

AD-A105 333

WOODWARD-CLYDE CONSULTANTS CHICAGO IL

F/6 13/13

NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. KEYES BRANCH MINE DAM (MO 30386). --ETC(U)

AUG 80 R G BERGGREEN, J PEREZ

DACW43-80-C-0066

UNCLASSIFIED

NL

1 OF 1
AD A
10-3,34

END
DATE
FILMED
11-81
DTIC

LEVEL # (1)

MISSISSIPPI-KASKASKIA-ST. LOUIS BASIN

KEYES BRANCH MINE DAM
WASHINGTON COUNTY, MISSOURI
MO 30386

PHASE 1 INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM SAFETY INSPECTION



United States Army
Corps of Engineers
... Serving the Army
... Serving the Nation

St. Louis District

DTIC

EL

OCT 13 1981

A

PREPARED BY: U.S. ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, ST. LOUIS

FOR: STATE OF MISSOURI

AUGUST 1980

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

"Original contains color
plates: All DTIC reproductions
will be in black and
white"

81 10 9 018

AD A105333

ONE COPY

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM	
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER	
	AD-105333	9	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Phase I Dam Inspection Report National Dam Safety Program Keyes Branch Mine Dam (MO 30386) Washington County, Missouri		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED	Final Report
7. AUTHOR(s) Woodward-Clyde Consultants		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis Dam Inventory and Inspection Section, LMSED-PD 210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Louis, Mo. 63101		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	DACW43-80-C-0066 ✓
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Engineer District, St. Louis Dam Inventory and Inspection Section, LMSED-PD 210 Tucker Blvd., North, St. Louis, Mo. 63101		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS	11
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE	August 1980
(6) National Dam Safety Program. Keyes Branch Mine Dam (MO 30386), Mississippi - Kaskaskia - St. Louis Basin, Washington Washington County, Missouri. Phase I Inspection Report.		13. NUMBER OF PAGES	Approximately 70
16. DISTRI	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)		UNCLASSIFIED
Approved for release; distribution unlimited.		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	12 61
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)			
(1) For all G/Engineers Jean-Yves/P...			
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)			
Dam Safety, Lake, Dam Inspection, Private Dams			
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)			
This report was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams. This report assesses the general condition of the dam with respect to safety, based on available data and on visual inspection, to determine if the dam poses hazards to human life or property.			

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

411445

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

b3

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PREPARATION OF REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

RESPONSIBILITY. The controlling DoD office will be responsible for completion of the Report Documentation Page, DD Form 1473, in all technical reports prepared by or for DoD organizations.

CLASSIFICATION. Since this Report Documentation Page, DD Form 1473, is used in preparing announcements, bibliographies, and data banks, it should be unclassified if possible. If a classification is required, identify the classified items on the page by the appropriate symbol.

COMPLETION GUIDE

General. Make Blocks 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 13, 15, and 16 agree with the corresponding information on the report cover. Leave Blocks 2 and 3 blank.

Block 1. Report Number. Enter the unique alphanumeric report number shown on the cover.

Block 2. Government Accession No. Leave blank. This space is for use by the Defense Documentation Center.

Block 3. Recipient's Catalog Number. Leave blank. This space is for the use of the report recipient to assist in future retrieval of the document.

Block 4. Title and Subtitle. Enter the title in all capital letters exactly as it appears on the publication. Titles should be unclassified whenever possible. Write out the English equivalent for Greek letters and mathematical symbols in the title (see "Abstracting Scientific and Technical Reports of Defense-sponsored RDT/E," AD-667 000). If the report has a subtitle, this subtitle should follow the main title, be separated by a comma or semicolon if appropriate, and be initially capitalized. If a publication has a title in a foreign language, translate the title into English and follow the English translation with the title in the original language. Make every effort to simplify the title before publication.

Block 5. Type of Report and Period Covered. Indicate here whether report is interim, final, etc., and, if applicable, inclusive dates of period covered, such as the life of a contract covered in a final contractor report.

Block 6. Performing Organization Report Number. Only numbers other than the official report number shown in Block 1, such as series numbers for in-house reports or a contractor/grantee number assigned by him, will be placed in this space. If no such numbers are used, leave this space blank.

Block 7. Author(s). Include corresponding information from the report cover. Give the name(s) of the author(s) in conventional order (for example, John R. Doe or, if author prefers, J. Robert Doe). In addition, list the affiliation of an author if it differs from that of the performing organization.

Block 8. Contract or Grant Number(s). For a contractor or grantee report, enter the complete contract or grant number(s) under which the work reported was accomplished. Leave blank in in-house reports.

Block 9. Performing Organization Name and Address. For in-house reports enter the name and address, including office symbol, of the performing activity. For contractor or grantee reports enter the name and address of the contractor or grantee who prepared the report and identify the appropriate corporate division, school, laboratory, etc., of the author. List city, state, and ZIP Code.

Block 10. Program Element, Project, Task Area, and Work Unit Numbers. Enter here the number code from the applicable Department of Defense form, such as the DD Form 1498, "Research and Technology Work Unit Summary" or the DD Form 1634, "Research and Development Planning Summary," which identifies the program element, project, task area, and work unit or equivalent under which the work was authorized.

Block 11. Controlling Office Name and Address. Enter the full, official name and address, including office symbol, of the controlling office. (Equates to funding/sponsoring agency. For definition see DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents.")

Block 12. Report Date. Enter here the day, month, and year or month and year as shown on the cover.

Block 13. Number of Pages. Enter the total number of pages.

Block 14. Monitoring Agency Name and Address (if different from Controlling Office). For use when the controlling or funding office does not directly administer a project, contract, or grant, but delegates the administrative responsibility to another organization.

Blocks 15 & 15a. Security Classification of the Report: Declassification/Downgrading Schedule of the Report. Enter in 15 the highest classification of the report. If appropriate, enter in 15a the declassification/downgrading schedule of the report, using the abbreviations for declassification/downgrading schedules listed in paragraph 4-207 of DoD 5200.1-R.

Block 16. Distribution Statement of the Report. Insert here the applicable distribution statement of the report from DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

Block 17. Distribution Statement (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from the distribution statement of the report). Insert here the applicable distribution statement of the abstract from DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."

Block 18. Supplementary Notes. Enter information not included elsewhere but useful, such as: Prepared in cooperation with . . . Translation of (or by) . . . Presented at conference of . . . To be published in . . .

Block 19. Key Words. Select terms or short phrases that identify the principal subjects covered in the report, and are sufficiently specific and precise to be used as index entries for cataloging, conforming to standard terminology. The DoD "Thesaurus of Engineering and Scientific Terms" (TEST), AD-672 000, can be helpful.

Block 20. Abstract. The abstract should be a brief (not to exceed 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report. If possible, the abstract of a classified report should be unclassified and the abstract to an unclassified report should consist of publicly-releasable information. If the report contains a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here. For information on preparing abstracts see "Abstracting Scientific and Technical Reports of Defense-Sponsored RDT&E," AD-667 000.



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
ST. LOUIS DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
210 TUCKER BOULEVARD, NORTH
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63101

SUBJECT: Keyes Branch Mine Dam (MO 30386)

This report presents the results of field inspection and evaluation of the Keyes Branch Mine Dam. It was prepared under the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams.

GRAINED.

12 SEP 1980

SUBMITTED BY:

Chief, Engineering Division

Date

SIGNED

12 SEP 1980

APPROVED BY:

Colonel, CE, District Engineer

Date _____

Application For
FMS GRA&I
LEAD TAB
Announced
Classification

KEYES BRANCH DAM
County, Missouri
Missouri Inventory No. 30386

Phase I Inspection Report
National Dam Safety Program

Prepared by

Woodward-Clyde Consultants
Chicago, Illinois

Under Direction of
St Louis District, Corps of Engineers

for
Governor of Missouri
August 1980

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of the Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C., 20314. The purpose of a Phase I investigation is not to provide a complete evaluation of the safety of the structure nor to provide a guarantee on its future integrity. Rather the purpose of the program is to identify potentially hazardous conditions to the extent they can be identified by a visual examination. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data (if any) and visual inspections. Detailed investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify the need for more detailed studies. In view of the limited nature of the Phase I studies no assurance can be given that all deficiencies have been identified.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with any data which may be available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action removes the normal load on the structure, as well as the reservoir head along with seepage pressures, and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through frequent inspections can unsafe conditions be detected, so that corrective action can be taken. Likewise continued care and maintenance are necessary to minimize the possibility of development of unsafe conditions.

PHASE I REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dam	Keyes Branch Mine Dam
State Located	Missouri
County Located	Washington
Stream	Unnamed Tributary of Keyes Branch Creek
Date of Inspections	15 May 1980; 5 June 1980

↓
Keyes Branch Mine Dam, Identification Number 30386, was inspected, by Richard Berggreen (engineering geologist), James Burnett (geotechnical engineer), Jean-Yves Perez (project manager and geotechnical engineer), and Sean Tseng (hydrologist).

The dam inspection was made following the guidelines presented in the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams". These guidelines were developed by the Chief of Engineers, U.S. Army, Washington, D.C., with the help of federal and state agencies, professional engineering organizations, and private engineers. The resulting guidelines are considered to represent a consensus of the engineering profession. They are intended to provide an expeditious identification, based on available data and a visual inspection of those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. In view of the limited nature of the study, no assurance can be given that all deficiencies have been identified.

↓
Based on the criteria in the guidelines, the dam is judged to be in the significant hazard classification. The estimated damage zone extends approximately 10 mi downstream. The apparently occupied structures downstream from the dam appear to be above potential flood levels. Damage and loss of life would likely be restricted to traffic on state highways and railroads which cross the creek. The closest state road is approximately 1.6 mi downstream.

Keyes Branch Mine Dam is in the intermediate size classification based on its maximum height of 57 ft, and on its maximum reservoir storage volume of 1400 ac-ft.

Our inspection and evaluation indicate the dam to be in a fair to good condition. A slump-type landslide on the downstream face of the embankment, where sliding had been described earlier by the Missouri Geological Survey, suggests continuing instability at this location.

↑

The erosion potential of the spillway walls and discharge channel appears to be significant. Mining and processing operations at the facility have been discontinued as of December 1979 and no plans were formed by the owner for any future maintenance or inspections of the dam. In light of the discontinued operations and the erosion potential of the spillway facilities, deterioration sufficient to pose a hazard to the stability of the dam could occur in the future without being noticed.

As a result of the erosion potential of the spillway, it was calculated that discharge through the spillway of more than $170 \text{ ft}^3/\text{s}$ or discharge velocity greater than 3.5 ft/sec will significantly erode the spillway to a degree such that this discharge will have the same effects as overtopping the embankment. Based on this analysis, the spillway cannot safely pass a flood of greater than 23 percent of the PMF without effectively overtopping the dam. The PMF is defined as the flood event that may be expected to occur from the most severe combination of critical meteorologic and hydrologic considerations that are reasonably possible in the region. A flood with 1 percent probability-of-occurrence will safely pass the spillway.

Based on our inspection of the Keyes Branch Mine Dam, it is recommended that further study be conducted without undue delay under the guidance of an engineer experienced in the design and construction of dams, to evaluate, as a minimum, the following topics:

1. What spillway capacity should be provided, and in what manner, taking into consideration the high potential erodibility of the embankment materials in the event of overtopping.
2. Potential for erosion during period of heavy flow within the spillway and adjacent portion of the embankment, including erosion mitigating options.
3. Potential for erosion and lateral migration in the discharge channel, including erosion mitigating options.

It is recommended that an inspection and monitoring program be initiated for this facility. This program should include:

1. Inspection of erosion in spillway to evaluate deterioration of the coarse tailings dike on the south side of the spillway;
2. Inspection of lateral and headward erosion in the discharge channel to evaluate potential for undermining the toe of the dam by migration of the discharge channel;
3. Monitoring seepage in the discharge channel and at the toe of the dam to identify changes in the amount of flow and turbidity of the seepage water; and
4. Evaluation of alternatives for an effective, practical warning system to alert downstream residents and traffic on the highways and railroads which cross the drainage if an unsafe condition should develop.


In view of the slide which occurred in the interval between two inspection visits, it is recommended that an analysis be made of the static and seismic stability of the existing structure. An engineering analysis should also be made to identify and design erosion control alternatives for the spillway and discharge channel. This analysis should be conducted by an engineer experienced in the design and construction of dams.

It is suggested that the owner takes action on these recommendations in the near future to preclude deterioration which could lead to the development of hazardous conditions at this facility.

WOODWARD-CLYDE CONSULTANTS



Richard G. Berggreen
Registered Geologist



Jean-Yves Perez, PE
Vice President



OVERVIEW

KEYES BRANCH MINE DAM

MISSOURI INVENTORY NO. MO 30386

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM
KEYES BRANCH MINE DAM - MISSOURI ID. No. 30386

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Paragraph No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION		
1.1	General	1
1.2	Description of Project	2
1.3	Pertinent Data	4
SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA		
2.1	Design	7
2.2	Construction	7
2.3	Operation	7
2.4	Evaluation	8
2.5	Project Geology	8
SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION		
3.1	Findings	10
3.2	Evaluation	12
SECTION 4 - OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES		
4.1	Procedures	13
4.2	Maintenance of Dam	13
4.3	Maintenance of Operating Facilities	13
4.4	Description of any Warning System in Effect	13
4.5	Evaluation	13
SECTION 5 - HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC		
5.1	Evaluation of Features	14

Paragraph No.

Title

Page No.

SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1	Evaluation of Structural Stability	16
-----	------------------------------------	----

SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1	Dam Assessment	18
7.2	Remedial Measures	18

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

A	Photographs
B	Hydraulic/Hydrologic Data and Analyses
C	Letter Report on Milchem-Keyes Branch Tailings Pond from Missouri Geological Survey

FIGURES

1.	Site Location Map
2.	Drainage Basin and Site Topography
3a.	Cross-Section and Surveyed Crest Elevation
3b.	Cross-Section and Plan of Spillway
4.	Regional Geologic Map

LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

1.	Downstream Face of Dam Looking North
2.	Rock Cover on Face of Dam Looking South (Note Marshy Area at Toe of Dam)
3.	Spillway at Left Abutment, Looking Upstream (West)
4.	Eroded Discharge Channel Below Spillway, Looking Downstream (East)
5.	Erosion Downstream of Discharge Channel, Looking Upstream (West)
6.	Area Downstream of Dam, Looking Upstream (West), Dam in Background
7.	Discharge Flume from Processing Plant, Looking Northeast Toward Dam
8.	Filled Reservoir Area, Looking North-Northeast Toward Dam
9.	Slide on Downstream Face

**PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM
KEYES BRANCH MINE DAM, MISSOURI ID. No. 30386**

**SECTION I
PROJECT INFORMATION**

1.1 General

- a. **Authority.** The National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, provides for a national inventory and inspection of dams throughout the United States. Pursuant to the above, an inspection was conducted of the Keyes Branch Mine Dam, Missouri Inventory Number 30386.
- b. **Purpose of inspection.** "The primary purpose of the Phase I investigation program is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property... The Phase I investigation will develop an assessment of the general condition with respect to safety of the project based upon available data and a visual inspection, determine any need for emergency measures and conclude if additional studies, investigations and analyses are necessary and warranted." (Chapter 3, Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams).
- c. **Evaluation criteria.** The criteria used to evaluate the dam were established in the "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams", Engineering Regulation No. 1110-2-106 and Engineering Circular No. 1110-2-188, Engineering and Design National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams prepared by the Office of Chief of Engineers, Department of the Army, and "Hydrologic/Hydraulic Standards, Phase I, Safety Inspection of Non-Federal Dams" prepared by the St Louis District, Corps of Engineers (SLD). These guidelines were developed with the help of several federal agencies and many state agencies, professional engineering organizations, and private engineers.

1.2 Description of Project

- a. Description of dam and appurtenances. The Keyes Branch Mine Dam is a tailings dam. The construction of Keyes Branch Mine Dam, as described by Mr Joe Rossier of Milchem, Inc. is somewhat atypical of barite tailings dams in this area. The majority of dams are constructed as a single tier, having a constant slope from crest to toe. The Keyes Branch tiered Dam is a 3 tiered dam. The resulting profile (Fig 3a) is wider and flatter than is typical for tailings dams in this area.

The uppermost tier is constructed of "chat", the gravel-sized waste produced during the milling of the ore (Photo 1). The middle and lower tiers are primarily chat, but have a veneer of "bullrock", large (6 in. to 2 ft) waste rock, placed on the downstream face (Photo 2). This bullrock veneer is quite clayey and may act as an impermeable blanket on the downstream face, allowing seepage pressures to build up behind the face. The flat steps between tiers allow precipitation to enter the dam more readily than on single tier dams.

The material impounded behind the dam consists of silt, sand, and clay produced by washing the barite ore. This fine-grained waste is sluiced into the impoundment where the suspended material is deposited. The resulting sediments are typical of recent lacustrine clay deposits. Where the tailings have been continuously submerged, they have a very soft consistency and high water contents. A stiff crust has formed where the tailings have dried out; however, below the crust the material remains very soft.

The spillway, located at the left abutment, consists of a broad, flat-bottomed notch at the junction of the abutment and dam (Photo 3). The spillway is approximately 70 ft wide at the level of the top of the embankment. The crest of the spillway is approximately 3.2 ft below the elevation of the low point on the top of the embankment. No low level outlet was found. No control structures were found for regulating higher flows.

- b. Location. The dam is located on a tributary of Keyes Branch Creek, approximately 2 mi northeast of the town of Potosi off Missouri Highway E in Washington County, Missouri, Section 6, T37N, R3E, and Section 31, T38N, R3E; see Fig. 1. The dam location is on the USGS Potosi 7.5 minute quadrangle sheet.

- c. **Size classification.** The dam is classified intermediate size based on its 57 ft height and 1400 ac-ft storage capacity.
- d. **Hazard classification.** The St Louis District, Corps of Engineers has classified this dam as a significant hazard dam; we concur with this classification. The estimated damage zone extends approximately 10 mi downstream of the dam. Located within this zone are Missouri State Route E and State Route 47, several crossings of the Missouri-Pacific Railroad, and several gravel roads.
- e. **Ownership.** We understand the dam is owned by Milchem Inc, Mineral Point, Missouri, 63660. Correspondence should be addressed to the attention of Mr Joe Rossier.
- f. **Purpose of dam.** The Keyes Branch Mine Dam was constructed to impound fine barite tailings produced by the washing of barite ore mined in the vicinity. Water impounded by the dam was recycled from the reservoir and used in the barite processing operation.
- g. **Design and construction history.** According to information obtained from Mr Joe Rossier of Milchem, Inc, a starter dam was constructed on the site in 1972. This was further documented in a letter report from the Missouri Geological Survey (Appendix C). A typical cross section of the present configuration of the dam and of the probable configuration of the starter dam is included as Fig. 3a. An engineering design of the starter dam was prepared by Mr Bill Devitt, but consisted of only a general sketch drawing. No provision was made for construction inspection.

The starter dam was constructed of stoney red clay borrowed from the reservoir area and was approximately 30 ft high, 20 ft wide across the crest, with a 5-ft deep cut-off trench.

The main dam was constructed between 1975 and 1979. During this time coarse tailings, ranging from sand to medium gravel size, were dumped along the embankment. The embankment face was stepped upstream to form a

3-tiered embankment. Large rock, to approximately 2 ft diameter, was placed on the downstream face of the lower two tiers. Fine tailings of sand silt and clay size, were sluiced into the impoundment area from the processing plant located on the right abutment.

Mining and processing operations were terminated in December 1979. No work has been done on the dam construction since then, nor is any further work anticipated.

- h. Normal operating procedure. No operating records were found. Overflow passes over the uncontrolled spillway at the left abutment.

1.3 Pertinent Data

- a. Drainage area: approximately $.32 \text{ mi}^2$ (Fig. 2)

- b. Discharge at damsite.

Maximum known flood at damsite	Unknown
Warm water outlet at pool elevation	Not applicable (N/A)
Diversion tunnel low pool outlet at pool elevation	N/A
Diversion tunnel outlet at pool elevation	N/A
Gated spillway capacity at pool elevation	N/A
Gated spillway capacity at maximum pool elevation	N/A
Ungated spillway capacity at maximum pool elevation	$1073 \text{ ft}^3/\text{s}$
Total spillway capacity at maximum pool elevation	$1073 \text{ ft}^3/\text{s}$

- c. Elevation (ft above MSL).

Top of dam	1005.6
Maximum pool - design surcharge	N/A
Full flood control pool	N/A
Recreation pool	N/A
Spillway crest (gated)	N/A
Upstream portal invert diversion tunnel	N/A
Downstream portal invert diversion tunnel	N/A

Streambed at centerline of dam	Unknown
Toe of dam at maximum section	948.7
Maximum tailwater	N/A

d. Reservoir.

Length of maximum pool	Approximately 2000 ft
Length of recreation pool	N/A
Length of flood control pool	N/A

e. Storage (acre-feet)

Recreation pool	N/A
Flood control pool	N/A
Design surcharge	N/A
Top of dam	Approximately 1400 (includes tailings)

f. Reservoir surface (acres).

Top of dam	Approximately 65
Maximum pool	Approximately 65
Flood control pool	N/A
Recreation pool	N/A
Spillway crest	Approximately 60.5

g. Dam.

Type	Tailings
Length	Approximately 1700 ft
Height	56.9 ft (maximum section)
Crest width	Approximately 12 ft (typical)
Side slopes	Downstream 3H to 1V; Upstream unknown
Zoning	Stoney clay starter dam overlain by coarse tailings (see Fig. 3a)
Impervious core	Unknown (probably none)

Cutoff	5-ft deep trench
Grout curtain	Unknown (probably none)

h. Diversion and regulating tunnel.

Type	None
Length	N/A
Closure	N/A
Access	N/A
Regulating Facilities	N/A

i. Spillway.

Type	Unlined notch cut at left abutment
Length of weir	Approximately 70 ft
Crest elevation	1002.4 ft MSL
Gates	None
Upstream channel	None
Downstream channel	Unlined channel through tailings and residual soil

j. Regulating outlets. None

SECTION 2 ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design

A general sketch drawing of a starter dam was prepared by Mr Bill Devitt. However, it did not contain specifications on materials or construction inspection. A typical cross section of the starter dam was obtained from the owner's files (Milchem Inc, Potosi, Missouri) and is shown in Fig. 3a.

2.2 Construction

The starter dam was constructed in 1972. No records were found of construction inspection of the materials used in the starter dam. A letter report prepared by the Missouri Geological Survey, dated Sept 1975, describing the condition of the dam shortly after barite processing operations began, is presented in Appendix C.

2.3 Operation

No operating records were available. Inspection indicates the main dam was constructed of coarse tailings (approximately 3/4 in. maximum particle size) trucked from the processing plant and end-dumped onto the embankment. No records are available on the compaction or actual gradation of the material in the embankment.

Fine tailings mud was carried by flume from the plant on the right abutment, and discharged near the right abutment, at the back of the coarse tailings embankment. A baffle dike composed of coarse tailings extends nearly across the southwestern fourth of the impoundment area. This dike restricts, somewhat, the fine tailings to the northeastern three-fourths of the reservoir and allows the pumping of water for plant operations from the southwestern fourth of the reservoir. See Figure A1, Appendix A for a sketch of the operations layout.

2.4 Evaluation

- a. Availability. There are no engineering data available other than the general sketch drawing of the starter dam, and the letter report by the Missouri Geological Survey (Fig. 3a and Appendix C).
- b. Adequacy. The engineering data available is insufficient to evaluate the adequacy of the design of this dam.

Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of the guidelines are not on record. This is a deficiency which should be rectified. These seepage and stability analyses should be performed for appropriate loading conditions (including earthquake loads) and made a matter of record. These analyses should be performed by an engineer experienced in the design and construction of dams.

- c. Validity. Not applicable.

2.5 Project Geology

The dam site lies on the northern flank of the Ozark structural dome. The regional dip is to the north. The bedrock in the area consists of Cambrian age Eminence and Potosi dolomite formations (Fig. 4). The Potosi Formation is a medium- to fine-grained, light gray dolomite and typically contains an abundance of quartz druse, characteristic of chert bearing formations. The Eminence Formation conformably overlies the Potosi Formation, is similar in appearance but contains less quartz and chert.

The soil at the dam site is a dark red-brown, very sticky, plastic residual clay (CH), characteristically developed on the Potosi Formation. It is locally overlain by a thin (2-5 ft) loess profile of clayey silt (ML). The soils are mapped on the Missouri General Soils Map as Union-Goss-Gasconade-Peridge Association.

The Cabanne Fault, an east-west trending branch of the Big River Fault system, is mapped approximately 2 mi south of the dam. The fault is mapped as north side down and is within the Potosi and Eminence Formations at the surface. The Aptus Fault, a northwest-southeast trending branch of the Big River Fault system is

mapped approximately 4 mi west of the site. The Aptus fault is mapped as southwest side down, and is mapped within the Potosi and Eminence Formations at the surface. The faults are likely Paleozoic in age, and are not considered to be in a seismically active area. The faults are not considered to pose a significant hazard to the dam.

SECTION 3 VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

- a. General. The field inspection was conducted on 15 May 1980; a supplemental inspection was conducted 5 June 1980. These inspections indicated the dam was in a fair to good condition.
- b. Dam. The initial inspection indicated the dam was in good condition. However, on the supplemental visit, a slump-type landslide was noted on the lowest tier of the downstream face of the dam, at approximately station 5+00 to 6+50 measured from the right end of the dam. The slump thickness is apparently less than 5 ft, and the height about 15 to 20 ft. The slide is limited to the "bullrock" slope cover, (6 to 24 in. coarse tailings placed on slope.) Slip on the slide is approximately 5 to 10 ft.

No extensive vegetation is growing on the embankment. No substantial erosion was noted on the face of the embankment. No animal burrows were noted on the face of the embankment.

A moderately large wet area, approximately 50 ft square, with some standing water, was noted at the toe of the dam in the former drainage channel. No single source of seepage, however, could be identified. Total seepage was estimated at approximately 10 gal/min at the time of the inspection. The seepage water was clear and carried no soil.

Some seepage was also noted in the discharge channel downstream from the spillway at the contact between the underlying residual soil and the tailings or waste. This seepage also contributed to minor sloughing along the walls of the discharge channel. The rate of seepage was estimated at less than 1 gal/min at the time of the inspection. The seepage water was clear.

The materials comprising the bulk of the dam consist of coarse tailings or "chat". This material (sandy gravel and gravelly sand, GW-SW) appears quite cohesionless and would likely be severely eroded should the dam be overtopped (Photo 1).

c. Appurtenant structures.

1. Spillway. The spillway at the Keyes Branch Mine Dam consists of a broad, flat-bottomed notch at the left abutment (Photo 3). The floor of the spillway appears to be underlain by residual soil and weathered bedrock. The right side (south side) of the spillway consists of coarse tailings (Fig. 3b).

The highly plastic, stony red clay residual soil and weathered bedrock will likely be slightly to moderately erodible. The tailings portion of the spillway wall will likely be easily eroded during periods of high flow. The flat-bottomed shape of the spillway indicates runoff through the spillway will be in contact with the easily erodible coarse tailings. Erosion of these tailings could lead to undermining of the main dam embankment. Therefore, this portion of the existing spillway may require lining or rip-rap to prevent extensive erosion of the spillway.

- d. Reservoir area. The reservoir area at the time of the inspection was nearly filled with fine tailings carried by flume to the impoundment (Photo 8). The tailings near the discharge end of the flume are quite sandy and are firm enough to walk on. Farther from the flume, the material is quite silty and clayey, water-saturated and very soft. The southwestern part of the reservoir is water covered due to the baffle dike which restricts most of the tailings to the northeastern portion of the impoundment.

The slopes surrounding the reservoir are quite flat, estimated to be less than 5 horizontal to 1 vertical. No indication was found of potentially unstable slopes surrounding the reservoir.

Much of the area around the reservoir is pockmarked with small prospect or development pits 3 to 5 ft in diameter. The majority of these pits have been nearly filled with soil or slopewash debris. They should reduce or delay surface runoff into the reservoir during periods of heavy precipitation.

- e. Downstream channel. The downstream channel below the spillway is a relatively narrow erosion channel, 6 to 8 ft wide at the bottom, cut into residual soil and tailings (Photo 4). These materials appear to be moderately to easily erodible. Seepage occurring at the contact between the tailings and soil has further softened the material and increased its erodibility. The channel walls are approximately 1.5 horizontal to 1 vertical in the tailings, and steeper than 1 horizontal to 1 vertical in the residual soil. High flow through the channel could cause erosion of the walls and result in lateral channel migration downhill toward the toe of the dam. The upper reaches of the channel should be widened and lined or rip-rapped to protect the channel walls from erosion.

3.2 Evaluation

The visual inspection of the dam identified a slump type landslide on the downstream face of the dam. This slump occurred in the 3-week interval between the 2 visits to this dam. Although this slump does not appear to pose an immediate hazard to the dam, it did occur where an earlier failure was described by the Missouri Geological Survey (See Appendix C). This record of continued instability suggests additional work is necessary to evaluate the causes of these failures.

The erosion resistance of the spillway and discharge channel appears to be inadequate to carry significant runoff. Substantial erosion could result in migration of the discharge channel and possibly result in erosion at the toe of the dam.

Seepage in the discharge channel and at the toe of the dam did not appear to constitute a hazard to the dam at the time of the inspection. However, the seepage should be monitored to evaluate any increase in discharge or turbidity of the seepage water .

SECTION 4 OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

4.1 Procedures

Mining operations were begun at the Keyes Branch Mine in early 1975.

Mining and processing operations were discontinued in December 1979. According to Mr Robert Politte, a mine employee at the site, there are no plans to resume operations in the future. The spillway is uncontrolled. No operating procedures currently exist at this structure.

4.2 Maintenance of Dam

No maintenance program was available as the facility is inactive and no future activity is planned.

4.3 Maintenance of Operating Facilities

Not applicable.

4.4 Description of Any Warning System in Effect

As the facility is inactive, no warning system is in effect.

4.5 Evaluation

There are no operating facilities or warning procedures in effect at this structure.

There is no known plan for scheduled inspection or monitoring of the dam by the owner in the future. In light of the erodability of the embankment and of portions of the spillway and discharge channel, the condition of the dam and its appurtenant structures may deteriorate with time without being noticed.

SECTION 5 HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC

5.1 Evaluation of Features

- a. Design data. No hydrologic or hydraulic design information was available for evaluation of the dam or the reservoir. Dimensions of the dam and spillway were surveyed 26 May 1980, measured on the date of the visual inspections, or estimated from topographic mapping. The topographic map used was the USGS Potosi 7-1/2 minute quadrangle sheet.
- b. Experience data. No recorded precipitation, runoff, discharge or pool stage data were available for this reservoir or dam. There are no stream gaging stations in the drainage basin.
- c. Visual observations. The spillway constructed at this dam consists of a broad flat weir cut into the left abutment. The soil appears moderately erodible. The erosion potential of the tailings dike adjacent to the spillway is high. On the date of the inspection there were no conditions observed that would indicate a reduced spillway capacity during a flood occurrence. The discharge channel drops steeply and the possibility of supercritical flows in the discharge channel cannot be ruled out.
- d. Overtopping potential. One of the primary considerations in the evaluation of the Keyes Branch Mine Dam is assessment of the potential for overtopping and consequent failure by erosion of the embankment. Since the spillway of this dam is composed of erodible materials, and substantial erosion of the spillway could result in damage to the embankment, the potential consequences of significant spillway discharges are substantially the same as the overtopping of the dam embankment. Hydraulic considerations of the material erodibility and of the spillway geometry suggest that flows below a velocity of 3.5 ft/s or a discharge of less than $170 \text{ ft}^3/\text{s}$ will not result in substantial spillway erosion. For the purpose of determining the overtopping potential of this dam, spillway discharge of greater than $170 \text{ ft}^3/\text{s}$ second is considered to produce the effects of overtopping.

Hydrologic analysis of the tailings dam using the data and method as inclosed and described in Appendix B, Hydrology/Hydraulics Data and Analyses, indicate that a flood of greater than 23 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) will effectively overtop the dam. The PMF is defined as the flood event that may be expected to occur from the most severe combination of critical meteorologic and hydrologic conditions that are reasonably possible in the region.

A flood with 1 percent chance of occurrence will be contained within the spillway without overtopping the dam.

The following data were computed for various flood events, assuming no erosion of the spillway or embankment:

Precipitation Event	Maximum Depth of Overtopping ft	Maximum Lake Elevation ft (msl)	Maximum Outflow ft ³ /s	Duration of Overtop hr
10% Prob	0	1002.9	50	0
1% Prob	0	1003.2	90	0
23% PMF	0	1003.6 (effective top of dam)	165	0
50% PMF	0	1004.6	490	0
100% PMF	0.4	1006.0	1360	1.3

SECTION 6 STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Evaluation of Structural Stability

- a. Visual observations. The field inspection identified a slump-type slide on the south end of the downstream face of the dam embankment. The slide appears to be limited to the coarse rock layer placed as slope erosion protection (2- to 5-ft thick) and does not appear to constitute an immediate hazard to the stability of the dam.

A letter report dated September 23, 1975, by the Missouri Geological Survey (Appendix C), describes a backslope rotational slide which also occurred at the south end of the dam. This slide occurred shortly after barite mining operations had begun. Fine tailings mud had not been deposited along the entire length of the starter dam and leakage was described as high along the entire length of the dam. Slime resembling barite mud was observed for some 500 ft in the stream channel below the dam. There was evidence that water had percolated through the starter dam and transported some fine material downstream. The report recommended that material pushed onto the slide be removed; the toe of the dam be buttressed by a free draining berm of rock; and that mud be spread by flume along the entire crest of the dam to aid in sealing the gravel. There is no report describing whether these recommendations were carried out. However, there was no evidence of significant seepage or barite slimes downstream during the visual inspection recently completed.

The seepage noted at the toe of the dam at the time of the inspection did not appear to pose a hazard to the stability of the dam.

The spillway and discharge channel are judged to be in poor condition due to the potential for erosion during periods of high flow. Seepage in the discharge channel has softened the material and probably increased the erodibility of

the soil. Lateral and headward erosion of the discharge channel could result in erosion of the toe of the dam or the spillway and reduce the structural stability of the embankment.

- b. Design and construction data. No design or construction data relating to structural stability were found.
- c. Operating records. No appurtenant structures requiring operation exist at this dam. Seepage and stability analyses comparable to the requirements of the guidelines are not on record. This is a deficiency which should be corrected to meet the recommended guidelines.
- d. Post construction changes. Based on aerial photo interpretation of the site area, the most significant post construction change in the vicinity of the dam is the land clearing and mining operations which have been conducted on the slopes around the reservoir area. This will likely increase the runoff and siltation below the cleared and mined areas. Much of the mined area, however, drains into Keyes Branch Creek below the dam. No other significant post construction changes are known or apparent.
- e. Seismic stability. The dam is located in Seismic Zone 2, to which the guidelines assign a moderate damage potential. Since no static stability analysis of the dam is available for review, the seismic stability cannot be evaluated. However, the saturated and very soft condition of the tailings in the reservoir and the granular nature of the dam suggest substantial damage or failure could occur in the event of a severe seismic event.

SECTION 7 ASSESSMENT/REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

- a. **Safety.** Based on the visual inspection, the Keyes Branch Dam appears to be in fair to good condition. The effect of the observed slide on the stability of the dam needs to be evaluated. The erosion potential of the dam, if overtopped, and the erodibility of the spillway and discharge channel are deficiencies which should be corrected to increase the long term stability of the dam.
- b. **Adequacy of information.** The lack of stability and seepage analyses for the dam as recommended in the guidelines precludes an evaluation of the structural and seismic stability of the dam. The lack of these analyses is a deficiency which should be rectified to meet the recommended guidelines.
- c. **Urgency.** The deficiencies described in this report could affect the safety of the dam. Corrective actions should be initiated promptly.
- d. **Necessity for Phase II.** In accordance with the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspections of Dams, the subject investigation was a minimum study. This study revealed that additional in-depth investigations are needed to complete the assessment of the safety of the dam. Those investigations which should be performed without undue delay are described in Section 7.2b. It is our understanding from discussions with the St Louis District that any additional investigations are the responsibility of the owner.

7.2 Remedial Measures


- a. **Alternatives.** There are several general options which may be considered to reduce the possibility of dam failure. Some of these options are:
 1. Remove the dam, or breach it to prevent storage of water.

2. Increase the height of dam and/or spillway size to pass the probable maximum flood without overtopping the dam.
 3. Purchase downstream land that would be adversely impacted by dam failure and restrict human occupancy.
 4. Enhance the stability of the dam to permit overtopping by the probable maximum flood without failure.
 5. Provide a highly reliable flood warning system (generally does not prevent damage but avoids loss of life).
- b. **Recommendations.** Based on our inspection of the Keyes Branch Mine Dam, it is recommended that further study be conducted without undue delay under the guidance of an engineer experienced in design and construction of dams to evaluate, as a minimum, the following topics:
1. What spillway capacity should be provided, and in what manner, taking into consideration the high potential erodibility of the embankment materials in the event of overtopping.
 2. Potential for erosion during period of heavy flow within the spillway and adjacent portion of the embankment, including erosion mitigating options.
 3. Potential for erosion and lateral migration in the discharge channel, including erosion mitigating options.
- c. **Operation and maintenance procedures.** In view of the discontinued operations at this site and the erodibility of the spillway and discharge channel, the potential for deterioration of the stability of the dam indicates a program of periodic inspections should be designed and implemented for this facility. Any deterioration of the dam conditions should be called to the attention of an engineer experienced in design and construction of dams. This program should include:

1. A monitoring program to periodically check the condition of the face of the dam, with special regard to the south end where small slope failure has been noted in the past and at present.
2. Monitor seepage to identify changes in the amount of seepage flow or turbidity (soil) in the seepage water.
3. Inspect the spillway and discharge channel for evidence of substantial erosion which could pose a hazard to the embankment stability.

REFERENCES

- Allgood, Ferris P., and Persinger, Ivan, D., 1979, "Missouri General Soil Map and Soil Association Descriptions," US Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service and Missouri Agricultural Experiment Station.
- Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers, 1977, EC 1110-2-188, "National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams".
- Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers, 1979, ER 1110-2-106, "National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams".
- Hydrologic Engineering Center, US Army Corps of Engineers, 1978, "Flood Hydrograph Package (HEC-1) Users Manual for Dam Safety Investigations".
- McCracken, Mary H., 1971, Structural Features Map of Missouri: Missouri Geological Survey, Scale 1:500,000.
- Missouri Geological Survey, 1979, Geologic Map of Missouri: Missouri Geological Survey, Scale 1:500,000.
- St Louis District, US Army Corps of Engineers, 1979, "Hydrologic/Hydraulic Standards, Phase I Safety Inspection of Non-Federal Dams".
- US Department of Commerce, US Weather Bureau, 1956, "Seasonal Variation of the Probable Maximum Precipitation East of the 105th Meridian for Areas from 10 to 1,000 Square Miles and Durations of 6, 12, 24 and 48 Hours," Hydrometeorological Report No. 33.
- US Soil Conservation Service, 1971, "National Engineering Handbook," Section 4, Hydrology, 1971.




SITE LOCATION MAP

KEYES BRANCH MINE DAM

MO. 30386

Fig. /




Topography from USGS POTDSI and
MINERAL POINT 7.5 minute
Quadrangle maps.

DRAINAGE BASIN AND SITE TOPOGRAPHY


KEYES BRANCH MINE DAM

MO. 30386

Fig. 2




SECTION A-A
MAXIMUM CROSS SECTION (STA



PLAN
SURVEYED ELEVATION OF DAM CREST,
KEYES BRANCH MINE DAM


Downstream



Notes:

1. Information on starter dam provided by Mr Joe Rossier, Milchem Inc.
 - Location within main dam as shown
 - Max height 30 ft
 - Width at base: 150 ft (typ)
 - Constructed of Clayey soil borrowed from pond area
 - Compaction by construction equipment
 - No drains
2. No chimney or blanket drain
3. See Fig. 2 Appendix 2, for spillway plan and cross-sections
4. Existing dam profile from survey 26 May 1980

SECTION A-A
SECTION (STA 7+52.5) LOOKING NORTH



**CROSS-SECTION
AND SURVEYED
CREST ELEVATIONS**


KEYES BRANCH MINE DAM

MO. 30386

Fig. 3a


CREST,

2



Elev. ft (MSL)
100
100
99

PLAN OF SPILLWAY



CROSS-SECTION AND PLAN OF SPILLWAY

KEYES BRANCH MINE DAM

MO. 30386

Fig. 36


DAM LOCATION




Legend



Roubidoux Formation



Gasconade Dolomite
Gunter Sandstone Member



Eminence Dolomite



Potosi Dolomite




Derby-Doerun Dolomite



Davis Formation



Bonneterre Formation
Whetstone Creek Member
Sullivan Siltstone Member



Reagan Sandstone
(subsurface, western Missouri)



Lamotte Sandstone


Diabase (dikes and sills)



St. Francois Mountains Intrusive Suite



St. Francois Mountains Volcanic Supergroup



REGIONAL GEOLOGIC MAP

KEYES BRANCH MINE DAM

MO 30386

FIG 4

APPENDIX A

Photographs




PHOTO LOCATION SKETCH

KEYES BRANCH MINE DAM

MO. 30386

Fig. A-1



1. Downstream face of dam looking North toward spillway



2. Rock cover on face of dam looking South

NOTE: Marshy area at toe of dam.



3. Spillway at left abutment, looking upstream.



4. Eroded discharge channel below spillway looking downstream.



5. Erosion downstream on discharge channel looking West, upstream.



6. View of area downstream of dam, looking West. Dam in background.



7. Discharge flume from processing plant looking Northeast toward Dam.



8. Filled reservoir area looking North-Northeast from processing plant.



9. Shallow slope failure on lowest tier of downstream face of dam. Looking north.



10. Head scarp of slope failure on downstream face of dam. Note failure consists predominantly of bullrock slope cover. Looking south.

APPENDIX B

Hydraulic/Hydrologic Data and Analyses

APPENDIX B

Hydraulic/Hydrologic Analyses

B.1 Procedures

- a. **General.** The hydraulic/hydrologic analyses were performed using the "HEC-1, Dam Safety Version (1 Apr 80)" computer program. Inflow hydrographs were developed by applying various precipitation events to a synthetic unit hydrographs. The inflow hydrographs, thus obtained, were then routed through the reservoir and appurtenant structures by the modified Puls reservoir routing method used in the HEC-1 program to determine overtopping potential.
- b. **Precipitation events.** Various percentages including 100 percent of the Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) and the 1 and 10 percent probability-of-occurrence events were used in the analyses. The PMP was determined from regional charts prepared by the US Weather Bureau (1956). The 1 and 10 percent probability-of-occurrence events were provided by SLD.
- c. **Unit hydrograph.** The Soil Conservation Service (SCS) unit hydrograph (SCS, 1971) for a storm duration of 48 hrs was used to develop the inflow hydrograph. The unit hydrograph was divided into 10 min increments.
- d. **Infiltration losses.** The SCS curve number (CN) method was used to compute infiltration losses. Curve numbers were selected on the basis of antecedent moisture conditions in accordance with the guidelines, present land usage and hydrologic soil group of the soils in the drainage basin. Where more than one soil group was present, the group giving the highest CN was used for the entire basin. If the soil group was not available, group D was assumed.
- e. **Lag time.** Lag time was computed by the SCS method (SCS, 1971).

B.2 Pertinent Data

- a. **Drainage area:** 0.32 mi²
- b. **Lag time:** - 0.34 hrs
- c. **Hydrologic soil group:** C
- d. **SCS curve numbers.**
 1. For PMF: 87 (AMC III)
 2. For 1 and 10 percent probability-of-occurrence events: 73 (AMCII)

- e. **Storage.** Elevation-area data were developed by planimetering areas at various elevation contours on the USGS Potosi 7.5-minute quadrangle map. The data were entered on the \$A and \$E cards so that the HEC-1 program could compute storage volumes.
- f. **Outflow capacity.** The elevation-discharge relationship was developed from cross-sections of the spillway and downstream channel using the HEC-2 backwater profile program and entered on the Y4 and Y5 cards for the HEC-1 program.
- g. **Outflow over crest.** As the profile of the dam crest is irregular, flow over the crest cannot be determined by conventional weir formulas. Crest length-elevation data and hydraulic constants for the crest were entered on \$D, \$L and \$V cards.
- h. **Reservoir elevations.** For all fractions of the PMF, the starting reservoir elevation was the spillway crest elevation of 1002.4 ft. For the 1 and 10 percent probability-of-occurrence events, the starting reservoir elevation was 1002.4 ft, the elevation of the high water line in the reservoir area.

B.3 Results

The results of the analyses, as well as the input values to the HEC-1 program, follow in this Appendix. Only the results summaries are included, not the intermediate output. Complete copies of the HEC-1 output are available in the project file.

PLUMB HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (MEC-11)
LAST MODIFICATION 01 APR 80
RAW SUPPLY VERSION JULY 1979

KREWE'S BREACH TAILINGS DAY NO. 30398, WASHINGTON COUNTY, MISSOURI.
MONDOWARD-CLYDE CONSULTANTS, HOUSTON JOB 794004.
PROBABLE MAXIMUM FLOODS (PMF) ANALYSIS

[illegible]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
84

0 K 0 INFLON

K1 KEYES BRANCH DAM NO. 30386: MULTI-RATIO PMF RUNOFF COMPUTATIONS.

Year	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057	2058	2059	2060	2061	2062	2063	2064	2065	2066	2067	2068	2069	2070	2071	2072	2073	2074	2075	2076	2077	2078	2079	2080	2081	2082	2083	2084	2085	2086	2087	2088	2089	2090	2091	2092	2093	2094	2095	2096	2097	2098	2099	2100																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
1990	130	123	102	97	92	87	82	77	72	67	62	57	52	47	42	37	32	27	22	17	12	7	2	-3	-8	-13	-18	-23	-28	-33	-38	-43	-48	-53	-58	-63	-68	-73	-78	-83	-88	-93	-98	-103	-108	-113	-118	-123	-128	-133	-138	-143	-148	-153	-158	-163	-168	-173	-178	-183	-188	-193	-198	-203	-208	-213	-218	-223	-228	-233	-238	-243	-248	-253	-258	-263	-268	-273	-278	-283	-288	-293	-298	-303	-308	-313	-318	-323	-328	-333	-338	-343	-348	-353	-358	-363	-368	-373	-378	-383	-388	-393	-398	-403	-408	-413	-418	-423	-428	-433	-438	-443	-448	-453	-458	-463	-468	-473	-478	-483	-488	-493	-498	-503	-508	-513	-518	-523	-528	-533	-538	-543	-548	-553	-558	-563	-568	-573	-578	-583	-588	-593	-598	-603	-608	-613	-618	-623	-628	-633	-638	-643	-648	-653	-658	-663	-668	-673	-678	-683	-688	-693	-698	-703	-708	-713	-718	-723	-728	-733	-738	-743	-748	-753	-758	-763	-768	-773	-778	-783	-788	-793	-798	-803	-808	-813	-818	-823	-828	-833	-838	-843	-848	-853	-858	-863	-868	-873	-878	-883	-888	-893	-898	-903	-908	-913	-918	-923	-928	-933	-938	-943	-948	-953	-958	-963	-968	-973	-978	-983	-988	-993	-998	-1003	-1008	-1013	-1018	-1023	-1028	-1033	-1038	-1043	-1048	-1053	-1058	-1063	-1068	-1073	-1078	-1083	-1088	-1093	-1098	-1103	-1108	-1113	-1118	-1123	-1128	-1133	-1138	-1143	-1148	-1153	-1158	-1163	-1168	-1173	-1178	-1183	-1188	-1193	-1198	-1203	-1208	-1213	-1218	-1223	-1228	-1233	-1238	-1243	-1248	-1253	-1258	-1263	-1268	-1273	-1278	-1283	-1288	-1293	-1298	-1303	-1308	-1313	-1318	-1323	-1328	-1333	-1338	-1343	-1348	-1353	-1358	-1363	-1368	-1373	-1378	-1383	-1388	-1393	-1398	-1403	-1408	-1413	-1418	-1423	-1428	-1433	-1438	-1443	-1448	-1453	-1458	-1463	-1468	-1473	-1478	-1483	-1488

22. 107

x	y
13	0.0
14	-0.1
15	2.0

[illegible]

~~INVESTIGATING AGENCY'S REPORTING AND OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES~~

100

1002.4

10	0:0	3:8	22:2	36:5	50:9	74:3
----	-----	-----	------	------	------	------

70	\$F	940.	950.	960.	970.	980.	990.	1000.	1002.4	1010.
----	-----	------	------	------	------	------	------	-------	--------	-------

	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057	2058	2059	2060	2061	2062	2063	2064	2065	2066	2067	2068	2069	2070	2071	2072	2073	2074	2075	2076	2077	2078	2079	2080	2081	2082	2083	2084	2085	2086	2087	2088	2089	2090	2091	2092	2093	2094	2095	2096	2097	2098	2099	2100	2101	2102	2103	2104	2105	2106	2107	2108	2109	2110	2111	2112	2113	2114	2115	2116	2117	2118	2119	2120	2121	2122	2123	2124	2125	2126	2127	2128	2129	2130	2131	2132	2133	2134	2135	2136	2137	2138	2139	2140	2141	2142	2143	2144	2145	2146	2147	2148	2149	2150	2151	2152	2153	2154	2155	2156	2157	2158	2159	2160	2161	2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2173	2174	2175	2176	2177	2178	2179	2180	2181	2182	2183	2184	2185	2186	2187	2188	2189	2190	2191	2192	2193	2194	2195	2196	2197	2198	2199	2200	2201	2202	2203	2204	2205	2206	2207	2208	2209	2210	2211	2212	2213	2214	2215	2216	2217	2218	2219	2220	2221	2222	2223	2224	2225	2226	2227	2228	2229	2230	2231	2232	2233	2234	2235	2236	2237	2238	2239	2240	2241	2242	2243	2244	2245	2246	2247	2248	2249	2250	2251	2252	2253	2254	2255	2256	2257	2258	2259	2260	2261	2262	2263	2264	2265	2266	2267	2268	2269	2270	2271	2272	2273	2274	2275	2276	2277	2278	2279	2280	2281	2282	2283	2284	2285	2286	2287	2288	2289	2290	2291	2292	2293	2294	2295	2296	2297	2298	2299	2300	2301	2302	2303	2304	2305	2306	2307	2308	2309	2310	2311	2312	2313	2314	2315	2316	2317	2318	2319	2320	2321	2322	2323	2324	2325	2326	2327	2328	2329	2330	2331	2332	2333	2334	2335	2336	2337	2338	2339	2340	2341	2342	2343	2344	2345	2346	2347	2348	2349	2350	2351	2352	2353	2354	2355	2356	2357	2358	2359	2360	2361	2362	2363	2364	2365	2366	2367	2368	2369	2370	2371	2372	2373	2374	2375	2376	2377	2378	2379	2380	2381	2382	2383	2384	2385	2386	2387	2388	2389	2390	2391	2392	2393	2394	2395	2396	2397	2398	2399	2400	2401	2402	2403	2404	2405	2406	2407	2408	2409	2410	2411	2412	2413	2414	2415	2416	2417	2418	2419	2420	2421	2422	2
--	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	---

601 802 803 804 805 806 807 808 809 810 811 812 813 814 815 816 817 818 819 820 821 822 823 824 825 826 827 828 829 830 831 832 833 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 848 849 850 851 852 853 854 855 856 857 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876 877 878 879 880 881 882 883 884 885 886 887 888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896 897 898 899 900 901 902 903 904 905 906 907 908 909 910 911 912 913 914 915 916 917 918 919 920 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 928 929 930 931 932 933 934 935 936 937 938 939 940 941 942 943 944 945 946 947 948 949 950 951 952 953 954 955 956 957 958 959 960 961 962 963 964 965 966 967 968 969 970 971 972 973 974 975 976 977 978 979 980 981 982 983 984 985 986 987 988 989 990 991 992 993 994 995 996 997 998 999 1000 1001 1002 1003 1004 1005 1006 1007 1008 1009 1010 1011 1012 1013 1014 1015 1016 1017 1018 1019 1020 1021 1022 1023 1024 1025 1026 1027 1028 1029 1030 1031 1032 1033 1034 1035 1036 1037 1038 1039 1040 1041 1042 1043 1044 1045 1046 1047 1048 1049 1050 1051 1052 1053 1054 1055 1056 1057 1058 1059 1060 1061 1062 1063 1064 1065 1066 1067 1068 1069 1070 1071 1072 1073 1074 1075 1076 1077 1078 1079 1080 1081 1082 1083 1084 1085 1086 1087 1088 1089 1090 1091 1092 1093 1094 1095 1096 1097 1098 1099 1100 1101 1102 1103 1104 1105 1106 1107 1108 1109 1110 1111 1112 1113 1114 1115 1116 1117 1118 1119 1120 1121 1122 1123 1124 1125 1126 1127 1128 1129 1130 1131 1132 1133 1134 1135 1136 1137 1138 1139 1140 1141 1142 1143 1144 1145 1146 1147 1148 1149 1150 1151 1152 1153 1154 1155 1156 1157 1158 1159 1160 1161 1162 1163 1164 1165 1166 1167 1168 1169 1170 1171 1172 1173 1174 1175 1176 1177 1178 1179 1180 1181 1182 1183 1184 1185 1186 1187 1188 1189 1190 1191 1192 1193 1194 1195 1196 1197 1198 1199 1200 1201 1202 1203 1204 1205 1206 1207 1208 1209 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219 1220 1221 1222 1223 1224 1225 1226 1227 1228 1229 1230 1231 1232 1233 1234 1235 1236 1237 1238 1239 1240 1241 1242 1243 1244 1245 1246 1247 1248 1249 1250 1251 1252 1253 1254 1255 1256 1257 1258 1259 1260 1261 1262 1263 1264 1265 1266 1267 1268 1269 1270 1271 1272 1273 1274 1275 1276 1277 1278 1279 1280 1281 1282 1283 1284 1285 1286 1287 1288 1289 1290 1291 1292 1293 1294 1295 1296 1297 1298 1299 1300 1301 1302 1303 1304 1305 1306 1307 1308 1309 1310 1311 1312 1313 1314 1315 1316 1317 1318 1319 1320 1321 1322 1323 1324 1325 1326 1327 1328 1329 1330 1331 1332 1333 1334 1335 1336 1337 1338 1339 1340 1341 1342 1343 1344 1345 1346 1347 1348 1349 1350 1351 1352 1353 1354 1355 1356 1357 1358 1359 1360 1361 1362 1363 1364 1365 1366 1367 1368 1369 1370 1371 1372 1373 1374 1375 1376 1377 1378 1379 1380 1381 1382 1383 1384 1385 1386 1387 1388 1389 1390 1391 1392 1393 1394 1395 1396 1397 1398 1399 1400 1401 1402 1403 1404 1405 1406 1407 1408 1409 1410 1411 1412 1413 1414 1415 1416 1417 1418 1419 1420 1421 1422 1423 1424 1425 1426 1427 1428 1429 1430 1431 1432 1433 1434 1435 1436 1437 1438 1439 1440 1441 1442 1443 1444 1445 1446 1447 1448 1449 1450 1451 1452 1453 1454 1455 1456 1457 1458 1459 1460 1461 1462 1463 1464 1465 1466 1467 1468 1469 1470 1471 1472 1473 1474 1475 1476 1477 1478 1479 1480 1481 1482 1483 1484 1485 1486 1487 1488 1489 1490 1491 1492 1493 1494 1495 1496 1497 1498 1499 1500 1501 1502 1503 1504 1505 1506 1507 1508 1509 1510 1511 1512 1513 1514 1515 1516 1517 1518 1519 1520 1521 1522 1523 1524 1525 1526 1527 1528 1529 1530 1531 1532 1533 1534 1535 1536 1537 1538 1539 1540 1541 1542 1543 1544 1545 1546 1547 1548 1549 1550 1551 1552 1553 1554 1555 1556 1557 1558 1559 1560 1561 1562 1563 1564 1565 1566 1567 1568 1569 1570 1571 1572 1573 1574 1575 1576 1577 1578 1579 1580 1581 1582 1583 1584 1585 1586 1587 1588 1589 1590 1591 1592 1593 1594 1595 1596 1597 1598 1599 1600 1601 1602 1603 1604 1605 1606 1607 1608 1609 1610 1611 1612 1613 1614 1615 1616 1617 1618 1619 1620 1621 1622 1623 1624 1625 1626 1627 1628 1629 1630 1631 1632 1633 1634 1635 1636 1637 1638 1639 1640 1641 1642 1643 1644 1645 1646 1647 1648 1649 1650 1651 1652 1653 1654 1655 1656 1657 1658 165

23	34	0.	16.0	110.	790.	900.	1500.	1540.	1670.	1720.
----	----	----	------	------	------	------	-------	-------	-------	-------

24	SV1003.0	1003.5	1006.0	1007.5	1008.0	1010.0	1012.0	1014.0	1014.9
24	SV1003.0	1003.5	1006.0	1007.5	1008.0	1010.0	1012.0	1014.0	1014.9

46-62

Input Data
Various PMF Events
Keyes Branch Mine Dam
MO 30386

 FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1)
 DATA SHEET VERSION JULY 1978
 LAST MODIFICATION 01 APR 80

RUN DATE: 31 JUL 80
 TIME: 10.31.53

KEYES BRANCH TRAILINGS DRY NO. 30386, WASHINGTON COUNTY, MISSOURI.
 WOODWARD-CLOYE CONSULTANTS, HOUSTON JOB 70H009.
 PROBABLE MAXIMUM FLOODS (PMF) ANALYSIS

JOB SPECIFICATION
 NO. 208 NMR 0 MAIN 3 IDAY 0 IHR 0 INH 0 METRC 0 IPLT 0 IPRT 0 MSTAN 0
 JOPEZ 5 MNT 0 LROPT 0 TRACE 0

MULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED
 PLAN 1 RATIO 1.00

RTIOS= .25 .50 .75 1.00

SUB-AREA RUNOFF COMPUTATION

KEYES BRANCH DRY NO. 30386 MULTI-RATIO PMF RUNOFF COMPUTATIONS

ISTAD	ICOMP	TECOM	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRT	I4AME	ISFAGE	IAUTO
1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

HYDROGRAPH DATA

TIME	PRECIP	Q	Q12	Q24	Q48	Q72	Q96
1	0.32	0.00	120.00	130.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

LOSS DATA

LEOPT	STRKR	OLTR	RTIOL	ERAIN	STKRS	RTIOK	STREL	CHSTL	ALSMX	RTIMP
0	0	0	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.22

Input Data
 Various PMF Events
 Keyes Branch Mine Dam
 MO 30386

CURVE NO = 07.00 WETNESS = -1.00 EFFECT CN = 07.00

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA
 FC = 0.0 LAG = .34

RECESSION DATA

STRAT = -10.00 DRCSN = -.10 RTIOR = 2.00

UNIT	HYDROGRAPH	22 END	OF PERIOD	ORIGINATES	FC	LAG	HOURS	LAG	34	VOL	1.00
07	149	303	394	401	345	261	173	120	06		

1.01	1.00	1	.01	.00	.01	.01	1.	1.01	12.05	145	.22	.21	.01	167.
1.01	1.00	2	.01	.00	.01	.01	3.	1.01	12.10	146	.22	.21	.01	164.
1.01	1.01	3	.01	.00	.01	.01	4.	1.01	12.15	147	.22	.21	.01	230.
1.01	1.01	4	.01	.00	.01	.01	5.	1.01	12.20	148	.22	.21	.01	290.
1.01	1.01	5	.01	.00	.01	.01	6.	1.01	12.25	149	.22	.21	.01	351.
1.01	1.01	6	.01	.00	.01	.01	7.	1.01	12.30	150	.22	.22	.01	403.
1.01	1.01	7	.01	.00	.01	.01	8.	1.01	12.35	151	.22	.22	.01	443.
1.01	1.01	8	.01	.00	.01	.01	9.	1.01	12.40	152	.22	.22	.01	470.
1.01	1.01	9	.01	.00	.01	.01	10.	1.01	12.45	153	.22	.22	.00	480.
1.01	1.01	10	.01	.00	.01	.01	11.	1.01	12.50	154	.22	.22	.00	502.
1.01	1.01	11	.01	.00	.01	.01	12.	1.01	12.55	155	.22	.22	.00	512.
1.01	1.01	12	.01	.00	.01	.01	13.	1.01	13.00	156	.22	.22	.00	519.
1.01	1.01	13	.01	.00	.01	.01	14.	1.01	13.05	157	.22	.22	.00	526.
1.01	1.01	14	.01	.00	.01	.01	15.	1.01	13.10	158	.22	.22	.00	537.
1.01	1.01	15	.01	.00	.01	.01	16.	1.01	13.15	159	.22	.22	.00	552.
1.01	1.01	16	.01	.00	.01	.01	17.	1.01	13.20	160	.22	.22	.00	572.
1.01	1.01	17	.01	.00	.01	.01	18.	1.01	13.25	161	.22	.22	.00	591.
1.01	1.01	18	.01	.00	.01	.01	19.	1.01	13.30	162	.22	.22	.00	607.
1.01	1.01	19	.01	.00	.01	.01	20.	1.01	13.35	163	.22	.22	.00	620.
1.01	1.01	20	.01	.00	.01	.01	21.	1.01	13.40	164	.22	.22	.00	628.
1.01	1.01	21	.01	.00	.01	.01	22.	1.01	13.45	165	.22	.22	.00	634.
1.01	1.01	22	.01	.00	.01	.01	23.	1.01	13.50	166	.22	.22	.00	638.
1.01	1.01	23	.01	.00	.01	.01	24.	1.01	13.55	167	.22	.22	.00	641.
1.01	1.01	24	.01	.00	.01	.01	25.	1.01	14.00	168	.22	.22	.00	644.
1.01	1.01	25	.01	.00	.01	.01	26.	1.01	14.05	169	.22	.22	.00	649.
1.01	1.01	26	.01	.00	.01	.01	27.	1.01	14.10	170	.22	.22	.00	659.
1.01	1.01	27	.01	.00	.01	.01	28.	1.01	14.15	171	.22	.22	.00	680.
1.01	1.01	28	.01	.00	.01	.01	29.	1.01	14.20	172	.22	.22	.00	707.
1.01	1.01	29	.01	.00	.01	.01	30.	1.01	14.25	173	.22	.22	.00	735.
1.01	1.01	30	.01	.00	.01	.01	31.	1.01	14.30	174	.22	.22	.00	757.
1.01	1.01	31	.01	.00	.01	.01	32.	1.01	14.35	175	.22	.22	.00	775.
1.01	1.01	32	.01	.00	.01	.01	33.	1.01	14.40	176	.22	.22	.00	787.
1.01	1.01	33	.01	.00	.01	.01	34.	1.01	14.45	177	.22	.22	.00	795.
1.01	1.01	34	.01	.00	.01	.01	35.	1.01	14.50	178	.22	.22	.00	801.
1.01	1.01	35	.01	.00	.01	.01	36.	1.01	14.55	179	.22	.22	.00	805.
1.01	1.01	36	.01	.00	.01	.01	37.	1.01	15.00	180	.22	.22	.00	809.
1.01	1.01	37	.01	.00	.01	.01	38.	1.01	15.05	181	.22	.22	.00	805.
1.01	1.01	38	.01	.00	.01	.01	39.	1.01	15.10	182	.22	.22	.00	796.
1.01	1.01	39	.01	.00	.01	.01	40.	1.01	15.15	183	.22	.22	.00	788.
1.01	1.01	40	.01	.00	.01	.01	41.	1.01	15.20	184	.22	.22	.00	808.
1.01	1.01	41	.01	.00	.01	.01	42.	1.01	15.25	185	.22	.22	.00	871.
1.01	1.01	42	.01	.00	.01	.01	43.	1.01	15.30	186	.22	.22	.01	1030.
1.01	1.01	43	.01	.00	.01	.01	44.	1.01	15.35	187	.22	.22	.01	1377.
1.01	1.01	44	.01	.00	.01	.01	45.	1.01	15.40	188	.22	.22	.00	1416.
1.01	1.01	45	.01	.00	.01	.01	46.	1.01	15.45	189	.22	.22	.00	2501.
1.01	1.01	46	.01	.00	.01	.01	47.	1.01	15.50	190	.22	.22	.00	2859.
1.01	1.01	47	.01	.00	.01	.01	48.	1.01	15.55	191	.22	.22	.00	2900.
1.01	1.01	48	.01	.00	.01	.01	49.	1.01	16.00	192	.22	.22	.00	2689.
1.01	1.01	49	.01	.00	.01	.01	50.	1.01	16.05	193	.22	.22	.00	2311.
1.01	1.01	50	.01	.00	.01	.01	51.	1.01	16.10	194	.22	.22	.00	1949.
1.01	1.01	51	.01	.00	.01	.01	52.	1.01	16.15	195	.22	.22	.00	1642.
1.01	1.01	52	.01	.00	.01	.01	53.	1.01	16.20	196	.22	.22	.00	1402.
1.01	1.01	53	.01	.00	.01	.01	54.	1.01	16.25	197	.22	.22	.00	1219.
1.01	1.01	54	.01	.00	.01	.01	55.	1.01	16.30	198	.22	.22	.00	1082.
1.01	1.01	55	.01	.00	.01	.01	56.	1.01	16.35	199	.22	.22	.00	985.
1.01	1.01	56	.01	.00	.01	.01	57.	1.01	16.40	200	.22	.22	.00	920.
1.01	1.01	57	.01	.00	.01	.01	58.	1.01	16.45	201	.22	.22	.00	874.
1.01	1.01	58	.01	.00	.01	.01	59.	1.01	16.50	202	.22	.22	.00	841.
1.01	1.01	59	.01	.00	.01	.01	60.	1.01	16.55	203	.22	.22	.00	819.
1.01	1.01	60	.01	.00	.01	.01	61.	1.01	17.00	204	.22	.22	.00	803.
1.01	1.01	61	.01	.00	.01	.01	62.	1.01	17.05	205	.22	.22	.00	789.

Input Data
Various PMF Events
Branch Mine Da
1082.MO 30386

1.01	5.30	66	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	17.25	234	.24	.24	.00	642.
1.01	5.35	67	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	17.30	210	.24	.24	.00	658.
1.01	5.40	68	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	17.35	211	.24	.24	.00	640.
1.01	5.45	69	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	17.40	212	.24	.24	.00	628.
1.01	5.50	70	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	17.45	213	.24	.24	.00	670.
1.01	5.55	71	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	17.50	214	.24	.24	.00	614.
1.01	5.60	72	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	17.55	215	.24	.24	.00	619.
1.01	5.65	73	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	18.00	216	.24	.24	.00	607.
1.01	5.70	74	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	18.05	217	.02	.02	.00	599.
1.01	5.75	75	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	18.10	218	.02	.02	.00	561.
1.01	5.80	76	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	18.15	219	.02	.02	.00	493.
1.01	5.85	77	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	18.20	220	.02	.02	.00	409.
1.01	5.90	78	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	18.25	221	.02	.02	.00	315.
1.01	5.95	79	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	18.30	222	.02	.02	.00	277.
1.01	6.00	80	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	18.35	223	.02	.02	.00	299.
1.01	6.05	81	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	18.40	224	.02	.02	.00	241.
1.01	6.10	82	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	18.45	225	.02	.02	.00	225.
1.01	6.15	83	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	18.50	226	.02	.02	.00	210.
1.01	6.20	84	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	18.55	227	.02	.02	.00	196.
1.01	6.25	85	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	19.00	228	.02	.02	.00	183.
1.01	6.30	86	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	19.05	229	.02	.02	.00	171.
1.01	6.35	87	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	19.10	230	.02	.02	.00	159.
1.01	6.40	88	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	19.15	231	.02	.02	.00	149.
1.01	6.45	89	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	19.20	232	.02	.02	.00	139.
1.01	6.50	90	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	19.25	233	.02	.02	.00	129.
1.01	6.55	91	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	19.30	234	.02	.02	.00	121.
1.01	6.60	92	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	19.35	235	.02	.02	.00	113.
1.01	6.65	93	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	19.40	236	.02	.02	.00	105.
1.01	6.70	94	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	19.45	237	.02	.02	.00	98.
1.01	6.75	95	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	19.50	238	.02	.02	.00	91.
1.01	6.80	96	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	19.55	239	.02	.02	.00	84.
1.01	6.85	97	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	20.00	240	.02	.02	.00	80.
1.01	6.90	98	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	20.05	241	.02	.02	.00	74.
1.01	6.95	99	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	20.10	242	.02	.02	.00	69.
1.01	7.00	100	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	20.15	243	.02	.02	.00	65.
1.01	7.05	101	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	20.20	244	.02	.02	.00	60.
1.01	7.10	102	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	20.25	245	.02	.02	.00	56.
1.01	7.15	103	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	20.30	246	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	7.20	104	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	20.35	247	.02	.02	.00	52.
1.01	7.25	105	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	20.40	248	.02	.02	.00	50.
1.01	7.30	106	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	20.45	249	.02	.02	.00	55.
1.01	7.35	107	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	20.50	250	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	7.40	108	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	20.55	251	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	7.45	109	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	21.00	252	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	7.50	110	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	21.05	253	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	7.55	111	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	21.10	254	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	7.60	112	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	21.15	255	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	7.65	113	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	21.20	256	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	7.70	114	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	21.25	257	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	7.75	115	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	21.30	258	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	7.80	116	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	21.35	259	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	7.85	117	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	21.40	260	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	7.90	118	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	21.45	261	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	7.95	119	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	21.50	262	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.00	120	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	21.55	263	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.05	121	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.00	264	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.10	122	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.05	265	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.15	123	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.10	266	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.20	124	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.15	267	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.25	125	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.20	268	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.30	126	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.25	269	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.35	127	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.30	270	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.40	128	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.35	271	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.45	129	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.40	272	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.50	130	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.45	273	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.55	131	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.50	274	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.60	132	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.55	275	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.65	133	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.60	276	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.70	134	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.65	277	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.75	135	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.70	278	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.80	136	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.75	279	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.85	137	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.80	280	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.90	138	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.85	281	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	8.95	139	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.90	282	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.00	140	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	22.95	283	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.05	141	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.00	284	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.10	142	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.05	285	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.15	143	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.10	286	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.20	144	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.15	287	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.25	145	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.20	288	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.30	146	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.25	289	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.35	147	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.30	290	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.40	148	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.35	291	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.45	149	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.40	292	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.50	150	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.45	293	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.55	151	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.50	294	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.60	152	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.55	295	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.65	153	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.60	296	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.70	154	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.65	297	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.75	155	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.70	298	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.80	156	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.75	299	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.85	157	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.80	300	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.90	158	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.85	301	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	9.95	159	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.90	302	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	10.00	160	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	23.95	303	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	10.05	161	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	24.00	304	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	10.10	162	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	24.05	305	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	10.15	163	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	24.10	306	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	10.20	164	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	24.15	307	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	10.25	165	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	24.20	308	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	10.30	166	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	24.25	309	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01	10.35	167	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	1.01	24.30	310	.02	.02	.00	54.
1.01														

1.01 11.20	131	.07	.00	132.	1.01 23.20	276	.02	.00	276
1.01 11.25	132	.07	.00	133.	1.01 23.25	276	.02	.00	276
1.01 11.30	133	.07	.00	134.	1.01 23.30	277	.02	.00	277
1.01 11.35	134	.07	.00	135.	1.01 23.35	278	.02	.00	278
1.01 11.40	135	.07	.00	136.	1.01 23.40	278	.02	.00	278
1.01 11.45	136	.07	.00	137.	1.01 23.45	279	.02	.00	279
1.01 11.50	137	.07	.00	138.	1.01 23.50	280	.02	.00	280
1.01 11.55	138	.07	.00	139.	1.01 23.55	281	.02	.00	281
1.01 12.00	139	.07	.00	140.	1.02 0.	282	.02	.00	282

SUM 33.80 32.45 1.35 81639.
 (859.91 824.91 34.11 2311.76)

Input Data									
Various PMF Events									
Keyes Branch Mine Dam									
MO 30386									
TOTAL VOLUME									
CFS				2900.	82.	2900.	82.	2900.	82.
CHS				25.90	32.94	25.90	32.94	25.90	32.94
MM				657.89	836.74	657.89	836.74	657.89	836.74
INCHES				442.	582.	442.	582.	442.	582.
THOUS CU M				545.	693.	545.	693.	545.	693.

HYDROGRAPH AT STATION FOR PLAN 1, RTIO 1

1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	10.	11.	12.	13.	14.	15.	16.	17.	18.	19.	20.	21.	22.	23.	24.	25.	26.	27.	28.	29.	30.	31.	32.	33.	34.	35.	36.	37.	38.	39.	40.	41.	42.	43.	44.	45.	46.	47.	48.	49.	50.	51.	52.	53.	54.	55.	56.	57.	58.	59.	60.	61.	62.	63.	64.	65.	66.	67.	68.	69.	70.	71.	72.	73.	74.	75.	76.	77.	78.	79.	80.	81.	82.	83.	84.	85.	86.	87.	88.	89.	90.	91.	92.	93.	94.	95.	96.	97.	98.	99.	100.
1.01	1.02	1.03	1.04	1.05	1.06	1.07	1.08	1.09	1.10	1.11	1.12	1.13	1.14	1.15	1.16	1.17	1.18	1.19	1.20	1.21	1.22	1.23	1.24	1.25	1.26	1.27	1.28	1.29	1.30	1.31	1.32	1.33	1.34	1.35	1.36	1.37	1.38	1.39	1.40	1.41	1.42	1.43	1.44	1.45	1.46	1.47	1.48	1.49	1.50	1.51	1.52	1.53	1.54	1.55	1.56	1.57	1.58	1.59	1.60	1.61	1.62	1.63	1.64	1.65	1.66	1.67	1.68	1.69	1.70	1.71	1.72	1.73	1.74	1.75	1.76	1.77	1.78	1.79	1.80	1.81	1.82	1.83	1.84	1.85	1.86	1.87	1.88	1.89	1.90	1.91	1.92	1.93	1.94	1.95	1.96	1.97	1.98	1.99	2.00

PEAK 725. 223. 71. 2. 20398. 578.
 CFS 725. 223. 71. 2. 20398. 578.
 CHS 21. 6. 2. 2. 578.

PEAK FLOW AND STORAGE (END OF PERIOD) SUMMARY FOR MULTIPLE PLAN-RATIO ECONOMIC COMPUTATIONS
 FLOWS IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CUBIC METERS PER SECOND)
 AREA IN SQUARE MILES (SQUARE KILOMETERS)

RATIOS APPLIED TO FLOWS

OPERATION	STATION	AREA	PLAN	RATIO	1	RATIO	2	RATIO	3	RATIO	4
				.25		.50		.75		1.00	

HYDROGRAPH AT	INFLW	.32	1	725.	1450.	2175.	2900.
		.037		20.337	41.087	61.397	82.127

ROUTED TO	DAM	.32	1	145.	490.	893.	1358.
		.037		3.637	12.067	22.307	33.447

Output Summary
 Various PMF Events
 Keys Branch Mine Dam
 MO 30386

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1	ELEVATION	INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY CREST	TOP OF DAM
	STORAGE	1002.40	1002.40	1005.60
	OUTFLOW	1192.	1192.	1395.
		0.	0.	1075.

RATIO OF PMF	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR W.S. ELEV	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER JAW	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FI	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
.25	1003.68	0.	1271.	185.	0.	17.25	0.
.50	1004.99	0.	1329.	490.	0.	16.58	0.
.75	1005.34	0.	1377.	893.	0.	16.42	0.
1.00	1005.96	.36	1418.	1358.	1.33	16.33	0.

Output Summary
Various PMF Events
Keys Branch Mine Dam
MO 30386

Output Summary
Various PMF Events
Keys Branch Mine Dam
MO 30386

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1	ELEVATION	INITIAL VALUE	SPELLWAY CREST	TOP OF DAM
	STORAGE	1002.40	1002.40	1003.60
	OUTFLOW	0.	0.	167.

RATIO OF PMF	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
.22	1003.55	0.	1263.	156.	0.	17.33	0.
.23	1003.59	0.	1265.	162.	0.	17.33	0.
.24	1003.63	.03	1268.	175.	1.83	17.25	0.
.25	1003.60	.08	1271.	185.	2.33	17.25	0.

PMF Over Topping
Analysis, Assuming Erosion
Of Spillway at + 170 cfs
Discharge.
Keys Branch Mine Dam
MO 30386

SUMMARY OF DAM SAFETY ANALYSIS

PLAN 1		ELEVATION	INITIAL VALUE	SPILLWAY CREST	TOP OF DAM
..		1005.60	1002.40	1002.40	1005.60
..		STORAGE	1192.	1192.	1395.
..		OUTFLOW	0.	0.	1975.

RATIO OF PMF	MAXIMUM RESERVOIR WCS-ELEV	MAXIMUM DEPTH OVER DAM	MAXIMUM STORAGE AC-FT	MAXIMUM OUTFLOW CFS	DURATION OVER TOP HOURS	TIME OF MAX OUTFLOW HOURS	TIME OF FAILURE HOURS
.85	1005.60	0.	1395.	1073.	0.	16.42	0.
.90	1005.72	.12	1403.	1166.	.67	16.42	0.
.95	1005.84	.24	1411.	1260.	1.00	16.33	0.
1.00	1005.96	.36	1418.	1358.	1.33	16.33	0.

PMF Over Topping
Analysis. Assuming
No Spillway Erosion
Keys Branch Mine Dam
MO 30386

APPENDIX C

**Letter Report on Melchem-Keyes Branch Tailings
Pond From Missouri Geological Survey**

ENGINEERING GEOLOGIC REPORT ON THE MILCHEM-KEYES BRANCH-TAILINGS POND

Washington County, Mo.

LOCATION: NW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 6, T. 37 N., R. 3 E., Potosi Quadrangle.

The tailings pond is underlain by Potosi dolomite. However, bedrock is not exposed within the region. The starter dam is constructed of stony red clay intermixed with yellow brown clay.


The starter dam is 30 feet in height and has a crest width of 20 feet. The dam was built by Bloomsdale Excavating Company. There was an engineering design constructed by a Bill Devitt. However, the plan was of little use since it was a general sketch drawing. No provision was made for engineering construction inspection.

The dam was constructed some 3 years ago. Barite operations have just recently begun. Mud is being deposited along the south edge of the starter dam. Mud has not been deposited along the entire length of the structure. Thus, leakage was rather high where gravel is exposed to water. In addition, there seems to be considerable leakage throughout the entire starter dam. However, no free running water is evident with one single and particularly important exception.

A backslope slide has occurred on the southern end of the dam. This slide apparently is related to the pipe that was placed in the structure during construction. The slide is located where one of the drainageways was present in the original valley. The slide was rotational in nature with the toe bulging as the result of movement of the red clay. Slime resembling barite mud is present downstream in the stream channel for some 500 feet. There is evidence that water had percolated through the starter dam for some time and washing fine textured materials from the valley bottom downstream in the stream channel. Repairs were made by pushing dirt up on top of the slide. This has increased pore water pressure and will further weaken the dam.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

All of the material pushed up on the slides should be removed. The toe of the dam should be buttressed by a free draining berm of rock. Recommendations are also made that the mud be spread by flume along the entire crest with the dam. This would aid in sealing the gravels.



Dr. J. Hadley Williams, Chief
Applied Engineering & Urban Geology
Missouri Geological Survey
September 23, 1975