitative services as prevention of more serious future problems, with suggestions from various researchers on coordination of services for the overall social and emotional health of the family system across different disciplines, such as medical, psychological, legal and administrative (McCubbin & Dahl, 1974).

A preventative intervention program to facilitate health readjustment in Vietnam Veterans during their initial reentry stage was proposed in 1976, a program built on the principles of acknowledgement of the normality of stress during the transition period and the need for gradual assimilation into the community. These specific interventions were never implemented by the military, however (Borus, 1976), although a similar program was carried out specifically for prisoner of war (POW) returnees following the Vietnam conflict (Hunter & Plag, 1973; Plag, 1974). The concept of prevention to alleviate problems for released POWs included suggestions for providing a buffer period outside the Continental United States (Segal, 1974) and actively seeking out other returnees to put into effect intervention strategies, such as (1) reinforcing the person's ability to

cope; (2) offering new perspectives on post-captivity experiences (3) alerting the ex-POWs to future stresses which they might experience; (4) mobilizing and facilitating the ex-POWs' style of problem-solving; and (5) reinforcing the positive value of the captivity experience (Ballard, 1973). Family-oriented therapists were given assistance on how to work with the special needs that the Vietnam veterans and their families presented, as well as effective treatment plans to assist disorders presented in the form of family system dysfunctions (Stanton & Figley, 1978).

Research on POW/MIA families indicated that group therapy was the most effective. This type of treatment gave opportunities to share the rage felt toward their husbands and the military (Hall & Simmons, 1973). Close alliance with wives prior to return facilitated post-reentry use of support services by the family. Hall and Malone suggested re-entry groups prior to family reunion in order to facilitate the understanding of each other's stresses (Hall & Malone, 1974).

Families of servicemen killed in action also found group participation an effective method of preventing, as well as for treating significant emotional problems. One example of a treatment program was "Operation Second Life," a group therapy experience offered for Vietnam widows by Navy psychiatrists (Duncan, 1969; Zunin, 1969; Zunin, 1974; Zunin and Barr, 1969). The essence of the "Operation Second Life" program was summed up by one widow's statement, emphasizing, "Only another widow can really understand what it is all about" (Zunin, 1969).

In addition to the "Operation Second Life" program, an Army-Navy-Marine Corps outreach support program was established in connection with the "Operation Homecoming" for Vietnam POWs (Hunter & Plag, 1973; McCubbin & Dahl, 1974; Van Vranken & Hunter, 1976), which included a family counseling program to respond to the emotional, vocational and legal needs of the POW/MIA families. The military was assisted by six professionally

trained civilian social workers hired specifically to develop and coordinate the program for the Navy and Marine Corps and seven commissioned social work officers from the Army, selected on a regional basis to complement the Navy commitment (Hunter & Plag, 1973). Suggestions were made for the program to be extended throughout the military establishment. This proposal resulted in the program eventually focusing on services to the total military population to meet the multitude of needs not met by other services or civilian support systems (Van Vranken & Hunter, 1976).

RETIREMENT AND SERVICES TO FAMILIES

Research in the area of military retirees and their families has focused on prevention of problems rather than treatment. The change from a structured military lifestyle to subsequent integration into a less structured civilian life, with no specified role, is the key issue in retirement. A concomitant need in retirement counseling is the increased interaction of the former service person with adolescent dependents and the spouse's adjustment to a new family system after retirement (Bellino, 1969; Druss, 1965; Greenberg, 1973; Giffen & McNeil, 1967). The difficulty or ease of the transition from active to retired status has been found to depend to a large extent, on the wife's readjustment (Wendt, 1978).

One study reported on a Military Mental Hygiene Clinic which was used as a center of information and counseling services for retirees (Druss, 1965). It was suggested that specialists from several professional groups could work with retirees in a program supported jointly by the military and civilian communities, where mental hygiene would play a specific, but not a definitive role (Greenberg, 1973).

CHILDRENS' SERVICES

On many military bases, the dispensary physician is the main source of help and guidance for children and their families, with only brief instances of therapy to help them deal with the stresses of mobility, reunion, cultural differences and grief reactions (Gonzalez, 1970). Residence treatment in hospitals for children became easier with the establishment of Public Law 89-614 and the Military Benefits Amendment of 1966 (Keller, 1973). This legislation alleviated the dispensary physician as sole resource. Nonetheless, difficulties remain in initiating treatment of families because of the families' fears about jeopardizing the father/husband's career (Hartog, 1966; Keller, 1973).

Services provided for military children have been sporadic. Research shows a focus primarily on dependent child care as the major concern. Corey (1971) postulated that servicemen at poverty levels who are forced to go on welfare have their problems eased by increases of family income. In order to do this, the wife must often assume working responsibility, and the system provides the child with an educational curriculum in the child care centers (Corey, 1971). A National Task Force for Child Care in the Military recently attempted to communicate the needs of young military children to both the Department of Defense and the civilian communities (Brende, 1977). This Task Force consisted of military parents, military professionals in the field of child development, and directors and staff of military child care centers from all service branches who advocated for children's rights and needs within the military. In recent years the Department of Defense has gone from not having any advocacy policy for child care programs whatsoever, to recommending that the military provide fulltime child care for personnel's children (Brende, 1977; Nida, 1980).

Wheatland (1977) discovered problems in offering child care programs on different military bases because federal regulations

have mandated that day care be self-sustaining, with parents paying for the programs. Nesenholtz (1976) found two chief reasons for difficulty in maintaining quality day care programs: first, the day-to-day transience of the military child population makes it difficult to maintain curriculum continuity; and second, the non-appropriated fund status of child care centers makes it necessary for the centers to be either self-sustaining or profit-making (Nesenholtz, 1976). Although nearly every major installation now provides a child care center, their existence has been based theoretically on the recreational premise (Brende, 1977).

Because of these problems, the Air Force recently established a regulation that requires its personnel to make adequate dependent care arrangements in advance of deployments or other emergencies to insure that their responsibilities to the military service would not be impaired by concern for dependents (Department of the Air Force, 1979). It becomes apparent that all professionals in the military community who have knowledge of operating child care centers should be encouraged to use their own resources and parents should become more active in insuring and improving the quality of already established child care centers, according to Nida (1980).

Specific areas of concern in military child care include the following:

. Overseas Schooling. In Europe, 130,000 American children are attending overcrowded U.S. dependent schools. Crowding is due to limited supplies of pupil personnel workers and supplemental materials. Major problems are outdated texts, facilities and equipment (Bower, 1967). Fortunately, teachers in military dependent schools have become, by necessity, more creative and imaginative in dealing with these shortages. although there is no significant difference in behavior and learning problems than exists in the United States schools, the community resources to assist the teachers in coping with children, especially special children, are limited. The dispensary physician, in many cases,

has been the sole non-school resource for troubled children and he was often uncomfortable in that role. Bower (1967) suggested that an Army Community Service Program be implemented on all bases to coordinate military and social agencies.

Child Abuse. Programs for prevention of child abuse and neglect in military families have generally been varied in the 1960s and early 1970s, but by the end of 1976, each service branch had issued a regulation establishing a formal program. None, however, were directly funded; rather, they were staffed almost entirely by individuals who were given child advocacy as a collateral duty (Comptroller General, 1979).

In a 1979 report to Congress, a recommendation was made for a single department-wide policy to be developed concerning the collection and use of child maltreatment information, updated educational material, and development of a centralized group to control the consistency of service programs for families. Although the Department of Defense concurred with these suggestions, concern was raised that budget constraints would inhibit the ability to fully implement them (Comptroller General, 1979). To this end, the Army Child Advocacy Program has been involved on each base in administering and directing programs in cases of suspected child abuse and neglect as well as in preventive education of the military community (Allen, 1975; Carmody, Lanier, & Bardill, 1979; Lanier, 1978). Only recently (1981) has a tri-service family advocacy directive been issued which will correct many of these problematic areas of the past.

Pastoral Services. Religion has been seen to play an important role for military families. The Chaplain as provider of support to families has assisted them in strengthening their coping responses and patterns (Bermudes, 1973; McCubbin, 1980; McCubbin & Lester, 1977). Religion was found to play an important role during the prolonged separations for wives of missing in action during the Vietnam conflict, and it was found that fewer guilt feelings were reported for those wives who found support

from religion in dealing with their aloneness (Hunter, McCubbin & Benson, 1974). Bermudes (1977) suggested that church-oriented women appeared to have more basic ego strength and rated higher in areas of reality testing, organization, continuance of routine and the ability to be alone.

In the early years of the Vietnam POW/MIA situation, a manual for chaplains was designed and developed to assist Navy chaplains in their counseling and ministry to families of prisoners of war and servicemen missing in action (Westling, 1973). Religious retreats with competent clergy and professionals were believed to be one of the valuable support systems offered by the chaplaincy for POW/MIA families in coping with their situations (Hunter, McCubbin & Metres, 1974).

NAVY EFFORTS IN SUPPORTING FAMILIES

The Navy has used various approaches to provide support and general services to its personnel and their families. These efforts have included sponsors being assigned to newly enlisted Navy personnel and to families going overseas (Dickieson, 1968), career counseling for personnel and spouses relative to re-enlistment (Grace, et al., 1976), and an Ombudsmen service for Navy wives to assist families during periods of family disruption (Howe, 1979).

In 1967 a proposal was set forth to establish a Personnel Services Department and a Personnel Services Center at all large Naval Shore activities. These centers were to correlate and coordinate all functions related to personnel services and any supporting activities. The aim of the proposal was to provide a type of "one-stop service" for the military man and his family (Stanley, 1967). Today, this is a reality with the Navy Family Centers located soon at all Navy locations throughout the world (Department of the Navy, 1978).

In addition to the Navy Family Centers, a goal of the Navy has been the "Navy Plan," designed to raise levels of awareness

regarding families and their needs within a total Navy system, and to make this resource plan accountable through the chain of command (Chandler, 1979).

Part of the new Navy support system program has been an increased use of published pamphlets to assist military personnel with a variety of situations. General information handbooks were published for Navy personnel and dependents (Department of the Navy, 1980; Navy Wifeline Association, 1978). More specific handbooks were published with information on moving and residence change (Department of Defense, 1977); how to launch an enlisted man's wives club (Navy Wifeline Association, 1978), and guidelines for the role to be played by commanding officers and executive officers' wives (Navy Wifeline Association, 1977). Pamphlets were also designed to assist POW, MIA and KIA families (Laird, 1972; Powers, 1971; 1972).

LEGAL SUPPORTS

Legal assistance to military families has increased in the 1970s with new legislation and new programs to assist military families. The Family Assistance Programs in the services were established to deal with the legal and emotional problems that surfaced with POW/MIA wives (Hunter & Plag, 1973). These problems were a result of the status of the POW/MIA wives and their families that were left with no powers of attorney to deal with legal affairs, many of which had no legal precedence (Stewart, 1975; Nelson, 1974).

New programs and new decisions have also been made or are being established in relation to women's rights within the military. Early in 1970 the courts supported the military's decision to discharge pregnant personnel, but by the late 1970s the courts began ruling in favor of aiding the pregnant military woman to continue her career (York, 1974; York, 1978). Nonetheless, inequalities still exist between male and female service personnel.

Domestic relations law was examined by Miranda (1971); it was found that unusual problems may be encountered by the service personnel involved in divorce proceedings. A program was developed which allows the military lawyer to appear in civilian courts to assist on divorce, custody and legal matters (Miranda, 1971).

POLITICAL ACTION AND THE MILITARY FAMILY

Support systems aimed at political action were formed in response to the POW/MIA problems. One such support organization was the National League of Families of Prisoners of War and Servicemen Missing-In-Action in Southeast Asia. The League was established in 1969 with the ultimate goal of achieving release of the POWs held in Southeast Asia, and obtaining an accounting of the MIAs, as well as influencing political candidates to support these actions. Secondary aims of the League were family counseling services, briefing steps, dissemination of knowledge, and emotional support to these families (Ewing, 1972; Powers, 1974). More recently, Hunter (1979) spoke at the White House Conference on Families as an advocate for higher pay for military families.

VOLUNTEERISM

The studies of volunteers as family supports have primarily been conducted in Israel and have used both professionals and non-professionals (Halpern, 1975; Levy, 1975; Sanua, 1974; Sternberg, 1975; Teichman, Spiegel, & Teichman, 1975). One author indicated that volunteering in times of community crisis is a natural phenomenon and there are mental health benefits for both helpers and the helped (Halpern, 1974). Professionally trained volunteers were usually able to meet the needs of the bereaved families better than the untrained volunteers (Sternberg, 1975; Levy, 1975).

Both the Navy Relief organization and the Army Community Service are primarily staffed by volunteers. The Air Force volunteers program to support family needs has proven ineffective, and the number of volunteers has declined in recent years (Department of the Air Force, 1980), suggesting the need for professional people rather than relying on volunteers. Changing family roles, increased numbers of women in the workworld, and severe inflation have made military-sponsored and funded supports programs more essential (Hunter, 1977).

RESEARCH ON MILITARY SUPPORT SYSTEMS

Research findings used to supply indices of the awareness and perception of military wives of the availability of support systems and the wives' inclinations to use such services can be of value to governmental agencies. Generally, it has been found that "more services" may not solve the problems encountered; more effective services are necessary. These support services cannot be planned and evaluated without further research (Benson, 1977).

Efforts need to be taken to provide information systems which will increase awareness of available services to military families (Van Vranken & Benson, 1978; Benson, 1977; Spellman, 1976). The manner in which this information is made available to the families may be a determining factor in their utilization of it. Further research, for example, into communications media for presenting the services in a manner that does not intimidate or threaten the families appears to be a necessary prelude to providing them with those services.

Research findings of available resources in the outside community could be valuable information for new military families or for families new to that particular community. Decker (1978) found that community resources were not as well known as military resources. Findings used as information to government agencies

as well as to military families could bridge the gap between military and civilian communities and create a "cohesive community." A cohesive community is one in which the adverse position that has been created by the isolation and different life styles demanded of military families would be eliminated by cooperative functioning. Possible side effects of such research could include a decrease in the demand for health care services, incorporation of the military within the communities, incorporation of the communities within the military, and, in general, the creation of a new climate of cohesive cooperation.

Hunter (1977) showed that military families, instead of considering research as an "invasion of privacy," welcomed the opportunity to speak up on what was happening to them. They perceived this interest in their lives and problems as a caring and nurturing function which they were surprised to find within the military structure, a structure which had ignored the needs of families in the past (Hunter, 1977).

According to Nice (1978), research findings have been utilized extensively in the past to document the POW experiences, thereby establishing potential links with other unique crisis situations of military families (Nice, 1978). Research during WWII showed how the importance of intimate ties with the father helped children adjust more easily to separation (Igel, 1945). Spouse separations were made easier by continued letter writing, exchange of photos and descriptions of the routines and feelings each spouse had of supporting each other (Hill, 1945). The role of the family and the effects of family cohesiveness in treating drug-addicted Vietnam returnees were an aid in the readjustment of military personnel (Stanton, 1977).

Previous research findings have helped to create a systematic framework for family research which has been the basis for designing and implementing effective family-related policies and programs (Croan, 1980). Interest by the military system has increased in the areas of family research and services as exemplified by the recent establishment of the Navy Family Program in Washington,

D.C. which is currently implementing, coordinating and disseminating information and services to families (Wakefield & Wakefield, 1979). Even more recently (1980, 1981), the Army and Air Force have both sponsored conferences which focused specifically on the needs of its family members.

REFERENCES

- ALLEN, M. Child maltreatment in military communities. Juvenile Justice, 1975, 26, 11-20.
- ALLEN, H. Schilling Manor: a survey of a military community of father absent families. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Catholic University of America, 1972.
- BAKER, S., COVE, L., FAGEN, S., FISCHER, E., & JANDA, E. Impact of father absence: III. Problems of family reintegration following prolonged father absence. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 1968, 38, 347 (Abstract).
- BALLARD, P.A. POW problems: a psychosocial viewpoint. Paper presented at American Psychiatric Association Meeting, Honolulu, HA, August 1973.
- BELLINO, R. Psychosomatic problems of military retirement. Psychosomatics, 1969, 10, 318-321.
- BENNETT, A.E. War Nerves, Diseases of the Nervous System, 1945, VI, 43-48.
- BENSON, D. We need to know more. Unpublished manuscript, San Diego CA: Naval Health Research Center, 1977.
- BENSON, D., & VAN VRANKEN, E. Family responses to a study of available support systems during separation (Report No. 77-30), San Diego, CA: Family Studies Branch, Naval Health Research Center, September 1977.
- BENSON, E., & VAN VRANKEN, E. Family awareness and perceived helpfulness of community supports. Unpublished report, San Diego CA: Family Studies Branch, Naval Health Research Center, 1977.
- BERMUDES, R.W. A ministry to the repeatedly grief-stricken, Journal of Pastoral Care, 1973, 27, 218-228.
- BERMUDES, R.W. Separation: its effects and adaptations, The Chaplain, 1977, 34, (1), 18-34.
- BEY, D., & LANGE, J. Waiting wives: women under stress, American Journal of Psychiatry, 1974, 131, 283-286.
- BORUS, J.F. The reentry transition of the Vietnam veteran. In N. Goldman and D. Segal (eds.), The social psychology of military service, Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications, 1976.
- BOWER, E. American children and families in overseas communities, American Journal of Orthopsychiatry, 1967, 37, 787-796.
- BRANHAM, JR., M.C. & PREWITT, C.B. An assessment of family life in an Air Force environment. Unpublished report, USAF Chaplain Resource Board, Department of the Air Force, Chaplain's Service (date unknown).
- BRENDE, T. Military day-care: basic human and family need in relation to advocacy and services provided. Unpublished manuscript, 1977.

CAPLAN, G. Organization of support systems for civilian populations. Paper presented at the International Conference on Psychological Stress and Adjustment in Time of War and Peace, Tel Aviv, Israel, January 1975.

CARMODY, F.J., LANIER, JR., D., & BARDILL, D.R. Prevention of child abuse and neglect in military families. Children Today, 1979, March-April, 16, 21-23; 35.

CHAMBLISS, C.R. Ministry to the Black military family. Paper presented at the meeting of the Annual Black Chaplains' Workshop, Atlanta GA., April, 1978.

CHANDLER, B. Navy family efforts: an overall framework. Unpublished paper, Navy Family Program, CNO, Washington DC, 1979.

CHASKEL, R. Effect of mobility on family life. Social Work, 1964, 9, 83-91.

COMPTROLLER GENERAL. Report to the Congress of the United States: military child advocacy programs -- victims of neglect. U.S. General Accounting Office, HRD-79-75, May 23, 1979.

COREY, G. M. Military day care: problems and perspectives. Document Resume, Ed.005 668, P5005 155, 1-8, 1971.

CROAN, G.M. Roadmap for Navy family research. Arlington, VA. Office of Naval Research, May, 1980.

CRONKITE-JOHNSON, L. Follow-up services to families of RPWs and of the MIAs: an overview and assessment. In R.E. Spaulding (Ed.), Proceedings of the Third annual Joint Medical Meeting Concerning POW/MIA Matters. San Diego, CA: Naval Health Research Center, November, 1975.

DECKER, K.B. Coping with sea duty: problems encountered and resources utilized during periods of family separation. In E. Hunter and D. Nice (Eds.), Military families: adaptation to change. New York: Praeger Publishers, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE. Military Personnel: Dependent Care Responsibilities. (AF Regulation 35-59). Washington, D.C.: Headquarters, U.S. Air Force, August 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE. AF Conference on Families, Washington DC, Sept 1980.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE. It's your move (Stock No. 008-047-00210-6). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1977.

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY. Dependents Information Handbook. San Diego, CA: Commander, Naval Surface Force, U.S. Pacific Fleet, April 1980.

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY. Final report of the Navy-wide Family Awareness Conference. OPNAV (Code 452), held at Norfolk VA, 7-9 November, 1979.

DEVEAU, H. & LANGEVIN, J.P. Study of compassionate status for the years 1970, 1971 and 1972. In F.C. Pinch and B.A. Fournier (Eds.), Proceedings of the Regional Social Work Conference on the Canadian Military Family. Trenton, Canada: Canadian Forces Base, January 1977.

DICKIESON, R.W. Retention of enlisted men, U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings, May 1968, 140-142.

DRUSS, R. Problems associated with retirement from military service, Military Medicine, 1965, 130, 383-385.

DUNCAN, A. Vietnam war widows learn to live and love again, Family Weekly, November 1969, 6-7.

DUVALL, E. Loneliness and the serviceman's wife, Marriage and Family Living, 1945, 7, 77-81.

ELOUL, J. Basic Issues in group work with war widows. Paper presented at the First International Conference on Psychological Stress and Adjustment in Time of War and Peace, Tel Aviv, Israel, January 1975.

EWING, L. POW/MIA families -- Where are they going? Family, July 5, 1972.

FAGEN, S., JANDA, E., BAKER, S., FISCHER, E., & COVE, L. Impact of father absence in military families: II. Factors relating to success of coping with crisis (Technical Report). Washington, D.C.: Walter Reed Medical Center, 1967.

FINESINGER, J.E. & LINDEMANN, E. A discussion of the psychiatric aspects of rehabilitation, Diseases of the Nervous System, 1945, 6(5), 149-155.

FRANCES, A. & GALE, L. Family structure and treatment in the military, Family Process, 1973, 12, 171-178.

GIFFEN, M. & McNEIL, J. Effect of military retirement on dependents, Archives of General Psychiatry, 1967, 17, 717-722.

GONZALEZ, V. Psychiatry and the Army brat. Springfield, IL: C.C. Thomas, 1970.

GRACE, G.L., HOLOTER, H.A., PROVENZANO, R.J., COPES, J., & STEINER, M.B. Navy career counseling research: phase 3 -- summary and recommendations. Report No. TM-5031/008/00. Arlington, VA: Office of Naval Research, September 1976.

GREENBERG, H. Symptomatology in wives of military retirees, American Journal of Psychiatry, 1973, 123, 487-490.

GRIFFITH, C. The psychological adjustments of returned servicemen and their families, Marriage and Family Living, 1944, 6, 65-67:87.

HALL, R. & MALONE, P. Psychiatric residuals of prolonged captivity. In H. McCubbin, B. Dahl, P. Metres, Jr., E. Hunter, & J. Plag (Eds.), Family separation and reunion, Cat. No. D-206.21:74-70. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1974.

HALL, R. & Simmons, W. The POW wife - a psychiatric appraisal, Archives of General Psychiatry, 1973, 29, 690-694.

HALPERN, E. Volunteering as a "natural phenomena": an integration within Caplan's theory of support systems. Paper presented at the International Conference on Psychological Stress and Adjustment in Time of War and Peace, Tel Aviv, Israel, January 1975.

HARRIS, I.A. Counseling the serviceman and his family. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall, 1964.

HARTOG, J. Group therapy with psychotic and borderline military wives, American Journal of Psychiatry, 1966, 122, 1125-1131.

HILL, R. The returning father and his family. Marriage and Family Living, 1945, 7, 31-34.

HOWE, H.M. The Ombudsman: Who needs her? U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings, February 1979, 104-107.

HUNTER, E.J. (Ed.) Changing families in a changing military system (DTIC No. A067-628). San Diego CA: Family Studies Branch, Naval Health Research Center, 1977.

HUNTER, E.J. Conference on Military Family Research: Current Trends and Directions, U.S. Navy Medicine, 1978, 69, 10-13.

HUNTER, E.J. Familypower: an issue in military manpower management. In the Proceedings of the Joint IUS-Air University Symposium on "Changing Manpower Realities: Strategies and Organizational Implications in the 1980s." Montgomery, AL: Maxwell Air Force Base, June 1979. To be published by Westview Press, in press.

HUNTER, E.J. (Ed.) Prolonged separation: the prisoner of war and his family (DTIC No. A051-325). San Diego, CA: Naval Health Research Center, 1977.

HUNTER, E.J. Testimony: White House Conference on the Family, Denver CO, October 1979.

HUNTER, E.J. & DUNNING, I. A pilot consultant-coordinator program during Operation Homecoming. Unpublished Report, Center for Prisoner of War Studies, Naval Health Research Center, San Diego CA, 1973.

HUNTER, E.J. & HICKMAN, R.A. Orientation to the military family: factors and environmental considerations. Paper presented at the Center for Women Policy Studies' Conference on Domestic Violence in the Military, Savannah GA, 10-13 March 1981.

HUNTER, E.J., McCUBBIN, H., & BENSON, D. Differential viewpoints: the MIA wife vs. the MIA mother. In H. McCubbin, B. Dahl, P. Metres, Jr., E. Hunter & J. Plag (Eds.), Family separation and reunion. Cat. No. D-206.21:74-70. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1974.

HUNTER, E.J., McCUBBIN, H., & METRES, JR., P. Religion and the POW/MIA wife. In H. McCubbin et al. (Eds.), Family separation and reunion, Cat. No. D-206.21: 74-70. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1974.

HUNTER, E.J. & PLAG, J. An assessment of the needs of POW/MIA wives residing in the San Diego metropolitan area: a proposal for the establishment of family services. Technical Report No. 73-39. San Diego, CA: Navy Medical Neuropsychiatric Research Unit, Naval Health Research Center, 1973.

IGEL, A. The effect of war separation on father-child relations, The Family Journal of Social Case Work, 1945, 26, 3-9.

JACOBS, K.B. Information on family services. In F. C. Pinch and B.A. Fournier (Eds.), Proceedings of the Regional Social Work Conference on the Canadian Military Family. Trenton, Ontario: Canadian Forces Base, January 1977.

JONES, M. When men come home from the sea. Los Angeles Times, December 4, 1977.

KELLER, R. Issues in the residential treatment of children of military personnel, Child Welfare, 1973, 52(1), 26-32.

LAIRD, M.R. Information pamphlets for families of U.S. servicemen who are prisoners of war or are missing in action in Southeast Asia. Washington, D.C.: Department of Defense, 1972.

LANDRY, G.D. Spiritual dimensions of deployment, Newsgram, October/November 1976, 1-3.

LANIER, D. Child abuse and neglect among military families. In E. Hunter and D. Nice (Eds.), Children of military families: a part and yet apart, Cat. No. 008-040-00181-4, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1978.

LEVY, S. Social work self-involvement in a disaster situation. Paper presented at the First International Conference on Psychological Stress and Adjustment in time of War and Peace, Tel Aviv, Israel, January, 1975.

LIFSCHITZ, M. Bereaved children: the effect of mother's perception and social system organization on their adjustment. Paper presented at the First International Conference on Psychological Stress and Adjustment in Time of War and Peace, Tel Aviv, Israel, January, 1975.

LUMSDEN, M. (Ed.) Therapizing the military family, Human Behavior, August 1978, 60.

MacINTOSH, H. Separation problems in military wives, American Journal of Psychiatry, 1968, 125, 260-5.

McCUBBIN, H.I. Integrating coping behavior in family stress theory, Journal of Marriage and the Family, 1979, 41, 237-244.

McCUBBIN, H.I. Family adaptability: coping with separation and reunion, Military Chaplains Review, Department of the Army Pamphlet 165-124, Winter 1980, 49-58.

McCUBBIN, H.I. & DAHL, B.B. Social and mental health services to families of servicemen missing in action or returned prisoners of war. In H.I. McCubbin, et al. (Eds.), Family separation and reunion, Cat. No. D-206.21:74-70. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1974.

McCUBBIN, H.I. & LESTER, G.R. Family adaptability: coping behaviors in the management of the dual stressors of family separation and reunions. Paper presented at the Family Research Conference, San Diego CA, 1 September 1977.

McKAIN, J. Relocation in the military: alienation and family problems, Journal of Marriage and the Family, 1973, 35, 205-9.

MIRANDA, R.G. The soldier and divorce. Unpublished thesis, The Judge Advocate General's School, U.S. Army, 1971.

MONTALVO, F.F. Family separation in the Army: a study of the problems encountered and the caretaking resources used by career Army families undergoing military separation. In McCubbin, B. Dahl, & E. Hunter (Eds.), Families in the military system. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications, 1976.

MYLES, D. A survey of Army Community Services Centers: welfare problems, services, personnel and resources. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Catholic University of America, 1970.

NAVY WIFELINE ASSOCIATION. Guidelines for the wives of commanding and executive officers. (Guideline Series NWL-P-5). Washington, D.C.: author, 1977.

NAVY WIFELINE ASSOCIATION. Launching an Enlisted Wives' Club. (Guideline Series PWL-P-6). Washington, D.C.: author, 1978.

NAVY WIFELINE ASSOCIATION. Naval Social Customs for Naval Officers' Wives. Washington, D.C.: author, 1976.

NAVY WIFELINE ASSOCIATION. Sea legs: a handbook for the Navy family, Washington, D.C.: author, 1978.

NELSON, R. The legal plight of the POW/MIA family. In H. McCubbin et al. (Eds.), Family separation and reunion, Cat. No. D-206.21: 74-70. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1974.

NESENHOLTZ, D. Military daycare - A nationwide survey, Young Children, 1976, 127-132

NICE, D.S. The military family as a social support: implications for biosocial health. Paper presented at the joint Inter-university Seminar-Air University Symposium on "Changing Military Manpower Realities: Strategic and Organizational Implications in the 1980s" Montgomery AL: Maxwell AFB, 3-5 June 1979.

NICE, D.S. An overview of the Family Studies Program at the Center for Prisoner of War Studies. Paper presented at the Fifth Annual Joint Medical Meeting Concerning POW/MIA Matters, Brooks Air Force Base, San Antonio TX, September 1978.

NICHOLS, R. The support of Army families during the absence of their sponsors. U.S. Army War College, Carlisle Barracks, PA, 1976.

NIDA, P.D. What you should know about child care centers. Ladycom, April 1980, 18; 22; 42-44; 46.

PINCH, F.C., & FOURNIER, B.A. (Eds.). Proceedings of the Regional Social Work Conference on the Canadian Military Family. Trenton, Ontario: Canadian Forces Base, January 1977.

POWERS, I. Handbook for next of kin of Army prisoners of war/missing personnel (Pamphlet No. 608-34). Washington, D.C.: Adjutant General's Office, Department of the Army, January 1972.

POWERS, I. National League of Families and the development of family services. In H. McCubbin et al. (Eds.), Family separation and reunion, Cat. No. D-206.21:74-70. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1974.

POWERS, I. Survivor Assistant Officer and Family Services and Assistance Officer Handbook (Pamphlet No. 608-33). Washington, D.C.: Adjutant General's Office, Department of the Army, August 1971.

ROGERS, C. Wartime issues in family counseling, Journal of Marriage and Family, 1944, 68-69; 84.

SANDS, I.J. Principles of rehabilitation of neuropsychiatric veterans, Diseases of the Nervous System, 1947, 3(9), 275-282.

SANUA, V. The psychological effects of the Yom Kippur War. Unpublished manuscript, Cit College, The City University of New York, 1974.

SCHUETZ, A. The homcomer, American Journal of Sociology, 1945, 50, 369-376.

SEGAL, J. Therapeutic considerations in planning the return of American POWs to Continental United States, Military Medicine, 1973, 138, 73-7.

SOBIE, J. The military wife and alcoholism, Ladycom, 1979, 11, 24; 39; 44-6; 55; 62.

SPAULDING, F. The social welfare needs of the Armed Forces, Social Service Review, 1943, 17(1), 15-22.

SPELLMAN, S. Utilization of problem-solving resources among military families. In H.I. McCubbin, B. Dahl, & E. Hunter (Eds.), Families in the military system. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage Publications, 1976.

STANLEY, E.D. One stop for Susie, U.S. Naval Institute Proceedings, July 1967, 55-9.

STANTON, M.D. Family treatment of the drug addicted veteran. In E. Hunter (Ed.), Changing families in a changing military system. San Diego, CA: Naval Health Research Center, 1977.

STERNBERG, T. Work of volunteers with bereaved families of the Yom Kippur War. Paper presented at the First International Conference on Psychological Stress and Adjustment in Time of War and Peace, Tel Aviv, Israel, January 1975.

STEWART, W.J. The plight of the POW/MIA and attendant legal problems, Creighton Law Review, 1975, 8, 295-313.

TEICHMAN, Y., SPIEGEL, Y., & TEICHMAN, M. Volunteers report about their work with families of servicemen missing in action. Paper presented at the First International Conference on Psychological Stress and Adjustment in Time of War and Peace, Tel Aviv, Israel, January 1975.

THOMAS, D.V. The veteran as seen in a private family agency, The Family, 1945, 26(6), 203-8.

VAN VRANKEN, E. & BENSON, D. Family awareness and perceived helpfulness of community supports. In E.J. Hunter and D. Nice (Eds.), Military families: adaptation to change. New York: Praeger Publishers, 1978.

VAN VRANKEN, E. AND HUNTER, E. J. The Navy Social Work Program: three years later In Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Department of Defense Joint Medical Meeting Concerning POW/MIA Matters, San Antonio, TX, November 1976.

WAKEFIELD, R. AND WAKEFIELD, D. (Eds.). Navy and the family, The American Family, 1979, II, 9-11.

WALLACE, A. AND DYCUS, J. Group treatment: an auxiliary to emergency foster care service in a military community. In E. Hunter and D. Nice (Eds.), Children of military families: a part and yet apart., Cat. No. 008-040-00181-4, Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1978.

WENDT, J. Getting out: what every wife should know about retirement, The Time Magazine, February 6, 1978, 5-8; 10.

WESTLING, JR., L. Ministry to prisoner of war returnees and their families in the long-term readjustment period: a manual for Navy chaplains. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, San Francisco Theological Seminary, 1973.

WHEATLAND, B. Community services, the military family, and child development. In E.J. Hunter and D. Nice (Eds.), Children of military families: a part and yet apart, Cat. No. 008-040-00181-4. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1978.

WICKENDEN, E. Social readjustment of returning veterans, Diseases of the Nervous System, 1945, VI(3), 83-8.

WORTHINGTON, E.R. Post-separation adjustment and Women's Liberation. In E. J. Hunter (Ed.), Changing families in a changing military system. San Diego, CA: Naval Health Research Center, 1977.

YORK, N. Constitutional limitations of the discharge of servicewomen for pregnancy or parental status. Unpublished manuscript, 1974.

ZIV, A. Empirical findings on children's reactions to war stress. Paper presented at the First International Conference on Psychological Stress and Adjustment in Time of War and Peace, Tel Aviv, Israel, January 1975.

ZUNIN, L. A program for the Vietnam widow: Operation Second Life. In H. McCubbin, et al. (Eds.), Family separation and reunion, Cat. No. D-206.21:74-70. Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1974.

ZUNIN, L. Why did our husbands have to die? Coronet, October 1969, 32-39.

ZUNIN, L. & Barr, N. Therapy program aids servicemen's widows, U. S. Medicine, June 15, 1969.

DISTRIBUTION

Defense Documentation Center
ATTN: DDC-TC
Accessions Division
Cameron Station
Alexandria VA 22314

Library of Congress
Science and Technology Division
Washington DC 20540

Chief of Naval Research
Office of Naval Research
Code 452
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington VA 22217

Commanding Officer
Naval Research Laboratory
Code 2627
Washington DC 20375

Psychologist
ONR Branch Office
1030 E. Green Street
Pasadena CA 91106

Psychologist
ONR Branch Office
536 S. Clark Street
Chicago IL 60605

Psychologist
ONR Branch Office
Bldg. 114, Section D.
666 Summer Street
Boston MA 02210

Office of Naval Research
Director, Technology Programs
Code 200
800 N. Quincy Street
Arlington VA 2217

Deputy Chief of Naval Operations
(Manpower, Personnel, and Training)
Scientific Advisor to DCNO (Op-01T)
2705 Arlington Annex
Washington DC 20350

Deputy Chief of Naval Operations
(Manpower, Personnel, and Training)
Head, Research, Development, and
Studies Branch (Op-102)
1812 Arlington Annex
Washington DC 20350

Deputy Chief of Naval Operations
(Manpower, Personnel, and Training)
Director, Human Resource Management
Plans and Policy Branch (Op-150)
Department of the Navy
Washington DC 20350

Naval Material Command
Management Training Center
NMAT 09M32
Jefferson Plaza, Bldg #2, Rm 150
1421 Jefferson Davis Highway
Arlington VA 20360

Commanding Officer
Naval Personnel R&D Center
San Diego CA 92152

Navy Personnel R&D Center
Washington Liaison Office
Building 200, 2N
Washington Navy Yard
Washington DC 20374

Commanding Officer
Naval Health Research Center
San Diego CA 92138

Commanding Officer
Naval Submarine Medical Research
Laboratory
Naval Submarine Base
New London, Box 900
Groton CT 06340

Director, Medical Service Corps
Bureau of Medicine and Surgery
Code 23
Department of the Navy
Washington DC 20372

(Distribution, p. 2)

Naval Aerospace Medical
Research Laboratory
Naval Air Station
Pensacola FL 32508

CDR Robert Kennedy
Officer in Charge
Naval Aerospace Medical Research
Laboratory Detachment
Box 2940, Michoud Station
New Orleans LA 70129

National Naval Medical Center
Psychology Department
Bethesda MD 20014

Commanding Officer
Navy Medical R&D Command
Bethesda MD 20014

Superintendent
Naval Postgraduate School
Code 1424
Monterey CA 93940

Commanding Officer
Human Resource Management Center
1300 Wilson Boulevard
Arlington VA 22209

Naval Military Personnel Command
HRM Department (NMPC-6)
Washington DC 20350

Naval Training Analysis
and Evaluation Group
Orlando FL 32813

Chief of Naval Education
and Training (N-5)
ACOS Research and Program
Development
Naval Air Station
Pensacola FL 32508

Naval War College
Management Department
Newport RI 02940

Chief of Naval Technical Training
ATTN: Dr. Norman Kerr, Code (0161)
NAS Memphis (75)
Millington TN 38054

Navy Recruiting Command
Head, Research and Analysis Branch
Code 434, Room 8001
801 North Randolph Street
Arlington VA 22203

Commandant of the Marine Corps
Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps
Code MPI-20
Washington DC 20380

National Institute of Mental Health
Minority Group Mental Health Programs
Room 7 - 102
5600 Fishers Lane
Rockville MD 20852

Office of Personnel Management
Organizational Psychology Branch
1900 E Street NW
Washington DC 20415

Chief, Psychological Research Branch
ATTN: Mr. Richard Lanterman
U.S. Coast Guard (G-P-1/2/62)
Washington DC 20590

Technical Director
Army Research Institute
5001 Eisenhower Avenue
Alexandria VA 22333

Air University Library/LSE 76-443
Maxwell AFB AL 36112

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
Air War College/EDRL
Attn: Lt Col James D. Young
Maxwell AFB AL 36112

AFOSR/NL (Dr. Fregly)
Building 410
Bolling AFB
Washington DC 20332

(Distribution, p. 3)

Technical Director
AFHRL/ORS
Brooks AFB
San Antonio TX 78235

Dr. Clayton P. Alderfer
School of Organization
and Management
Yale University
New Haven CT 06520

Dr. Stuart W. Cook
University of Colorado
Institute of Behavioral Science
Boulder CO 80309

Westinghouse National Issues
Center
Suite 1111
2341 Jefferson Davis Highway
Arlington VA 22202

Dr. Larry Cummings
University of Wisconsin-Madison
Graduate School of Business
Center for the Study of
Organizational Performance
1155 Observatory Drive
Madison WI 53706

Dr. Kathleen P. Durning
Navy Personnel Research and
Development Center
San Diego CA 92152

Dr. John P. French, Jr.
University of Michigan
Institute for Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor MI 48106

Dr. Paul S. Goodman
Graduate School of Industrial
Administration
Carnegie-Mellon University
Pittsburgh PA 15213

Dr. J. Richard Hackman
School of Organization
and Management
Yale University
56 Hillhouse Avenue
New Haven CT 06520

Dr. Asa G. Hilliard, Jr.
The Urban Institute for Human Services,
Inc.
P.O. Box 15068
San Francisco CA 94115

Mr. Lonnie Krogstad
U.S. Army Soldier Support Center
ATTN: CD-HDD
Ft. Benjamin Harrison IN 46216

Dr. Marilyn Walsh
Battelle Human Affairs
Research Centers
P.O. Box 5395
4000 N.E., 41st Street
Seattle WA 98105

Dr. Edwin A. Locke
University of Maryland
College of Business and Management
and Department of Psychology
College Park MD 20742

Dr. D. S. Nice
Naval Health Research Center
P. O. Box 85122
San Diego CA 92138

Dr. Ann O'Keefe
Navy Family Program
CNO (Code OP-152)
Department of the Navy
Washington DC 20350

Dr. Dennis Orthner
Family Resesarch & Analysis, Inc.
3700 Chadford Place
Greensboro NC 27410

Dr. Thomas M. Ostrom
The Ohio State University
Department of Psychology
116E Stadium
404C West 17th Avenue
Columbus OH 43210

Dr. George E. Rowland
Temple University, The Merit Center
Ritter Annex, 9th Floor
College of Education
Philadelphia PA 19122

(Distribution, p. 4)

Dr. Irwin G. Sarason
University of Washington
Department of Psychology
Seattle WA 98195

Dr. Benjamin Schneider
Michigan State University
East Lansing MI 48824

Dr. Saul B. Sells
Texas Christian University
Institute of Behavioral Research
Drawer C
Fort Worth TX 76129

Dr. H. Wallace Sinaiko
Program Director, Manpower Research
and Advisory Services
Smithsonian Institution
801 N. Pitt Street, Suite 120
Alexandria VA 22314

Dr. Richard Steers
Graduate School of Management
and Business
University of Oregon
Eugene OR 97403

Dr. James R. Terborg
University of Houston
Department of Psychology
Houston TX 77004

Dr. Philip G. Zimbardo
Stanford University
Department of Psychology
Stanford CA 94305

Military Family Resource Center
Suite 900, 6501 Loisdale Road
Springfield VA 22150

COL John W. Williams, USAF
DFBL/USAF
CO 80840

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER USIU-81-08	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) SUPPORT SYSTEMS FOR MILITARY FAMILIES: The Military Takes Care of Its Own -- Sometimes,		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim
7. AUTHOR(s) EDNA J. HUNTER PAMELA McEVROY BERNARD SELMAN		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS FAMILY RESEARCH CENTER UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY 10455 Pomerado Road, San Diego CA 92131		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) MIRP 79-0042 N00014-79-C-0519
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS RESEARCH PROGRAM OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH (CODE 452) Arlington VA 22217		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR 179-888
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE 20 August 1981
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 25
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) SECRET
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES This report is the eighth in a series of reports which review the status of military family literature, and are based upon <u>The Literature on Military Families, 1980: An Annotated Bibliography</u> , USAFA-1R-80-11, DTIC#AD-A093-811, edited by E.J. Hunter, D. Den Dulk, & J.W. Williams, 1980.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) military families veterans services support systems family programs preventative services		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Since the military family is the primary social support system that protects the service member from both physiological and psychological disorders, it becomes evident that the social support systems available to the military family are of critical importance to the well-being and functional capacity of the service member. Nonetheless, a review of the literature reveals that adequate support systems for military families have been generally lacking in past years. However, in recent years, interest by the military system has increased in the areas of both family research and services for families.		

END

DATE
FILMED

10-81

DTIC