

AD-A107 406


TIPPETTS-ABBETT-MCCARTHY-STRATTON NEW YORK
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. NORTH LAKE DAM NUMBER 3 (INVENTORY--ETC(U)
AUG 81 E O'BRIEN


F/6 13/13

DACW51-81-C-0008
NL .

UNCLASSIFIED

1 - 2
AD
51 2000





MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

AD A107406

DTIC FILE COPY

LONG ISLAND BASIN

3
LEVEL

NORTH LAKE DAM NO. 3

WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK
INVENTORY NO. N.Y. 113

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

THIS REPORT CONTAINS
A NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT
APPEAR.



DTIC
ELECTE
NOV 16 1981
S D

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

NEW YORK DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS

SEPTEMBER 1981

81 11 12 29

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

**THIS DOCUMENT IS BEST QUALITY
PRACTICABLE. THE COPY FURNISHED
TO DTIC CONTAINED A SIGNIFICANT
NUMBER OF PAGES WHICH DO NOT
REPRODUCE LEGIBLY.**

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	AD-A107	406
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Phase I Inspection Report North Lake Dam No. 3 Long Island Basin, Westchester County, NY Inventory No. 113		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Phase I Inspection Report National Dam Safety Program
7. AUTHOR(s) EUGENE O'Brien		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Tippett's-Abbott-McCarthy-Stratton The TAMS Building 655 Third Avenue New York, New York 10017		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DACW51-81-C-0008
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Department of the Army 26 Federal Plaza New York District, CofE New York, New York 10287		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
15. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Department of the Army 26 Federal Plaza New York District, CofE New York, NY 10287		12. REPORT DATE 14 August 1981
15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this report) Approved for public release; Distribution unlimited.		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		14. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES National Dam Safety Program, North Lake Dam Number 3 (Inventory Number NY 113), Long Island Basin, Westchester County, New York. Phase I Inspection Report.		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE NAT 5010
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Dam Safety National Dam Safety Program Visual Inspection Hydrology, Structural Stability		15b. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE NAT 5010
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report provides information and analysis on the physical condition of the dam as of the report date. Information and analysis are based on visual inspection of the dam by the performing organization. Examination of available documents and a visual inspection of the dam and the appurtenant structures did not reveal condi- tions which constitute an immediate hazard to human life or property.		

✓ Using Corps of Engineers' Screening Criteria, it has been determined that the dam would be overtopped for all storms exceeding approximately 21 percent of Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). The spillway is, therefore, adjudged as "seriously inadequate" and the dam is assessed as unsafe, non-emergency. K

The classification of "unsafe" applied to a dam because of a "seriously inadequate spillway" is not meant to connote the same degree of emergency as would be associated with an "unsafe" classification applied for a structural deficiency. It does mean, however, that based on an initial screening, and preliminary computations, there appears to be a serious deficiency in spillway capacity so that if a severe storm were to occur, overtopping of the dam would take place, significantly impacting on dam stability and consequently increasing the hazard to loss of life downstream from the dam.

Accession For	
NTIS / GRA&I	☒
DTIC TAB	☐
Unannounced	☐
Justification	
By	
Distribution/	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
17	231 H

DTIC
ELECTE
NOV 16 1981
S D D

LONG ISLAND BASIN

NORTH LAKE DAM NO. 3

**WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK
INVENTORY NO. N.Y. 113**

**PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM**



NEW YORK DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS

SEPTEMBER 1981

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C., 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigations, and analyses involving topographic mapping, sub-surface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I Investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through frequent inspections can unsafe conditions be detected and only through continued care and maintenance can these conditions be prevented or corrected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM
NORTH LAKE DAM NO. 3
I.D. NO. N.Y. 113
D.E.C. NO. 232-1093
LONG ISLAND BASIN
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK

CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
- ASSESSMENT	-
- OVERVIEW PHOTOGRAPH	-
1. PROJECT INFORMATION	1
1.1 GENERAL	1
a. Authority	1
b. Purpose of Inspection	1
1.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT	1
a. Description of the Dam and Appurtenant Structure	1
b. Location	2
c. Size and Classification	2
d. Hazard Classification	2
e. Ownership	2
f. Purpose of Dam	2
g. Design and Construction History	2
h. Normal Operating Procedures	3
1.3 PERTINENT DATA	3
a. Drainage Area	3
b. Discharge at Damsite	3
c. Elevation	3
d. Reservoir	3
e. Storage	3
f. Dam	3
g. Spillway	4
h. Reservoir Drain and Pipeline	4
2. ENGINEERING DATA	5
2.1 GEOLOGY	5
2.2 SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATIONS	5

	<u>Page No.</u>
2.3 DESIGN RECORDS	5
2.4 CONSTRUCTION RECORDS	5
2.5 OPERATION RECORDS	5
2.6 EVALUATION OF DATA	5
3. VISUAL INSPECTION	6
3.1 FINDINGS	6
a. General	6
b. Dam	6
c. Spillway	6
d. Downstream Spillway Channel	6
e. Reservoir Drain Channel	6
f. Abutments	6
g. Downstream Toe Area	7
h. Reservoir Area	7
3.2 EVALUATION OF OBSERVATIONS	7
4. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES	8
4.1 PROCEDURES	8
4.2 MAINTENANCE OF DAM	8
4.3 WARNING SYSTEM IN EFFECT	8
4.4 EVALUATION	8
5. HYDROLOGY/HYDRAULICS	9
5.1 DRAINAGE AREA CHARACTERISTICS	9
5.2 ANALYSIS CRITERIA	9
5.3 SPILLWAY CAPACITY	9
5.4 RESERVOIR CAPACITY	9
5.5 FLOODS OF RECORDS	9
5.6 OVERTOPPING POTENTIAL	10
5.7 EVALUATION	10

	<u>Page No.</u>
6. STRUCTURAL STABILITY	11
6.1 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY	11
a. Visual Observations	11
b. Design and Construction Drawings	11
c. Operating Records	11
d. Post-Construction Changes	11
e. Seismicity Stability	11
7. ASSESSMENT/RECOMMENDATIONS	12
a. Safety	12
b. Adequacy of Information	13
c. Need for Additional Investigations	13
d. Urgency	13
7.2 RECOMMENDED MEASURES	13

APPENDICES

- A. DRAWINGS
- B. PHOTOGRAPHS
- C. VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST
- D. HYDROLOGIC DATA AND COMPUTATIONS
- E. STABILITY ANALYSIS
- F. REFERENCES
- G. OTHER DATA

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

NAME OF DAM: North Lake Dam No. 3
(I.D.No. N.Y. 113)

STATE LOCATED: New York

COUNTY LOCATED: Westchester

STREAM: Mianus River

BASIN: Long Island Basin

DATE OF INSPECTION: May 26, 1981

ASSESSMENT

Examination of available documents and a visual inspection of the dam and the appurtenant structures did not reveal conditions which constitute an immediate hazard to human life or property.

Using Corps of Engineers' Screening Criteria, it has been determined that the dam would be overtopped for all storms exceeding approximately 21 percent of Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). The spillway is, therefore, adjudged as "seriously inadequate" and the dam is assessed as unsafe, non-emergency.

The classification of "unsafe" applied to a dam because of a "seriously inadequate spillway" is not meant to connote the same degree of emergency as would be associated with an "unsafe" classification applied for a structural deficiency. It does mean, however, that based on an initial screening, and preliminary computations, there appears to be a serious deficiency in spillway capacity so that if a severe storm were to occur, overtopping of the dam would take place, significantly impacting on dam stability and consequently increasing the hazard to loss of life downstream from the dam.

It is, therefore, recommended that within 3 months of notification to the owner, detailed hydrological/hydraulic investigations of the structure should be undertaken to more accurately determine the site specific characteristics of the watershed and their affect upon the overtopping potential of the dam. At the same time further analysis of the structural stability of the overflow and non-overflow section should be

performed. In the interim, a detailed emergency action plan must be developed and implemented during periods of unusually heavy precipitation. Also, around-the-clock surveillance of the structure must be provided during these periods.

Stability analyses performed, considering the dam acting as a gravity structure, indicate the maximum height non-overflow section of the dam to be insufficiently stable with regard to both overturning and sliding for the normal operating condition. Consequently it has been inferred that all other cases including ice loading, $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF, PMF and earthquake loading will indicate similar unsatisfactory stability.

The structural stability of the dam, based on available information and visual inspection, when considered as a gravity structure appear contradictory. Based on the analyses, it would appear that a portion of the dam is performing as an arch. Available data to evaluate the structure as an arch dam, however, is insufficient to perform the required analysis. However, this data should be developed and additional stability analyses performed.

Of additional concern, is the presence of significant amounts of ponded surface water along the dam's toe. Portions of these water are assumed to be derived from collected surface water runoff, however, the presence of heavy marsh vegetation and "spongy footing" in sloping or otherwise, better drained areas along the dam's toe may be indicative of underseepage. Further evaluation of potential underseepage should be conducted.

The recommended seepage and stability evaluations should be initiated within 3 months of notification to the owner.

In addition, the dam has a number of problem areas which require further attention. The following remedial measures must be completed within one year:


- Removal of trash and debris from spillway channel
- Patch-up and Repair leaking cracks on the downstream dam face
- Repair or replace reservoir drain valve
- Remove vegetative growth on downstream face of dam
- Remove trees shrubs and other heavy vegetative growth immediately downstream of dam and fill and/or grade ground surface to direct surface water runoff away from the dam.

- Provide a program of periodic inspection and maintenance of the dam and appurtenances, including yearly operation and lubrication of the reservoir drain system. Document this information for future reference. The emergency action plan described in Section 7.1d should be maintained and updated periodically during the life of the structure.



Eugene O'Brien, P.E.
New York No. 29823

Approved By:



Col. W. M. Smith, Jr.
New York District Engineer

Date:

14 Aug 81



1. OVERVIEW OF DAM.

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM
NORTH LAKE DAM NO. 3
I.D. NO. N.Y. 113
D.E.C. NO. 232-1093
LONG ISLAND BASIN
WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK

SECTION 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 GENERAL

a. Authority

The Phase I inspection reported herein was authorized by the Department of the Army, New York District, Corps of Engineers Contract No. DACW 51-81-C-0008 in a letter dated 14 December 1981, in fulfillment of the requirements of the National Dam Inspection Act, Public Law 92-367, 8 August 1972.

b. Purpose of Inspection

This inspection was conducted to evaluate the existing conditions of the dam, to identify deficiencies and hazardous conditions, to determine if these deficiencies constitute hazards to life and property, and to recommended remedial measures where required.

1.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT

a. Description of the Dam and Appurtenant Structure

North Lake Dam No. 3 is a 600 ft long rubble masonry faced concrete and cyclopean masonry structure. Near the north and south extremes it performs as a gravity dam whereas the central portion of the structure is performing as an arch buttressed against two masonry and concrete abutments (See Appendix A). Maximum height of the arch section is 32 ft above the adjacent downstream "plaza". The back slope of the structures near vertical with the downstream face sloping at about 8V:1H. Crest width is typically 4 ft.

The service spillway (See Photographs 2 & 3) is a bi-level slot in the upper central portion of the crest having a maximum width of 17 ft for the 1.25 foot deep upper slot whereas the low level slot is 0.5 ft deep and only 3 ft wide. Overflow waters are collected in a 9 x 17 foot rectangular box structure (See Photograph 4) at the toe of the dam and discharged through a buried 4 x 4 foot reinforced concrete box culvert flowing eastward and discharging into Long Pond immediately east of Windmill Farms Road.

The dam is equipped with a reservoir drain system composed of an upstream inlet structure and 16 inches iron pipe (according to original design drawings). A gate valve located immediately downstream of the dam controls flow through the system. Waters are discharged into the spillway collection sump and passed through the previously mentioned 4 x 4 foot box culvert.

b. Location

North Lake Dam No. 3 is located in Westchester County on the Mianus River, immediately southeast of the intersection of Upland Lane and Windmill Road, approximately 2 miles northeast of The Town of Armonk, New York. The dam is in a moderately densely populated area known as Windmill Farms and directly upstream of Long Pond.

c. Size and Classification

The dam is 32 ft high and has a reservoir with a maximum storage capacity of 249 acre-feet and, therefore, is classified as a small dam.

d. Hazard Classification

The dam is in the "high" hazard potential category because of its close proximity (within 0.25 miles) to numerous residences downstream and its location upstream of Long Pond.

e. Ownership

North Lake Dam No. 3 is owned by the North Lake Association, Windmill Farms, Armonk, New York 10504, Tel.No.: (914) 273-3719. Operation and Maintenance of the facility is performed by the Dam Committee of the North Lake Association. Prime contact at the North Lake Association is Mr. Ernest Rudinger, President.

f. Purpose of Dam

The dam was constructed solely for the development of a lake to be used for recreation.

g. Design and Construction History

Preliminary design drawings for the dam were prepared by Elwyn E. Seelye & Company Consulting Engineers, 101 Park Avenue, New York, N.Y. in 1936. The dam was not built according to the design, however, and no as-built drawings or other construction documentation is known to exist. The dam was reportedly constructed in 1936.

h. Normal Operating Procedures

Water is released through an uncontrolled overflow at the center of the dam crest. The valve controlling the drain reportedly is in poor working condition and is not used to control the reservoir level.

1.3 PERTINENT DATA

a.	<u>Drainage Area</u>	0.26 sq. miles
b.	<u>Discharge at Damsite</u>	
	Flood level at Damsite (Maxi.)	unknown
	Reservoir Drain	inoperable
	Principal Spillway	
	Maximum Pool	70.0 cfs
c.	<u>Elevation (USGS)</u>	
	Top of Dam	573.75* feet
	Maximum Pool	573.75* feet
	Normal Pool	572.0 feet
	Spillway	
	Low Level Invert	572.0 * feet
	Flood Level Invert	572.5 * feet
	Reservoir Drain	
	Upstream Invert	unknown*
	Downstream Invert	533.75 feet
d.	<u>Reservoir</u>	
	Length of Normal Pool	0.36 miles
	Length of Maximum Pool	0.36 miles
e.	<u>Storage</u>	
	Normal Pool	210 acre-feet
	Maximum Pool	249 acre-feet
f.	<u>Dam</u>	
	Type	Masonry/Concrete Gravity w/Arch center Span
	Length	600 ± feet

* Based on original design drawing data corrected to USGS Datum for Existing Pool Elevation.

Upstream Slope	Near Vertical
Downstream Slope	8V:1H
Crest Elevation	573.75 ft
Crest Width	4 feet
Grout Curtain	None

g. Spillway

Type	Bi-level broad crested overflow section of dam
Length	17 feet
Crest Elevation	
Low Level	572.0 feet
High Level	572.5 feet
Upstream Channel	None
Downstream Discharge	4' x 4' Box culvert from drop structure at toe of dam to open channel

h. Reservoir Drain and Pipeline

Upstream	unknown
Downstream	16 " cast iron pipe controlled by downstream gate valve discharge into 9 x 17 foot rectangular well at the downstream toe. Water is conveyed from the collection well via a 4 x 4 foot reinforced concrete buried box culvert exiting downstream of Windmill Road and flowing into the Miamus River Channel.

SECTION 2 - ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 GEOLOGY

North Lake Dam No. 3 is located in the New England Upland Section of the New England Maritime Physiographic province. The bedrock in this section consists of metamorphic, igneous and sedimentary rocks which have undergone a complex sequence of deposition, folding, faulting and erosion. In the vicinity of the damsite, bedrock is primarily composed of Fordham Gneiss.

2.2 SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATIONS

No data from subsurface exploration of the site are available.

2.3 DESIGN RECORDS

Available original design and composite interpretation of existing and as designed structure drawings are presented in Appendix A.

2.4 CONSTRUCTION RECORDS

Construction records for the dam are unavailable or non-existent.

2.5 OPERATION RECORDS

According to Mr. Ban Qamar, representative of North Lake Association, there are no records of operation.

2.6 EVALUATION OF DATA

The information obtained from the available documents and a visual inspection was considered adequate for the Phase I inspection and evaluation with the exception of detailed information to analyze stability of the central dam section as an arch.

SECTION 3 - VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 FINDINGS

a. General

The visual inspection of the North Lake Dam No. 3 was conducted on May 26, 1981. The weather was clear and warm with air temperature about 80°F. At the time of inspection the lake level was about 3-inches above the spillway low level invert.

b. Dam

The overall structural integrity of the dam is good with only minor spalling of the crest and upstream face and minor cracks within the downstream face being observed. The vertical and horizontal alignments of the dam show no signs of appreciable movements. However, the following adverse conditions were noted.

(1) There is minor seepage through small cracks in the masonry located at isolated areas along the entire dam (See Photographs 6 & 7).

(2) Vegetation is growing on the downstream face of the dam (See Photographs 7 & 8).

(3) The gate valve controlling the reservoir drain is not in good working condition and may not work at all.

c. Spillway

The spillway appears in good structural condition with the exception of minor spalling at the crest.

d. Downstream Spillway Channel

The spillway channel, located immediately downstream of the box culvert discharge pipe is blocked with trees, bushes and debris (See Photograph 5).

e. Reservoir Drain Channel

The reservoir drain uses the same box culvert discharge pipe as the spillway exiting into the spillway channel. The channel is blocked by trees, bushes and debris.

f. Abutments

The dam abutment areas are generally in good condition. Minor seepage from the abutment rock was observed near the south end of the dam.

g. Downstream Toe Area

The downstream toe area supports a lush vegetative growth consisting of a variety of deciduous trees, bushes, shrubs and reeds. Standing water is present at several localized topographic lows along the entire length of the dam. (See Photograph 10). Near surface soils in these low areas appear to be organic and are extremely wet and soft. Near surface soils along the dam toe in areas other than these lows are primarily sandy, moist to wet and "spongy", indicating the possible presence of seepage from beneath the dam.

h. Reservoir Area

No slides or general instabilities were observed along the reservoir shoreline in the general vicinity of the dam. No significant sedimentation was observed along the dam. The reservoir was free of floating debris and trash.

3.2 EVALUATION OF OBSERVATIONS

Although deficiencies were observed, there is no indication that the dam is in imminent danger. Some of the deficiencies noted previously are minor and should be corrected in conjunction with routine maintenance. Other conditions which may present potential for further deterioration or which require further data for evaluation need further investigation and/or repair.

The following is a summary of the problem areas encountered and recommended correction measures or further study requiring immediate attention:

1. Investigate the source of ponded water present at the toe of the dam.
2. Remove vegetative growth from downstream dam's face.
3. Debris and logs present in spillway channel should be removed.
4. The reservoir drain gate valve should be repaired to a good working condition or suitably replaced.
5. A program of periodic inspection and maintenance of the reservoir drain and its control facilities should be developed and implemented. This information should be documented for future reference. An emergency action plan should be developed.

SECTION 4 - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

4.1 PROCEDURES

No written operation and maintenance procedures exist for the project. The normal operation is to allow flow through the service spillway.

4.2 MAINTENANCE OF DAM

It is reported that no routine maintenance of the dam is performed.

4.3 WARNING SYSTEM IN EFFECT

No warning system is in effect or in preparation.

4.4 EVALUATION

The overall operation and maintenance of the North Lake Dam is considered inadequate as a result of the following conditions:

1. Poorly operating or possibly inoperable reservoir drain valve
2. Vegetative growth on the downstream face
3. Numerous cracks and seepage in the face of the structure
4. Absence of a written operation and maintenance procedure
5. Absence of any written maintenance history

SECTION 5 - HYDROLOGY/HYDRAULICS

5.1 DRAINAGE AREA CHARACTERISTICS

The North Lake Dam is located in North Castle Township, Westchester County, New York (Hydrologic Unit Code No. 01100006), immediately southeast of the intersection of Upland Lane and Windmill Road, about 2 miles northeast of the Town of Armonk, New York. The basin, which is roughly rectangular in shape rises from a lake elevation of 572 to over 700 ft mean sea level. The lake occupies 12.8% of the 0.26 square mile drainage area, with moderately steep wooded slopes and narrow valleys.

5.2 ANALYSIS CRITERIA

Spillway capacity adequacy was analysed by developing a design flood, using the unit hydrograph method and the Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP). The all seasons 200 square mile 24 hours PMP for the North Lake area, taken from Weather Bureau sources (Ref. 1), is 22 inches. The unit hydrograph was computed by the Snyder method using coefficient of 2 and 0.625 for C_T and C_p , respectively. The inflow hydrograph was developed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers HEC-1DB computer program (Ref. 2). Loss rates of 1.0 inch initial loss and 0.1 inch/hour constant loss were estimated as being representative of the basin for the design storm.

In accordance with the recommended guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams (Ref. 3), the adequacy of the spillway was analyzed using the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). A multi-plan analysis was performed for the full, 0.75, 0.50 and 0.25 PMF.

5.3 SPILLWAY CAPACITY

The ungated bi-level concrete spillway, with a crest elevation of 572 ft (MSL) is 17.0 ft in length with a maximum depth of 1.75 ft. The computed maximum discharge with the water surface at elevation 573.75 (top of dam) is 70.4 cfs.

5.4 RESERVOIR CAPACITY

The normal reservoir capacity is listed as 210 acre-feet. The computed surcharge storage of 39 acre-feet is equivalent to approximately 2.8 inches of runoff over the entire basin.

5.5 FLOODS OF RECORDS

There are no records available of floods or maximum lake elevation.

5.6 OVERTOPPING POTENTIAL

The potential of the dam being overtopped was investigated on the basis of the spillway discharge capacity and the available surcharge storage to meet the selected design flood inflows.

The analysis was performed assuming that the water surface in the reservoir was at spillway crest elevation at the start of the flood event. The computed PMF inflow peak was 922 cfs. The HEC-1DB analysis indicated that the dam will be overtopped by floods exceeding 21 percent of the PMF. Following is a summary of the computer analysis.

<u>RATIO OF PMF</u>	<u>PEAK INFLOW (cfs)</u>	<u>PEAK OUTFLOW (cfs)</u>	<u>DEPTH OVER DAM (feet)</u>
1.00	922	923	.62
0.75	692	690	.50
0.50	461	456	.36
0.25	231	143	.11

5.7 EVALUATION

The dam does not have sufficient spillway capacity to pass either the PMF or one-half ($\frac{1}{2}$) PMF without overtopping of the dam. The overtopping of the dam could cause the failure of the dam, thus significantly increasing the hazard for the loss of life downstream. The spillway is, therefore, assessed as "seriously inadequate" and the dam is assessed as unsafe, non-emergency.

SECTION 6 - STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

a. Visual Observations

Visual observations did not reveal any conditions which at present adversely affect the structural stability of the dam. Seepage observed at the south abutment is exiting from fractures within the foundation rock and not at the interface and therefore is not considered an immediate hazard. The true source and nature of surface waters at the toe of the dam need further study.

b. Design and Construction Drawings

Original design drawings do not conform to the structure as it stands today. No construction drawings or record of construction are available.

c. Operating Records

There are no operating records for the dam. However, a series of correspondence included as Appendix E provide a partial record of past inspection and evaluation of the structure. Of particular note is a letter dated April 17, 1964, prepared by Mr. Ralph L. McDonald addressing dam cracks, seepage and stability of the dam performing as an arch.

d. Post-Construction Changes

There are no reported post-construction changes to the dam. Some repair work to patch-up minor cracks on both the upstream and downstream faces of the dam was reportedly performed in 1968 (See Corps of Engineers letter dated May 1978).

e. Seismicity Stability

The dam is located in Seismic Zone 1 and in accordance with recommended Phase I guidelines. However, based on the past earthquake activity in the area, the New York State Geological Survey considers the area to be more characteristics of a Zone 2 setting. Based on this assessment the dam is considered in the Seismic Zone 2.

SECTION 7 - ASSESSMENT/RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 ASSESSMENT

a. Safety

Examination of the available documents and a visual inspection of the dam and the appurtenant structures did not reveal conditions which constitute an immediate hazard to human life or property. However, the dam has some deficiencies which require further investigation and remedial action.

Using the Corps of Engineers' Screening Criteria for review of spillway adequacy, it has been determined that the dam would be overtopped for all storms exceeding approximately 21 percent of the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF). The overtopping of the dam could significantly impact on the stability of the dam, thus increasing the hazard to loss of life downstream. The spillway is, therefore, adjudged as "seriously inadequate" and the dam is assessed as unsafe, non-emergency.

The classification of "unsafe" applied to a dam because of a "seriously inadequate" spillway is not meant to connote the same degree of emergency as would be associated with an "unsafe" classification applied for a structural deficiency. It does mean, however, that based on an initial screening and preliminary computations, there appears to be a serious deficiency in spillway capacity so that if a severe storm were to occur, overtopping of the dam would take place, potentially reducing dam stability such that it would significantly increase the hazard to loss of life downstream from the dam.

The structural stability of the dam, based on available information and visual inspection, when considered as a gravity structure appear contradictory. Analysis indicate instability whereas performance indicates otherwise. Based on the analyses it would appear that a portion of the dam is performing as an arch. However, data available to evaluate the structure as an arch dam is insufficient to perform the required analysis. Further cause for concern is the stability of the downstream area with respect to developing a condition of liquefaction (zero effective stress) as a result of high seepage gradients. The extremely wet and spongy nature of the near surface soils at the toe of the dam throughout a majority of its length can be indicative of seepage either from beneath or through the dam. Preliminary analyses performed to assess seepage passing beneath the dam and exiting at the toe indicates a factor of safety with regard to critical exit gradients ranging between 1.65 and 2.21. If seepage were not passing below the dam but through cracks above the base considerably lower factors of safety would result. Further information regarding the geohydrologic conditions at the toe of the dam are required to further evaluate seepage stability.

b. Adequacy of Information

The information and data available were generally adequate for performance of this investigation with the exception of sufficient structural detail to permit performing stability analysis as an arch dam.

c. Need for Additional Investigations

A detailed hydrological/hydraulic investigation of the structure should be undertaken to more accurately determine the site specific characteristics of the watershed.

Development of detailed information regarding the construction of the dam is necessary to permit stability analysis of the arch section. Details pertaining to structural geometry, material properties of both the dam and foundation soils and details of structural connections and reinforcement within the dam are required to complete the analysis.

A detailed investigation to determine source of ponded surface waters at the toe of the dam and their potential indication of underseepage should be undertaken to provide data for more detailed seepage analyses.

d. Urgency

The additional investigations which are required must be initiated within 3 months from the date of notification. Within 12 months of notification, remedial measures as a result of these investigations must be initiated, with completion of these measures during the following year. In the interim, develop an emergency action plan for the notification of downstream residents and proper around-the-clock surveillance of the dam during periods of extreme runoff. The other problem areas listed below must be corrected within one year from notification.

7.2 RECOMMENDED MEASURES


1. The results of the aforementioned investigation and analyses will determine the appropriate remedial measures required regarding spillway modification, dam stability and seepage considerations.
2. Repair or replace low level outlet gate valve to a good working condition.

3. Remove debris and logs from spillway discharge channel.
4. Patch-up and/or repair spalled concrete at crest and minor leaks on the downstream face.
5. Remove all vegetative growth from downstream face.
6. Provide a program of periodic inspection and maintenance of the dam and appurtenance including yearly operation and lubrication of the reservoir drain and its control facilities. Document this information for future reference. Establish an emergency action plan and maintain and update it periodically during the life of the structure.


DRAWINGS

APPENDIX A


A detailed topographic map of the RTH (Riverside, Thibault, and Harts) area. The map features numerous contour lines indicating elevation, with labels such as 550, 600, 650, and 700. Key geographical features include Byram Lake and Reservoir, which are outlined in a thick black line. Other labeled locations include Seven Springs Farm, Winn Lake, and Middle Lake. A road is shown running diagonally across the map, and a river is visible in the lower left corner. The map is oriented with North at the top.



TOPOGRAPHIC MAP
NORTH LAKE DAM NO. 3



Ed Chamber
Edward E. Chamberlain
March 1906




ROCK LEDGE
ABUTMENT.


OUTLINE OF DAM
FOOTING.

16.00 Copper 20' width

DETAIL OF CONSTRUCTION JOINT
30' W.

APPARENT LINE
OF ROCK






Note.- All bearings to be on rock or hardpan as indicated.

PROPOSED DAM NO 3
FOR
SKYBEAM REALTY CO.
ARMONK N. Y.

ELWYN E. SEELYE & CO. CONSULTING ENGINEERS
101 PARK AVE. NEW YORK, N. Y.

C. E. SC. Rev. 6-19-36 Rev. 7/1/36



L A R E

Upstream Vertical
Radius

Assumed Point of
Arch Fixity

2 ft.

115'

121'

Jt.

Jt.

Jt.


One Half Cent

Abutment
& Joint

Jt.


Jt.

2





PLAN

Scale: 1" = 20'




ELEVATION

L A K E



Approx. Finished




NOTE:

TM
AC
NO
FO
"

WINA
PREPA

BY: CHAS. H.
409

SECTION A-A
Scale: $\frac{1}{8}" = 1'-0"$



NOTE:

THIS DRAWING WAS PREPARED FROM A SKETCH BY RALPH L. MACDONALD, ACCOMPANYING HIS REPORT TO THE BUILDING INSPECTOR, TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE, DATED APRIL 17, 1964. INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREON FROM ORIGINAL CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS. COMMENTS OR NOTES IN HEAVY "BLOCKS" ARE FROM THIS INSPECTION REPORT.

NORTH LAKE DAM
WINDMILL FARMS - ARMONK, N.Y.
PREPARED FOR: NORTH LAKE ASSOCIATION

BY: CHAS. H. SELLS, INC., CIVIL ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS
409 MANVILLE ROAD, PLEASANTVILLE, N.Y.

SCALES: AS NOTED

JUNE 17, 1971

FILE NO 71-145

PHOTOGRAPHS


APPENDIX B



2. DOWNSTREAM VIEW - CENTER SPILLWAY SECTION.



3. UPSTREAM VIEW - CENTER SPILLWAY SECTION.



4. SPILLWAY COLLECTION WELL AS SEEN FROM CREST OF SPILLWAY.



5. SPILLWAY DISCHARGE CULVERT OUTLET AT HEAD OF CHANNEL. (NOTE: Debris at Head of Channel)



6. SEEPAGE FROM CRACKS ON FACE OF
NORTH BUTTRESS.



7. CRACKS & SEEPAGE ON DOWNSTREAM
FACE NEAR CENTER OF SPILLWAY.
(NOTE: Vegetation Growing on Wall)



8. VEGETATION GROWING ON DOWNSTREAM
DAM FACE, SOUTH OF SPILLWAY.



9. MINOR SEEPAGE AT SOUTH ABUTMENT



10. VIEW AT DAM TOE, NORTH OF SOUTH ABUTMENT.
(NOTE: Surface Water and Lush "Marshy"
Vegetation).

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

APPENDIX C

VISUAL INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Basic Data

a. General

Name of Dam NORTH LAKE DAM No. 3
Fed. I.D. # NY 113 DEC Dam No. 232-1093
River Basin MIANUS
Location: Town NORTH CASTLE County WESTCHESTER
Stream Name UNKNOWN
Tributary of MIANUS RIVER
Latitude (N) 41-08.9 Longitude (W) 073-40.5
Type of Dam STONE MASONRY
Hazard Category 1
Date(s) of Inspection MAY 26, 1981
Weather Conditions SUNNY + WARM 80°F
Reservoir Level at Time of Inspection EL. 609±

b. Inspection Personnel MEYER FELDMAN, PRINCIPAL GEOTECHNICAL Eng.
JOHN WALLACE, GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER

c. Persons Contacted (Including Address & Phone No.)
MR. BAN QAMAR 25 UPLAND LANE WINDMILL FARMS
ARMONK, N.Y. 10504 (914) 273-3685
MR. ERNEST RUDINGER 38 NORTH LAKE RD. WINDMILL FARMS
ARMONK, N.Y. 10504 (914) 273-3719

d. History:

Date Constructed 1936 Date(s) Reconstructed _____
Designer ELWYN E. SEELEY & CO. CONSULTING ENGINEERS N.Y., N.Y.
Constructed By UNKNOWN
Owner NORTH LAKE ASSOCIATION

Embankment

a. Characteristics

- (1) Embankment Material N/A
- (2) Cutoff Type N/A
- (3) Impervious Core N/A
- (4) Internal Drainage System N/A
- (5) Miscellaneous N/A

b. Crest

- (1) Vertical Alignment N/A
- (2) Horizontal Alignment N/A
- (3) Surface Cracks N/A
- (4) Miscellaneous N/A

c. Upstream Slope

- (1) Slope (Estimate) (V:H) N/A
- (2) Undesirable Growth or Debris, Animal Burrows N/A
- (3) Sloughing, Subsidence or Depressions N/A

(4) Slope Protection N/A

(5) Surface Cracks or Movement at Toe N/A

d. Downstream Slope

(1) Slope (Estimate - V:H) N/A

(2) Undesirable Growth or Debris, Animal Burrows N/A

(3) Sloughing, Subsidence or Depressions N/A

(4) Surface Cracks or Movement at Toe N/A

(5) Seepage N/A

(6) External Drainage System (Ditches, Trenches; Blanket) N/A

(7) Condition Around Outlet Structure N/A

(8) Seepage Beyond Toe N/A

e. Abutments - Embankment Contact

N/A

(1) Erosion at Contact N/A

(2) Seepage Along Contact N/A

3) Drainage System

a. Description of System None

b. Condition of System N/A

c. Discharge from Drainage System N/A

4) Instrumentation (Monumentation/Surveys, Observation Wells, Weirs, Piezometers, Etc.) None

5) Reservoir

- a. Slopes generally stable
- b. Sedimentation NO EVIDENCE OF EXCESSIVE SEDIMENTATION
NO FLOATING DEBRIS
- c. Unusual Conditions Which Affect Dam NONE

6) Area Downstream of Dam

- a. Downstream Hazard (No. of Homes, Highways, etc.) Few houses
TOWN ROAD
- b. Seepage, Unusual Growth heavy vegetation, including mosses etc.
tall deciduous trees to 20-25', brushy areas. Reeds to one mile low side
to collect surface run off, some under
- c. Evidence of Movement Beyond Toe of Dam NONE
SEEPAGE PROBABLE
- d. Condition of Downstream Channel downstream of box culvert much
small debris

7) Spillway(s) (Including Discharge Conveyance Channel)

Double level slot in center of crest w/ collection well at toe - water
transported via box culvert - exiting downstream of town road

- a. General
- b. Condition of Service Spillway good

c. Condition of Auxiliary Spillway None

d. Condition of Discharge Conveyance Channel Partially blocked by

tees and debris

Reservoir Drain/Outlet

Type: Pipe X Conduit _____ Other _____

Material: Concrete _____ Metal Cast Iron Other _____

Size: 16 inch Length Approx 24 Feet

Invert Elevations: Entrance UNKNOWN Exit 533.75*

Physical Condition (Describe): _____ Unobservable ✓

Material: _____

Joints: _____ Alignment _____

Structural Integrity: UNKNOWN

Hydraulic Capability: _____

Means of Control: Gate _____ Valve ✓ Uncontrolled _____

Operation: Operable _____ Inoperable ✓ Other _____

Present Condition (Describe): Reportedly difficult to operate and

in poor working order - was not opened during inspection - Valve located d/s of Dam

* USGS DATUM - CHASCOON 1936 dwgs revised to correspond to USGS ELEV.

f) Structural

- a. Concrete Surfaces generally in good condition, some spalling at crest and on upstream face
- b. Structural Cracking several minor cracks in masonry joints, some leaking, past cracks have been patched
- c. Movement - Horizontal & Vertical Alignment (Settlement) None observed
- d. Junctions with Abutments or Embankments GOOD
- e. Drains - Foundation, Joint, Face None
- f. Water Passages, Conduits, Sluices Appear to be in good condition
- g. Seepage or Leakage minor seepage from several "cracks" in masonry joints at various locations along the dam, some seepage evident near toe

- h. Joints - Construction, etc. MASONRY JOINTS ARE GENERALLY
IN GOOD CONDITION, SOME POINTING HAS BEEN DONE BOTH
ON UPSTREAM AND DOWNSTREAM FACE IN RECENT YEARS
- i. Foundation HAKE PILE UNDER MAIN SECTION OF DAM WITH
ABUTMENTS SET-ED INTO BEDROCK
- j. Abutments SEEPAGE EVIDENT AT SOUTH ABUTMENT NEAR
ROCK-DAM CONTACT
- k. Control Gates INOPERABLE
- l. Approach & Outlet Channels NO APPROACH CHANNEL - OUTLET
CHANNEL BLOCKED WITH TREES AND DEBRIS
- m. Energy Dissipators (Plunge Pool, etc.)
- n. Intake Structures NOT VISIBLE
- o. Stability APPEARS STABLE
- p. Miscellaneous

10) Appurtenant Structures (Powerhouse, Lock, Gatehouse, Other)

a. Description and Condition

See description of spillway (Item 7)
and Reservoir drain (Item 8)

HYDROLOGIC DATA AND COMPUTATIONS

APPENDIX D

CHECK LIST FOR DAMS
HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC
ENGINEERING DATA

1

AREA-CAPACITY DATA:

	<u>Elevation</u> (ft.)	<u>Surface Area</u> (acres)	<u>Storage Capacity</u> (acre-ft.)
1) Top of Dam	<u>573.75</u> *	<u>22.8</u>	<u>249</u>
2) Design High Water (Max. Design Pool)	<u>572.0</u> *	<u>—</u>	<u>210</u>
3) Auxiliary Spillway Crest	<u>None</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
4) Pool Level with Flashboards	<u>N/A</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
5) Service Spillway Crest	<u>572</u> *	<u>21.6</u>	<u>210</u>

* USGS MSL DATUM

DISCHARGES

	<u>Volume</u> (cfs)
1) Average Daily	<u>UNKNOWN</u>
2) Spillway @ Maximum High Water	<u>70.4</u>
3) Spillway @ Design High Water	<u>UNKNOWN</u>
4) Spillway @ Auxiliary Spillway Crest Elevation	<u>None</u>
5) Low Level Outlet	<u>UNKNOWN</u>
6) Total (of all facilities) @ Maximum High Water	<u>70.4</u>
7) Maximum Known Flood	<u>UNKNOWN</u>
8) At Time of Inspection	<u>UNKNOWN</u>

Dam
CREST:

ELEVATION: 573.75

Type: Ruffle Masonry face concrete & cyclopean masonry Arch

Width: 4 feet Length: 600'

Spillover bi-level slot

Location Top Center of dam
Spillover

SPILLWAY:

SERVICE

AUXILIARY

572.0

Elevation - none

bi-level slot

Type

6.0 ft.

Width

Type of Control

☒ Uncontrolled

Controlled:

Type
(Flashboards; gate)

Number

Size/Length

Invert Material

Anticipated Length
of operating service

NONE

Chute Length

Height Between Spillway Crest
& Approach Channel Invert
(Weir Flow)

HYDROMETEOROLOGICAL GAGES:

Type : NoneLocation:

Records:

Date - Max. Reading -

FLOOD WATER CONTROL SYSTEM:

Warning System: NONE IN EXISTENCE

Method of Controlled Releases (mechanisms):

NONE - REAPPRAIN DRAIN IS INADEQUATE

DRAINAGE AREA: 0.26 sq miles

DRAINAGE BASIN RUNOFF CHARACTERISTICS:

Land Use - Type: WOODS - RESIDENTIAL / SMALL FARMS

Terrain - Relief: Hilly

Surface - Soil: GLACIAL Till

Runoff Potential (existing or planned extensive alterations to existing
(surface or subsurface conditions)

NONE observed

Potential Sedimentation problem areas (natural or man-made; present or future)

NONE Observed

Potential Backwater problem areas for levels at maximum storage capacity
including surcharge storage:

NONE

Dikes - Floodwalls (overflow & non-overflow) - Low reaches along the
Reservoir perimeter:

Location: NONE

Elevation: _____

Reservoir:

Length @ Maximum Pool 0.36 (Miles)

Length of Shoreline (@ Spillway Crest) 0.98 (Miles)

TAMS

Job No. 1579-09
 Project NORTH LAKE DAM. NO 3
 Subject HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS.

Sheet 1 of 24
 Date JUNE 16, 1981
 By D.L.C
 Ch'k. by _____

$$L = 1.4'' = 2800' = 0.53 \text{ mi}$$

$$L_A = 0.4'' = 800' = 0.15 \text{ mi}$$

$$\text{USE } C_1 = 2 \quad C_p = 0.625$$

$$T_p = 2[(0.53)(0.15)]^{0.3} = 0.94 \text{ hrs.}$$

$$t_n = 0.94 / 5.5 = 0.17 \text{ hrs} \quad \text{for } t_R = 20 \text{ min} = 0.33 \text{ hrs.}$$

$$t_{PR} = t_p + 0.25(t_R - t_n)$$

$$= 0.94 + 0.25(0.33 - 0.17) = 0.98 \text{ hrs.}$$

$$\text{ASSUME INITIAL LOSS} = 1.0 \text{ in}$$

$$\text{CONSTANT LOSS} = 0.1 \text{ in/hour.}$$

FROM Hydromet # 33

ALL SEASON 200 SQ MILE 24 HOUR PMP = 22 inches.

Duration (HRS)	% PMP
6	112
12	123
24	133
48	141

$$\frac{\text{LAKE AREA}}{\text{DRAINAGE AREA}} = \% \text{ impervious} = \frac{21.6}{168.5} = 0.128 (12.8\%)$$

TAMS

Job No. 1579-09

Project NORTH LAKE DAM INSPECTION

Subject HYDROLOGIC/HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS


Sheet 2 of 24

Date JUNE 16, 1981

By D. L. C

Ch'k. by JMD

SPILLWAY DISCHARGE CAPACITY BREATH $\approx 60'$



$Q = CLH^{3/2}$

EL.	L ₁	H	C ₁	Q ₁ (CFS)	L ₂	H	C ₂	Q ₂	Q _T
572	3	0	0		0	0	0		0
573	3	1	2.68	8	14	0.5	2.6	12.9	20.9
573.75	3	1.75	2.65	18.4	14	1.25	2.66	52.0	70.4
575	3	3	2.66	41.5	14	2.5	2.67	147.8	189.3

FLOW OVER DAM.

TOP OF DAM 573.75

LENGTH OF DAM $600 - 17 = 583'$ (spillway length = 170')

$C = 2.63$
EXPD $= 1.5$

\$B INPUT - DAM BREACH CRITERIA.

BRWID = 200'

Z = 3

EIBM = 542


TFAIL = 0.5 hours

WSEL = 572 FAILED 574.

TAMS

Job No. _____
 Project NORTH LAKE DAM NO 3
 Subject HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC COMPUTATION

Sheet 3 of 24
 Date JUNE 18 81
 By D.L.C.
 Ch'k. by _____




EL	ΔH	AREA	MEAN AREA	Δ VOL	STORAGE (ACFT)
572		21.6			210 *
	2		22.25	44.5	
574		22.9			254.5
	1		23.25	23.25	
575		23.6			277.75
	2		24.3	48.6	
577		25.0			326.35
	3		26.05	78.15	
580		27.1			404.5

K₀ = 5 X 5 TO 1 1/2 INCH
UPPER 1/2 INCH
IN U.S.

3 08 24

FIGURE 1



NORTH LAKE
% PMF VS. MAXIMUM RESERVOIR
WATER SURFACE ELEVATION

.....
 FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1)
 DAM SAFETY VERSION JULY 1978
 LAST MODIFICATION 01 APR 80

1	A1	NORTH LAKE DAM NO 3									
2	A2	PHASE 1 SAFETY INSPECTION									
3	A3	HEC 1 DR PHF ANALYSIS									
4	B	150	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	B1	5									
6	J	1	4	1							
7	J1	1	0.75	0.5	0.25						
8	K	0	1								
9	A1	1 NORTH LAKE BASIN RUN-OFF									
10	T	1	1	C.26	0.26						1
11	P	22	112	123	133	141					
12	T						1	0.1			-13
13	W	0.98	0.625								
14	X	-1	-0.1	1.5							
15	K1	1	2				1				
16	K1	2 ROUTE HYDROGRAPH THROUGH NORTH LAKE									
17	T	1	1				1				
18	T1	1					210				-1
19	T4	572	573	573.75	575						
20	T5	0	20.9	70.4	139.3						
21	S	210	234.5	277.8	326.4	404.5					
22	SE	572	574	575	577	580					
23	S5	572									
24	S5	573.75	2.7	1.5	600						
25	K	1	3				1				
26	K1	1									
27	T	3 CHANNEL ROUTE TO STATION 7+00									
28	T1	1					1				
29	T6	0.035	0.035	0.035	476	500	700	0.086			
30	T7	0	500	30	490	60	480	72	476	80	476
31	T7	100	480	120	490	170	500				
32	K	99									

Sheet 5 of 24

PREVIEW OF SEQUENCE OF STREAM NETWORK CALCULATIONS

1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525
 526
 527
 528
 529
 530
 531
 532
 533
 534
 535
 536
 537
 538
 539
 540
 541
 542
 543
 544
 545
 546
 547
 548
 549
 550
 551
 552
 553
 554
 555
 556
 557
 558
 559
 560
 561
 562
 563
 564
 565
 566
 567
 568
 569
 570
 571
 572
 573
 574
 575
 576
 577
 578
 579
 580
 581
 582
 583
 584
 585
 586
 587
 588
 589
 590
 591
 592
 593
 594
 595
 596
 597
 598
 599
 600
 601
 602
 603
 604
 605
 606
 607
 608
 609
 610
 611
 612
 613
 614
 615
 616
 617
 618
 619
 620
 621
 622
 623
 624
 625
 626
 627
 628
 629
 630
 631
 632
 633
 634
 635
 636
 637
 638
 639
 640
 641
 642
 643
 644
 645
 646
 647
 648
 649
 650
 651
 652
 653
 654
 655
 656
 657
 658
 659
 660
 661
 662
 663
 664
 665
 666
 667
 668
 669
 670
 671
 672
 673
 674
 675
 676
 677
 678
 679
 680
 681
 682
 683
 684
 685
 686
 687
 688
 689
 690
 691
 692
 693
 694
 695
 696
 697
 698
 699
 700
 701
 702
 703
 704
 705
 706
 707
 708
 709
 710
 711
 712
 713
 714
 715
 716
 717
 718
 719
 720
 721
 722
 723
 724
 725
 726
 727
 728
 729
 730
 731
 732
 733
 734
 735
 736
 737
 738
 739
 740
 741
 742
 743
 744
 745
 746
 747
 748
 749
 750
 751
 752
 753
 754
 755
 756
 757
 758
 759
 760
 761
 762
 763
 764
 765
 766
 767
 768
 769
 770
 771
 772
 773
 774
 775
 776
 777
 778
 779
 780
 781
 782
 783
 784
 785
 786
 787
 788
 789
 790
 791
 792
 793
 794
 795
 796
 797
 798
 799
 800
 801
 802
 803
 804
 805
 806
 807
 808
 809
 810
 811
 812
 813
 814
 815
 816
 817
 818
 819
 820
 821
 822
 823
 824
 825
 826
 827
 828
 829
 830
 831
 832
 833
 834
 835
 836
 837
 838
 839
 840
 841
 842
 843
 844
 845
 846
 847
 848
 849
 850
 851
 852
 853
 854
 855
 856
 857
 858
 859
 860
 861
 862
 863
 864
 865
 866
 867
 868
 869
 870
 871
 872
 873
 874
 875
 876
 877
 878
 879
 880
 881
 882
 883
 884
 885
 886
 887
 888
 889
 890
 891
 892
 893
 894
 895
 896
 897
 898
 899
 900
 901
 902
 903
 904
 905
 906
 907
 908
 909
 910
 911
 912
 913
 914
 915
 916
 917
 918
 919
 920
 921
 922
 923
 924
 925
 926
 927
 928
 929
 930
 931
 932
 933
 934
 935
 936
 937
 938
 939
 940
 941
 942
 943
 944
 945
 946
 947
 948
 949
 950
 951
 952
 953
 954
 955
 956
 957
 958
 959
 960
 961
 962
 963
 964
 965
 966
 967
 968
 969
 970
 971
 972
 973
 974
 975
 976
 977
 978
 979
 980
 981
 982
 983
 984
 985
 986
 987
 988
 989
 990
 991
 992
 993
 994
 995
 996
 997
 998
 999
 1000
 1001
 1002
 1003
 1004
 1005
 1006
 1007
 1008
 1009
 1010
 1011
 1012
 1013
 1014
 1015
 1016
 1017
 1018
 1019
 1020
 1021
 1022
 1023
 1024
 1025
 1026
 1027
 1028
 1029
 1030
 1031
 1032
 1033
 1034
 1035
 1036
 1037
 1038
 1039
 1040
 1041
 1042
 1043
 1044
 1045
 1046
 1047
 1048
 1049
 1050
 1051
 1052
 1053
 1054
 1055
 1056
 1057
 1058
 1059
 1060
 1061
 1062
 1063
 1064
 1065
 1066
 1067
 1068
 1069
 1070
 1071
 1072
 1073
 1074
 1075
 1076
 1077
 1078
 1079
 1080
 1081
 1082
 1083
 1084
 1085
 1086
 1087
 1088
 1089
 1090
 1091
 1092
 1093
 1094
 1095
 1096
 1097
 1098
 1099
 1100
 1101
 1102
 1103
 1104
 1105
 1106
 1107
 1108
 1109
 1110
 1111
 1112
 1113
 1114
 1115
 1116
 1117
 1118
 1119
 1120
 1121
 1122
 1123
 1124
 1125
 1126
 1127
 1128
 1129
 1130
 1131
 1132
 1133
 1134
 1135
 1136
 1137
 1138
 1139
 1140
 1141
 1142
 1143
 1144
 1145
 1146
 1147
 1148
 1149
 1150
 1151
 1152
 1153
 1154
 1155
 1156
 1157
 1158
 1159
 1160
 1161
 1162
 1163
 1164
 1165
 1166
 1167
 1168
 1169
 1170
 1171
 1172
 1173
 1174
 1175
 1176
 1177
 1178
 1179
 1180
 1181
 1182
 1183
 1184
 1185
 1186
 1187
 1188
 1189
 1190
 1191
 1192
 1193
 1194
 1195
 1196
 1197
 1198
 1199
 1200
 1201
 1202
 1203
 1204
 1205
 1206
 1207
 1208
 1209
 1210
 1211
 1212
 1213
 1214
 1215
 1216
 1217
 1218
 1219
 1220
 1221
 1222
 1223
 1224
 1225
 1226
 1227
 1228
 1229
 1230
 1231
 1232
 1233
 1234
 1235
 1236
 1237
 1238
 1239
 1240
 1241
 1242
 1243
 1244
 1245
 1246
 1247
 1248
 1249
 1250
 1251
 1252
 1253
 1254
 1255
 1256
 1257
 1258
 1259
 1260
 1261
 1262
 1263
 1264
 1265
 1266
 1267
 1268
 1269
 1270
 1271
 1272
 1273
 1274
 1275
 1276
 1277
 1278
 1279
 1280
 1281
 1282
 1283
 1284
 1285
 1286
 1287
 1288
 1289
 1290
 1291
 1292
 1293
 1294
 1295
 1296
 1297
 1298
 1299
 1300
 1301
 1302
 1303
 1304
 1305
 1306
 1307
 1308
 1309
 1310
 1311
 1312
 1313
 1314
 1315
 1316
 1317
 1318
 1319
 1320
 1321
 1322
 1323
 1324
 1325
 1326
 1327
 1328
 1329
 1330
 1331
 1332
 1333
 1334
 1335
 1336
 1337
 1338
 1339
 1340
 1341
 1342
 1343
 1344
 1345
 1346
 1347
 1348
 1349
 1350
 1351
 1352
 1353
 1354
 1355
 1356
 1357
 1358
 1359
 1360
 1361
 1362
 1363
 1364
 1365
 1366
 1367
 1368
 1369
 1370
 1371
 1372
 1373
 1374
 1375
 1376
 1377
 1378
 1379
 1380
 1381
 1382
 1383
 1384
 1385
 1386
 1387
 1388
 1389
 1390
 1391
 1392
 1393
 1394
 1395
 1396
 1397
 1398
 1399
 1400
 1401
 1402
 1403
 1404
 1405
 1406
 1407
 1408
 1409
 1410
 1411
 1412
 1413
 1414
 1415
 1416
 1417
 1418
 1419
 1420
 1421
 1422
 1423
 1424
 1425
 1426
 1427
 1428
 1429
 1430
 1431
 1432
 1433
 1434
 1435
 1436
 1437
 1438
 1439
 1440
 1441
 1442
 1443
 1444
 1445
 1446
 1447
 1448
 1449
 1450
 1451
 1452
 1453
 1454
 1455
 1456
 1457
 1458
 1459
 1460
 1461
 1462
 1463
 1464
 1465
 1466
 1467
 1468
 1469
 1470
 1471
 1472
 1473
 1474
 1475
 1476
 1477
 1478
 1479
 1480
 1481
 1482
 1483
 1484
 1485
 1486
 1487
 1488
 1489
 1490

 FLOOD HYDROGRAPH PACKAGE (HEC-1)
 DAM SAFETY VERSION JULY 1978
 LAST MODIFICATION C1 APR 80

RUN DATE: 81/06/22
 TIME: 13.48.53.

NORTH LAKE DAM NO 3
 PHASE 1 SAFETY INSPECTION
 HEC 1 DB PMF ANALYSIS

JOB SPECIFICATION									
NQ	NMR	NNIN	ICAY	IMIN	METC	IPLT	IPRT	INSTAN	
150	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			JOPER	NUT	LROPT	TRACE			
			5	0	0	0			

MULTI-PLAN ANALYSES TO BE PERFORMED
 NPLAN= 1 NRTIO= 4 LRTIO= 1
 RTIOS= 1.00 .75 .50 .25

***** SUR-AREA RUNOFF COMPIATION *****

1 NORTH LAKE BASIN RUN-OFF

ISTAQ	ICOMP	IECCN	ITAPE	JPLT	JPRT	INAME	ISTAGE	IAUTO
1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

HYDROGRAPH DATA
 INYDG 1 IUNG TAREA SHAP TRSDA TRSPC RATIO ISN:W ISAME LOCAL
 1 1 .26 0.00 .26 0.00 0.00 0.00 0 1 0

PRECIP DATA
 SPFE PMS R6 R12 R24 R48 R72 R96
 0.00 22.00 112.00 123.00 133.00 141.00 0.00 0.00

LOSS DATA
 LROPT STARR DLTKR RTIOL ERAIN STRKS RTIOK STRTL CNSTL ALSMX RTIMP
 0 0.00 0.00 1.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 1.00 .10 0.00 .13

UNIT HYDROGRAPH DATA
 TP= .98 CP= .63 NTA= 0

RECESSION DATA
 STRIO= -1.00 ORCSN= -.10 RTIOF= 1.50
 APPROXIMATE "LAK" COEFFICIENTS FROM GIVEN SNYDER CP AND TP ARE TC= 3.63 AND R= 2.36 INTERVALS

UNIT HYDROGRAPH 15 END-OF-PERIOD ORIGINATES LAG= .77 HOURS, CP= .62 VOL= 1.00
 18. 62. 101. 102. 76. 50. 32. 21. 14. 9.
 6. 4. 2. 1.

[illegible]

	PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
CFS	622.	375.	119.	38.	872.
CFS	20.	11.	3.	2.	247.
INCHES		13.45	17.09	17.34	17.34
MM		341.09	434.02	440.36	440.36
AC-FT		186.	237.	240.	240.
THOUS CU YD		230.	292.	276.	296.

[illegible]

	PEAK	6-HOUR	24-HOUR	72-HOUR	TOTAL VOLUME
CFS	461.	250.	80.	39.	5815.
CMS	13.	7.	2.	1.	153.
INCHES		8.05	11.39	11.56	11.56
MM		227.39	289.34	293.58	293.58
AC-FT		124.	138.	140.	163.
THOUS CU M		153.	195.	198.	198.

[illegible]

HYDROGRAPH AT STA 1 FOR FLAN 1, RTIO 6

[illegible]

HYDROGRAPH ROUTING

2 ROUTE HYDROGRAPH THROUGH NORTH LAKE

[illegible]

Sheet 11 of 24

RMN-OF-FE210D HYDROGRAPH COORDINATES

[illegible]

PEAK OUTFLOW IS 923. AT TIME 40.67 HOURS

Oct 13 1924

0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	70134.11	82581.95	96446.30	111798.25	128708.21	147245.45
476.00	477.26	478.53	479.79	481.05	482.32	483.58	484.84	486.11	487.37
488.63	489.39	491.16	492.42	493.68	494.95	496.21	497.47	498.74	500.00
0.00	1971.07	776.04	1971.59	3892.99	6084.99	10228.09	14560.86	19722.81	25752.83
3285.81	40582.41	49260.75	59032.24	701					

PEAK FLOW AND STORAGE (END OF PERIOD) SUMMARY FOR MULTIPLE PLAN-RATIO ECONOMIC COMPUTATIONS

FLows IN CUBIC FEET PER SECOND (CUBIC METERS PER SECOND)

AREA IN SQUARE MILES (SQUARE KILOMETERS)

OPERATION	STATION	AREA	PLAN	RATIOS APPLIED TO FLOWS			
				RATIO 1	RATIO 2	RATIO 3	RATIO 4
				1.00	.75	.50	.25

HYDROGRAPH AT	1	.26	1	26.13	19.59	13.04	6.53
	(	.67)	(	26.13)	19.59)	13.04)	6.53)
ROUTED TO	2	.26	1	26.13	19.59	13.04	6.53
	(	.67)	(	26.13)	19.59)	13.04)	6.53)
ROUTED TO	3	.26	1	26.13	19.59	13.04	6.53
	(	.67)	(	26.13)	19.59)	13.04)	6.53)

1000000

[illegible][illegible]

PLAN	STATION	MAXIMUM FLOW-PCS	MINIMUM S-AGEFT	TIME HOURS
R-10		984	476.7	4-57
" "		984	476.7	4-57
" "		984	476.7	4-57
" "		984	476.7	4-57

100-100000

STABILITY ANALYSIS

APPENDIX E

TAMS

Job No. 157200

Sheet 1 of 6

Project _____

Date 6-25-81

Subject _____

By

Ch'k. by _____

1) The 10 is weight of Stone facing and Rubberizing;
and 10 is 100 ft

27th. A mass of blackish red about the size of a
 stone of the size of a stone of the size of a stone

1. Theorem 5.1. $\mathcal{H}^1$ is a $\mathcal{H}^1$ -space.

4/10/60 - 4/10/60 - 2 April 1960

6. Total = 52,572.25

1991

1) *Chrysomelidae* (Colorado potato beetle) - a common pest of potatoes, causing significant damage to the foliage. It is characterized by its yellowish-brown color and distinctive longitudinal stripes on its back.

Chlorophyll *Spectrophotometer* *Light Intensity*

1. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1997; 277: 1033-1037.

[illegible]

10. 2 - One 5000 lbs that 150 tons

Case 2 - Plaintiff has a 20% or less Net Net Change.

C. It - prepare drawing table, pencil and ink. Single

Part II - Language Learning

TAMS

Job No. 578-29

Sheet 2 of 6

Project Chesapeake Bay, N. 3

Date 4-25-51

Subject Structure of Chesapeake Bay

By J. H. H.

Ch'k. by _____



1. Assume average depth of 10 ft for
depth of water being used.
Tide to mean low tide is 10 ft.
2. Break up section into 2 parts to
compare stress M.
3. $\frac{1}{2} \times 10 \times 10 = 125 \text{ ft}^2 \text{ is } 5.0 \text{ m}^2$
area of section
4. $\frac{1}{2} \times 10 \times 10 = 2.0$

TAMS

Job No. 1-2-3-4

Sheet 3 of 6

Project 1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9-10-11-12-13-14-15-16-17-18-19-20-21-22-23-24-25-26-27-28-29-30-31-32-33-34-35-36-37-38-39-40-41-42-43-44-45-46-47-48-49-50-51-52-53-54-55-56-57-58-59-60-61-62-63-64-65-66-67-68-69-70-71-72-73-74-75-76-77-78-79-80-81-82-83-84-85-86-87-88-89-90-91-92-93-94-95-96-97-98-99-100

Date 1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9-10-11-12-13-14-15-16-17-18-19-20-21-22-23-24-25-26-27-28-29-30-31-32-33-34-35-36-37-38-39-40-41-42-43-44-45-46-47-48-49-50-51-52-53-54-55-56-57-58-59-60-61-62-63-64-65-66-67-68-69-70-71-72-73-74-75-76-77-78-79-80-81-82-83-84-85-86-87-88-89-90-91-92-93-94-95-96-97-98-99-100

Subject 1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9-10-11-12-13-14-15-16-17-18-19-20-21-22-23-24-25-26-27-28-29-30-31-32-33-34-35-36-37-38-39-40-41-42-43-44-45-46-47-48-49-50-51-52-53-54-55-56-57-58-59-60-61-62-63-64-65-66-67-68-69-70-71-72-73-74-75-76-77-78-79-80-81-82-83-84-85-86-87-88-89-90-91-92-93-94-95-96-97-98-99-100

By 1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9-10-11-12-13-14-15-16-17-18-19-20-21-22-23-24-25-26-27-28-29-30-31-32-33-34-35-36-37-38-39-40-41-42-43-44-45-46-47-48-49-50-51-52-53-54-55-56-57-58-59-60-61-62-63-64-65-66-67-68-69-70-71-72-73-74-75-76-77-78-79-80-81-82-83-84-85-86-87-88-89-90-91-92-93-94-95-96-97-98-99-100

Ch'k. by 1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8-9-10-11-12-13-14-15-16-17-18-19-20-21-22-23-24-25-26-27-28-29-30-31-32-33-34-35-36-37-38-39-40-41-42-43-44-45-46-47-48-49-50-51-52-53-54-55-56-57-58-59-60-61-62-63-64-65-66-67-68-69-70-71-72-73-74-75-76-77-78-79-80-81-82-83-84-85-86-87-88-89-90-91-92-93-94-95-96-97-98-99-100

Calculation of Mass of Dam Section/ft.

SECTION ③ $1 \times 9 \times .160 = 1.44 \text{ Kips}$ Mass of segment to DS. to 12.5'

④ $.5 \times 16 \times .160 = 1.28 \text{ Kips}$ " " " " 11.75'

⑤ $[(6 \times 51) - \frac{1}{2}(2^2)] \times .160 = 52.44 \text{ Kips}$ " " " " 8.5'

⑥ $(4 \times 30.2 + 2 \times 2) \times .160 = 19.5 \text{ Kips}$ " " " " 4.2'

⑦ $24 \times 1 \times .160 = 3.84 \text{ Kips}$ " " " " 2.0'

⑧ $10 \times 1 \times .160 = 1.60 \text{ Kips}$ " " " " 1.25'

⑨ $4 \times 1 \times .160 = .64 \text{ Kips}$ " " " " .5'

$\Sigma F = 81.96 \text{ Kips}$

$\Sigma M = 577.92 \text{ Kips}$

$\frac{577.92}{81.96} = 7.05'$

TAMS

Job No. 1572.09

Sheet 4 of 6

Project Boonville Dam No. 3


Date 6-25-51

Subject Seepage Analysis

By J. E. S.

Ch'k. by _____

Determine Driving, Restraining & uplift forces



- 1) $P_{u,0} = 57.5 \times 10.4 \times 1.545 = 92.67 \text{ kips}$ $M_u = \frac{57.5}{3} = 18.17'$
- 2) $P_{u,2} = 16 \times 10.4 \times 1.545 = 26.44 \text{ kips}$ $M_u = \frac{16}{3} = 5.33'$
- 3) $P_{u,3} = \frac{34.00 \times 10.4}{2} \times 1.545 = 31.89 \text{ kips}$ $M_u = 7.75'$

TAMS

Job No. 1579-09

Sheet 5 of 6

Project Wardlife Dam No. 3

Date 6-25-81

Subject Stability Analysis

By JFW

Ch'k. by

$$4) P_{ef} = (62.6)(24)(3) \times \frac{24}{2} = 54.09 \text{ Kips}$$

$$MA = \frac{24}{3} = 8'$$

$$5) P_{H_2O_E} = (62.4 \times 24) \frac{24}{2} = 17.97 \text{ Kips}$$

$$MA = 8'$$

$$\Sigma M \text{ driving} = (92.67)(18.17) + (3.14)(5.23) + (31.84)(7.75) = 1944.6 \text{ Kft}$$

$$\Sigma M \text{ Resisting} = (5102)(7.00) - (5401)(8) - (17.97)(3) = 1154.02$$


$$M_R - M_D = 1154.02 - 1944.6 = -790.58$$

$$F = \frac{13}{2} - \frac{-790.58}{50.125} = 7.5 + 15.78 = 23.28'$$

Resultant Force Center Line

(This value is a preliminary estimate of the location of the resultant force center line. It should be refined by a more detailed analysis.)

6. The stability analysis is based on the assumption that the dam is rigid and that the water level is constant. The analysis does not consider the effects of seismicity or other dynamic loads.



6. The stability analysis is based on the assumption that the dam is rigid and that the water level is constant. The analysis does not consider the effects of seismicity or other dynamic loads.

Total water force on gate, $1456' \text{ by } 10' \text{ depth} = 532 \text{ Kips}$

Stability analysis based on 1000 Kips
Factor of safety = $\frac{1000}{130} = 7.09$

Factor of safety based on 1000 Kips
Factor of safety = $\frac{1000}{130} = 7.09$

Factor of safety based on 1000 Kips
Factor of safety = $\frac{1000}{130} = 7.09$

TAMS

Job No. 1579-09

Sheet 6 of 6

Project Northgate Farm No. 2

Date 6-25-81

Subject Smoothing Analysis

By J. S. W.

Ch'k. by _____

Including Side Slope 1 to 1.5 H:V

$$\Sigma M \text{ Driving} = 1944.6 \text{ Kft}$$

$$\Sigma M \text{ resisting} = 1154.02 + 198.6 = 1352.6 \text{ Kft}$$

Still Exceeding Moment

Check Sliding

$$\Sigma T_v = 81.92 - 31.84 = 50.08 \text{ K}$$

$$\Sigma F_h = 92.67 + 31.64 - 34.04 - 17.97 - 70.9 = 14.16 \text{ K}$$

Result: Factor of Safety

$$F.S. \text{ Sliding} = \frac{50.08}{14.16} = 3.53$$

$$= \frac{50.08}{14.16} = 3.53$$

Still Factor 3.5

Check with different 1 to 1.5 H:V to a consequence
the slope is a gravity section

TAMS

Job No. 1577-02

Sheet 1 of 2

Project Alvin Karpis

Date 6-3-81


Subject _____

By 201

Ch'k. by _____

Assessments

1) Concept Data Structure - Simplified



2) W.L. G.L. & D.L.

4) *Rechnung der Kosten* (abhängig von der gewählten Methode)
 - *Produktionskosten* (Material, Lohn, Gemeinkosten)

TAMS

Job No. 211-09

Sheet 2 of 2

Project San Juan Island

Date 6-2-41

Subject _____

By J. H. [unclear]

Ch'k. by _____

Analysis

For this case, flow is not limited to the
bank and is not limited to the bank. The flow is
of 100-13-53 feet to the bank. The flow is
130 feet from the bank. The flow is not limited to the bank.

$$\frac{1.65}{1.65} = \frac{3.3}{3.3} = 1.03 \quad FS = 1.65 \quad \text{not calculated}$$


Assuming a 2000 ft. long, 100 ft. wide, 100 ft. high
bank. The flow is not limited to the bank. The flow is
of 100-13-53 feet to the bank. The flow is
130 feet from the bank. The flow is not limited to the bank.

$$FS = 2.1 \quad \text{not calculated}$$

Minimum flow is not limited to the bank

The flow is not limited to the bank. The flow is
of 100-13-53 feet to the bank. The flow is
130 feet from the bank. The flow is not limited to the bank.

$$\frac{1.65}{1.65} = 1 \Rightarrow 1.65 = 3.3$$



REFERENCES

APPENDIX F

REFERENCES

1. "Flood Hydrograph Package (HEC-1) Users Manual for Dam Safety Investigations", U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center, September 1979.
2. "Seasonal Variation of the Probable Maximum Precipitation, East of the 105th Meridian for Areas from 10 to 1,000 Square Miles, and Durations of 6, 12, 24 and 48 Hours", Hydrometeorological Report No. 33. Weather Bureau, U.S. Department of Commerce, April 1956.
3. "Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams", Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers, Appendix D.
4. The University of the State of New York, The State Education Department State Museum and Science Service Geological Survey -- MAP and Chart Series No. 5, Geologic MAP of New York 1961, Lower Hudson Sheet.

AD-A107 406

TIPPETTS-ABBETT-MCCARTHY-STRATTON NEW YORK F/G 13/13
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM. NORTH LAKE DAM NUMBER 3 (INVENTORY--ETC(U)
AUG 81 E O'BRIEN DACW51-81-C-0008


UNCLASSIFIED

NL

2
10
A



END
DATE
FILMED
42 81
DTIC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

OTHER DATA

APPENDIX G

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
S. ARMY DISTRICT, NEW YORK
26 FEDERAL PLAZA
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007

NANEN-F

1 May 1978

Mr. Pat J. Bresha, Deputy Supervisor
Town of North Castle
15 Bedford Road
Armonk, New York 10504

Dear Mr. Bresha:

Reference is made to your letter dated 21 January 1978 and interim conversations with Mr. John Biognardi of my staff concerning the North Lake Dam in the Town of North Castle and your request for an inspection and evaluation of this structure. On 10 April 1978 members of my staff met with Mr. Donald Jack, Town Engineer for the Town of North Castle and Mr. Ray Johnson, Building Inspector, Town of North Castle concerning this inspection.

The dam, approximately 40 years old is a combination arch and gravity and stone-faced concrete section approximately 55 feet high (1:1) and 6 feet wide at the top. The middle third arched portion contains a 10 foot wide uncontrolled spillway discharging into a discharge chamber from which the water is piped under a roadway to a point where the flow surface and continues downstream. The developed thrust on the dam is taken by abutments at either end of the arched section. The dam is tied into high ground at either end by the cantilevered wall section. Existing plans indicate the dam is anchored into bedrock or hardpan for a minimum penetration of 1.0 feet. One 12 inch diameter controlled outlet pipe extends through the dam at its base.

Visual observations revealed the dam to be structurally sound and free from extensive cracks. However, seepage stains were observed on the downstream face through the masonry mortar joints on the right side approximately half-way up the dam. This has resulted in the collecting of water down this face collecting at the toe of the dam and flowing by gravity to the topographically lowest point of the dam in the vicinity of the spillway discharge chamber. This seepage is considered minor and is estimated at less than one gal/min. A small housing development is located immediately downstream of the dam.

RAM:R-F

1 May 1978

Mr. Pat J. Deesha, Deputy Supervisor

A review of the owners' records indicates that a similar seepage problem occurred approximately 10 years ago and was treated with grout and sealed on the upstream face. The seepage in this case occurred at the top of the dam. This recently observed seepage is not considered dangerous to the structure at this time but should be corrected at the owners' earliest convenience. As the location of the seepage is sufficiently below the top of the dam to preclude utilizing the same method of grouting as previously used, it is recommended that treatment be applied instead to the downstream face. A cementitious, capillary waterproofing material should be employed to seal the mortar joints, similar to the product made available by Vandex Inc. of Stamford, Connecticut.

If we can be of any further assistance in this matter please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely yours,

J.A. WEISS
Chief, Engineering Division

INTERIM REPORT

NORTH LAKE ASSOCIATION
ARMONK, NEW YORK

DAM INSPECTION
File No. 71-145

June 11, 1971

Visual inspection by Chas. H. Sells, Inc., June 10 and 11, 1971.

DESCRIPTION

Located at the southeast end of North Lake, Windmill Farms, Armonk, New York.

A concrete, stone masonry-faced dam, approximately 500 feet in length, 35-40 feet (maximum) in height. Outward curving, in alignment, with two large formed buttresses and a center-located spillway.

There is a pipe at the foot of the dam, controlled by a wheel-operated gate valve, to permit drainage of the lake. At the outflow of the pipe, there is a formed areaway to receive water from the spillway (and/or the pipe) to prevent erosion at the base of the dam.

The dam is apparently anchored to the ledge rock evident at each end of it.

At the base of the dam, Windmill Road runs transversely across the face and perimeter of the dam, with a pipe culvert passing beneath the road from the base of the spillway to the natural stream bed, continuing to Long Pond to the southeast.

CONDITIONS EXISTENT

There is no evidence of any settlements, heaving, deflections or lateral movement of either the concrete structure, the adjacent rock ledges or the earth in the vicinity of the top or bottom of the dam.

Very minor cracking of the concrete, or separation of the stone facing is evident. Most minor cracking has been caulked in the past, as, similarly, minor repointing of the stone masonry is visible, demonstrating an active maintenance program as required.

The only wearing of concrete, (and very minor), is just below the crown of the dam, on the lake side, at high water, probably caused from some ice action. This condition is almost completely confined to the lake side of the dam at the narrow end of the lake toward the westerly end of the dam. All other concrete surfaces are weathered, apparently originally placed concrete, in sound, smooth condition.

There is no evidence of active leakage. There are possibly a half-dozen "wet" or damp areas, relatively small in size, with no visible moisture movement, and localized and minimal in extent, as evinced by no tracings of water to the base or away from the dam.


As stated, there is no evidence of erosion of earth slopes at the dam or the road at the spillway area, nor is there any evident exposure of foundation caused by prior erosions.

CONCLUSION

From the preliminary visual inspection, the dam appears to be in sound, stable condition. Except for minor caulking required, and cleaning out of the areaway of the spillway at the base of the dam, there is no recommendation for corrective action required.

The action of the gate valve was not inspected. However, the mechanism at the top of the dam appears in operable order.

It is recommended that the Final Report may only be prepared upon the availability of construction plans and other data.




EHPS:ns

Respectfully submitted,

CHAS. H. SELLS, INC.

By


Ernest H. P. Schwerin, P. E.

April 17, 1964

Mr. Raymond Johnson, Building Inspector
Town Hall
Armonk, New York

Dam - North Lake
Windmill Farms

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Pursuant to your request, I have reviewed the condition of the dam at North Lake, Windmill Farms, with respect to a wet area observed on the face near the westerly circular abutment and about ten feet below the lake water level.

First of all, it was necessary to investigate the initial stability designed in the structure. The section and dimensions used in an analysis were based on the original drawings which were loaned to you by the owners and on field measurement which indicate that several changes were made in the design during construction.

The check analysis was based on a condition where the dam acts as a single arch with fixed ends, and on the assumption that the entire cross section, including the stone facing on the downstream side, was an integral part of the arch. On this basis, the maximum and minimum stresses appear to be of the proper range for dams of this type with the maximum on the compression side 520 PSI, and on the opposite side 20 PSI, still in compression.

The seepage through the dam occurs in the vicinity of the vertical joint near the west abutment. There are indications that the mortar joints between some of the stones in the area are deteriorating. The leak, therefore, must not be ignored and could be of serious concern in the future if a further weakening of the joints should occur. Computations indicate that without the stone facing, the compressive stresses will increase about 30%, and that tensile stresses might develop.

It is recommended that the owners be urged to investigate the cause of the leak in the near future. The plans indicate that the joint is sealed by copper flashing which may have failed. The initial step might be to drop the water

Mr. Raymond Johnson

- 2 -

April 17, 1964

level gradually and observe its relation to the leak. There is also a possibility that cracks may have occurred near the joint, thus allowing the water to seep through the structure. Appropriate sealers are available for either situation.

In conclusion, it is my opinion that the leak should be remedied before another winter season.

Yours very truly,

Ralph L. MacDonald

CHAS. H. SELLS
INC.

CIVIL ENGINEERS & SURVEYORS

409 MANVILLE ROAD, PLEASANTVILLE, N. Y. 10570
914 769-1520

CHAS. H. SELLS
GEORGE R. SMITH
L. DUNCAN CUNSTED, JR.
OWEN M. QUINN, JR.
ALBERT J. BARNAN
JAMES R. SELLS
BRUCE G. SMITH
ERNEST SCHWERIN

ASSOCIATES

GEORGE S. BECKETT
PAUL R. SCHOFFER
ROBERT W. SUTHER
FRED W. HALLINGER
ARTHUR S. FEILE, JR.
ALEXANDER HART

June 17, 1971

NORTH LAKE ASSOCIATION
ARMONK, NEW YORK
DAM INSPECTION - FINAL REPORT
FILE NO. 71-145

North Lake Association
Windmill Farms
Armonk, New York 10504

Attention: Mr. Ralph A. Bankes, Sr., Vice Pres.

Gentlemen:

As requested by your Association, the Final Report on the North Lake Dam is hereby forwarded.


Thank you for the opportunity of serving you in our professional capacity. Please call upon us should you again require our assistance.

Very truly yours,

CHAS. H. SELLS, INC.

EHPS:gs

By


Ernest H. P. Schwerin, P.E.

Encs: Final Report (Triplicate)

FINAL REPORT

NORTH LAKE ASSOCIATION
ARMONK, NEW YORK

DAM INSPECTION
File No. 71-145

June 17, 1971

Examination of Available Data and Drawings, Final Report:

Contact was made with several agencies of the Town of North Castle and Westchester County which would have jurisdiction of construction in the area including the dam.

The construction pre-dated the law requiring County responsibility therefore, no plans of the construction are available from County sources.

Discussions with the Town Engineer (Mr. Edward Ahneman), Town of North Castle, revealed the existence of a report by an Engineer, Mr. Ralph L. MacDonald, dated April 17, 1964, prepared at the request of the Town Building Inspector. This report and accompanying sketch is on file at the North Castle Town offices.

According to his report, Mr. MacDonald prepared his sketch from original Construction Drawings loaned to the "owners" of the dam at that time (which drawings apparently are no longer available) and measurements made in the field by Mr. MacDonald.

Mr. MacDonald's report included both an initial stability design analysis, an inspection of an apparent leak in the dam and some deterioration in some mortar joints between the stones in the masonry facing of the dam.

The report indicated structural stability "within the proper range for dams of this type".

Recommendations for repair made in the report were apparently followed as there is little or no evidence, at this time, of any of the conditions described.

FINAL CONCLUSION:

The existence, in the official Town of North Castle files, of a relatively recent requested and accepted "stability" report and the visual inspection performed by this office (please refer to our "Interim Report" to the North Lake Association, dated June 11, 1971) is the basis then of our final conclusion: That the dam is satisfactorily providing the function for which it was designed, and that except for the minor corrective actions recommended in our "Interim Report", there are no further recommendations to be made by this office.

Respectfully submitted.

CHAS. H. SELLS, INC.

By Ernest H. P. Schwerin

Ernest H. P. Schwerin, P.E.

EHPS:gs

Encls: Drawing - Sketch of Dam

