

AD-A105 430

ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND ABERD--ETC F/6 19/6
HEAT TRANSFER IN GUNS - DETERMINATION OF FRICTION FACTOR FROM H--ETC(U)
SEP 81 J R WARD, T L BROSSAU, B B GROLLMAN
ARBRL-TR-02366

UNCLASSIFIED

NL

[]
AD
A105 430



END
DATE
FILMED
0 8
DTIC

AD A105430

LEVEL *H*

12

AD

TECHNICAL REPORT ARBRL-TR-02366

HEAT TRANSFER IN GUNS - DETERMINATION OF
FRICTION FACTOR FROM HEAT INPUT MEASUREMENTS

J. Richard Ward
Timothy L. Brosseau
Bertram B. Grollman

September 1981

DTIC
ELECTE
S OCT 14 1981 D

A



US ARMY ARMAMENT RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND
BALLISTIC RESEARCH LABORATORY
ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND, MARYLAND

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

DTIC FILE COPY

81.10 14

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed.
Do not return it to the originator.

Secondary distribution of this report by originating
or sponsoring activity is prohibited.

Additional copies of this report may be obtained
from the National Technical Information Service,
U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia
22151.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as
an official Department of the Army position, unless
so designated by other authorized documents.

*The use of trade names or manufacturers' names in this report
does not constitute endorsement of any commercial product.*

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER TECHNICAL REPORT ARBRL-TR-02366	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-H105430	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) HEAT TRANSFER IN GUNS - DETERMINATION OF FRICTION FACTOR FROM HEAT INPUT MEASUREMENTS	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED BRL Technical Report	
7. AUTHOR(s) J. Richard Ward Timothy L. Brosseau Bertram B. Grollman	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER	
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Armament Research & Development Command U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: DRDAR-BLI Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)	
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Armament Research & Development Command U.S. Army Ballistic Research Laboratory ATTN: DRDAR-BL Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 1L161102AH43	
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)	12. REPORT DATE SEPTEMBER 1981	
	13. NUMBER OF PAGES 30	
	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED	
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Gun barrel wear Erosion Nordheim Friction factor Heat transfer in guns		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) (jlc) jmk Nordheim's method, from the 1940s, uses the Reynolds analogy between heat transfer and momentum transfer to estimate a convective heat transfer coefficient. Only the Fanning friction factor must be determined experimentally. Friction factors were determined for three 155mm propelling charges (M119, XM201, and XM203E2, the latter two minus wear-reducing additive) from measured heat input data. The reciprocal friction factor for the three charges was 335, 365, and 400, respectively, in contrast to a value of 323 Nordheim used		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

20. ABSTRACT (Continued)

from World War II data. The friction factor for the 105mm M467 cartridge was also determined for which the reciprocal friction factor was 334 vs 299 using Nordheim's constants for a 105mm gun.

In instances where measured heat inputs are unavailable, the friction factor, λ , can be estimated for a gun with diameter, D , by

$$\lambda = (a + 4 \log_{10} D)^{-2},$$

where $a = 14.3$ and the diameter in centimeters. Nordheim recommended a value of 13.2.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	5
LIST OF TABLES	7
I. INTRODUCTION	9
II. DETERMINATION OF FRICTION FACTOR	10
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	14
IV. CONCLUSIONS	23
REFERENCES	25
DISTRIBUTION LIST	27

Accession For	
DTIC ST/AT	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
Date	
Distribution	
Project	
A	

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Page
1. L <u>vs</u> I for $\tau_b = 24$ and $Y_s/Y_o = 1.2$	13
2. Functional Dependence of L <u>vs</u> S	15
3. Heat Input <u>vs</u> Friction Factor for M467 Cartridge	18
4. Heat Input <u>vs</u> Friction Factor for M119 Charge	19
5. Heat Input <u>vs</u> Friction Factor for XM201 Charge (No Additive)	20
6. Heat Input <u>vs</u> Friction Factor for XM203E2 Charge (No Additive)	21

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
1. Propellant Properties for Heat Transfer Calculations	16
2. Interior Ballistic Parameters for Propelling Charges or Cartridge . .	17
3. Friction Factors from Experimental Heat Inputs	22
4. Diameter Dependence of Friction Factor	23
5. Comparison of Experimental and Computed Heat Inputs Assuming Friction Factor Dependent Only on Bore Diameter	24

I. INTRODUCTION

An extensive investigation of gun barrel wear and its control in hypervelocity guns was sponsored by the National Defense Research Committee during World War II.¹ A key element in that investigation was a technique to compute heat transfer to the gun barrel wall from the hot, propellant combustion gases. An interior ballistics scheme by Nordheim and coworkers at Duke University² used the Reynolds analogy between heat transfer and momentum transfer to obtain a convective heat transfer coefficient.

$$h = \frac{1}{2} \lambda C_p \rho V, \quad (1)$$

where h = heat transfer coefficient,

λ = friction factor,

C_p = specific heat of combustion gases,

ρ = density of combustion gases, and

V = velocity of combustion gases.

Nordheim noted that the Reynolds analogy produced a heat transfer coefficient in which the friction factor was the only quantity to be deduced from the interior ballistics. Nordheim also showed how the friction factor could be determined experimentally with fast-response thermocouples welded near the bore surface. Nordheim used heat input from a machine gun to set constants for friction factor estimation.

Hobstetter used Nordheim's method to estimate the minimum chromium plate thickness to protect the underlying steel from a martensitic phase change,³ and later workers used the method for empirical wear models.^{4,5}

¹"Hypervelocity Guns and the Control of Gun Erosion," Summary Technical Report of Division 1, National Defense Research Committee, Wash. D.C., 1946.

²L.W. Nordheim, H. Soodak, and G. Nordheim, "Thermal Effects of Propellant Gases in Erosion Vents and Guns," NDRC Armor and Ordnance Report No. A-262, March 1944.

³J.N. Hobstetter, "Application of Heat Transfer Theory to Metallographic Evidences of Gun Erosion," NDRC Armor and Ordnance Report No. A-452, December 1945.

⁴R.N. Jones and P.S. Breitbart, "A Thermal Theory for Erosion of Guns by Powder Gases," Ballistic Research Laboratory Report No. 747, January 1951. (AD #801741)

⁵C.S. Smith and J.S. O'Brasky, "A Procedure for Gun Barrel Erosion Life Estimation," Proceedings of the Tri-Service Symposium on Gun Tube Wear and Erosion, ADPA, Dover, NJ, March 1977.

The heat input measurements Nordheim advocated have been in use the past several years to assess wear-reducing liners,⁶ but not yet to compute friction factors. In this report heat transfer data from a 105mm M68 tank gun and a 155mm M185 howitzer⁸ are used to compute friction factors. These friction factors are then compared to Nordheim's values as well as test Nordheim's assumption that the friction factor depends only on bore diameter.

II. DETERMINATION OF FRICTION FACTOR

Nordheim's procedure for computing heat inputs will be taken directly from his report²; the original report should be consulted for more detail. A plot of heat input vs friction factor will be created for each charge; the friction factor corresponding to the experimental heat input can then be determined from the plot.

Propellant properties were determined with the BLAKE thermochemical code;⁹ other interior ballistic parameters were available in Heppner's report.¹⁰

⁶J.A. Lannon and J.R. Ward, "Workshop Report on the Mechanisms of Wear-Reducing Additives in Reducing Erosion," *Proceedings of the 17th JANNAF Combustion Meeting*, Langley AFB, September 1980.

⁷T.L. Brosseau and J.R. Ward, "Measurement of Heat Input into the 105mm M68 Tank Cannon Firing Rounds Equipped with Wear-Reducing Additives," *Ballistic Research Laboratory Technical Report No. 02056*, April 1978. (AD #A056368)

⁸J.R. Ward and T.L. Brosseau, "Effect of Wear-Reducing Additives on Heat Transfer into the 155mm M185 Cannon," *Ballistic Research Laboratory Memorandum Report No. 2730*, February 1977. (AD #A037374)

⁹E. Freedman, "BLAKE-A Ballistic Thermodynamic Code Based on TIGER," *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Gun Propellants*, October 1973.

¹⁰L.D. Heppner, "Setback and Spin for Artillery, Mortar, Recoilless Rifle, and Tank Ammunitions," *Report No. APG-MT-4503*, September 1974.

Step one in determining the heat input is to define a heating parameter,

$$L = 43.1 \cdot \frac{\lambda \cdot C_p}{(k c \rho_s)^{1/2}} \cdot \frac{C^{3/4}}{m^{1/4} A^{1/2} F} \cdot \left(\frac{1 - \Delta / \rho_p}{\Delta} \right)^{3/4} \cdot P_{\max}^{5/4}, \quad (2)$$

where previously undefined parameters are

k = thermal conductivity of steel,

c = specific heat of steel,

ρ_s = density of steel,

C = charge weight,

m = reduced projectile mass,

A = cross-section area of barrel,

F = impetus of propellant,

Δ = loading density,

ρ_p = propellant density, and

$P_{\max}$ = maximum chamber pressure.

All quantities are defined by the interior ballistic inputs or the physical properties of steel except λ and m . These quantities are defined below:

$$\lambda = (z + 4 \log_{10} D)^{-2}, \quad (3)$$

where D = bore diameter, cm, and

$$m = 1.04 (m_0 + C/3), \quad (4)$$

where m_0 = projectile mass.

Nordheim estimated $z = 13.2$ from World War II data; in the calculations here, trial values of λ will be selected in order to find the value of λ that matches the experimental heat input from references 7 and 8.

Step two is to define a reduced time coefficient,

$$\alpha = \frac{\left[\frac{C(1-\Delta/\rho_p)}{\Delta \cdot m} \cdot 3.45 P_{\max} \right]^{1/2}}{0.18 \cdot U_0(1-\Delta/\rho_p)} \cdot A \quad (5)$$

The reduced time coefficient is used to get a nondimensionalized time,

$$\tau = \alpha t, \quad (6)$$

where t = time after propellant ignition.

Of particular interest here will be τ_b , the time when propellant is totally burned. For all the calculations in this report, $\tau_b = 24$, so that the tables Nordheim provides can be used to relate interior ballistic parameters to heat input.

Step three is to determine the axial location where the heat input is measured. Axial distance in Nordheim's notation is

$$X_s = U_s / A, \quad (7)$$

where X_s = coordinate of a specific location, s , and

U_s = volume of gun up to point, s .

The reduced length, Y_s , is defined as

$$Y_s = X_s - C/(A \cdot \rho_p) \quad (8)$$

The reduced length, Y_0 , refers to the beginning of projectile travel; experimental heat inputs were taken when $Y_s/Y_0 = 1.2$.

The final item needed to compute total heat input is the heat transfer integral, I . For $\tau_b = 24$ and $Y_s/Y_0 = 1.2$, the functional dependence of I vs L was computed by Nordheim for a propellant with initial temperature of 300K and a 2,700K flame temperature as shown in Figure 1. If the flame temperature or the initial temperature differ from the nominal values, then the heat transfer integral becomes

$$I_\infty = \left(\frac{T_0}{2,500} \right) I - \left[\theta_0 - 300 \left(1 - \frac{T_0}{2,500} \right) \right] S, \quad (9)$$

where T_0 = adiabatic flame temperature,




Figure 1. L vs I for $\tau_b = 24$ and $Y_s/Y_0 = 1.2$

θ_0 = initial propellant temperature, and

S = a function of L .

Nordheim also computed L vs S for $\tau_b = 24$ and $Y_s/Y_0 = 1.2$ as shown in Figure 2.

The total heat input, Q_∞ , is then

$$Q_\infty = \frac{2 \rho_s f k^{1/2}}{\alpha} \cdot I_\infty \quad (10)$$

To recapitulate, heat input at given J_b and Y_s/Y_0 is calculated by the following steps:

1. for a given value of λ , compute L with Eq. (2),
2. take values of I and S from Figures 1 and 2 for the given value of L ,
3. compute I_∞ with Eq. (9), and
4. compute Q with Eq. (10).

The appropriate propellant properties and interior ballistic parameters are given in Tables 1 and 2. Nordheim gives the physical properties for gun steel as

$$(\rho_s c k)^{-1/2} = 2.8 \frac{\text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{1/2}}{\text{cal}}, \text{ or} \quad (11)$$

$$(\rho_s c k)^{-1/2} = 67 \times 10^{-6} \frac{\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{1/2}}{\text{J}} \quad (12)$$

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figures 3-6 depict heat input vs friction factor for the four propelling charges considered.

Table 3 summarizes the friction factors determined with the experimental value of heat input along with the friction factor Nordheim recommended from World War II data. One can see Nordheim's friction factors overestimate the measured heat input in both the 105mm and 155mm guns. One also notes three 155mm charges give different friction factors belying Nordheim's assumption that the friction factor depends solely on bore diameter. Hence, one should use measured heat input to obtain a friction factor prior to using Nordheim's scheme to compute bore surface temperature as a function of time or to compute temperature vs time in the barrel wall.

Since it is desirable to be able to estimate heat input or bore surface temperatures when measured heat inputs are unavailable, it was decided to assume the friction factors were dependent on diameter and see what error in




Figure 2. Functional Dependence of L vs S

TABLE 1. PROPELLANT PROPERTIES FOR HEAT TRANSFER CALCULATIONS

	<u>M1</u>	<u>M6</u>	<u>M30A1</u>
$T_o, K, \times 10^{-3}$	2.48	2.60	3.00
$C_p, J/kg-K, \times 10^{-3}$	1.82	1.84	1.88
$F, J/kg, \times 10^{-6}$	0.928	0.955	1.065
$\rho_p, kg/m^3, \times 10^{-3}$	1.6	1.6	1.67

TABLE 2. INTERIOR BALLISTIC PARAMETERS FOR PROPELLING CHARGES OR CARTRIDGE

	<u>XM203E2</u>	<u>XM201</u>	<u>M119</u>	<u>M467</u>
Gun	M185	M185	M185	M68
Propellant	M30A1	M30A1	M6	M1
Projectile	M549	M107	M107	M468TP-T
$U_0, m^3, \times 10^2$	1.88	1.88	1.88	0.675
C, kg	11.9	7.89	9.23	2.74
m_0, kg	43.5	43.1	43.1	11.2
m, kg	49.4	47.6	48.0	12.6
$A, m^2, \times 10^2$	1.92	1.92	1.92	0.893
$P_{max}, Pa, \times 10^{-8}$	3.28	2.07	2.06	1.70
$\alpha, s^{-1}, \times 10^{-3}$	4.75	3.49	3.61	4.74
$\Delta, kg/m^3, \times 10^{-2}$	6.3	4.2	4.9	4.1




Figure 3. Heat Input vs Friction Factor for M467 Cartridge




Figure 4. Heat Input vs Friction Factor for M119 Charge




Figure 5. Heat Input vs Friction Factor for XM201 Charge (No Additive)




Figure 6. Heat Input vs Friction Factor for XM203E2 Charge (No Additive)

TABLE 3. FRICTION FACTORS FROM EXPERIMENTAL HEAT INPUTS

Charge	$Q, \text{J/mm}^2, \text{ expt'l, (cal/cm}^2)$	$1/\lambda, \text{ expt'l}$	$1/\lambda, \text{ Eq. (3)}$	$Q, \text{J/mm}^2, \text{ cal'd, (cal/cm}^2)$
M467	0.782 (18.7)*	334	299	0.845 (20.2)
M119	1.10 (26.4)**	335	323	1.13 (27.0)
XM201, no additive	1.24 (29.6)**	365	323	1.34 (32.0)
XM203E2, no additive	1.29 (30.9)**	400	323	1.45 (34.7)

*Reference 7

**Reference 8

total heat input was introduced by this assumption.

To estimate the diameter dependence, Eq. (3) is rearranged with z expressed as the dependent variable to give

$$z = (1/\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 4\log_{10} D \quad (13)$$

Table 4 summarizes the values of z determined from the friction factors computed from measured heat inputs in the 155mm and 105mm guns.

TABLE 4. DIAMETER DEPENDENCE OF FRICTION FACTOR

<u>Charge</u>	<u>1/λ</u>	<u>D, cm</u>	<u>z</u>
M467	334	10.5	14.2
M119	335	15.5	13.5
XM201, no additive	365	15.5	14.3
XM203E2, no additive	400	15.5	15.2

The mean value and sample standard deviation for the three 155mm charges are 14.3 ± 0.8 in comparison to the value of 14.2 for the M467 cartridge. These limited results suggest that one use a value of 14.3 in Eq. (3) to obtain friction factors in the absence of any experimental heat inputs rather than Nordheim's value of 13.2. Table 5 compares heat inputs computed with 14.3 and 13.2 in Eq. (3) with the experimental heat inputs.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

1. Friction factors were determined for the 155mm M119, XM201, and XM203E2 propelling charges and the 105mm M467 cartridge from experimental heat inputs. These friction factors were smaller than those Nordheim estimated from World War II heat input data; heat inputs computed with Nordheim's method overestimate actual heat inputs.
2. The friction factors for the 155mm charges were not independent of bore diameter as required by Nordheim's model of heat transfer. This means the physical significance Nordheim attached to the friction factor is questionable.
3. In instances where experimental heat inputs are unavailable, better estimates of the friction factor can be made using a value of 14.3 in Eq. (3) rather than 13.2 as recommended by Nordheim from World War II data.

TABLE 5. COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENTAL AND COMPUTED HEAT INPUTS ASSUMING FRICTION FACTOR DEPENDENT ONLY ON BORE DIAMETER

Charge	$Q, J/mm^2, \text{ expt'l } (cal/cm^2)$	$Q, J/mm^2, \text{ cal'd } (cal/cm^2)^*$	$Q, J/mm, \text{ cal'd } (cal/cm^2)^{**}$
M119	1.10 (26.4)	1.03 (24.7)	1.13 (27.0)
XM201, no additive	1.24 (29.6)	1.23 (29.5)	1.34 (32.0)
XM203E2, no additive	1.29 (30.9)	1.31 (31.4)	1.45 (34.7)

*Calculated with 14.3 in Eq. (3) to obtain λ .

**Calculated with 13.2 in Eq. (3) to obtain λ .

REFERENCES

1. "Hypervelocity Guns and the Control of Gun Erosion," Summary Technical Report of Division 1, National Defense Research Committee, Wash. D.C., 1946.
2. L.W. Nordheim, H. Soodak, and G. Nordheim, "Thermal Effects of Propellant Gases in Erosion Vents and Guns," NDRC Armor and Ordnance Report No. A-262, March 1944.
3. J.N. Hobstetter, "Application of Heat Transfer Theory to Metallographic Evidences of Gun Erosion," NDRC Armor and Ordnance Report No. A-452, December 1945.
4. R.N. Jones and P.S. Breitbart, "A Thermal Theory for Erosion of Guns by Powder Gases," Ballistic Research Laboratory Report No. 747, January 1951. (AD #801741)
5. C.S. Smith and J.S. O'Brasky, "A Procedure for Gun Barrel Erosion Life Estimation," Proceedings of the Tri-Service Symposium on Gun Tube Wear and Erosion, ADPA, Dover, NJ, March 1977.
6. J.A. Lannon and J.R. Ward, "Workshop Report on the Mechanisms of Wear-Reducing Additives in Reducing Erosion," Proceedings of the 17th JANNAF Combustion Meeting, Langley AFB, September 1980.
7. T.L. Brosseau and J.R. Ward, "Measurement of Heat Input into the 105mm M68 Tank Cannon Firing Rounds Equipped with Wear-Reducing Additives," Ballistic Research Laboratory Technical Report No. 02056, April 1978. (AD #A056368)
8. J.R. Ward and T.L. Brosseau, "Effect of Wear-Reducing Additives on Heat Transfer into the 155mm M185 Cannon, Ballistic Research Laboratory Memorandum Report No. 2730, February 1977. (AD #A037374)
9. E. Freedman, "BLAKE-A Ballistic Thermodynamic Code Based on TIGER," Proceedings of the International Symposium on Gun Propellants, October 1973.
10. L.D. Heppner, "Setback and Spin for Artillery, Mortar, Recoilless Rifle, and Tank Ammunitions," Report No. APG-MT-4503, September 1974.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
12	Commander Defense Technical Info Center ATTN: DDC-DDA Cameron Station Alexandria, VA 22314	3	Commander US Army Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-LC E. Barrieres R. Corn K. Rubin Dover, NJ 07801
1	Director of Defense Research and Engineering ATTN: R. Thorkildsen The Pentagon Arlington, VA 20301	2	Commander US Army Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-LC K. Russell D. Downs Dover, NJ 07801
1	Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Director, Materials Division 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209	1	Commander US Army Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-QA, J. Rutkowski Dover, NJ 07801
3	HQDA (DAMA-ARZ, DAMA-CSM, DAMA-WSW) Washington, DC 20301	5	Commander US Army Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-SC, D. Gyorog H. Kahn B. Brodman S. Cytron T. Hung Dover, NJ 07801
1	Commander US Army Materiel Development and Readiness Command ATTN: DRCDMD-ST 5001 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, VA 22333	1	Commander US Army Armament Materiel Readiness Command ATTN: DRSAR-LEP-L, Tech Lib Rock Island, IL 61299
2	Commander US Army Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-TSS Dover, NJ 07801	3	Commander US Army Armament Materiel Readiness Command ATTN: DRSAR-ASR DRSAR-LEA DRSAR-QAL Rock Island, IL 61299
6	Commander US Army Armament Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAR-LC, J. Frasier H. Fair J. Lannon A. Bracuti A. Moss R. Walker Dover, NJ 07801		

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of</u> <u>Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of</u> <u>Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
6	Commander US Army ARRADCOM Benet Weapons Laboratory ATTN: I. Ahmad T. Davidson G. Friar P. Greco M. Kamdar J. Zweig Watervliet, NY 12189	1	Commander US Army Electronics Research and Development Command Technical Support Activity ATTN: DELSD-L Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703
6	Commander US Army ARRADCOM Benet Weapons Laboratory ATTN: DRDAR-LCB-TL J. Busuttil W. Austin R. Montgomery R. Billington J. Santini Watervliet, NY 12189	1	Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: DRSMI-R Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809
1	Commander US Army Aviation Research and Development Command ATTN: DRDAV-E 4300 Goodfellow Boulevard St. Louis, MO 63120	1	Commander US Army Missile Command ATTN: DRSMI-YDL Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809
1	Director US Army Air Mobility Research and Development Laboratory Ames Research Center Moffett Field, CA 94035	1	Commander US Army Tank Automotive Rsch and Development Command ATTN: DRDTA-UL Warren, MI 48090
1	Commander US Army Research & Technology Laboratories ATTN: R. A. Langsworthy Fort Eustis, VA 23604	1	President US Army Armor & Engineer Bd Fort Knox, KY 40121
1	Commander US Army Communications Rsch and Development Command ATTN: DRDCO-PPA-SA Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703	1	Project Manager, M60 Tanks US Army Tank & Automotive Cmd Warren, MI 48090
		4	Project Manager Cannon Artillery Weapons Systems ATTN: DRCPM-CAWS US Army Armament Research and Development Command Dover, NJ 07801
		2	Product Manager - M110E2 ATTN: J. Turkeltaub S. Smith Rock Island, IL 61299
		1	Project Manager - XM1 Tank US Army Tank Automotive Development Command Warren, MI 48090

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	Project Manager Tank Main Armament ATTN: A. Albright Dover, NJ 07801	5	Commander Naval Surface Weapons Center ATTN: M. Shamblen J. O'Brasky C. Smith L. Russell T. W. Smith Dahlgren, VA 22448
1	Project Manager, ARGADS Dover, NJ 07801		
2	Director US Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center ATTN: J. W. Johnson K. Shepard Watertown, MA 02172	2	Commander Naval Ordnance Station ATTN: L. Dickinson S. Mitchell Indian Head, MD 20640
3	Director US Army Research Office ATTN: P. Parrish E. Saibel D. Squire P. O. Box 12211 Rsch Triangle Park, NC 27709	1	Commander Naval Ordnance Station, Louisville ATTN: F. Blume Louisville, KY 40202
1	Director US Army TRADOC Systems Analysis Activity ATTN: ATAA-SL, Tech Lib White Sands Missile Range NM 88002	2	AFATL (D. Uhrig, O. Heiney) Eglin AFB, FL 32542
1	Commander US Army Air Defense Center ATTN: ATSA-SM-L Fort Bliss, TX 79916	1	National Bureau of Standards Materials Division ATTN: A. W. Ruff Washington, DC 20234
1	Commander US Army Armor Center ATTN: ATZK-XM1 Fort Knox, KY 40121	1	National Science Foundation Materials Division Washington, DC 20550
1	Commander US Army DARCOM Materiel Readiness Support Activity ATTN: DRXMD-ED Lexington, KY 40511	1	Battelle Columbus Laboratory ATTN: G. Wolken Columbus, OH 43201
1	Commander US Army Field Artillery School Fort Sill, OK 73503	1	Lawrence Livermore Laboratory ATTN: J. Kury Livermore, CA 94550
		2	Calspan Corporation ATTN: G. Sterbutzel F. Vassallo P. O. Box 235 Buffalo, NY 14221

DISTRIBUTION LIST

<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>	<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Organization</u>
1	Director Chemical Propulsion Info Agency Johns Hopkins University ATTN: T. Christian Johns Hopkins Road Laurel, MD 20810		<u>Aberdeen Proving Ground</u> Dir, USAMTD ATTN: H. Graves, Bldg. 400 L. Barnhardt, Bldg. 400 K. Jones, Bldg. 400 R. Moody, Bldg. 525
1	Princeton University Forrestal Campus Library ATTN: Tech Lib B. Royce P. O. Box 710 Princeton, NJ 08540		Cdr, USATECOM ATTN: DRSTE-FA DRSTE-AR DRSTE-AD DRSTE-TO-F
1	Purdue University School of Mechanical Eng ATTN: J. R. Osborn W. Lafayette, IN 47909		Dir, USAMSAA ATTN: DRXSY-D DRXSY-MP, H. Cohen D. Barnhardt, RAM Div G. Alexander, RAM Div Air Warfare Div Ground Warfare Div RAM Division
1	SRI International Materials Research Center 333 Ravenswood Avenue Menlo Park, CA 94025		Dir, USACSL, Bldg. E3516, EA ATTN: DRDAR-CLB-PA
1	University of Illinois Dept of Mech Engineering ATTN: H. Krier 144 MEB, 1206 W. Green Street Urbana, IL 61803		

USER EVALUATION OF REPORT

Please take a few minutes to answer the questions below; tear out this sheet, fold as indicated, staple or tape closed, and place in the mail. Your comments will provide us with information for improving future reports.

1. BRL Report Number _____

2. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which report will be used.)

3. How, specifically, is the report being used? (Information source, design data or procedure, management procedure, source of ideas, etc.) _____

4. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours/contract dollars saved, operating costs avoided, efficiencies achieved, etc.? If so, please elaborate.

5. General Comments (Indicate what you think should be changed to make this report and future reports of this type more responsive to your needs, more usable, improve readability, etc.) _____

6. If you would like to be contacted by the personnel who prepared this report to raise specific questions or discuss the topic, please fill in the following information.

Name: _____

Telephone Number: _____

Organization Address: _____

