


AD-A105 024

KIMBALL (L ROBERT) AND ASSOCIATES EBENSBURG PA
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM, LAGUNA PALMA DAM (MO 30404), MISSI--ETC(U)
AUG 79 R J KIMBALL, J T HOCKENSMITH

F/6 13/13
DACW43-79-C-0034
NL

UNCLASSIFIED

1 OF 1
AD A
104024



LEVEL II

P

MISSISSIPPI - KASKASKIA - ST. LOUIS BASIN

AL 105024

LAGUNA PALMA DAM

JEFFERSON COUNTY, MISSOURI

MO 30404

**DTIC
ELECTE
S OCT 0 6 1981 D
E**

**PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY INSPECTION**

DTIC FILE COPY



**United States Army
Corps of Engineers**
... Serving the Army
... Serving the Nation

St. Louis District

*Original contains color
plates: All DTIC reproductions
will be in black and
white*

PREPARED BY: U. S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, ST. LOUIS

FOR: STATE OF MISSOURI

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

AUGUST 1979

81 10 2 182

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. <u>AD-A105024</u>	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Phase I Dam Inspection Report National Dam Safety Program Laguna Palma Dam (MO 30404) Jefferson County, Missouri	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED <u>Final Report</u>	
7. AUTHOR(s) L. Robert Kimball and Associates	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis Dam Inventory and Inspection Section, LMSED-PD 210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Louis, Mo. 63101	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) <u>DACW43-79-C-0034</u>	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis Dam Inventory and Inspection Section, LMSED-PD 210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Louis, Mo. 63101	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS <u>1.05</u>	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) <u>6</u> National Dam Safety Program. Laguna Palma Dam (MO 30404), Mississippi - Kaskaskia - St. Louis Basin, Jefferson County, Missouri. Phase I Inspection Report.	12. REPORT DATE <u>11 August 1979</u>	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT Approved for release; distribution unlimited.	13. NUMBER OF PAGES <u>Approximately 50</u>	
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) <u>1.05</u> <u>1.05</u>	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) <u>UNCLASSIFIED</u>	
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Dam Safety, Lake, Dam Inspection, Private Dams		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report assesses the general condition of the dam with respect to safety, based on available data and on visual inspection, to determine if the dam poses hazards to human life or property.		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE


UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

411059

LB

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through frequent inspections can unsafe conditions be detected and only through continued care and maintenance can these conditions be prevented or corrected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the spillway design flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. The spillway design flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
ST. LOUIS DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
210 NORTH 12TH STREET
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63101

IN REPLY REFER TO

LMSD-FI

SUBJECT: Laguna Palma Dam Phase I Inspection Report

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A	

This report presents the results of field inspection and evaluation of the Laguna Palma Dam.

It was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams.

This dam has been classified as unsafe, emergency by the St. Louis District as a result of the application of the following criteria:

1. Spillway will not pass a 10-year frequency flood without overtopping of the dam. The spillway is, therefore, considered to be unusually small and seriously inadequate.
2. Overtopping could result in dam failure.
3. Dam failure significantly increases the hazard to life and property downstream.

SUBMITTED BY:

SIGNED

Chief, Engineering Division

26 FEB 1980

Date

APPROVED BY:

SIGNED

Colonel, CE, District Engineer

26 FEB 1980

Date

PHASE I REPORT

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

NAME OF DAM	Laguna Palma
STATE LOCATED	Missouri
COUNTY LOCATED	Jefferson
STREAM	West Fork of Platin Creek
DATE OF INSPECTION	May 2, 1979

Laguna Palma Dam was inspected using the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams". These guidelines were developed by the Chief of Engineers, U.S. Army, Washington, D.C., with the help of federal and state agencies, professional engineering organizations, and private engineers. The resulting guidelines are considered to represent a consensus of the engineering profession.

Based on the criteria in the guidelines, the dam is in the high hazard potential classification, which means that loss of life and appreciable property loss could occur in the event of failure of the dam. The dam is in the small size classification since it is greater than 25 feet high, but less than 40 feet high. Within the estimated damage zone approximately three miles downstream of the dam are nine dwellings, county roads and Highway T.

Based on the downstream affected area the Spillway Design Flood for this dam is the PMF (probable maximum flood). The spillway is capable of controlling approximately 3% of the PMF without overtopping the embankment. In addition, the spillway cannot control the 10 year storm.

Deficiencies visually observed for Laguna Palma Dam were no riprap on the upstream slope, cracking of the concrete gravity section and possible inoperable drain line valve. There is no warning system in effect or a safety inspection program. Stability, stress and seepage analyses comparable to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspections of Dams" are not available which is considered a deficiency. These deficiencies should be remedied at the direction of a professional engineer knowledgeable in the design and construction of concrete and earth fill dams.

Laguna Palma Dam - MO. 30404

The owner should take action to correct or control these deficiencies.

R. Jeffrey Kimball

R. JEFFREY KIMBALL, P.E.
L. Robert Kimball & Associates
Vice President, Earth Sciences

James T. Hockensmith

JAMES T. HOCKENSMITH
L. Robert Kimball & Associates
Geologist

Kuang Hwei Chuang

KUANG HWEI CHUANG, P.E.
L. Robert Kimball & Associates
Hydraulic Engineer



Laguna Palma Dam - Overview

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Description of Project	1
1.3 Pertinent Data	2
SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA	5
2.1 Design	5
2.2 Construction	5
2.3 Operation	5
2.4 Evaluation	5
SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION	6
3.1 Findings	6
3.2 Evaluation	8
SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES	9
4.1 Procedures	9
4.2 Maintenance of the Dam	9
4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities	9
4.4 Description of any Warning System in Effect	9
4.5 Evaluation	9
SECTION 5 - HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC	10
5.1 Evaluation of Features	10
SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY	11
6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability	11
SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS/REMEDIAL MEASURES	12
7.1 Dam Assessment	12
7.2 Recommendations/Remedial Measures	12

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - DRAWINGS

APPENDIX B - HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS

APPENDIX C - PHOTOGRAPHS

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM
LAGUNA PALMA DAM - ID NO. 30404

SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 GENERAL

a. Authority. The National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a program of safety inspection of dams throughout the United States. Pursuant to the above, the St. Louis District, Corps of Engineers, District Engineer directed that a safety inspection of the Laguna Palma Dam be made.

b. Purpose of Inspection. The purpose of the inspection was to make an assessment of the general condition of the dam with respect to safety, based upon available data and visual inspection, in order to determine if the dam poses hazards to human life or property.

c. Evaluation Criteria. Criteria used to evaluate the dam were furnished by the Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers, in "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspections of Dams". These guidelines were developed with the help of several federal agencies and many state agencies, professional engineering organizations, and private engineers.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

a. Description of Dam and Appurtenances.

(1) Laguna Palma Dam is an earthfill dam with a concrete gravity spillway section. The concrete gravity section is approximately 90 feet long and 26 feet high. The earth portion of the dam is approximately 440 feet long and 15 feet high. A earth embankment has a bend in the upstream direction. The concrete core wall is located in the earth embankment section between the concrete gravity section and the bend in the earth embankment. The upstream slope does not contain any riprap. The slope varies from 1.5H:1V to 2.5H:1V. The downstream slope is variable and averages 3H:1V. The downstream slope is grassed.

The spillway is located in the concrete gravity section. The spillway is trapezoidal in shape with a bottom width of 60 feet. In the concrete gravity section six feet below the crest is a 24" cast iron pipe. This pipe is used as a drainline.

Upstream of Laguna Palma Dam is Lake Lacawanna which is an earthfill dam approximately 40 feet high. The spillway is a trapezoidal shaped earth cut with a bottom width of 12 feet.

b. Location. Laguna Palma Dam is located approximately 4.5 miles east of Vales Mines, Missouri on the West Fork of Platin Creek. The dam can be located (Section 36, Township 39 North, Range 5 East) on the Halifax, Missouri 7.5 minute U.S.G.S quadrangle.

c. Size Classification. Laguna Palma Dam is a small size structure (26 feet high, 166 acre-feet).

d. Hazard Classification. Laguna Palma Dam is a high hazard dam. Downstream conditions indicate that loss of life is probable should failure of the dam occur. Within the estimated damage zone approximately three miles downstream of the dam are nine dwellings, county roads and Highway T.

e. Ownership. Laguna Palma Dam is owned by Harold Whitman. Correspondence should be addressed to:

Mr. Harold Whitman
Route 1
Desoto, Missouri 63020
314-937-8377

f. Purpose of Dam. Laguna Palma Dam is used for recreation.

g. Design and Construction History. Based on interviews with the owner Laguna Palma Dam was reportedly built in the early 1940's. The present owner bought the dam in 1970. No design drawings, reports or construction history exist.

h. Normal Operating Procedures. No operating records exist. The drainline has not been operated by the present owner. Excess inflow discharges over the spillway crest.

1.3 PERTINENT DATA

a. Drainage Area.

Laguna Palma Dam	7.07 square miles (U.S.G.S. quadrangle)
Lake Lackawanna Dam	2.30 square miles (U.S.G.S. quadrangle)

b. Discharge at Damsite (cfs).

(1) Maximum known flood at dam site	Unknown
(2) Spillway capacity at top of dam	1413
(3) Drainlines	Unknown

c. Elevation (feet) - Field survey based on spillway elevation
585 shown on U.S.G.S. quadrangle.

(1) Top of dam	588.7
(2) Spillway crest	585.0
(3) Normal pool	585.0
(4) Maximum pool (PMF)	597.8
(5) Invert on 24" CIP	579.0
(6) Tailwater on day of inspection	569.0
(7) Streambed at centerline of dam	563.0

d. Reservoir (feet).

(1) Length of maximum pool (top of dam)	3000
(2) Length of normal pool	2200

e. Storage (acre-feet).

(1) Top of dam	166
(2) Spillway crest	98
(3) Normal pool	98
(4) Maximum pool (PMF)	422

f. Reservoir Surface (acres).

(1) Top of dam	26
(2) Spillway crest	16
(3) Normal pool	16
(4) Maximum pool (PMF)	36

g. Dam.

(1) Type	Earth embankment with concrete gravity spillway
(2) Length	530 feet
(3) Height	26 feet
(4) Top width - earth embankment	6 feet
- concrete gravity section	2.5 feet
(5) Side slopes	Earth embankment - upstream Varies from 1.5H:1V to 2.5H:1V
- downstream	3H:1V
Concrete gravity section - upstream	Unknown
- downstream	1H:5V
(6) Zoning	None
(7) Grout curtain	None

h. Spillway.

(1) Type	Concrete gravity-trapezoidal shape
(2) Length	60 feet
(3) Crest elevation	585
(4) Upstream channel	Lake
(5) Downstream channel	West Fork
(6) Weir shape	Trapezoidal

i. Drawdown Facilities.

(1) Type	24" CIP
(2) Elevation	579.0
(3) Length	3.5 feet
(4) Closure	Unknown

SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 DESIGN. No design drawings, reports or data are known to exist.

2.2 CONSTRUCTION. Based on interviews with the owner it is reported that the dam was constructed in the early 1940'. No information exists on the construction the dam.

2.3 OPERATION. No operating records exist.

2.4 EVALUATION.

a. Availability. There are no engineering data available.

b. Adequacy. The field surveys and visual inspections presented herein are considered adequate to support the conclusion of this report. Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of the guidelines are not on record. This is a deficiency which should be rectified.

c. Validity. Not applicable.

SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 FINDINGS

a. General. The onsite inspection of Laguna Palma Dam was conducted by personnel of L. Robert Kimball and Associates on May 2, 1979. The inspection team consisted of a hydrologist, structural/soils engineer and a geologist. The inspection consisted of:

1. Visual inspection of the retaining structure, abutments, and toe.
2. Examination of the spillway facilities, exposed portions of any outlet works, and other appurtenant works.
3. Observations affecting the runoff potential of the drainage basin.

b. Project Geology. The bedrock underlying Laguna Palma Lake consists primarily of the Roubidoux formation which is part of the Canadian Series of the Ordovician System. The Gasconade formation underlies the Roubidoux formation and is also exposed under part of the lake and probably the dam as well.

The Roubidoux formation contains sandstone, dolomitic sandstone and cherty dolomite. Except in the central part of the state, the sandstone accounts for little more than 10% of the formation, the remainder consisting mostly of cherty dolomite. The dolomite is light gray to brown, finely crystalline, and thinly to thickly bedded. The Roubidoux formation ranges in thickness from 100 to 250 feet, but is probably thinner here, since much of it has been eroded away.

The Gasconade is primarily a light brownish-gray cherty dolomite in this area. The lower part of the dolomite is coarsely crystalline and chert often makes up more than 50% of the volume of the rock. The upper part of the dolomite, which is present around Laguna Palma, is finely crystalline and contains much smaller amounts of chert. The chert may be white and porcelain-like or with brown and gray bands. Many of the nearly vertical cliffs in the central Ozarks are formed by the Gasconade. Springs and caves are also common in this formation, which may be from 300 to 700 feet thick.

Only one rock outcrop was observed during the inspection. This was at the right abutment of the dam and consisted of cherty dolomite. This may be either the Upper Gasconade or the lower Roubidoux. The rock was slightly weathered and exhibited some jointing while the beds were of moderate thickness. Solution cavities are often found in these rock types, but no evidence of karst terrain was observed in the vicinity. It is difficult

to distinguish any more detailed information on the basis of one brief inspection with only one outcrop. The published literature contains little else of value concerning these to formations.

Structural features in the vicinity of Laguna Palma include the Platin Creek anticline, the axis of which passes the lake immediately to the west in a northeast-southwest direction. The axis plunges gently northwards. The eastern limb is slightly steeper, but both limbs are reported as gentle (no dips are given). The Rugley School fault block and fault are another structural feature lying two to three miles south of the lake. A component of the Valles Mines - Vineland fault zone which is, in turn, a part of the Ste. Genevieve fault system, the Rugley school fault is the largest of a series of faults bounding the Rugley school fault block. This is an untilted wedge of sediment marked by faults on the northwest, north and northeast. To the south, however, it merges with the Farmington anticline. The Rugley school fault brings the Davis Shale into contact with Gasconade Dolomite while the other faults have small displacements of only about 75 feet. Some seismic activity is still noted in this part of the state.

c. Dam and Spillway. Visual inspection of the dam indicated the structure was in fair condition. From a brief survey conducted during the inspection, it was determined that a low point on the dam is at elevation 588.7 adjacent to the concrete gravity spillway. The earth embankment section of the dam generally rises from the spillway section toward the left abutment. The earth embankment section is 440 feet long with a maximum height of approximately 15 feet. The upstream slope varies from 1.5H:1V to 2.5H:1V. The upstream slope of the dam is used as a boat dock. Small row boats and fishing craft are docked and pulled up on the upstream slope of the dam. The crest width is a maximum of approximately 6 feet wide. The downstream slope is variable and averages approximately 3H:1V. The downstream slope is grassed. No seepage or erosion was noted on the downstream slope at the time of inspection.

The right abutment section of the dam is formed by a concrete gravity section. This section is approximately 90 feet long of which 60 feet acts as a spillway. The concrete wall extends to the left of the spillway and forms part of the upstream slope of the earth embankment for about 50 feet. The spillway is trapezoidal in shape. The concrete in this section was in fair to poor condition. The concrete is deteriorating and several long diagonal cracks were noted on the downstream face. These diagonal cracks ran from near the spillway crest elevation to the tailwater. Condition of the upstream portion of the concrete and earth section were not visible because of the lake level. The downstream portion of the concrete gravity section below the tailwater was unobserved.

The right abutment section of the dam is formed by a concrete gravity section. This section is approximately 90 feet long of which 60 feet acts as a spillway. The spillway is trapezoidal in shape. The concrete in this section was in fair to poor condition. The concrete is deteriorating and several long diagonal cracks were noted on the downstream face. These diagonal cracks ran from near the spillway crest elevation to the tailwater. Condition of the upstream portion of the concrete and earth section were not visible because of the lake level. The downstream portion of the concrete gravity section below the tailwater was unobserved.

d. Drainlines. Approximately 6 feet below the spillway crest in the concrete gravity section is a 24" cast iron pipe. No controls were noted on the exposed downstream portion of this 24" cast iron pipe.

e. Reservoir Area. No pertinent problems were noted in the reservoir area. The watershed is moderately steep and wooded. Approximately 2 miles upstream of Laguna Palma Dam is an earth dam (Lake Lacawanna).

f. Downstream Channel. West Fork downstream of Laguna Palma Dam travels approximately 1000 feet before joining Platin Creek. Platin Creek downstream of the dam has a moderately wide channel.

3.2 EVALUATION. The visual inspection did not reveal any immediate signs of instability. The earth embankment section of the dam appears to be in good condition. The concrete gravity section appears to be in fair condition. Detailed examination of the cracks in the concrete gravity section cannot be made without the reservoir level being dropped. The upstream condition of these cracks if they extend through the dam was unobserved. In addition, with the water discharging over the spillway crest, it was impossible to determine whether any seepage zones exist in the concrete section. Any undercutting of the toe was not determined because of the tailwater.

Complete evaluation of the structure cannot be made without a detailed stability, stress or seepage analysis with tests of concrete or soil, mapping of the cracks and geometry of the concrete and earth embankment section.

SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 PROCEDURES. The reservoir is maintained at the spillway crest. The reservoir has not been drawn down by the present owner.

4.2 MAINTENANCE OF DAM. No maintenance of the dam is conducted.

4.3 MAINTENANCE OF OPERATING FACILITIES. The operating facilities are not maintained.

4.4 DESCRIPTION OF ANY WARNING SYSTEM IN EFFECT. Upon checking with the owner, the inspection team is unaware of any warning system in effect.

4.5 EVALUATION. Maintenance of the dam and operating facilities are considered poor. There is no warning system in effect to warn downstream residences of large spillway discharges of failure of the dam.

SECTION 5 - HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

5.1 EVALUATION OF FEATURES

a. Design Data. There are no hydraulic and hydrological design data available as discussed in Section 2.

b. Experience Data. The drainage area was developed using the U.S.G.S. quadrangle sheet. The lake surface area was determined by planimetering the quadrangle sheet. Surface area - elevations were determined by planimetering various contour lines within the drainage area on the U.S.G.S. quadrangle sheets. The spillway and dam layout was made from surveys conducted during the inspection. Despite no record of reservoir water levels, there is no evidence or history of the dam being overtopped.

c. Visual Observations. The spillway (60 feet long trapezoid) is located at the right abutment in the concrete gravity section. The right abutment is approximately 10 feet long and 1 foot higher than the spillway crest elevation. This right abutment is formed from concrete and rock and can take overflow. Thus the top of dam is considered 588.7 (left of the spillway). The earth portion of the dam cannot take overtopping for a long period of time. The crest width is narrow and the embankment is formed from sandy clay with gravel. Approximately 2 miles upstream of Laguna Palma Dam is an earth embankment approximately 40 feet high (Lake Lacawanna). The spillway on Lake Lacawanna is approximately 12 feet wide. Failure of Lake Lacawanna may cause overtopping and eventual failure of Laguna Palma Dam. The drainage area is wooded with gentle slopes and the soil condition is considered to be fair regarding hydrologic conditions (hydrologic soil group B).

d. Overtopping Potential. Overtopping potential was investigated through the development of the probable maximum flood (PMF) for the watershed and the subsequent routing of the PMF and fractions of the PMF through the reservoir and spillway.

The Corps of Engineers, St. Louis District, has directed that the HEC-1 Dam Safety Version systemized computer program be utilized. The program was prepared by the Hydraulic Engineering Center (HEC) U.S. Army Corp of Engineers, Davis California, July, 1978. The major methodologies or key input data for this program are discussed in Appendix B.

To enable us to complete the hydraulic and hydrologic analysis for this structure, it was necessary to make the following assumptions:

1. Top of dam was considered 588.7.
2. No flow through the drainline was considered.
3. The flood was routed through the upstream reservoir (Lake Lacawanna).

4. Failure of Lake Lacawanna was not considered.

Complete summary sheets of the computer output are presented in Appendix B. To facilitate review, the major results of the overtopping analysis are presented below:

Peak Inflow	44157 cfs
Spillway Capacity	1413 cfs

Ratio of PMF	Maximum Reservoir Water Surface	Maximum Depth over Dam (embankment)	Maximum Outflow cfs	Duration of over- topping, hours
.10	590.42	1.72	4215	3.33
.50	594.39	5.69	22042	10.50
1.00	597.84	9.14	44364	13.83

The Corps of Engineers Spillway Design Flood for a high hazard-small dam is 1/2 PMF to the PMF. Based on the downstream hazard exposure, the Spillway Design Flood for this dam is the PMF. The spillway is capable of controlling only approximately 3% of the PMF without overtopping the embankment. Overtopping the embankment for an extended period of time or with depth will cause failure of the dam. In addition, the upstream dam (Lake Lacawanna) can control only 2% of the PMF without overtopping the embankment. If Lake Lacawanna should fail due to overtopping an additional 152 acre-feet of water would be suddenly released and probable would cause failure of Laguna Palma.

Because of the low spillway capacity the 10 year storm was routed through the reservoir. The spillway and reservoir can not control the 10 year storm. Despite no record of reservoir water levels there is no evidence or history that the dam has been overtopped.

SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

a. Visual Observations. Visual observations did not reveal any signs of immediate instability. The earth embankment portion appeared to be in good condition. The slopes are moderately flat and covered with grasses. No erosion or seepage was noted on the embankment portion during the inspection. The concrete gravity section appeared to be in fair condition. The lake level and discharge through the spillway prevented close observation of the downstream and upstream slopes of the concrete gravity section. However, some deterioration of the concrete was noted. In addition, several long diagonal cracks in the concrete were observed.

b. Design and Construction Data. No design or construction data is available on the dam. The dimensions of the dam cross-section are unknown. No testing of the concrete or earth embankment has been performed. Stability, stress and seepage analyses comparable to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspections of Dams" were not available, which is considered a deficiency.

c. Operating Records. No operating records are kept on the structure.

d. Post Construction Changes. No post-construction changes are known for this structure.

e. Seismic Stability. The dam is located in seismic zone 2, to which the guidelines assign a "moderate" damage potential. No seismic stability analysis has been conducted.

SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 DAM ASSESSMENT

a. Safety. The visual observations, review of available data, and hydrologic calculations indicate that Laguna Palma Dam's spillway is seriously inadequate. The spillway is capable of controlling approximately 3% of the PMF without overtopping the embankment. In addition, the spillway and reservoir can not control the 10 year storm.

The earth embankment portion of the dam appeared to be in good condition. No erosion or seepage zones were noted in the earth embankment section. The concrete gravity section appears to be in fair condition. Some deterioration of the concrete and several long diagonal cracks were noted on the downstream face. Water discharging over the downstream face may have obscured some features. The high lake level prevented observation of the upstream portions of the concrete gravity section and the earth embankment section. The long term effect of the deterioration and weakening of the concrete is unknown. The concrete in the dam will deteriorate with age and should be analyzed at periodic intervals. Stability, stress and seepage analyses comparable to the requirements of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspections of Dams" were not available, which is considered a deficiency.

b. Adequacy of Information. Complete assessment of the structural stability of the structure cannot be made because of the limited design data and construction data. Stability, stress and seepage analyses comparable to the requirement of the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspections of Dams" were not available, which is considered a deficiency.

c. Urgency. The deficiencies described herein are serious and corrective actions listed below should be initiated immediately. Special note should be made of items listed in paragraph 7.2.a. and these recommendations should be pursued on a high priority basis.

d. Need for Phase II. In order to accomplish some of the recommendations/remedial measures outlined below, further investigations will be required.

7.2 RECOMMENDATIONS/REMEDIAL MEASURES

a. Alternatives. A detailed hydraulic and hydrology study should be conducted by a registered professional engineer knowledgeable in dam design to increase the spillway capacity. The study should be gin immediately and remedial modifications begun immediately after the study is complete.

b. Operation and Maintenance Procedures. The following operation and maintenance procedures are recommended:

1. Stability, stress and seepage analyses should be conducted of the earth embankment portion of the concrete gravity section by a registered professional engineer knowledgeable in dam design.

2. Concrete samples of the concrete gravity section should be obtained to determine the condition and strength of the concrete.

3. The deteriorated concrete should be repaired.


4. Institute a formal inspection program to be conducted at regular intervals.

5. Institute a formal warning system to warn downstream residences of high spillway discharges or failure of the dam.

6. The drainline should be made operable. The drainline should be exercised and lubricated at six month intervals.

7. Riprap the upstream slope of the dam.

DRAWINGS



HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS

APPENDIX B

HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

The hydrologic analysis used in development of the overtopping potential is based on applying a hypothetical storm to a unit hydrograph to obtain the inflow hydrograph for a reservoir routing. The Probable Maximum Precipitation is derived and determined from regional charts prepared by the National Weather Service in "Hydrometeorological Report No. 33." Reduction factors have not been applied. A 48 hour storm duration is assumed with total depth distributed over 6 hour periods in accordance with procedures outlined in EM 1110-2-1411 (SPF Determination). The maximum 6 hour rainfall period is then distributed to hourly increments by the same criteria. Within-the-hour distribution is based upon NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS HYDRO-35. The non-peak 6 hour rainfall periods are distributed uniformly. All distributed values are arranged in a critical sequence by the SPF criteria. The final inflow hydrograph is produced by deduction of infiltration losses appropriate to the soil, land use, and antecedent moisture conditions.

The reservoir routing is accomplished by using Modified Puls routing techniques wherein the flood hydrograph is routed through lake storage. Hydraulic capacities of the outlet works, spillways, and crest of dam are used as outlet controls in the routing. Storage in the pool area is defined by an elevation-storage capacity curve. The hydraulic capacity of the outlet works, spillways, and top of dam are defined by elevation-discharge curves.

Dam overtopping analysis has been conducted by hydrologic methods for this dam and lake. This computation determines the percentage of the PMF hydrograph that the reservoir can contain without the dam being overtopped. An output summary in the hydrologic appendix displays this information as well as other characteristics of the simulated dam overtopping.

The above analysis has been accomplished for this report using the systemized computer program HEC-1 (Dam Safety Version), July, 1978, prepared by the Hydrologic Engineering Center, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Davis, California. The numeric parameters estimated for this site are listed in the computer printout. Definitions of these variables are contained in the "User's Manual" for the computer program.

The inflow hydrograph was routed through the reservoir using HEC-1's Modified Puls option.



L. ROBERT KIMBALL & ASSOCIATES
CONSULTING ENGINEERS & ARCHITECTS
EBENSBURG PENNSYLVANIA

DAM NAME LAGUNA PALMA DAM
I.D. NUMBER 30404

SHEET NO. 1 OF 4
BY OTM DATE 8-1-77

LAGUNA PALMA DAM

DRAINAGE AREA

AREA = 7.07 SQ. MI (ST. LOUIS DIST. C.O.E.
AND U.S.G.S. 7.5 MIN. QUADS)

UNIT HYDROGRAPH PARAMETERS

KIRPICH METHOD:

$t_R = 1.25$ HRS (FROM TIME OF CONCENTRATION
NOMOGRAPH, KENTUCKY BUREAU
OF HIGHWAYS)
 $L = 0.662$
 $= 0.75$ HRS.

WHERE LENGTH = 22,000', HEIGHT = 475'

CURVE NUMBER METHOD:

$$\text{LAG } (L) = \frac{1^{0.8} (S+1)^{0.7}}{1900 Y^{0.5}} = \frac{(22,000)^{0.8} (3.82)^{0.7}}{1900 (5)^{0.5}}$$

$$= \frac{(2978)(2.56)}{4249} = 1.8 \text{ HRS}$$

WHERE L = GREATEST FLOW LENGTH IN FEET
 $S = (1000/CN) - 10$ AND Y = AVERAGE SLOPE

LOSS RATE AND BASE FLOW

STRTL = 1.0 INCH
CNSTL = 78.0 SCS CURVE NUMBER (CN)
STRTQ = 1.5 CFS/MI²
QRCSN = 0.05 (5% OF PEAK FLOW)
RTIOR = 2.5

UTILIZED ANTECEDENT MOISTURE CONDITION III
(SOIL GROUP B)



L. ROBERT KIMBALL & ASSOCIATES
CONSULTING ENGINEERS & ARCHITECTS
EDENSBURG PENNSYLVANIA

DAM NAME LAGUNA PALMA DAM

I.D. NUMBER 30404

SHEET NO. 2 OF 4

BY OTM DATE 3-2-71

PROBABLE MAXIMUM STORM

FROM H.R. NO. 33

P.M.P. INDEX RAINFALL (ZONE 7) = 26.0 INCHES

$R_6 = 102\%$, $R_{12} = 120\%$, $R_{24} = 130\%$, $R_{48} = 140\%$

ELEVATION-AREA-CAPACITY RELATIONSHIP

SPILLWAY CREST ELEV. = 585', AREA = 16 ACRES

INITIAL STORAGE = 98 AC·FT

(FROM FIELD INSPECTION DATA, ST. LOUIS

DIST. C.O.E. INFO, AND U.S.G.S. 7.5-MIN. QUADS)

ELEV. 600', AREA = 41 AC.

ELEV. 620', AREA = 83 AC.

FROM CONIC METHOD FOR RESERVOIR VOLUME.

FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1). DAM

SAFETY VERSION (USERS MANUAL).

$$H = 3V/A = 3(98 \text{ AC·FT})/16 \text{ AC} = 18'$$

∴ ELEV. WHERE CAPACITY EQUALS ZERO,

$$585' - 18' = 567'$$

ELEVATION (FT.)	567	585	588	592	596	598	600
AREA (AC)	0	16	20	26	32	36	41

OVERTOPPING PARAMETERS

DISCHARGE DETERMINED BY HEC-1.

TOP OF DAM (LOW SPOT) = 588.7', $C = 3.0$ (BROAD CREST)

LENGTH OF DAM (EXCLUDING SPILLWAY) = 440'



L. ROBERT KIMBALL & ASSOCIATES
CONSULTING ENGINEERS & ARCHITECTS
EBENSBURG PENNSYLVANIA

DAM NAME LASUNA PALMA DAM

I.D. NUMBER 30404

SHEET NO. 3 OF 4

BY OTM DATE 3-1-57

SPILLWAY RATING CURVE

FROM EQUATION FOR TRAPEZOIDAL SPILLWAY FLOW.


$$Q = 8.03 C' h_v^{1/2} (h_p - h_v) [B + Z (h_p - h_v)]$$

$$\text{WHERE } h_v = \frac{3(2Z h_p + B) - (16Z^2 h_p^2 + 16Z B h_p + 9B^2)^{1/2}}{10Z}$$

AND $C' = 0.95$, $B = 60'$ & $Z = 2.75$

LOW DAMS, by NAT. RES. COMM.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 1938



NOT TO SCALE

ELEVATION (FT.)	h_p (FT.)	* DISCHARGE Q (CFS)
585	0	0
585.5	.5	60
586	1	180
586.5	1.5	340
587	2	530
587.5	2.5	750
588	3	1000
588.5	3.5	1280
589	4	1590
590	5	2280
591	6	3080
592	7	3980
593	8	4990
594	9	6110
595	10	7330

* VALUES ROUNDED TO NEAREST 10 CFS



L. ROBERT KIMBALL & ASSOCIATES
CONSULTING ENGINEERS & ARCHITECTS
EBENSBURG PENNSYLVANIA


DAM NAME LAGUNA PALMA DAM

I.D. NUMBER 30404

SHEET NO. 1 OF 4

BY DM DATE 3-4-73

NETWORK SCHEMATIC



STREAM ROUTING

ROUTING CROSS-SECTIONS OBTAINED FROM
U.S.G.S. 7.5-MIN. QUADS.

CHANNEL MANNING'S (n), $Q_N(1) = 0.06$, $Q_N(2) = 0.05$

 PL800 HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1)
 BSA SAFETY VERSION JULY 1978
 LAST MODIFICATION 26 FEB 79

ANALYSIS OF DAM OVERTOPPING USING RATIOS OF PMF
 HYDROLOGIC-HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS OF SAFETY OF LAGUNA PALMA DAM
 RATIOS OF PMF ROUTED THROUGH THE RESERVOIR (MISSOURI = 30404)

1	A1	288	0	10	0	0	0	-4	0
2	B1	5							
3	J	1	3	1					
4	J1	.1	.5	1					
5	K	0	1						
6	K1								
7	M	1	2	2.3	7.07	1			
8	P	1	25.0	102	120	130	140		
9	T							-1	-78
10	M2		.4						
11	X	-1.5	-2.05	2.8					
12	K1	1	2					1	
13	Y								
14	Y1	1							
15	Y4	682	683	684	685	686	688	690	692
16	Y5	0	40	110	230	380	790	1380	2150
17	SA	0	14	29	73.8				
18	SE	662.7	682	700	720				
19	SS	682							
20	SD	686	3.0	1.5	500				
21	K	1	3						
22	K1								
23	Y								
24	Y1	1							
25	Y6	.06	.05	.06	.18	.60	.4000	.0100	
26	Y7	0	.60	.80	.640	.150	.620	.180	.618
27	Y7	220	.620	.475	.640	.550	.660		
28	K	1	4						
29									
30									
31									
32									

CHANNEL ROUTING - MOD PULS REACH 1

[illegible]

3/14

 FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1)
 DAM SAFETY VERSION JULY 1978
 LAST MODIFICATION 26 FEB 79

RUN DATE 79/08/08
 TIME 18.59.31

ANALYSIS OF DAM OVERTOPPING USING RATIOS OF PMF
 HYDROLOGIC-HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS OF SAFETY OF LAGUNA PALMA DAM
 RATIOS OF PMF ROUTED THROUGH THE RESERVOIR (MISSOURI - 30404)

JOB SPECIFICATION									
NO	NHR	NMIN	IDAY	IHR	IMIN	METRC	IPLT	IPRT	INSTAN
288	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	-4	0
JOPER 5									
NWT LROPT TRACE									
0 0 0									

B-8

MULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED

NPLAN= 1 NR10= 3 LR10= 1

NR105= 410 .30 1.00

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

RUNOFF SUB-AREA A (LACKAWANNA)

ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRI	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

HYDROGRAPH DATA

SPFE	PMS	R6	R12	R24	R48	R72	R96
0.00	26.00	102.00	120.00	130.00	140.00	0.00	0.00.

LPROPT	STAKR	DLTKR	RTIOL	ERAIN	STAKS	RTYOK	STAYL	CNSTL	ALSHX	RTIMP
0	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	-1.00	-78.00	0.00	0.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA

SYRTQ= -1.50 QRCEN= -.05 RTTOR= 2.50

END-OF-PERIOD FLOW													
0	MO.DA	HR.MN	PERIOD	RATN	EXC\$	LOSS	COMP Q	HR.MN	PERIOD	RATN	EXC\$	LOSS	COMP Q

[illegible]

中華民國二十九年十月二十九日
 行政院會議
 通過
 財政部呈請
 核准
 發行
 公債
 案

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE THRU LACKAWANNA

ISTAQ	JCOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

5/14

ROUTING DATA

QLOSS	CLOSS	AVG	IRIS	ISAME	IOPT	IPMP	LSTR
0.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0

NSIPS	NSTD	LAG	AMSKK	X	ISK	STORA	ISPRAT
1	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	-682.	-1

STAGE	682.00	683.00	684.00	685.00	686.00	688.00	690.00	692.00	695.00
700.00									

FLOW	0.00	40.00	110.00	230.00	380.00	790.00	1380.00	2150.00	3690.00
7390.00									

SURFACE AREA	0.	14.	29.	74.					
--------------	----	-----	-----	-----	--	--	--	--	--

CAPACITY	0.	90.	469.	1460.					
----------	----	-----	------	-------	--	--	--	--	--

ELEVATION	682.	682.	700.	720.					
-----------	------	------	------	------	--	--	--	--	--

CREL	SPMID	COQW	EXPW	ELEV	COOL	CAREA	EXPL
682.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

DAM DATA

TOPEL	COQW	EXPW	DAMWTD
946.0	3.0	1.5	500.

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 1705. AT TIME 40.17 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 8950. AT TIME 40.00 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 18054. AT TIME 40.00 HOURS

4/4

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

CHANNEL ROUTING - MOD PULS REACH 1

ISTAG	ICOMP	TECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRY	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
3	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
ROUTING DATA								
QLOSS	CLOSS	AVG	IRIS	ISAME	IOPT	IPRP	LSTR	
0.0	0.000	0.00	1	1	0	0	0	
MSTPS NSTDL LAG MSKK X TSK BYORA TSPRAY								
1	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

NORMAL DEPTH CHANNEL ROUTING

UNIT1 UNIT2 UNIT3 ELNVT ELMAX ELNTH SEL

B-11

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA,ELEV,STA,ELEV--ETC

0.00 660.00 800.00 630.00 150.00 180.00 618.00 190.00 618.00

STORAGE

361.29

1302.11

OUTFLOW

35925.29

0.00 8.73 27.28 53.12 85.25 125.58 174.39 229.40 291.70

438.17 520.25 605.81 694.85 787.37 883.36 982.82 1085.78 1192.21

0.00 345.18 1865.75 4624.29 8775.10 14479.30 21895.19 31176.39 42471.68

293658.05

257627.24

224006.06

192769.06

163893.95

137362.58

113162.29

91287.97

71745.17

332126.37

635.68

633.47

631.26

629.05

626.84

624.63

622.42

620.21

618.00

637.89

657.79

655.58

653.37

651.16

648.95

646.74

644.53

642.32

640.11

660.00

42471.58

31176.39

21895.19

14479.30

8775.10

4624.29

1865.75

345.18

0.00

55925.29

293658.05

257627.24

224006.06

192769.06

163893.95

137362.58

113162.29

91287.97

71745.17

332126.37

657.79

655.58

653.37

651.16

648.95

646.74

644.53

642.32

640.11

660.00

42471.58

31176.39

21895.19

14479.30

8775.10

4624.29

1865.75

345.18

0.00

55925.29

293658.05

257627.24

224006.06

192769.06

163893.95

137362.58

113162.29

91287.97

71745.17

332126.37

657.79

655.58

653.37

651.16

648.95

646.74

644.53

642.32

640.11

660.00

42471.58

31176.39

21895.19

14479.30

8775.10

4624.29

1865.75

345.18

0.00

55925.29

293658.05

257627.24

224006.06

192769.06

163893.95

137362.58

113162.29

91287.97

71745.17

332126.37

657.79

655.58

653.37

651.16

648.95

646.74

644.53

642.32

640.11

660.00

42471.58

31176.39

21895.19

14479.30

8775.10

4624.29

1865.75

345.18

0.00

55925.29

293658.05

257627.24

224006.06

192769.06

163893.95

137362.58

113162.29

91287.97

71745.17

332126.37

657.79

655.58

653.37

651.16

648.95

646.74

644.53

642.32

640.11

660.00

42471.58

31176.39

21895.19

14479.30

8775.10

4624.29

1865.75

345.18

0.00

55925.29

293658.05

257627.24

224006.06

192769.06

163893.95

137362.58

113162.29

91287.97

71745.17

332126.37

657.79

655.58

653.37

651.16

648.95

646.74

644.53

642.32

640.11

660.00

42471.58

31176.39

21895.19

14479.30

8775.10

4624.29

1865.75

345.18

0.00

55925.29

293658.05

257627.24

224006.06

192769.06

163893.95

137362.58

113162.29

91287.97

71745.17

332126.37

657.79

655.58

653.37

651.16

648.95

646.74

644.53

642.32

640.11

660.00

42471.58

31176.39

21895.19

14479.30

8775.10

4624.29

1865.75

345.18

0.00

55925.29

293658.05

257627.24

224006.06

192769.06

163893.95

137362.58

113162.29

91287.97

71745.17

332126.37

657.79

655.58

653.37

651.16

648.95

646.74

644.53

642.32

640.11

660.00

42471.58

31176.39

21895.19

14479.30

8775.10

4624.29

1865.75

345.18

0.00

55925.29

293658.05

257627.24

224006.06

192769.06

163893.95

137362.58

113162.29

91287.97

71745.17

332126.37

657.79

655.58

653.37

651.16

648.95

646.74

644.53

642.32

640.11

660.00

42471.58

31176.39

21895.19

14479.30

8775.10

4624.29

1865.75

345.18

0.00

55925.29

293658.05

257627.24

224006.06

192769.06

163893.95

137362.58

113162.29

91287.97

71745.17

332126.37

657.79

655.58

653.37

651.16

648.95

646.74

644.53

642.32

640.11

660.00

42471.58

31176.39

21895.19

14479.30

8775.10

4624.29

1865.75

345.18

0.00

55925.29

293658.05

257627.24

224006.06

192769.06

163893.95

137362.58

113162.29

91287.97

71745.17

332126.37

657.79

655.58

653.37

651.16

648.95

646.74

644.53

642.32

640.11

660.00

42471.58

QN(1)	QN(2)	QN(3)	ELNVT	ELMAX	RLNTH	SEL
0.00	0.0500	0.0600	583.0	660.0	4000.	0.00880

CROSS SECTION COORDINATES--STA.ELEV,STA.ELEV--ETC

0.00	660.00	80.00	640.00	150.00	620.00	180.00	618.00	190.00	618.00
220.00	620.00	475.00	640.00	550.00	660.00				

STORAGE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4.34	39.29	98.92	183.06	291.70	424.85	576.90	740.67	916.13	1103.28
1302.11									

OUTFLOW	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
120.65	2890.25	9846.12	21865.26	39841.97	64621.24	99073.21	141027.89	190353.89	247151.44
311562.15									

B-10

STAGE	583.00	587.05	591.11	595.16	599.21	603.26	607.32	611.37	615.42
619.47	623.52	627.58	631.63	635.68	639.74	643.79	647.84	651.89	655.95
660.00									

FLOW	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
120.65	2890.25	9846.12	21865.26	39841.97	64621.24	99073.21	141027.89	190353.89	247151.44
311562.15									

MAXIMUM STAGE TS 621.5

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 626.6

MAXIMUM STAGE IS 629.9

4/14

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

RUNOFF SUB-AREA B (LAGUNA PALMA)

ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRI	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

INTDG	IUNG	IAREA	SNAP	IRSDA	IRSPC	RATIO	ISNOW	ISAME	LOCAL
1	2	4.77	0.00	7.07	1.00	0.000	0	1	0

HYDROGRAPH DATA

PRECIP DATA

SPFE	PMS	R6	R12	R24	R48	R72	R96
0.00	26.00	102.00	120.00	130.00	140.00	0.00	0.00

LOSS DATA

LROPT	STKR	DLTKR	RTIOL	ERAIN	STKS	RTIOK	STRTL	CNSTL	ALSMX	RTIMP
0	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	-1.00	-78.00	0.00	0.00

CURVE NO = -78.00 WETNESS = -1.00 EFFECT CN = 78.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA

TC = 0.00 LAG = .75

RECENSION DATA

STRTQ = -1.50 QRCN = -.05 RTIOR = 2.50

END-OF-PERIOD FLOW

MO.DA	HR.MN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	COMP Q	MO.DA	HR.MN	PERIOD	RAIN	EXCS	LOSS	COMP Q			
SUM													36.40	33.22	3.18	609191.

(925.11 844.11 81.1117250.371

COMBINE HYDROGRAPHS

COMBINE

ISTAO	ICOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
7	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

ROUTE THRU LAGUNA PALMA

B-15

ISTAO	ICOMP	IECON	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
6	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

GROSS	CLOSS	AVG	IES	ISAME	ISPRAT	ISPRAT
0.0	0.000	9.00	1	1	0	0

NSIPS	NSDOL	LAG	AMSK	X	TSK	STORA	ISPRAT
1	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	-585.	-1

STAGE	589.00	586.00	587.00	588.00	589.00	590.00	591.00	592.00	593.00
7330.00	0.00	180.00	530.00	1000.00	1590.00	2280.00	3080.00	3980.00	4990.00

FLOW	0.00	180.00	530.00	1000.00	1590.00	2280.00	3080.00	3980.00	4990.00
7330.00	0.00	180.00 <td>530.00 <td>1000.00 <td>1590.00 <td>2280.00 <td>3080.00 <td>3980.00 <td>4990.00 </td></td></td></td></td></td></td>	530.00 <td>1000.00 <td>1590.00 <td>2280.00 <td>3080.00 <td>3980.00 <td>4990.00 </td></td></td></td></td></td>	1000.00 <td>1590.00 <td>2280.00 <td>3080.00 <td>3980.00 <td>4990.00 </td></td></td></td></td>	1590.00 <td>2280.00 <td>3080.00 <td>3980.00 <td>4990.00 </td></td></td></td>	2280.00 <td>3080.00 <td>3980.00 <td>4990.00 </td></td></td>	3080.00 <td>3980.00 <td>4990.00 </td></td>	3980.00 <td>4990.00 </td>	4990.00

SURFACE AREA	0.	16.	20.	26.	32.	36.	41.
7330.00	0.	16.	20.	26.	32.	36.	41.

11/4

CAPACITY= 04 984 152. 2446 3806 4286 5046
 ELEVATION= 5676 5856 5886 5926 5966 5986 6006
 CREL 585.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
 SPWD 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
 COOL 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
 EXPW 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
 ELEV 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
 AREA 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
 EXPL 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

DAM DATA
 TOPEL 588.7 3.0 1.5 440.6
 COOD 3.0 1.5 440.6
 EXPD 1.5 440.6
 DAMWID 440.6

CREST LENGTH 79. 109. 430. 440.6
 AT OR BELOW 588.7 589.0 590.0 591.0
 ELEVATION

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 4219. AT TIME 40.50 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 22042. AT TIME 40.33 HOURS

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 44364. AT TIME 40.33 HOURS

PEAK FLOW AND STORAGE (END OF PERIOD) SUMMARY FOR MULTIPLE PLAN-RATIO ECONOMIC COMPUTATIONS
 FLOWS IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CUBIC METERS PER SECOND)
 AREA IN SQUARE MILES (SQUARE KILOMETERS)

RATIOS APPLIED TO FLOWS

OPERATION	STATION	AREA	PLAN	RATIO 1	RATIO 2	RATIO 3
				.10	.50	1.00
HYDROGRAPH AT	1	2.30	1	1824.	9118.	18236.
	(	5.96)	(	51.64)	258.19)	516.39)
ROUTED TO	2	2.30	1	1705.	8950.	18064.
	(	5.96)	(	48.27)	253.45)	511.52)
ROUTED TO	3	2.30	1	1595.	8635.	17458.
	(	5.96)	(	45.16)	244.51)	494.35)
ROUTED TO	4	2.30	1	1508.	8126.	16870.
	(	5.96)	(	42.70)	230.10)	477.69)
HYDROGRAPH AT	5	4.77	1	2799.	13995.	27989.
	(	12.33)	(	79.26)	396.29)	792.57)
2 COMBINED	7	7.07	1	4307.	22121.	44157.
	(	18.31)	(	121.96)	626.39)	1250.39)
ROUTED TO	6	7.07	1	4219.	22042.	44364.
	(	18.31)	(	119.35)	624.16)	1256.24)

13/4

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1				INITIAL VALUE		SPILLWAY CREST		TOP OF DAM	
				ELEVATION					
				STORAGE					
				OUTFLOW					
				90.		90.		152.	
				0.		0.		380.	

RATIO OF PMF	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF	
						MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	FAILURE HOURS
.10	686.84	.84	166.	1705.	3.67	40.17	0.00
.50	689.02	3.02	206.	8950.	11.00	40.00	0.00
1.00	690.91	4.91	244.	18064.	17.17	40.00	0.00

PLAN 1 STATION 3

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS
.10	1595.	622.0	40.17
.50	8635.	626.8	40.17
1.00	17458.	629.9	40.17

PLAN 1 STATION 4

RATIO	MAXIMUM FLOW, CFS	MAXIMUM STAGE, FT	TIME HOURS
.10	1908.	621.5	40.33
.50	8126.	626.6	40.33
1.00	16870.	629.9	40.17

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS


PLAN 1	ELEVATION STORAGE	INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY CREST	TOP OF DAM
	OUTFLOW	585.00	585.00	586.70
		98.	98.	166.
		0.	0.	1433.

RATIO OF PHF	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S.ELEV	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
0.10	590.42	1.72	205.	4215.	3.33	40.50	0.00
.50	594.39	5.69	310.	22042.	10.50	40.33	0.00
1.00	597.84	9.14	422.	44364.	13.83	40.33	0.00

 FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1)
 DAM SAFETY VERSION JULY 1978
 LAST MODIFICATION 26 FEB 79

PHOTOGRAPHS

**Photos 6 and 7 Upstream Dam
(Lake Lacawanna)**



P-INDICATES PHOTO LOCATION

**LAGUNA PALMA DAM
PHOTO INDEX**



Photograph No. 1

Spillway.




Photograph No. 2

Upstream slope of earth embankment section.
Note concrete wall in foreground.




Photograph No. 3

Upstream slope of earth embankment section.



Photograph No. 4

Earth embankment.



Photograph No. 5

Downstream slope of earth embankment.



Photograph No. 6

Lake Lacawanna.



Photograph No. 7

Lake Lacawanna spillway.