

AD-A104 693

HORNER AND SHIFRIN INC ST LOUIS MO

F/6 13/13

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. VOELKERDING LAKE DAM (MO 30059), M--ETC(U)


SEP 80

DACW43-80-C-0063

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1 OF 1
AD A
104693



AD A104693

LEVEL

C

3 MISSOURI - KANSAS CITY BASIN

2 VOELKERDING LAKE DAM,

4 WARREN COUNTY, MISSOURI •

MO 30059

DTIC
SELECTED
SEP 28 1981
H

PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM



United States Army
Corps of Engineers

... Serving the Army
... Serving the Nation

St. Louis District

Original contains color
plates: All DTIC reproductions
will be in black and
white

THIS DOCUMENT IS UNCLASSIFIED PRACTICALLY
THE COPY IS UNCLASSIFIED AND CONTAINED A
SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.

PREPARED BY: U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, ST. LOUIS
FOR: STATE OF MISSOURI

81 9 28 068

SEPTEMBER 1980

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release.
Distribution Unlimited

DTIC FILE COPY

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

**THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY
PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED
TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT
NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.**

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	AD-A704693	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Phase I Dam Inspection Report National Dam Safety Program Voelkerding Lake Dam (MO 30059) Warren County, Missouri		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Final Report
7. AUTHOR(s) Horner & Shifrin, Inc.		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis Dam Inventory and Inspection Section, LMSED-PD 210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Louis, Mo. 63101		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DACW43-80-C-0063
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis Dam Inventory and Inspection Section, LMSED-PD 210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Louis, Mo. 63101		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 104
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) National Dam Safety Program. Voelker- ding Lake Dam (MO 30059), Warren County, Missouri. Phase I Inspection Report.		12. REPORT DATE September 1980
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES Approximately 50
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for release; distribution unlimited.		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Dam Safety, Lake, Dam Inspection, Private Dams		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report assesses the general condition of the dam with respect to safety, based on available data and on visual inspection, to determine if the dam poses hazards to human life or property.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

MISSOURI - KANSAS CITY BASIN

**VOELKERDING LAKE DAM
WARREN COUNTY, MISSOURI
MO 30059**

**PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM**



**United States Army
Corps of Engineers**
... Serving the Army
... Serving the Nation

St. Louis District

**PREPARED BY: U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, ST. LOUIS
FOR: STATE OF MISSOURI**

SEPTEMBER 1980



REPLY TO:
ATTENTION: 14

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
ST. LOUIS DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS
210 TUCKER BOULEVARD, NORTH
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63101

LMSED-P

SUBJECT: Voelkerding Lake Dam, MO 30059

This report presents the results of field inspection and evaluation of the Voelkerding Lake Dam, MO 30059. It was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams.

SUBMITTED BY:

SIGNED

Chief, Engineering Division

16 SEP 1980

Date

APPROVED BY:

SIGNED

Colonel, CE, District Engineer

17 SEP 1980

Date

Accession For	☒
NTIS GRA&I	☐
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Code	
Avail and/or	
Dist	
A	3

VOELKERDING LAKE DAM
MISSOURI INVENTORY NO. 30059
WARREN COUNTY, MISSOURI

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

PREPARED BY:

HORNER & SHIFRIN, INC.
5200 OAKLAND AVENUE
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63110

FOR:

U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, ST. LOUIS
CORPS OF ENGINEERS

SEPTEMBER 1980

HS-8011

PHASE I REPORT

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dam:	Voelkerding Lake Dam
State Located:	Missouri
County Located:	Warren
Stream:	Tributary of Lake Creek
Date of Inspection	20 May 1980

The Voelkerding Lake Dam was visually inspected by engineering personnel of Horner & Shifrin, Inc., Consulting Engineers, St. Louis, Missouri. The purpose of this inspection was to assess the general condition of the dam with respect to safety and, based upon this inspection and available data, determine if the dam poses a hazard to human life or property.

The following summarizes the findings of the visual inspection and the results of certain hydrologic/hydraulic investigations performed under the direction of the inspection team. Based on the visual inspection and the results of the hydrologic/hydraulic investigations, the present general condition of the dam is considered to be somewhat less than satisfactory. The following deficiencies were noticed during the inspection and are considered to have an adverse effect on the overall safety and future operation of the dam:

1. Seepage, as characterized by soft ground, running and standing water, and willow trees, was observed at the toe of the downstream slope in an area which extended from the center of the dam to the intersection of the toe of the dam and the left abutment. Uncontrolled seepage could develop into a piping condition (progressive internal erosion) that can lead to failure of the dam. Saturation of the soil adjacent to the dam can weaken the foundation and impair the stability of the dam.

2. Numerous small trees were present at the normal waterline on the upstream face of the dam. Tree roots can provide a passageway for lake seepage which could lead to a piping condition resulting in failure of the dam.
3. A 4-inch lake drain pipe is reported to be buried in the dam. The pipe could become a source of water intrusion into the embankment that could impair the stability of the dam.

According to the criteria set forth in the recommended guidelines, the magnitude of the spillway design flood for the Voelkerding Lake Dam, which is classified as small in size and of high hazard potential, is specified to be a minimum of one-half the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). The Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) is the flood that may be expected from the most severe combination of critical meteorologic and hydrologic conditions that are reasonably possible in the region. Considering the fact that a fairly large volume of water is impounded and that numerous dwellings, including portions of the Town of Dutzow, lie within the possible flood damage zone, it is recommended that the spillway for this dam be designed for the PMF. The PMF is ordinarily accepted as the inflow design flood for dams where failure of the structure would increase the danger to human life.

Results of a hydrologic/hydraulic analysis indicated that the spillways, principal plus emergency, are inadequate to pass lake outflow resulting from a storm of PMF magnitude. The spillways are capable of passing lake outflow corresponding to about 55 percent of the PMF lake inflow and the lake outflow resulting from the 1 percent chance (100-year frequency) flood. According to the St. Louis District, Corps of Engineers, the length of the downstream damage zone, should failure of the dam occur, is estimated to be one mile. Accordingly, within the possible damage zone are a highway department maintenance building, three dwellings, a building, and about twenty-four other buildings and dwellings which are located within the Town of Dutzow.

A review of available data did not disclose that seepage or stability analyses of the dam were performed. This is considered a deficiency and should be rectified.

It is recommended that the Owner take the necessary action in the near future to correct or control the deficiencies and safety defects reported herein.

Ralph E. Sauthoff

Ralph E. Sauthoff

P. E. Missouri E-19090

Albert B. Becker, Jr.

Albert B. Becker, Jr.

P.E. Missouri E-9168



OVERVIEW VOELKERDING LAKE DAM

PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

VOELKERDING LAKE DAM - MO 30059

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Paragraph No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION		
1.1	General	1-1
1.2	Description of Project	1-1
1.3	Pertinent Data	1-3
SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA		
2.1	Design	2-1
2.2	Construction	2-1
2.3	Operation	2-1
2.4	Evaluation	2-2
SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION		
3.1	Findings	3-1
3.2	Evaluation	3-4
SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES		
4.1	Procedures	4-1
4.2	Maintenance of Dam	4-1
4.3	Maintenance of Outlet Operating Facilities	4-1
4.4	Description of Any Warning Systems in Effect	4-1
4.5	Evaluation	4-1

<u>Paragraph No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
	SECTION 5 - HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	
5.1	Evaluation of Features	5-1
	SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY	
6.1	Evaluation of Structural Stability	6-1
	SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES	
7.1	Dam Assessment	7-1
7.2	Remedial Measures	7-2

LIST OF PLATES AND CHARTS

<u>Plate No.</u>	<u>Title</u>
1	Regional Vicinity Map
2	Lake Watershed Map
3	Plan of Dam
4	Dam Profile & Cross-Sections
5	Spillway Cross-Sections

<u>Chart No.</u>	<u>Title</u>
2-1	Geologic Reconnaissance Report of Voelkerding Lake Site, Warren County, Missouri Geological Survey, August 19, 1966.

APPENDIX A - INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS

<u>Page No.</u>	<u>Title</u>
A-1 thru A-5	Inspection Photographs

APPENDIX B - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC ANALYSES

<u>Page No.</u>	<u>Title</u>
B-1 thru B-3	Hydrologic & Hydraulic Computations
B-4 thru B-6	Computer Input Data
B-7 thru B-11	Computer Output Data
B-12	Lake Surface Area, Storage Volume and Elevation: Summary of Dam Safety Analysis

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

VOELKERDING LAKE DAM - MO 30059

SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 GENERAL

a. Authority. The National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, dated 8 August 1972, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a program of safety inspection of dams throughout the United States. Pursuant to the above, the St. Louis District, Corps of Engineers, directed that a safety inspection of the Voelkerding Lake Dam be made.

b. Purpose of Inspection. The purpose of this visual inspection was to make an assessment of the general condition of the dam with respect to safety and, based upon available data and this inspection, determine if the dam poses a hazard to human life or property.

c. Evaluation Criteria. This evaluation was performed in accordance with the "Phase I" investigation procedures as prescribed in "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams", Appendix D to "Report to the Chief of Engineers on the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams", dated May 1975.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances. The Voelkerding Lake Dam is an earthfill type embankment rising approximately 35 feet above the natural streambed at the downstream toe of the barrier. The embankment has an upstream slope of approximately 1v on 3.8h, a crest width of about 11 feet,

and a downstream slope on the order of 1v on 3.3h. The length of the dam is approximately 400 feet. A plan and profile of the dam are shown on Plate 3 and a cross-section of the dam is shown on Plate 4. At normal pool elevation the reservoir impounded by the dam occupies approximately 14 acres. There is no drawdown facility to dewater the lake.

The dam has both a principal and an emergency spillway. The principal spillway, an excavated earth section, is located at the right, or south, abutment. The principal spillway has two outlets located approximately 90 feet downstream of the crest. A 15-inch corrugated metal pipe discharges flow from the spillway channel through an earthen embankment. Flow from the pipe follows an unimproved channel approximately 100 feet to join the original stream on which the dam is constructed. The second outlet, which is approximately 2.4 feet higher in elevation than the invert of the 15-inch pipe, is an excavated trapezoidal channel. The channel for this outlet is about 160 feet in length. Lake outflow greater than the capacity of the 15-inch pipe is released via the channel outlet.

The emergency spillway, an earthen trapezoidal section, is located at the left or north abutment. It appears that flow from this outlet will follow near the toe of the dam in order to reach the original stream channel beyond the dam. Cross-sections of the principal spillway outlet channel and the emergency spillway are shown on Plate 5.

b. Location. The dam is located on an unnamed tributary of Lake Creek, about 0.1 mile west of Highway TT and approximately 0.4 mile northeast of the Town of Dutzow, Missouri, as shown on the Regional Vicinity Map, Plate 1. The dam is located in Section 35, Township 45 North, Range 1 West, within Warren County.

c. Size Classification. The size classification based on the height of the dam and storage capacity, is categorized as small (per Table 1, Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams).

d. Hazard Classification. The Voelkerding Lake Dam, according to the St. Louis District, Corps of Engineers, has a high hazard potential, meaning

that if the dam should fail, there may be loss of life, serious damage to homes, or extensive damage to agricultural, industrial and commercial facilities, important public utilities, main highways, or railroads. The estimated flood damage zone, should, failure of the dam occur, as determined by the St. Louis District, extends one mile downstream of the dam. Within the possible damage zone are a highway department maintenance building, three dwellings, a building, and about twenty-four other dwellings and buildings which are located within the Town of Dutzow. Those features lying within the downstream damage zone reported by the Corps of Engineers, St. Louis District, were verified by the inspection team.

e. Ownership. The lake and dam are owned by the Walter and Jean Voelkerding Charitable Trust. One of the managers of the Trust is Mr. David Voelkerding. Mr. Voelkerding's address is Rural Route 2, Marthasville, Missouri 63357.

f. Purpose of Dam. The dam impounds water for recreational use.

g. Design and Construction History. According to Mr. Harry Bratton, a neighbor and former employee of the original owner, the dam was constructed in about 1960 by Mr. Walter Voelkerding and his farm help. Walter Voelkerding, deceased, was the owner of the property at the time the dam was constructed. The extent of the engineering investigations performed for design of the dam is unknown.

h. Normal Operational Procedure. The lake level is unregulated. Lake outflow is governed by the capacities of the principal and emergency spillways.

1.3 PERTINENT DATA

a. Drainage Area. Approximately one-half of the area tributary to the lake is in a native state covered with timber. The remainder of the drainage area is for the most part farmland. The watershed above the dam amounts to approximately 97 acres. The watershed area is outlined on Plate 2.

b. Discharge at Damsite.

- (1) Estimated known maximum flood at damsite ... 16 cfs* (W.S.Elev.534.7)
- (2) Spillway capacity
 - a. Principal ... 86 cfs (W. S. Elev. 535.7)
 - b. Principal + emergency ... 458 cfs (W. S. Elev. 537.2)

c. Elevation (Ft. above MSL). The following elevations were determined by survey and are based on the elevation of the lake, assumed to be normal pool, as shown on the 1972 Washington East, Missouri, Quadrangle Map, 7.5 Minute Series.

- (1) Observed pool ... 533.9
- (2) Normal pool ... 534.0
- (3) Spillway crest
 - a. Principal ... 534.0
 - b. Emergency ... 535.7
- (4) Maximum experienced pool ... 534.7*
- (5) Top of Dam ... 537.2 (min.)
- (6) Streambed at centerline of dam ... 503+ (est.)
- (7) Maximum tailwater ... Unknown
- (8) Observed tailwater ... None

d. Reservoir.

- (1) Length at normal pool (Elev. 534.0) ... 1,500 ft.
- (2) Length at maximum pool (Elev. 537.2) ... 1,600 ft.

e. Storage.

- (1) Normal pool ... 145 ac. ft.
- (2) Top of dam (incremental) ... 55 ac. ft.

* Based on an estimate of lake level as observed by Mr. Harry Bratton, a neighbor and former employee of the original owner.

f. Reservoir Surface.

- (1) Normal pool ... 14 acres
- (2) Top of dam (incremental) ... 8 acres

g. Dam. The height of the dam is defined to be the overall vertical distance from the lowest point of foundation surface at the downstream toe of the barrier, to the top of the dam.

- (1) Type ... Earthfill, core wall*
- (2) Length ... 400 ft.
- (3) Height ... 35 ft.
- (4) Top width ... 11 ft.
- (5) Side slopes
 - a. Upstream ... 1v on 3.8h (above waterline)
 - b. Downstream ... 1v on 3.3h
- (6) Cutoff ... Core trench*
- (7) Slope protection
 - a. Upstream ... Stone riprap
 - b. Downstream ... Grass

h. Principal Spillway.

- (1) Type ... Uncontrolled, excavated earth, trapezoidal section
- (2) Location ... Right abutment
- (3) Elevation ... 534.0
- (4) Approach channel ... Lake
- (5) Outlets
 - a. Pipe
 - 1. Description ... 15-inch diameter corrugated metal pipe
 - 2. Invert ... Elevation 531.8
 - b. Channel
 - 1. Description ... Excavated earth, trapezoidal section, length 160 feet
 - 2. Crest ... Elevation 534.2

* Per Mr. Harry Bratton.

i. Emergency Spillway.

- (1) Type ... Uncontrolled, excavated earth, trapezoidal section
- (2) Location ... Left abutment
- (3) Crest ... Elevation 535.7
- (4) Approach channel ... Lake
- (5) Exit channel ... Unconfined, earth (unimproved)

j. Lake Drawdown Facility ... None

SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 DESIGN

No engineering data relating to the design of the dam are known to exist.

2.2 CONSTRUCTION

No formal records were maintained during construction of the dam. As previously stated, Voelkerding Lake Dam was constructed in about 1960 by Mr. Walter Voelkerding and his farm help. An interview with Mr. Harry Bratton, who was employed by the former owner and aided in construction of the dam, indicated that a core trench approximately 10 feet wide, was excavated about 8 feet deep to reach what was considered to be the more impervious material. Mr. Bratton reported that fill for the dam was obtained from the area now occupied by the lake, and was compacted with the farm type equipment used to construct the dam.

2.3 OPERATION

The lake level is uncontrolled and governed by the elevation of the crest of the principal spillway. An emergency spillway with a crest elevation approximately 1.7 feet higher than the crest of the principal spillway and about 1.5 feet lower than the top of the dam at its lowest point, also serves as an outlet for lake surcharge. No indication was found that the dam has been overtopped. Mr. Harry Bratton, who presently resides near the lake, reported that the dam has never been overtopped and that the highest lake level observed was approximately 0.7 foot higher than the normal level.

Mr. Bratton also indicated that a 4-inch drain pipe which was installed through the original dam is no longer serviceable due to the fact that the downstream end of the pipe was covered with earth when additional fill, as discussed in Section 6.1d, was placed on the slope in about 1976.

Although seepage was not mentioned as being a problem by either the Owner or Mr. Bratton, a geologic reconnaissance report indicates that the dam did experience considerable leakage at one time. The report, dated August 19, 1966, reference Chart 2-1, was prepared by Mr. Edwin E. Lutzen, Engineering Geologist, with the Missouri Geological Survey. In the report Mr. Lutzen (deceased), describes various methods of correcting the dam leakage. However, no additional information was available regarding the seepage or any modifications to the dam which may have been made to alleviate the condition.

2.4 EVALUATION

a. Availability. Engineering data for assessing the design of the dam and spillways were unavailable.

b. Adequacy. No data available. Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" were not available, which is considered a deficiency. These seepage and stability analyses should be performed for appropriate loading conditions (including earthquake loads) and made a matter of record.

SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 FINDINGS

a. General. A visual inspection of the Voelkerding Lake Dam was made by Horner & Shifrin engineering personnel, R. E. Sauthoff, Civil Engineer, and A. B. Becker, Jr., Civil and Soils Engineer, on 20 May 1980. An examination of the dam area was also made by an engineering geologist, Jerry D. Higgins, Ph.D., a consultant retained by Horner & Shifrin for the purpose of assessing the site geology. Also examined at the time of the inspection, were the areas and features below the dam within the potential flood damage zone. Photographs of the dam taken at the time of the inspection are included on Pages A-1 through A-5 of Appendix A. The locations of the photographs taken during the inspection are indicated on Plate 3.

b. Site Geology. The topography at the dam site is gently rolling, becoming more rugged within the drainage basin to the north of the reservoir. The relief between the reservoir and the drainage divide, one-quarter mile north, is approximately 190 feet. The site is located within the Salem Plateau Section of the Ozark Plateaus Physiographic Province, near its border with the Dissected Till Plains Section of the Central Lowlands Province.

The bedrock formations consist of gently northward-dipping Ordovician-age sedimentary strata of the Jefferson City-Cotter and the St. Peter formations. The dam and reservoir are located entirely on the Jefferson City-Cotter, and much of the drainage basin is underlain by the St. Peter. Exposures of the base of the St. Peter formation were located approximately 10 feet above the reservoir. No faulting was observed or reported in the vicinity of the dam site.

The Jefferson City-Cotter formation is a light brown, medium to finely crystalline dolomite. It is thin- to medium-bedded, often argillaceous, and cherty. Solution enlargement of joints and bedding planes frequently occurs, and the contact between the bedrock and the residuum, formed by the in-place weathering of the dolomites, is often an irregular surface. The St. Peter

formation is a white, fine- to medium-grained pure quartz sandstone. It generally is massively bedded and, although loosely cemented, exposed rock surfaces are usually case-hardened by weathering processes.

The unconsolidated surficial materials in the vicinity of the reservoir are composed principally of soils derived from loessal deposits and colluvium. The dam site and reservoir are underlain by soils of the Winfield series; the upland drainage basin by the Holstein series. The Winfield series consists of deep, well-drained soils formed in loess and the underlying residuum. They typically consist of a light brown silt in the upper layers, becoming darker and more clayey with depth. According to the Unified Soil Classification System the soils are classified as CL or CL-ML materials, are moderately permeable, but are generally suited for small embankments and water impoundments. These soils are easily eroded if slopes are unprotected. The Holstein soils have been formed from a combination of the silts and colluvial materials derived from weathering of bedrock. They have been formed at the foot of hill slopes; thus the soils vary from SC near St. Peter sandstone outcrops to CL-ML materials where there is a higher percentage of loessal materials. The Holstein soils are moderately permeable, subject to seepage, and easily eroded on slopes.

The loessal soils at the dam site are thick with bedrock exposures limited to a few gulleys upstream from the reservoir. No slumping or severe erosion was noted at the embankment. A number of deep gulleys and associated slumping were noted in the loessal soils around the drainage basin, but these gulleys in no way affect the stability of the embankment. However, the gulleying illustrates the erodibility of the soils from which the embankment is constructed. It is reported that the reservoir has experienced considerable leakage through sandy soils underneath the reservoir and embankment. These soils were uncovered during construction of the dam and were probably derived from the St. Peter sandstone formation.

The most significant geologic conditions at the site are the easily eroded loessal soils and the sandy residual soils reported to be present under the dam. No other adverse geologic conditions were observed that would be considered conducive to severe reservoir leakage or embankment instability.

c. Dam. The visible portions of the upstream and downstream faces of the dam (see Photos 1 and 2) were examined and appeared to be in sound condition. The dam, with the exception of the crest and the riprap protected areas of the upstream face, was covered with fescue grass which for the most part was about 24 inches high at the time of the inspection. The turf cover on the crest of the dam, however, was sparse in some areas and numerous small, random, interconnected cracks which were a maximum of about 1/4 inch wide and 6 inches deep were noticed in those areas. No other cracking, sloughing of the embankment or settlement of the dam crest was evident. An examination of the surficial material obtained from the downstream face of the dam indicated it to be a silty lean clay (CL) of low-to-medium plasticity. Riprap consisting of crushed limestone with a maximum size of about 6 inches extended approximately 2 feet above the normal waterline along the upstream face of the dam. Numerous willow trees up to 2 inches in diameter were present along the upstream face of the dam near the normal waterline and a V-shaped channel about 2 feet deep had been eroded into the downstream slope of the embankment near the right abutment apparently by stormwater runoff.

An area of seepage (see Photos 9 and 10) with willow trees, soft ground, and standing and flowing water, was observed near the toe of the downstream slope extending from the center of the dam to the junction of the toe of the dam and the left abutment. Flow from the area appeared clear and was estimated to be less than 2 gpm.

The principal spillway channel (see Photos 3 and 4) was well covered with fescue grass about 24 inches high along with some brush and small trees. The visible portions of the 15-inch corrugated metal spillway outlet pipe (see Photos 5 and 6) were examined and appeared to be in sound condition. Scour, apparently by high velocity pipe discharges, has created a bowl-shaped depression approximately 10 feet wide and 6 feet deep at the downstream end of the spillway outlet pipe. The principal spillway outlet channel (see Photo 7), an excavated earth section, was thoroughly covered with fescue grass. Several small trees were also found within the channel.

The emergency spillway (see Photo 8) which is located at the left abutment also appeared to be in satisfactory condition. Some small willow trees were observed along the waterline at the lake approach to the spillway. The outlet channel for the emergency spillway was unimproved. It appeared that spillway releases will follow along the toe of the dam in order to reach the downstream channel.

d. Downstream Channel. Except at the road and rail crossings the channel downstream of the dam is unimproved. Near the dam, the channel for the most part was grass covered with trees growing along the banks. The channel crosses Highway TT at a point about 1,000 feet downstream of the dam and joins Lake Creek approximately 1,500 feet downstream of the dam. Lake Creek crosses Highway 94 approximately 3,500 feet downstream of the dam and railroad tracks belonging to the Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad at a point about 1 mile downstream of the dam. The Town of Dutzow lies just to the west of Lake Creek at the Highway 94 crossing.

e. Reservoir. The lake shoreline is either grass covered or tree lined. At the time of the inspection the lake was near normal pool level and the water within the reservoir was clear. No significant erosion of the lake banks was noted. The amount of sediment within the lake could not be determined at the time of the inspection; however, due to the vegetation covering the surrounding area, it is not expected to be significant.

3.2 EVALUATION

The deficiencies observed during this inspection and noted herein are not considered of significant importance to warrant immediate remedial action.

The limestone riprap on the upstream face of the dam appears to be adequate to prevent erosion of the slope by wave action or fluctuations of the lake level.

SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 PROCEDURES

The spillways are uncontrolled. The lake surface level is governed by precipitation runoff, evaporation, seepage, and the capacities of the uncontrolled principal and emergency spillways.

4.2 MAINTENANCE OF DAM

Judging by their appearance, the embankment and areas immediately adjacent thereto seem to receive periodic maintenance. Apparently, trees and brush are not regularly removed from the upstream face of the dam or from the spillway channels since their presence was noted during the inspection. It was also noticed during the inspection that no provisions have been taken to control the seepage that is occurring at the left side of the dam.

4.3 MAINTENANCE OF OUTLET OPERATING FACILITIES

No outlet facilities requiring operation exist at this dam, and there is no reservoir regulation place.

4.4 DESCRIPTION OF ANY WARNING SYSTEMS IN EFFECT

The inspection did not reveal the existence of a dam failure warning system.

4.5 EVALUATION

Lack of or inadequate maintenance is considered detrimental to the safety of the dam. It is recommended that maintenance of the dam and spillways be undertaken on a regular basis and that records be kept of all major items of work performed. It is also recommended that a detailed inspection of the dam be instituted on a regular basis by an engineer experienced in the design and construction of dams and that records be kept of all inspections made and remedial measures taken.

SECTION 5 - HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC

5.1 EVALUATION OF FEATURES

a. Design Data. Design data are not available.

b. Experience Data. The drainage area and lake surface area were developed from the 1972 USGS Washington East, Missouri, Quadrangle Map. The proportions and dimensions of the spillways and dam were developed from surveys made during the inspection. Records of rainfall, streamflow or flood data for the watershed were not available.

According to the St. Louis District, Corps of Engineers, the estimated flood damage zone, should failure of the dam occur, extends one mile downstream of the dam. Portions of the Town of Dutzow are within the potential flood damage zone.

c. Visual Observations.

- (1) The principal spillway which is located at the right abutment has a shallow, trapezoidal crest section. This spillway has two outlets which consist of the following:
 - (a) A 15-inch corrugated metal spillway pipe.
 - (b) A shallow, excavated earth, broad-crested trapezoidal section.
- (2) The 15-inch pipe provides an outlet for normal, service-type, lake outflow. The channel provides an outlet for lake outflow in excess of the capacity of the 15-inch pipe.
- (3) The emergency spillway is a shallow broad-crested trapezoidal section, is located at the left abutment.

(4) The original stream channel abuts the toe of the dam.

d. Overtopping Potential. The spillways (principal and emergency) are inadequate to pass the probable maximum flood without overtopping the dam. The spillways are adequate, however, to pass 1/2 the probable maximum flood without overtopping the dam. The results of the dam overtopping analysis are as follows:

(Note: The data appearing in the following table have been extracted from the computer output data appearing in Appendix B. Decimal values have been rounded to the nearest one-tenth in order to prevent assumption of unwarranted accuracy.)

Ratio of PMF	Q-Peak Outflow (cfs)	Max. Lake W.S. Elev.	Max. Depth (Ft.) of Flow over Dam (Elev. 537.2)	Duration of Overtopping of Dam (Hrs.)
0.50	396	537.0	0	0
1.00	1,439	538.1	0.9	1.2

Elevation 537.2 was found to be the lowest point in the dam crest. The flow safely passing the spillway just prior to overtopping amounts to approximately 458 cfs, which is the routed outflow corresponding to about 55 percent of the probable maximum flood inflow. During peak flow of the probable maximum flood, the greatest depth of flow over the dam is projected to be 0.9 feet and overtopping will extend across the entire length of the dam.

e. Evaluation. Experience with embankments constructed of similar material (a silty lean clay of low-to-medium plasticity) to that used to construct this dam has shown evidence that the material under certain conditions, such as high velocity flow, can be very erodible. Such a condition exists during the PMF when large lake outflow, accompanied by high flow velocities, occurs. For the PMF condition, a certain amount of damage by erosion to the crest and downstream face of the dam is expected. However, since the depth of flow over the dam crest, a maximum of 0.9 feet, and the

duration of flow over the dam, 1.2 hours, are considered to be relatively minor, and since the downstream face and for the most part the dam crest, are protected by a substantial cover of grass, failure of the dam by erosion during overtopping is not expected.

f. Reference. Procedures and data for determining the probable maximum flood, the 100-year frequency flood, and the discharge rating curves for flow passing the spillways and dam crest are presented on Pages B-1 through B-3 of the Appendix. Listings of the HEC-1 (Dam Safety Version) input data for both the probable maximum flood and the 100-year frequency flood are shown on pages B-4 through B-6. Computer output data, including unit hydrograph ordinates, tabulation of PMF rainfall, loss and inflow data are shown on pages B-7 through B-11; tabulation of lake surface area, elevation and storage volume is shown on page B-12 and tabulations titled "Summary of Dam Safety Analysis" for the PMF and 1 percent chance (100-year frequency) flood are also shown on page B-12.

SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

a. Visual Observations. Visual observations of conditions which adversely affect the structural stability of the dam are discussed in Section 3, paragraph 3.1c.

b. Design and Construction Data. No design or construction data relating to the structural stability of the dam are known to exist. Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" were not available, which is considered a deficiency. These seepage and stability analyses should be performed for appropriate loading conditions (including earthquake loads) and made a matter of record.

c. Operating Records. No appurtenant structures or facilities requiring operation exist at this dam. According to Mr. David Voelkerding, Trustee, no records are kept of the lake level, spillway discharge, dam settlement, or seepage.

d. Post Construction Changes. According to Mr. David Voelkerding, additional fill was placed on the downstream slope of the dam in about 1976 in order to provide the dam with greater stability. The Contractor for the work, Aholt & Sons Excavating Inc., of Augusta, Missouri, also placed riprap on the upstream face of the dam at this same time. Mr. Harry Bratton, who resides near the lake, indicated that the fill placed in 1976 extends from the dam crest to a point approximately 25 feet downstream of the toe of the original embankment. Mr. Bratton also reported that the original dam embankment had a 4-inch steel pipe drain extending through it, but that the downstream end of the drain was covered by the new fill and is no longer serviceable.

e. Seismic Stability. The dam is located in an area close to the boundary separating the Zone I and Zone II seismic probability areas. An earthquake of the magnitude that might occur in this area would not be

expected to cause structural damage to a well constructed earth dam of this size provided that static stability conditions are satisfactory and conventional safety margins exist. However, it is recommended that the prescribed seismic loading be applied in any stability analyses performed for this dam.

SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT

a. Safety. A hydraulic analysis indicated that the spillways (principal plus emergency) are capable of passing lake outflow of about 458 cfs without the level of the lake exceeding the low point in the top of the dam. A hydrologic analysis of the lake watershed area, as discussed in Section 5, paragraph 5.1d, indicates that for storm runoff of probable maximum flood magnitude, the lake outflow would be about 1,439 cfs, and that for the 1 percent chance (100-year frequency) flood, the lake outflow would be about 51 cfs.

Seepage and stability analyses of the dam were not available for review, and therefore, no judgment could be made with respect to the structural stability of the dam.

Two items were noticed during the inspection that could adversely affect the safety of the dam. These items include seepage and numerous small trees on the upstream face of the embankment.

b. Adequacy of Information. Due to lack of design and construction data, the assessments reported herein were based on external conditions as determined during the visual inspection. The assessments of the hydrology of the watershed and capacities of the spillways were based on a hydrologic/hydraulic study as indicated in Section 5. Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" were not available, which is considered a deficiency.

c. Urgency. The remedial measures recommended in paragraph 7.2 for the items concerning the safety of the dam noted in paragraph 7.1a should be accomplished in the near future.

d. Necessity for Phase II. Based on the results of the Phase I inspection, a Phase II investigation is not recommended.

e. Seismic Stability. The dam is located in an area close to the boundary separating the Zone I and Zone II seismic probability areas. An earthquake of the magnitude that might occur in this area would not be expected to cause structural damage to a well constructed earth dam of this size provided that static stability conditions are satisfactory and conventional safety margins exist. However, it is recommended that the prescribed seismic loading be applied in any stability analyses performed for this dam.

7.2 REMEDIAL MEASURES

a. Recommendations. The following actions are recommended:

(1) Based upon criteria set forth in the recommended guidelines, spillway size and/or height of dam should be increased in order to pass lake outflow resulting from a storm of probable maximum flood magnitude. In either case, the spillway should be protected to prevent erosion.

(2) Obtain the necessary soil data and perform dam seepage and stability analyses in order to determine the structural stability of the dam for all operational conditions. Seepage and stability analyses should be performed by a qualified professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of earthen dams.

b. Operations and Maintenance (O & M) Procedures. The following O & M Procedures are recommended:



(1) Provide some means of controlling seepage evident in the area adjacent to the downstream toe to the left of the center of the dam. Uncontrolled seepage can lead to a piping condition (progressive internal erosion) which could result in the failure of the dam. Drainage of the areas affected by seepage should be one of the objectives of the seepage control measures since saturation of the soil weakens the foundation which could impair the stability of the dam.

(2) Remove the trees from the upstream face of the dam. Tree roots can provide a passageway for lake seepage which could lead to a piping condition and subsequent failure of the dam. The turf cover should be restored if destroyed or missing. Maintain the turf cover on the slopes at a height that will not hinder inspection of the slope or provide cover for burrowing animals.

(3) The presence of the 4-inch steel drain pipe covered by fill in 1976 could be a source of water intrusion into the embankment which could impair the stability of the dam. The Owner should address this possibility and take appropriate action.

(4) Provide maintenance of all areas of the dam and spillways on a regularly scheduled basis in order to insure features of being in satisfactory operational condition.

(5) A detailed inspection of the dam should be instituted on a regular basis by an engineer experienced in the design and construction of dams. It is also recommended, for future reference, that records be kept of all inspections made and remedial measures taken.



**WARREN
COUNTY**


LOCATION MAP


VOELKERDING LAKE



SCALE (MILES)

REGIONAL VICINITY MAP





VOELKERDING LAKE





PHOTO LOCATION & KEY
(SEE APPENDIX A)

GENERAL PLAN OF D

SCALE: 1" = 50'



GENERAL PLAN OF DAM

SCALE: 1" = 50'


VOELKERDING LAKE
PLAN OF DAM

Horner & Shifrin, Inc.

July 1980


PLATE 3

EL. (FT. ABOVE M.S.L.)




PR
SC


EL. (FT. ABOVE M.S.L.)




PROFILE-OUTLET PIPE & C
SCALE: 1"=10' V., 1"=20' H.




PROFILE DAM CREST
 SCALE: 1"=10' V., 1"=50' H.





E-OUTLET PIPE & CHANNEL
 SCALE: 1"=10' V., 1"=20' H.




VOELKERDING LAKE
 DAM PROFILE &
 CROSS-SECTIONS
 Horner & Shifrin, Inc. July 1980




DAM CROSS-SECTION STA. 2+20
 SCALE: 1" = 10' V., 1" = 20' H.





STA. 0+70



ION - ④ DAM

VOELKERDING LAKE
 SPILLWAY
 CROSS-SECTIONS

Horner & Shifrin, Inc. Sept. 1980

100

1

20


1

APPENDIX A


INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS



NO. 1: UPSTREAM FACE OF DAM



NO. 2: DOWNSTREAM FACE OF DAM



NO. 3: PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY CREST




NO. 4: PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY CHANNEL DOWNSTREAM OF CREST




NO. 5: UPSTREAM END OF SPILLWAY OUTLET PIPE



NO. 6: DOWNSTREAM END SPILLWAY OUTLET PIPE



NO. 7: PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY OUTLET CHANNEL



NO. 8: EMERGENCY SPILLWAY



NO. 9: SEEPAGE NEAR LEFT ABUTMENT



NO. 10: AREA OF SEEPAGE NEAR LEFT ABUTMENT

APPENDIX B

HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS

HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

1. The HEC-1 Dam Safety Version (July 1978, Modified 26 February 1979) program was used to develop inflow and outflow hydrographs and dam overtopping analyses, with hydrologic inputs as follows:

- a. Probable maximum precipitation (200 sq. mile, 24-hour value equals 25.2 inches) from Hydrometeorological Report No. 33. The precipitation data used in the analysis of the 1 percent (100-year flood) was provided by the St. Louis District, Corps of Engineers. Due to the fact that the watershed for this reservoir is small, the lake level was assumed to be at normal pool as a result of antecedent storms prior to occurrence of the PMF and the probabilistic storm.
- b. Drainage area = 0.152 square miles = 97 acres.
- c. SCS parameters:

$$\text{Time of Concentration (Tc)} = \frac{11.9L^3}{H}^{0.385} = 0.093 \text{ hours}$$

Where: T_c = Travel time of water from hydraulically most distant point of interest, hours.

L = Length of longest watercourse = 0.32 miles.

H = Elevation difference = 191 feet.

Lag time = 0.056 hours (0.60 T_c)

The time of concentration (T_c) was obtained using Method C as described in Figure 30, "Design of Small Dams", by the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, and was verified using average channel velocity estimates and watercourse lengths.

Hydrologic soil group = B (100% Winfield and Holstien series) per SCS
County Soil Report

Soil type CN = 75 (AMC II, 100-yr flood condition)
= 88 (AMC III, PMF condition)

2. The principal spillway has two outlets, a 15-inch pipe outlet and a trapezoidal overflow section, both of which are located about 90 feet downstream of the dam centerline at the right abutment.

- a. Inflow to the 15-inch corrugated metal pipe outlet was determined by assuming flow was over a sharp edge submerged orifice. The following equation was used: $Q = Ca (2gh)^{0.5}$, where "C" is a coefficient assumed to be 0.60, "a" is the area, 1.23 sf, of the orifice. "h" is the height of flow above the orifice, and "g" is acceleration due to gravity. Reference, "Handbook of Hydraulics", Fifth Edition, by King and Brater, page 4-3.

Flow through the 15-inch diameter outlet pipe was determined using Bernoulli's equation for pressure flow in pipes. Losses, including throat, entrance, pipe and exit losses totaled 6.89 velocity heads. Reference, "Handbook of Hydraulics", Fifth Edition, by King and Brater, pages 8-5 and 8-6.

Discharge quantities, determined by the methods described herein were plotted versus corresponding lake water surface elevations to determine the discharge rating curve for the spillway pipe.

- b. The other spillway outlet consists of a broad-crested, irregular trapezoidal section for which conventional weir formulas do not apply. Spillway release rates for this section were determined as follows:

- (1) Spillway crest section properties (areas, "a", and top width, "t") were computed for various depths, "d".
- (2) It was assumed that flow over the spillway crest would occur at critical depth. Flow at critical depth Q_c was computed as

$$Q_c = \frac{a^3}{t}^{0.5} \text{ for the various depths, "d". Corresponding}$$

velocities (v_c) and velocity heads (H_{vc}) were determined using conventional formulas.* Reference, "Handbook of Hydraulics", Fifth Edition, by King and Brater, page 8-7.

- (3) Static lake levels corresponding to the various values passing the spillway were computed as critical depths plus critical velocity heads ($d_c + H_{vc}$), and the relationship between lake level and spillway discharge was thus obtained. The procedure neglects the minor insignificant friction losses across the length of the spillway.

3. The emergency spillway section, located at the left abutment, consists of a broad-crested irregular trapezoidal section for which conventional weir formulas do not apply. Spillway release rates for the emergency spillway section were determined as described above for the trapezoidal section at the principal spillway.

4. The discharges for the principal and emergency spillways (including the 15-inch pipe outlet) were summated for equal elevations and entered on the Y4 and Y5 cards.

5. The profile of the dam crest is irregular and flow over the dam cannot be determined by application of conventional weir formulas. Crest length and elevation data for the dam crest proper were entered into the HEC-1 Program on the \$L and \$V cards. The program assumes that flow over the dam crest section occurs at critical depth and computes internally the flow over the dam crest and adds this flow to the flow passing the spillways as entered on the Y4 and Y5 cards.

$$* \quad v_c = \frac{Qc}{a} ; \quad H_{vc} = \frac{v^2}{2g}$$

100-YR FLOOD (Cont'd)

[illegible]

ANALYSIS OF DAM OVERTOPPING USING RATIOS OF PMF
 HYDROLOGIC-HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS OF SAFETY OF MOELVERDING LAKE DAM
 RATIOS OF PMF ROUTED THROUGH RESERVOIR

JOB SPECIFICATION

NO	IMP	IMP1	ICAY	LAG	IMIN	PETER	IPUT	IPST	ALTA
200	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	OFFER	NAT	LRPT	TRACE					
	5	0	0	0					

MULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED

NPLAN= 1 NRATIO= 4 LRATIO= 1

RTIO= .50 .55 .60 .65

OUT-PUT DATA COMPLETION

INFLUX DATA

INFLUX	IMP1	ICAY	IMIN	IPUT	IPST	ALTA	LRPT	TRACE
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

HYDROGRAPH DATA

INFLUX	IMP1	ICAY	IMIN	IPUT	IPST	ALTA	LRPT	TRACE
1	2	.15	0.00	.15	1.00	0.000	0	0

PRECIP DATA

PRECIP	PMF	50	55	60	65	70	75	80
0.00	25.20	102.00	120.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

LOSS DATA

LRPT	STAR	DLTA	RTIO	ERAIN	STARS	RTIO	STARS	DLTA	ALTA	STARS
0	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	-1.00	-0.00	0.00	0.00

CURVE NO = -88.00 RETRETS = -1.00 EFFECT ON = 0.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA
TC= 0.00 LAG= .00

RECESSION DATA
STRTO= -1.00 GRCSN= -.10 RTICS= 1.00

TIME INCREMENT TOO LARGE--(NHD IS GT LAG/2)

UNIT HYDROGRAPH 5 END OF PERIOD ORIGINATED, TC= 0.00 HOURS, LAG= .00 VOL= 1.00
T01. T02. 06. 21. 6.

END-OF-PERIOD FLOW													
NO. OF	HR./MIN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXOS	LOSS	COMP 0	NO. OF	HR./MIN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXOS	LOSS	COMP 0
1.01	1.05	1	.01	.00	.01	0.	1.01	12.05	145	.01	.01	.01	170.
1.01	1.10	2	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	12.10	143	.01	.01	.01	168.
1.01	1.15	3	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	12.15	147	.01	.01	.01	170.
1.01	1.20	4	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	12.20	143	.01	.01	.01	168.
1.01	1.25	5	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	12.25	145	.01	.01	.01	170.
1.01	1.30	6	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	12.30	150	.01	.01	.01	174.
1.01	1.35	7	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	12.35	151	.01	.01	.01	175.
1.01	1.40	8	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	12.4	152	.01	.01	.01	176.
1.01	1.45	9	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	12.45	153	.01	.01	.01	176.
1.01	1.50	10	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	12.50	153	.01	.01	.01	176.
1.01	1.55	11	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	12.55	153	.01	.01	.01	176.
1.01	1.60	12	.01	0.	.01	0.	1.01	13.00	153	.01	.01	.01	176.
1.01	1.65	13	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	13.05	153	.01	.01	.01	176.
1.01	1.70	14	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	13.10	153	.01	.01	.01	176.
1.01	1.75	15	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	13.15	153	.01	.01	.01	176.
1.01	1.80	16	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	13.20	153	.01	.01	.01	176.
1.01	1.85	17	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	13.25	153	.01	.01	.01	176.
1.01	1.90	18	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	13.30	153	.01	.01	.01	176.
1.01	1.95	19	.01	0.00	.01	0.	1.01	13.35	153	.01	.01	.01	176.
1.01	2.00	20	.01	.00	.01	0.	1.01	13.4	153	.01	.01	.01	176.
1.01	2.05	21	.01	.00	.01	0.	1.01	13.45	153	.01	.01	.01	176.
1.01	2.10	22	.01	.00	.01	1.	1.01	13.50	153	.01	.01	.01	176.
1.01	2.15	23	.01	.00	.01	1.	1.01	13.55	157	.01	.01	.00	176.
1.01	2.20	24	.01	.00	.01	1.	1.01	14.00	158	.01	.01	.00	179.
1.01	2.25	25	.01	.00	.01	1.	1.01	14.05	159	.01	.01	.00	184.
1.01	2.30	26	.01	.00	.01	2.	1.01	14.10	170	.01	.01	.00	189.
1.01	2.35	27	.01	.00	.01	2.	1.01	14.15	171	.01	.01	.00	192.
1.01	2.40	28	.01	.00	.01	2.	1.01	14.20	172	.01	.01	.00	194.
1.01	2.45	29	.01	.00	.01	3.	1.01	14.25	173	.01	.01	.00	194.
1.01	2.50	30	.01	.00	.01	3.	1.01	14.30	174	.01	.01	.00	194.
1.01	2.55	31	.01	.00	.01	3.	1.01	14.35	175	.01	.01	.00	195.
1.01	2.40	32	.01	.00	.01	3.	1.01	14.40	176	.01	.01	.00	195.
1.01	2.45	33	.01	.00	.01	4.	1.01	14.45	177	.01	.01	.00	195.
1.01	2.50	34	.01	.00	.01	4.	1.01	14.50	176	.01	.01	.00	195.

END-OF-PERIOD FLOW (Cont'd)

1.01	2.55	35	.01	.00	.01	4.	1.01	14.55	179	.32	.32	.00	375.
1.01	3.00	36	.01	.00	.01	4.	1.01	15.00	180	.32	.32	.00	375.
1.01	3.05	37	.01	.00	.01	4.	1.01	15.05	181	.20	.19	.00	295.
1.01	3.10	38	.01	.00	.01	5.	1.01	15.10	182	.39	.39	.00	392.
1.01	3.15	39	.01	.00	.01	5.	1.01	15.15	183	.39	.39	.00	438.
1.01	3.20	40	.01	.00	.01	5.	1.01	15.20	184	.59	.58	.00	552.
1.01	3.25	41	.01	.00	.01	5.	1.01	15.25	185	.60	.60	.00	701.
1.01	3.30	42	.01	.01	.01	5.	1.01	15.30	186	1.00	1.05	.01	1435.
1.01	3.35	43	.01	.01	.01	6.	1.01	15.35	187	1.73	1.72	.01	2613.
1.01	3.40	44	.01	.01	.01	6.	1.01	15.40	188	1.67	1.67	.00	1861.
1.01	3.45	45	.01	.01	.01	6.	1.01	15.45	189	.68	.68	.00	1112.
1.01	3.50	46	.01	.01	.01	8.	1.01	15.50	190	.50	.50	.00	315.
1.01	3.55	47	.01	.01	.01	8.	1.01	15.55	191	.13	.13	.00	571.
1.01	4.00	48	.01	.01	.01	6.	1.01	16.00	192	.03	.03	.00	45.
1.01	4.05	49	.01	.01	.01	7.	1.01	16.05	193	.07	.07	.00	111.
1.01	4.10	50	.01	.01	.01	7.	1.01	16.10	194	.00	.00	.00	111.
1.01	4.15	51	.01	.01	.01	7.	1.01	16.15	195	.00	.00	.00	104.
1.01	4.20	52	.01	.01	.01	7.	1.01	16.20	196	.00	.00	.00	321.
1.01	4.25	53	.01	.01	.01	7.	1.01	16.25	197	.00	.00	.00	321.
1.01	4.30	54	.01	.01	.01	7.	1.01	16.30	198	.00	.00	.00	350.
1.01	4.35	55	.01	.01	.01	7.	1.01	16.35	199	.00	.00	.00	350.
1.01	4.40	56	.01	.01	.01	8.	1.01	16.40	200	.00	.00	.00	350.
1.01	4.45	57	.01	.01	.01	8.	1.01	16.45	201	.00	.00	.00	351.
1.01	4.50	58	.01	.01	.01	8.	1.01	16.50	202	.00	.00	.00	351.
1.01	4.55	59	.01	.01	.01	8.	1.01	16.55	203	.00	.00	.00	351.
1.01	5.00	60	.01	.01	.01	8.	1.01	17.00	204	.00	.00	.00	351.
1.01	5.05	61	.01	.01	.01	7.	1.01	17.05	205	.04	.04	.00	351.
1.01	5.10	62	.01	.01	.01	7.	1.01	17.10	206	.04	.04	.00	351.
1.01	5.15	63	.01	.01	.01	7.	1.01	17.15	207	.04	.04	.00	351.
1.01	5.20	64	.01	.01	.01	7.	1.01	17.20	208	.04	.04	.00	351.
1.01	5.25	65	.01	.01	.01	7.	1.01	17.25	209	.04	.04	.00	351.
1.01	5.30	66	.01	.01	.01	7.	1.01	17.30	210	.04	.04	.00	351.
1.01	5.35	67	.01	.01	.01	8.	1.01	17.35	211	.04	.04	.00	351.
1.01	5.40	68	.01	.01	.01	7.	1.01	17.40	212	.04	.04	.00	351.
1.01	5.45	69	.01	.01	.01	7.	1.01	17.45	213	.04	.04	.00	351.
1.01	5.50	70	.01	.01	.01	8.	1.01	17.50	214	.04	.04	.00	351.
1.01	5.55	71	.01	.01	.01	8.	1.01	17.55	215	.04	.04	.00	351.
1.01	6.00	72	.01	.01	.01	8.	1.01	18.00	216	.04	.04	.00	351.
1.01	6.05	73	.03	.04	.03	30.	1.01	18.05	217	.02	.01	.00	195.
1.01	6.10	74	.03	.04	.02	41.	1.01	18.10	218	.02	.02	.00	224.
1.01	6.15	75	.03	.04	.02	45.	1.01	18.15	219	.02	.02	.00	211.
1.01	6.20	76	.03	.04	.02	46.	1.01	18.20	220	.02	.02	.00	136.
1.01	6.25	77	.03	.04	.02	49.	1.01	18.25	221	.02	.02	.00	136.
1.01	6.30	78	.03	.04	.02	50.	1.01	18.30	222	.02	.02	.00	173.

END-OF-PERIOD FLOW (Cont'd)

1.01	6.35	79	.05	.04	.02	52.	1.01	18.35	223	.02	.02	.00	161.
1.01	6.40	80	.06	.05	.02	53.	1.01	18.40	224	.02	.02	.00	151.
1.01	6.45	81	.06	.05	.02	54.	1.01	18.45	225	.02	.02	.00	141.
1.01	6.50	82	.06	.05	.02	55.	1.01	18.50	226	.02	.02	.00	131.
1.01	6.55	83	.06	.05	.02	56.	1.01	18.55	227	.02	.02	.00	122.
1.01	7.00	84	.06	.05	.01	58.	1.01	19.00	228	.02	.02	.00	114.
1.01	7.05	85	.06	.05	.01	57.	1.01	19.05	229	.02	.02	.00	107.
1.01	7.10	86	.06	.05	.01	58.	1.01	19.10	230	.02	.02	.00	99.
1.01	7.15	87	.06	.05	.01	59.	1.01	19.15	231	.02	.02	.00	92.
1.01	7.20	88	.06	.05	.01	59.	1.01	19.20	232	.02	.02	.00	85.
1.01	7.25	89	.06	.05	.01	60.	1.01	19.25	233	.02	.02	.00	81.
1.01	7.30	90	.06	.05	.01	60.	1.01	19.30	234	.02	.02	.00	77.
1.01	7.35	91	.06	.05	.01	61.	1.01	19.35	235	.02	.02	.00	73.
1.01	7.40	92	.06	.05	.01	61.	1.01	19.40	236	.02	.02	.00	69.
1.01	7.45	93	.06	.05	.01	62.	1.01	19.45	237	.02	.02	.00	65.
1.01	7.50	94	.06	.05	.01	62.	1.01	19.50	238	.02	.02	.00	61.
1.01	7.55	95	.06	.05	.01	63.	1.01	19.55	239	.02	.02	.00	57.
1.01	8.00	96	.06	.05	.01	63.	1.01	20.00	240	.02	.02	.00	53.
1.01	8.05	97	.06	.05	.01	64.	1.01	20.05	241	.02	.02	.00	49.
1.01	8.10	98	.06	.05	.01	64.	1.01	20.10	242	.02	.02	.00	45.
1.01	8.15	99	.06	.05	.01	64.	1.01	20.15	243	.02	.02	.00	41.
1.01	8.20	100	.06	.06	.01	65.	1.01	20.20	244	.02	.02	.00	37.
1.01	8.25	101	.06	.06	.01	65.	1.01	20.25	245	.02	.02	.00	33.
1.01	8.30	102	.06	.06	.01	65.	1.01	20.30	246	.02	.02	.00	29.
1.01	8.35	103	.06	.06	.01	65.	1.01	20.35	247	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	8.40	104	.06	.06	.01	66.	1.01	20.40	248	.02	.02	.00	21.
1.01	8.45	105	.06	.06	.01	66.	1.01	20.45	249	.02	.02	.00	17.
1.01	8.50	106	.06	.06	.01	66.	1.01	20.50	250	.02	.02	.00	13.
1.01	8.55	107	.06	.06	.01	66.	1.01	20.55	251	.02	.02	.00	9.
1.01	8.60	108	.06	.06	.01	67.	1.01	21.00	252	.02	.02	.00	5.
1.01	8.65	109	.06	.06	.01	67.	1.01	21.05	253	.02	.02	.00	1.
1.01	8.70	110	.06	.06	.01	67.	1.01	21.10	254	.02	.02	.00	1.
1.01	8.75	111	.06	.06	.01	67.	1.01	21.15	255	.02	.02	.00	1.
1.01	8.80	112	.06	.06	.01	67.	1.01	21.20	256	.02	.02	.00	1.
1.01	8.85	113	.06	.06	.01	68.	1.01	21.25	257	.02	.02	.00	1.
1.01	8.90	114	.06	.06	.01	68.	1.01	21.30	258	.02	.02	.00	1.
1.01	8.95	115	.06	.06	.01	68.	1.01	21.35	259	.02	.02	.00	1.

END-OF-PERIOD FLOW (Cont'd)

1.01	9.40	116	.08	.08	.01	68.	1.01	21.40	260	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	9.45	117	.08	.08	.00	68.	1.01	21.45	261	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	9.50	118	.08	.08	.00	68.	1.01	21.50	262	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	9.55	119	.08	.08	.00	69.	1.01	21.55	263	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	10.00	120	.08	.08	.00	69.	1.01	22.00	264	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	10.05	121	.08	.08	.00	69.	1.01	22.05	265	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	10.10	122	.08	.08	.00	69.	1.01	22.10	266	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	10.15	123	.08	.08	.00	69.	1.01	22.15	267	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	10.20	124	.08	.08	.00	69.	1.01	22.20	268	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	10.25	125	.08	.08	.00	69.	1.01	22.25	269	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	10.30	126	.08	.08	.00	69.	1.01	22.30	270	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	10.35	127	.08	.08	.00	70.	1.01	22.35	271	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	10.40	128	.08	.08	.00	70.	1.01	22.40	272	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	10.45	129	.08	.08	.00	70.	1.01	22.45	273	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	10.50	130	.08	.08	.00	70.	1.01	22.50	274	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	10.55	131	.08	.08	.00	70.	1.01	22.55	275	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	11.00	132	.08	.08	.00	70.	1.01	23.00	276	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	11.05	133	.08	.08	.00	70.	1.01	23.05	277	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	11.10	134	.08	.08	.00	70.	1.01	23.10	278	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	11.15	135	.08	.08	.00	70.	1.01	23.15	279	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	11.20	136	.08	.08	.00	70.	1.01	23.20	280	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	11.25	137	.08	.08	.00	70.	1.01	23.25	281	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	11.30	138	.08	.08	.00	71.	1.01	23.30	282	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	11.35	139	.08	.08	.00	71.	1.01	23.35	283	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	11.40	140	.08	.08	.00	71.	1.01	23.40	284	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	11.45	141	.08	.08	.00	71.	1.01	23.45	285	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	11.50	142	.08	.08	.00	71.	1.01	23.50	286	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	11.55	143	.08	.08	.00	71.	1.01	23.55	287	.02	.02	.00	25.
1.01	12.00	144	.08	.08	.00	71.	1.02	0.00	288	.02	.02	.00	25.

SUM 32.70 31.18 1.50 34025.
(632.11 742.11 48.11 1165.61)

	PEAK	4-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
CFS	2603.	418.	135.	135.	30998.
CFS	74.	12.	4.	4.	1104.
INCHES		25.45	33.15	33.15	33.15
MM		646.47	841.97	841.97	841.97
FO-FT		268.	289.	289.	289.
THOUS. CU. M		254.	331.	331.	331.

CONCRETE GRAVE	0.	100.	0.	44.
CONCRETE	0.	145.	145.	101.
CONCRETE	500.	1000.	1000.	500.

CONCRETE GRAVE

PNF

ELEVATION	INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY TOP	TOP OF DAM
STORAGE	534.00	534.00	537.10
INITIAL	145.	145.	200.
	0.	0.	400.

RATIO OF PNF	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
1.00	0.00	100.	1000.	0.00	15.83	0.00
1.25	0.00	200.	400.	0.00	15.83	0.00
1.50	0.15	200.	400.	0.00	15.83	0.00
1.00	0.00	210.	1430.	1.17	15.75	0.00

CONCRETE GRAVE

100-YR FLOOD	INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY TOP	TOP OF DAM
STORAGE	534.00	534.00	537.10
INITIAL	145.	145.	200.
	0.	0.	400.

RATIO OF PNF	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
1.00	0.00	100.	1000.	0.00	15.83	0.00

DATE
ILME