

AD-A108 466


TENNESSEE STATE DEPT OF CONSERVATION NASHVILLE DIV 0--ETC F/G 13/13
NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS, TENNESSEE. --ETC(U)
SEP 81 G E MOORE

DACW62-81-C-0056

NI

UNCLASSIFIED


1 OF 3
434
(11/26)



1 OF 3

AD A

108466



AD A108466

NOTICE

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED FROM THE BEST COPY FURNISHED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY. ALTHOUGH IT IS RECOGNIZED THAT CERTAIN PORTIONS ARE ILLEGIBLE, IT IS BEING RELEASED IN THE INTEREST OF MAKING AVAILABLE AS MUCH INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A108466	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams, TN. Spring Lake Dam (Candlewood II) Old Hickory Dam (Candlewood III) (Inventory Number TN 06930) (Inventory Number TN06926) near Saulsbury, Tennessee, Hardeman County, TN, Hatchie River Basin		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Phase 1 Investigation Report
7. AUTHOR(s)		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Tennessee Department of Conservation Division of Water Resources 4721 Trousdale Dr., Nashville, TN 37220		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) DACW-62-81-C-0056
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS U.S. Army Engineer District, Nashville P.O. Box 1070 Nashville, TN 37202		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE September, 1981
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Dams Dam Safety National Dam Safety Program Spring Lake Dam (Candlewood II), TN Old Hickory Dam (Candlewood III), TN Saulsbury, TN Hardeman County, TN Embankments Visual Inspection Structural Analysis		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Based on the findings of a Phase I inspection of Spring Lake and Old Hickory Lake Dams in Hardeman County: Spring and Old Hickory Lake Dams are in series with each other and with Chancellor Dam upstream. The dams are of similar construction and have the same owner. Spring Lake Dam is a zoned earthfill embankment 21.5 feet high and 400 feet long with a crest width of 12 feet. The side slopes are 3H:1V upstream and 3.7H:1V downstream. The service spillway is a concrete riser with two 1' x 1' openings leading to a 15 inch concrete pipe passing under the dam. The drawdown drain is a 15 inch diameter gate.		

valve at the base of the riser. The emergency spillway is a trapezoidal earth saddle on the right abutment with an estimated capacity of 3500 cfs. Old Hickory Dam is a zoned earthfill embankment 20.5 feet high and 530 feet long with a crest width of 12 feet. The side slopes are 3.5H:1V upstream and 3.9H:1V downstream. The service spillway is a concrete riser with two 1' x 4' openings leading to a 24 inch concrete pipe passing under the dam. The draw-down drain is a 24 inch gate valve at the base of the riser. The emergency spillway is a trapezoidal earth saddle on the left abutment with an estimated capacity of 4670 cfs. Both dams are in the small size high hazard potential categories. Neither dam shows any overt signs of instability. The vegetative cover of the dams is very poor and erosion is occurring on the embankments and in the spillways. Some evidence of dispersive soils were found. Minor amounts of seepage were found below each dam, but there were no indications of the transport of embankment material. The storage/spillway capacity of the dams is considered to be adequate. The full PMF will pass the structures without overtopping if the Chancellor Dam upstream of Spring passes the PMF safely. Considering a breach of Chancellor Dam during the 1/2 PMF, the Spring Lake Dam will be overtopped. Old Hickory Lake Dam will pass the flow even if a breach of Spring is considered. Because of these findings, Spring Lake and Old Hickory Lake Dams are considered to be deficient.

Accession For	
1000	☒
2000	☐
3000	☐
4000	
5000	
6000	
7000	
8000	
9000	
10000	
11000	
12000	
13000	
14000	
15000	
16000	
17000	
18000	
19000	
20000	
21000	
22000	
23000	
24000	
25000	
26000	
27000	
28000	
29000	
30000	
31000	
32000	
33000	
34000	
35000	
36000	
37000	
38000	
39000	
40000	
41000	
42000	
43000	
44000	
45000	
46000	
47000	
48000	
49000	
50000	
51000	
52000	
53000	
54000	
55000	
56000	
57000	
58000	
59000	
60000	
61000	
62000	
63000	
64000	
65000	
66000	
67000	
68000	
69000	
70000	
71000	
72000	
73000	
74000	
75000	
76000	
77000	
78000	
79000	
80000	
81000	
82000	
83000	
84000	
85000	
86000	
87000	
88000	
89000	
90000	
91000	
92000	
93000	
94000	
95000	
96000	
97000	
98000	
99000	
100000	

A



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
NASHVILLE DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
P. O. BOX 1070
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37202

21 SEP 1972

ORND-G

IN REPLY REFER TO

Honorable Lamar Alexander
Governor of Tennessee
Nashville, TN 37219

Dear Governor Alexander:

Furnished herewith is the Phase I Investigation Report on Spring Lake and Old Hickory Dams near Saulsbury, Tennessee. The report was prepared under the authority and provisions of PL 92-367, the National Dam Inspection Act, dated 8 August 1972.

The report presents details of the field inspection, background information, technical analyses, findings, and recommendations for improving the condition of the dams.

Based upon the inspection and subsequent evaluation, Spring Lake and Old Hickory Dams are classified as deficient due to minor erosion that is occurring on the embankments and in the emergency spillways, and some seepage present on the downstream toes of the dams.

The recommendation concerning repair and stabilization of the slopes against further erosion contained in this report should be undertaken in the near future.

Public release of the report and initiation of public statements fall within your prerogative. However, under provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, the Corps of Engineers is required to respond fully to inquiries on information contained in the report and to make it accessible for review on request.

Your assistance in keeping me informed of any further developments will be appreciated.

Sincerely,

Lee W. Tucker LTC
For: LEE W. TUCKER
Colonel, Corps of Engineers
Commander

1 Incl
As stated

CF:
Mr. Robert A. Hunt, Director
Division of Water Resources
4721 Trousdale Drive
Nashville, TN 37220


PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dams Spring Lake
Old Hickory
County Hardeman
Stream Unnamed trib. of
E. Fork of Spring Creek
Date of Inspection March 10, 1981


Prepared By:


George E. Moore
Regional Engineer

Approved By:


Edmond B. O'Neill
Chief Engineer
Safe Dams Section

Approved By:


Robert A. Hunt, P.E.
Director, Division of
Water Resources
Tennessee Department
of Conservation

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Department of the Army, Office of the Chief of Engineers, Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for a Phase I investigation. The purpose of the Phase I investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of a Phase I investigation; however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In the review of this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. Additional data or data furnished containing incorrect information could alter the findings of this report. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structures and may obscure certain conditions which might be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

The analyses and recommendations included in this report are related to the hazard classification of the structure at the time of the report. Changes in conditions downstream of the dam may change the hazard classification of the structure. A change in hazard classification may in turn change the design flood on which the hydraulic and hydrologic analyses are based and may have a significant impact on the assessment of the safety of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present conditions of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspections can there be any chance that unsafe conditions will be detected.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Aerial Photograph	
Abstract	
SECTION 1 - GENERAL	
1.1 Authority	1
1.2 Purpose and Scope	1
1.3 Past Inspections	1
1.4 Details of Inspection	1
1.5 Inspection Team Members	2
SECTION 2 - PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
2.1 Location	3
2.2 Description: Spring Lake Dam	3
2.3 Description: Old Hickory Lake Dam	4
2.4 Miscellaneous	5
SECTION 3 - FINDINGS	
3.1 Visual Inspection - Spring Lake Dam	6
3.2 Visual Inspection - Old Hickory Lake Dam	7
3.3 Review of Data	8
3.4 Static and Seismic Stability	8
3.5 Hydraulic and Hydrologic Analysis	9
3.6 Conclusions and Recommendations	9
SECTION 4 - REVIEW BOARD FINDINGS	11

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX

- | | |
|---|---|
| A | Data Summary |
| B | Sketches, Location Maps, and Drawings |
| C | Photographic Record |
| D | Checklists - Visual Inspection,
Engineering Data, Soil Tests |
| E | Hydraulic and Hydrologic Data |
| F | Correspondence |

1 - Old Hickory

2 - Spring

3 - Chancellor



OVERVIEW PHOTOGRAPH

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM

Name of Dams Spring Lake
Old Hickory

County Hardeman

Stream Unnamed Trib. of
E. Fork of Spring Creek

Date of Inspection March 10, 1981

ABSTRACT

This report is based on the findings of a Phase I inspection of Spring Lake and Old Hickory Lake Dams in Hardeman County. Spring and Old Hickory Dams are in series with each other and with Chancellor Dam upstream. The dams are of similar construction and have the same owner.

Spring Lake Dam is a zoned earthfill embankment 21.5 feet high and 400 feet long with a crest width of 12 feet. The side slopes are 3H:1V upstream and 3.7H:1V downstream. The service spillway is a concrete riser with two 1' x 4' openings leading to a 15 inch concrete pipe passing under the dam. The drawdown drain is a 15 inch diameter gate valve at the base of the riser. The emergency spillway is a trapezoidal earth saddle on the right abutment with an estimated capacity of 3500 cfs.

Old Hickory Dam is a zoned earthfill embankment 20.5 feet high and 530 feet long with a crest width of 12 feet. The side slopes are 3.5H:1V upstream and 3.9H:1V downstream. The service spillway is a concrete riser with two 1' x 4' openings leading to a 24 inch concrete pipe passing under the dam. The drawdown drain is a 24 inch gate valve at the base of the riser. The emergency spillway is a trapezoidal earth saddle on the left abutment with an estimated capacity of 4670 cfs.

Both dams are in the small size, high hazard potential categories. Neither dam shows any overt signs of instability. The vegetative cover of the dams is very poor and erosion is occurring on the embankments and in the spillways. Some evidence of dispersive soils were found. Minor amounts of seepage were found below each dam, but there were no indications of the transport of embankment material. The storage/spillway capacity of the dams is considered to be

adequate. The full PMF will pass the structures without overtopping if the Chancellor Dam upstream of Spring passes the PMF safely. Considering a breach of Chancellor Dam during the $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF, the Spring Lake Dam will be overtopped. Old Hickory Lake Dam will pass the flow even if a breach of Spring is considered. Because of these findings, Spring Lake and Old Hickory Lake Dams are considered to be deficient.

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM SAFETY PROGRAM
SPRING LAKE DAM
OLD HICKORY LAKE DAM
HARDEMAN COUNTY, TENNESSEE

SECTION 1 - GENERAL

- 1.1 Authority - The Phase I inspection of this dam was carried out under the authority of Tennessee Code Annotated, Sections 70-2501 to 70-2530, The Safe Dams Act of 1973, and in cooperation with the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers under the authority of Public Law 92-367, The National Dam Inspection Act.
- 1.2 Purpose and Scope - The purpose of a Phase I investigation is to develop an engineering assessment of the general condition of a dam with respect to safety and stability. This is accomplished by conducting a visual inspection; reviewing any available design and construction data; and performing appropriate hydraulic, hydrologic, and other analyses. A comprehensive description of the Phase I investigation program is given in Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, Department of the Army, Chief of Engineers, Washington, D. C. 20314.
- 1.3 Past Inspections - Past inspections of Spring Lake and Old Hickory Lake Dams include cursory inspections by George Moore and Troy Wedekind of the Tennessee Division of Water Resources on February 14, 1979. At this time, moderately severe erosion was occurring in the emergency spillway and on the left downstream embankment abutment contact on Spring and minor erosion was occurring on the downstream slope of Old Hickory. The flap gates on the toe drains on Spring Lake were sticking and water was backing up behind them. A small spring or seep was located at the toe on the right end of Old Hickory Dam. Several inspections were made during the construction of the dams by Ed O'Neill, also of the Tennessee Division of Water Resources.
- 1.4 Miscellaneous Details - The day of the inspection was clear with an ambient temperature of about 60°F.

1.5 Inspection Team Members - The inspection was conducted by the following State personnel:

Ed O'Neill, Chief Engineer
George Moore, Regional Engineer
Bill Culbert, Water Resources Engineer
Anthony Privett, Engineering Co-op

SECTION 2 - PROJECT DESCRIPTION

2.1 Location - The projects are located in Hardeman County, Tennessee, about 4 miles east of Saulsbury, Tennessee. The dams are located on the Saulsbury topographic quadrangle (432SE) with Old Hickory Dam at $89^{\circ}01'37''$ west longitude and $35^{\circ}02'31''$ north latitude and Spring Lake Dam at $89^{\circ}01'15''$ west longitude and $35^{\circ}02'17''$ north latitude. Spring Lake Dam is located about 2500' upstream of Old Hickory Dam at the headwaters of the reservoir. Old Hickory Dam intercepts an unnamed tributary about 0.5 miles from the east fork of Spring Creek. The east fork of Spring Creek flows 6 miles to its confluence with several other streams to form the mainstem of Spring Creek.

2.2 Description: Spring Lake Dam

2.2.1 Embankment - The Spring Lake Dam is an earth embankment dam with a straight alignment. The maximum height is 19.4 feet (21.5 feet on the design plans) and the length is 460 feet (400 feet). The crest width is 12 feet. The upstream slope is about 3H:1V from the water surface to the top of the dam. The downstream slope is about 3.7H:1V (3H:1V). The slopes have a moderately good grass cover but no upstream wave protection. The dam site is located in the Claiborne and Wilcox formations of the Mississippi embayment sediments. These are irregularly bedded sands of the Tertiary Period locally interbedded with lenses and beds of gray and white clays, silty clays, lignitic clay, and lignite.

2.2.2 Service Spillway - The service spillway is a 2.5' x 4' skirted concrete riser with two 1' x 4' inlets at the water surface. The riser leads to a 15" ID concrete pipe which passes under the dam. The inlet elevation of the spillway is about 521' msl and the invert of the pipe outlet is 510.9' msl (509.5' msl). The maximum capacity of the spillway is 21 cfs.

2.2.3 Drawdown Drain - The drawdown drain is a 15" slide gate at the base of the riser. The invert elevation is 513' msl.

2.2.4 Emergency Spillway - The emergency spillway is an unlined earth saddle on the right abutment. The control section has a base of 30'. The left side slope rises at 11H:1V for 1.3 feet and increases to 3.4H:1V to the top of the dam elevation. The right side slope rises at 21H:1V for 2.5 feet and increases to 3H:1V to the top of the dam elevation. The maximum depth in the spillway is 5.2 feet which gives an outflow of about 3500 cfs. The design plans call for a trapezoidal control section with a base width of 100' and 3H:1V side slopes.

2.2.5 Reservoir and Drainage Area - The reservoir has a surface area of 11 acres and a fetch of 1100'. The normal impounding capacity of the reservoir is estimated to be 57.2 acre-feet with about 140 acre-feet of flood storage above normal pool. Forty acres of the drainage area is controlled by Chancellor Dam (TN06939). The uncontrolled area is about 147 acres giving a total drainage area of 187 acres. The uncontrolled area has a reach of about 2000 feet and a maximum relief of about 120 feet. The predominant soil groups are Ruston, Lexington, and Providence and the primary use is medium density residential development.

2.3 Description: Old Hickory Lake Dam

2.3.1 Embankment - The Old Hickory Lake Dam is an earth embankment dam with a straight alignment. The maximum height is 20.5 feet and the length is 595 feet (630 feet on the design plans). The crest width is 11 feet (12 feet). The upstream slope is 3.5H:1V (3H:1V) from the water surface to the crest. The downstream slope is about 3.9H:1V (3H:1V). The geologic setting is the same as for Spring Lake Dam. Sketches are provided in Appendix B.

2.3.2 Service Spillway - The service spillway is a 2.5' x 4' skirted concrete riser with two 1' x 4' inlets at the water surface. The riser leads to a 24" ID concrete pipe which passes under the dam. The inlet elevation of the spillway is about 504' msl and the invert of the pipe outlet is 490.5' msl. The maximum capacity of the spillway is 21 cfs.

2.3.3 Drawdown Drain - The drawdown drain is a 24" slide gate at the base of the service spillway riser. The invert elevation is 496' msl.

2.3.4 Emergency Spillway - The emergency spillway is an uncontrolled earth saddle on the left abutment. The control section is approximately trapezoidal with a base of 130 feet and side slopes of 3.3H:1V on the right and 3H:1V on the left. The base of the spillway is slightly elevated on the left side. The design plans call for the control section to have a 100' wide level base and 3H:1V slopes on each side.

2.3.5 Reservoir and Drainage Area - The reservoir has a surface area of about 28 acres and a fetch of about 1200 feet. The normal capacity of the reservoir is estimated to be about 153 acre-feet with about 220 acre-feet of flood storage above normal pool. Spring Lake Dam controls 187 acres of the drainage area. The uncontrolled area is 167 acres giving a total drainage area of 354 acres. The uncontrolled area has a reach of about 1300 feet and maximum relief of 120 feet. The predominant soil groups are Ruston, Lexington, and Providence and the primary use is medium density residential development.

2.4 Downstream Hazard Potential - Spring Lake and Old Hickory Lake Dams have been assigned a downstream hazard potential classification of high. The stream leading from Old Hickory Dam passes through a portion of the Candlewood subdivision. Two lots in the downstream area are occupied and several others are in various stages of development. The stream also crosses a mainline of Southern Railway and State Hwy 57. Spring Lake Dam is immediately upstream of Old Hickory Lake.

2.5 Miscellaneous - The dams are currently owned by the Candlewood Lakes Property Owner's Association (W. J. Arnold, President). The dams were built in 1976 as recreational lakes for the Candlewood Subdivision being developed by Terra Aqua Corporation. The dams were designed by Ragon Engineering Company with soils testing subcontracted to Construction Materials Lab, Inc. The construction was performed by S & W Construction Company. No major repairs have been reported since construction. Certificates of Operation were issued by the State in 1977. Ownership of the dams was turned over to the Property Owner's Association in 1979. No instrumentation was found on either dam.

SECTION 3 - FINDINGS

3.1 Visual Inspection - Spring Lake Dam

3.1.1 Embankment - The upstream slope of the dam is in good condition with a fair covering of grass. A berm at the water surface is the only wave protection and some minor sloughing has occurred (photo no. 2). The crest has almost no cover but appears to be in good condition. Vehicular traffic has been restricted from the crest. The downstream slope is eroding and in some areas the erosion is becoming significant. Jug holes indicative of dispersive soils were found in some areas (photo nos. 4-8). Minor erosion is also occurring along the embankment abutment contacts (photo nos. 19 & 20). A hand auger sample of the embankment material is a clayey sand of group SC in the Unified Classification System.

The flapgates on the toe drain outlets were stuck closed and the left drain had backed up a considerable amount of water (photo nos. 12 & 13). Some sediment was visible in the flow from the left drain after the gate was opened (photo no. 14). The toe of the embankment was damp below the level of the toe drain and a saturated area with swamp grass was located about 30 feet left of the service spillway.

3.1.2 Service Spillway - A thorough inspection of the service spillway system could not be made but the visible portions of the spillway appeared in generally good condition. A few cracked spots were found on the outlet of the pipe. A small depression was found in the fill above the pipe near the toe of the embankment. It could not be determined if the depression was related to the spillway (photo nos. 9-11).

3.1.3 Emergency Spillway - The emergency spillway has almost no protective grass covering and erosion has occurred on both the side slopes and in the base of the channel (photo nos. 15-18).

3.1.4 Drawdown Drain - The drawdown drain was not operated during the inspection and no information indicating the last date of operation could be located. The lift wheel was on the lift stem (photo no. 10).

3.1.4 The right side of the emergency spillway control section is formed by embankment fill material. The fill has a narrow crest (15') and would probably erode rapidly under high stage flows (photo no. 15).

3.1.5 The service spillway is a concrete culvert pipe passing through the embankment above the water level at the time of the inspection. The pipe joint was open about 1 inch. The pipe has a headwall on each end. Fill does not completely cover the pipe. Flow from the pipe drops into a small concrete chute. The maximum capacity of the chute is less than 3 cfs while the maximum capacity of the pipe is about 48 cfs. The flow will, therefore, overflow the chute and impinge upon the embankment (photo nos. 7-11).

3.1.6 According to OCE guidelines, the dam is in the small size and high hazard potential classifications. As such, the structure is required to pass the one-half to the full probable maximum flood (PMF). The volume of inflow during the PMF is 83.7 acre-feet. Analysis indicates that the structure can pass the AMC II PMF with no freeboard. Slight overtopping will occur during the PMF under AMC III conditions. Routing of the 100-year storm produced flow of .9 feet in the emergency spillway and the $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF produced about 1.9 feet of flow in the emergency spillway.

3.1.7 The project is located in Seismic Zone 2.

3.1.8 This dam is in the high hazard potential classification as outlined in the OCE guidelines. Failure of the dam could affect two house trailers and Spring and Old Hickory Dams which are also considered high hazard. During the $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF, Spring Lake Dam would be expected to fail but the storm will be contained by Old Hickory Dam. However, an excessive loading of silt and debris would occur.

3.1.9 The measured configuration of the dam differs markedly from the design plans. The longitudinal alignment of the dam has been changed from linear to sinusoidal. Plans call for 1V:3H side slopes but the measured slopes are 1V:2.4H upstream and 1V:2.3H downstream. The designed emergency spillway is a trapezoidal channel cut

3.1.5 Downstream Channel - The spillways empty almost directly into the Old Hickory Lake (photo no. 22).

3.1.6 Reservoir and Drainage Area - No indications of excessive sedimentation were seen. The water has high turbidity. Development of the drainage area is continuing with the entire area being planned for 1/3 acre residential lots.

3.2 Visual Inspection - Old Hickory Lake Dam

3.2.1 Embankment - The upstream slope is in generally good condition. Minor erosion is occurring along the water surface. One small jug hole was found near the right end of the dam on the upstream slope. The grass cover of the upstream slope is moderate to poor. The crest has almost no cover. Several small depressions, about 2 feet in diameter and 6 inches deep, were located on the downstream edge of the crest (photo no. 24).

Erosion is occurring on the lower portion of the downstream slope with some 2 to 3 feet deep gullies. Representatives of the owner stated that repairs have been required on the downstream slope because of erosion. The lower 1/2 to 2/3 of the slope is muddy probably due to water being retained in poorly compacted fill in repaired areas. Several pockets of mud up to 3 feet deep were found. Jug holes, indicative of dispersive soils, were seen in some areas of the slope (photo nos. 25-28). A hand auger sample of the embankment material is silty sandy clay of group CL in the Unified Classification System.

The left toe drain was carrying a very slight flow (less than .5 gpm) and the right toe drain had no flow. Dry sediment has collected in the invert of the right toe drain (photo no. 32).

3.2.2 Service Spillway - A thorough inspection of the service spillway could not be made, but the visible portions appeared to be in good condition. Some minor erosion has occurred in the fill around the pipe near the outlet (photo no. 31).

3.2.3 Emergency Spillway - The emergency spillway has almost no protective grass covering. Some erosion has occurred on the slopes and in the base but the erosion is generally minor (photo nos. 29 & 30).

3.2.4 Drawdown Drain - The drawdown drain was not operated during the inspection and no records indicating its last usage were available.

3.2.5 Downstream Channel - The downstream channel appeared to be in good condition with no accumulated debris or obstructions (photo no. 33).

3.2.6 Reservoir and Drainage Area - No indications of excessive sedimentation were seen. The water has moderately high turbidity with a brownish green color and visibility of about 1 foot. Development of the drainage area is continuing with the entire area being planned as 1/3 acre residential lots.

3.3 Review of Data - Design plans were provided by James H. Ragon and are included in Appendix G of this report.

The crest elevation of Spring Lake Dam appears to be about 1 foot less than specified on the plans. The emergency spillway has been deformed somewhat by the washing of material from the side slopes into the base of the channel, but it appears that the originally constructed channel probably was not the full 100 foot width specified on the plans.

The only variations from the design plans on Old Hickory are more conservative than the original design. The embankment slopes are less than specified and the emergency spillway is 30 feet wider than on the plans.

Except as stated, the dams appear to be in substantial compliance with the design plans.

3.4 Static and Seismic Stability - The stability of the dams was not analyzed due to the lack of appropriate engineering data. Visual assessment and engineering judgment indicate a stable structure due to the moderate slopes and only minor amounts of seepage;

however, erosion and dispersion, if left unchecked, could eventually undermine the stability of the embankments. The dams are located in seismic zone 2.

- 3.5 Hydraulic and Hydrologic Analysis - According to OCE guidelines, the dams are in the small size and high hazard potential classifications. As such, the structures are required to pass the one-half to the full probable maximum flood (PMF). Outflows from Chancellor and Son Dam (TN06939) are considered in this analysis and appropriate calculations are included in Appendix E. Analysis indicates that under antecedent moisture condition II (AMC II) the dams will pass the full PMF with 1.0 feet of freeboard on Spring and 0.8 feet of freeboard on Old Hickory.

A routing of the $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF (AMC II) assuming a breach of Chancellor and Son Dam was performed. The analysis indicated that the storm would overtop Spring Lake Dam. Old Hickory Dam was able to contain the storm, assuming a breach of Spring Lake Dam.

3.6 Conclusions and Recommendations

3.6.1 Conclusions

a. The dams are considered to be inadequate with respect to hydraulic and hydrologic considerations. Routing of the $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF (AMC II) assuming a breach of Chancellor Dam will overtop Spring Lake Dam. The $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF will pass Old Hickory Dam. The PMF (AMC III) will overtop Chancellor, Spring, and Old Hickory Dams.

Analysis indicates that if the PMF (AMC II) is passed by Chancellor Dam, Spring and Old Hickory Dams have sufficient storage/spillway capacity to pass the storm. The inadequacies of this system appear to be dependent upon Chancellor Dam. A Phase I investigation report has been written concerning Chancellor Dam and the report includes recommendations concerning remedial action on the spillways of Chancellor Dam. Therefore, no recommendations concerning the storage/spillway capacity of Spring and Old Hickory Dams are offered.

b. The cause of the pockets of mud on Old Hickory Dam could not be determined but the most probable cause is the repair of old jug holes or erosion with uncompacted fill.

c. The erosion and jugging do not appear to be a serious problem at this time. Continued neglect of this situation could allow its eventual escalation into a serious condition.

d. No overt signs of instability were observed. The seismic resistance of these structures is unknown, but under this program, dams in seismic zone 2 may be assumed adequate under seismic loading if judged adequate in static stability requirements.

e. Some seepage appears to be occurring on the downstream toe of the dams but no indications of the transport of embankment material was seen. The improper functioning of the toe drain flap gates on Spring Lake Dam could increase the possibility of surface emerging seepage on that dam.

f. Based on the above mentioned conclusions, Spring Lake and Old Hickory Lake Dams are considered to be deficient.

3.6.2 Recommendations

a. A qualified engineer should be engaged to:

1) Check the embankment soils for dispersion and, if necessary, make recommendations for treatment.

2) Repair the erosion on the embankments and in the spillways. All areas should be stabilized by a soil binding grass cover.

b. The toe drains should be checked regularly to ensure proper functioning.

c. A program of routine maintenance and periodic inspection should be established for the dams. This should include monitoring any possible areas of seepage for changes which might indicate a deterioration of the embankment.

d. An emergency action plan should be developed for notifying downstream residents in the event potentially hazardous situations arise.

SECTION 4 REVIEW BOARD FINDINGS

The Interagency Review Board for the National Program of Inspection of Non-Federal Dams met in Nashville on 9 July to examine the technical data contained in the Phase I investigation report on Spring Lake and Old Hickory Dams. The Review Board considered the information and recommended that (1) the muddy conditions that exist on the lower $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{2}{3}$ of the downstream slope be described in more detail and give possible causes for this condition, (2) the consequences to Old Hickory and Spring Lake Dams should Chancellor and Son Dam fail during the $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF should be included in the report, (3) the possible causes of the mud pockets should be included in the report, (4) a qualified engineer should check the embankment soils for dispersive properties, and (5) the condition classification should be changed from "significantly deficient" to "deficient". They agreed with other report conclusions and recommendations. A copy of the letter report presented by the Review Board is included in Appendix F.

APPENDIX A
DATA SUMMARY

APPENDIX A
DATA SUMMARY

A.1 Spring Lake Dam

A.1.1 Dam

A.1.1.1 Type - Zoned earthfill, linear alignment dam with a concrete pipe service spillway and drawdown drain; a vegetated earth emergency spillway.

A.1.1.2 Dimensions and Elevations - (Elevation taken from design plans. Field measurements, shown parenthetically if different from design plans, are referenced to the water surface of Old Hickory Lake.)

- a. Crest length - 400' (460')
- b. Crest width - 12'
- c. Height - 21.5' (19.4')
- d. Crest elevation - 531' msl (530.2')
- e. Service spillway elevation - 521' msl
- f. Emergency spillway elevation - 525' msl (524.9')
- g. Embankment slope, U/S - 3H:1V
- h. Embankment slope, D/S - 3H:1V (3.7H:1V)
- i. Size classification - Small

A.1.1.3 Zones - (Fill material given as per unified classification system.)

- a. Core material - CL
- b. Core slopes (max.) - $\frac{1}{2}$ H:1V
- c. U/S zone material - Random fill
- d. D/S zone (1) material - Random fill
- e. D/S zone (1) slopes (max.) - $1\frac{1}{2}$ H:1V
- f. D/S zone (2) material - SP or SC

A.1.1.4 Cutoff Trench - (Filled as part of core.)

- a. Base width - 10'
- b. Side slope - 2H:1V
- c. Bottom elevation - 497'

A.1.1.5 Grout Curtains - None

A.1.2 Reservoir and Drainage Area

A.1.2.1 Reservoir - (Normal pool elevation 521' msl, 10' below the effective crest of the dam.)

- a. Surface area - 11 acres
- b. Fetch - 1100'
- c. Capacity (normal) - 57.2 acre-feet
- d. Capacity (top of dam) - 197.2 acre-feet

A.1.2.2 Drainage Area

- a. Size: Controlled - 40 acres
Uncontrolled - 147 acres
Total - 187 acres
- b. Maximum relief - 120'
- c. Soil - Ruston (B), Lexington (B), Providence (C)
- d. Cover - Medium density residential
- e. Runoff (P_{100}) - 65 acre-feet
- f. Runoff (PMF) - 405 acre-feet

A.1.3 Outlet Structures

A.1.3.1 Drawdown Drain - (Gate valve at base of service spillway riser.)

- a. Valve diameter - 15"
- b. Invert elevation - 513' msl

A.1.3.2 Service Spillway - (Concrete riser connected to a concrete pipe with concrete anti-seep collars.)

- a. Inlet size - 1' x 4' (2)
- b. Pipe diameter - 15"
- c. Pipe length - 148'
- d. Gradient - 1%
- e. Anti-seep collars, size - 6" x 8' x 8'
- f. Anti-seep collars numbers and spacing - 5 @ 12'
- g. Spillway capacity - 21 cfs

A.1.3.3 Emergency Spillway - (Trapezoidal, vegetated earth saddle on the right abutment.)

- a. Base width - 100'
- b. Side slope - 3V:1H
- c. Control section length - 30'
- d. Entrance slope - 6%
- e. Exit slope - 2%

Field measurements of the emergency spillway are as follows:

- f. Base width - 30'
- g. Left side slope: bottom - 1V:11H
top - 1V:3.4H
- h. Right side slope: bottom - 1V:21H
top - 1V:3H
- i. Capacity - 3500 cfs

A.2 Old Hickory Lake Dam

A.2.1 Dam

A.2.1.1 Type - Zoned earthfill, linear alignment dam with a concrete pipe service spillway and drawdown drain and a vegetated earth emergency spillway.

A.2.1.2 Dimensions and Elevations - (Elevation taken from design plans. Field measurements, shown parenthetically if different from design plans, are referenced to TBM, 516.14' msl, in tree on left abutment.)

- a. Crest length - 630' (594')
- b. Crest width - 12' (11')
- c. Height - 20.5'
- d. Crest elevation - 511' msl
- e. Service spillway elevation - 504' msl
- f. Emergency spillway elevation - 505.5' msl (506')
- g. Embankment slope, U/S - 1V:3H (1V:3.5H)
- h. Embankment slope, D/S - 1V:3H (1V:3.9H)
- i. Size classification - Small

A.2.1.3 Zones - (Fill material given as per unified classification system.)

- a. Core material - CL
- b. Core slopes (max.) - $\frac{1}{2}$ V:1H
- c. U/S zone material - random fill
- d. D/S zone (1) material - random fill
- e. D/S zone (1) slopes (max.) - $\frac{1}{2}$ V:1H
- f. D/S zone (2) material - SP or SC

A.2.1.4 Cutoff Trench - (Filled as part of core.)

- a. Base width - 10'
- b. Side slopes - 2V:1H
- c. Bottom elevation - 469' msl (approx.)

A.2.1.5 Grout Curtains - None

A.2.2 Reservoir and Drainage Area

A.2.2.1 Reservoir - (Normal pool elevation 504' msl, 7' below the effective crest of the dam.)

- a. Surface area - 28 acres
- b. Fetch - 1200'
- c. Capacity (normal) - 153 acre-feet
- d. Capacity (top of dam) - 373 acre-feet

A.2.2.2 Drainage Area - (Part of area controlled by Spring Lake Dam.)

- a. Size: Controlled - 187 acres
Uncontrolled - 167 acres
Total - 354 acres
- b. Maximum relief - 120'
- c. Soil - Ruston (B), Lexington (B), Providence (C)
- d. Cover - Medium density residential
- e. Runoff (P_{100}) - 125 acre-feet
- f. Runoff (PMF) - 773 acre-feet

A.2.3 Outlet Structures

A.2.3.1 Drawdown Drain - (Gate valve at base of service spillway riser.)

- a. Valve diameter - 24 inches
- b. Invert elevation - 496' msl

A.2.3.2 Service Spillway - (Concrete riser connected to concrete pipe with concrete anti-seep collars.)

- a. Inlet size - 1' x 4' (2)
- b. Pipe diameter - 24"
- c. Pipe length - 132'
- d. Gradient - 4%
- e. Anti-seep collars, size - 6" x 8' x 8'
- f. Anti-seep collars numbers and spacing - 5 @ 16'
- g. Spillway capacity - 20 cfs

A.2.3.3 Emergency Spillway - (Trapezoidal, vegetated earth saddle on left abutment.)

- a. Base width - 100'
- b. Side slopes - 1V:3H

- c. Control section length - 30'
- d. Entrance slope - 4.7%
- e. Exit slope - 4.7%

Field measurements of the emergency spillway are as follows:

- f. Base width - 130'
- g. Left side slope - 1V:3H
- h. Right side slope - 1V:3.3H
- i. Slope of base (increasing to the left) - .5%
- j. Capacity - 4670 cfs


A.3 Historical Data


- A.3.1 Construction Date - 1977
- A.3.2 Designer - Ragon Engineering Company
Bolivar, Tennessee
- A.3.3 Soils Testing - Construction Materials
Lab, Inc., Jackson, Tennessee
- A.3.4 Builder - S & W Construction Company
Memphis, Tennessee
- A.3.5 Developer - Terra Aqua Corporation
- A.3.6 Owner - Candlewood Lakes Property Owner's
Assn., W. J. Arnold, President
- A.3.7 Previous Inspections - February 1979
- A.3.8 Seismic Zone - 2

A.4 Downstream Hazard Data

- A.4.1 Downstream Hazard Potential Classification
 - a. Corps of Engineers - High
 - b. State of Tennessee - 1
- A.4.2 Persons in Probable Flood Path -
Currently 0; potential of up to about 20 due to
ongoing development downstream.
- A.4.3 Downstream Property - Hwy 57, mainline
Southern Railroad about .6 miles downstream
- A.4.4 Warning Systems - None

APPENDIX B
SKETCHES, LOCATION MAPS, AND DRAWINGS





SAULSBURY, TENN.

N 3500-W 6900/7.5


432-SE

EDITION OF 1952

SCALE 1:24000


CONTOUR INTERVAL 20 FEET

DATUM IS MEAN SEA LEVEL



GENERAL PLAN
SCALE 1" = 100'

SPRING DAM	
DRAWN BY: ADP	
DATE: 6/29/81	
SHEET: 1 OF 5	



MAXIMUM SECTION


SCALE 1"=20'

SPRING DAM	
DRAWN BY	ADP
DATE	7/1/81
SHEET	2 OF 5

SPRING DAM	
DRAWN BY	ADP
DATE	7/1/81
SHEET	3 OF 5

EMERGENCY SPILLWAY CONTROL SECTION A-A


SCALE 1"=20'



EL 520.9

EL 524.9

EL 521.6




EMERGENCY SPILLWAY PROFILE

SCALE 1" = 30'

SPRING DAM
DRAWN BY : ADP
DATE : 7/1/81
SHEET: 4 OF 5


SPRING DAM	DRAWN BY ADP
	DATE 7/1/81
	SHEET 5 OF 5



CREST & PROFILE


H. SCALE 1" = 100'
V. SCALE 1" = 10'

*END OF DAM



GENERAL PLAN
SCALE 1"=100'

OLD HICKORY DAM	
DRAWN BY: A D P	
DATE: 7/1/81	
SHEET: 1 OF 5	



MAXIMUM SECTION
SCALE 1" = 20'


OLD HICKORY DAM			
DRAWN BY	ADP	DATE	7/1/81
SHEET	2 OF 5		

EL 510.2
EL 506.9
EL 506.0

EL 506.5

EL 507.3


EL 512.6



EMERGENCY SPILLWAY CONTROL SECTION A-A

SCALE 1" = 20'

OLD HICKORY DAM	
DRAWN BY	ADP
DATE	7/1/81
SHEET	3 OF 5




EMERGENCY SPILLWAY PROFILE

SCALE 1" = 25'

OLD HICKORY DAM			
DRAWN BY	ADP		
DATE	7/1/81		
SHEET	4 OF 5		

OLD HICKORY DAM		
DRAWN BY:	ADP	
DATE:	7/1/81	
SHEET:	5 OF 5	

CREST & PROFILE
H. SCALE 1"=100'
V. SCALE 1"=10'



* END OF DAM

APPENDIX C
PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD

Photographic Record

Spring Lake

Photo No. 1 - Overview photo of Spring Lake Dam showing erosion on the downstream slope and in the spillway on the right abutment.

Photo No. 2 - The upstream slope from the left abutment.

Photo No. 3 - The downstream slope from the left abutment.

Photo No. 4 - Another overview of the dam showing more clearly the erosion of the downstream slope.

Photo No. 5 - Inlet to a possible jug hole on the downstream slope.

Photo Nos. 6-8 - Erosion occurring on the downstream slope.

Photo No. 9 - A depression occurring in the fill above the service spillway pipe near the toe.

Photo No. 10 - The service spillway riser.

Photo No. 11 - The service spillway outlet.

Photo No. 12 - The right toe drain outlet with the flap gate forced shut by the thick grass.

Photo No. 13 - The left toe drain outlet with the flap gate stuck and water flowing from a hole behind the gate.

Photo No. 14 - The left toe drain after the gate was forced open. Note sediment in stilling basin.

Photo No. 15 - The entrance channel of the emergency spillway.

Photo No. 16 - The control section of the emergency spillway. Note erosion of the right side slope.

Photo No. 17 - Erosion on the left side slope of the emergency spillway.

Photo No. 18 - The exit channel of the emergency spillway.

Photo Nos. 19 & 20 - Erosion occurring along the left downstream embankment abutment contact.

Photo No. 21 - Standing water near the toe below the left embankment abutment contact.

Old Hickory Lake

Photo No. 22 - The upstream end of Old Hickory Lake from the toe of Spring Lake.

Photo No. 23 - Overview photo of Old Hickory Lake.

Photo No. 24 - The upstream slope of the dam from the right abutment.

Photo No. 25 - The downstream slope of the dam from the right abutment.

Photo No. 26 - A depression possibly due to jugging on the downstream slope.

Photo No. 27 - Erosion on the downstream slope.

Photo No. 28 - A muddy area on the downstream slope.

Photo No. 29 - The entrance channel of the emergency spillway.

Photo No. 30 - The exit channel of the emergency spillway.

Photo No. 31 - The service spillway outlet and right toe drain outlet.

Photo No. 32 - The right toe drain outlet showing an accumulation of dry sediment.

Photo No. 33 - The downstream area from the toe of the dam.



PHOTO NO.1



PHOTO NO.2



PHOTO NO. 3



PHOTO NO. 4



PHOTO NO. 5



PHOTO NO. 6



PHOTO NO. 7



PHOTO NO. 8



PHOTO NO. 9



PHOTO NO. 10



PHOTO NO .11




PHOTO NO .12



PHOTO NO .13



PHOTO NO .14



PHOTO NO. 15



PHOTO NO. 16



PHOTO NO. 17



PHOTO NO. 18



PHOTO NO.19



PHOTO NO.20



PHOTO NO .21




PHOTO NO .22



PHOTO NO .23




PHOTO NO .24



PHOTO NO .25




PHOTO NO .26




PHOTO NO.27



PHOTO NO.28



PHOTO NO .29



PHOTO NO .30




PHOTO NO .31



PHOTO NO .32



PHOTO NO.33

APPENDIX D
CHECKLISTS - VISUAL INSPECTION,
ENGINEERING DATA, SOIL TESTS

Check List
Visual Inspection of Earth Dams
Department of Conservation
Division of Water Resources

Name of Dam Spring

County Hardeman Date of Inspection 3/10/81

ID # - State 35-7026 Federal TN06930

Type of Dam Earth

Hazard Category-Federal High State 1

Weather Clear, light winds Temperature 55°

Pool at Time of Inspection .1' above NPL (distance from crest)

Tailwater at Time of Inspection _____ (distance from stream bed)

Design/As Built Drawings Available: Yes X No _____

Location: TDWR

Copy Obtained: Yes _____ No _____

Reviewed: Yes _____ No _____

Construction History Available: Yes X No _____

Location: TDWR

Copy Obtained: Yes _____ No _____

Reviewed: Yes _____ No _____

Other Records and Reports Available: Yes X No _____

Location: _____

Copy Obtained: Yes _____ No _____

Reviewed: Yes _____ No _____

Prior Incidents or Failures: Yes _____ No X

Inspection Personnel and Affiliation:

Ed O'Neill - TDWR _____

George Moore - TDWR _____

Bill Culbert - TDWR _____

Anthony Privett - TDWR _____

I. Embankment

A. Crest

Description (1st inspection) Straight

1. Longitudinal Alignment O.K.

2. Longitudinal Surface Cracks None seen

3. Transverse Surface Cracks None seen

4. General Condition of Surface Good with sparse
vegetation.

5. Miscellaneous Signs prohibiting traffic.

B. Upstream Slope

1. Undesirable Growth or Debris None

2. Sloughing, Subsidence, or Depressions _____

Minor erosion at water surface.

3. Slope Protection Vegetation only; berm at water
surface.

a. Condition of Riprap None

b. Durability of Individual Stones N/A

c. Adequacy of Slope Protection Against Waves
and Runoff O.K.

d. Gradation of Slope Protection - Localized Areas
of Fine Material N/A

4. Surface Cracks None seen

C. Downstream Slope

1. Undesirable Growth or Debris None

2. Sloughing, Subsidence, or Depressions; Abnormal
Bulges or Non-Uniformity Some erosion excessive in
some areas; possible dispersion 50' right of service
spillway half way up the slope.
3. Surface Cracks on Face of Slope None seen
4. Surface Cracks or Evidence of Heaving at
Embankment Toe None seen
5. Wet or Saturated Areas or Other Evidence of Seepage
on Face of Slope; Evidence of "Piping" or "Boils"
Damp below level of toe drain; swamp grass and
saturated ground about 30 feet left of spillway
below railroad tie.
6. Drainage System Outlet flaps stuck; some sediment
in flow when left flap first opened.
7. Fill Contact with Outlet Structure Erosion around
pipe and one small hole above pipe near toe.
8. Condition of Grass Slope Protection
Sparse in some areas.

D. Abutments

1. Erosion of Contact of Embankment with Abutment from
Surface Water Runoff, Upstream or Downstream _____
Slight erosion; gully at left downstream; spoil
area or dozer pile caving in.

2. Springs or Indications of Seepage Along Contact of
Embankment with the Abutments _____ None seen

3. Springs or Indications of Seepage in Areas a Short
Distance Downstream of Embankment - Abutment Tie-in
None seen

II. Area Downstream of Embankment, Including Channel

A. Localized Subsidence, Depressions, Sinkholes, Etc. _____

Old Hickory Lake backs up to Spring.

B. Evidence of "Piping", "Boils", or "Seepage" _____

None seen

C. Unusual Presence of Lush Growth, such as Swamp
Grass, etc. None

D. Unusual Muddy Water in Downstream Channel None

E. Sloughing or Erosion Minor erosion.

F. Surface Cracks or Evidence of Heaving Beyond
Embankment Toe None seen

G. Stability of Channel Sideslopes O.K.

H. Condition of Channel Slope Protection N/A

I. Adequacy of Slope Protection Against Waves, Currents,
and Surface Runoff O.K.

J. Miscellaneous

K. Condition of Relief Wells, Drains, and Other
Appurtenances None

L. Unusual Increase or Decrease in Discharge from
Relief Wells None

III. Instrumentation - None

A. Monumentation/Surveys _____

B. Observation Wells _____

C. Weirs _____

D. Piezometers _____

E. Other _____

IV. Spillways

A. Service Spillway (Service/Emergency Combination Yes No X)

1. Intake Structure Condition Good; slightly off
plumb.

2. Outlet Structure Condition O.K.; end of pipe cracked.

3. Pipe Condition O.K. at outlet.

4. Evidence of Leakage or Piping None

5. General Remarks _____

B. Emergency Spillway

1. General Condition O.K.

2. Entrance Channel Erosion

3. Control Section Erosion on side slopes.

3. Exit Channel Erosion

4. Vegetative/Woody Cover Sparse cover.

5. Other Observations

V. Emergency Drawdown Facilities (if part of service spillway
so state) Valve on service spillway riser.

Are Facilities Operable: Yes No Unknown

Were Facilities Operated During Inspection: Yes No X

Date Facilities Were Last Used Unknown

VI. Reservoir

A. Slopes O.K.

B. Sedimentation Minor

C. Turbidity High

VII. Drainage Area

Description (for hydrologic analysis) _____

Primarily low density residence; woods.

A. Changes in Land Use _____

VIII. Downstream Area (Stream)

A. Condition (obstructions, debris, etc.) _____

Old Hickory Lake

B. Slopes _____

C. Approximate No. Homes, Population, and Distance D/S

D. Other Hazards _____

IX. Miscellaneous

Incidents/Failures None

Observed Geology of Area Sand

X. Conclusions

Deficient due to erosion.

XI. Recommendations

Operate gate.

Improve grass.

Fill erosion.

Monitor hole above pipe.

Leslie S. Moore
Regional Engineer

Chief Engineer

Check List
Visual Inspection of Earth Dams
Department of Conservation
Division of Water Resources

Name of Dam Old Hickory

County Hardeman Date of Inspection 3/10/81

ID # - State 35-7030 Federal TN 06926

Type of Dam Earth

Hazard Category-Federal _____ State _____

Weather Clear, light winds Temperature 55°

Pool at Time of Inspection About NPL (distance from crest)

Tailwater at Time of Inspection 0 (distance from stream bed)

Design/As Built Drawings Available: Yes X No _____

Location: TDWR

Copy Obtained: Yes X No _____

Reviewed: Yes X No _____

Construction History Available: Yes X No _____

Location: TDWR

Copy Obtained: Yes X No _____

Reviewed: Yes X No _____

Other Records and Reports Available: Yes X No _____

Location: _____

Copy Obtained: Yes _____ No _____

Reviewed: Yes _____ No _____

Prior Incidents or Failures: Yes _____ No X

Inspection Personnel and Affiliation:

Ed O'Neill - TDWR _____

George Moore - TDWR _____

Bill Culbert - TDWR _____

Anthony Privett - TDWR _____

I. Embankment

A. Crest

Description (1st inspection) Straight

1. Longitudinal Alignment O.K.

2. Longitudinal Surface Cracks None seen

3. Transverse Surface Cracks None seen

4. General Condition of Surface Good, almost no
cover.

5. Miscellaneous Several small depressions near D/S
edge about 2' square and about 6" deep.

B. Upstream Slope

1. Undesirable Growth or Debris None

2. Sloughing, Subsidence, or Depressions Minor erosion.

3. Slope Protection Very sparse vegetation.

a. Condition of Riprap None

b. Durability of Individual Stones N/A

c. Adequacy of Slope Protection Against Waves
and Runoff Good; some erosion near left end.

d. Gradation of Slope Protection - Localized Areas
of Fine Material N/A

4. Surface Cracks None seen; one very small jug hole
outlet about 2' below inlet.

C. Downstream Slope

1. Undesirable Growth or Debris None

2. Sloughing, Subsidence, or Depressions; Abnormal
Bulges or Non-Uniformity Excessive erosion; 2' to
3' gullies and jugging; very soft mud in spots up to
3' deep 1/3 - 2/3 D/S.
3. Surface Cracks on Face of Slope None seen.
4. Surface Cracks or Evidence of Heaving at
Embankment Toe None seen.
5. Wet or Saturated Areas or Other Evidence of Seepage
on Face of Slope; Evidence of "Piping" or "Boils"
Lower part of slope extremely muddy in comparison
with other areas.
6. Drainage System Dry sediment in right pipe; no
flow right, slight flow in left.
7. Fill Contact with Outlet Structure Minor erosion.
8. Condition of Grass Slope Protection Sparse

Vent pipe on each end; check plans.

D. Abutments

1. Erosion of Contact of Embankment with Abutment from
Surface Water Runoff, Upstream or Downstream _____
Minor erosion.

2. Springs or Indications of Seepage Along Contact of
Embankment with the Abutments Wet along lower part
of embankment abutment on each side.

3. Springs or Indications of Seepage in Areas a Short
Distance Downstream of Embankment - Abutment Tie-in

II. Area Downstream of Embankment, Including Channel

A. Localized Subsidence, Depressions, Sinkholes, Etc. _____

None seen.

B. Evidence of "Piping", "Boils", or "Seepage" _____

Entire area below toe wet but no evidence of flow.

C. Unusual Presence of Lush Growth, such as Swamp

Grass, etc. In wet area; see B.

D. Unusual Muddy Water in Downstream Channel None seen

E. Sloughing or Erosion None seen

F. Surface Cracks or Evidence of Heaving Beyond

Embankment Toe None seen

G. Stability of Channel Sideslopes Good

H. Condition of Channel Slope Protection None

I. Adequacy of Slope Protection Against Waves, Currents,
and Surface Runoff O.K.

J. Miscellaneous

K. Condition of Relief Wells, Drains, and Other
Appurtenances None

L. Unusual Increase or Decrease in Discharge from
Relief Wells None

III. Instrumentation - None

A. Monumentation/Surveys _____

B. Observation Wells _____

C. Weirs _____

D. Piezometers _____

E. Other _____

IV. Spillways

A. Service Spillway (Service/Emergency Combination Yes ___ No X)

1. Intake Structure Condition O.K.

2. Outlet Structure Condition O.K.

3. Pipe Condition Good at outlet.

4. Evidence of Leakage or Piping None

5. General Remarks _____

B. Emergency Spillway

1. General Condition Good

2. Entrance Channel Minor erosion.

3. Control Section Eroding side slopes.

3. Exit Channel Some erosion.

4. Vegetative/Woody Cover Almost non-existent.

5. Other Observations _____

V. Emergency Drawdown Facilities (if part of service spillway
so state) On service spillway riser.

Are Facilities Operable: Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown

Were Facilities Operated During Inspection: Yes ☐ No ☒

Date Facilities Were Last Used

VI. Reservoir

A. Slopes O.K.

B. Sedimentation Minor

C. Turbidity Brownish green; about 2' visibility.

VII. Drainage Area

Description (for hydrologic analysis) Part controlled
by Spring; remainder medium density residential
wooded lots.

A. Changes in Land Use _____

VIII. Downstream Area (Stream)

A. Condition (obstructions, debris, etc.) Trees

B. Slopes Almost flat.

C. Approximate No. Homes, Population, and Distance D/S

Numerous lots being developed.

D. Other Hazards Hwy 57; mainline Southern Railroad.

IX. Miscellaneous

Incidents/Failures None

Observed Geology of Area Sand

X. Conclusions

Significantly deficient due to excessive erosion and possible dispersive soils.

X. Recommendations

Control erosion.

Monitor formation of holes.

Engineer should check for dispersive soils and recommend corrective action.

Regional Engineer

Chief Engineer

OHIO RIVER DIVISION, NASHVILLE DISTRICT SOIL TEST DATA SUMMARY

PROJECT OLD HICKORY HOLE 1 ELEV. TOP _____ SHEET 1 OF 1 SHEETS

[illegible]

OHIO RIVER DIVISION, NASHVILLE DISTRICT SOIL TEST DATA SUMMARY

PROJECT SPRING LAKE DAM HOLE 1 ELEV. TOP _____ SHEET 1 OF 1 DATE _____

[illegible]

APPENDIX E
HYDRAULIC AND HYDROLOGIC DATA

HYDRAULIC AND HYDROLOGIC CALCULATIONS

Spring Lake Dam and Old Hickory Dam are located in Hardeman County, Tennessee. Twenty one percent of the drainage area of Spring Lake is controlled by Chancellor and Son Dam and Spring Lake Dam controls 53% of the drainage area of Old Hickory Lake. The watershed land use is residential subdivision with 1/3 acre wooded lots. The predominant soils are Ruston (HSG B), Lexington (HSG B), and Providence (HSG C). The runoff curve number using antecedent moisture condition II was calculated to be 76.

Both dams are classified as small size, high hazard potential dams. As such, they are required to pass the 1/2 to the full probable maximum flood (PMF) without overtopping. The PMF is derived from the probable maximum precipitation (PMP). Using the U. S. Weather Service TP 40, the 6-hour PMP was estimated to be 29.7 inches yielding 26.2 inches of runoff (RCN 76, AMC II).

The PMF was routed through the system of dams assuming the Chancellor and Son Dam can handle the flow safely. The 1/2 PMF was routed assuming a breach of Chancellor and Son Dam at the peak of its outflow. Necessary information on Chancellor and Son Dam have been included in this report.

The total inflow into Spring Lake during the PMF is 388 acre-feet with a peak of 3675 cfs. Spring Lake has 140 acre-feet of storage above normal pool and the dam has a maximum spillway capacity of 3620 cfs. The impoundment will pass the PMF with 1.1 feet of freeboard. The 1/2 PMF with the breach of Chancellor and Son produces a peak inflow rate of 6400 cfs. Routing indicates that Spring Lake Dam will be overtopped for about 0.2 hours with a maximum depth of about 1 foot. Spring Lake Dam is assumed to breach for the 1/2 PMP routing of Old Hickory Dam.

The total inflow into Old Hickory Lake during the PMF is 753 acre-feet with a peak of 4710 cfs. Old Hickory Lake has 220 acre-feet of storage above normal pool and the dam has a maximum spillway capacity of 4730 cfs. The impoundment will pass the PMF with 0.8 feet of freeboard. The 1/2 PMF with the breach of Spring Lake Dam produces a peak inflow rate of 7595 cfs. Routing indicates that Old Hickory Dam will pass the 1/2 PMF with 0.4 feet of freeboard.

The entire system of dams is assumed to fail during the AMC III PMF. The Chancellor Dam is overtopped slightly by the AMC II PMF; therefore, it is assumed that the AMC III PMF will be overtopped by a significant amount and the dam

AD-A108 466

TENNESSEE STATE DEPT OF CONSERVATION NASHVILLE DIV 0--ETC F/G 13/13
NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS, TENNESSEE. --ETC(U)
SEP 81 G E MOORE


DACW62-81-C-0056

NI

UNCLASSIFIED

2 of 3


AD-A
108 466



2 OF 3

AD A

108466



will fail. Since the Spring Lake Dam is overtopped by a failure of Chancellor during the $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF, Spring will be overtopped and assumed to fail during the AMC III PMF. The 0.4 feet of freeboard which remained on Old Hickory at the peak of the $\frac{1}{2}$ PMF routing is not considered sufficient to handle the increase inflows and enhanced peaks associated with the AMC III PMF.

The 6-hour 100 year flood containing 5.5 inches of precipitation was routed through the reservoir using a RCN of 88 (AMC III). This produced 64.7 acre-feet of runoff with a peak rate of 500 cfs into Spring Lake. Routing indicated flow in the emergency spillway for 1.6 hours with a maximum depth of about 0.1 foot. The uncontrolled drainage area of Old Hickory contributed an additional 59 acre-feet of inflow. Routing of the storm through Old Hickory Dam produced no flow in the emergency spillway.

The inflow hydrographs were calculated by methods contained in Section 4, Chapter 21, of the SCS National Engineering Handbook. Hydraulic calculations were performed as per King & Brater's "Handbook of Hydraulics", Sixth Edition. The routing equation used was:

**OLD HICKORY LAKE DAM
SUMMARY OF ROUTINGS**

EVENT	ANTECEDENT MOISTURE CONDITION	
	II	III
PMF*	Passed with 0.8 ft. of freeboard	Not routed Assumed overtopped
$\frac{1}{2}$ PMF**	Passed with 0.4 ft. of freeboard	Not routed
100 - YEAR	Passed; no flow in emergency spillway	Passed; no flow in emergency spillway

*Passed by Chancellor and Spring

**Includes breach of Chancellor and Spring

SPRING LAKE DAM
SUMMARY OF ROUTINGS

EVENT	ANTECEDENT MOISTURE CONDITION	
	II	III
PMF*	Passed with 1.1 feet of freeboard	Overtopped Assumed failed
$\frac{1}{2}$ PMF**	Overtops; maximum depth 1 foot; duration 0.2 hours	Not routed
100 - YEAR	Passes; no flow in emergency spillway	Passes; flow in emergency spillway; max. depth 0.1 ft; duration 1.6 hours

*Passed by Chancellor

**Includes breach of Chancellor

SPRING LAKE

INFLOW HYDROGRAPH

23 MAR 81

701.0

DA UNCONTROLLED = 147 AC = .23 MI²

CONTROLLED = 40 AC = .06 MI² (CHANCELLOR AND SANDS DAMS)

TOTAL = 187 AC = .29 MI²

NPA = 11 AC

PREDOMINANT SOIL GROUPS: RUSTON (B), LEXINGTON (B), PROVIDENCE (C)

COVER: MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL WITH WOODS LOT - 7.5% WATER

CN = 75 AMC II, 88 AMC III

MAXIMUM RETEN = 120 ft

FETCH = 1000 ft

REACH (L) = 2000 ft

SLOPE (Y) = 10.9%

AMC II

L = .195 hr

T_c = .324 hr

T_p = .227 hr

PMP = 29.7 IN

Q = 26.0 IN

HYDROGRAPH FAMILY #1

T₀ = 5.7 hr

T₀/T_p = 25.1

REV T_p = 25

REV T_p = .228 hr

g_p = 487.6 cfs/in

Q_{g_p} = 12694 cfs

g_{max} = 2945 @ 2.23 hr

V_{0.01}

V_{0.01} = 4.15 $\frac{1}{12}$ * 187 = 64.7 A.F.

V_{0.01} = 1.1

V_{0.01} = 1.1

AMC III

L = .127

T_c = .212

T_p = .149

P₁₀₀ = 5.5 IN

Q = 4.15 IN

HYDROGRAPH FAMILY #2

T₀ = 5.3 hr

T₀/T_p = 35.6 hr

REV T_p = 36

REV T_p = .147 hr

g_p = 755 cfs/in

Q_{g_p} = 3134 cfs

g_{max} = 492 cfs @ 1.58 hr

SPRING LAKE

P100 INFLOW HYDROGRAPH

23 MAR 81

AOP

$Q_{pp} = 3134 \text{ cfs}$

$T_p = .147 \text{ hr}$

HYDRO GRAPH FAMIL - #2 $T_0/T_p = 36 \text{ hr.}$

No.	t/T_p	$t(\text{hr})$	Q/Q_p	$Q(\text{cfs})$		
1	0	0	0	0		
2	1.79	.26	.002	6		
3	3.58	.53	.006	19		
4	5.37	.79	.012	38		
5	7.16	1.05	.019	60		
6	8.95	1.32	.057	179	+ 1	
7	10.74	1.58	.157	492	+ 8	
8	12.53	1.89	.104	326	+ 32	
9	14.32	2.11	.068	213	+ 91	
10	16.11	2.37	.047	147	42	
11	17.90	2.63	.040	125	34	
12	19.69	2.89	.034	107	35	
13	21.48	3.16	.030	94	30	124
14	23.27	3.42	.026	82	28	110
15	25.06	3.68	.025	78	25	100
16	26.85	3.95	.023	72	23	95
17	28.64	4.21	.021	66	22	90
18	30.43	4.47	.020	63	20	85
19	32.22	4.74	.019	60	18	80
20	34.01	4.99	.018	56	17	75
21	35.80	5.26	.017	53	16	69
22	37.59	5.53	.017	52	14	60
23	39.38	5.79	.011	35	11	54
24	41.17	6.05	0	0	9	3
25					7	2

SPRING LAKE DAM

P₁₀₀

ROUTING


2007

2 JUNE 81

TIME (hr)	INFLOW (cfs)	$2\frac{1}{2}A_t - 0$	$2\frac{1}{2}A_t + 0$	OUTFLOW (cfs)
0	0	0	0	0
.2	5	5	5	0
.4	13	23	23	0
.6	23	57	59	1
.8	37	113	117	2
1.0	55	199	205	3
1.2	114	358	368	5
1.4	310	762	782	10
1.6	500	1538	1572	17
1.8	382	2381	2420	18
2.0	286	3014	3052	19
2.2	229	3491	3529	19
2.4	186	3868	3906	19
2.6	168	4181	4222	19
2.8	150	4464	4502	19
3.0	136	4712	4750	19
3.2	124	4934	4972	19
3.4	113	5133	5171	19
3.6	101	5312	5350	19
3.8	98	5476	5514	19
4.0	92	5628	5666	19
4.2	88	5770	5808	19
4.4	84	5904	5942	19
4.6	80	6030	6068	19
4.8	77	6149	6187	19
5.0	73	6243	6299	28
5.2	70	6312	6386	37
5.4	53	6351	6435	42
5.6	28	6348	6432	42
5.8	13	6315	6389	37
6.0	8	6274	6336	31
6.2	6	6236	6288	26
	5	6205	6247	21
			6215	19

FLOW 111 E3 164r

MAX DEPTH ~ 0.15L



SPRING LAKE

PMF INFLOW HYDROGRAPH

23 MAR 81

ADP


$$Q_{gp} = 12694 \text{ cfs}$$

$$T_p = .228 \text{ hr}$$

HYDROGRAPH FAMILY #1 $T_0/T_p = 25$

No.	t/T_p	$t(\text{hr})$	q/q_p	$1/2 \text{ PMF}$ $q(\text{cfs})$	PMF $q(\text{cfs})$
1	0	0	0	0	0
2	1.22	.28	.002	12	25
3	2.44	.56	.009	57	114
4	3.66	.83	.018	114	228
5	4.88	1.11	.027	171	342
6	6.10	1.39	.036	228	457
7	7.32	1.66	.046	292	584
8	8.54	1.94	.116	736	1472
9	9.76	2.23	.237	1472	2945
10	10.98	2.50	.427	927	1853
11	12.20	2.78	.688	559	1117
12	13.42	3.06	.962	394	787
13	14.64	3.34	.951	324	647
14	15.86	3.62	.695	286	571
15	17.08	3.89	.427	248	495
16	18.30	4.17	.237	222	444
17	19.52	4.45	.031	97	394
18	20.74	4.72	.027	171	342
19	21.96	5.01	.025	59	317
20	23.18	5.29	.025	159	317
21	24.4	5.56	.025	159	317
22	25.62	5.84	.020	127	254
23	26.84	6.12	.005	32	64
24	28.06	6.40	.002	13	25
25	29.28	6.68	0	0	0

SPRING LAKE DAM
INFLOW HYDROGRAPH
PMP 1 (1/2 PMP)



SPRING LAKE DAM

PMF ROUTING

25 MAR 81

90m

TIME (hr)	INFLOW (cfs)	$2\frac{1}{2}\Delta t - 0$	$2\frac{1}{2}\Delta t + 0$	OUTFLOW (cfs)
0	0	0	0	0
.2	15	15	15	0
.4	30	58	60	1
.6	105	189	193	2
.8	220	500	514	7
1.0	305	999	1025	13
1.2	400	1668	1704	18
1.4	500	2530	2568	19
1.6	650	3642	3680	19
1.8	1000	5254	5259	19
2.0	2325	7819	8579	380
2.2	3675	10659	13819	1580
2.4	3055	12349	17389	2520
2.6	1870	12274	17274	2500 PEAK PASSES
2.8	1350	11434	15494	2030
3.0	1055		13839	1590

SPRING LAKE


1/2 PMF ROUTING WITH BREACH MAY 26 91
OF CHANCELLOR + SAN DAM

gpm

TIME (hr)	INFLOW (cfs)	$2\frac{1}{2}\Delta t - 0$	$2\frac{1}{2}\Delta t + 0$	OUTFLOW (cfs)
0	0	0	0	0
.1	2	0	2	0
.3	17	21	21	0
.5	49	83	97	2
.7	90	216	222	3
.9	130	424	436	6
1.1	170	704	724	10
1.3	210	1058	1084	13
1.5	260	1494	1528	17
1.7	360	2078	2114	18
1.9	710	3112	3148	18
2.1	1470	5256	5292	18
2.3	10700	12146	17426	2640
2.5	1800	13606	24646	5520
2.7	760	11760	16166	2200
2.9	500		13036	1390

OVERTOPS DAM

46 1240



SPRING LAKE DAM

SPILLWAY RATING

4 MAR 81

9011

ELEVATION (FT MSL)	SERVICE SPILLWAY				EMERGENCY SPILLWAY		TOTAL OUTFLOW
	WEIR FLOW		PIPE FLOW		Hm (ft)	Q (cfs)	Qt (cfs)
	h (ft)	Q (cfs)	h (ft)	Q (cfs)			
521	0	0					0
521.5	0.5	8.5	12.0	16.4			8.5
522	1.0	24.2	12.5	16.7			10.7
524	3.0	125.5	14.5	18.0			13.5
525			15.5	18.6	0	0	18.6
526			16.5	19.2	1	268.6	288
527			17.5	19.8	2	776.5	19.8
528			19.5	20.9	4	2294.4	23.1
530.2			20.7	21.5	5.2	3497.5	21.5

SERVICE SPILLWAY

WEIR FLOW

$$Q = CLH^{3/2} \quad L = BFL \quad C = 3.09 \quad \text{KING'S HANDBOOK 3-5}$$

PIPE FLOW

$$Q = C_d A \sqrt{2gH} \quad C = .48 \quad Q = \pi (6.25)^2 \text{ ft}^2 \quad \text{KING'S HANDBOOK 3-4}$$

EMERGENCY SPILLWAY

$$Q = C_2 b H_m^{3/2} \quad b = 85 \text{ ft} \quad Z = 3 \quad \text{KING'S HANDBOOK 3-7}$$

FOR C_2 VALUES SEE TABLE 3-7


ES IDEALIZED FLOW FIELD, FLOW IS UNIFORM

HEIGHT (ft)	AREA (AC)	STORAGE (Aft)	STORAGE (Jsf)	S/dt (.2hr cfs)	OUTFLOW (cfs)	2.5hr cfs
0	11.0	0	0	0	0	0
.5	11.5	5.6	2.84	341	8.5	13.0
1.0	11.9	11.5	5.77	7	16.7	14.6
3.0	13.8	37.2	18.75	2250	18.0	4513
4.0	14.7	51.4	25.91	3109	18.6	6237
5.0	15.6	60.6	33.54	4030	288	8347
6.0	16.5	82.5	41.59	4991	796	10572
8.0	18.4	117.6	59.29	7115	2319	16549
9.2	19.5	140.3	70.73	8488	3519	20494


NO. 1240

4E 1240

SPRING LAKE
ROUTING CURVE
 $\Delta t = 0.20 \text{ HR}$




46.240



W-E 100.0 100.0

46 1240

SPRING LAKE
STAGE DISCHARGE CURVE



SPRING LAKE

ABOVE TOP OF DAM RATING

26 May 81


2001

HEIGHT (FE)	AREA (AC)	STORAGE (ACFE)	STORAGE (DSE)	$\frac{S}{\Delta t}$ (cfs)	OUTFLOW (cfs)	$\frac{S}{\Delta t} + O$
9.2	19.5	140.3	70.73	8498	3516	22474
9.4	19.7	144.3	72.75	8729	3936	21215
9.8	20.1	152.4	76.93	9219	4703	23142
10.2	20.4	160.1	80.74	9688	5844	25221

46 1240

1965 JUL 15 10:00 AM

SPRING LAKE
ROUTING CURVE
ABOVE TOP OF DAM
 $\Delta t = 0.2 \text{ HR}$



SPRING LAKE DAM

BREACH HYDROGRAPH

26 MAY 31 ~~year~~

$$m = \frac{5.65}{11.00} = .51$$

$$Q_{max} = CLH^{3/4} \quad L = 19.4' \quad C = 3.2$$


$$= 5305 \text{ cfs}$$

$\frac{Q}{Q_m}$

1.00	0	0	5305	1410	6715	25
.80	2	.03	4244	1240	5484	
.60	4	.06	3183	1020	4203	
.40	9	.15	2122	850	2972	
.20	23	.37	1061	612	1673	
.10	50	.81	531			

DIETZEN CORPORATION
MADE IN U.S.A.

NO. 341-L22 DIETZEN GRAPH PAPER
LOGARITHMIC
2 CYCLES X 2 CYCLES



OLD HICKORY LAKE INFLOW HYDROGRAPH 25 MAR 81

D.A. UNCONTROLLED = 167 AC = .26 MI²
 CONTROLLED = 187 AC = .29 MI²
 TOTAL = 354 AC = .55 MI²

NPA = 234 AC

FREEDMAN'S SOIL ON DIS. RULY (E), LEXINGTON (E),
 COVER MAP. JUNE 1977. 10' X 10' GRID. 10' X 10' GRID.

CN = 76 AC II

10' X 10' GRID. 10' X 10' GRID.

10' X 10' GRID. 10' X 10' GRID.

10' X 10' GRID. 10' X 10' GRID.

10' X 10' GRID. 10' X 10' GRID.

AME

L = .118 hr

T_c = .177 hr

T_p = .138 hr

PMP = 29.7 IN

Q = 26.2 IN

HYDROGRAPH FAMILY #1

T₀ = 5.7 hr

T₀/T_p = 41.3

REV T₀/T_p = 36

REV T_p = .158 hr

g_p = 798 cfs/in

Q_{g_p} = 20898 cfs

P_{c max} = 3699 cfs @ 2.15 hr

P₁₀₀

V₁ = (425 x 354) ¹/₁₂ = 125.4 AC Ft.

AME

L = .107 hr

T_c = .123 hr

T_p = .103 hr

P₁₀₀ = 5.5 IN

Q = 42.5 IN

HYDROGRAPH FAMILY #2

T₀ = 5.35 hr

T₀/T_p = 60.1

REV T₀/T_p = 50

REV T_p = .107 hr

g_p = 1180 cfs/in

Q_{g_p} = 5016 cfs

g_{c max} = 594 cfs @ 1.61 hr

OLD HICKORY LAKE

P₁₀₀ INFLOW HYDROGRAPH

25 MAR 81

gcm

$$Q_{gp} = 5016 \text{ cfs}$$


$$T_p = .107 \text{ hr}$$

HYDROGRAPH FAMILY # 2

$$T_0/T_p = 50$$

LINE No.	t/T_p	TIME (hr)	g_c/g_p	g_c (cfs)		
1	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	2.50	.27	.0018	9	0	9
3	5.00	.54	.0047	24	0	24
4	7.50	.80	.0087	44	2	46
5	10.00	1.07	.0145	73	3	76
6	12.50	1.34	.0215	308	6	316
7	15.00	1.61	.0384	594	17	611
8	17.50	1.87	.0621	311	18	329
9	20.00	2.14	.0933	217	19	236
10	22.50	2.41	.0342	172	19	191
11	25.00	2.68	.0274	137	19	156
12	27.50	2.94	.0234	117	19	136
13	30.00	3.21	.0209	105	19	124
14	32.50	3.48	.0187	94	19	113
15	35.00	3.75	.0167	84	19	103
16	37.50	4.01	.0159	80	19	99
17	40.00	4.28	.0153	77	19	96
18	42.50	4.55	.0147	74	19	93
19	45.00	4.82	.0142	71	19	90
20	47.50	5.08	.0136	68	32	100
21	50.00	5.35	.0131	66	41	105
22	52.50	5.62	.0008	4	42	46
23	55.00	5.89	0	0	34	34

461140



OLD HICKORY LAKE DAM

P100 ROUTING

gem

2 JUNE 91

TIME(hr)	INFLOW(cfs)	$2\frac{1}{2}\% \text{ at } -0$	$2\frac{1}{2}\% \text{ at } +0$	OUTFLOW(cfs)
0	0	0	0	0
.2	6	6	6	0
.4	16	28	28	0
.6	28	72	72	0
.8	46	145	146	.5
1.0	64	253	255	1
1.2	168	481	485	2
1.4	428	1068	1077	4.5
1.6	611	2085	2107	11
1.8	432	2087	3128	20.5
2.0	276	3741	3795	27
2.2	224	4177	4241	32
2.4	190	4520	4591	35.5
2.6	166	4800	4876	38
2.8	148	5033	5114	40.5
3.0	134	5230	5314	42.5
3.2	124	5401	5488	43.5
3.4	116	5551	5641	45
3.6	110	5685	5777	46
3.8	104	5805	5899	47
4.0	100	5913	6009	48
4.2	96	6012	6109	48.5
4.4	96	6106	6204	49
4.6	93	6195	6295	50
4.8	90	6277	6378	50.5
5.0	94	6359	6461	51
5.2	102	6451	6555	52
5.4	98	6546	6651	52.5
5.6	48	6587	6692	52.5
5.8	36	6566	6671	52.5
6.0	30		6632	52

Passes no flow in E.S.

OLD HICKORY LAKE

PMF INFLOW HYDROGRAPH

25 MAR 81

gpm

$$Q_{gp} = 20898$$

$$T_p = .158 \text{ hr}$$


HYDROGRAPH FAMILY #1

$$T_0/T_p = 36$$

LINE NO.	t/T_p	TIME (hr)	g_c/g_p	g_c (cfs)
1	0	0	0	0
2	1.70	.27	.002	42
3	3.40	.54	.008	167
4	5.10	.81	.014	293
5	6.80	1.08	.020	418
6	8.50	1.35	.026	543
7	10.20	1.62	.033	690
8	11.90	1.88	.077	1609
9	13.60	2.12	.177	3699
10	15.30	2.42	.101	2111
11	17.00	2.69	.058	1212
12	18.70	2.96	.044	920
13	20.40	3.23	.036	752
14	22.10	3.50	.030	627
15	23.80	3.77	.027	564
16	25.50	4.04	.024	502
17	27.20	4.31	.022	460
18	28.90	4.68	.020	418
19	30.60	4.85	.018	376
20	32.30	5.11	.017	355
21	34.00	5.38	.017	355
22	35.70	5.65	.017	355
23	37.40	5.92	.004	84
24	39.10	6.19	.002	42
25	40.80	6.46	0	0

46 1240

K-E 21 X 20 TO THE INCH
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO. NEW YORK



OLD HICKORY DAM

PMF ROUTING

23 JAN

(+ +)

26 MAR 81

jam

TIME (hr) INFLOW (cfs) $2S/\Delta t - O$ $2S/\Delta t + O$ OUTFLOW (cfs)

0	0	0	0	0
.25	35	35	35	0
.50	150	220	220	0
.75	270	636	640	2
1.00	375	1267	1281	7
1.25	495	2103	2137	17
1.50	630	3163	3228	30
1.75	940	4644	4738	47
2.00	3460	8154	9044	445
2.25	4710	12324	16324	2000
2.50	4180	14570	21214	3352
2.75	3240	14810	21930	3560
3.00	2490		20540	3160

PEAK PASSES

OLD HICKORY DAM

1/2 PMF ROUTING

26 MAY 81


gan

INCLUDING BREACH OF SPRING LAKE DAM

TIME (hr)	INFLOW (cfs)	$2\frac{1}{2}\Delta t - 0$	$2\frac{1}{2}\Delta t + 0$	OUTFLOW (cfs)
0	0	0	0	0
.25	20	20	20	0
.50	75	115	115	0
.75	130	320	320	0
1.00	200	646	650	2
1.25	250	1086	1096	5
1.50	330	1642	1666	12
1.75	540	2468	2512	22
2.00	1360	4282	4368	43
2.25	3130	8080	8772	346
2.50	7595	13425	18805	2690
2.75	2941	15761	23961	4100
3.00	1874	14236	20576	3170

PEAK PASSES

20000
10000
5000
2500
1250
625
312.5
156.25
78.125
39.0625
19.53125
9.765625
4.8828125
2.44140625
1.220703125
0.6103515625
0.30517578125
0.152587890625
0.0762939453125
0.03814697265625
0.019073486328125
0.0095367431640625
0.00476837158203125
0.002384185791015625
0.0011920928955078125
0.00059604644775390625
0.000298023223876953125
0.0001490116119384765625
0.00007450580596923828125
0.000037252902984619140625
0.0000186264514923095703125
0.00000931322574615478515625
0.000004656612873077392578125
0.0000023283064365386962890625
0.00000116415321826934814453125
0.000000582076609134674072265625
0.0000002910383045673370361328125
0.00000014551915228366851806640625
0.000000072759576141834259033203125
0.0000000363797880709171295166015625
0.00000001818989403545856475830078125
0.000000009094947017729282379150390625
0.0000000045474735088646411895751953125
0.00000000227373675443232059478759765625
0.000000001136868377216160297393798828125
0.0000000005684341886080801486968994140625
0.00000000028421709430404007434844970703125
0.000000000142108547152020037174224853515625
0.0000000000710542735760100185871124267578125
0.00000000003552713678800500929355621337890625
0.000000000017763568394002504646778106689453125
0.0000000000088817841970012523233890533447265625
0.00000000000444089209850062616169452667236328125
0.000000000002220446049250313080847263336181640625
0.0000000000011102230246251565404236316680908203125
0.00000000000055511151231257827021181583404541015625
0.000000000000277555756156289135105907917022705078125
0.0000000000001387778780781445675529539585113525390625
0.00000000000006938893903907228377647697925567626953125
0.000000000000034694469519536141888238489627838134765625
0.0000000000000173472347597680709441192448139190673828125
0.00000000000000867361737988403547205962240695953694140625
0.000000000000004336808689942017736029811203479768470703125
0.0000000000000021684043449710088680149056017398842353515625
0.00000000000000108420217248550443400745280086994211767578125
0.000000000000000542101086242752217003726400434971058837890625
0.0000000000000002710505431213761085018632002174854294189453125
0.00000000000000013552527156068805425093160010874271470947265625
0.00000000000000006776263578034402712546580005437135735473828125
0.000000000000000033881317890172013562732900027185678677369140625
0.00000000000000001694065894508600678136645001359283933868453125
0.000000000000000008470329472543003390683225006796416969342265625
0.0000000000000000042351647362715016953416125033982084846711328125
0.00000000000000000211758236813575084767080625169910424233556640625
0.000000000000000001058791184067875423835403125849552121167778125
0.0000000000000000005293955920339377119177015629247760605838890625
0.00000000000000000026469779601696885595885078146238803029194453125
0.000000000000000000132348898008484427979425390731169015145972265625
0.0000000000000000000661744490042422139897126953655845075729861328125
0.00000000000000000003308722450212110699485634768279225378649306640625
0.000000000000000000016543612251060553497428173841396126893246533203125
0.0000000000000000000082718061255302767487140869206980634466232666015625
0.00000000000000000000413590306276513837435704346034903172331163330078125
0.000000000000000000002067951531382569187178521730174515861655816650390625
0.0000000000000000000010339757656912845935892608650872579308279083251953125
0.00000000000000000000051698788284564229679463043254362896541395416259765625
0.000000000000000000000258493941422821148397315216271814482706977081298828125
0.0000000000000000000001292469707114105741986576081359072413534885406494140625
0.00000000000000000000006462348535570528709932880406795362067674427032470703125
0.000000000000000000000032311742677852643549964402033976810338372135162353515625
0.000000000000000000000016155871338926321774982201016988405169186067581176778125
0.0000000000000000000000080779356694631608874911005084944025845930337905883890625
0.00000000000000000000000403896783473158044374555025424720129229651689529419453125
0.000000000000000000000002019483917365790221872775127123600646148258447647097265625
0.0000000000000000000000010097419586828951109363875635618003230741292238235486328125
0.00000000000000000000000050487097934144755546819378178090016153706461191177431640625
0.000000000000000000000000252435489670723777734096890890450080768532305958887157203125
0.0000000000000000000000001262177448353618888670484454452250403842661529794435786015625
0.00000000000000000000000006310887241768094443352422272261252019213307648972178932678125
0.000000000000000000000000031554436208840472216762111361306260096066538244889394678390625
0.0000000000000000000000000157772181044202361083810556806531300480327691224446973391953125
0.00000000000000000000000000788860905221011805419052784032656502401638456122234866959765625
0.000000000000000000000000003944304526105059027095263920163282512008192280611743329798828125
0.0000000000000000000000000019721522630525295135476319600816412560040961401171916648994140625
0.00000000000000000000000000098607613152626475677381598004082062800204807005859583244970703125
0.000000000000000000000000000493038065763132378386907990020410314001024035029297916224853125
0.00000000000000000000000000024651903288156618919345399501020515700051201751464895811224265625
0.000000000000000000000000000123259516440783094596726997505102578500256008757324479056121328125
0.0000000000000000000000000000616297582203915472983634987502552642501280043786622395280606640625
0.00000000000000000000000000003081487911019577364918174937512763212506400218933111976403033203125
0.000000000000000000000000000015407439555097886824590874687563816062532001094665559882015166015625
0.0000000000000000000000000000077037197775489434122954373437819080312660005473327798820075833078125
0.00000000000000000000000000000385185988877447170614771867189095401533000273666389944100379165390625
0.000000000000000000000000000001925929944387235853073859335945477007665001368331949720501895826953125
0.0000000000000000000000000000009629649721936179265369296679727385038325006841659748602509479134765625
0.00000000000000000000000000000048148248609680896326846483398636925191625034208298743012547395673828125
0.000000000000000000000000000000240741243048404481634232416993184625958125171041493719767236978369140625
0.000000000000000000000000000000120370621524202240817116208496592312979062585520724685938618489174306640625
0.0000000000000000000000000000000601853107621011204085581042482961564895312542760362343092444445871923203125
0.00000000000000000000000000000003009265538105056020427905212414807824476562521380181716712222229359616015625
0.000000000000000000000000000000015046327690525280102139526062074039122382812510690090883556111146798080078125
0.0000000000000000000000000000000075231638452626400510697630310370195611914062553450454417780555733990400390625
0.00000000000000000000000000000000376158192263132002553488151551685097859570312526725222088902778669952001953125
0.0000000000000000000000000000000018807909613156600127674407577584254892978515625133626110444513893349760009765625
0.00000000000000000000000000000000094039548065783000638372037887921274464892578125668130552222569466748800048828125
0.000000000000000000000000000000000470197740328915003191860189439606372324462890625334065276112847333744000244140625
0.0000000000000000000000000000000002350988701644575015959300947198031861622314453125167032638056421668720001220703125
0.00000000000000000000000000000000011754943508222875079796504735990159308111572656258351631902821083343600006103515625
0.000000000000000000000000000000000058774717541114375398982523679950796540557863281254175815951410416672000030517578125
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000293873587705571876994912618399753982702789316406252087907975702083360000152587890625
0.000000000000000000000000000000000014693679385278593849745630919987699135139465820312510439539878510416800000762939453125
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000073468396926392969248728154599938495675697329101562552197699392552084000003814697265625
0.00000000000000000000000000000000000367341984631964846243640772999692478378486645507812526098849969625202000001907348828125
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000018367099231598242312182038649984623918924332275390625130494249848125100000009536744140625
0.00000000000000000000000000000000000091835496157991211560910193249983119594621661376953125652471249240625500000047683720703125
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000004591774807899560578045509662499155974731083068847656253262356246031252500000238418603515625
0.00000000000000000000000000000000000022958874039497802890227548312495779873655415344423281251631178123015625125000001192093017578125
0.000000000000000000000000000000000000114794370197489014451137741562497889868277076722116406258155890615078125625000005960465087890625
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000573971850987445072255688707812493944443413536110582031254077945307539062531250000029802325439453125
0.00000000000000000000000000000000000002869859254937225361278443539062496972222170680552910156252038972653769531251562500000149011627197265625
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000143492962746861268063922176953124934861110534027645507812510194863266882812578125000000745058135986328125
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000071746481373430634031961088476562493743055267013822753906255097431633441406253906250000003725290679931640625
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000035873240686715317015980544238281249337177633506911376953125254871581670703125195312500000018626453399658203125
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000017936620343357658507990272119140624936888866750345688476562512743579083535156259765625000000093132266998291015625
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000008968310171678829253995136059570312493344443337501744238281256371789541767578125488281250000000465661334991455078125
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000004484155085839414626997568029785156249337222166875008721191406253185892708838789062524414062500000002328306674957275390625
0.000000000000000000000000000000000000000224207754291970731349878401489257812493361110833750043605957031251592946354419394531251220703125000000011641533374978637890625
0.000000000000000000000000000000000000000112103877145985365674939200744628906249335555416687500218029785156257964731772096972656256103515625000000005820766687489319453125
0.000000000000000000000000000000000000000056051938572992682837469600372314453124933777770833750010901489257812539823658604848828125305175781250000000029103837437446597265625
0.00000000000000000000000000000000000000002802596928649634141873480018615722656249338888541668750054507446289062519911829302424414062515258789062500000000145519187187232986328125
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000000140129846432481707093674000930786132812493394442708337500272537231445312599559146512122070312576293945312500000000072759593593616493125
0.00000000000000000000000000000000000000000700649232162408535468370004653930664062493397221041668750013626861572265625497795732560611035156253814697265625000000000363797967968082465625
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000000035032461608120426773418500232696533203124933986105208337500068134307851562524889786628030551757812519073488281250000000001818989839840412328125
0.0000




Zarr,


TIME (hr) INFLOW (cfs) $2\frac{3}{4}\Delta t = -0$ $2\frac{3}{4}\Delta t = +0$ OUTFLOW (cfs)

0	0	0	0	0
.25	20	20	20	0
.50	75	115	115	0
.75	130	220	320	0
1.00	200	646	650	2
1.25	250	1086	1096	5
1.50	330	1642	1666	12
1.75	540	2468	2512	22
2.00	1360	4282	4368	43
2.25	3130	8080	8772	346
2.50	6400	12890	17610	2360
2.75	2490	14500	21780	3640
3.00	1660	13320	18650	2660


46 1240



OLD HICKORY LAKE DAM
STAGE-DISCHARGE CURVE




OLD HICKORY DAM
ROUTING CURVE
 $\Delta t = 0.2 \text{ hr}$




R·E 20 X 20 TO THE INCH • 1/4" INCHES
KEUFFEL & ESSER CO. MADE IN U.S.A.

46 1240

$\Delta t = 25 \text{ hr}$



461240



OLD HICKORY DAM

SPILLWAY RATING

4/11/81

JOM.

ELEVATION (FE MSL)	SERVICE SPILLWAY				EMERGENCY SPILLWAY		TOTAL OUTFLOW
	WEIR FLOW		PIPE FLOW		H _m (ft)	Q(cfs)	Q _t (cfs)
	h (ft)	Q(cfs)	h(ft)	Q(cfs)			
504	0	0					0
504.5	0.5	8.5					8.5
505	1.0	24.2	14.5	53.8			24.2
506	2.0	68.3	15.5	55.6	0	0	55.6
507			16.5	57.3	1	392.5	450
509			18.5	60.7	3	2098.4	2159
511			20.5	63.9	5	4667.8	4732

SERVICE SPILLWAY

WEIR FLOW $Q = CLH^{3/2}$ $C = 3.02$ $L = 844$ KINGS HANDBOOK § 5PIPEFLOW $Q = C_a \sqrt{2gh}$ $C = .56$ $a = \pi(1)^2 \text{ ft}^2$ KINGS HANDBOOK § 4EMERGENCY SPILLWAY $Q = C_b H_m^{3/2}$ $b = 125 \text{ ft}$ $z = 3$ KING'S HANDBOOK § 8
C₂ SEE TABLE B-7

HEIGHT (FT)	AREA (AC)	STORAGE (AC-FT)	STORAGE (DSF)	S/Δt (25 hr cfs)	OUTFLOW (cfs)	Σ S/Δt + O
0	28.3	0	0	0	0	0
.5	28.7	14.25	7.18	690	8.5	1389
1.0	29.2	28.75	14.49	1391	24.2	2807
2.0	30.1	58.4	29.44	2827	55.6	5709
3.0	31.0	88.95	44.84	4305	450	9060
5.0	32.8	152.75	77.01	7393	2159	16945
7.0	34.6	220.15	110.99	10655	4732	26042

CHANCELLOR + SONS DAM

PMF INFLOW HYDROGRAPH

20 MAR 81

dam

$$Q_{gp} = 4872 \text{ cfs}$$

$$T_p = .156 \text{ hr}$$

HYDROGRAPH FAMILY #1

$$T_0/T_p = 36$$

No.	t/T_p	$t(\text{hr})$	g/g_p	$\frac{1}{2} \text{ PMP}$ $g(\text{cfs})$	PMF $g(\text{cfs})$
1	0	0	0	0	0
2	1.7	.27	.002	5	10
3	3.4	.53	.008	20	39
4	5.1	.80	.014	34	68
5	6.8	1.06	.020	49	97
6	8.5	1.33	.026	63	127
7	10.2	1.59	.033	80	161
8	11.9	1.86	.077	188	375
9	13.6	2.12	.177	431	862
10	15.3	2.39	.101	246	492
11	17.0	2.65	.058	141	283
12	18.7	2.92	.044	107	214
13	20.4	3.18	.036	88	175
14	22.1	3.45	.030	73	146
15	23.8	3.71	.027	66	132
16	25.5	3.98	.024	58	117
17	27.2	4.24	.022	54	107
18	28.9	4.51	.020	49	97
19	30.6	4.77	.018	44	88
20	32.3	5.04	.017	41	83
21	34.0	5.30	.017	41	83
22	35.7	5.57	.017	41	83
23	37.4	5.83	.004	10	19
24	39.1	6.10	.002	5	10
25	40.8	6.36	0	0	0

$$5868 \times .27 \times \frac{3600}{2.560} = 84.8 \text{ A.c.ft.}$$

$$25.4 \times 40 \times \frac{1}{12} = 84.7 \text{ A.c.ft.}$$

OM

CHANCELLOR + SON DAM

PMP ROUTING

20 MAR 81

9 AM

TIME (hr)	INFLOW (cfs)	$2\frac{S}{At} - 0$	$2\frac{S}{At} + 0$	OUTFLOW (cfs)
0	0	0	0	0
.26	10	10	10	0
.52	36	56	56	0
.78	65	155	157	1
1.04	94	306	314	4
1.30	125	461	525	32
1.56	165	587	751	82
1.82	315	617	1067	225
2.08	862	564	1794	615
2.34	620	518	2046	764
2.60	308	616	1446	415
2.86	226	626	1150	262
3.12	183		1035	207
3.38	152			
3.64	136			
3.90	121			
4.16	109			
4.42	100			
4.68	91			
4.94	84			

PLAN 1A.56.

CHANCELLOR + SON DAM 1/2 PM F ROUTING

20 MAR 81

2am

TIME (hr)	INFLOW (cfs)	$2S/At - 0$	$2S/At + 0$	OUTFLOW (cfs)
0	0	0	0	0
.26	5	5	5	0
.52	18	28	28	0
.78	33	77	79	1
1.04	47	155	157	1
1.30	62	258	264	3
1.56	82	376	402	13
1.82	155	577	613	48
2.08	431	623	1103	240
2.34	310	624	1364	370
2.60	158	622	1092	235
2.86	113	529	893	132
3.12	91		733	76
3.38	77			
3.64	68			
3.90	61			
4.16	55			
4.42	50			
4.68	45			
4.94	42			

PEAK PASSES

CHANCELLOR + SON

BREACH HYDROGRAPH

26 MAY 81

78 49

gem

ASSUME INSTANTANEOUS SQUARE BREACH WIDTH = HEIGHT OF DAM


$$Q_{max} = 3.2 L h^{3/2} \quad L = 25 \quad h = 24.35$$

$$= 9027 \text{ cfs.}$$

$$T_R = \frac{46.9 \text{ sec} \times 43500 \text{ ft}^3/\text{ac}}{9027 \text{ cfs}} = 223.9 \text{ sec.}$$

$\frac{Q}{Q_{max}}$	$\frac{t(10^5)}{T_R}$	t (hours)	Q (cfs) BREACH	Q (cfs) INFLOW
1.0	0	0	9027	310
.8	6.2	.014	7222	295
.6	14.5	.032	5016	276
.4	25.3	.057	3611	250
.2	44.8	.100	1805	205
.1	64	.14	903	175

CHANCELLOR + SON DAM



400

$$Q_{gp} = 1116 \text{ cfs} \quad T_p = .103 \text{ hr}$$

HYDROGRAPH FAMILY # 2

$$T_o/T_p = 50$$

τ/τ_p	τ (hr)	g_c/g_p	g (ft/s)
0	0	0	0
2.5	.26	.0018	2
5.0	.52	.0047	5
7.5	.77	.0087	10
10.0	1.03	.0145	16
12.5	1.29	.0615	69
15.0	1.55	.1189	132
17.5	1.80	.0621	69
20.0	2.06	.0433	48
22.5	2.32	.0342	38
25.0	2.58	.0274	31
27.5	2.83	.0234	26
30.0	3.09	.0209	23
32.5	3.35	.0187	21
35.0	3.61	.0167	19
37.5	3.86	.0159	18
40.0	4.12	.0153	17
42.5	4.38	.0147	16
45.0	4.64	.0142	16
47.5	4.89	.0136	15
50.0	5.15	.0131	15
52.5	5.41	.0008	1
55.0	5.67	0	0

$607 \times .26 = 157.82$ 12.94 fr

$$3.81 \text{ N} \times 40 \text{ A} \times \frac{1 \text{ F}}{1.1 \text{ N}} = 12.7 \text{ ACF}$$

20 MAR 81


TIME (hr)	INFLOW (cfs)	$2\frac{1}{2}\Delta t - 0$	$2\frac{1}{2}\Delta t + 0$	OUTFLOW (cfs)
0	0	0	0	0
.26	2	2	2	0
.52	5	9	9	0
.78	9	23	23	0
1.04	17	49	49	0
1.30	75	139	141	1
1.56	132	330	346	8
1.82	66	464	528	32
2.08	47	495	577	41
2.34	37	495	579	42
2.60	31	485	563	39
2.86	26	472	542	35
3.12	23	459	521	31
3.38	21	449	503	27
3.64	19	439	489	25
3.90	18	430	476	23
4.16	17	421	465	22
4.42	16	414	454	20
4.68	16	410	446	18
4.94	15	405	441	18
5.20	14	400	434	17
5.46	1	387	415	14
5.72	0	364	388	12
5.98	0		364	10
6.24	0		344	8
6.50			328	6
6.76			314	4

PEAK PASS


46 1240

K-E 2 1/2 X 20 TO THE INCH.
KELFEL & LESSER CO. W. VA.

CHANCELLOR & SONS DAM
ROUTING CURVE
 $\Delta t = .26 \text{ hr.}$



$\frac{25}{\Delta t} + 0, \text{ C.F.S.}$



APPENDIX F
CORRESPONDENCE

Tennessee Department of
Conservation Division of Water Resources

RAY BLANTON - GOVERNOR
B.R. ALLISON - COMMISSIONER

6213 Charlotte Ave. (Suite 107) Nashville, Tennessee 37209 (615) 741-1281

ROBERT A. HUNT DIRECTOR

MEMORANDUM

TO: Bob Hunt
FROM: Ed O'Neill *Ed O'Neill*
DATE: 12/9/76
SUBJECT: Application No. 75-101-C

Name of Dam SPRING LAKE
HARDMAN County

I have reviewed the plans, specifications and other material submitted for the subject dam. I find these submittals in compliance with the Safe Dams Act and issuance of a Certificate of Approval and Safety for OPERATION is recommended. Damage potential category is ONE.

Date 12/2/76

REGION WEST

INSPECTION REPORT

Name of Dam: SPIRAL County HARDEN

Owners Name: TERRA AQUA Quad. _____

Type Project: Existing _____
New Construction ☒ _____
Repair/Alteration _____
Removal _____

Application No. 25-101-C

Type Inspection: Stage I _____
Stage II _____
Certificate ☒ _____
Cursory _____
Preliminary Site Review _____

Damage Potential Category: One ☒ Two _____ Three _____ Undetermined _____

Inspection by: D. J. Hill

Inspection Results:

Accompanied by Thomas Rabon PE. & Wood
Senior & SW, and Arthur Zwick Forman
Rain appears finished including SOD. Some
touchup on spillway may be necessary in
Spiral

Tennessee Department of
Conservation

Division of Water Resources

RAY BLANTON - GOVERNOR
B.R. ALLISON - COMMISSIONER

6213 Charlotte Ave (Suite 107) Nashville, Tennessee 37209 (615) 741-1281
ROBERT A. HUNT DIRECTOR

MEMORANDUM

TO: Bob Hunt
FROM: Ed O'Neill *Ed O'Neill*
DATE: 12/9/76
SUBJECT: Application No. 76-101-C
Name of Dam OLD HICKORY LAKE
HARDEMAN County

I have reviewed the plans, specifications and other material submitted for the subject dam. I find these submittals in compliance with the Safe Dams Act and issuance of a Certificate of Approval and Safety for OPERATION is recommended. Damage potential category is ONE.

Date 12/2/76

Region WEST

INSPECTION REPORT

Name of Dam: OLD HICKORY County HARDMAN

Owners Name: TESSA BWA Quad. _____

Type Project: Existing _____
New Construction ✓
Repair/Alteration _____
Removal _____

Application No. 76-701-C

Type Inspection: Stage I _____
Stage II _____
Certificate ✓
Cursory _____
Preliminary Site Review _____

Damage Potential Category: One ✓ Two _____ Three _____ Undetermined _____

Inspection by: [Signature]

Inspection Results:

Accompanied by James Rainey, Larry Lammey
SAW AND AUTHORIZED FUEL FOREMAN.

DAM APPEARS COMPLETE.

Date 2/14/79

Region West

INSPECTION REPORT

Name of Dam: Spring

County: Hardeman

Owner's Name: _____

Quad: 432SF

Type Project:

Application No. _____

Existing X
New Construction _____
Repair/Alteration _____
Removal _____

Type Inspection:

Phase I _____ Phase I Reconnaissance _____
Phase II _____
Certificate X
Cursory _____
Preliminary Site _____
Review _____

Damage Potential Category: One _____ Two _____ Three _____ Undetermined _____

Inspection by: George Moore and Troy Wedekind

Inspection Results:

The entrance channel of the emergency spillway has a large erosion gully and a moderately large gully runs down the left D/S embankment abutment contact area. These areas should be repaired and reseeded. Two possible wet areas were observed along the toe near each abutment. There is no wet area vegetation. These areas should be reinspected in dryer weather. Water has backed up behind the flap gates on the toe drains. According to information provided by Jerry Shide of Armco, there should be no back up of water behind the flap gate (Model 10-C). The gate and the area immediately in front of the gate should be cleared of any obstructions to the correct operation of the gate. This report is accompanied by photos.



PHOTO NO.1



PHOTO NO. 2



PHOTO NO. 3

Region West

Name of Dam: Old Hickory County: Hardeman
Owner's Name: _____ Quad: 432SE
Type Project: _____ Application No. _____

Existing	<u>X</u>
New Construction	<u> </u>
Repair/Alteration	<u> </u>
Removal	<u> </u>

Phase I	
Phase II	
Certificate	X
Cursory	
Preliminary Site	
Review	

Phase I Reconnaissance

Inspection by: George Moore and Troy Wedekind

An area of wetland vegetation and a small flow of water were located on the right downstream embankment toe. The flow did not appear to be carrying any material and is reportedly coming from a spring. The area should be monitored for any change in flow rate or turbidity. There is some minor erosion on the slopes. This should be stopped before it becomes worse. This report is accompanied by a photo.



PHOTO NO. 1

14 Feb 79 Old Hickory Dam Hardeman Co.

Wet area on the right abutment at the toe.

RAGON ENGINEERING COMPANY

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

711 WEST MARKET ST.

P. O. Box 347

BOLIVAR, TENNESSEE 38008

March 6, 1975

JAMES H. RAGON, P. E.

DON R. MOORE, BSCE, EIT
EDMOND B. O'NEILL, BSME
BOBBY L. TULLEY, BSAET

Mr. Robert A. Hunt
Tennessee Department of Conservation
Division of Water Resources
6213 Charlotte Ave.
Nashville, Tennessee 37209

Re: Spring Lake, Candlewood Lakes Subdivision

Dear Mr. Hunt:

Enclosed are hydrograph and flood routing data for Spring Lake. These data are for use with "The National Engineering Handbook - 4".

Concerning the toe drain, we are modifying our design as follows: a perforated pipe will be placed in a gravel trench and the gravel trench will be wrapped in Laurel Erosion Control Cloth Type II. Gravel will be clean, natural, and be proportioned such that the D₈₅ size is equal to or greater than 1/2-inch (twice the diameter of the perforated holes).

Other items in your letter of February 14, 1975 have been corrected, and the plans are being returned under separate cover.

Sincerely yours,

James H. Ragon, P. E.

EBO/ct

Enc.

SPRING LAKE
CANDLEWOOD LAKES INC. ENGINEERING REPORT
ADDITION I TO SECTION II MARCH 6, 1975

HYDROGRAPH

Inflow hydrographs were obtained from the National Engineering Handbook, Section Four, "Emergency Spillways".

A 6-hour rainfall of 24 inches was chosen, which gives considerable safety factor for this structure. We classify this structure as Risk Category One.

Parameters needed to use the NEH - 4 hydrographs are as follows:

Drainage Area = 187 acres.

Rainfall = 24 inches (.8 PMP)

SCS Curve Number = 80

$$\frac{T_o}{T_p} = 75$$

Runoff = 21.5 inches.

$$\text{Time of Concentration} = T_c = \left(\frac{11.9 \left(\frac{1750}{5280} \right)^3}{116} \right)^{.385} = .10480 \text{ hours.}$$

NEH - 4, Page 21.67 yields 3595 cfs maximum inflow.

FLOOD ROUTING

The SCS UD (Upper Darby) method was used. Parameters needed for the UD data are:

$$V_I = 53.3 \times \text{Runoff (inches)} \times \text{Drainage Area (square mile)}$$

$$V_I = 53.3 (21.5) (.292) = 334.8$$

Elevation of Emergency Crest = 525 feet msl.

$$V_{uf} = 65 \text{ AF}$$

$$V_{sp} = V_{te} - V_{uf} = (5.09 - 2.85) 10^6 \text{ ft}^3 = 2.24 \times 10^6 = 51.42 \text{ AF}$$

FLOOD ROUTING (continued)

$$\frac{V_{sp}}{V_I} = \frac{51.42}{334.8} = .15$$

$$V_{tw(530)} = 9.5 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3 = 218 \text{ AF}$$

$$V_{sw} = V_{tw} - V_{uf} = 218 - 65 = 153 \text{ AF}$$

$$\frac{V_{sw}}{V_I} = \frac{153}{334.8} = .45$$

Using Sheet ES - 601:

$$\frac{Q_o}{Q_I} = .4 \text{ where } Q_I = 3595 \text{ cfs}$$

$$Q_o = 3595 \times .4 = 1438 \text{ cfs}$$

Assuming a broad crested weir:

$$Q = 3.09 (H)^{3/2} W$$

$$W = \frac{Q}{3.09 (H)^{3/2}} = \frac{1438}{3.09 (5)^{1/5}} = 41.6 \text{ ft. Use 45 feet.}$$

Spillway width selected = 45 feet bottom width.

SPRING LAKE

CRITICAL ELEVATIONS* AND DIMENSIONS

Elevation of Normal Pool	521 ft.
Elevation of Emergency Spillway Crest	525 ft.
Elevation of Top of Dam	531 ft.
Spillway Width	45 ft.
Dam Top Width with 3:1 Side Slopes	30 ft.
Elevation of Bottom	508 ft.

*Elevations are from mean sea level.

ENGINEERING REPORT

Table of Contents

Section I	Purpose
Section II	Engineering Design Summary - Candlewood Lake
Section III	Correspondence (Reverse Chronological Order)
Section IV	Soils Report

DATE

ADMENDMENT/ADDITION

9/5/74	Original report compiled.
12/12/74	Added Design Summary - Spring Lake and Additional Correspondence.
3/6/75	Addition I to Section II - Spring Lake Spillway Bottom Width Changed to 45 feet.

**CANDLEWOOD LAKES PROPERTY
OWNERS ASSOC., INC.**

P.O. BOX 171321
MEMPHIS, TN. 38117

December 31, 1980

W.J. (Bill) Arnold- President
684-6968
Joseph Lacombe- V.P.
682-2210
Roy Sr.- Sec. Treas.
824-5401
John Shute
820-6213
Don Borge
820-6000
Larry Rice
820-6214

RAM 1/14
EPO
Send copy to GEORGE
FILE
RECEIVED

DEPT. OF CONSERVATION
WATER RESOURCES

RECEIVED JAN 22 1981

Mr. Robert A. Hunt, Director
Division of Water Resources
Tennessee Department of Conservation
4721 Trousdale Drive
Nashville, Tennessee 37219

Re: Dams at Candlewood Lake, Spring Lake #2, Crystal Lake #4 and
Old Hickory Lake located in Hardeman County

Dear Mr. Hunt:

Your letter of December 1, 1980 to Candlewood Lakes Inc., has
been forwarded to us.

As of January 1, 1979, the ownership of the above mentioned dams
was transferred to Candlewood Lakes Property Owners Association.

We were not aware of the State Safe Dams Act, but we will be
glad to cooperate with you in any way possible to keep the dams
safe.

Please direct all future correspondence to Candlewood Lakes
Property Owners Association, P.O. Box 171321, Memphis, Tennessee
38117. The phone number is 901-685-6968.

Sincerely,



W. J. Arnold, President
Candlewood Lakes Property Owners Assn.

WJA/a

PLANS

FOR

SPRING LAKE (LAKE NO. 2)

HARDEMAN COUNTY, TENNESSEE


CANDLEWOOD LAKES INCORPORATED

RANDOLPH E. HOLT


DEVELOPER


HAGON ENGINEERING COMPANY

SOLIVAR, TENNESSEE







REVISED APRIL 1, 1976
1. THE WORK OF THIS PROJECT WAS
2. PROVIDED BY THE TENNESSEE
3. DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE AND
4. TAXATION.







EASON ENGINEERING COMPANY General Trade Engineering		Cable/Wire Lanes Subsystem Spring Lake (Lake Michigan) Cable/Wire Lanes Subsystem Spring Lake (Lake Michigan)	
Customer Name	General Trade Engineering	Bottom Detail	PL 41 and
Customer No.	2008	DAN SECTION	




GENERAL NOTES:
 1. CONCRETE SHALL BE 3000 PSI STRENGTH
 2. ALL REINFORCING SHALL BE #4
 3. ALL DIMENSIONS SHALL BE IN FEET AND INCHES
 4. ALL DIMENSIONS SHALL BE TO FACE UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE

SPRING LAKE (LAKE NO. 2) CONCRETE RETAINMENT WALL CONCRETE RETAINMENT WALL		L-18 CONCRETE RETAINMENT WALL	
LAGON ENGINEERING COMPANY CONSULTING ENGINEERS 1000 10TH AVENUE SUITE 100 DENVER, CO 80202		DATE: 10/1/88 DRAWN: J. L. LAGAN CHECKED: J. L. LAGAN SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"	







EMERGENCY SPILLWAY PLAN



EMERGENCY SPILLWAY CENTRAL LINE PROFILE

SPRING LAKE (LAKE NO. 2) CAMBODIA, LAOS CAMBODIA, LAOS CAMBODIA, LAOS	
EMERGENCY SPILLWAY PLAN	
3 of 8	
BAGON ENGINEERING COMPANY CONSULTING ENGINEERS PHNOM PENH, CAMBODIA	
DRAWN BY DATE	CHECKED BY DATE
SCALE 1" = 100'	SCALE 1" = 100'






LASON ENGINEERING COMPANY		SPRING LAKE (LAKE NO 2)	
CADDISWOOD LAKE SUPERVISOR		CADDISWOOD LAKE SUPERVISOR	
DATE: 10/1/78		DATE: 10/1/78	
BY: [Signature]		BY: [Signature]	
CHECKED: [Signature]		CHECKED: [Signature]	
APPROVED: [Signature]		APPROVED: [Signature]	
SCALE: 1" = 100'		SCALE: 1" = 100'	
SHEET NO. 1		SHEET NO. 1	
TOTAL SHEETS: 1		TOTAL SHEETS: 1	

1. All work shall be done in accordance with the latest edition of the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) standards.
 2. The design is based on the information provided by the client and is not to be used for any other purpose without the written consent of the engineer.
 3. The engineer assumes no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the information provided by the client.

4. The design is based on the information provided by the client and is not to be used for any other purpose without the written consent of the engineer.
 5. The engineer assumes no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of the information provided by the client.


W. C. H. CO.




LONGITUDINAL SECTION
BOTTOM DRAIN OUTLET STRUCTURAL DETAILS




SECTION C-C




SECTION B-B



PLAN



SECTION D-D



SECTION A-A

SECTION OF ANTI-SHIP COLLAR

NOTES:
1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES.
2. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO FACE UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
3. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO BE MAINTAINED.

SECTION OF ANTI-SHIP COLLAR, PIPE BELOW, & OUTLET STRUCTURE		SPRING LAKE (LAKE NO. 2) CAMP, LEWIS LAKES SUBDIVISION MONTANA COUNTY, MONTANA	
SECTION OF ANTI-SHIP COLLAR, PIPE BELOW, & OUTLET STRUCTURE		SECTION OF ANTI-SHIP COLLAR, PIPE BELOW, & OUTLET STRUCTURE	

PLANS


FOR


OLD HICKORY LAKE (LAKE NO 3)


HARDEMAN COUNTY, TENNESSEE

CANDLEWOOD LAKES INCORPORATED


RASON ENGINEERING COMPANY
BOLIVAR, TENNESSEE









Drop Inlet Plan



SECTION "A-A"



SECTION "B-B"



SECTION C.C.

GENERAL NOTES

[illegible]

TYPICAL SECTION FOR EMBANKMENT SPILLWAY

STATION 110 TO 240 TO 100


STATION 110 TO 340 E 114 711 3M




TYPICAL EMBANKMENT SECTION FOR DAM

NOTES
ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET
UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO FACE
UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO FACE
UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED

BACON ENGINEERING COMPANY		OLD HICKORY LAKE (LAKES NO 3)	
COLUMBIA, MISSOURI		COLUMBIA, MISSOURI	
DATE: 11/1/28		DATE: 11/1/28	
BY: [Signature]		BY: [Signature]	
CHECKED: [Signature]		CHECKED: [Signature]	
APPROVED: [Signature]		APPROVED: [Signature]	
TYPICAL EMBANKMENT SECTION		6-B	



BOTTOM DRAIN OUTLET STRUCTURE DETAILS



SECTION D-D

NOTES:
 1. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN FEET AND INCHES.
 2. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO FACE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
 3. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE TO CENTER UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.

LACON ENGINEERING COMPANY		OLD HICKORY LAKE (LAKE NO. 3)	
CONSULTING ENGINEERS		COLUMBIAN LAKES SUBDIVISION	
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE		DETAILS OF ANTI-SIPHON COLLAR, PIPE	
SHEET NO. 1		SHEET NO. 1	
DATE: 10/1/54		DATE: 10/1/54	
BY: J. H. HARRIS		BY: J. H. HARRIS	
CHECKED BY: J. H. HARRIS		CHECKED BY: J. H. HARRIS	
APPROVED BY: J. H. HARRIS		APPROVED BY: J. H. HARRIS	
SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"		SCALE: 1" = 1'-0"	
SHEET NO. 1		SHEET NO. 1	
TOTAL SHEETS: 1		TOTAL SHEETS: 1	

RAGON ENGINEERING COMPANY

CONSULTING ENGINEERS

725 WEST MARKET ST.

P. O. Box 167

BOLIVAR, TENNESSEE 38008

December 7, 1976

JAMES H. RAGON, P. E.

DON R. MOORE, BSCE; EIT

EDMOND B. O'NEILL, BSME

BOBBY L. TULLEY, RSAET


Mr. Robert A. Hunt
Tennessee Department of Conservation
Division of Water Resources
6213 Charlotte Ave.
Nashville, Tennessee 37209

Re: Candlewood Subdivision
Old Hickory Lake (Lake #3)

Dear Mr. Hunt:

The construction of Old Hickory Lake (Lake #3) has been completed, except for some shoreline work. The completed construction was done in substantial conformity with the approved plans and specifications as prepared by Ragon Engineering Company.

Yours truly,


James H. Ragon, P. E.

JHR/ct

Enc.

cc: Mr. Edmond B. O'Neill
Regional Engineer

S & W Construction Company
Memphis, Tennessee



ANALYSES OF MOISTURE DENSITY TEST OF COMPACTED FILL

Contractor S & W Construction Company Project CANDLEWOOD LAKES PROJECT
OLD HICKORY DAM
Report to S & W Construction Company (2) Date April 16, 1976
Lab. No. 30124

Test No.	1	2	3	4		
Density of Sand (lbs./cu. ft.)	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (before test)	7.70	7.59	7.67	7.71		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (after test)	4.04	3.95	3.98	4.27		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole & Funnel	3.66	3.64	3.69	3.44		
Wgt. of Sand in Funnel	1.68	1.68	1.68	1.68		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole	1.98	1.96	2.01	1.76		
Volume of Hole (cu. ft.)	.0202	.0200	.0205	.01796		
Wgt. of Wet Soil	2.74	2.83	2.74	2.56		
Wgt. of Dry Soil	2.36	2.47	2.38	2.20		
Wgt. of Water	.38	.36	.36	.36		
Moisture Content (% of Dry Wgt.)	16.1	14.6	15.1	16.4		
Density, Dry Soil (lbs./cu. ft.)	116.8	123.5	116.1	122.5		
% Required Density	101.9	107.8	101.3	106.9		
Required Density (lbs./cu. ft.)	114.6	114.6	114.6	114.6		
Optimum Moisture (% of Dry Wgt.)	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5		
Stone, % by Wgt.						

Location of Tests

- 1 In Center of Dam 160' W.--About 3' of Fill
- 2 In center of Dam 260' W.--About 3' of Fill
- 3 300' W. and 30' N. of Center of Dam--About 3' of Fill
- 4 In Center of Dam 440' W.--About 3' of Fill

CONSTANTINE & SONS, INC.

JACKSON, TENNESSEE 38301

PHONE 23-2062

40 OLD HICKORY COVE

JACKSON, TENNESSEE 38301

(901) 668-7274



ANALYSES OF MOISTURE DENSITY TEST OF COMPACTED FILL

Contractor S & W Construction Co. Project CANDLEWOOD LAKES PROJECT
OLD HICKORY DAM
Report to S & W Construction Co. (2) Date April 20, 1976
Lab. No. 30164

Test No.	1	2	3	4		
Density of Sand (lbs./cu. ft.)	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (before test)	7.69	7.58	7.70	7.79		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (after test)	3.50	3.53	3.50	3.80		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole & Funnel	4.19	4.05	4.20	3.99		
Wgt. of Sand in Funnel	1.98	1.98	1.98	1.98		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole	2.21	2.07	2.22	2.01		
Volume of Hole (cu. ft.)	.0226	.0211	.0227	.0205		
Wgt. of Wet Soil	2.90	2.76	2.85	2.79		
Wgt. of Dry Soil	2.54	2.34	2.40	2.38		
Wgt. of Water	.36	.42	.45	.41		
Moisture Content (% of Dry Wgt.)	14.2	17.9	18.8	17.2		
Density, Dry Soil (lbs./cu. ft.)	112.4	110.9	105.7	116.1		
% Required Density	98.1	96.8	92.2	101.3		
Required Density (lbs./cu. ft.)	114.6	114.6	114.6	114.6		
Optimum Moisture (% of Dry Wgt.)	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5		
Stone, % by Wgt.						

Location of Tests

- 1 220' W. and 40' S. from Center of Dam--About 6' of Fill
- 2 250' W. and 40' N. from Center of Dam--6' of Fill
- 3 350' W., In Center of Dam--About 7' of Fill
- 4 450' W. and 55' N. from Center of Dam--6' of Fill



ANALYSES OF MOISTURE DENSITY TEST OF COMPACTED FILL
CANDLEWOOD LAKES PROJECT
OLD HICKORY DAM

Contractor S & W Construction Company

Project _____

Report to S & W Construction (2); Ragon Engineering

Date May 2, 1976

30284

Lab. No. _____

Test No.	1	2	3	4		
Density of Sand (lbs./cu. ft.)	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (before test)	7.86	7.85	7.87	7.86		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (after test)	3.96	4.07	4.01	3.82		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole & Funnel	3.90	3.76	3.86	4.04		
Wgt. of Sand in Funnel	1.98	1.98	1.98	1.98		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole	1.92	1.78	1.88	2.06		
Volume of Hole (cu. ft.)	.0196	.0182	.0192	.0210		
Wgt. of Wet Soil	2.43	2.26	2.89	3.03		
Wgt. of Dry Soil	1.93	1.82	2.59	2.72		
Wgt. of Water	.50	.44	.30	.31		
Moisture Content (% of Dry Wgt.)	25.9	24.2	11.6	11.4		
Density, Dry Soil (lbs./cu. ft.)	98.5	100.0	134.9	129.5		
% Required Density	86.0	87.3	112.0	107.5		
Required Density (lbs./cu. ft.)	114.6	114.6	120.5	120.5		
Optimum Moisture (% of Dry Wgt.)	11.5	11.5	11.2	11.2		
Stone, % by Wgt.						

Location of Tests

- 1 4+10 W in center of Dam--17' of fill
- 2 3+00 W, 25' S. of Center of Dam--18' of fill
- 3 2+10 W, 35' N. of Center of Dam--15' of fill
- 4 1+00 W, 25' S. of Center of Dam--18' of fill



ANALYSES OF MOISTURE DENSITY TEST OF COMPACTED FILL

Contractor S & W Construction Co.

Project CANDLEWOOD LAKES - OLD HICKORY DAM

Report to S & W Construction(2); Ragon Engineering

Date July 6, 1976

Lab. No. 30871

Test No.	1	2	3	4		
Density of Sand (lbs./cu. ft.)	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (before test)	7.84	7.80	7.92	7.82		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (after test)	4.04	4.03	4.23	4.26		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole & Funnel	3.80	3.77	3.69	3.56		
Wgt. of Sand in Funnel	1.83	1.83	1.83	1.83		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole	1.97	1.94	1.86	1.73		
Volume of Hole (cu. ft.)	.0201	.01979	.01897	.01765		
Wgt. of Wet Soil	2.53	2.68	2.54	2.34		
Wgt. of Dry Soil	2.06	2.31	2.12	2.04		
Wgt. of Water	.47	.37	.42	.30		
Moisture Content (% of Dry Wgt.)	22.8	16.01	19.8	14.7		
Density, Dry Soil (lbs./cu. ft.)	102.5	116.7	111.8	115.6		
% Required Density	89.4	101.8	97.5	100.9		
Required Density (lbs./cu. ft.)	114.6	114.6	114.6	114.6		
Optimum Moisture (% of Dry Wgt.)	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5		
Stone, % by Wgt.						

Location of Tests

- 1 At Sta. 2+00 18' S. off Center of Dam--6' Below Grade
- 2 At Sta. 2+00 15' N. off Center of Dam--8' Below Grade
- 3 At Sta. 2+90 In Center of Dam--6' Below Grade
- 4 At Sta. 3+50 34' N. off Center of Dam--9' Below Grade

NEW ADDRESS
CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING LAB, INC.
411 N. MAIN ST.
JACKSON, TENNESSEE 38301
PHONE 423-2062

40 OLD HICKORY COVE

JACKSON, TENNESSEE 38301

[901] 668-7274



ANALYSES OF MOISTURE DENSITY TEST OF COMPACTED FILL

Contractor S & W Construction Co.

Project CANTERWOOD LANE - OLD HICKORY DAM

Report to S & W Construction(2); Pagon Engineering

Date Aug 19, 1976

Lab. No. 1471

Test No.	1	2	3	4		
Density of Sand (lbs./cu. ft.)		8.0	8.0	8.0		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (before test)		7.0	7.02	7.02		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (after test)		4.23	4.25	4.26		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole & Funnel	2.80	3.77	3.69	3.56		
Wgt. of Sand in Funnel	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole	1.80	2.77	2.69	2.56		
Volume of Hole (cu. ft.)		.4677	.4677	.4677		
Wgt. of Wet Soil	1.25	2.68	2.71	2.71		
Wgt. of Dry Soil	2.00	2.51	2.42	2.44		
Wgt. of Water	.47	.57	.42	.59		
Moisture Content (% of Dry Wgt.)	23.5	16.01	17.8	14.7		
Density, Dry Soil (lbs./cu. ft.)	112.5	110.7	111.8	115.0		
% Required Density	100	100	97.5	100		
Required Density (lbs./cu. ft.)	112.5	110.7	114.6	115.0		
Optimum Moisture (% of Dry Wgt.)	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5		
Stone, % by Wgt.						

Location of Tests

1. At Sta. 2+00 10' N. off center of lane--6' Below Grade
2. At Sta. 2+00 15' N. off center of lane--6' Below Grade
3. At Sta. 2+00 20' N. off center of lane--6' Below Grade
4. At Sta. 2+00 34' N. off center of lane--9' Below Grade

40 OLD HICKORY COVE

JACKSON, TENNESSEE 38301

(901) 668-7274



ANALYSES OF MOISTURE DENSITY TEST OF COMPACTED FILL

Contractor S & W Construction Company

Project LAUREL (OLD HICKORY DAM)

Report to S & W Construction Company Engineering

Date 1/17/76

Lab. No. 7777

Test No.		1	2	3		
Density of Sand (lbs./cu. ft.)		1.0	1.0	1.0		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (before test)		1.0	1.0	1.0		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (after test)	4.17	4.05	4.05	4.11		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole & Funnel	4.17	3.81	3.89	3.87		
Wgt. of Sand in Funnel	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole						
Volume of Hole (cu. ft.)		0.002	0.002	0.002		
Wgt. of Wet Soil	7.17	6.77	6.89	6.87		
Wgt. of Dry Soil	6.45	6.45	6.45	6.45		
Wgt. of Water	.72	.32	.44	.42		
Moisture Content (% of Dry Wgt.)	11.2	10.2	12.2	12.2		
Density, Dry Soil (lbs./cu. ft.)	115.1	111.1	103.2	111.1		
% Required Density	100	100	100	100		
Required Density (lbs./cu. ft.)	115.1	111.1	103.2	111.1		
Optimum Moisture (% of Dry Wgt.)	11.2	11.2	11.2	11.2		
Stone, % by Wgt.						

Location of Tests

- Sta. 2+60 18' S.--6' below grade
- Sta. 4+50 20' S.--12' below grade
- Sta. 5+10 25' N.--12' below grade
- Sta. 6+00 10' N.--8' below grade



ANALYSES OF MOISTURE DENSITY TEST OF COMPACTED FILL

Contractor S & W Construction Company

Project CARLETON LAKES (OLD HICKORY DAM)

Report to S & W Construction; Ragon Engineering

Date July 8, 1976

Lab. No. 30909

Test No.	1	2	3	4		
Density of Sand (lbs./cu. ft.)	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (before test)	7.94	7.86	7.89	7.87		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (after test)	3.77	4.05	4.03	4.24		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole & Funnel	4.17	3.81	3.86	3.63		
Wgt. of Sand in Funnel	1.83	1.83	1.83	1.83		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole	2.34	1.98	2.03	1.80		
Volume of Hole (cu. ft.)	.0259	.0202	.0207	.0184		
Wgt. of Wet Soil	3.27	2.75	2.86	2.57		
Wgt. of Dry Soil	2.95	2.45	2.55	2.29		
Wgt. of Water	.32	.30	.31	.28		
Moisture Content (% of Dry Wgt.)	10.8	12.2	12.2	12.2		
Density, Dry Soil (lbs./cu. ft.)	123.4	121.3	123.2	124.5		
% Required Density	102.4	100.7	102.2	103.5		
Required Density (lbs./cu. ft.)	120.5	120.5	120.5	120.5		
Optimum Moisture (% of Dry Wgt.)	11.2	11.2	11.2	11.2		
Stone, % by Wgt.						

Location of Tests

- 1 Sta. 2+00 18' S.--6' Below Grade
- 2 Sta. 4+50 20' S.--12' Below Grade
- 3 Sta. 5+10 25' N.--12' Below Grade
- 4 Sta. 6+00 10' N.--8' Below Grade

NEW ADDRESS
CONSTRUCTION, INC.
41
JACKSON
PHONE 462-002



ANALYSES OF MOISTURE DENSITY TEST OF COMPACTED FILL

Contractor S & W Construction Co.

Project CADDLEWOOD LAKES - OLD HICKORY DAM

Report to S & W Construction(2); Ragon Engineering

Date 4-12-1976

Lab. No. 30947

Test No.	1	2	3	4		
Density of Sand (lbs./cu. ft.)	98.0	98.0	98.0			
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (before test)	7.99	7.87	7.92			
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (after test)	4.15	4.13	4.13			
Wgt. of Sand in Hole & Funnel	3.98	3.84	3.74	3.79		
Wgt. of Sand in Funnel	1.85	1.85	1.85	1.85		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole	2.01	1.99	1.90			
Volume of Hole (cu. ft.)	.0205	.0205	.0205			
Wgt. of Wet Soil	2.72	2.57	2.49	2.44		
Wgt. of Dry Soil	2.42	2.29	2.25	2.14		
Wgt. of Water	.30	.28	.26	.30		
Moisture Content (% of Dry Wgt.)	12.4	12.2	11.7	14.0		
Density, Dry Soil (lbs./cu. ft.)	110.5	111.7	114.4	107.5		
% Required Density	90.4	97.5	99.4	91.4		
Required Density (lbs./cu. ft.)	114.0	114.0	115.1	115.1		
Optimum Moisture (% of Dry Wgt.)	11.5	11.5	14.6	11.5		
Stone, % by Wgt.						

Location of Tests

- 1 At Sta. 3+50 5' S. off Center of Dam--9' Below Grade
- 2 At Sta. 4+20 5' S. off Center of Dam--9' Below Grade
- 3 At Sta. 4+30 25' S. off Center of Dam--9' Below Grade
- 4 At Sta. 5+40 In Center of Dam--9' Below Grade

NEW ADDRESS
CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS LAB, INC.
41 E. MAIN ST.
JACKSON, TENNESSEE 38301
PHONE 423-2062

40 OLD HICKORY COVE

JACKSON, TENNESSEE 38301

(901) 688-7274



ANALYSES OF MOISTURE DENSITY TEST OF COMPACTED FILL

Contractor S & W Construction Co.

Project CANDLEWOOD LAKES - OLD HICKORY DAM

Report to S & W Construction(2); Ragon Engineering

Date July 12, 1976

Lab. No. 30947

Test No.	1	2	3	4		
Density of Sand (lbs./cu. ft.)	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (before test)	7.81	7.99	7.87	7.92		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (after test)	3.83	4.15	4.13	4.13		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole & Funnel	3.98	3.84	3.74	3.79		
Wgt. of Sand in Funnel	1.83	1.83	1.83	1.83		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole	2.15	2.01	1.91	1.96		
Volume of Hole (cu. ft.)	.0219	.0205	.0195	.0200		
Wgt. of Wet Soil	2.72	2.57	2.49	2.44		
Wgt. of Dry Soil	2.42	2.29	2.23	2.14		
Wgt. of Water	.30	.28	.26	.30		
Moisture Content (% of Dry Wgt.)	12.4	12.2	11.7	14.0		
Density, Dry Soil (lbs./cu. ft.)	110.5	111.7	114.4	107.0		
% Required Density	96.4	97.5	99.4	93.4		
Required Density (lbs./cu. ft.)	114.6	114.6	115.1	114.6		
Optimum Moisture (% of Dry Wgt.)	11.5	11.5	14.6	11.5		
Stone, % by Wgt.						

Location of Tests

- 1 At Sta. 3+30 5' S. off Center of Dam--8' Below Grade
- 2 At Sta. 4+20 35' N. off Center of Dam--9' Below Grade
- 3 At Sta. 4+30 25' S. off Center of Dam--9' Below Grade
- 4 At Sta. 5+40 In Center of Dam--9' Below Grade



ANALYSES OF MOISTURE DENSITY TEST OF COMPACTED FILL

Contractor S & W Construction Company Project CANDLEWOOD LAKES - OLD HICKORY DAM
Report to S & W Construction(2); Ragon Engineering Date July 14, 1976
Lab. No. 30984

Test No.	1	2	3	4	5	
Density of Sand (lbs./cu. ft.)	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0	
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (before test)	7.78	7.94	7.86	7.75	7.82	
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (after test)	3.83	4.07	4.04	3.70	3.77	
Wgt. of Sand in Hole & Funnel	3.95	3.87	3.82	4.05	4.05	
Wgt. of Sand in Funnel	1.83	1.83	1.83	1.83	1.83	
Wgt. of Sand in Hole	2.12	2.04	1.99	2.22	2.22	
Volume of Hole (cu. ft.)	.0216	.0208	.0203	.0227	.0227	
Wgt. of Wet Soil	2.81	2.85	2.73	3.06	3.18	
Wgt. of Dry Soil	2.50	2.49	2.31	2.69	2.72	
Wgt. of Water	.31	.36	.42	.37	.46	
Moisture Content (% of Dry Wgt.)	12.4	14.5	18.2	13.8	16.9	
Density, Dry Soil (lbs./cu. ft.)	115.7	119.7	113.8	118.5	119.8	
% Required Density	96.0	99.3	98.9	98.9	99.4	
Required Density (lbs./cu. ft.)	120.5	120.5	115.1	120.5	120.5	
Optimum Moisture (% of Dry Wgt.)	11.2	11.2	14.6	11.2	11.2	
Stone, % by Wgt.						

Location of Tests

- 1 Sta. 1+46 In Center of Dam--5' Below Grade
- 2 Sta. 2+75 5' S. off Center of Dam--5' Below Grade
- 3 Sta. 3+98 5' N. off Center of Dam--5' Below Grade
- 4 Sta. 4+75 15' S. off Center of Dam--5' Below Grade
- 5 Recheck at Sta. 5+40 in Center of Dam--9' Below Grade

NEW ADDRESS
CONSTANTINE ENGINEERING LAB, INC.
JACKSON, TENNESSEE 38301
PHONE 423-2002



ANALYSES OF MOISTURE DENSITY TEST OF COMPACTED FILL

Contractor S & W Construction Company

Project CANDLEWOOD LAKES - OLD HICKORY DAM

Report to S & W Construction(2); Ragon Engineering

Date July 14, 1976

Lab. No. 50964

Test No.	1	2	3	4	5	
Density of Sand (lbs./cu. ft.)	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0	
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (before test)	7.78	7.94	7.86	7.75	7.82	
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (after test)	3.33	4.07	4.04	3.70	3.77	
Wgt. of Sand in Hole & Funnel	3.95	3.87	3.82	4.05	4.05	
Wgt. of Sand in Funnel	1.83	1.83	1.83	1.83	1.83	
Wgt. of Sand in Hole	2.12	2.04	1.99	2.22	2.22	
Volume of Hole (cu. ft.)	.0216	.0208	.0203	.0227	.0227	
Wgt. of Wet Soil	2.81	2.85	2.73	3.06	3.18	
Wgt. of Dry Soil	2.50	2.49	2.31	2.69	2.72	
Wgt. of Water	.31	.36	.42	.37	.46	
Moisture Content (% of Dry Wgt.)	12.4	14.5	18.2	13.8	16.9	
Density, Dry Soil (lbs./cu. ft.)	115.7	119.7	113.8	118.5	119.8	
% Required Density	96.0	99.3	98.9	98.9	99.4	
Required Density (lbs./cu. ft.)	120.5	120.5	115.1	120.5	120.5	
Optimum Moisture (% of Dry Wgt.)	11.2	11.2	14.6	11.2	11.2	
Stone, % by Wgt.						

Location of Tests


- 1 Sta. 1+46 In Center of Dam--5' Below Grade
- 2 Sta. 2+75 5' S. off Center of Dam--5' Below Grade
- 3 Sta. 3+98 5' N. off Center of Dam--5' Below Grade
- 4 Sta. 4+75 15' S. off Center of Dam--5' Below Grade
- 5 Recheck at Sta. 3+40 in Center of Dam--9' Below Grade

NEW ADDRESS
CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS LAB, INC.
41 HUNTER CIRCLE
JACKSON, TENNESSEE 38301
PHONE 423-2062

40 OLD HICKORY COVE

JACKSON, TENNESSEE 38301

(901) 668-7274



ANALYSES OF MOISTURE DENSITY TEST OF COMPACTED FILL

Contractor S & W Construction Company

Project CANDLEWOOD LAKES (OLD HICKORY DAM)

Report to S & W Construction (2); Ragon Engineering

Date July 15, 1976

Lab. No. 36991

Test No.	1	2	3	4		
Density of Sand (lbs./cu. ft.)	98.0	98.0	98.0	98.0		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (before test)	7.70	7.74	7.85	7.79		
Wgt. of Jar & Sand (after test)	4.00	4.06	4.10	3.98		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole & Funnel	3.70	3.68	3.75	3.81		
Wgt. of Sand in Funnel	1.85	1.85	1.85	1.85		
Wgt. of Sand in Hole	1.87	1.85	1.92	1.98		
Volume of Hole (cu. ft.)	.0191	.0189	.0196	.0202		
Wgt. of Wet Soil	2.45	2.50	2.45	2.70		
Wgt. of Dry Soil	2.08	2.19	2.21	2.51		
Wgt. of Water	.55	.51	.24	.59		
Moisture Content (% of Dry Wgt.)	16.8	14.2	10.9	16.9		
Density, Dry Soil (lbs./cu. ft.)	108.9	115.9	112.8	114.4		
% Required Density	97.2	103.5	98.4	102.1		
Required Density (lbs./cu. ft.)	112.0	112.0	114.6	112.0		
Optimum Moisture (% of Dry Wgt.)	14.6	14.6	11.5	14.6		
Stone, % by Wgt.						

Location of Tests

1. Sta. 1+50, 5' N. off Center of Dam--2' Below Grade
2. Sta. 2+55, 5' S. off Center of Dam--2' Below Grade
3. Sta. 4+50, In center of Dam--2' Below Grade
4. Sta. 5+50, In center of dam--2' Below Grade

NEW ADDRESS
CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS LAB, INC.
41 HERITAGE SQUARE
JACKSON, TENNESSEE 38301
PHONE 423-2062

40 OLD HICKORY COVE

JACKSON, TENNESSEE 38301

(201) 652-7274

SOUTHERN STATES INDUSTRIES

Representing Gorman-Rupp

MEMPHIS, TENN.

NO. LITTLE ROCK, ARK.

PROJ. NO. Old Hickory Lake (No. 3)

LOCATION Candlewood Lakes

DATE 12/19/75

ENGINEER JR

PAGE _____

Principle Spillway Sizing

Criteria: Lower Lake to Elev. 496(8') within 3 days

$$H_p = \frac{504 - 496}{8}$$

$$V_{H_p} = 9.5 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_{496} = \frac{2.1 \times 10^6}{7.4 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3}$$

Required Discharge Rate:

$$Q = \frac{7.4(10^6)}{3(24)(3600)} = 28.55 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}$$

$$H_{100} = \frac{504 + 496}{2} - 496 = 4 \text{ ft}$$

$$H = 10.5$$

$$L = 149 \text{ ft.}$$

$$S = 0.02$$

$$h = 0.012$$

$$K_e = 0.5$$

$$D = 24 \text{ in.}$$

$$L = 144$$

$$S = 0.06$$

$$n = 0.012$$

$$K_e = 0.5$$

$$D = 24 \text{ in.}$$

$$L + H = 149 + 8 = 156 + 24 = 180'$$

$$S = 0.04$$

$$h = 0.012$$


$$K_e = 0.85$$

$$D = 24 \text{ in.}$$

$$Q = 35.145 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}$$

$$Q = 49.5 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec.}$$

$$Q_{ph} = 53 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}$$



WELCOME TO
6TH ANNUAL MEETING AMERICAN COLLEGE OF SURVEYORS IN TENNESSEE

LAMARCEL BLUE PRINT & SUPPLY CO.

124 BROAD AVE.

JACKSON, TENN. 38212

PHONE (901) 527-7385

HUB CITY BLUE PRINT & SUPPLY CO.

361 NORTH ROYAL STR.

JACKSON, TENN.

PHONE (901) 427-9071

OLD HICKORY LAKE (No 3)

$$C_n = 80 \quad AD = 16.7 \text{ Mc.}$$

Volume 10 yr. Storm: $P = 5.5'' \quad Q = 3.3''$

$$16.7(43560) \frac{3.3}{12} = 2 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3 = 45.9 \text{ AF}$$

$$V_{\text{OLD HICKORY LAKE}} = 0$$

$$E_{10} = 504$$

$$10 \text{ YR. } V_{\text{NP}} = 9.5 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_{10} = 2.0 \times 10^6$$

$$V_{\text{NP}+10} = 11.5 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$E_{\text{NP}+10} = 505.5'$$

Volume 100 yr. Storm: $P = 7.5'' \quad Q = 5.2''$

$$16.7(43560) \left(\frac{5.2}{12} \right) = 3.15 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_{\text{OLD HICKORY LAKE}} = \left[2.85 + 3.5 - 5.09 \right] \text{ AF} = 1.26 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_{\text{NP}} = 9.5 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V = 3.15 \times 10^6$$

$$V_{\text{OLD HICKORY LAKE}} = \frac{1.26 \times 10^6}{13.91 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3}$$

$$E_{\text{NP}+100} = 507.2' \quad (\text{See Flood Routing for } P = 7.5'')$$

Examine effect of failure of Spring Lake Dam.

VOLUME $V \text{ ft}^3 \times 10^6$

RESULTING ELEV. F'ORD.

	SPRING	OLD HICKORY	TOTAL	$Q_0 = 0$	
NP	2.85	9.5	12.35	506.2	54.8'
$E_E = 10 \text{ YR}$	5.09	11.5	16.59	509.2	51.8'

WELCOME TO
6TH ANNUAL MEETING AMERICAN COLLEGE OF SURVEYORS IN TENNESSEE

CAMPBELL BLUE PRINT & SUPPLY CO.
3124 BROAD AVE.
KNOXVILLE, TENN. 37612
PHONE (901) 527-1585

HUB CITY BLUE PRINT & SUPPLY CO.
361 NORTH ROYAL STR.
JACKSON, TENN.
PHONE (901) 427-9071

Old Hickory Lake (No 3) MPF

$$C_n = 80$$

$$DA = 16.7 AC = 0.26111^2$$

$$P = 2.4"$$

$$T_c = \left(\frac{11.943}{H} \right)^{0.385} = \left(\frac{11.9 \left(\frac{1525}{5270} \right)^3}{121} \right)^{0.385} = 0.101 \text{ hr.}$$

$$Q = 21.3"$$

$$5 \text{ Family } \#1$$

$$6 \text{ } T_D = 5.71 \text{ hr.}$$

$$7 \text{ } \frac{T_D}{T_P} = 0.776 = 0.7(0.101) = 0.07 \text{ hr.}$$

$$8. \frac{T_D}{T_P} = \frac{5.71}{0.07} = 80.4$$

$$9 \text{ } \frac{T_D}{T_P} (\text{Rev}) = 75$$

$$10 \text{ } T_P (\text{Rev}) = \frac{5.71}{75} = 0.076 \text{ hr.}$$

$$11. q_p = \frac{484A}{T_P} = \frac{484(0.26)}{0.076} = 1656 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec/in}$$

$$12. Q_{qp} = Q \times q_p = 21.3(1656) = 35273 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}$$

$$13 \text{ } Q_1 = 0.09(35273) = 3175 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}$$

$$Q_1 = Q_1 + Q_2 (\text{Lake 1, 2}) = 3175 + 1470 = 4655 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec.}$$

$$14. V_1 = 53.3 QA = 53.3(21.3)(0.26) = 296.31 \text{ AF} = 12.91 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_{\text{initial}} = V + V_2 (\text{Lake 2}) = (12.91 + 12.3)10^6 = 25.21 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_e (\text{Lake}) &= V_1 - V_{sp} \\ &= 331.5 - 49.36 \\ &= 282.14 \text{ AF} \\ &= 12.3 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$15. V_{uf} = 9.5 \times 10^6 = 213.09 \text{ AF}$$

$$16. V_{sp} = V_{ee} - V_{uf} = (13 - 9.5)10^6 = 3.5 \times 10^6 = 80.35 \text{ AF}$$

$$17 \text{ } \frac{V_{sp}}{V_1} = \frac{3.5 \times 10^6}{25.2 \times 10^6} = 0.14$$

- d. Compute the available flood storage at E_n

$$V_{sl} = V_{th} - V_{ur}$$

- e. Follow steps 1 through 5 of the procedure given under principal spillway corrections for two stage structures

4. Principal Spillway System Calculations:

$E_e = 506.5$ ft	$z =$ _____	$L =$ _____ ft	$V_{op}/V_I = 0.14$
$V_{te} = 13 \times 10^6$ AF	Case _____	$S_o =$ _____ %	$V_{op}/V_I + V_{op}/V_I = 0.14$
$V_{sp} = 3.5 \times 10^6$ AF	$Q_{ph} = 47.4$ cfs		$V_{op}'/V_I = 0.16$
$Q_{ph} = 47$ cfs	$Q_{ph}/Q_I = 0.007$		$V_{op}/V_I = 0.02$

- a. Select an elevation of emergency spillway crest, E_e
- b. Read the total storage at E_e from the stage-storage curve, this is V_{te}
- c. Compute the available flood storage at E_e
 $V_{sp} = V_{te} - V_{ur}$
- d. Obtain principal spillway discharge at E_e , this is Q_{ph}
- e. Compute the average high stage release rate, this is Q_{ph}
- f. Follow the procedure given for single stage structures, or steps 6 through 10 for two stage structures, principal spillway corrections

- g. Compute the principal spillway correction

$$V_{op}/V_I = V_{op}'/V_I - V_{sp}/V_I = 0.16 - 0.14 = 0.02$$

- h. Obtain from the emergency spillway layout data

- (1) Entrance Length, L $\frac{V_{sw}'}{V_I} = \frac{V_{sw}}{V_I} + \frac{V_{op}}{V_I}$
- (2) Profile case $\frac{V_{sw}'}{V_I} = \frac{V_{sw}}{V_I} + \frac{V_{op}}{V_I}$
- (3) Entrance slope, S_o = _____
- (4) Side slopes, z

5. Table:

	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
E_e	V_{te}/V_I	V_{sp}/V_I	Q_{ph}	V_{op}/V_I	V_{op}'/V_I	V_{op}/V_I	Q_{ph}/Q_I	E
ft			cfs					ft

AD-A108 466

TENNESSEE STATE DEPT OF CONSERVATION NASHVILLE DIV 0--ETC F/G 13/13
NATIONAL PROGRAM OF INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS, TENNESSEE. --ETC(U)
SEP 81 G E MOORE
DACW62-81-C-0056

UNCLASSIFIED

3 OF 3
AD-A
(U)

NI


END
DATE
FILMED
01-82
DTIC

3 OF 3

AD A

108466

E_p
 P
 Q
 V
 V_{sp}
 V_{si}
 V_{si}
 E_p
 V_{si}
 V_{si}
 V_{si}



ض

$v_2 = 11.4 \text{ ft/sec}$

WELCOME TO
6TH ANNUAL MEETING AMERICAN COLLEGE OF SURVEYORS IN TENNESSEE

CAMPBELL BLUE PRINT & SUPPLY CO.
2124 BROADWAY
MEMPHIS, TENN. 38112
PHONE (901) 327-7385

HUN CITY BLUE PRINT & SUPPLY CO.
361 NORTH ROYAL STR.
JACKSON, TENN.
PHONE (901) 427-9071

$$P = 13'' \quad C_n = 80$$

$$T_c = 0.101$$

$$Q = 10.5''$$

$$T_{1/10} = 5.71 \text{ hr.}$$

$$T_p = 0.07$$

$$T_{1/10} = 80.9$$

$$T_{1/10} = 80.9$$

$$T_{1/10}(10.5) = 75$$

$$T_p = 0.0716 \text{ hr.}$$

$$Q_p = 1656 \text{ ft}^3/\text{hr.}$$

$$Q_{1p} = 10.5(1656) = 17388 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec.}$$

$$Q_i = 0.09(17388) = 1565 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec.}$$

$$Q_i = Q_1 + Q_0 (\text{SPRING LAKE})$$

$$Q_i = 1565 + 575$$

$$Q_i = 2140 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec.}$$

$$V_i = 53.33(10.5)(0.261) = 146.2 \text{ AF} = 6.37 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_i = V_1 + V_0 (\text{SPRING LAKE})$$

$$V_i = (6.3' + 4.9')/10^6$$

$$V_i = 11.24 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_0 = V_i - V_{sp}$$

$$= (7.12 - 2.15)/10^6$$

$$= 4.97 \times 10^6$$

$$V_{sp} = (13 - 9.5)/10^6 = 3.5 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$\frac{V_{sp}}{V_i} = \frac{3.5 \times 10^6}{11.24 \times 10^6} = 0.307$$

OLD HICKORY LAKE
1/5/76 JR

- d. Compute the available flood storage at E_h

$$V_{sl} = V_{th} - V_{ur}$$

- e. Follow steps 1 through 5 of the procedure given under principal spillway corrections for two stage structures

4. Principal Spillway System Calculations:

$E_e = 506.5$ ft	$z =$	$L =$ ft	$V_{sp}/N_I = 0.307$
$V_{te} = 13 \times 10^6$ AF	Case	$S_o =$	$V_{sp}/N_I + V_{ol}/N_I = 0.307$
$V_{sp} = 3.5 \times 10^6$ AF	$Q_h = 42.4$ cfs		$V'_{sp}/N_I = 0.33$
$Q_{ph} = 47$ cfs	$Q_h/Q_I = \frac{42.4}{47} = 0.009$		$V_{op}/N_I = 0.023$

- a. Select an elevation of emergency spillway crest, E_e
- b. Read the total storage at E_e from the stage-storage curve, this is V_{te}
- c. Compute the available flood storage at E_e
 $V_{sp} = V_{te} - V_{ur}$
- d. Obtain principal spillway discharge at E_e , this is Q_{ph}
- e. Compute the average high stage release rate, this is Q_h
- f. Follow the procedure given for single stage structures, or steps 6 through 10 for two stage structures, principal spillway corrections
- g. Compute the principal spillway correction
 $V_{op}/N_I = V'_{sp}/N_I - V_{sp}/N_I = 0.330 - 0.307 = 0.023$
- h. Obtain from the emergency spillway layout data
- (1) Entrance Length, L
 - (2) Profile case
 - (3) Entrance slope, S_o
 - (4) Side slopes, z

5. Routing: $Q_i = 2140$ cfs $V_i = 11.34 \times 10^6$ ft³

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
E_v ft	V_{tw} AF	V_{sw} AF	V_{sw}/N_I	V'_{sw}/N_I	Q_o/Q_I	Q_o cfs	Q_e cfs	H_p ft	Q_e/b	b ft	v fps
500.5	12.1	7.6	0.67	0.623	0.15	321	274	3	13.2	21	
509	16.4	6.9	0.608	0.631	0.2	428	331	2.5	12.8	59	
508.5	15.7	6.2	0.547	0.570	0.25	535	400	2	11.4	75	
508	15.1	5.9	0.52	0.543	0.31	623	415	1.5	10.7	107	

$E_e = 506.5$
 $H_p = 1.65$
 $E_w = 508.15$
 $Q_e = 450$ cfs

$z = 0.68$
 $z = 6.4$ ft

23

22

21

20

19

18

17

16

15

14

13

12

11

10

9

8

7

6

5

4

3

2

1

0

1

2

3

4

5

Old Hickory Lake
1/5/76 JAR

E_w Vs b

E_w Vs Q_e

Q_e cfs

3000

2000

1000

500

250

125

62.5

31.25

15.625

512

511

510

509

508

507

506

505

504

503

502

1100

1200

1300

1400

1500

1600

1700

1800

1900

2000

2100

2200

2300

2400

2500

2600

2700

2800

2900

3000

3100

3200

3300

3400

3500

3600

3700

3800

3900

4000

4100

4200

4300

4400

4500

4600

4700

4800

4900

5000

5100

5200

5300

5400

5500

5600

5700

5800

5900

6000

6100

6200

6300

6400

6500

6600

6700

6800

6900

7000

7100

7200

7300

7400

7500

7600

7700

7800

7900

8000

8100

8200

8300

8400

8500

8600

8700

8800

8900

9000

9100

9200

9300

9400

9500

9600

9700

9800

9900

10000

10100

10200

10300

10400

10500

10600

10700

10800

10900

11000

11100

11200

11300

11400

11500

11600

11700

11800

11900

12000

12100

12200

12300

12400

12500

12600

12700

12800

12900

13000

13100

13200

13300

13400

13500

13600

13700

13800

13900

14000

14100

14200

14300

14400

14500

14600

14700

14800

14900

15000

15100

15200

15300

15400

15500

15600

15700

15800

15900

16000

16100

16200

16300

16400

16500

16600

16700

16800

16900

17000

17100

17200

17300

17400

17500

17600

17700

17800

17900

18000

18100

18200

18300

18400

18500

18600

18700

18800

18900

19000

19100

19200

19300

19400

19500

19600

19700

19800

19900

20000

20100

20200

20300

20400

20500

20600

20700

20800

20900

21000

21100

21200

21300

21400

21500

21600

21700

21800

21900

22000

22100

22200

22300

22400

22500

22600

22700

22800

22900

23000

23100

23200

23300

23400

23500

23600

23700

23800

23900

24000

24100

24200

24300

24400

24500

24600

24700

24800

24900

25000

25100

25200

25300

25400

25500

25600

25700

25800

25900

26000

26100

26200

26300

26400

26500

26600

26700

26800

26900

27000

27100


27200

27300

27400

27500

506



Old Hickory Lake (No. 3)

SOUTHERN STATES INDUSTRIES

Representing Gorman-Rupp

MEMPHIS, TENN.

NO. LITTLE ROCK, ARK.

PROJ. NO. Old Hickory Lake (No. 3)

LOCATION Candlewood Lakes

DATE 12/19/75

ENGINEER JR

PAGE _____

Principle Spillway Sizing

Criteria: Lower Lake to Elev. 496(8') within 3 days

$$N_p = \frac{504}{496} - 8$$

$$V_{Np} = 9.5 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_{496} = \frac{2.1 \times 10^6}{7.4 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3}$$

Required Discharge Rate:

$$Q = \frac{7.4(10^6)}{3(24)(3600)} = 28.55 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}$$

$$h_{120} = \frac{504 + 496}{2} - 496 = 4 \text{ ft}$$

$$H = 10.5$$

$$L = 144 \text{ ft.}$$

$$S_1 = 0.02$$

$$n = 0.012$$

$$K_e = 0.5$$

$$D = 24 \text{ in.}$$

$$L = 144$$

$$S = 0.06$$

$$n = 0.012$$

$$K_e = 0.5$$

$$D = 24 \text{ in.}$$

$$L + H = 144 + 8 = 156 + 24 = 180'$$

$$S = 0.04$$

$$n = 0.012$$


$$K_e = 0.85$$

$$D = 24 \text{ in.}$$

$$Q = 35.145 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}$$

$$Q = 49.5 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec.}$$

$$Q_{ph} = 53 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}$$



JR

WELCOME TO
6TH ANNUAL MEETING AMERICAN COLLEGE OF SURVEYORS IN TENNESSEE

CONVENT: BLUE PRINT & SUPPLY CO.
1000 N. 10th Ave.
Birmingham, Tenn. 35212
Phone (205) 277-7385

HUB CITY BLUE PRINT & SUPPLY CO.
361 NORTH ROYAL STR.
JACKSON, TENN.
PHONE (901) 427-9071

OLD HISTORY DAM (NO 3)

$$C_h = 80 \quad AD = 16.7 \text{ ft}$$

Volume 10 yr. storm: $P = 5.5'' \quad Q = 3.3''$

$$137(43560) \frac{3.3}{12} = 2 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3 = 45.9 \text{ AF}$$

$$V_{\text{SPRING LAKES}} = 0$$

$$E_{10} = 504$$

$$10 \text{ YR. } V_{10} = 9.5 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_0 = 2.0 \times 10^6$$

$$V_{\text{SPRING LAKES}} = 11.5 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$E_{\text{SPRING LAKES}} = 505.5'$$

Volume 10 yr. storm: $P = 7.5'' \quad Q = 5.2''$

$$137(43560) \left(\frac{5.2}{12} \right) = 3.15 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_0 (\text{SPRING LAKES}) = [2.85 + 3.5 - 5.09] \times 10^6 = 1.26 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_{10} = 9.5 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V = 3.15 \times 10^6$$

$$V_0 = \frac{1.26 \times 10^6}{13.91 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3}$$

$$E_{\text{SPRING LAKES}} = 507.2' \quad (\text{See Flood Routing for } P = 7.5'')$$

Examine effect of failure of Spring Lake Dam

	VOLUME $V, 10^3 \times 10^6$			RESULTING ELEV. F'RD.	
	SPRING	OLD HISTORY	TOTAL	$Q_0 = 0$	
NP	2.85	9.5	12.35	506.2	4.8'
$E_{10} = 10 \text{ YR}$	5.09	11.5	16.59	509.2	1.8'

1/5/76 JHK

WELCOME TO
6TH ANNUAL MEETING AMERICAN COLLEGE OF SURVEYORS IN TENNESSEE

CAMPBELL BLUE PRINT & SUPPLY CO.
1124 BROAD AVE.
MEMPHIS, TENN. 38112
PHONE (901) 527-1585

HUB CITY BLUE PRINT & SUPPLY CO.
361 NORTH ROYAL STR.
JACKSON, TENN.
PHONE (901) 427-9071

Old Hickory Lake (No 3) MPF

$C_u = 80$

$DA = 16.7 AC = 0.261 m^2$

$P = 24"$

$T_c = \left(\frac{11.923}{H} \right)^{0.385} = \left(\frac{11.9 \left(\frac{1525}{5292} \right)^3}{121} \right)^{0.385} = 0.101 \text{ hr.}$

$Q = 21.3"$

5 $1.2 m^2$ #1

6 $T_D = 5.71 \text{ hr.}$

7 $\frac{T_D}{T_P} = 0.7 T_c = 0.7(0.101) = 0.071 \text{ hr.}$

8 $\frac{T_D}{T_P} = \frac{5.71}{0.071} = 80.4$

9 $\frac{T_D}{T_P} (\text{Rev}) = 75$

10 $T_P (\text{Rev}) = \frac{5.71}{75} = 0.076 \text{ hr.}$

11 $q_p = \frac{484A}{T_P} = \frac{484(0.26)}{0.076} = 1656 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec./in.}$

12 $Q_{qf} = Q \times q_p = 21.3(1656) = 35273 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec.}$

13 $Q_1 = 0.09(35273) = 3175 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec.}$

$Q_1 = Q_1 + Q_e (\text{Lake No 2}) = 3175 + 1470 = 4655 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec.}$

14 $V_1 = 53.3 Q_1 = 53.3(21.3)(0.26) = 296.31 \text{ AF} \approx 12.91 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$

$V_{\text{inlet}} = V + V_e (\text{Lake 2}) = (12.91 + 12.3)10^6 = 25.21 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$

$V_e (\text{Lake}) = V_1 - V_{sp}$
 $= 331.5 - 49.36$
 $= 282.14 \text{ AF}$
 $= 12.3 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$

15 $V_{uf} = 9.5 \times 10^6 = 213.09 \text{ AF}$

16 $V_{sp} = V_{ee} - V_{uf} = (13 - 9.5)10^6 = 3.5 \times 10^6 = 80.35 \text{ AF}$

17 $\frac{V_{sp}}{V_1} = \frac{3.5 \times 10^6}{25.21 \times 10^6} = 0.14$

- d. Compute the available flood storage at E_h

$$V_{sz} = V_{th} - V_{ur}$$

- e. Follow steps 1 through 5 of the procedure given under principal spillway corrections for two stage structures

4. Principal Spillway System Calculations:

$E_e = 506.5$ ft	$z =$	$L =$ ft	$V_{op}/V_I = 0.14$
$V_{te} = 13 \times 10^6$ AF	Case	$S_o =$ %	$V_{op}/V_I + V_{sz}/V_I = 0.14$
$V_{sp} = 3.5 \times 10^6$ AF	$Q_h = 42.4$ cfs		$V'_{sp}/V_I = 0.16$
$Q_{ph} = 47$ cfs	$Q_h/Q_I = 0.009$		$V_{op}/V_I = 0.02$

- a. Select an elevation of emergency spillway crest, E_e
- b. Read the total storage at E_e from the stage-storage curve, this is V_{te}
- c. Compute the available flood storage at E_e
 $V_{sp} = V_{te} - V_{ur}$
- d. Obtain principal spillway discharge at E_e , this is Q_{ph}
- e. Compute the average high stage release rate, this is Q_h
- f. Follow the procedure given for single stage structures, or steps 6 through 10 for two stage structures, principal spillway corrections

- g. Compute the principal spillway correction

$$V_{op}/V_I = V'_{sp}/V_I - V_{sp}/V_I = 0.16 - 0.14 = 0.02$$

- h. Obtain from the emergency spillway layout data

- (1) Entrance Length, L $\frac{V_{sw}'}{V_I} = \frac{V_{sw}}{V_I} + \frac{V_{op}}{V_I}$
- (2) Profile case
- (3) Entrance slope, S_o =
- (4) Side slopes, z

5. Table:

	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
E_e	V_{te}/V_I	V_{sw}/V_I	S_o	z	V_{op}/V_I	V_{sz}/V_I	V_{sp}/V_I	V_{te}/V_I

Calculation
1/5/76

$E_v = 506.5$

$P = 24''$

$Q_1 = 4655 \text{ cfs}$

$V_1 = 24.82$

$V_{sp} = 0.140$

$V_1 = 24.82$

$V_{sp} = 0.160$

$V_1 = 24.82$

$V_{sp} = 0.160$

$E_v = 506.5$

$V_1 = 24.82$

$V_{sp} = 0.140$

$V_1 = 24.82$

$V_{sp} = 0.160$

$V_1 = 24.82$

$V_{sp} = 0.160$

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
E_v	V_{tv}	V_{mv}	V_{mv}/V_1	V_{mv}/V_1	Q_0/Q_1	Q_0	Q_0	Q_0	Q_0	Q_0	Q_0
508		5.6	0.226	0.253	0.85	4096	4096	5	0.55		
509.5		6.2	0.250	0.277	0.83	3864	3864	6.0	1.94		
509		6.9	0.278	0.305	0.76	3538	3538	1.5	3.92		
507.5		7.6	0.306	0.333	0.7	3257	3212	2.0	6.4		
512		8.3	0.334	0.361	0.66	3072	3025	2.5	9.78		
512.5								3	13.2		
511		9.6	0.391	0.414	0.6	2793	2746	3.5	17.2		

$E_v = 506.5$

$H_p = 3.9$

$W = 510.4$

$F_{100} = 5$

511.0

$Q_c = 2600 \text{ cfs}$

$d_o = 1.635'$

$v_o = 11.4 \text{ fpm}$

WELCOME TO
6TH ANNUAL MEETING AMERICAN COLLEGE OF SURVEYORS IN TENNESSEE

CAMPBELL BLUE PRINT & SUPPLY CO.
1120 BROAD AVE.
MEMPHIS, TENN. 38112
PHONE (901) 527-7285

HOR CITY BLUE PRINT & SUPPLY CO.
521 NORTH FULTON STR.
JACKSON, TENN.
PHONE (901) 427-9571

$$P = 13" \quad C_n = 80$$

$$T_c = 0.101$$

$$Q = 10.5"$$

$$T_{c1} = 1$$

$$T_s = 5.71 \text{ hr.}$$

$$T_p = 0.07$$

$$T_{s1} = 50.4$$

$$T_{s1}/(1/T_c) = 75$$

$$T_p = 0.0716 \text{ hr.}$$

$$Q_p = 1656 \text{ ft}^3/\text{hr.}$$

$$Q_{sp} = 10.5(1656) = 17388 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}$$

$$Q_s = 0.09(17388) = 1565 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}$$

$$Q_1 = Q_s + Q_0 (\text{SPRING LAKE})$$

$$Q_1 = 1565 + 575$$

$$Q_1 = 2140 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec.}$$

(3)

$$V_1 = 53.33(10.5)(0.251) = 146.2 \text{ AF} = 6.37 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_1 = V_i + V_0 (\text{SPRING LAKE})$$

$$V_1 = (6.37 + 4.97) \times 10^6$$

$$V_1 = 11.34 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_0 = V_1 - V_{sp}$$

$$= (7.12 - 2.15) \times 10^6$$

$$= 4.97 \times 10^6$$

$$V_{sp} = (13 - 9.5) \times 10^6 = 3.5 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$\frac{V_{sp}}{V_1} = \frac{3.5 \times 10^6}{11.34 \times 10^6} = 0.307$$

1/5/76

d. Compute the available flood storage at E_h

$$V_{sl} = V_{th} - V_{uf}$$

e. Follow steps 1 through 5 of the procedure given under principal spillway corrections for two stage structures

4. Principal Spillway System Calculations:

$E_e = 506.5$ ft	$z =$	$L =$ ft	$V_{sp}/V_I = 0.307$
$V_{te} = 13 \times 10^6$ AF	Case	$S_o =$ %	$V_{sp}/V_I + V_{ol}/V_I = 0.307$
$V_{sp} = 3.5 \times 10^6$ AF	$Q_h = 42.4$ cfs		$V'_{sp}/V_I = 0.23$
$Q_{ph} = 47$ cfs	$Q_h/Q_I = \frac{42.4}{175.2} = 0.009$		$V_{op}/V_I = 0.023$

a. Select an elevation of emergency spillway crest, E_e

b. Read the total storage at E_e from the stage-storage curve, this is V_{te}

c. Compute the available flood storage at E_e
 $V_{sp} = V_{te} - V_{uf}$

d. Obtain principal spillway discharge at E_e , this is Q_{ph}

e. Compute the average high stage release rate, this is Q_h

f. Follow the procedure given for single stage structures, or steps 6 through 10 for two stage structures, principal spillway corrections

g. Compute the principal spillway correction

$$V_{op}/V_I = V'_{sp}/V_I - V_{sp}/V_I = 0.330 - 0.307 = 0.023$$

h. Obtain from the emergency spillway layout data

(1) Entrance Length, L

(2) Profile case

(3) Entrance slope, S_o

(4) Side slopes, z

5. Routing:

$$Q_i = 2140 \text{ cfs} \quad V_i = 11.34 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
E_w ft	V_{tw} AF	V_{sw} AF	V_{sw}/V_I	V'_{sw}/V_I	Q_o/Q_I	Q_o cfs	Q_e cfs	H_p ft	Q_e/b	b ft	v fps
509.5	11.1	7.6	0.67	0.622	0.15	321	374	3	12.2	21	
509	10.4	6.9	0.608	0.631	0.2	428	331	2.5	13.5	30	
508.5	15.7	6.2	0.547	0.570	0.25	535	455	2	15.8	35	
508	15.1	5.9	0.52	0.543	0.31	663	615	1.5	20.2	40	

$$E_e = 506.5$$

$$H_p = 1.65$$

$$E_w = 508.15$$


$$Q_e = 550 \text{ cfs}$$

$$V_i = 11.34 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_e = 6.4 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

RESERVOIR FLOOD ROUTING CHARTS.


75




REFERENCE

U S DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE
Prepared By
REGIONAL TECHNICAL SERVICE CENTER
UPPER DARBY, PENNSYLVANIA

STANDARD CHG. NO.
ES-601
SHEET 12 of 12
DATE November, 1965



306



SPRING LAKE

3/16/76 JR

FLOOD ROUTING $C_n = 80$

A. $P = 29.5''$ $Q = 26''$

$A_D = 187A = 0.292 \text{ mi}^2$

$T_C = \left[\frac{11.9 \left(\frac{1750}{5280} \right)^3}{116} \right]^{0.385} = 0.1162 \text{ hr.}$

5 Family #1

6 $T_0 = 5.71 \text{ hrs.}$

7 $T_p = 0.7 T_C = 0.7(0.1162) = 0.0814 \text{ hrs.}$

8 $T_0/T_p = \frac{5.71}{0.0814} = 70.2$

9 $T_0/T_p(\text{Rev}) = 75$

10 $T_p(\text{Rev}) = \frac{5.71}{75} = 0.0761 \text{ Hrs.}$

11 $q_p = \frac{484A}{T_p} = \frac{484(0.292)}{0.0761} = 1857 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}/\text{in}$

12 $Q_{9p} = Q \times q_p = 26(1857) = 48282 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec.}$

13 $Q_1 = 0.09 Q_{9p} = 0.09(48282) = 4345.4 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec.}$

14 $V_1 = 53.3(Q)A = 53.3(26)(0.292) = 404.7 \text{ AF} = 17.6 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$

$E_{1p} = 521$; $E_0 = 525$

15 $V_{uf} = 2.85 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3 = 65.43 \text{ AF}$

16 $V_{sp} = V_{C0} - V_{uf} = (5.0 - 285)10^6 = 2.15 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3 (49.36 \text{ AF})$

17 $\frac{V_{sp}}{V_1} = \frac{49.36}{404.7} = 0.122$

B. $P = 12''$ $Q = 9.5''$

$T_C = 0.1162$

5 Family #2

6 $T_0 = 5.4 \text{ hr.}$

7 $T_p = 0.7 T_C = 0.7(0.1162) = 0.0814 \text{ hr.}$

8 $T_0/T_p = \frac{5.4}{0.081} = 66$

9 $T_0/T_p(\text{Rev}) = 75$

10 $T_p(\text{Rev}) = \frac{5.4}{75} = 0.072 \text{ hr.}$

11 $q_p = \frac{434A}{T_p} = \frac{434(0.292)}{0.072} = 1963 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}/\text{in}$

+

3/9/76

HR

SPRING LAKE

OK ERO 4/10/76

VOLUME

100yr Storm

$$P_m = 5.5" \quad Q = 3.3"$$

$$187 \times 43560 \times \frac{3.3}{12} = 2.24 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_{no} = 2.85 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_{100} = \frac{2.24 \times 10^6}{5.09 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3}$$

$$E_{100} = 525'$$

$$E_{50} = 525'$$

$$P_{mi} = 29.5 \quad Q = 26"$$

RECEIVED

APR 8 1976

DEPT. OF CONSERVATION
WATER RESOURCES

$$8. T_0/T_p = \frac{5.71}{0.0814} = 70.2$$

$$9. T_0/T_p(\text{Rev}) = 75$$

$$10. T_p(\text{Rev}) = \frac{5.71}{75} = 0.0761 \text{ Hrs.}$$

$$11. q_p = \frac{484A}{T_p} = \frac{484(0.292)}{0.0761} = 1857 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}/\text{in}$$

$$12. Q_{9p} = Q \times q_p = 26(1857) = 48282 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec.}$$

$$13. Q_1 = 0.09Q_{9p} = 0.09(48282) = 4345.4 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec.}$$

$$14. V_1 = 53.3(Q)A = 53.3(26)(0.292) = 404.7 \text{ AF} = 17.6 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$E_{\text{np}} = 521; E_s = 525$$

$$15. V_{\text{uf}} = 2.85 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3 = 65.43 \text{ AF}$$

$$16. V_{\text{sp}} = V_{\text{es}} - V_{\text{uf}} = (5.0 - 2.85) \times 10^6 = 2.15 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3 (49.36 \text{ AF})$$

$$17. \frac{V_{\text{sp}}}{V_1} = \frac{49.36}{404.7} = 0.122$$

$$B. P = 12, Q = 9.5''$$

$$T_c = 0.1162$$

$$5. \text{ Family } \# 2$$

$$6. T_0 = 5.4 \text{ hr.}$$

$$7. T_p = 0.7T_c = 0.7(0.1162) = 0.0814$$

$$8. T_0/T_p = \frac{5.4}{0.081} = 66$$

$$9. T_0/T_p(\text{Rev}) = 75$$

$$10. T_p(\text{Rev}) = \frac{5.4}{75} = 0.072 \text{ hr.}$$

$$11. q_p = \frac{434A}{T_p} = \frac{434(0.292)}{0.072} = 1963 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}/\text{in}$$

$$12. Q_{9p} = 9.5(1963) = 18647 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}$$


$$13. Q_1 = 18647(0.079) = 1473 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}$$

$$14. V_1 = 53.3(9.5)(0.292) = 147.9 \text{ AF} = 6.4 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$E_{\text{np}} = 521 \quad E_{\text{sw}} = 525$$

$$15. V_{\text{uf}} = 2.85 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3 (65.43 \text{ AF}) \quad ; \quad 16. V_{\text{sp}} = 2.15 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3 (49.36 \text{ AF})$$


$$18. \frac{V_{\text{sp}}}{V_1} = \frac{49.36}{147.9} = 0.34$$



UD METHOD: RESERVOIR FLOOD ROUTING CHARTS

2

75



REFERENCE

U S DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE
Prepared By
REGIONAL TECHNICAL SERVICE CENTER
UPPER DARBY, PENNSYLVANIA

STANDARD DWG NO
ES-602
SHEET 12 of 12
DATE November, 1965

22/11/65

d. Compute the available flood storage at E_h

$$V_{sf} = V_{th} - V_{ur}$$

e. Follow steps 1 through 5 of the procedure given under principal spillway corrections for two stage structures

4. Principal Spillway System Calculations:

$E_e = 505.5$ ft	$z =$ _____	$L =$ _____ ft	$V_{sp}/V_I = 0.189$
$V_{te} = 11.4 \times 10^6$ AF	Case _____	$S_o =$ _____ %	$V_{sp}/V_I + V_{oz}/V_I = 0.189$
$V_{sp} = 1.9 \times 10^6$ AF	$Q_h = 42.4$ cfs		$V'_{sp}/V_I = 0.21$
$Q_{ph} = 47$ cfs	$Q_h/Q_I = \frac{42.4}{100} = 0.024$		$V_{op}/V_I = 0.021$

a. Select an elevation of emergency spillway crest, E_e

b. Read the total storage at E_e from the stage-storage curve, this is V_{te}

c. Compute the available flood storage at E_e

$$V_{sp} = V_{te} - V_{ur}$$

d. Obtain principal spillway discharge at E_e , this is Q_{ph}

e. Compute the average high stage release rate, this is Q_h

f. Follow the procedure given for single stage structures, or steps 6 through 10 for two stage structures, principal spillway corrections

g. Compute the principal spillway correction

$$V_{op}/V_I = V'_{sp}/V_I - V_{sp}/V_I$$

h. Obtain from the emergency spillway layout data

(1) Entrance Length, L

(2) Profile case

(3) Entrance slope, S_o

(4) Side slopes, z

5. Routing:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
E_v ft	V_{tv} AF	V_{sw} AF	V_{sw}/V_I	V'_{sw}/V_I	Q_0/Q_I	Q_0 cfs	Q_e cfs	H_p ft	Q_e/b	b ft	v fps

OLD HICKORY LAKE (No. 3)

VOLUME $C_n = 80$, $AD = 167AS$

100% STORM $P = 5.5$, $Q = 3.3$

$167(43560 \times \frac{3.3}{12}) = 2 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$

$V_c (\text{SPRING LAKE}) = 0$

$N_p = 504$

$V_{NP} = 9.5 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$

$V_{100} = \frac{2.0 \times 10^6}{1}$

$V_{NP+100} = 11.5 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$

$E_{NP+100} = 505.5 \text{ ft}$

$E_{50} = 506.5 \text{ OR } 505.5$

EXAMINE AFFECT OF FAILURE OF SPRING LAKE DAM

CONDITIONS	VOLUME (10 ⁶ ft ³)	SPRING	OLD HICKORY	TOTAL	RESULTING ELEV.	F' BRD.
NP	2.85		9.5	12.35	506.2	4.8'
$E_F = 100\%R$	5.09		11.5	16.59	509.2	1.8'

RECEIVED

APR 8 1976

DEPT. OF CONSERVATION
WATER RESOURCES

3/9/76

JA

OK

4/14/76

EBG -

OLD HICKORY LAKE (No 3)

3/9/76 JR

FLOOD ROUTING

A. $C_n = 80$ $P = 29.5''$ $Q = 26''$

$OA = 167 AC = 0.261 m^2$

$T_c = \left(\frac{11.9 L^3}{N} \right)^{0.385} = \frac{11.9 \left(\frac{1575}{5280} \right)^{0.385}}{121} = 0.101 \text{ hr.}$

5. Family #1.

6. $T_0 = 5.71 \text{ hr.}$

7. $T_p = 0.7 T_0 = 0.7(5.71) = 0.07 \text{ hr.}$

8. $\frac{T_0}{T_p} = \frac{5.71}{0.07} = 80.4$

9. $\frac{T_0}{T_p}(\text{Rev}) = 75$

10. $T_p(\text{Rev}) = \frac{5.71}{75} = 0.076 \text{ hr.}$

11. $q_p = \frac{484A}{T_p} = \frac{484(0.261)}{0.076} = 1656 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec/in}$

12. $Q_{pp} = Q \times q_p = 26(1656) = 43056 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}$

13. $Q_1 = 0.09(43056) = 3875 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}$

14. $V_1 = 53.3 Q A = 53.3(26)(0.261) = 361.7 \text{ AF} = 15.8 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$

$V_{1\text{max}} = V_1 + V_e(\text{Lake \#2}) = (15.8 + 15.48) \times 10^6 = 31.28 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$

$V_e(\text{Lake \#2}) = V_1 - V_{sp}$

$= 401.7 - 49.36$

$= 355.34 \text{ AF}$

$V_e = 15.48 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$

15. $V_{uf} = 9.5 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$

16. $V_{sp} = V_{ee} - V_{uf} = (11.4 - 9.5) \times 10^6 = 1.9 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$

17. $V_{sp}/V_1 = \frac{1.9}{31.3} = 0.061$

B. $P = 12''$, $Q = 9.5''$

5 Family No 2

6. $T_0 = 5.4 \text{ hr.}$

7. $T_p = 0.7(T_0) = 0.7(5.4) = 0.07 \text{ hr.}$

8. $\frac{T_0}{T_p} = \frac{5.4}{0.07} = 77$

8. $\frac{T_0}{T_p} = \frac{5.71}{0.07} = 80.4$
9. $\frac{T_0}{T_p}(\text{Rev}) = 75$
10. $T_p(\text{Rev}) = \frac{5.71}{75} = 0.076 \text{ hr.}$
11. $q_p = \frac{484A}{T_p} = \frac{484(0.261)}{0.076} = 1656 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec/in}$
12. $Q_{pp} = Q \times q_p = 26(1656) = 43056 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}$
13. $Q_1 = 0.09(43056) = 3875 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec}$
14. $V_1 = 53.3 Q A = 53.3(26)(0.261) = 361.7 \text{ AF} = 15.8 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$
 $V_{1\text{max}} = V_1 + V_c(\text{Lake #2}) = (15.8 + 15.48) \times 10^6 = 31.28 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$
 $V_c(\text{Lake #2}) = V_1 - V_{sp}$
 $= 409.7 - 49.36$
 $= 355.34 \text{ AF}$
 $V_c = 15.48 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$
15. $V_{uf} = 9.5 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$
16. $V_{sp} = V_{cc} - V_{uf} = (11.4 - 9.5) \times 10^6 = 1.9 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$
17. $V_{sp}/V_1 = 1.9/31.3 = 0.061$

B. $P = 12"$, $Q = 9.5"$

5 Family No 2

6. $T_0 = 5.4 \text{ hr.}$

7. $T_p = 0.7(T_0) = 0.7(0.101) = 0.07 \text{ hr.}$

8. $\frac{T_0}{T_p} = \frac{5.4}{0.07} = 77$

9. $\frac{T_0}{T_p}(\text{Rev}) = 75$

10. $T_p(\text{Rev}) = \frac{5.4}{75} = 0.072 \text{ hr.}$

11. $q_p = \frac{484(0.261)}{0.072} = 1754.5 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec/in}$

12. $Q_{pp} = 9.5(1754.5) = 16667.75 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec.}$

13. $Q_1 = 16668(0.079) = 1316.8 \text{ ft}^3/\text{sec.}$

3/10/76

$$14. V_i = 53.3(9.5)(0.26) = 132 \text{ AF} = 5.8 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$15. E_{ND} = 504 \quad E_{SD} = 505.5$$

$$15. V_{UP} = 9.5 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$16. V_{SP} = V_{LO} - V_{UP} = (11.4 - 9.5)10^6 = 1.9 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$17. \frac{V_{SP}}{V_i} = \frac{1.9}{10.05} = 0.189$$

$$V_i = V_i(O.H.) + V_e(\text{SPRING})$$

$$= (5.8 + 4.25)10^6$$

$$= 10.05 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$V_e = V_i - V_{SP}$$

$$= (6.4 - 2.15)10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$= 4.25 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^3$$

$$Q_i = Q_i(O.H.) + Q_e(\text{SPRING})$$

$$= 1317 + 425$$

$$= 1742 \text{ CPS}$$

OLD HICKORY (LAKE NO 3)

$E_{sw} = 505.5'$

3/16/76

$\frac{V_p}{V_i} = 29.5''$
 $C_p = 6325$

$\frac{V_p}{V_i} = 0.061$

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
E_v ft	V_{tv} AF	V_{sw} AF	V_{sw}/V_i	V_{sw}/V_i	Q_0/Q_i	Q_0 cfs	Q_0 cfs	H_p ft	Q_0/b	b ft	v fps
1	1.1	2.1	0.31	0.34	0.52	378	3347	1.1	27.4	0.1	
2	1.1	2.1	0.31	0.3	0.59	342	3100	1.5	20.0	1.27	
3	1.1	2.1	0.31	0.27	0.65	306	2875	4	5.4	18.1	
4	1.1	2.1	0.31	0.25	0.69	272	2571	2.5	17.2	24.7	
5	1.1	2.1	0.31	0.23	0.71	244	2111	3	12.2	27.5	
6	1.1	2.1	0.31	0.21	0.76	207	1760	2.5	7.7	48.7	
7	1.1	2.1	0.31	0.19	0.79	177	1450	2	6.4	55.5	
8	1.1	2.1	0.31	0.16	0.97	150	1256	1	5.9	120.1	
9	1.1	2.1	0.31	0.14	0.9	132	1046	1	5.94		
10	12.1	2.1	0.31	0.11	0.97	125	1089	2.5	6.59		
11											
12											
13	14.1	5.6	0.55	0.58	0.22	383	322	2.5	7.52	34	
14	14.1	5.1	0.51	0.53	0.26	453	406	2	12.4	71	
15	14.1	4.1	0.43	0.43	0.39	679	632	1.5	20.2	161	
16	14	3.5	0.37	0.37	0.5	871	825	1	15.4	425	
17	14.1	2.6	0.28	0.28	0.8	1394	1347	1.5	15.5	2403	
18	507.2							1.5	5.6	100	7
19	507.15						550		4.4	125	
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											
26											
27											
28											
29											
30											
31											
32											
33											
34											
35											
36											
37											
38											
39											
40											
41											
42											
43											
44											
45											
46											
47											
48											
49											
50											

$C_p = 1742$
 $V_i = 10.05$

$\frac{V_p}{V_i} = \frac{1.9}{10.05} = 0.189$

$E_{sw} = 505.5$

$b = 125' - 2.14' = 122.86'$

$P = 29.5''$

$Q_e = 3550$

$E_w = 510.1$

$P = 12''$

$Q_e = 3000 - 550$

$E_w = 507.2 - 507.15$

$V_e = 2.6$

$\frac{1.4 - 1.5}{2.125} = 0.001$

361


309

$\frac{1.1}{10.05} = 0.0109$

UD METHOD: RESERVOIR FLOOD ROUTING CHARTS

2

75




REFERENCE

U S DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE
Prepared By
REGIONAL TECHNICAL SERVICE CENTER
UPPER DARBY, PENNSYLVANIA

STANDARD DWS NO
ES-602
SHEET 12 OF 12
DATE November, 1965

3/16/76


ESWT 505.5





NON-FEDERAL DAM INSPECTION REVIEW BOARD
PO BOX 1070
NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE 37202


Commander, Nashville District
US Army, Corps of Engineers
PO Box 1070
Nashville, TN 37202

1. The Interagency Review Board, appointed by the Commander on 19 June 1981, presents the following recommendations after meeting on 9 July 1981 to consider the Phase I investigation report on Spring Lake Dam and Old Hickory Dam inspected by the Tennessee Department of Conservation.
2. The second paragraph of Section 3.2.1 of Old Hickory Dam should describe, in more detail, the muddy conditions that exist on the lower 1/2 to 2/3 of the downstream slope and the possible causes for this condition.
3. The first conclusion in Section 3.6.1 should be changed to include what the consequences will be to Old Hickory and Spring Lake Dams should Chancellor and Son Dam fail during the 1/2 PMF.
4. Some of the possible causes of the mud pockets should be included in the second conclusion of Section 3.6.1.
5. Under part a. of the recommendations, item 1 should indicate that the embankment soils are to be checked for dispersive properties.
6. Item 2 of part a. of the recommendations should be eliminated.
7. The condition classification for both dams should be changed from "significantly deficient" to "deficient."


HERMAN GRAY
Chief, Design Branch
Alternate Chairman


ROBERT A. HUNT
Director, Division of Water
Resources
State of Tennessee


L.E. LOCKETT
Structural Engineer
Alternate, Design Branch


JAMES SIMS
Design Engineer
Alternate, Soil Conservation Service


THOMAS N. PORTER
Hydraulic Engineer
Alternate, Hydrology and Hydraulics
Branch


TIMOTHY MCCLESKEY
Chief, Instrumentation and Inspection
Section
Alternate, Geotechnical Branch