

AD-A104 973

PRC CONSOER TOWNSEND INC ST LOUIS MO

F/6 13/13

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. PERRY CITY DAM NUMBER 2 (MO 10980)--ETC(U)

DEC 80 W G SHIFRIN

DACW43-80-C-0094

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1 OF 1
40 A
10-29-85END
DATE
FILMED
10-81
DTIC

LEVEL II

(12)

AD A104973


MISSISSIPPI-SALT-QUINCY RIVER BASIN

PERRY CITY DAM NO. 2
RALLS COUNTY, MISSOURI
MO. 10980

DTIC
ELECT
OCT 6 1981
H

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Original contains color
plates: All DTIC reproductions
will be in black and
white



United States Army
Corps of Engineers
Serving the Army
Serving the Nation

St. Louis District

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for public release;
Distribution Unlimited

PREPARED BY: U. S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, ST. LOUIS

FOR: STATE OF MISSOURI

DECEMBER 1980

81 10 2 163

DTIC FILE COPY

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	AD-A204	973
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Phase I Dam Inspection Report National Dam Safety Program Perry City Dam No. 2 (MO 10980) Ralls County, Missouri		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED (9) Final Report
7. AUTHOR(s) Consoer, Townsend and Associates, Ltd.		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis Dam Inventory and Inspection Section, LMSED-PD 210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Louis, Mo. 63101		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) 15/ DACW43-80-C-0094 ✓
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis Dam Inventory and Inspection Section, LMSED-PD 210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Louis, Mo. 63101		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS (15) 901
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) (10) Walter G. Shifrin		12. REPORT DATE (11) December 1980
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES Approximately 70
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for release; distribution unlimited.		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) (6) National Dam Safety Program, Perry City Dam Number 2 (MO 10980), Mississippi - Salt - Quincy River Basin, Ralls County, Missouri. Phase I Inspection Report.		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Dam Safety, Lake, Dam Inspection, Private Dams		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report assesses the general condition of the dam with respect to safety, based on available data and on visual inspection, to determine if the dam poses hazards to human life or property.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473


EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

421353

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)



REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
ST. LOUIS DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
210 TUCKER BOULEVARD, NORTH
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63101

SUBJECT: Perry City Dam No. 2 (MO 10980) Phase I Inspection Report

This report presents the results of field inspection and evaluation of the Perry City Dam No. 2.

It was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams.

This dam has been classified as unsafe, non-emergency by the St. Louis District as a result of the application of the following criteria.

- 1) The spillway capacity of this dam will not pass 50 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood.
- 2) Overtopping of the dam could result in failure of the dam.
- 3) Dam failure significantly increases the hazard to loss of life downstream.

Shaded

SUBMITTED BY:

Chief, Engineering Division

8 JAN 1981

Date

SIGNED

APPROVED BY:

Colonel, CE, District Engineer

9 JAN 1981

Date

2113	2114	2115	2116	2117	2118	2119	2120	2121	2122	2123	2124	2125	2126	2127	2128	2129	2130	2131	2132	2133	2134	2135	2136	2137	2138	2139	2140	2141	2142	2143	2144	2145	2146	2147	2148	2149	2150	2151	2152	2153	2154	2155	2156	2157	2158	2159	2160	2161	2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2173	2174	2175	2176	2177	2178	2179	2180	2181	2182	2183	2184	2185	2186	2187	2188	2189	2190	2191	2192	2193	2194	2195	2196	2197	2198	2199	2200	2201	2202	2203	2204	2205	2206	2207	2208	2209	2210	2211	2212	2213	2214	2215	2216	2217	2218	2219	2220	2221	2222	2223	2224	2225	2226	2227	2228	2229	2230	2231	2232	2233	2234	2235	2236	2237	2238	2239	2240	2241	2242	2243	2244	2245	2246	2247	2248	2249	2250	2251	2252	2253	2254	2255	2256	2257	2258	2259	2260	2261	2262	2263	2264	2265	2266	2267	2268	2269	2270	2271	2272	2273	2274	2275	2276	2277	2278	2279	2280	2281	2282	2283	2284	2285	2286	2287	2288	2289	2290	2291	2292	2293	2294	2295	2296	2297	2298	2299	2300	2301	2302	2303	2304	2305	2306	2307	2308	2309	2310	2311	2312	2313	2314	2315	2316	2317	2318	2319	2320	2321	2322	2323	2324	2325	2326	2327	2328	2329	2330	2331	2332	2333	2334	2335	2336	2337	2338	2339	2340	2341	2342	2343	2344	2345	2346	2347	2348	2349	2350	2351	2352	2353	2354	2355	2356	2357	2358	2359	2360	2361	2362	2363	2364	2365	2366	2367	2368	2369	2370	2371	2372	2373	2374	2375	2376	2377	2378	2379	2380	2381	2382	2383	2384	2385	2386	2387	2388	2389	2390	2391	2392	2393	2394	2395	2396	2397	2398	2399	2400	2401	2402	2403	2404	2405	2406	2407	2408	2409	2410	2411	2412	2413	2414	2415	2416	2417	2418	2419	2420	2421	2422	2423	2424	2425	2426	2427	2428	2429	2430	2431	2432	2433	2434	2435	2436	2437	2438	2439	2440	2441	2442	2443	2444	2445	2446	2447	2448	2449	2450	2451	2452	2453	2454	2455	2456	2457	2458	2459	2460	2461	2462	2463	2464	2465	2466	2467	2468	2469	2470	2471	2472	2473	2474	2475	2476	2477	2478	2479	2480	2481	2482	2483	2484	2485	2486	2487	2488	2489	2490	2491	2492	2493	2494	2495	2496	2497	2498	2499	2500	2501	2502	2503	2504	2505	2506	2507	2508	2509	2510	2511	2512	2513	2514	2515	2516	2517	2518	2519	2520	2521	2522	2523	2524	2525	2526	2527	2528	2529	2530	2531	2532	2533	2534	2535	2536	2537	2538	2539	2540	2541	2542	2543	2544	2545	2546	2547	2548	2549	2550	2551	2552	2553	2554	2555	2556	2557	2558	2559	2560	2561	2562	2563	2564	2565	2566	2567	2568	2569	2570	2571	2572	2573	2574	2575	2576	2577	2578	2579	2580	2581	2582	2583	2584	2585	2586	2587	2588	2589	2590	2591	2592	2593	2594	2595	2596	2597	2598	2599	2600	2601	2602	2603	2604	2605	2606	2607	2608	2609	2610	2611	2612	2613	2614	2615	2616	2617	2618	2619	2620	2621	2622	2623	2624	2625	2626	2627	2628	2629	2630	2631	2632	2633	2634	2635	2636	2637	2638	2639	2640	2641	2642	2643	2644	2645	2646	2647	2648	2649	2650	2651	2652	2653	2654	2655	2656	2657	2658	2659	2660	2661	2662	2663	2664	2665	2666	2667	2668	2669	2670	2671	2672	2673	2674	2675	2676	2677	2678	2679	2680	2681	2682	2683	2684	2685	2686	2687	2688	2689	2690	2691	2692	2693	2694	2695	2696	2697	2698	2699	2700	2701	2702	2703	2704	2705	2706	2707	2708	2709	2710	2711	2712	2713	2714	2715	2716	2717	2718	2719	2720	2721	2722	2723	2724	2725	2726	2727	2728	2729	2730	2731	2732	2733	2734	2735	2736	2737	2738	2739	2740	2741	2742	2743	2744	2745	2746	2747	2748	2749	2750	2751	2752	2753	2754	2755	2756	2757	2758	2759	2760	2761	2762	2763	2764	2765	2766	2767	2768	2769	2770	2771	2772	2773	2774	2775	2776	2777	2778	2779	2780	2781	2782	2783	2784	2785	2786	2787	2788	2789	2790	2791	2792	2793	2794	2795	2796	2797	2798	2799	2800	2801	2802	2803	2804	2805	2806	2807	2808	2809	2810	2811	2812	2813	2814	2815	2816	2817	2818	2819	2820	2821	2822	2823	2824	2825	2826	2827	2828	2829	2830	2831	2832	2833	2834	2835	2836	2837	2838	2839	2840	2841	2842	2843	2844	2845	2846	2847	2848	2849	2850	2851	2852	2853	2854	2855	2856	2857	2858	2859	2860	2861	2862	2863	2864	2865	2866	2867	2868	2869	2870	2871	2872	2873	2874	2875	2876	2877	2878	2879	2880	2881	2882	2883	2884	2885	2886	2887	2888	2889	2890	2891	2892	2893	2894	2895	2896	2897	2898	2899	2900	2901	2902	2903	2904	2905	2906	2907	2908	2909	2910	2911	2912	2913	2914	2915	2916	2917	2918	2919	2920	2921	2922	2923	2924	2925	2926	2927	2928	2929	2930	2931	2932	2933	2934	2935	2936	2937	2938	2939	2940	2941	2942	2943	2944	2945	2946	2947	2948	2949	2950	2951	2952	2953	2954	2955	2956	2957	2958	2959	2960	2961	2962	2963	2964	2965	2966	2967	2968	2969	2970	2971	2972	2973	2974	2975	2976	2977	2978	2979	2980	2981	2982	2983	2984	2985	2986	2987	2988	2989	2990	2991	2992	2993	2994	2995	2996	2997	2998	2999	3000	3001	3002	3003	3004	3005	3006	3007	3008	3009	3010	3011	3012	3013	3014	3015	3016	3017	3018	3019	3020	3021	3022	3023	3024	3025	3026	3027	3028	3029	3030	3031	3032	3033	3034	3035	3036	3037	3038	3039	3040	3041	3042	3043	3044	3045	3046	3047	3048	3049	3050	3051	3052	3053	3054	3055	3056	3057	3058	3059	3060	3061	3062	3063	3064	3065	3066	3067	3068	3069	3070	3071	3072	3073	3074	3075	3076	3077	3078	3079	3080	3081	3082	3083	3084	3085	3086	3087	3088	3089	3090	3091	3092	3093	3094	3095	3096	3097	3098	3099	3100	3101	3102	3103	3104	3105	3106	3107	3108	3109	3110	3111	3112	3113	3114	3115	3116	3117	3118	3119	3120	3121	3122	3123	3124	3125	3126	3127	3128	3129	3130	3131	3132	3133	3134	3135	3136	3137	3138	3139	3140	3141	3142	3143	3144	3145	3146	3147	3148	3149	3150	3151	3152	3153	3154	3155	3156	3157	3158	3159	3160	3161	3162	3163	3164	3165	3166	3167	3168	3169	3170	3171	3172	3173	3174	3175	3176	3177	3178	3179	3180	3181	3182	3183	3184	3185	3186	3187	3188	3189	3190	3191	3192	3193	3194	3195	3196	3197	3198	3199	3200	3201	3202	3203	3204	3205	3206	3207	3208	3209	3210	3211	3212	3213	3214	3215	3216	3217	3218	3219	3220	3221	3222	3223	3224	3225	3226	3227	3228	3229	3230	3231	3232	3233	3234	3235	3236	3237	3238	3239	3240	3241	3242	3243	3244	3245	3246	3247	3248	3249	3250	3251	3252	3253	3254	3255	3256	3257	3258	3259	3260	3261	3262	3263	3264	3265	3266	3267	3268	3269	3270	3271	3272	3273	3274	3275	3276	3277	3278	3279	3280	3281	3282	3283	3284	3285	3286	3287	3288	3289	3290	3291	3292	3293	3294	3295	3296	3297	3298	3299	3300	3301	3302	3303	3304	3305	3306	3307	3308	3309	3310	3311	3312	3313	3314	3315	3316	3317	3318	3319	3320	3321	3322	3323	3324	3325	3326	3327	3328	3329	3330	3331	3332	3333	3334	3335	3336	3337	3338	3339	3340	3341	3342	3343	3344	3345	3346	3347	3348	3349	3350	3351	3352	3353	3354	3355	3356	3357	3358	3359	3360	3361	3362	3363	3364	3365	3366	3367	3368	3369	3370	3371	3372	3373	3374	3375	3376	3377	3378	3379	3380	3381	3382	3383	3384	3385	3386	3387	33
------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	----

PERRY CITY DAM NO. 2
RALLS COUNTY, MISSOURI

MISSOURI INVENTORY NO. 10980

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

PREPARED BY
CONSOER, TOWNSEND AND ASSOCIATES, LTD.
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI
AND
PRC ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC.
ENGLEWOOD, COLORADO
A JOINT VENTURE

UNDER DIRECTION OF
ST. LOUIS DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
FOR
GOVERNOR OF MISSOURI

DECEMBER 1980

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dam: Perry City Dam No. 2, Missouri Inv. No. 10980
State Located: Missouri
County Located: Ralls
Stream: Mace Branch of Lick Creek
Date of Inspection: July 12, 1980

Assessment of General Condition

Perry City Dam No. 2 was inspected by the engineering firms of Consoer, Townsend and Associates, Ltd. of St. Louis, Missouri, and PRC Engineering Consultants, Inc., of Englewood, Colorado (A Joint Venture) according to the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" and additional guidelines furnished by the St. Louis District of the Corps of Engineers. Based upon the criteria in the guidelines, the dam is in the high hazard potential classification, which means that loss of life and appreciable property loss could occur in the event of failure of the dam. Within the estimated damage zone of four miles downstream of the dam there are three dwellings, a trailer and a dam (Mo. 10675) which may be subjected to flooding, with possible damage and/or destruction, and possible loss of life. Perry City Dam No. 2 is in the small size classification since it is 13 feet high, and impounds more than 50 acre-feet but less than 1,000 acre-feet of water.

The inspection and evaluation by the consultant's inspection team indicate that the spillway of Perry City Dam No. 2 does not meet the criteria set forth in the guidelines for a dam having the above size and hazard potential. Perry City Dam No. 2 being a small size dam with a

high hazard potential is required by the guidelines to pass from one-half of the Probable Maximum Flood to the Probable Maximum Flood without overtopping. Considering the number of inhabited dwellings located downstream of the dam and another dam being located on the same stream approximately 0.2 miles downstream of the dam, the PMF is considered the appropriate spillway design flood for Perry City Dam No. 2. The Probable Maximum Flood is defined as the flood discharge that may be expected from the most severe combination of critical meteorological and hydrologic conditions that are reasonably possible in the region. It was determined that the reservoir/spillway system can accommodate approximately 25 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood without overtopping the dam. Our evaluation also indicates that the reservoir/spillway system cannot accommodate the one-percent chance flood (100-year flood) without overtopping; however, the reservoir/spillway system will accommodate the ten-percent chance flood (10-year flood) without overtopping the dam.

Other deficiencies noted by the inspection team were as follows: trees and brush were growing on the upstream and downstream slopes; erosion was occurring on the upstream and downstream slopes, in the emergency spillway, at the downstream end of the principal spillway, and behind the left retaining wall of the principal spillway; there were joint displacements and minor deterioration of the concrete in the principal spillway; a need exists for periodic inspection by a qualified engineer; and there also exists a lack of a maintenance schedule. The lack of seepage and stability analyses on record is also a deficiency that should be corrected.

It is recommended that the owner take action to correct or control the deficiencies described above.



Walter G. Shifrin, P.E.





Overview of Perry City Dam No. 2

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

PERRY CITY DAM NO. 2, I.D. No. 10980

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Sect. No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
SECTION 1	PROJECT INFORMATION	1
	1.1 General	1
	1.2 Description of Project	3
	1.3 Pertinent Data	8
SECTION 2	ENGINEERING DATA	11
	2.1 Design	11
	2.2 Construction	11
	2.3, Operation	11
	2.4 Evaluation	11
SECTION 3	VISUAL INSPECTION	13
	3.1 Findings	13
	3.2 Evaluation	19

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

<u>Sect. No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
SECTION 4	OPERATION PROCEDURES	21
	4.1 Procedures	21
	4.2 Maintenance of Dam	21
	4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities	21
	4.4 Description of Any Warning System in Effect	21
	4.5 Evaluation	22
SECTION 5	HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	23
	5.1 Evaluation of Features	23
SECTION 6	STRUCTURAL STABILITY.	25
	6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability.	25
SECTION 7	ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES.	27
	7.1 Dam Assessment	27
	7.2 Remedial Measures.	29

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

LIST OF PLATES

	<u>Plate No.</u>
LOCATION MAP	1
DRAINAGE BASIN AND DOWNSTREAM HAZARD ZONE.	1A-1B
PLAN, ELEVATION, AND EMBANKMENT SECTION OF DAM	2
SPILLWAY PROFILES	3
DRAWINGS AND OTHER INFORMATION	4-8
GEOLOGIC MAPS.	9-10

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - PHOTOGRAPHS

APPENDIX B - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

PERRY CITY DAM NO. 2, Missouri Inv. No. 10980

SECTION I: PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

a. Authority

The Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367 of August, 1972, authorizes the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a national program of dam inspections. Inspection for Perry City Dam No. 2 was carried out under Contract DACW 43-80-C-0094 between the Department of the Army, St. Louis District, Corps of Engineers, and the engineering firms of Consoer, Townsend & Associates, Ltd., of St. Louis, Missouri, and PRC Engineering Consultants, Inc., of Englewood, Colorado (A Joint Venture).

b. Purpose of Inspection

The visual inspection of Perry City Dam No. 2 was made on July 12, 1980. The purpose of the inspection was to make a general assessment as to the structural integrity and operational adequacy of the dam embankment and its appurtenant structures.

c. Scope of Report

This report summarizes available pertinent data relating to the project, presents a summary of visual observations made during the field inspection, presents an assessment of hydrologic and hydraulic conditions at the site, and of the structural adequacy of the various project features and assesses the general condition of the dam with respect to safety.

Subsurface investigations, laboratory testing and detailed analyses were not within the scope of this study. No warranty as to the absolute safety of the project features is implied by the conclusions presented in this report.

It should be noted that in this report reference to left or right abutments is viewed as looking downstream. Where left abutment or left side of the dam is used in this report, this also refers to the south abutment or side, and right abutment or right side of the dam to the north abutment or side.

d. Evaluation Criteria

The inspection and evaluation of the dam is performed in accordance with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" and additional guidelines furnished by the St. Louis District office of the Corps of Engineers for Phase I Dam Inspection.

1.2

Description of the Project

a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances

The following description is based upon design drawings, observations, and measurements made during the visual inspection and from conversations with Mr. Ernest Elliot, a representative of the Perry City Water Department. Any discrepancies between the design drawings and our field measurements will be noted. The design drawings are included in this report (see Plates 4 through 8).

The dam is a rolled earthfill structure with a straight alignment between earth abutments. A plan and elevation of the dam are shown on Plate 2 and Photos 1 through 3 show views of the dam. According to the drawings, the embankment was supposed to be zoned; however, according to Mr. Elliot, the dam is homogeneous and not constructed with three different zones. The dam was constructed with a cutoff trench extending at least 2 feet into the foundation soils. Plans noted a thin surficial silt layer beneath the dam.

The top of dam width is 25 feet and the axis length of the crest of the embankment was measured as 648 feet. According to the design drawings, the top width was to be 20 feet. The emergency spillway, which is located at the left abutment contact, is 70 feet wide. This gives a total length of 718 feet from the left abutment contact to the edge of the principal spillway channel. The top of dam elevation at the maximum section is 688.75 feet above mean sea level (M.S.L.) and was measured to be level. It was not possible to measure the height of the dam because of the downstream reservoir water level. However, the height of the dam from the top of dam to the water surface of the downstream reservoir was measured to be 12.00 feet and according to the design drawings, the structural height was to be 13 feet. The upstream slope was measured to be 1 vertical on 3 horizontal (1V on 3H) and has no riprap protection. The downstream slope measurement was 1V on 3H. The entire embankment is covered with a protective grass cover.

There are two spillways at this damsite that are referred to in this report as the principal and the emergency spillway. The principal spillway is a reinforced concrete weir connected to a chute discharge channel. Field measurements show that the spillway was constructed as indicated on the design drawings. The design drawings for the spillway are shown on Plates 6 and 7. The control section is rectangular in shape, is 50 feet wide, and has an assumed crest elevation of 683.0 feet above M.S.L. (The elevation of 683 feet is shown on the Perry, Missouri 7.5 Minute Quadrangle sheet as the normal water surface for the reservoir; however, the design drawings show the crest elevation as 682.5 feet.) The spillway channel has a total length of about 79 feet. Vertical retaining walls, which were provided with weep holes, line the spillway channel. A 9-inch high and 12-inch wide wall was constructed about 12 feet upstream from the downstream end of the spillway as an energy dissipator (see Photo 5). According to the design drawings, a system consisting of crushed rock and 4-inch and 6-inch farm tile drains was provided under the spillway slab as an underdrain and four cutoff walls were also provided. The spillway discharges directly into the reservoir downstream of the dam and is cut into the right abutment.

The emergency spillway is an earthcut, grass-lined channel cut into the left abutment (see Photo 2). At the control section of the spillway, the channel is trapezoidal in shape with a bottom width of 46 feet, a top width of 70 feet, and side slopes of about 1V on 3H. According to the design drawings, the bottom width of the channel was to be 60 feet. At the control section, the spillway channel was measured to be about 0.5 feet lower on the left side than on the right side. The minimum crest elevation was found to be 684.75 feet above M.S.L., assuming the crest of the principal spillway at 683 feet above M.S.L. The spillway wraps around the dam embankment until the discharge channel of the spillway almost parallels the embankment. The spillway discharges directly into the reservoir downstream of the dam.

A regulated outlet works was constructed at the damsite for use in the Perry, Missouri, water supply system. Water is drawn through a 6-inch pipe from the reservoir by two centrifugal pumps that have a capacity of 100 gallons per minute each and are located in the water treatment plant (see Photo 10). The 6-inch line runs from the water treatment plant located near the downstream dam to a 4-foot square concrete standpipe located in the reservoir (see Photo 1). The standpipe, according to the design drawings, appears to have two intakes that are at different elevations; one is controlled and the other is not (see Plate 7). The controlled intake is controlled by a 6-inch gate valve that is operable by a hand wheel located on top of the standpipe and has an inlet elevation of 678 feet above M.S.L. The other intake has a inlet elevation of 681 feet above M.S.L. The 6-inch line which runs to the water treatment plant has another 6-inch line connected to it that exits into the downstream reservoir. This 6-inch pipe is controlled by a gate valve and allows the upper reservoir to be drained into the lower reservoir. The entire system is operable, according to Mr. Elliot, and the pumps were operating on the day of the inspection.

b. Location

Perry City Dam No. 2 is located in Ralls County in the State of Missouri, and crosses the Mace Branch tributary of Lick Creek. The small community of Perry is about 0.5 miles to the north. The Perry City Dam No. 2 location on the 7.5 minute series of the U.S. Geological Survey maps is found in Section 34 of Township 54 North, Range 7 West of the Perry, Missouri Quadrangle Sheet.

c. Size Classification

The impoundment of Perry City Dam No. 2 is less than 1,000 acre-feet but more than 50-acre feet, and the height is 13 feet. Therefore, the size is determined to fall in the "small" category, according to the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety

Inspection of Dams" by the U.S. Department of the Army, Office of the Chief Engineer.

d. Hazard Classification

The dam has been classified as having a "high" hazard potential in the National Inventory of Dams, on the basis that in the event of failure of the dam or its appurtenances, excessive damage could occur to downstream property, together with the possibility of the loss of life. Our findings concur with this classification. Within the estimated damage zone, extending four miles downstream of the dam, there are three dwellings, a trailer, plus another dam (Mo. 10675). The contents of the damage zone have been verified visually by the field inspection team.

e. Ownership

Perry City Dam No. 2 and Reservoir is owned by the City of Perry. All correspondence is directed to Mr. E. Elliot, Water Superintendent, Perry City. His mailing address is as follows: Perry Public Utilities, Perry, Missouri, 63462.

f. Purpose of Dam

The dam was constructed in 1964 to create an impoundment for additional water supply for the City of Perry, Missouri.

g. Design and Construction History

According to Mr. Elliot, the dam was designed in 1964 by Frank Beard and H. W. Thomas of Salisbury, Missouri. The purpose of the lake is to impound water for municipal water supply. Water can be pumped directly into the water plant, or it can be drained into the lake below.

According to Mr. Elliot, the dam was constructed by Tyhurst Construction Company, of Carolton, Missouri. Efforts to contact the builder were futile.

Mr. Elliot claims that the dam has a clay foundation and that a sheepsfoot roller was used for compaction.

h. Normal Operational Procedures

Normal operational procedure is to allow the reservoir to remain as full as possible while the water level is controlled by rainfall, runoff, evaporation, and the elevation of the principal spillway crest. The water level also varies according to the amount of water pumped into the treatment plant or drained into the lower reservoir.

1.3 Pertinent Data

a. Drainage Area (square miles): 2.34

b. Discharge at Damsite

Estimated experienced maximum flood (cfs): 800

Estimated ungated spillway capacity with
reservoir at top of dam elevation (cfs): 3,139

c. Elevation (Feet above M.S.L.)

Top of dam: 688.75

Spillway crest:

Principal Spillway*. 683

Emergency Spillway 684.75

Normal Pool: 683

Maximum Experienced Pool: 686

Observed Pool: 682.8

d. Reservoir

Length of pool with water surface
at top of dam elevation (feet): 2100

e. Storage (Acre-Feet)

Top of dam: 113

Spillway crest:

Principal Spillway 32

Emergency Spillway 52

Normal Pool: 32

Maximum Experienced Pool: 70

Observed Pool: 31

f. Reservoir Surfaces (Acres)

Top of dam: 18

Spillway crest:

Principal Spillway 9

Emergency Spillway	13
Normal Pool:	9
Maximum Experienced Pool:	15
Observed Pool:	9

g. Dam

Type:	Rolled Earthfill
Length:	648 feet
Structural Height:	13 feet (According to design drawings)
Hydraulic Height:	13 feet
Top width:	25 feet
Side slopes:	
Downstream	1V on 3H
Upstream	1V on 3H (Above water surface)
Zoning:	Homogeneous
Impervious core:	NA
Cutoff:	Cutoff trench into soil foundation
Grout curtain:	None
Volume:	16,000 cu.yds. (Estimated)

h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel . . . None

i. Spillway

Type:

Principal Spillway	Rectangular concrete weir and chute channel, uncontrolled
Emergency Spillway	Earthcut channel, uncontrolled

Length of crest:

Principal Spillway 50 feet

Emergency Spillway 46 feet

Crest Elevation (feet above M.S.L.):

Principal Spillway 683 (assumed)

Emergency Spillway 684.75

j. Regulating Outlets

Type: Water Supply System

Length: Unknown

Closure: 6-inch gate valve

Maximum Capacity: 100 gpm (each)

* The elevation of the crest of the principal spillway is assumed from the U.S.G.S. Perry, Missouri Quadrangle topographic map. The elevations of other features of the dam are obtained by using this elevation and field measurements.

SECTION 2: ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design

A full set of plans was obtained through the Perry City Water Superintendent, Mr. E. Elliot. The plans are dated March 1964 and show the dam and appurtenant structures. No pertinent data were available concerning design hydrology or flood routing.

2.2 Construction

No construction records or data are available for Perry City Dam No. 2.

2.3 Operation

No operational records are available for Perry City No. 2.

2.4 Evaluation

a. Availability

The only valid data available for this dam was the set of plans obtained from the Water Superintendent. No design computations, construction data or operation data are available. Also, no pertinent data were available for review of hydrology, spillway capacity, flood routing through the reservoir, outlet capacity, or foundation conditions. Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" were not available.

b. Adequacy

The lack of engineering data did not allow a definitive review and evaluation. Therefore, the adequacy of this dam could not be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing and evaluating design, operation and construction data, but is based primarily on visual inspection, past performance history, and sound engineering judgment.

Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" were not available, which is considered a deficiency. These seepage and stability analyses should be performed for appropriate loading conditions and made a matter of record.

c. Validity

A set of drawings was available for review. From field measurements and conversations with Mr. E. Elliot, the dam appears to have been constructed according to the available drawings except for the discrepancies described in Section 1.2a.

SECTION 3: VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

a. General

A visual inspection of the Perry City Dam No. 2 was made on July 12, 1980. The following persons were present during the inspection:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Affiliation</u>	<u>Disciplines</u>
Dr. M.A. Samad	PRC Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Project Engineer, Hydraulics and Hydrology
Mark Haynes, P.E.	PRC Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Civil and Mechanical
Zoran Batchko	PRC Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Soils
Razi Quraishi, R.P.G.	PRC Engineering Consultants, Inc.	Geology
Kevin J. Blume	Consoer, Townsend & Assoc., Ltd.	Civil and Structural
Ernest Elliot	Perry Public Utilities	Water Superintendent
Buster McClain	Perry Public Utilities	

Specific observations are discussed below.

b. Dam

The top of dam and the upper exposed slopes of the embankment have a grass cover that adequately protects the embankment from surface erosion (see Photo 2). Both embankment slopes have no riprap protection and are, consequently, eroded by wave action in the respective reservoirs (see Photo 4). Nearly vertical faces up to 3 feet high are exposed. According to Mr. Elliot, the dam has never been overtopped and no evidence indicating the contrary was observed.

Both embankment slopes had large to intermediate-sized trees and bushes growing at or near the water surfaces. The left downstream abutment area inside of the emergency spillway area is low-lying and covered with tall grasses.

The right embankment and abutment areas adjacent to the principal spillway show signs of high water flows through the principal spillway. The right abutment slope, extending from just upstream of the spillway to a point approximately 100 feet upstream, is nearly vertical and 5 feet high. Downstream of the spillway, even though riprap has been placed in the channel, nearly vertical faces up to 2 feet high in an area that extends from the downstream end of the spillway to a point approximately 30 feet downstream are exposed in both the abutment and the embankment.

There is no evidence of seepage or leakage through the embankment.

No signs of past or present instability were observed on the embankment except for both wave-eroded slopes and the erosion at the principal spillway.

The left abutment slopes gently upward from the crest and the right abutment is nearly horizontal. Instabilities that could affect the safety of the dam, as described above, were evident at the right abutment due to the continued sloughing of the right abutment slopes. No evidence of burrowing animals was observed on either the abutment or the embankment.

The downstream reservoir (see Photo 3 and 5) will add to the stability of Perry City Dam No. 2 if it remains full and backs up against the Perry City Dam No. 2. However, in case the downstream dam fails, this will be detrimental to the stability of Perry City Dam No. 2. The crest of the principal spillway of Perry City Dam No. 2 is approximately 6-1/2 feet higher than the crest of the principal spillway of the downstream dam (MO. 10675), and the top of Perry City Dam No. 2 is approximately 5.75 feet higher than the top of the downstream dam (MO. 10675). Thus, the tailwater probably will not affect the flow from the upstream reservoir to the downstream reservoir.

c. Project Geology and Soils

(1) Project Geology

The damsite is located on the Mace Branch of Lick Creek in the Dissected Till Plains Section of the central Lowland Physiographic Province. Loess-mantled Kansas Drift covers the surface of most of the Dissected Till Plains Section. This section is distinguished from the Young Drift Section to the north and from the Till Plains on the east by the stage it has reached in the post-glacial erosion cycle. Broadly generalized, this section is a nearly flat till plain submature to mature in its erosion cycle.

The topography at the damsite is rolling with gentle slopes. Elevations of the ground surface range from 680 feet above M.S.L. at the damsite to 745 feet above M.S.L. approximately one mile east of the damsite. The reservoir rim slopes are in the range

of 7° from the horizontal. The reservoir slopes appear to be stable and free of potential slide activity. The area near the damsite is covered with slope wash of glacial-fluvial deposits and loess consisting of yellowish brown to grayish brown, silty clay.

The regional bedrock geology beneath the glacial outwash deposits in the damsite area as shown on Geologic Map of Missouri (1977) (see Plate 9), consists of the Pennsylvanian Pleasanton-Marmaton-Cherokee Group (cyclic deposits of shale, limestone, and sandstone), Mississippian age rocks consisting of Burlington Limestone and the Chouteau Group, the Devonian Sulphur Springs Group, and Ordovician rocks consisting of Maquoketa Shale, Kimmswick Limestone, and the Decorah Formation. The predominant bedrock near the site underlying the glacial-fluvial deposits consists of the Pennsylvanian Marmaton-Cherokee Group rocks and the Mississippian Burlington Limestone.

Outcroppings of Mississippian whitish green siltstone is exposed at the downstream spillway channel of Perry City Dam No. 1 (which is located downstream of Perry City Dam No. 2) (see Photo 11). Inlet and outlet areas of the Mace Branch of Lick Creek contain Quaternary alluvium.

No faults have been identified in the vicinity of the damsite. The closest trace of a fault to the damsite is Cap Au Gres faulted flexure nearly 20 miles southeast of the damsite. The Cap Au Gres faulted flexure had its last movement in post-Pennsylvanian, pre-Pleistocene time. Thus, the fault has no effect on the dam.

Perry City Dam No. 2 consists of a homogeneous earthfill embankment, a concrete chute spillway located adjacent to the right abutment, and a grass-lined emergency spillway located at the left abutment. A partly submerged, low-level intake structure with a built-in 6-inch diameter metallic pipe is located in the reservoir.

Based on the available data, conversations with Mr. Ernest Elliot, and the visual inspection, the embankment rests on glacial-fluvial deposits consisting of brown, silty clay, with a core trench excavated into the glacial-fluvial deposits. The concrete chute spillway is cut into the compacted embankment fill (grayish brown, clayey silt). The emergency spillway is cut into the left abutment and the low-level intake structure rests on the glacial-fluvial deposits.

(2) Project Soils

According to the "Missouri General Soil Map and Soil Association Descriptions", published by the Soil Conservation Service, the materials in the general area of the dam belong to the soil series of Mexico-Leonard-Armstrong-Lindley in the Central Claypan Area. The soils were basically formed from loess and glacial till. The permeability of these soils ranges from moderately slow to very slow. The Lindley soil is generally quite susceptible to erosion. It is unknown whether the Lindley soil type was used in the embankment; however, if the soil type was indeed used, the potential of failure of the embankment would be increased due to erosion during overtopping.

Materials were removed from the embankment on the downstream and upstream slopes. The material removed from the downstream slope was a dark brown, low plasticity, silty clay to clayey silt. Based on the Unified Soil Classification System, the soil would be classified as a ML-CL. This soil type generally has the following characteristics: it is semipervious to impervious with a coefficient of permeability less than 100 feet per year, has medium shear strength and low to intermediate resistance to piping and erosion. The material removed from the upstream slope was a mottled gray, red and brown, moderately plastic silty clay with a trace of fine gravel. Based upon the Unified Soil Classification System, the soil would probably be classified as a CL. This soil type generally has the following characteristics: it is impervious with a coeffi-

cient of permeability less than 100 feet per year, has medium shear strength and an intermediate resistance to piping and erosion.

d. Appurtenant Structures

(1) Principal Spillway

The slab of the spillway showed signs of differential movement and displacements of up to 1/2 of an inch were observed at the construction joints. The concrete of the spillway showed only minor deterioration. Minor shrinkage cracks, small popouts, and minor spalling were observed. The concrete slab of the channel does not appear to be undermined; however, the backfill at the downstream end of the spillway has been eroded away by flows through the spillway and has exposed a portion of the cutoff wall (see Photo 6). Riprap was placed at the downstream end of the spillway to prevent this problem, according to the design drawings (see Plate 6); however, it does not appear to have been effective. The wingwalls of the spillway appeared to be stable; however, erosion is eating the backfill away from the downstream end of the left wingwall (see Photo 7). The cause of the erosion is unknown. The underdrain that was provided for the spillway slab appears to be functioning as originally intended. The outlet of the drain was observed (see Photo 6) and water was dripping from it. The spillway was unobstructed and appears to be able to function properly.

(2) Emergency Spillway

The spillway channel is covered by a well maintained grass cover; however, the cover does not appear to be adequate protection against erosion. A large dish-shaped erosional scarp was observed in the spillway channel (see Photo 8). The scarp was about 2.5 feet deep and was located at about the axis of the dam embankment. Beyond the large scarp, a small channel was cut by the erosion that followed the left side of the spillway channel and was cutting into the left abutment. The erosion which is cutting into

the left abutment does not appear to affect the safety of the dam or abutment. If allowed to continue, the erosion will just continue to erode into the gently sloping abutment. The spillway is unobstructed and appears to function properly. No instabilities of the side slopes except for the erosion of the left side were apparent.

(3) Outlet Works

The outlet works provided for this dam are, reportedly, operable and the pumps associated with the system were operating on the day of the inspection.

e. Reservoir Area

The water surface elevation was 682.8 feet above M.S.L. on the day of inspection. The surface area of the reservoir at the normal water level is about 9 acres. The right reservoir rim is generally flat pasture land with an access road bordering the reservoir. The left rim area is mildly sloping pasture and farm land. No instabilities of the rim were observed. No houses are built near the reservoir, however, one house appeared to be located in the floodplain upstream of the reservoir (see Photo 12).

f. Downstream Channel

There is essentially no downstream channel for this dam since both spillways discharge directly into the lower reservoir (see Photos 5 and 9).

3.2 Evaluation

The following items were observed during the visual inspection that could affect the safety of the dam and spillways and which will require maintenance within a reasonable period of time.

1. The erosion on the upstream and downstream slopes due to wave action does not appear to affect the stability of the dam in its present condition. However, continual erosion can only be detrimental to the stability of the dam.
2. The erosion on the right abutment and embankment areas adjacent to the principal spillway could jeopardize the safety of the dam, abutment, and the normal operation of the principal spillway.
3. The trees and bushes observed on the embankment pose a potential danger to the safety of the dam. Depending upon the extent of the root system, the roots of large trees present possible paths for piping through the embankment. The root systems can also do damage to the embankment from being uprooted by a storm.
4. The joint displacements and minor deterioration of the concrete observed in the principal spillway do not appear to affect the structural integrity of the spillway in their present condition. Nevertheless, with time these conditions could worsen to the point where the safety of the spillway could be jeopardized.
5. The erosion of the backfill from the downstream end of the principal spillway and from behind of the left retaining wall, if allowed to continue, could endanger the stability of the spillway slab and the retaining wall.
6. The erosion in the emergency spillway can only worsen with future flows through the spillway because of the type of soil in the spillway and because of the exposed areas of the scarp.

SECTION 4: OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 Procedures

There are no specific procedures that are followed for the operation of the lake and the dam. When water is needed for the treatment purpose, water is pumped from the reservoir to the treatment plant.

4.2 Maintenance of Dam

The dam and appurtenant structures are maintained by workmen employed by the Perry Water and Light Department. The crest and slopes are mowed periodically. However, a few trees and bushes were observed growing on the embankment, which pose a potential danger to the safety of the dam. The maintenance of the dam is thus considered inadequate.

4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

The only operable facilities associated with the dam are the valves and piping which direct water from the reservoir to the treatment plant and the lower reservoir. These facilities are also maintained by the workmen employed with the Perry Water and Light Department.

4.4 Description of Any Warning System in Effect

The inspection team is not aware of any warning system in use at the damsite.

4.5

Evaluation

The maintenance at Perry City Dam No. 2 appears to be inadequate. The remedial measures described in Section 7 should be undertaken to improve the condition of the dam.

SECTION 5: HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

5.1 Evaluation of Features

a. Design Data

No hydrologic and hydraulic design data are available for Perry City Dam No. 2. The sizes of physical features utilized to develop the stage-outflow relation for the spillways and overtopping of the dam were prepared from field notes and sketches prepared during the field inspection and available drawings. The reservoir elevation-area data were based on the U.S.G.S. Perry, Missouri Quadrangle topographic map (7.5 minute series). The spillway and overtop release rates and the reservoir elevation-area data are presented in Appendix B.

The hydrologic soil group of the watershed was determined from information available in the U.S.D.A. Soil Conservation Service publication "Missouri General Soil Map and Soil Association Descriptions", 1979. The Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) used to determine the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) was determined by using the U.S. Weather Bureau publication, "Hydrometeorological Report No. 33" (April 1956). The 100-year and the 10-year floods were derived from the 100-year rainfall and the 10-year rainfall, respectively, of Hannibal, Missouri.

b. Experience Data

It is believed that records of reservoir stage or spillway discharge are not maintained for this site. However, according to Mr. Elliot, Water Superintendent of Perry Public Utilities, the maximum reservoir level was about 3 feet above the crest of the principal spillway.

c. Visual Observations

Observations made of the spillway during the visual inspection are discussed in Section 3.1d and evaluated in Section 3.2.

d. Overtopping Potential

Both the Probable Maximum Flood and one-half of the Probable Maximum Flood, when routed through the reservoir, resulted in overtopping of the dam. The peak inflows of the PMF and one-half of the PMF are 12,580 cfs and 6,290 cfs, respectively. The peak outflow discharges for the PMF and the one-half of the PMF are 12,489 and 6,225 cfs, respectively. The maximum capacity of the spillways just before overtopping the dam is 3,139 cfs. The PMF overtopped the dam by 2.15 feet and the half PMF by 0.82 feet. The total duration of flow over the dam is 5 hours during the PMF and 1.75 hours during the one-half PMF. The dam may be susceptible to erosion due to the high velocity of flow on its downstream slope, due to overtopping of the dam. The reservoir/spillway system of Perry City Dam No. 2 is capable of accommodating a flood equal to approximately 25 percent of the PMF just before overtopping the dam. The reservoir/spillway system of Perry City Dam No. 2 will not accommodate the one-percent chance flood without overtopping; however, it will accommodate the ten-percent chance flood without overtopping the dam.

The failure of the dam could cause extensive damage to the property downstream of the dam and possible loss of life. The estimated damage zone extends approximately four miles downstream of the dam. Within the damage zone there are three dwellings, a trailer, and a dam (Mo. 10675) located immediately below this dam.

SECTION 6: STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

a. Visual Observations

There were no signs of settlement observed on the embankment during the visual inspection. There were no signs of distress on the embankment other than the erosion due to wave action on the slopes and erosion in the area of the principal spillway due to discharges through the spillway. The exposed portions of the dam are protected by grass. The trees growing on the lower slopes could eventually pose a hazard to the embankment. Neither the upstream nor the downstream slopes, nor the emergency spillway channel, have a protective riprap layer and, consequently, they have been eroded. In the absence of seepage and stability analyses, no quantitative evaluation of the structural stability can be made.

The structural stability of the principal spillway appeared to be questionable due to the joint displacements observed in the spillway channel. However, it is felt that the joint displacements do not constitute an unsafe condition at this time. The emergency spillway appeared to be structurally stable with the exception of the erosion. Both spillways were unobstructed and appeared to be able to function properly.

b. Design and Construction Data

The design drawings were of limited use in the assessment of the structural stability of the dam and appurtenant structures, even though the dam and appurtenant structures appeared to be constructed as shown on the drawings except for the discrepancies mentioned in Section 1.2a. Seepage and stability analyses compar-

able to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" were not available. No embankment or foundation soil parameters were available for carrying out a conventional stability analyses on the embankment. No construction data or specifications relating to the degree of embankment compaction were available for use in a stability analyses.

c. Operating Records

No operating records were available relating to the dam or appurtenant structures. The water level on the day of the visual inspection was approximately 2 inches below the crest of the principal spillway. The normal operating level is considered to be at the crest of the principal spillway; however, the water has apparently been three feet above the principal spillway crest at its highest point.

d. Post Construction Changes

No post construction changes to the embankment are known to exist that will affect the structural stability of the dam.

e. Seismic Stability

The dam is located in Seismic Zone 1, as defined in "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" prepared by the Corps of Engineers, and will not require a seismic stability analysis. An earthquake of the magnitude that would be expected in a Seismic Zone 1 will not cause distress to a well designed and constructed earth dam. Available literature indicates that no active faults exist near the vicinity of the damsite.

SECTION 7: ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspection. Detailed investigations, testing and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

It should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based upon observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. It is also important to note that the condition of a dam depends upon numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be assurance that an unsafe condition could be detected.

a. Safety

The spillway capacity of Perry City Dam No. 2 is found to be "Seriously Inadequate". The reservoir/spillway system will accommodate approximately 25 percent of the PMF without overtopping the dam. The surface soils in the embankment and the emergency spillway appears to be clayey silt. The emergency spillway and the dam embankment have a cover of grass. The dam is overtopped by 2.14 feet during the occurrence of the PMF. The maximum velocity of flow in the emergency spillway during the PMF will be about 9 ft/sec. The emergency spillway channel may be subject to erosion due to high velocity of flow during the PMF. The dam may also be susceptible to erosion due to high velocity of flow on its downstream slope, due to overtopping of the dam during the PMF.

The dam appears to be in generally good condition. A quantitative evaluation of the safety of the embankment could not be made in view of the absence of seepage and stability analyses. The present embankment and appurtenant structures, however, reportedly have performed satisfactorily since their construction; there have been no failures or evidence of instability. Reportedly, the dam has never been overtopped and no evidence indicating the contrary was observed. The safety of the dam can be improved if the deficiencies described in Sections 3.2 and 6.1a are properly corrected as described in Section 7.2.

b. Adequacy of Information

The conclusions presented in this report are based upon field measurement, design drawings, past performance, and the present condition of the dam. Information on the design hydrology, hydraulic design, operation, and maintenance of the dam were not available. Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams" were not available, which is considered a deficiency.

c. Urgency

The remedial measures recommended in Paragraph 7.2 should be accomplished within a reasonable period of time, and the item recommended in paragraph 7.2a should be pursued on a high priority basis.

d. Necessity for Phase II Inspection

Based upon results of the Phase I inspection, a Phase II inspection is not felt to be necessary.

7.2 Remedial Measures

a. Alternatives

One of the following mitigation measures should be undertaken under the direction of an engineer experienced in the design and construction of earth dams to avoid severe consequences of dam failure from overtopping.


1. Increase the spillway capacity to pass the Probable Maximum Flood without overtopping the dam.
2. Increase the height of the dam enough to pass the PMF without overtopping the dam. An investigation should be done that also includes studying the effects on the structural stability of the existing embankment. The overtopping depth during the occurrence of the PMF, stated in Section 5.1d, is not the required or recommended increase in the height of the dam.
3. A combination of 1 and 2 above.
4. Provide a highly reliable flood warning system (generally does not prevent damage but avoids loss of life).

b. O & M Procedures

1. The erosion due to wave action on the upstream and downstream slopes should be properly repaired and adequately protected to prevent further erosion.
2. The areas of the erosion on the right abutment and embankment and in the principal spillway area should be stabilized and protected from further damage.

3. The trees and bushes on the embankment should be removed from the embankment and prevented from regrowth. Removal of large trees should be under the guidance of an engineer experienced in the design and construction of earth dams.
4. Monitor the joint displacements and the minor deterioration of the concrete in the principal spillway and make corrective repairs when deemed necessary.
5. The erosion of the backfill from the downstream end of the principal spillway and from behind the left retaining wall should be properly backfilled and the areas properly protected from further damage.
6. The erosion in the emergency spillway should be properly repaired and the channel properly protected from further erosion.
7. Seepage and stability analyses should be performed by a professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of earth dams.
8. The owner should initiate the following programs:
 - (a) Periodic inspection of the dam by a professional engineer experienced in the design and construction of earth dams.
 - (b) Set up a maintenance schedule and log all visits to the dam for operation, repairs and maintenance.


PLATES



LOCATION MAP - PERRY CITY DAM II


MO. 10980

PLATE 1A






PERRY CITY DAM NO. 2 (MO. 10980)
DRAINAGE BASIN AND
DOWNSTREAM HAZARD ZONE

PLATE 1B




CONTOUR INTERVAL 10 FEET
 DOTTED LINES REPRESENT 5-FOOT CONTOURS
 NATIONAL GEODETIC VERTICAL DATUM OF 1929

PERRY CITY DAM NO. 2 (MO. 10980)
 DRAINAGE BASIN AND
 DOWNSTREAM HAZARD ZONE (CONT.)




NOTE: ALL ELEVATIONS ARE SHOWN
AS FEET ABOVE M.S.L.

PERRY CITY DAM NO. 2 (MO. 10980)
PLAN, ELEVATION &
MAXIMUM SECTION OF EMBANKMENT



SECTION A-A
PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY




SECTION B-B
EMERGENCY SPILLWAY

SCALE:
HORIZ. 1" = 20'
VERT. 1" = 5'

PROPOSED WATER
IMPROVEMENT
PROJECT, MISS

REVISION OF PLAN NO. 130



WATERWORKS
ELEMENTS
MISSOURI


AF 10-1306


Drawing made at Kansas City, Mo. 1910.
Drawing made at Kansas City, Mo. 1910.


AS BUILT PLANS




W. H. THOMAS
AS BUILT PLANS









SPILLWAY PLAN


1" = 60'

Footgrade below top of wall




SPILLWAY - EAST WALL

1" = 60'



SPILLWAY - LONGITUDINAL SECTION

1" = 60'



SPILLWAY - WEST WALL, REVERSED VIEW

1" = 60'

Shape class of No. 100 E60

on 40% grade


36 ft. wide x 31 ft. high



Structural Concrete 470 CY

Notes: 1. Spillway structure is to be constructed of concrete. 2. The spillway structure is to be constructed on a 40% grade. 3. The spillway structure is to be constructed on a 36 ft. wide x 31 ft. high foundation.




DESIGNED BY
CHECKED BY
APPROVED BY
DATE
SCALE






DATE	10/10/40
TIME	10:00 AM
LOCATION	1000 YD. N. 1000 YD. E. 1000 YD. W. 1000 YD. S.
REMARKS	1000 YD. N. 1000 YD. E. 1000 YD. W. 1000 YD. S.
SCALE	1/4\"/>



1 2



⊕ LOCATION OF DAM

NOTE: LEGEND OF THIS DAM IS ON PLATE 10

REFERENCE:

GEOLOGIC MAP OF MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
KENNETH H. ANDERSON, 1979


REGIONAL GEOLOGICAL MAP
OF
PERRY CITY DAM NO. 2

LEGEND

<u>PERIOD</u>	<u>SYMBOL</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
QUATERNARY	Qal	ALLUVIUM: SAND, SILT, GRAVEL
PENNSYLVANIAN	PPwm	PLEASANTON GROUP: CYCLIC DEPOSITS OF SANDSTONE, SHALE AND LIMESTONE
	Pm	MARMATON GROUP: CYCLIC DEPOSITS OF SHALE, LIMESTONE AND SANDSTONE
	Pcc	CHEROKEE GROUP: CYCLIC DEPOSITS OF SHALE, LIMESTONE AND SANDSTONE
MISSISSIPPIAN	Mo	KEOKUK - BURLINGTON FORMATION: CHERTY GRAYISH BROWN SANDY LIMESTONE
	Mk	CHOUTEAU GROUP: BACHELOR AND HANNIBAL FORMATION (LIMESTONE AND SHALE)
DEVONIAN	D	SULPHUR SPRING GROUP: BUSHBERG SANDSTONE, GLEN PARK LIMESTONE, GRASSY CREEK SHALE
ORDOVICIAN	Omk	MAQUOKETA SHALE, KIMMSWICK LIMESTONE
	Odp	DEKORAH FORMATION: GREEN TO GRAY CALCAREOUS SHALE WITH THIN FOSSILIFEROUS LIMESTONE

APPENDIX A

PHOTOGRAPHS



Perry City Lake Dam No. 2

Photographs

- Photo 1 - View of the upstream slope showing the vegetative growth and the location of the concrete intake tower.
- Photo 2 - View of the top of dam looking through the emergency spillway.
- Photo 3 - View of the downstream slope showing the maintained grass cover on the upper portion of the slope and the trees and bushes at the toe.
- Photo 4 - View of a scarp at the toe of the embankment due to wave erosion.
- Photo 5 - View of the principal spillway looking towards the downstream reservoir.
- Photo 6 - View of the downstream end of the chute spillway showing the eroded backfill at the downstream end and the 6-inch outlet of the slab underdrain.
- Photo 7 - View showing the erosion of backfill from behind the downstream end of the left retaining wall of the principal spillway.
- Photo 8 - View of the control section of the emergency spillway looking upstream and showing the large erosional scarp in the channel.
- Photo 9 - View of the discharge channel of the emergency spillway.

- Photo 10 - View of the two pumps located in the water treatment plant used to pump water out of the reservoir.
- Photo 11 - View of the siltstone outcrop in the downstream channel of the downstream dam.
- Photo 12 - View of the reservoir and rim.
- Photo 13 - View of a dwelling downstream of the dam which appears to be in the downstream hazard zone.
- Photo 14 - View of a dwelling downstream of the dam which appears to be in the downstream hazard zone.

Perry City Dam No. 2




Photo 1



Photo 2

Perry City Dam No. 2




Photo 3



Photo 4

Perry City Dam No. 2



Photo 5



Photo 6

Perry City Dam No. 2




Photo 7



Photo 8



Photo 9



Photo 10




Photo 11



Photo 12



Photo 13



Photo 14

APPENDIX B

HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

PERRY CITY DAM NO. 2

HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC DATA, ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY

1. SCS Unit Hydrograph and HEC-1DB are used to develop the inflow hydrographs, and the hydrologic inputs are as follows:
 - (a) Twenty-four hour probable maximum precipitation from Hydro-meteorological Report No. 33, 48-hour 100-year rainfall and 48-hour 10-year rainfall of Hannibal, Missouri.
 - (b) Drainage area = 2.34 square miles.
 - (c) Lag time = 0.72 hour.
 - (d) Hydrologic Soil Group:
Soil Group "D"
 - (e) Runoff curve number:
CN = 84 for AMC II and CN = 93 for AMC III.
2. Release rates through the emergency spillway are based on open channel flow assuming Manning's $n = 0.04$. Flow rates through the principal spillway are computed assuming critical depth. Flow rates over the dam are based on broad crested weir equation $Q = CLH^{3/2}$.

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION - MISSOURI

SHEET NO. _____ OF _____

PERRY CITY DAM #2


(MO 10980)

JOB NO. 1263

SPILLWAY AND OVERTOP RATING CURVE

BY JEC DATE 7/28/80

Y_1	T_1	A_1	V_1	$\frac{V_1^2}{2g}$	$Q_1 = V_1 A_1$	$WSEL$	G	H_g	L_g	$Q_2 = V_2 A_2$	Y_2	A_{c2}	T_{c2}	$W_2 = \frac{V_2^2}{2g}$	$Q_2 = V_2 A_2$	$Q_T = Q_1 + Q_2$
1.3	52.68	52.22	1.91	.06	106	686.1					0					0
2.08	57.68	96.12	3.15	.15	300	687.6					1.0	50	50	4.0	100.3	100
2.63	61.19	122.61	3.92	.24	500	687.6					1.0	50	50	5.67	283.7	284
3.28	65.4	169.04	4.73	.35	800	687.6					1.0	50	50	7.14	358.58	359
3.65	67.77	193.63	5.16	.41	1000	687.6					1.0	50	50	8.14	403.22	403
5.07	700	293.68	6.81	.72	2000	690.5					2.06	103	50	9.24	1223.2	1523
8.03	700	499.87	10.0	1.55	5000	694.3					2.06	103	50	15.3	1525.4	2025
11.62	700	750.19	13.33	2.72	10000	699.1					2.06	103	50	18.6	1862.3	2862
14.63	700	961.94	15.57	3.78	15000	703.1					2.06	103	50	20.8	2082.3	3082



HEC-2 INPUT AND SUMMARY TABLE

NECS RELEASE DATED NOV 76 UPDATED JULY 1979

CAUTION CODE: 010203

MODIFICATION: 010203

NOTE- ASTRISK (*) AT LEFT OF CROSS-SECTION NUMBER INDICATES MESSAGE IN SUMMARY OF ERRORS LIST

PULLING AND/OR CURVE

SUMMARY PRINTOUT

REQD	DEPTH	AREA	TOPLOG	VCH	HV	Q	Z0	TIME	REMARK
1.000	1.0	84.84	92.88	4.04	.76	104.00	688.77	201.32	40.00
1.000	1.1	82.65	52.73	5.76	.50	500.00	684.57	237.77	40.00
1.000	1.2	75.26	55.42	6.64	.39	500.00	687.17	214.97	40.00
1.000	1.3	184.22	28.76	7.42	.70	800.00	687.90	197.17	40.00
1.000	1.4	132.94	28.76	8.13	1.04	1000.00	688.33	189.97	40.00
1.000	1.5	83.78	68.72	9.84	1.96	800.00	690.05	167.84	40.00
1.000	1.6	376.21	10.09	12.87	2.15	3000.00	693.16	149.79	40.00
1.000	1.7	594.81	70.00	16.78	4.33	10000.00	698.53	130.23	40.00
1.000	1.8	784.49	70.00	19.12	5.64	15000.00	702.53	124.62	40.00
2.000	1.9	82.52	28.68	1.92	.04	100.00	646.11	21.11	40.00
2.000	2.0	85.11	97.67	2.15	.15	200.00	646.54	37.84	40.00
2.000	2.1	127.59	91.13	2.92	.24	500.00	647.48	42.54	40.00
2.000	2.2	164.82	65.46	4.73	.33	800.00	648.38	46.64	40.00
2.000	2.3	193.88	67.50	5.16	.41	1000.00	648.42	48.47	40.00
2.000	2.4	293.68	70.00	6.41	.72	2000.00	690.56	52.83	40.00
2.000	2.5	194.69	70.00	10.04	1.58	3000.00	694.51	62.14	40.00
2.000	2.6	750.15	70.00	13.57	2.76	10000.00	699.13	63.77	40.00
2.000	2.7	961.94	70.00	19.29	3.76	15000.00	703.16	60.86	40.00

SUMMARY OF ERRORS

CAUTION SECTION 1.000 PROFILE 1 CRITICAL DEPTH ASSUMED
CAUTION SECTION 1.000 PROFILE 2 CRITICAL DEPTH ASSUMED
CAUTION SECTION 1.000 PROFILE 3 CRITICAL DEPTH ASSUMED
CAUTION SECTION 1.000 PROFILE 4 CRITICAL DEPTH ASSUMED
CAUTION SECTION 1.000 PROFILE 5 CRITICAL DEPTH ASSUMED
CAUTION SECTION 1.000 PROFILE 6 CRITICAL DEPTH ASSUMED
CAUTION SECTION 1.000 PROFILE 7 CRITICAL DEPTH ASSUMED
CAUTION SECTION 1.000 PROFILE 8 CRITICAL DEPTH ASSUMED
CAUTION SECTION 1.000 PROFILE 9 CRITICAL DEPTH ASSUMED

DAM SAFETY INSPECTION / MISSOURI

SHEET NO. 1 OF 1

DAM NAME: PERRY CITY DAM (MO 10980)

JOB NO. 1263

UNIT HYDROGRAPH PARAMETERS

BY J.C. DATE 7/25/80

K.L.B.

- 1) DRAINAGE AREA, $A = 234$ sq. mi. = (1497 acres)
- 2) LENGTH OF STREAM, $L = (5.4 \times 2000' = 10800') = 2.05$ mi.
- 3) ELEVATION AT DRAINAGE DIVIDE ALONG THE LONGEST STREAM,
 $H_1 = 748'$
- 4) ELEVATION OF RESERVOIR AT SPILLWAY CREST, $H_2 = 683'$
- 5) ELEVATION OF CHANNEL BED AT $0.85L$, $E_{85} = 737'$
- 6) ELEVATION OF CHANNEL BED AT $0.10L$, $E_{10} = 689'$
- 7) AVERAGE SLOPE OF THE CHANNEL, $S_{AVG} = (E_{85} - E_{10}) / 0.75L = .59\%$
- 8) TIME OF CONCENTRATION:

A) BY KIRPICH'S EQUATION,

$$t_c = [(11.9 \times L^3) / (H_1 - H_2)]^{0.385} = \left[\frac{11.9 (2.05)^3}{748 - 683} \right]^{0.385} = 1.19 \text{ hr}$$

B) BY VELOCITY ESTIMATE,

$$SLOPE = .59\% \Rightarrow \text{AVG. VELOCITY} = 2 \text{ fps}$$

$$t_c = L/V = 10,800 / 2 \times 3600 = 1.5 \text{ hr}$$

USE $t_c = 1.19$ hr9) LAG TIME, $t_L = 0.6 t_c = .72$ hr10) UNIT DURATION, $D \leq t_L / 3 = .24$ hr✗ 0.083 hr.USE $D = .25$ hr11) TIME TO PEAK, $T_p = D/2 + t_L = .84$ hr

12) PEAK DISCHARGE,

$$q_p = (484 \times A) / T_p = 1347 \text{ cfs}$$

 FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1)
 DAM SAFETY VERSION JULY 1978
 LAST MODIFICATION 26 FEB 79

1	A1	DAM SAFETY INSPECTION MISSOURI									
2	A2	PERRY CITY DAM #2 (MO 10980)									
3	A3	PMF AND 50 PERCENT PMF									
4	B	300	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	C1	5									
6	J	1	2	1							
7	J1	1	.5								
8	K	MO10980									
9	K1	INPUT PRECIPITATION INDEX, RATIOS, AND UNIT HYDROGRAPH PARAMETERS									
10	L	1	2	2.34	2.34	1					1
11	P	24.4	100	120	130						
12	T										-1 -93
13	H2	.72									
14	X		1								
15	K	1 MO10980									
16	K1	ROUTE HYDROGRAPH THROUGH PERRY CITY DAM #2 (MO 10980)									
17	Y			1							
18	Y1										
19	Y4	683	683.75	684.5	686.09	686.98	687.6	688.36	688.80	690.54	694.32
20	Y4595.11	703.14									
21	Y5	0	100	284	939	1523	2025	2718	3193	10003	37235
22	Y5	82537	140209								
23	3A	0	5	9	13	18	21	52			
24	3E	673	660	-683	684.75	688.75	690	700			
25	33	683									
26	10688.75										
27	K	99									

FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE INCH-11
 DAN SAFETY VERSION 1 JULY 1975
 LAST MODIFICATION 26 FEB 79

RUN DATE: 8/27/79
 TIME: 0938-07

DAN SAFETY INSPECTION MISSOURI
 PERRY CITY DAM #2 (MO 10988)
 PMF AND 80 PERCENT PMF

JOB SPECIFICATION
 NO NHN NHIN IDAY IHN IMIN METRC IPRT IPRT NSTAN
 338 0 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
 JOPEA MNT LROPT TRACT
 0 0 0 0

MULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED
 MPLANS 1 NHTIO= 2 (RTIO= 1)

RTIOS= 1.00 .50

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

INPUT PRECIPITATION INDEX, RATIOS, AND UNIT HYDROGRAPH PARAMETERS

ISTAD ICOMP IECON ITAPE JPLT JPRT INAME ISTATE IAUTO
 010980 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0

HYDROGRAPH DATA
 IHTD6 IUNG TAREA SNAP TRSDA TRSPC RATIO ISNOV ISAME LOCAL
 1 2 2.34 0.00 2.34 1.00 0.000 0 1 0

PRECIP DATA
 SPEE PMS R6 R12 R24 R48 R72 R96
 0.00 24.00 100.00 120.00 130.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

LOSS DATA

LROPT STARR DLTKR RTIOL ERAIN STNKS RTIOK STRIL CNSTL ALSKX RTIMP
 0 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

CURVE NO = 93.00 WETNESS = -1.00 EFFECT CN = 93.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA

TC= 0.00 LAG= .72

RECESSION DATA

STRIO= 0.00 GRCSNF 0.00 RTIO= 1.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH 16 END OF PERIOD ORDINATES TC= 0.00 HOURS LAG= .72 VOL= 1.00
 299 860 1312 1255 936 544 339 219 130 89

THOUS CU M	AC-FT	MM	CMES	CFS	PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12588.	3893.	1938.	646.	186183.
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	356.	167.	88.	18.	8271.
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.43	30.82	50.83	30.83
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	395.03	782.89	783.19	783.19
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2922.	1045.	3046.	3848.
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5866.	6142.	6146.	6744.

THOUS CU M	AC-FT	MM	CMES	CFS	PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12588.	3893.	1938.	646.	186183.
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	356.	167.	88.	18.	8271.
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.43	30.82	50.83	30.83
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	395.03	782.89	783.19	783.19
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2922.	1045.	3046.	3848.
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5866.	6142.	6146.	6744.

B-14

PEAK FLOW AND STORAGE (END OF PERIOD) SUMMARY FOR MULTIPLE PLAN-RATIO ECONOMIC COMPUTATIONS
 FLOWS IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CUBIC METERS PER SECOND)
 AREA IN SQUARE MILES (SQUARE KILOMETERS)

OPERATION	STATION	AREA	PLAN	RATIO	1	RATIO	2
					1.00		.56

HYDROGRAPH AT	016900	2.74	1	12500			6298
		6.065	4	358263			376.12

ROUTED TO	016900	2.39	1	18489			6225
		5.061	4	301.6814			376.8741

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN	ELEVATION STORAGE OUTFLOW	INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY CREST	TOP OF DAM
		685.00	685.00	688.75
		32	32	113
		0	0	5139

RATIO OF CBE	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S. ELEV	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE ACFT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
1.00	690.89	0.14	137	12487	5.00	16.29	0.00
0.88	689.57	0.82	189	8225	1.75	16.59	1.00

ВНИТОН - НАМОВОДНА

ROUTE HYDROGRAPH THROUGH PERRY CITY CAN BE TWO 19801

STAGE	NAME	STAGE	NAME	STAGE	NAME
1	1	2	2	3	3
4	4	5	5	6	6
7	7	8	8	9	9
10	10	11	11	12	12
13	13	14	14	15	15
16	16	17	17	18	18
19	19	20	20	21	21
22	22	23	23	24	24
25	25	26	26	27	27
28	28	29	29	30	30
31	31	32	32	33	33
34	34	35	35	36	36
37	37	38	38	39	39
40	40	41	41	42	42
43	43	44	44	45	45
46	46	47	47	48	48
49	49	50	50	51	51
52	52	53	53	54	54
55	55	56	56	57	57
58	58	59	59	60	60
61	61	62	62	63	63
64	64	65	65	66	66
67	67	68	68	69	69
70	70	71	71	72	72
73	73	74	74	75	75
76	76	77	77	78	78
79	79	80	80	81	81
82	82	83	83	84	84
85	85	86	86	87	87
88	88	89	89	90	90
91	91	92	92	93	93
94	94	95	95	96	96
97	97	98	98	99	99
100	100	101	101	102	102
103	103	104	104	105	105
106	106	107	107	108	108
109	109	110	110	111	111
112	112	113	113	114	114
115	115	116	116	117	117
118	118	119	119	120	120
121	121	122	122	123	123
124	124	125	125	126	126
127	127	128	128	129	129
130	130	131	131	132	132
133	133	134	134	135	135
136	136	137	137	138	138
139	139	140	140	141	141
142	142	143	143	144	144
145	145	146	146	147	147
148	148	149	149	150	150
151	151	152	152	153	153
154	154	155	155	156	156
157	157	158	158	159	159
160	160	161	161	162	162
163	163	164	164	165	165
166	166	167	167	168	168
169	169	170	170	171	171
172	172	173	173	174	174
175	175	176	176	177	177
178	178	179	179	180	180
181	181	182	182	183	183
184	184	185	185	186	186
187	187	188	188	189	189
190	190	191	191	192	192
193	193	194	194	195	195
196	196	197	197	198	198
199	199	200	200	201	201
202	202	203	203	204	204
205	205	206	206	207	207
208	208	209	209		

010980

QLOS	CLOS	AVG	IRS	ISAME	LOPI	TPMP	LSTR
55079	55073						

Year	1960	1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057	2058	2059	2060	2061	2062	2063	2064	2065	2066	2067	2068	2069	2070	2071	2072	2073	2074	2075	2076	2077	2078	2079	2080	2081	2082	2083	2084	2085	2086	2087	2088	2089	2090	2091	2092	2093	2094	2095	2096	2097	2098	2099	2100																																				
Population	1,000,000	1,050,000	1,100,000	1,150,000	1,200,000	1,250,000	1,300,000	1,350,000	1,400,000	1,450,000	1,500,000	1,550,000	1,600,000	1,650,000	1,700,000	1,750,000	1,800,000	1,850,000	1,900,000	1,950,000	2,000,000	2,050,000	2,100,000	2,150,000	2,200,000	2,250,000	2,300,000	2,350,000	2,400,000	2,450,000	2,500,000	2,550,000	2,600,000	2,650,000	2,700,000	2,750,000	2,800,000	2,850,000	2,900,000	2,950,000	3,000,000	3,050,000	3,100,000	3,150,000	3,200,000	3,250,000	3,300,000	3,350,000	3,400,000	3,450,000	3,500,000	3,550,000	3,600,000	3,650,000	3,700,000	3,750,000	3,800,000	3,850,000	3,900,000	3,950,000	4,000,000	4,050,000	4,100,000	4,150,000	4,200,000	4,250,000	4,300,000	4,350,000	4,400,000	4,450,000	4,500,000	4,550,000	4,600,000	4,650,000	4,700,000	4,750,000	4,800,000	4,850,000	4,900,000	4,950,000	5,000,000	5,050,000	5,100,000	5,150,000	5,200,000	5,250,000	5,300,000	5,350,000	5,400,000	5,450,000	5,500,000	5,550,000	5,600,000	5,650,000	5,700,000	5,750,000	5,800,000	5,850,000	5,900,000	5,950,000	6,000,000	6,050,000	6,100,000	6,150,000	6,200,000	6,250,000	6,300,000	6,350,000	6,400,000	6,450,000	6,500,000	6,550,000	6,600,000	6,650,000	6,700,000	6,750,000	6,800,000	6,850,000	6,900,000	6,950,000	7,000,000	7,050,000	7,100,000	7,150,000	7,200,000	7,250,000	7,300,000	7,350,000	7,400,000	7,450,000	7,500,000	7,550,000	7,600,000	7,650,000	7,700,000	7,750,000	7,800,000	7,850,000	7,900,000	7,950,000	8,000,000	8,050,000	8,100,000	8,150,000	8,200,000	8,250,000	8,300,000	8,350,000	8,400,000	8,450,000	8,500,000	8,550,000	8,600,000	8,650,000	8,700,000	8,750,000	8,800,000	8,850,000	8,900,000	8,950,000	9,000,000	9,050,000	9,100,000	9,150,000	9,200,000	9,250,000	9,300,000	9,350,000	9,400,000	9,450,000	9,500,000	9,550,000	9,600,000	9,650,000	9,700,000	9,750,000	9,80

[illegible][illegible]

703.14

DATE	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT	BALANCE
100.00			
24.00			
939.00			
1023.00			
2025.00			
3192.00			
4003.00			
57235.00			

06-002749

5. 13. 18. 19.

6	124.	..38.	..424.	..113.	..119.	..431.
	124.	..38.	..424.	..113.	..119.	..431.

680.	685.	690.	700.
------	------	------	------

SPWTD	COOM	EXPM	ELCYL	COOL	CARGA	ENPL
CBEI						

0000

BAN DATA

[illegible]

SMITH 05-91 3M17 1V-5

1

• AT TIME 16.50 HOURS

1. The first step in the process of creating a new product is to identify a market need. This involves conducting market research to understand the preferences and behaviors of potential customers. Once a need is identified, the next step is to develop a concept that addresses this need. This concept should be unique and offer a clear value proposition to the target market.

16.00 HOUR \$ 7811.17

10

100

4 AT TIME 16.50 HOURS

100

TIME 16.29 HOURS

10

1. The first part of the document is a letter from the President of the United States to the Congress, dated January 1, 1861. It is a very important document, as it sets out the President's policy on the secession of the Southern States. The President states that he will not recognize the secession of any State, and that he will use all the power of the Federal Government to maintain the Union.

[illegible]

100

100

1. The first step in the process of creating a new product is to identify a market need. This involves conducting market research to understand what consumers want and what problems they are facing. Once a need is identified, the next step is to develop a concept that addresses this need. This is often done through brainstorming sessions with a team of designers and engineers. The concept is then refined through prototyping and testing. The final step is to launch the product into the market and monitor its performance. This involves tracking sales, customer feedback, and market trends to ensure the product remains relevant and competitive.

PEAK FLOW AND STORAGE (END OF PERIOD) SUMMARY FOR MULTIPLE PLAN-RATIO ECONOMIC COMPUTATIONS
 FLOWS IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CUBIC METERS PER SECOND)
 AREA IN SQUARE MILES (SQUARE KILOMETERS)

OPERATION	STATION	AREA	PLAN	RATIO 1	RATIO 2	RATIO 3	RATIO 4	RATIO 5	RATIO 6
				.15	.20	.25	.30	.35	.40
HYDROGRAPH AT 010900		8.53	1	1087	2016	3149	3774	4908	9032
		8.06	1	63.641	371.281	89.001	106.871	129.681	142.501
ROUTED TO	010900	2.34	1	1741	2361	2978	3906	5381	8077
		2.16	1	42.291	66.871	84.331	110.621	124.081	153.721

STANDARD ANALYSIS

RATIO OF PRELIMINARY	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR ELEVATION	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM BASEFT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS	TOP OF DAM ELEVATION	SPILLWAY CREST ELEVATION	INITIAL VALUE ELEVATION	STORAGE OUTFLOW
.15	607.23	0.00	88.	1741.	0.00	16.50	0.00	866.76	603.80	603.80	0.00
.25	607.37	0.00	100.	2361.	0.00	16.50	0.00	866.76	603.80	603.80	0.00
.35	608.60	0.00	111.	2978.	0.00	16.50	0.00	866.76	603.80	603.80	0.00
.45	608.98	.23	117.	3906.	.75	16.50	0.00	866.76	603.80	603.80	0.00
.55	609.10	.35	120.	4301.	.75	16.50	0.00	866.76	603.80	603.80	0.00
.65	609.28	.53	123.	5077.	1.25	16.25	0.00	866.76	603.80	603.80	0.00

DATE
ILME