

AD-A104 640


HORNER AND SHIFRIN INC ST LOUIS MO
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. RUSTIC HILLS LAKE DAM (H030467), H--ETC(U)
SEP 78 DACW43-78-C-0143

F/G 13/13

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1 of 1
AD A
10-21-81



END
DATE
FILMED
10-81
DTIC

LEVEL *ii*

①

fw

MISSISSIPPI - KASKASKIA - ST. LOUIS BASIN

AD A 1 0 4 6 4 0

**RUSTIC HILLS LAKE DAM
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI
MO 30467**

DTIC
SEP 28 1981
H

**PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM**



PREPARED BY: U. S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, ST. LOUIS

FOR: STATE OF MISSOURI.

81 9 28 085

SEPTEMBER 1978

FILE COPY


DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	AD-A104640	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	
Phase I Dam Inspection Report National Dam Safety Program Rustic Hills Lake Dam (MO 30467) Jefferson County, Missouri	Final Report	
7. AUTHOR(s)	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
Horner & Shifrin, Inc.		
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis Dam Inventory and Inspection Section, LMSED-PD 210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Louis, Mo. 63101	DACW43-78-C-0143	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	
U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis Dam Inventory and Inspection Section, LMSED-PD 210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Louis, Mo. 63101		
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	12. REPORT DATE	
	September 1978	
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES	
	Approximately 30	
	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)	
	UNCLASSIFIED	
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		
Approved for release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
Dam Safety, Lake, Dam Inspection, Private Dams		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)		
This report was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report assesses the general condition of the dam with respect to safety, based on available data and on visual inspection, to determine if the dam poses hazards to human life or property.		

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered) 2



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
 ST. LOUIS DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
 210 NORTH 12TH STREET
 ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63101

(1)

IN REPLY REFER TO

SUBJECT: Rustic Hills Dam Phase I Inspection Report

This report presents the results of field inspection and evaluation of the Rustic Hills Dam. It was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams.

SUBMITTED BY: SIGNED 29 SEP 1978
 Chief, Engineering Division Date

APPROVED BY: SIGNED 29 SEP 1978
 Colonel, CE, District Engineer Date

DTIC
 ELECTRONIC
 SEP 29 1978
 H

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Avail and/or	
Dist	101
A	



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
ST. LOUIS DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
210 NORTH 12TH STREET
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63101

IN REPLY REFER TO

SUBJECT: Rustic Hills Lake Dam Phase I Inspection Report

This report presents the results of field inspection and evaluation of the Rustic Hills Lake Dam.

It was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams.

This dam has been classified as unsafe, non-emergency by the St. Louis District as a result of the application of the following criteria:

- 1) Spillway will not pass 50 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood.
- 2) Overtopping could result in dam failure.
- 3) Dam failure significantly increases the hazard to loss of life downstream.

SUBMITTED BY: _____
Chief, Engineering Division

Date

APPROVED BY: _____
Colonel, CE, District Engineer

Date

RUSTIC HILLS LAKE DAM
JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI
MISSOURI INVENTORY NO. 30467

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Rustic Hills Lake Dam ~~Missouri Inventory Number~~
(MO#30467), Mississippi-Kaskaskia-St. Louis
Basin, Jefferson County, Missouri. Phase
I Inspection Report.

7 - Final Report

PREPARED BY

HORNER & SHIFRIN, INC.
5200 OAKLAND AVENUE
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63110

15 - 2A 114 - 11

FOR:

U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, ST. LOUIS
CORPS OF ENGINEERS

11 / ~~SEPTEMBER~~ 1978

12/31

~~11/11/78~~

41552

PHASE 'I' REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dam: Rustic Hills Lake Dam
State Located: Missouri
County Located: Jefferson
Stream: Tributary La Barque Creek
Date of Inspection: 5 July 1978

The Rustic Hills Lake Dam was visually inspected by engineering personnel of the office of Horner & Shifrin, Inc., Consulting Engineers, St. Louis, Missouri. The purpose of the inspection was to assess the general condition of the dam with respect to safety and, based upon this inspection and available data, determine if the dam poses a hazard to human life or property.

Based on a visual inspection, the present general condition of the dam and spillway is considered satisfactory. The following deficiencies were noticed during the inspection and are considered to have an adverse effect on the overall safety and future operation of the dam and spillway:

1. A substantial cover of small trees and brush exist on the downstream slope of the dam. The tree roots may, in time, provide a pathway for lake seepage. Some seepage, as evidenced by standing water and soft, wet ground with cattails, was noticed at the toe of the downstream slope in an area near the right abutment and in an area near the center of the dam.
2. Several small trees also exist on the upstream face of the dam. Roots of these trees can also contribute to seepage.
3. A heavy growth of vegetation extending above the waterline exists in the lake at the approach to the spillway. This growth may reduce the spillway discharge since flow approaching the spillway would be impeded.


4. The upstream slope has a grass cover to protect it from erosion by wave action. However, grass cover is not considered adequate to prevent erosion by wave action for a fluctuating lake level. Loss of material can result in instability and settlement of the dam crest.

The conditions described above are not considered to be serious at this time.

According to the criteria set forth in the recommended guidelines (see text) the minimum spillway design flood for this dam, which is classified as small in size and of high hazard potential, is specified to be one-half Probable Maximum Flood (1/2 PMF). PMF is the flood that may be expected from the most severe combination of critical meteorologic and hydrologic conditions that are reasonably possible in the region. Results of a hydrologic/hydraulic analysis indicated the existing spillway to be inadequate to pass lake outflow resulting from a storm of 1/2 PMF magnitude; however, it is adequate to pass lake outflow resulting from the 1 percent chance (100-year frequency) flood. The existing spillway is capable of passing lake outflow corresponding to about 31 percent of the PMF. The length of the downstream damage zone, should failure of the dam occur, is estimated to be five miles.

A review of available data did not disclose that seepage and stability analyses of the dam were performed. This is considered a deficiency and should be rectified.

It is recommended that the owner take the necessary action in the near future to correct or control the deficiencies and safety defects reported herein.


Albert B. Becker, Jr.
P.E. Missouri E-9168



OVERVIEW OF LAKE AND DAM

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM
RUSTIC HILLS LAKE DAM - ID NO. 30467

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Paragraph No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION	
1.1	General	1
1.2	Description of Project	1
1.3	Pertinent Data	3
	SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA	
2.1	Design	5
2.2	Construction	5
2.3	Operation	5
2.4	Evaluation	5
	SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION	
3.1	Findings	6
3.2	Evaluation	7
	SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	
4.1	Procedures	8
4.2	Maintenance of Dam and Spillway	8
4.3	Maintenance of Outlet Operating Facilities	8
4.4	Description of Any Warning Systems in Effect	8
4.5	Evaluation	8
	SECTION 5 - HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	
5.1	Evaluation of Features	9
	SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY	
6.1	Evaluation of Structural Stability	11

TABLE OF CONTENTS - Continued

<u>Paragraph No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES		
7.1	Dam Assessment	12
7.2	Remedial Measures	13

LIST OF PLATES

<u>Plate No.</u>	<u>Title</u>
1	Regional Vicinity Map
2	Dam and Spillway Profiles
3	Discharge Rating Curve
4	PMF Inflow and Outflow Hydrographs

APPENDIX

<u>Page No.</u>	<u>Title</u>
A-1 thru A-3	Inspection Photographs
B-1 thru B-5	Hydrologic Computations

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM
RUSTIC HILLS LAKE DAM - ID NO. 30467

SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 GENERAL

a. Authority. National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, dated 8 August 1972.

b. Purpose of Inspection. The purpose of this visual inspection was to make an assessment of the general conditions of the dam with respect to safety and, based upon available data and visual inspection, determine if the dam and spillway pose a hazard to human life or property.

c. Evaluation Criteria. This evaluation was performed in accordance with the "Phase I" investigation procedures as prescribed in "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams," Appendix D to "Report of the Chief of Engineers on the National Program of Dams," dated May 1975.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances. The Rustic Hills Lake Dam is an earthfill type embankment rising approximately 36 feet above the original stream bed. Lake level is governed by an excavated earth type spillway section located adjacent to the right (looking downstream) abutment. An access road traversing the dam crest crosses the spillway control section. Below the spillway crest the outlet channel consists of a series of rock falls until it reaches a point below the dam where it joins the original stream course. At normal pool the lake surface occupies approximately 6 acres. There are no drawdown facilities for dewatering the lake.

b. Location. The dam and lake are located on an unnamed tributary of La Barque Creek, approximately 6 miles southwest of Pacific, Missouri, in Jefferson County, as shown on the Regional Vicinity Map, Plate 1. The dam is located in Section 3, Township 42 North, Range 3 East, approximately 1.5 miles southeast of the intersection of State Routes F and FF.

c. Size Classification. The size classification, based on the height of the dam and storage capacity, is categorized as small. (Per Table 1, Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams.)

d. Hazard Classification. According to the St. Louis District, Corps of Engineers, the Rustic Hills Lake Dam has a high hazard potential, meaning that the dam is located where failure may cause loss of life, serious damage to homes, extensive agricultural, industrial and commercial facilities, important public utilities, main highways, or railroads. The estimated flood damage zone, should failure of the dam occur, as determined by the St. Louis District, extends five miles downstream of the dam. Within the possible damage zone are three homes, two state highway bridges, and one private road bridge.

e. Ownership. The dam is owned by Mr. Forest J. Murphy, 4200 Laclede, St. Louis, Missouri, 63156. The property is commercially operated by the owner as Rustic Hills Park, a private preserve available to the public for fishing, swimming, hiking, picnicking, and camping.

f. Purpose of Dam. The dam impounds water for the purpose of recreation by the general public.

g. Design and Construction History. The dam was constructed in about 1968 by the former owners of the property, Mr. and Mrs. Francis J. Harrison. According to information provided by Mr. Harrison, the builder of the dam was the Glen R. Leonard Excavating Company, of Hillsboro, Missouri. Mr. Harrison reported that he was not familiar with the details of design or construction of the dam and that such information, if available, would have to be obtained

from the contractor, Mr. Leonard. Attempts to contact Mr. Leonard have been unsuccessful. In 1974, the property was sold to Mr. Forest J. Murphy, 4200 Laclede, St. Louis, Missouri, 63156, who at the present operates the property including the lake on a commercial basis.

h. Normal Operational Procedure. The lake level is regulated by overflow of an uncontrolled, excavated earth, spillway.

1.3 PERTINENT DATA

a. Drainage Area. The area tributary to the lake is virtually undeveloped and, in a natural state, covered with timber. The watershed above the dam amounts to approximately 155 acres. The watershed area is outlined on Plate 1.

b. Discharge at Damsite.

- (1) Estimated known maximum flood at damsite ... 40+ cfs⁽¹⁾
- (2) Spillway capacity ... 770 cfs

c. Elevation (ft above MSL). The top of a 6-inch wood post located on the dam crest near the right abutment was assumed to be elevation 638. The ground elevation at the base of the post was based on the contours, at 10-foot intervals, shown on the 1954 Pacific Missouri Quadrangle Map, 7.5 minute series, photo revised 1968. The following elevations were measured in the field using the above benchmark.

- (1) Top of dam ... 635.8 (min.)
- (2) Normal pool (spillway crest) ... 633.0
- (3) Streambed at centerline of dam ... 600.0+
- (4) Maximum tailwater ... Unknown

- (1) Value computed for water surface at elevation 633.7 and based upon information supplied by an employee of the owner.

d. Reservoir.

- (1) Length of normal pool (elevation 633.0) ... 1,100 ft.
- (2) Length of maximum pool (elevation 635.8) ... 1,400 ft.

e. Storage.

- (1) Normal pool ... 73 ac.ft.
- (2) Top of dam (incremental) ... 19 ac.ft.

f. Reservoir Surface.

- (1) Top of Dam ... 7 acres
- (2) Normal Pool ... 6 acres

g. Dam.

- (1) Type ... Earthfill
- (2) Length ... 620 ft.
- (3) Height ... 36 ft.
- (4) Top Width ... 10 ft.
- (5) Side Slopes
 - (a) Upstream ... 1v on 2.8h
 - (b) Downstream ... 1v on 3h
- (6) Cutoff ... Unknown
- (7) Core ... Unknown
- (8) Slope Protection
 - (a) Upstream ... Grass
 - (b) Downstream ... Grass

h. Spillway ... Excavated earth at crest.

i. Outlet for Lake Drawdown ... None provided.

SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 DESIGN

No engineering data relating to the design of the dam are known to exist.

2.2 CONSTRUCTION

No records relating to the construction of the dam are known to exist.

2.3 OPERATION

The lake level is governed by an uncontrolled, excavated earth spillway. The maximum known loading on the dam, according to an employee of the owner, was a storm that produced a depth of flow at the spillway crest of about 8 inches.

2.4 EVALUATION

a. Availability. Engineering data for assessing the design of the earthfill dam and spillway were unavailable.

b. Adequacy. No data available.

SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 FINDINGS

a. General. A visual inspection of the dam and spillway was made by Horner & Shifrin engineering personnel on 5 July 1978. Also inspected at this time was the area downstream from the dam, including the juncture of the tributary with La Barque Creek and the various downstream road crossings and homes between the dam and the Meramec River. Photographs of the dam taken at the time of the inspection are included on Pages A-1 through A-3 of the Appendix.

b. Dam. The visible portions of the upstream and downstream slopes (see Photos 1 and 2) of the dam appeared to be in satisfactory condition with the exception of some minor surface erosion of the downstream slope in a cleared area near the center of the dam. A substantial cover of small trees and brush exists on most of the downstream slope. Several small trees were also present on the upstream slope. Seepage, as evidenced by wet ground and pockets of water with cattails (see Photo 5), was noticed in several areas adjacent to the downstream slope. There was no riprap protection to prevent erosion at the water line on the upstream slope. The top of dam, as determined by survey, was found to have a continuous upward slope from the spillway toward the left abutment. A profile of the dam crest extending through the spillway section is shown on Plate 2.

c. Spillway. The crest of the excavated earth spillway section was found to be in a satisfactory condition with a substantial turf cover, with the exception of the area where the access road crosses the spillway to reach the dam crest. Some erosion has occurred in this area (see Photo 3), apparently due to traffic crossing the wet ground along the roadway. Dense vegetation, to a height of about 3 feet above the waterline, was growing in the lake at the approach area to the spillway. This growth extended out into the lake about 30 feet. Beyond the control section, the outlet channel consists of a series of rock falls (see Photo 4) until it reaches the original stream

course, approximately 200 feet below the dam. A profile of the spillway channel through the control section is shown on Plate 2.

d. Downstream Channel. The downstream channel is unimproved. The stream joins the east fork of La Barque Creek at a point approximately 1 mile below the dam. La Barque Creek joins the Meramec River approximately 4.2 miles below the dam.

e. Reservoir. The area contiguous to the lake was found to be in good condition and well maintained. The bank slopes were grass covered with the exception of a beach area, located near the upstream end of the lake, used for swimming. No appreciable amount of sediment was observed in the shallow end of the lake.

3.2 EVALUATION

The deficiencies observed during this inspection are not considered of major consequence to warrant immediate remedial action.

SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 PROCEDURES

The spillway is uncontrolled. The water surface level is governed by rainfall runoff, evaporation, seepage, and the capacity of the uncontrolled spillway.

4.2 MAINTENANCE OF DAM AND SPILLWAY

Based on the substantial cover of small trees and vegetation on the downstream slope of the dam, it is apparent that this area receives little attention. According to personnel operating the preserve, the grass on the upstream face of the dam and spillway crest is mowed periodically during the growing season. Cattails growing in wet areas immediately below the dam also indicate lack of concern for seepage problems and drainage of these areas. Although no evidence of animal burrows was noticed at the time of the inspection, it was reported that muskrats have been exterminated from the dam area on several occasions.

4.3 MAINTENANCE OF OUTLET OPERATING FACILITIES

No outlet operating facilities exist at this dam.

4.4 DESCRIPTION OF ANY WARNING SYSTEMS IN EFFECT

The inspection did not reveal the existence of a dam warning system.

4.5 EVALUATION

A poorly maintained dam is considered detrimental to the safety of the dam. It is recommended that maintenance on a regular basis of all areas of the dam and spillway be undertaken.

SECTION 5 - HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

5.1 EVALUATION OF FEATURES

a. Design Data. Design data is not available.

b. Experience Data. The drainage area and lake surface area were developed from the USGS Pacific, Missouri, Quadrangle Map. The spillway and dam layout were developed from surveys made during the inspection.

c. Visual Observations.

(1) The excavated earth spillway section and the outlet channel are in satisfactory condition, although minor erosion of the spillway crest at the road crossing has occurred.

(2) Drawdown facilities are not provided to dewater the lake.

(3) The spillway and outlet channel are located in the right abutment. Spillway releases will not endanger the integrity of the dam.

d. Overtopping Potential. The spillway section is too small to pass the probable maximum flood or the 1/2 probable maximum flood without overtopping the dam, but it is adequate to pass the 1 percent chance (100-year frequency) flood. The results of a dam overtopping analysis are as follows:

<u>Ratio of PMF</u>	<u>Q - Peak Outflow (cfs)</u>	<u>Max. Lake Water Surface Elevation</u>	<u>Height of Flow Over Dam (Elev. 635.8)</u>	<u>Duration of Overtopping of Dam (Hours)</u>
0.31	770	635.8	0	0
0.50	1,365	636.5	0.7	0.4
1.0	3,300	637.8	2.0	0.8
100-Year Flood	620	635.5	0	0

The flow safely passing the spillway just prior to overtopping amounts to about 770 cfs, which is equivalent to about 31 percent of the probable maximum flood, and exceeds the 1 percent chance (100-year frequency) flood.

Procedures and data for determining the probable maximum flood, the 100-year frequency flood and the discharge rating curve for flow over the spillway and the dam crest are presented on Pages B-1 and B-2 of the Appendix. A listing of the HEC-1DB input data is shown on Pages B-3 through B-5 of the Appendix.

SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

a. Visual Observations. Visual observations which adversely affect the structural stability of the dam are discussed in Section 3, paragraph 3.1b.

b. Design and Construction Data. No design or construction data relating to the structural stability of the dam are known to exist.

c. Operating Records. No appurtenant structures or facilities requiring operation exist at this dam. According to the owner, no records have been kept of lake level, spillway discharge, dam settlement, or seepage.

d. Post Construction Changes. According to the present and former owners, post construction changes were not made which will affect the structural stability of the dam.

e. Seismic Stability. Since the dam is located within a Zone II seismic probability area, an earthquake of the magnitude predicted is not expected to produce a hazardous condition to the dam, provided that static stability conditions are satisfactory and conventional safety margins exist.

SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT

a. Safety. Several items were noticed during the visual inspection that adversely affect the safety of the dam. These items, which exist on the downstream slope, are seepage, trees, dense brush, and surface erosion. The extent of the effect of these items can be better assessed after the trees and brush are removed.

A hydraulic analysis indicated the excavated earth spillway section to be capable of passing lake outflow of about 770 cfs without the level of the lake exceeding the low point of the dam. A hydrologic analysis of the lake watershed area, as discussed in Section 5, paragraph 5.1d, indicated that for storm runoff of 1/2 probable maximum flood magnitude the lake outflow would be on the order of 1,365 cfs, and for the 100-year frequency flood the lake outflow would be about 620 cfs.

No stability and seepage analyses of the dam or hydraulic analyses of the spillway are known to exist.

b. Adequacy of Information. Due to the lack of engineering and construction data, the assessments reported herein were based on external conditions as determined during the visual inspection. The assessment of the hydrology of the watershed and capacity of the spillway were based on a hydrologic/hydraulic study as indicated in Section 5.

c. Urgency. The items concerning the safety of the dam noted in paragraph 7.1a and the remedial measures recommended in paragraph 7.2 should be accomplished in the near future.

d. Necessity for Phase II. Based on the results of the Phase I inspection, a Phase II investigation is not recommended.

e. Seismic Stability. Since the dam is located within a Zone II seismic probability area, an earthquake of the magnitude predicted is not expected to produce a hazardous condition to the dam, provided that static stability conditions are satisfactory and conventional safety margins exist.

7.2 REMEDIAL MEASURES

a. Recommendations. The following actions are recommended:

(1) Spillway size and/or height of dam should be increased to pass lake outflow resulting from a storm of a minimum of one-half probable maximum flood magnitude.

(2) Obtain the necessary soil data and perform stability and seepage analyses in order to determine the structural stability of the dam for all operational conditions.

b. O & M Maintenance and Procedures. The following O & M Maintenance and Procedures are recommended:

(1) Remove the trees and brush from the downstream face and the trees from the upstream face of the dam. Tree roots provide a passageway for seepage that can lead to a piping condition and potential failure. The turf cover should be restored if destroyed or missing. Maintain the turf cover on the slope at a height that will not hinder inspection of the slope.

(2) Once the downstream slope is cleared of trees and brush, it should be thoroughly checked for seepage, erosion and other signs of instability. If excessive seepage flows are observed or sloughing noted, the dam should be investigated by an engineer experienced in design and construction of dams.

(3) If seepage exists, as expected, a subdrain and filter system should be installed in line with and approximately at the location of the downstream toe of slope of the dam. The size of the collector pipe should be determined on the basis of the recommended under-seepage analysis. Saturation of the soil in this area can impair the structural stability of the embankment, resulting in slides or possible failure of the dam.

(4) Provide some form of surfacing for the roadway crossing the spillway in order to prevent erosion of the section.



(5) Provide some form of slope protection for the upstream face of the dam at and above the normal water line in order to prevent erosion by wave action.

(6) Remove dense vegetation present in lake at the approach to the spillway in order to allow flow to enter the spillway unrestricted.

(7) Provide maintenance of all areas of the dam and spillway on a regularly scheduled basis in order to insure features of being in satisfactory operational condition.

(8) A detailed inspection of the dam should be instituted on a regular basis by an engineer experienced in the design and construction of dams. It is also recommended, for future reference, that records be kept of all inspections and remedial measures.

3 MI. TO PACIFIC MO.



JEFFERSON COUNTY

LOCATION MAP



ROUTE 1

ROUTE 2

DAM

SCALE: 1" = 2000'


WATERSHED
BOUNDARY

BIG RIVER


REGIONAL VICINITY MAP

PLATE 1

12





PROFILE DAM CREST
 SCALES: $V=10V, H=100H$



PROFILE SPILLWAY
 SCALES $V=5V, H=50H$

RUSTIC HILLS LAKE
 DAM & SPILLWAY PROFILES
 Horner & Shifrin, Inc. July 1978






**RUSTIG HILLS LAKE
DISCHARGE RATING CURVE**

Homer G. Griffin, Inc. August 1978

3000
Q (cfs) - 2

4000


5000




RUSTIC HILLS LAKE
 PMF INFLOW & OUTFLOW
 HYDROGRAPHS
 Horner & Shifrin, Inc. July 1978

Q	TIME (Hr./Min.) FROM BEGIN OF RAINFALL
400	13.40
400	13.41
400	13.42
400	13.43
400	13.44
400	13.45
400	13.46
400	13.47
400	13.48
400	13.49
400	13.50
400	13.51
400	13.52
400	13.53
400	13.54
400	13.55
400	13.56
400	13.57
400	13.58
400	13.59
400	13.60
400	13.61
400	13.62
400	13.63
400	13.64
400	13.65
400	13.66
400	13.67
400	13.68
400	13.69
400	13.70
400	13.71
400	13.72
400	13.73
400	13.74
400	13.75
400	13.76
400	13.77
400	13.78
400	13.79
400	13.80
400	13.81
400	13.82
400	13.83
400	13.84
400	13.85
400	13.86
400	13.87
400	13.88
400	13.89
400	13.90
400	13.91
400	13.92
400	13.93
400	13.94
400	13.95
400	13.96
400	13.97
400	13.98
400	13.99
400	14.00
400	14.01
400	14.02
400	14.03
400	14.04
400	14.05
400	14.06
400	14.07
400	14.08
400	14.09
400	14.10
400	14.11
400	14.12
400	14.13
400	14.14
400	14.15
400	14.16
400	14.17
400	14.18
400	14.19
400	14.20
400	14.21
400	14.22
400	14.23
400	14.24
400	14.25
400	14.26
400	14.27
400	14.28
400	14.29
400	14.30
400	14.31
400	14.32
400	14.33
400	14.34
400	14.35
400	14.36
400	14.37
400	14.38
400	14.39
400	14.40
400	14.41
400	14.42
400	14.43
400	14.44
400	14.45
400	14.46
400	14.47
400	14.48
400	14.49
400	14.50
400	14.51
400	14.52
400	14.53
400	14.54
400	14.55
400	14.56
400	14.57
400	14.58
400	14.59
400	14.60
400	14.61
400	14.62
400	14.63
400	14.64
400	14.65
400	14.66
400	14.67
400	14.68
400	14.69
400	14.70
400	14.71
400	14.72
400	14.73
400	14.74
400	14.75
400	14.76
400	14.77
400	14.78
400	14.79
400	14.80
400	14.81
400	14.82
400	14.83
400	14.84
400	14.85
400	14.86
400	14.87
400	14.88
400	14.89
400	14.90
400	14.91
400	14.92
400	14.93
400	14.94
400	14.95
400	14.96
400	14.97
400	14.98
400	14.99
400	15.00
400	15.01
400	15.02
400	15.03
400	15.04
400	15.05
400	15.06
400	15.07
400	15.08
400	15.09
400	15.10
400	15.11
400	15.12
400	15.13
400	15.14
400	15.15
400	15.16
400	15.17
400	15.18
400	15.19
400	15.20
400	15.21
400	15.22
400	15.23
400	15.24
400	15.25
400	15.26
400	15.27
400	15.28
400	15.29
400	15.30
400	15.31
400	15.32
400	15.33
400	15.34
400	15.35
400	15.36
400	15.37
400	15.38
400	15.39
400	15.40
400	15.41
400	15.42
400	15.43
400	15.44
400	15.45
400	15.46
400	15.47
400	15.48
400	15.49
400	15.50
400	15.51
400	15.52
400	15.53
400	15.54
400	15.55
400	15.56
400	15.57
400	15.58
400	15.59
400	15.60
400	15.61
400	15.62
400	15.63
400	15.64
400	15.65
400	15.66
400	15.67
400	15.68
400	15.69
400	15.70
400	15.71
400	15.72
400	15.73
400	15.74
400	15.75
400	15.76
400	15.77
400	15.78
400	15.79
400	15.80
400	15.81
400	15.82
400	15.83
400	15.84
400	15.85
400	15.86
400	15.87
400	15.88
400	15.89
400	15.90
400	15.91
400	15.92
400	15.93
400	15.94
400	15.95
400	15.96
400	15.97
400	15.98
400	15.99
400	16.00
400	16.01
400	16.02
400	16.03
400	16.04
400	16.05
400	16.06
400	16.07
400	16.08
400	16.09
400	16.10
400	16.11
400	16.12
400	16.13
400	16.14
400	16.15
400	16.16
400	16.17
400	16.18
400	16.19
400	16.20
400	16.21
400	16.22
400	16.23
400	16.24
400	16.25
400	16.26
400	16.27
400	16.28
400	16.29
400	16.30
400	16.31
400	16.32
400	16.33
400	16.34
400	16.35
400	16.36
400	16.37
400	16.38
400	16.39
400	16.40
400	16.41
400	16.42
400	16.43
400	16.44
400	16.45
400	16.46
400	16.47
400	16.48
400	16.49
400	16.50
400	16.51
400	16.52
400	16.53
400	16.54
400	16.55
400	16.56
400	16.57
400	16.58
400	16.59
400	16.60
400	16.61
400	16.62
400	16.63
400	16.64
400	16.65
400	16.66
400	16.67
400	16.68
400	16.69
400	16.70
400	16.71
400	16.72
400	16.73
400	16.74
400	16.75
400	16.76
400	16.77
400	16.78
400	16.79
400	16.80
400	16.81
400	16.82
400	16.83
400	16.84
400	16.85
400	16.86
400	16.87
400	16.88
400	16.89
400	16.90
400	16.91
400	16.92
400	16.93
400	16.94
400	16.95
400	16.96
400	16.97
400	16.98
400	16.99
400	17.00
400	17.01
400	17.02
400	17.03
400	17.04
400	17.05
400	17.06
400	17.07
400	17.08
400	17.09
400	17.10
400	17.11
400	17.12
400	17.13
400	17.14
400	17.15
400	17.16
400	17.17
400	17.18
400	17.19
400	17.20
400	17.21
400	17.22
400	17.23
400	17.24
400	17.25
400	17.26
400	17.27
400	17.28
400	17.29
400	17.30


APPENDIX



NO. 1: UPSTREAM FACE OF DAM



NO. 2: DOWNSTREAM FACE OF DAM



NO. 3: EARTH SPILLWAY



NO. 4: ROCK FALLS AT SPILLWAY OUTLET CHANNEL



NO. 5: CATTAILS AT TOE OF DOWNSTREAM SLOPE

HYDROLOGIC COMPUTATIONS

1. The HEC-1 Dam Safety Version (July 1978) program was used to develop inflow and outflow hydrographs and dam overtopping analyses, with hydrologic inputs as follows:

a. Probable maximum precipitation (200 sq. mile, 24-hour value equals 25.5 inches) from Hydrometeorological Report No. 33. One hundred year frequency (point precipitation, 24-hour value equals 7.23 inches) from U.S. Weather Bureau Technical Paper No. 40.

b. Drainage area = 0.24 square miles
= 154 acres

c. SCS parameters
Lag time = 0.08 hours
Soil type CN = 80

2. The spillway section consists of a broad-crested, approximately V-shaped excavated earth section for which conventional weir formulas do not apply.

Spillway release rates were determined as follows:

- (1) Spillway crest section properties (area, a and top width, t) were computed for various depths, d .
- (2) It was assumed that flow over the spillway crest would occur at critical depth. Flow at critical depth (Q_c) was computed as $Q_c = \frac{a^3}{t} g^{0.5}$ for the various depth, d .

Corresponding velocities (v_c) and velocity heads (H_{v_c}) were determined using conventional formulas.

(3) Static lake levels corresponding to the various Q_c values passing over the spillway were computed as critical depths plus critical velocity head ($d_c + H_{v_c}$), and the relationship between lake level and spillway discharge was thus obtained. The procedure neglects the minor insignificant friction losses across the length of the spillway.

3. The profile of the dam crest is irregular and flow over the dam crest cannot be determined by conventional weir formulas. Flow quantities overtopping the dam crest were computed as described in the preceding paragraph and corresponding flow over the dam and spillway for given elevations were added to obtain the combined outflow rating curve for the dam and spillway. This rating curve is shown on Plate 3. The inflow-outflow hydrographs for the PMF are shown on Plate 4.

 FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HFC-1)
 DAM SAFETY VFRSION JULY 1978
 LAST MODIFICATION 3 AUG 78

A1 ANALYSIS OF DAM OVERTOPPING USING 100 YR FLOOD
 A2 HYDROLOGIC-HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS OF SAFETY OF RUSTIC HILLS DAM
 A3 100 YR FLOOD ROUTED THROUGH RESERVOIR

	2RR	0	5	-0	-0	-0	-0	-0	-0
B1	5								
J	1								
J1	1.0								
K	0								
K1	0								
M	0								
	2RR	0	0.24						
01	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007
01	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007
01	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007
01	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007
01	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007
01	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007
01	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007
01	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014
01	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014
01	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014
01	.022	.022	.022	.022	.022	.022	.022	.022	.022
01	.022	.022	.022	.022	.022	.022	.022	.022	.022
01	.031	.031	.031	.031	.031	.031	.031	.031	.031
01	.132	.132	.132	.132	.132	.132	.132	.132	.132
01	.061	.061	.061	.061	.061	.061	.061	.061	.061
01	.031	.031	.031	.031	.031	.031	.031	.031	.031
01	.022	.022	.022	.022	.022	.022	.022	.022	.022
01	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014
01	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014
01	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014
01	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014	.014
01	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007
01	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007	.007

