

AD-A104 729

OREGON STATE UNIV CORVALLIS DEPT OF PHYSICS
TDPAC STUDY OF THE IN_2SE_3 CRYSTAL STRUCTURE. (U)
AUG 81 K KRUSCH, J A GARDNER

F/6 20/2

N00014-79-C-0668

UNCLASSIFIED

TR-3

NL

1 OF 1
AD A
104729

END
DATE
FILMED
10-81
DTIC

AD A104729

LEVEL II

(2)

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-79-C-0668

Task No. NR-056-721

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 3

TDPAC Study of the In_2Se_3 Crystal Structure

by

Karl Krusch and John A. Gardner

Prepared for Publication

in

Physical Review B

Oregon State University
Department of Physics
Corvallis, OR 97331

DTIC
ELECTE
S **D**
SEP 29 1981
F

August 17, 1981

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for
any purpose of the United States Government

This document has been approved for public release
and sale; its distribution is unlimited

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Technical Report No. 3	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. ADA104729	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER TL
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) TDPAC Study of the In_2Se_3 Crystal Structure.		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Interim
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Karl/Krusch John A./Gardner		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-79-C-0668
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Oregon State University Corvallis, OR 97331		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR-056-721
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research/Chemistry Program Arlington, VA 22217		12. REPORT DATE Aug 1981
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 18
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES To be published in Physical Review B.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) crystal structures of In_2Se_3 time differential perturbed angular correlation		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The time-differential perturbed angular correlation (TDPAC) technique has been used to investigate the temperature dependence of the electric-field gradient (EFG) at Cd impurities in the semiconducting compound In_2Se_3 . Between room temperature and the melting point, four different phases could be observed. Most crystal structures which have been proposed for the α and β phases are shown to be incorrect. The β' phase (550-720°C) has not been reported previously. The data suggests that the β phase may be a Peierls distortion of the β' phase. The EFG in the α phase is well described by a simple point		

DD FORM 1473

JAN 73

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-LF 014-6601

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

charge model suggesting that the electronic part of the EFG in α - In_2Se_3 is small.

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

TDPAC Study of the In_2Se_3 Crystal Structure

Karl Krusch and John A. Gardner

Department of Physics

Oregon State University

Corvallis, OR 97331

ABSTRACT

The time-differential perturbed angular correlation (TDPAC) technique has been used to investigate the temperature dependence of the electric-field gradient (EFG) at Cd impurities in the semiconducting compound In_2Se_3 . Between room temperature and the melting point, four different phases could be observed. Most crystal structures which have been proposed for the α and β phases are shown to be incorrect. The β' phase (550-720°C) has not been reported previously. The data suggests that the β phase may be a Peierls distortion of the β' phase. The EFG in the α phase is well described by a simple point charge model suggesting that the electronic part of the EFG in $\alpha - \text{In}_2\text{Se}_3$ is small.

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A	

I. Introduction

Like most III-IV compounds of the general formula A_2B_3 , In_2Se_3 is a semiconductor with a number of structural modifications and complicated low temperature phases. Although it has been the subject of several X-ray and electron microscopy studies (1-7), the different structures and their transition temperatures are not all well established. The time-differential perturbed angular correlation (TDPAC) technique is a powerful tool for investigating electric-field gradients, magnetic hyperfine fields and relaxation mechanisms at the site of radioactive tracer nuclei. Electric-field gradients are very sensitive to the local symmetry of the tracer nucleus, and it is often possible to distinguish between structures which are only slightly different (8). Our interest in the compound In_2Se_3 initially arose from an investigation of motional correlation times at ^{111}In - ^{111}Cd probe nuclei in liquid Se using the TDPAC technique. At lower temperature a solid often precipitated, and it was assumed that this solid was In_2Se_3 (9). The necessity of characterizing the TDPAC spectrum of this precipitate and the lack of definitive structural information about higher-temperature phases of In_2Se_3 prompted us to undertake this investigation of the temperature dependence of the EFG at the site of Cd impurities in In_2Se_3 .

II. Experimental Details, Data Analysis and Results

The radioactive isotope ^{111}In used in these measurement has a half-life of 2.83 days and decays by electron capture to the 419 keV state of ^{111}Cd . This excited state (half-life 0.12 nsec) subsequently decays via a γ - γ cascade to the ground state of ^{111}Cd . The relevant nuclear parameters for this system are given in Table 1.

The experimental setup for the time-differential perturbed angular correlation measurement consists of four NaI(Tl) scintillation counters,

arranged in fixed positions symmetrically in the horizontal plane around the sample. Delayed coincidences of the 172-247 keV cascade were detected for interdetector angles $\theta = 90^\circ$ and $\theta = 180^\circ$ by standard fast-slow coincidence circuits. The resulting four spectra were stored in separate memory banks of a multichannel analyzer as a function of the time delay t between the two γ -emissions. After data were collected for approximately 24 hours, the ratio

$$R(t) = \frac{2}{3} \left\{ \frac{C_1(180^\circ, t) \cdot C_4(180^\circ, t)}{[C_3(90^\circ, t) \cdot C_2(90^\circ, t)]} - 1 \right\},$$

was computed. Here the $c_i(\theta, t)$, $i = 1$ to 4, are the time spectra collected by the different detector combinations corrected for random coincidences. Representative $R(t)$ data are shown in Fig. 1. $R(t)$ is related to the perturbation function $G_{22}(t)$ for electric quadrupole interactions by (10)

$$R(t) = \frac{A_{22} G_{22}(t)}{1 - \frac{1}{2} A_{22} G_{22}(t)}.$$

$A_{22}(t)$ is the anisotropy parameter given in Table 1.

The ^{111}In tracer was obtained commercially as a carrier-free solution in HCl. Samples were prepared by drying a small amount of the radioactive solution on a few pellets of Se and In which were then sealed in a 2 mm ID quartz tube under vacuum. The capsules were heated to a temperature just above the melting point of In_2Se_3 with occasional agitation for 12 hours. Then they were cooled to approximately 800°C and annealed for three days before data were collected. The samples were deliberately prepared with an excess of Se above the In_2Se_3 composition to minimize the possibility of producing any of the more In-rich phases. At temperatures below 750°C , the Se solubility in In_2Se_3 and the In solubility in Se is sufficiently small that the excess Se should have no effect on the TDPAC spectra.

The observed $R(t)$ spectra were analyzed by performing least-square computer fits to the following two-component perturbation function for an electric quadrupole interaction in polycrystalline samples (11):

$$G_{22}(t) = f \sum_{n=0}^3 S_{2n} \cos(\omega_{1n} t) \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \omega_{1n}^2 \delta_1^2 t^2) \\ + (1-f) \sum_{n=0}^3 S_{2n} \cos(\omega_{2n} t) \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \omega_{2n}^2 \delta_2^2 t^2) .$$

Here, f and $1-f$ are the fractions of the ^{111}Cd nuclei which are subject to an electric quadrupole interaction characterized by the frequencies ω_{1n} , and ω_{2n} respectively. The ω_{in} are functions of the quadrupole frequency $\nu_Q = eQV_{zz}/h$ and the asymmetry parameter $\eta = (V_{xx} - V_{yy})/V_{zz}$. The V_{ii} are the components of the diagonalized EFG tensor arranged so $V_{xx} \leq V_{yy} \leq V_{zz}$, while the δ_i describe the relative width of the Gaussian frequency distribution assumed for both lattice sites. This function provided a good fit to all data below 720°C , and no indication was found that more than two different symmetry sites for In atoms exist in any of the samples. The parameters obtained from these fits are given in Table 2, and the derived EFG and η values are shown in Fig. 2. Between room temperature and the melting point, four different phases, denoted by α , β , β' , and γ can be identified. Above 840°C , $R(t)$ could be fit by

$$G_{22}(t) = \exp(-\lambda t) ,$$

indicating either a time-dependent interaction (12) or a very broad distribution of small electric-field gradients (11). The fit parameters λ are given in Table 2. In this temperature range however, the composition of our samples probably departs significantly from In_2Se_3 , so λ probably does not reflect the TDPAC spectrum of pure In_2Se_3 .

Below 550°C (α and β phases), the data are well fit with $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$, implying two well-defined lattice sites. The two sites are equally populated ($f=0.5$). The geometric factors S_{2n} differ slightly from the theoretical values for randomly oriented polycrystalline samples (13) and were treated as free fit parameters. Between 550 and 720°C (β' phase) the data were best described by a single lattice site and a frequency distribution of approximately 4%. In the γ phase (720-810°C), $G_{22}(t)$ was constant, implying a cubic In environment. The β phase shows a strong supercooling tendency, and the lifetime of the metastable β phase above 100°C was much longer than the half-life of the ^{111}In tracer. The α phase samples were made by quenching from 800°C to room temperature and annealing at 180° to relieve strains as much as possible.

III. Discussion

A. The Electric Fieldgradient

The observation of well-defined angular correlation curves for static quadrupole interactions indicates that the ^{111}Cd probe nuclei are in equilibrium with their electronic environment when the γ - γ cascade occurs. This is expected to be the case, since the 0.12 nsec half-life of the initial state of the cascade is long enough and the electrical conductivity of In_2Se_3 (14) is large enough for the electron cores of the Cd probe to relax after the electron capture decay.

Electric-field gradients at probe nuclei in solids are usually taken to be the sum of a lattice part V_{zz}^{Lat} , caused by the ion cores of nearby atoms, and an electronic part which arises from the influence of covalent bonds, conduction electrons, and unfilled electronic shells of the probe atom (15). Since In_2Se_3 is a semiconductor and Cd has no unfilled d or f shells, the latter two contributions should be negligible. We have made a lattice sum calculation of V_{zz}^{Lat} for the α phase using a point charge model for the

nearest neighbor atoms. The influence of second and higher neighbor shells as well as lattice distortions due to the substitution of Cd at the In sites has been neglected. We used the structural data of Likforman et al (3) who describe the α phase as a distorted Wurzite-type structure with two equally populated In sites. No other of the numerous structures which have been proposed (5, 7) has 2 equally-populated In sites. The charge state of the Se near neighbors is estimated from the Se-In electronegativity difference to be $-0.364e$ (16, 17). To correct approximately for the polarization of the Cd electronic core, the field gradient due to the point charges is multiplied by an antishielding factor $(1-\gamma_\infty) = 30$ appropriate to a Cd^{2+} ion (18). The results are shown in Table 3. If we identify site 1 as the tetragonally coordinated site and site 2 as the pentagonally coordinated one, the agreement between the calculated V_{zz}^{Lat} and the experimentally observed field gradients is surprisingly good, so any electronic contributions to V_{zz} are apparently small. The asymmetry parameters are in less good agreement, but these are very sensitive to small lattice distortions around the Cd impurity which were ignored in this calculation.

Similar calculations cannot be done at present for the higher temperature phases, because detailed structural data are not available. It is known however that In_2Se_3 contracts significantly in the $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ transition (19). Qualitatively, one expects a larger V_{zz}^{Lat} when the atoms are closer together, and this is consistent with the larger V_{zz} found in the β phase.

B. Structure of In_2Se_3

These TDPAC measurements show, that all ^{111}Cd tracer atoms occupy one of two distinguishable In sites in the α and β phases of In_2Se_3 . Both sites are equally populated and apart from one site in the α phase, all have less than axial symmetry. There have been a number of X-ray and electron

diffraction investigations of In_2Se_3 , and several mutually inconsistent crystal structures for the α (3, 5, 7) and β (4, 7) phases have been proposed. For the α -phase, only the structure proposed by Likforman et al (3) is even qualitatively consistent with the TDPAC results as discussed above. For the β -phase, the only structure which may be qualitatively consistent with the TDPAC results are those proposed by Semilitov (4), but his structural investigation was too imprecise for any meaningful comparison. The β' phase has not been previously reported. In order to escape observation by numerous investigators the β and β' phases probably are structurally similar, and the $\beta \rightleftharpoons \beta'$ transition probably has a relatively small specific heat anomaly. This observation, along with the temperature dependence of the field gradients in the β and β' phases leads us to speculate that β is a Peierls distortion of β' . Both, the relatively large V_{zz} distribution of the β' single site and the convergence of the two V_{zz} and n values with rising temperature in the β phase are suggestive of this possibility. It should be emphasized that the appearance of the β' phase is not an artifact of the data analysis. The TDPAC data of this phase could be fit as well to a two lattice site model with only slightly different interaction frequencies. Both frequencies fall within the width of the Gaussian distribution determined by the one site model and are significantly different from the values in the β phase.

We find the γ phase to be cubic as reported by Likforman et al (2) but can obtain no further information from TDPAC. Unfortunately we also can deduce no structural properties from our data above 800°C because of the Se excess in our samples. In this temperature region a monoclinic δ phase has been reported (1). These TDPAC data are taken at temperature intervals which are too widely spaced to reveal accurately the transition temperatures.

The $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ transition temperature of 220°C and the $\beta' \rightarrow \gamma$ transition temperature of 720°C reported by Likforman et al (2) are consistent with our measurements. The $\beta \rightarrow \beta'$ transition occurs at approximately 550°C.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Drs. C.S. Shoemaker, M. Cutler and R. L. Rasera for helpful discussions. The financial support of the Office of Naval Research is gratefully acknowledged.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1 Representative $R(t)$ spectra at three temperatures. Statistical errors are shown. The solid curves are computer fits described in the text.

Figure 2 Electric-field gradients and asymmetry parameters derived from computer fits. The two sites in the α and β phase are equally populated. The single site in the β' phase has a frequency distribution of 4%. The lines joining points have no theoretical significance.

REFERENCES

1. G.K. Slavnova and A.A. Eliseev, Russ. J. Inorg. Chem. 8, 861 (1963).
2. A. Likforman and M. Guittard, C.R. Acad. Sci. 274, 378 (1972).
3. P.A. Likforman, D. Carre and R. Hillel Acta Cryst. B34, Pt 1, 1 (1978).
4. S.A. Semiletov, Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. 5, 673 (1961).
5. S.A. Semiletov, Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. 6, 158 (1961).
6. J. van Landuyt, G. van Tendeloo and S. Amelinckx, Phys. Stat. Sol.
(a) 26, K99 (1974) and Phys. Stat. Sol. (a) 26, K103 (1974).
7. K. Osamura, Y. Murakami and Y. Tomie, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 21, 1849
(1966).
8. See for example: G. Schäfer, P. Herzog and B. Wolbeck, Z. Phys. 257,
336 (1972).
9. R.L. Rasera and John Gardner, Phys. Rev. B 18, 6856 (1978).
10. A.R. Arends, C. Hohenemser, F. Pleiter, H. De Waard, C. Chow and R.M. Suter,
Hyperfine Interact. 8, 191 (1980).
11. H. Frauenfelder and R.M. Steffen, in Perturbed Angular Correlations,
edited by K. Karlsson, E. Matthias, and K. Siegbahn (North Holland,
Amsterdam, 1963).
12. A. Abragam and R.V. Pound, Phys. Rev. 92, 943 (1953).
13. R. Beraud, I. Berkes, J. Daniere, G. Marest and R. Rougny, Nucl. Instr.
and Meth. 69 (1969) 41.
14. D. Bidjin, S. Popovic and B. Celustka, Phys. Stat. Sol. (a) 6, 295 (1971).
15. E.N. Kaufman and R.J. Vianden, Rev. Mod. Phys. 51, 161 (1979).
16. L. Pauling, The Nature of the Chemical Bond, 3rd ed. Cornell Univ. Press,
Ithaca, New York (1960).
17. W. Gordy and W. J. O. Thomas, J. Chem. Phys. 24, 439 (1956).

References (continued)

18. F. D. Feiock and W.R. Johnson, Phys. Rev. 187, 39 (1969).
19. H. Mijazawa and S. Sugaike, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 12, 312 (1957).

Table 1: Summary of relevant nuclear characteristics of
radioactive probe nuclide $^{111}\text{In} \rightarrow ^{111}\text{Cd}$

Parent half life	2.83 days
Energies of γ - γ -cascade	171-245 keV
Nuclear spin sequence	$1/2^+ - 5/2^+ - 1/2^+$
Angular correlation coefficients:	
A_{22}	-0.180 (2)
A_{44}	+0.002 (3)
Intermediate nuclear state:	
half-life	84 nsec
spectroscopic nuclear	+0.83 (13) b
quadrupole moment	

All data taken from S. Raman and H.J. Kim, Nucl. Data B₆, 39 (1971) except the quadrupole moment which is from P. Herzog et al, Z. Phys. A 294, 13 (1980).

Table 2: Quadrupole frequencies and asymmetry parameters
derived from computer fits as described in text.

Static quadrupole interaction:

T[°C]	γ_Q [MHz]	η	δ	
25	115.8 (4)	0	0	(site 1)
	83.5 (3)	0	0	(site 2)
107	119.1 (3)	0.11 (4)	0	(site 1)
	82.2 (3)	0	0	(site 2)
182	120.4 (3)	0.11 (4)	0	(site 1)
	82.3 (3)	0	0	(site 2)
120*	143.0 (6)	0.20 (4)	0	(site 1)
	98.0 (5)	0.35 (5)	0	(site 2)
181*	138.0 (6)	0.28 (4)	0	(site 1)
	98.0 (5)	0.32 (5)	0	(site 2)
274	135.6 (3)	0.26 (3)	0	(site 1)
	98.0 (3)	0.32 (2)	0	(site 2)
341	132.3 (4)	0.25 (3)	0	(site 1)
	98.0 (4)	0.31 (2)	0	(site 2)
407	129.3 (3)	0.26 (3)	0	(site 1)
	98.7 (3)	0.30 (3)	0	(site 2)
510	123.4 (3)	0.25 (3)	0	(site 1)
	101.7 (3)	0.27 (2)	0	(site 2)
584	134.7 (3)	0	3.9 (1)%	
628	130.3 (4)	0.08 (4)	3.4 (1)%	
667	126.5 (3)	0	3.3 (1)%	
716	126.5 (3)	0	3.7 (2)%	
759	0	--	--	
806	0	--	--	

Table 2 (continued)

Time dependent quadrupole interaction:

T[°C]	λ [MHz]
843	0.29 (5)
861	0.26 (5)
933	0.09 (5)

*supercooled sample

Table 3: Comparison of experimental and
calculated EFG for the α phase

Site	$V_{zz}[10^{17} \text{V/cm}^2]$	η
#1 (experimental)	4.17 (65)	0(T = 25°C) 0.1(T > 25°C)
4-fold coordinated (calculated)	4.21	0.23
#2 (experimental)	5.78 (91)	0
5-fold coordinated (calculated)	4.67	0.19




Figure 1




Figure 2

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 472 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	2	U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709	1
ONR Branch Office Attn: Dr. George Sandoz 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney San Diego, California 92152	1
ONR Area Office Attn: Scientific Dept. 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003	1	Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster, Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
ONR Western Regional Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko Port Hueneme, California 93401	1
ONR Eastern/Central Regional Office Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles Building 114, Section D 666 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210	1	Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Code 6100 Washington, D.C. 20390	1	Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1
The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (RE&S) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1	Office of Naval Research Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser) Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360	1	Naval Ship Research and Development Center Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian, Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1
Dr. Fred Saalfeld Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GENNo.
Copies

Dr. Rudolph J. Marcus
Office of Naval Research
Scientific Liaison Group
American Embassy
APO San Francisco 96503

1

Mr. James Kelley
DTNSRDC Code 2803
Annapolis, Maryland 21402

1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 056

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Dr. D. A. Vroom IRT P.O. Box 80817 San Diego, California 92138	1	Dr. C. P. Flynn Department of Physics University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois 61801	1
Dr. G. A. Somorjai Department of Chemistry University of California Berkeley, California 94720	1	Dr. W. Kohn Department of Physics University of California (San Diego) LaJolla, California 92037	1
Dr. L. N. Jarvis Surface Chemistry Division 4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Dr. R. L. Park Director, Center of Materials Research University of Maryland College Park, Maryland 20742	1
Dr. J. B. Hudson Materials Division Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York 12181	1	Dr. W. T. Peria Electrical Engineering Department University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455	1
Dr. John T. Yates Surface Chemistry Section National Bureau of Standards Department of Commerce Washington, D.C. 20234	1	Dr. Narkis Tzoar City University of New York Convent Avenue at 138th Street New York, New York 10031	1
Dr. Theodore E. Madey Surface Chemistry Section Department of Commerce National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C. 20234	1	Dr. Chia-wei Woo Department of Physics Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1
Dr. J. M. White Department of Chemistry University of Texas Austin, Texas 78712	1	Dr. D. C. Mattis Polytechnic Institute of New York 333 Jay Street Brooklyn, New York 11201	1
Dr. Keith H. Johnson Department of Metallurgy and Materials Science Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	Dr. Robert M. Hexter Department of Chemistry University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455	1
Dr. J. E. Demuth IBM Corporation Thomas J. Watson Research Center P.O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, New York 10598	1	Dr. R. P. Van Duyne Chemistry Department Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 056

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Dr. M. G. Lagally Department of Metallurgical and Mining Engineering University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706	1	Dr. J. Osteryoung Chemistry Department SUNY, Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14214	1
Dr. Robert Gomer Department of Chemistry James Franck Institute 5640 Ellis Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60637	1	Dr. G. Rubloff I.B.M. Thomas J. Watson Research Center P. O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, New York 10598	1
Dr. R. G. Wallis Department of Physics University of California, Irvine Irvine, California 92664	1	Dr. J. A. Gardner Department of Physics Oregon State University Corvallis, Oregon 97331	1
Dr. D. Ramaker Chemistry Department George Washington University Washington, D.C. 20052	1	Dr. G. D. Stein Mechanical Engineering Department Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1
Dr. P. Hansma Chemistry Department University of California, Santa Barbara Santa Barbara, California 93106	1	Dr. K. G. Spears Chemistry Department Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201	1
Dr. P. Hendra Chemistry Department Southampton University England SO9JNH	1	Dr. R. W. Plummer University of Pennsylvania Department of Physics Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104	1
Professor P. Skell Chemistry Department Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pennsylvania 16802	1	Dr. E. Yeager Department of Chemistry Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106	2
Dr. J. C. Hemminger Chemistry Department University of California, Irvine Irvine, California 92717	1	Professor George H. Morrison Cornell University Department of Chemistry Ithaca, New York 14853	1
Dr. Martin Fleischmann Department of Chemistry Southampton University Southampton SO9 5NH Hampshire, England	1	Professor N. Winograd Pennsylvania State University Chemistry Department University Park, Pennsylvania 16802	1
		Professor Thomas F. George The University of Rochester Chemistry Department Rochester, New York 14627	1