

**F/G 9/2**

AN ANALYSIS OF MICROCOMPUTER TECHNOLOGY FOR APPLICATION TO REAL--ETC(U)


JUL 79 C F SUMMER, G A WYNDLE

UNCLASSIFIED

NAVTRAEQUIPC-IH-313

NL

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ n_0, \dots, n_{k-1} \\ -f^{\circ k}(x) = f^{\circ k}(y) \end{array}$$



AD A108744

AN ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECTS OF  
FOR APPLICATION TO BALL-BEARING

CHARLES E. GUNICK, P.E.  
GEOFFREY A. WENDLE  
COMPUTER SYSTEMS LABORATORY

JULY 1979

Report for Period July 1976 through April 1979

DoD Distribution Statement

Approved for public release;  
distribution unlimited.

NAVAL WEAPONS PROGRAMS  
GROSVENOR, FLORIDA 33426

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
PRESS

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                     | READ INSTRUCTIONS<br>BEFORE COMPLETING FORM                                    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. REPORT NUMBER<br>NAVTRAEQUIPO IH-313                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.<br>AD-A708744 | 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER                                                  |
| 4. TITLE (and Subtitle)<br>An Analysis of Microcomputer Technology<br>For Application To Real-Time Trainers                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                     | 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED<br>July 1976 thru Apr 1979                  |
| 7. AUTHOR(s)<br>Charles F. Summer, P.E.<br>Gerald A. Wyndle                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                     | 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER<br>NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313                      |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS<br>Computer Systems Laboratory<br>Naval Training Equipment Center                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                     | 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)                                                 |
| 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS<br>Commanding Officer<br>Naval Training Equipment Center<br>Orlando, FL 32813                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                     | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK<br>AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS<br>Project 5741 |
| 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                     | 12. REPORT DATE<br>July 1979                                                   |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                     | 13. NUMBER OF PAGES<br>113                                                     |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                     | 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)<br>Unclassified                           |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                     | 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING<br>SCHEDULE                                  |
| 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)<br><br>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                     |                                                                                |
| 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                     |                                                                                |
| 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                     |                                                                                |
| 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)<br>computers                      real-time systems                      firmware<br>microcomputers                      life cycle costs                      microcode<br>microprocessors                      VLSI modules                      software partitioning<br>computer architecture                      bit-slice technology<br>microprogramming                      software                                                                                                                                                      |                                     |                                                                                |
| 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number)<br>Conceptual approaches to introducing advanced microcomputer technology in real-time trainers were investigated. Four types of computer system architectural approaches were examined and eliminated for various reasons. Eight available microcomputer/microprocessor modular families were also analyzed for trainer application. A conventional general purpose system was synthesized and used as a baseline for comparison. A unique functionally modular multiple microcomputer architecture is described that will satisfy the processing, |                                     |                                                                                |

**SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)**

Future R&D effort required to develop the control algorithm is identified.

Accession  
NIIS  
DTIC  
1980  
1981  
1982  
1983  
1984  
1985  
1986  
1987  
1988  
1989  
1990  
1991  
1992  
1993  
1994  
1995  
1996  
1997  
1998  
1999  
2000  
2001  
2002  
2003  
2004  
2005  
2006  
2007  
2008  
2009  
2010  
2011  
2012  
2013  
2014  
2015  
2016  
2017  
2018  
2019  
2020  
2021  
2022  
2023  
2024  
2025  
2026  
2027  
2028  
2029  
2030  
2031  
2032  
2033  
2034  
2035  
2036  
2037  
2038  
2039  
2040  
2041  
2042  
2043  
2044  
2045  
2046  
2047  
2048  
2049  
2050  
2051  
2052  
2053  
2054  
2055  
2056  
2057  
2058  
2059  
2060  
2061  
2062  
2063  
2064  
2065  
2066  
2067  
2068  
2069  
2070  
2071  
2072  
2073  
2074  
2075  
2076  
2077  
2078  
2079  
2080  
2081  
2082  
2083  
2084  
2085  
2086  
2087  
2088  
2089  
2090  
2091  
2092  
2093  
2094  
2095  
2096  
2097  
2098  
2099  
2100  
2101  
2102  
2103  
2104  
2105  
2106  
2107  
2108  
2109  
2110  
2111  
2112  
2113  
2114  
2115  
2116  
2117  
2118  
2119  
2120  
2121  
2122  
2123  
2124  
2125  
2126  
2127  
2128  
2129  
2130  
2131  
2132  
2133  
2134  
2135  
2136  
2137  
2138  
2139  
2140  
2141  
2142  
2143  
2144  
2145  
2146  
2147  
2148  
2149  
2150  
2151  
2152  
2153  
2154  
2155  
2156  
2157  
2158  
2159  
2160  
2161  
2162  
2163  
2164  
2165  
2166  
2167  
2168  
2169  
2170  
2171  
2172  
2173  
2174  
2175  
2176  
2177  
2178  
2179  
2180  
2181  
2182  
2183  
2184  
2185  
2186  
2187  
2188  
2189  
2190  
2191  
2192  
2193  
2194  
2195  
2196  
2197  
2198  
2199  
2200  
2201  
2202  
2203  
2204  
2205  
2206  
2207  
2208  
2209  
2210  
2211  
2212  
2213  
2214  
2215  
2216  
2217  
2218  
2219  
2220  
2221  
2222  
2223  
2224  
2225  
2226  
2227  
2228  
2229  
2230  
2231  
2232  
2233  
2234  
2235  
2236  
2237  
2238  
2239  
2240  
2241  
2242  
2243  
2244  
2245  
2246  
2247  
2248  
2249  
2250  
2251  
2252  
2253  
2254  
2255  
2256  
2257  
2258  
2259  
2260  
2261  
2262  
2263  
2264  
2265  
2266  
2267  
2268  
2269  
2270  
2271  
2272  
2273  
2274  
2275  
2276  
2277  
2278  
2279  
2280  
2281  
2282  
2283  
2284  
2285  
2286  
2287  
2288  
2289  
2290  
2291  
2292  
2293  
2294  
2295  
2296  
2297  
2298  
2299  
2300  
2301  
2302  
2303  
2304  
2305  
2306  
2307  
2308  
2309  
2310  
2311  
2312  
2313  
2314  
2315  
2316  
2317  
2318  
2319  
2320  
2321  
2322  
2323  
2324  
2325  
2326  
2327  
2328  
2329  
2330  
2331  
2332  
2333  
2334  
2335  
2336  
2337  
2338  
2339  
2340  
2341  
2342  
2343  
2344  
2345  
2346  
2347  
2348  
2349  
2350  
2351  
2352  
2353  
2354  
2355  
2356  
2357  
2358  
2359  
2360  
2361  
2362  
2363  
2364  
2365  
2366  
2367  
2368  
2369  
2370  
2371  
2372  
2373  
2374  
2375  
2376  
2377  
2378  
2379  
2380  
2381  
2382  
2383  
2384  
2385  
2386  
2387  
2388  
2389  
2390  
2391  
2392  
2393  
2394  
2395  
2396  
2397  
2398  
2399  
2400  
2401  
2402  
2403  
2404  
2405  
2406  
2407  
2408  
2409  
2410  
2411  
2412  
2413  
2414  
2415  
2416  
2417  
2418  
2419  
2420  
2421  
2422  
2423  
2424  
2425  
2426  
2427  
2428  
2429  
2430  
2431  
2432  
2433  
2434  
2435  
2436  
2437  
2438  
2439  
2440  
2441  
2442  
2443  
2444  
2445  
2446  
2447  
2448  
2449  
2450  
2451  
2452  
2453  
2454  
2455  
2456  
2457  
2458  
2459  
2460  
2461  
2462  
2463  
2464  
2465  
2466  
2467  
2468  
2469  
2470  
2471  
2472  
2473  
2474  
2475  
2476  
2477  
2478  
2479  
2480  
2481  
2482  
2483  
2484  
2485  
2486  
2487  
2488  
2489  
2490  
2491  
2492  
2493  
2494  
2495  
2496  
2497  
2498  
2499  
2500  
2501  
2502  
2503  
2504  
2505  
2506  
2507  
2508  
2509  
2510  
2511  
2512  
2513  
2514  
2515  
2516  
2517  
2518  
2519  
2520  
2521  
2522  
2523  
2524  
2525  
2526  
2527  
2528  
2529  
2530  
2531  
2532  
2533  
2534  
2535  
2536  
2537  
2538  
2539  
2540  
2541  
2542  
2543  
2544  
2545  
2546  
2547  
2548  
2549  
2550  
2551  
2552  
2553  
2554  
2555  
2556  
2557  
2558  
2559  
2560  
2561  
2562  
2563  
2564  
2565  
2566  
2567  
2568  
2569  
2570  
2571  
2572  
2573  
2574  
2575  
2576  
2577  
2578  
2579  
2580  
2581  
2582  
2583  
2584  
2585  
2586  
2587  
2588  
2589  
2590  
2591  
2592  
2593  
2594  
2595  
2596  
2597  
2598  
2599  
2600  
2601  
2602  
2603  
2604  
2605  
2606  
2607  
2608  
2609  
2610  
2611  
2612  
2613  
2614  
2615  
2616  
2617  
2618  
2619  
2620  
2621  
2622  
2623  
2624  
2625  
2626  
2627  
2628  
2629  
2630  
2631  
2632  
2633  
2634  
2635  
2636  
2637  
2638  
2639  
2640  
2641  
2642  
2643  
2644  
2645  
2646  
2647  
2648  
2649  
2650  
2651  
2652  
2653  
2654  
2655  
2656  
2657  
2658  
2659  
26

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Section |                                                                                                          | Page  |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| I       | INTRODUCTION.....                                                                                        | 5/6   |
| II      | STATEMENT OF PROBLEM.....                                                                                | 7     |
|         | Background and Description.....                                                                          | 7     |
| III     | METHOD OF PROCEDURE.....                                                                                 | 11    |
|         | Introduction.....                                                                                        | 11    |
|         | Typical OFT System Configuration.....                                                                    | 11    |
|         | Unique F-18 Simulation Requirements.....                                                                 | 12    |
|         | Processing and Storage Requirements Analysis.....                                                        | 14    |
|         | Program Organization and Performance Requirements<br>Analysis.....                                       | 16    |
|         | Computer Performance Analysis.....                                                                       | 19    |
|         | Multiple Microcomputer System Concepts.....                                                              | 20    |
|         | Microcomputer LSI and VLSI Technology Investigation....                                                  | 32    |
|         | Technology Requirements.....                                                                             | 35    |
|         | Computer System Ownership Cost Model.....                                                                | 39    |
| IV      | RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS.....                                                                             | 43    |
|         | REFERENCES.....                                                                                          | 45    |
|         | APPENDIX A - BASELINE F-18 PROGRAM PROCESSING AND<br>STORAGE REQUIREMENTS.....                           | 47    |
|         | APPENDIX B - PROCESSING AND STORAGE REQUIREMENTS<br>PER PROCESSOR BASED ON F-18 OFT<br>REQUIREMENTS..... | 51    |
|         | APPENDIX C - FRAME TIME ANALYSIS CONVENTIONAL APPROACH..                                                 | 55    |
|         | APPENDIX D - CONVENTIONAL COMPUTER SYSTEM CONFIGURATION                                                  | 59/60 |
|         | APPENDIX E - COMPUTER SYSTEM LIFE CYCLE COST MODEL.....                                                  | 63    |
|         | APPENDIX F - CONVENTIONAL COMPUTER SYSTEM HARDWARE COST.                                                 | 67    |
|         | APPENDIX G - LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS FOR CONVENTION<br>COMPUTER SYSTEM APPROACH.....                    | 73    |
|         | APPENDIX H - MICROCOMPUTER PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS.....                                                     | 83    |

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

| Section                                                                                                      | Page  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| APPENDIX I - PROCESSING AND STORAGE REQUIREMENTS<br>PER MICROCOMPUTER BASED ON F-18 OBT<br>REQUIREMENTS..... | 93    |
| APPENDIX J - FRAME TIME ANALYSIS - MICROCOMPUTER<br>APPROACH.....                                            | 97/98 |
| APPENDIX K - MICROCOMPUTER HARDWARE COST.....                                                                | 101   |
| APPENDIX L - LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS FOR MICROCOMPUTER<br>SYSTEM.....                                       | 105   |
| APPENDIX M - LIFE CYCLE COST COMPARISON.....                                                                 | 111   |

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

| Figure |                                                          | Page |
|--------|----------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 1      | Basic F-18 OFT Block Diagram (Concept).....              | 13   |
| 2      | Federated System Concept.....                            | 21   |
| 3      | Master-Slave Multiple Processor System Concept-A.....    | 22   |
| 4      | Master-Slave Multiple Processor System Concept-B.....    | 23   |
| 5      | Matrix Computer System Concept.....                      | 24   |
| 6      | Distributed Computer System Concept.....                 | 25   |
| 7      | Functionally-Modular Multiple Microcomputer Concept..... | 26   |
| 8      | Technology Evaluation Summary.....                       | 36   |
| 9      | Other Required Hardware Technologies.....                | 38   |

## LIST OF TABLES

| Table |                                                                      | Page   |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 1     | Percent Instruction Mix/Usage.....                                   | 17     |
| 2     | Commercial Computer Performance Analysis.....                        | 18     |
| 3     | Microcomputer Designations (Example).....                            | 29     |
| 4     | Microcomputer System Frame/Control State Scheduling<br>Example.....  | 33     |
| 5     | Summary - Memory and AIET Requirements.....                          | 34     |
| 6     | Average Fixed Point Instruction Execution Times.....                 | 37     |
| A-1   | Program Processing and Storage Requirements.....                     | 49/50  |
| B-1   | Program Processing and Storage Requirements (CPU 1).....             | 50     |
| B-2   | Program Processing and Storage Requirements (CPU 2).....             | 51     |
| B-3   | Program Processing and Storage Requirements (CPU 3).....             | 52     |
| C-1   | Execution Time per Frame (CPU 1).....                                | 56     |
| C-2   | Execution Time per Frame (CPU 2).....                                | 56     |
| C-3   | Execution Time per Frame (CPU 3).....                                | 57/58  |
| H-1   | Microcomputer Performance Analysis (INTEL 3000).....                 | 84     |
| H-2   | Microcomputer Performance Analysis (MC 10800).....                   | 85     |
| H-3   | Microcomputer Performance Analysis (TI SN74S481).....                | 86     |
| H-4   | Microcomputer Performance Analysis (INTEL 8080A).....                | 87     |
| H-5   | Microcomputer Performance Analysis (AM 2900).....                    | 88     |
| H-6   | Microcomputer Performance Analysis (FAIRCHILD 9440).....             | 89     |
| H-7   | Microcomputer Performance Analysis (INTEL 8085A).....                | 90     |
| H-8   | Microcomputer Performance Analysis (Tl SPP 9900).....                | 91/92  |
| I-1   | Processing and Storage Requirements (Microcomputer<br>Approach)..... | 95/96  |
| J-1   | Frame Time Analysis - Microcomputer Approach.....                    | 99/100 |

SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, the proliferation of computers embedded in trainer systems with the attendant proliferation of assembly-level languages has resulted in increased life-cycle costs of all types of real-time trainers. The advent of LSI and VLSI technology embodied in state-of-the-art microprocessors and microcomputers has suggested computer system architectural concepts that potentially can reverse this proliferation. Furthermore, these concepts offer the possibility for extensive standardization, modularity and performance improvements that significantly impact and reduce life-cycle costs of real-time trainers.

Various conceptual approaches to introducing advanced microcomputer technology in real-time trainers have been under investigation at NAVTRAEQUIPCEN for over two years. The question of how this technology can be properly and effectively introduced in the design of real-time trainers has been addressed during this investigation. Results of the investigation are documented in this report. They indicate the technical and cost feasibility of applying microcomputer technology in a functionally dedicated multiple configuration to handle the processing, control, computation and other simulation functions of real-time trainers. Currently embedded conventional general purpose computers are used to satisfy such requirements.

Basic cost tradeoffs were made between an F-18 OFT trainer concept using commercially-available computer equipments and the same trainer concept employing multiple microcomputers formulated with bit-sliced LSI modules.

The analysis also identifies the basic technologies required to achieve a working multiple microcomputer system concept as described in this report.

## SECTION II

## STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

## BACKGROUND AND DESCRIPTION

The ability to improve cost effectiveness in training by simulation (i.e., trainer systems) is limited in an important technical area of trainer design and field support. This is the design of the computer system considering both hardware and software and field support in terms of lack of commonality in the areas of computer spares, maintenance personnel training, hardware documentation, software documentation, programmer experience, knowledge and training.

Modern trainers (e.g., aircraft operational flight trainers (OFT's), weapon system trainers (WST's), cockpit procedures trainers (CPT's), sonar trainers, EW trainers, shipboard trainers, submarine trainers, tactics trainers, and the like) universally employ embedded commercially available digital computers of various types and designs to perform the real-time control, processing and computation required for the simulation. Application of commercial computers has in the recent past provided the most cost effective trainer design approach since no unique computer hardware development was required. Reasonable delivery schedules for trainers have been afforded by availability of such "off-the-shelf" computers. Standard military computers have been employed only to a very limited extent because of their performance limitations and high initial cost when employed in real-time simulators for training.

Under current procurement policies and practices, the embedded computing equipment must be selected for each trainer system design and application by the respective system contractors. The selection criteria is based on NAVTRAEQUIPCEN specifications and the system contractor's analysis of the requirements and available computer hardware. To date, these computers have been programmed almost exclusively in the assembly language of the selected computer. The software package developed and delivered with each trainer is unique to that trainer and to the language of the computer involved. As a result of this situation, there is little or no commonality of computer hardware, no commonality of computer languages, and no commonality of computer software programs even between trainers of a similar class (e.g., OFT's, or CPT's, or WST's).

This proliferation of different computer hardware types (which also results in the proliferation of different language types) has resulted in a Navy training device inventory (or under contract) as of March 1979 of 793 digital computers comprised of 63 different hardware types that require a knowledge (for programming, documentation, etc.) of 43 different assembly level languages. Of the 793 computers cited above, a total of only 64 are

standard military Navy units of 13 different types. This large inventory of different types of computers requires extensive and costly life cycle field support in terms of large numbers of unique spare components for hardware maintenance, the training of many maintenance personnel on many different types of computer hardware, unique hardware documentation for each type, unique software documentation for each type, unique software maintenance and unique programmer training and indoctrination in the many computer languages over the life cycle of the various trainers.

Although the acquisition cost of computer hardware applied to trainers has been reduced dramatically over the past three years, the acquisition cost of the computer software has significantly increased over the same period. It is currently estimated to range from 3:1 to as high as 10:1. In 1974 a brief NAVTRAEQUIPCEN study indicated the average was at least 2.5:1. That study was based on only five types of trainers. Other data generally available in industry on 10 year life cycle maintenance costs of software indicates such costs can easily increase the software/hardware dollar ratio of 3 to 1 or more.

A major contributing factor to the high software acquisition and field support costs is the fact that programming is extensive, coding is performed in the assembly language of the computers involved and there is no software commonality between similar trainer types. There is currently no language commonality or compatibility among different types of computers embedded in trainers. Since each new program is in a different language, documentation costs are high and there is no ability to apply (or transfer) program modules developed for one trainer to another similar trainer regardless of application and/or mathematical similarity between them. The field support costs include trainer software maintenance, software design changes and software updating as well as the continual training of programming personnel of the software support organizations in many different computer languages. Turnover of programming and maintenance personnel further accentuate these problems and increase support costs over the life cycle.

System architectures designed with commercially available computers and/or standard military computers (e.g., AN/UYK-7, AN/UYK-20, etc.) have not been optimum in many cases in terms of real-time processing, computation and control performance required by modern high performance trainers and/or for realistic life cycle cost effectiveness. The lack of suitable computer hardware modularity has resulted in performance overkills (excess capabilities) for some trainer computers and underkills (deficiencies) in many others. In most instances, multi-processor configurations are required to solve the processing deficiencies of a single computer. In addition to the fact that these system architectures are more costly to implement, the ability to program, synchronize and control such configurations in real-time is very complicated and contributes to the increased software costs. This is a direct result of the computing equipment not being initially conceived and designed to be organized and efficiently applied in system configurations required for real-time trainers.

The availability of a suitable and standard high order language (HOL) would decrease both life cycle costs and technical software programming problems. However, the only standard HOL universally available with most cost effective commercial computers suitable for trainer applications is ANSI FORTRAN IV. The availability of FORTRAN IV compilers that can generate optimized and efficient object code capable of being used in most real-time trainers is also significantly limited.[1] As of the time of this report preparation (1979) there are only two or perhaps three efficient FORTRAN compilers available with contemporary commercial computers suitable for real-time trainers that can be used a pseudo (or de facto) standard programming language. The use of FORTRAN IV is much less than optimum as a standard source language for real-time trainers. The power of FORTRAN is in its numerical computation and processing constructs. However, on the average, this represents only approximately twenty to twenty-five percent of the total computer workload for the usual A/C OFT (as an example). The remaining seventy-five to eighty percent is involved in extensive bit, byte, logical, input-output, handling data structures, interrupt and special control operations. The average FORTRAN is significantly deficient in its ability to express these types of programming functions in its syntax and language constructs, and to optimally compile such source statements into object code for efficient real-time execution. Further, adequate run-time facilities (e.g., real-time operating systems) for FORTRAN are characteristically lacking. This also is a significant limitation on the ability to port (transfer) FORTRAN source code between various similar trainers.

Any approach to solving the problems of (1) continued proliferation of types of computer hardware, (2) continued proliferation of different computer languages, (3) reducing life cycle costs and (4) improving computer system performance in trainers must be considered in a total system context. The cost reduction benefits to be gained by using a standard or common HOL cannot be fully exploited if the compatible computer hardware is not modularly expandable and does not possess acceptable real-time processing capabilities, both at a cost effective price and available from multiple sources.

The advent of large scale integration (LSI) and very large scale integration (VLSI) technology embodied in state-of-the-art microprocessors and microcomputers suggests computer system architectural concepts that potentially can reverse the problems of non-standard computer equipment and language proliferation. Further, some concepts offer the possibility for extensive modularity and performance improvements that can significantly impact performance and reduce life cycle cost of real-time trainer systems.

---

1. The Efficiency of FORTRAN in Simulation Computers, F.A. Sigmund, Goodyear Aerospace Corp., Proceedings, 10th NTEC/Industry Conference, NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-294, Nov 1977.

Microcomputer system architectural concepts for trainers have been under investigation and analysis at NAVTRAEQUIPCEN for over two years. This report describes the investigative approach and analysis results of a concept of multiple microcomputers employed in a dedicated functionally-modular system architecture. The concept provides an approach to solving the previously mentioned problem areas and will eventually achieve the following:

- a. Commonality of LSI and VLSI microcomputer family modules available from multiple commercial sources.
- b. Commonality of computer hardware documentation between similar trainer types.
- c. Processing performance improvement via intrinsic parallelism of the concept and optimized standard code.
- d. Modular hardware structure can support tailored processing requirements for a wide spectrum of trainer types.
- e. Overall trainer program is modular-partitioned for dedicated assignment of module to a specific microcomputer.
- f. Modular-partitioning of the total program permits future standardization of individual program modules (routines) and commonality of software documentation.
- g. Ability to accept future advances in VLSI microcomputer technology without early obsolescence and loss of software base via the mechanism of microprogramming.
- h. Commonality of maintenance training to reduce life cycle costs.
- i. Commonality of programmer training and orientation to reduce life cycle costs.
- j. Concept can readily accept future application of the new DoD standard HOL (ADA) and potentially can directly execute the abstract intermediate language output (of the compiler) via microprogramming. This will result in a further improvement in system performance and code execution.

### SECTION III

#### METHOD OF PROCEDURES

##### INTRODUCTION

The procedure encompassed the analysis of an assumed base-line trainer system. The vehicle chosen for a system design analysis was the F-18 aircraft with the baseline trainer system being limited to an operational flight trainer (OFT). No weapon system for the F-18 was considered for simulation. The performance and life cycle costs of an embedded general purpose computer system were derived and ultimately compared with the cost and performance of a unique multiple microcomputer system design approach capable of performing the same simulation and training functions.

This section provides a description of the baseline Operational Flight Trainer (OFT), a discussion of the projected OFT requirement for the F-18 aircraft, and the methodology employed in determining the processing and storage requirements for such a training system. The results of the analysis determined the performance and number of computers (processors) required to satisfy the F-18 OFT requirements. Two different computer system concepts were investigated. The first represents a conventional approach which utilizes commercially available off-the-shelf general purpose computers. The second is a concept which utilizes a group of microprogrammable microcomputers interconnected and system state controlled to satisfy the OFT simulation requirements.

A survey of large scale integration (LSI) and very large scale integration (VLSI) technology was conducted as part of the overall exploratory development effort. In addition, an analysis was performed to identify various microcomputer modules/families, the advantages and limitations of each, and their potential for being configured to meet the baseline OFT system simulation requirements. The final step in the investigation was to determine a reasonable life cycle cost for each of the two different computer approaches.

##### TYPICAL OFT SYSTEM CONFIGURATION

An OFT simulates the performance and characteristics during cockpit preflight, engine start operations through taxi and takeoff, navigation, flight, landing and engine shutdown procedures of a specific aircraft. A typical OFT consists of a full size replica of the specific aircraft cockpit mounted on a fixed or motion base. The OFT also includes an instructor station/operator console, a digital computer system with peripheral equipment and a power distribution station all housed in a permanent structure.

System simulation provides for duplication and activation of the controls, performance, position and control instruments, communication and navigation systems and other flight equipment of the cockpit so that trainees can become completely familiar with the cockpit controls and procedures in order to synthesize flight maneuvers and respond to induced emergencies. The trainee activation of the controls and a presentation of instruments/displays duplicates the response of the aircraft throughout its entire operating range.

The instructor station for an OFT is usually located external to the trainee station. It provides trainer control including implementing the flight, inserting malfunctions, monitoring trainee actions and evaluating trainee performance. The instructor's station is utilized to provide information to the trainee concerning the appropriateness of his actions as well as the actions he should have taken at any point during the problem. The instructor station also permits the instructor to maneuver the simulator through a planned flight for demonstration purposes. The instructor's station provides a continuous display of real-time information such as airspeed, altitude, heading, ground position, and the like to the instructor. For simulated aerial navigation and control, data is also available to the trainee in order that he can simulate maneuvering within the flight capabilities of the design basis aircraft. There are also displays which provide information to the instructor pertaining to the operation of the simulator. The displays consist of the following types (1) cockpit instruments, (2) switch position indicators, (3) instrument repeaters, (4) CRT's, and the like.

The embedded computer system for a modern OFT is usually a multiprocessor configuration with private memory, shared or common memory, peripheral equipment, mass storage memory and cockpit input/output data conversion equipment (linkage). This system is typical of the conventional master-slave computer system configuration.[2,3]

One processor is designated as the master unit which controls the trainer system. The master processor usually contains the software for flight, engines, accessories, nav/com, instructor station switches and CRT system, and all training modes. The slave processor handles all other OFT functions.

Figure 1 depicts a basic block diagram of the trainer concept selected for this analysis.

#### UNIQUE F-18 OFT SIMULATION REQUIREMENTS

**Flight Control Computer.** The F-18 aircraft utilizes a flight computer system which provides closed loop control of the longitudinal and lateral-direction control. The flight computer system measures pilot pitch, roll and yaw commands, aircraft angular body rates, aircraft linear accelerations and air data parameters. The solution rate of the on-board flight computer system is relatively high, between 40-80 Hz. This imposes a stringent processing

2. Future Avionics Systems Architecture, B.A. Zempolich, Report No. AIR-360, 5 Oct 1977.
3. Microcomputers and Systems Design, W.J. Dejka, TD-507, NELC, 15 Feb 1977.




Figure 1. Basic F-18 OFT Block Diagram (Concept)

## NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

requirement on the OFT computer system. The OFT math model elements which interface with the on-board flight computer system must be solved at a rate consistent with the performance of that system.

The OFT processing requirement for those functions may be met by either utilizing the on-board flight computer as an integral part of the trainer or by simulating the required functions in the OFT main frame computer system. There are advantages and limitations associated with both approaches. The use of the on-board flight computer system reduces the computational load on the OFT main frame computer system. However, limitations of such an approach are the high cost of the flight computer system, identifying the various interface requirements (hardware and software), reduced system flexibility, and reduced reliability due to system complexity. Simulation of the required on-board flight computer system on the other hand offers a simpler and more reliable system configuration. However, technical design data, both hardware and software, must be available to identify the various on-board control functions and their implementation for simulation. Changes in the simulation software to reflect changes in the tactical functions of the on-board computer can be extensive, representing considerable costs in schedule and availability for training to maintain the OFT in an updated status.

Mission Computer. The F-18 aircraft also utilizes two mission computers which provide the primary controls for the avionic system. The mission computers process radar, inertial navigation system (INS) and ADC data for display and weapon delivery computations, utilize store management data to generate missile and weapon release signals, compute navigational and attack steering commands, and control mode of operation of the avionic system as a function of aircraft mode.

In the F-18 the processing requirements associated with the mission computer could be met by either utilizing the operational on-board mission computers or by simulating those functions performed by the mission computer that are required in the OFT. For purposes of this analysis, the mission computers were incorporated in the OFT concept to off load the trainer computer system and facilitate incorporating software/hardware modifications due to future enhancements to the baseline aircraft.

### PROCESSING AND STORAGE REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS

The method of procedure used in determining the storage (memory) and computational requirements for the real-time OFT simulation problem for the F-18 high performance aircraft was that described in a NAVTRAEQUIPCEN report.[4]

4. NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-262, Computer System Requirements Analysis, Device 2F112, F14 Weapon System Trainer

# NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

A summary of the major computer analysis steps is as follows:

- a. Determine all simulation and control functions and designate their program modules.
- b. Determine or estimate the number of program statements, data and constant storage requirement for each program module.
- c. Determine or estimate the worst-case instruction count for each program module.
- d. Determine the computer solution or iteration rate (and frame time) for each program module.
- e. Determine the processing requirements for the total OFT simulation program.
- f. Derive the percent usage factor for a specified class of typical instructions.
- g. Derive an average instruction execution time for the specific computer hardware being considered.
- h. Determine computer hardware configurations which will satisfy the performance requirements as determined by e and g above.
- i. Determine the computational loading per processor (average number of instructions to be executed per second) which is the sum of the products of the worst-case instruction count/module and the corresponding iteration rate (resulting in a total instructions per second (IPS) figure required of each processor).
- j. Perform a frame time analysis to determine if the processors being considered have sufficient processing capability to satisfy the timing requirements on a frame to frame basis.

A significant step in the analysis sequence was to determine the relative capability of computer hardware configurations to process the program involved and meet the program performance requirements.

The instruction repertoires of general purpose digital computers selected for trainer applications have execution speeds which can vary significantly among instruction types. As an example, an ADD-type instruction of one computer may require only 1.5 microseconds for execution and a MULTIPLY-type may require 5.5 microseconds. Whereas, another computer may be capable of executing ADD-type instructions in 1.2 microseconds and MULTIPLY-type in 6.75 microseconds.

In order to normalize such variations from machine to machine and be able to compare two or more for execution capability, the actual computer hardware execution times are weighted by a percent-use factor representing the

## NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

frequency each instruction is used in the program. This was done for each computer configuration under consideration.

The percent-use factors (program instruction mix expressed as a percentage) for the conceptual F/A-18 OFT analysis are shown in Table 1. The percent values for this table were derived from an average of actual instruction counts from several existing OFT's and other reasonable estimates. This normalization process provides a relative weighted Average Instruction Execution Time (AIET) for each computer system being considered. Table 2 presents the data for a commercial general purpose computer system that has an AIET per processor of 1.5719 microseconds (equivalent to executing 636,174 instructions per second).

### PROGRAM ORGANIZATION AND PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS

The module size, data and constant storage requirements for each functional group were determined by using the methodology described in the NAVTRAEQUIPCEN report[5] as well as actual instruction counts of existing OFT programs and was used in deriving the data of Appendix A.

The estimated storage requirements for each major simulation functional group are summarized in Appendix A. The summation of the instruction count of each identified routine, including appropriate contingency factors, constant and data counts for each module, determines the total storage (memory) requirements for that major functional group. In this analysis, a twenty percent contingency factor was added to each module instruction count to allow for estimating errors due to oversight, changes in training requirements and the like. Since FORTRAN IV was considered to be the programming language for the concepted F-18 OFT, an additional fifteen percent was added to each program module instruction count for all simulation functions to account for inefficiencies in the code generated by FORTRAN compilers as compared to routines coded in assembly level language.

In order to allow for future expansion, spare memory for the F-18 OFT was considered to be not less than twenty-five percent of the installed memory per processor.

**WORST-CASE INSTRUCTIONS EXECUTED.** In determining the time required to execute the various program modules, it is necessary to determine the worst-case number of instructions of each module which is logically possible to be executed in a single pass through the routine for each computation frame.

The worst-case instruction execution counts for the flight-aero and communication/navigation simulation functional groups for the conceptual F-18 OFT were derived from past experience and other trainer programs with similar processing requirements. The worst-case instruction count for the various flight and communication/navigation modules varied from 0.2 to 6.0 times the module instruction count.

5. See reference 4.

TABLE 1. PERCENT INSTRUCTION MIX/USAGE

| INSTRUCTION<br>TYPE                       | %<br>USAGE |
|-------------------------------------------|------------|
| LOAD                                      | 0.157      |
| LOAD - DBL. PREC.                         | 0.001      |
| STORE                                     | 0.127      |
| STORE - DBL. PREC.                        | 0.001      |
| ADD/SUBT                                  | 0.049      |
| ADD/SUTB - FLT PT                         | 0.041      |
| MULTIPLY                                  | 0.032      |
| MULTIPLY - FLT PT                         | 0.015      |
| DIVIDE                                    | 0.007      |
| DIVIDE - FLT PT                           | 0.001      |
| LOGICAL                                   | 0.076      |
| SHIFT ( 5 PLACES )                        | 0.031      |
| COMPARE                                   | 0.043      |
| BRANCH                                    | 0.105      |
| INDEX                                     | 0.003      |
| REG-TO-REG OPNS                           | 0.031      |
| MISCELLANEOUS ( E.G., CALLS TO O/S, ETC ) | 0.279      |
| INPUT - OUTPUT                            | 0.001      |
| TOTAL                                     | 1.000      |

TABLE 2. COMMERCIAL COMPUTER PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

| INSTRUCTION<br>TYPE                                           | %<br>USAGE | HARDWARE<br>EXECUTION<br>TIME(USEC) | WEIGHTED TIME<br>USED/TYPE<br>(USEC) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| LOAD                                                          | 0.157      | 1.200                               | 0.1884                               |
| LOAD - DBL. PREC.                                             | 0.001      | 2.100                               | 0.0021                               |
| STORE                                                         | 0.127      | 1.200                               | 0.1524                               |
| STORE - DBL. PREC.                                            | 0.001      | 1.800                               | 0.0018                               |
| ADD/SUBT                                                      | 0.049      | 1.200                               | 0.0588                               |
| ADD/SUBB - FLT PT                                             | 0.041      | 2.600                               | 0.1066                               |
| MULTIPLY                                                      | 0.032      | 5.700                               | 0.1824                               |
| MULTIPLY - FLT PT                                             | 0.015      | 5.600                               | 0.0840                               |
| DIVIDE                                                        | 0.007      | 7.100                               | 0.0497                               |
| DIVIDE - FLT PT                                               | 0.001      | 9.050                               | 0.0090                               |
| LOGICAL                                                       | 0.076      | 1.200                               | 0.0912                               |
| SHIFT ( 5 PLACES )                                            | 0.031      | 1.800                               | 0.0558                               |
| COMPARE                                                       | 0.043      | 1.950                               | 0.0838                               |
| BRANCH                                                        | 0.105      | 0.600                               | 0.0630                               |
| INDEX                                                         | 0.003      | 1.500                               | 0.0045                               |
| REG-TO-REG OPNS                                               | 0.031      | 0.600                               | 0.0186                               |
| MISCELLANEOUS                                                 | 0.279      | 1.500                               | 0.4185                               |
| INPUT - OUTPUT                                                | 0.001      | 1.200                               | 0.0012                               |
| TOTAL                                                         | 1.000      |                                     | 1.5719                               |
| AVE. INST. EXEC. RATE CAPABILITY<br>(INSTRUCTIONS PER SECOND) |            |                                     | 636174                               |

## NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

INSTRUCTION EXECUTION RATE. The highest execution rate for the F-18 OBT example was selected to be 60 HZ. The basis for selecting this execution rate was influenced by several factors which are: (1) selected aircraft including interface processing with on-board computers, (2) digital simulation of flight computer functions, (3) future performance considerations, and (4) minimization of overall simulation system time delay and subsystem time lags. Submultiple rates of 60 (i.e., 30, 15, 10, 5, 1) were assigned to other program modules according to the required responses of those modules. Execution time could not exceed total available time in a solution frame (minus twenty-five percent for spare) in order to provide valid system response, simulation performance, and minimization of overall simulation system time delay and subsystem time lag.

The minimum real-time execution rate required by the total simulation program (expressed as instructions per second (IPS)) is the summation of the product of the the worst-case instruction count for each module and required solution rate for that module. Appendix A lists the program modules with assigned solution rates for each of the various simulation functions.

### COMPUTER PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

AVERAGE INSTRUCTION EXECUTION TIME (AIET). The percent usage of each instruction type corresponding to each major group was used to compute the average instruction execution time in microseconds for the computer system being analyzed for the F-18 OBT example.

The F-18 OBT computer analysis indicated that more than a single processor would be required. Consequently, the F-18 OBT simulation task was divided and allotted to three commercial processors (Appendix B). The three-processor system configuration is provided in Appendix C. This system configuration represents a conventional general purpose approach for typical high performance OBT's.

FRAME TIME ANALYSIS. The table of Appendix B and the preceding discussions summarize the minimum program processing requirements for the F-18 OBT example. This table is based on the execution time of all modules being averaged over a full second. This facet of the analysis determined the initial processing and storage capabilities required of the embedded computer hardware.

However, since real-time simulation program execution is basically an iterative sequence of events within the computer, it becomes necessary to analyze the computer requirements to another level of detail to select computer hardware that is fully capable of meeting the processing time requirements per processing frame. This more detailed capability is determined on the basis of a frame time analysis.

For this F-18 OBT example, the highest frame rate for program solution is 60 HZ. This translates to a period of 16.667 milliseconds per frame. Since twenty-five percent spare time per frame under worst-case processing conditions was considered for the analyzed baseline, only 12.500 milliseconds are available in each frame for processing all modules assigned to each frame.

The allocation of the program modules and the results of the frame time analysis for this F-18 example are summarized in Appendix D. It is conceivable that a more optimum (in processing time) allocation of program modules per frame time could be derived than the one selected. However, this should not affect the ultimate hardware configuration. The allocations used in Appendix D indicate that the specified usable processing frame time requirement can be met by the three processor configuration with the F-18 OFT simulation functional assignment indicated in Appendices B and D. This system configuration is a conventional master-slave multiple processor organization.

#### MULTIPLE MICROCOMPUTER SYSTEM CONCEPTS

Several concepts of system configuration using multiple microcomputers were investigated. These included but were not limited to:

- a. A federated approach[6], Figure 2
- b. A master-slave approach[8] Figures 3 and 4
- c. A matrix approach[8], Figure 5
- d. A distributed approach[6,7], Figure 6

**FEDERATED APPROACH.** The federated approach can provide hardware that can be tailored to various system functions. However, this configuration has a low data rate since the communication bus is treated as a peripheral device. Reconfiguration is difficult to satisfy a wide spectrum of trainer classes. Computers of different architectures could be used to formulate such a system, but this would tend to nullify a standardization requirement for trainers to reduce life cycle costs. From a software viewpoint this configuration would have a single executive or supervisor that would be resident in one of the processors with general system control provided in one unit. Application programs would be limited to only local functions in a single computer. This concept was not investigated further since the low bus data rate would not meet general real-time trainer requirements. With the bus treated as a peripheral serious real-time bus conflicts could develop that will make this technique unacceptable for real-time throughput.

**MASTER-SLAVE APPROACH.** The master-slave computer system configuration is widely used in sophisticated real-time trainers. Two variations of this approach are shown on Figures 3 and 4. The computer hardware used in these configurations is usually identical except that different models of the same vendor family of computers can be used. Some reconfiguration potential

6. See reference 2

7. See reference 3

8. Parallel Processor Architectures - Part 1, General Purpose Systems, Kenneth J. Thurber, Sperry Univac, Computer Design, January 1979.




Figure 2. Federated System Concept




Figure 3. Master-Slave Multiple Processor System Concept - A




Figure 4. Master-Slave Multiple Processor System Concept - B




Figure 5. Matrix Computer System Concept




Figure 6. Distributed Computer System Concept

exists, however, it is not usually attempted once the hardware design is firm and proven. Data interchange rates are limited to memory access speeds and the DMA set-up time in the case of the combination of Figure 3 and a common memory is employed. Where multiple memory modules with multiple ports are used (Figure 4), I/O rates are limited by the nature of the programmed functions requiring extensive communications and memory cycle times. Large I/O data rate requirement conflicts will result when the CPU and DMA attempt to access the same address space. There is usually an operating system (or an executive) in the master unit and sub-executives in each slave unit. The system is somewhat tightly coupled (for real-time processing), using either priority interrupts or semaphores between master and slaves. Little or no parallelism is achievable in this configuration when implemented with conventional general purpose computers or microcomputers.

**MATRIX APPROACH.** The significant advantage of the matrix system configuration is the sharing of processor and memory resources. Figure 5 is a very simplified diagram of a matrix type system configuration. As additional resources are required to handle additional processing requirements the size of the matrix switch increases as the square of the number of resource items. The term "crossbar switch multiple processor" is another name for this type system concept. An access path can be provided to each separate memory module from each processor element. Parallel or simultaneous transfers can occur. System expansion is relatively easy to implement if the switch has been properly designed and implemented. However, the switch is fundamentally complex and difficult to develop. The switch for a system of any reasonable size can represent a substantial part of the total system hardware requirements.

Limitations of this concept for real-time trainer applications can be characterized by memory port design limitations, expensive memory controls and very expensive interconnection cabling required. Memory access conflicts are also extensive. When the number of processors exceeds four or more, significant processing performance degradation occurs. Such limitations make the matrix approach unacceptable for application to real-time trainer designs.

**DISTRIBUTED APPROACH.** Distributed system approaches are being described in the literature at an ever increasing rate. Distributed processing has become a generic term that must be specifically defined in terms of a specific application. Hardware characteristics usually provide a very high speed bus system that interconnects the several computers in such a network. The network can be either geographically distributed or it can be functionally distributed at a local site (or within several adjacent racks). The ability to reconfigure a system design under this philosophy is dependent upon all computers being identical or all should have the same architecture or must be capable of interfacing to some standard bus. Multiple access to a group of peripherals is also a firm requirement for easy reconfiguration.

Each computer usually has a simple local executive or sub-executive for control. Applications programs are most frequently applicable to local functions in each computer. System control can be distributed via the sub-executive in each computer.

Distributed systems characterized by the above can be implemented to have a graceful degradation quality. This is very important for a tactical system to allow it to continue to perform at least part of a critical mission. Distributed system concepts for real-time trainers will require a much tighter coupling of processing than is the usual distributed case. The concept depicted by Figure 5 is inappropriate for meeting real-time trainer processing requirements.

In each case above, performing the functions of a multiple general purpose computer configuration with multiple microcomputers resulted in lack of flexibility, excessive inter-microcomputer data transfer times, excessive complexity of both hardware and system control software and other undesirable system control requirements (e.g., control busses, data communication bandwidth limitations, etc.). Graceful system degradation objectives of the usual distributed concepts are not applicable to or required by trainer systems.

As a result of investigating the concepts discussed above, another unique system architecture was explored and evolved to develop a concept of using multiple microcomputers that would not exhibit the limitations and unacceptable characteristics of these system concepts. A functionally-modular multiple microcomputer system architectural concept has evolved for performing the general purpose processing, control and computational functions of real-time trainers. The system architectural concept has general purpose characteristics but also possesses inherent properties of excellent flexibility, potential for significant hardware and software standardization, low life cycle cost and improved processing performance. It is uniquely adaptable to a wide spectrum of real-time trainer classes with the capability of being tailored to the processing requirements of a particular trainer. In general, the new era of microelectronics will result in reduced use of conventional general purpose (GP) computer systems in real-time trainers and increased use of application-specific designs using multiple microcomputers.[9]

FUNCTIONALLY-MODULAR MULTIPLE MICROCOMPUTER SYSTEM CONCEPT. This concept is depicted in Figure 7. It involves functionally partitioning the real-time simulation task as conventionally represented in the usual GP stored computer program. The functions required for the CFT example described previously have been partitioned and assigned (or dedicated) to separate but identical microcomputers (Table 3). For example, these functions include control inputs, weight and balance computations, engine simulation, aero-coefficient table look-ups, moment of inertia computations, forces and moments computations, coordinate conversions and transformations, motion system computations, instrument drives, instructor station functions, and the like. For the heuristic partitioning, attempts were made to group related functions in specific microcomputers (Table 3).

The application microcomputers will access a common data memory via a common data bus system and a common memory address bus system. System

9. See reference 2.




Figure 7. Functionally-Modular Multiple Microcomputer Concept for Trainer Systems

TABLE 3. MICROCOMPUTER DESIGNATIONS (EXAMPLE)

| MICROCOMPUTER NO. | SOLUTION RATE | ASSIGNED FUNCTIONS                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1                 | 30/sec        | Instructor Station, Displays,<br>Ground reaction effects, taxiing                                                                  |
| 2                 | 60/sec        | Aero, Forces, Moments-Stab Axis Comp.<br>Atmosphere, Weight & Balance                                                              |
| 3                 | 60/sec        | Flight Control, Propulsion system,<br>Flight Control-CPU interface                                                                 |
| 4                 | 60/sec        | Stability Axis transformations,<br>Earth-Axis Acceleration<br>Moments - Body Axis computation<br>P,Q,R Integration, Air Data Comp. |
| 5                 | 60/sec        | Angular Positions (Quaternions),<br>G-seat/G-suit, TACAN Math Model                                                                |
| 6                 | 30/sec        | Navigation, Radar Nav., Compass<br>simulation                                                                                      |
| 7                 | 60/sec        | System Control State                                                                                                               |
| 8                 | 60/sec        | Input-output - Cockpit                                                                                                             |
| 9                 | 60/sec        | Peripheral units control                                                                                                           |
| 10                | 30/sec        | Instructor Station I/O and Controls                                                                                                |

control, such as microcomputer frame scheduling, sequencing, bus accessing, frame timing, interrupt status and accounting, common storage access, initiation of input-output operations, etc will be controlled via a dedicated identical microcomputer that has been microcoded and programmed for these system functions. Each application microcomputer will also be microcoded to provide internal local control. This local microcoded control function will be identical in all application and control microcomputers.

The dynamic response of the real-time simulation task that the system control microcomputer establishes, dictates the frame rate and frame timing. The required frame time can be represented by the following expression:

$$T_{FR} = \frac{1}{20 \frac{\omega_n}{2\pi}}$$

where  $\frac{\omega_n}{2\pi}$  is the highest natural frequency of the system to be simulated, [10,11]

$$\text{and } 20 \frac{\omega_n}{2\pi} = 10 \frac{\omega_n}{\pi}$$

For trainers that have a visual subsystem the following additional condition must be met.

$$\frac{10 \omega_n}{\pi} \geq 30i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, \text{ etc} \\ (\text{an integer multiplier})$$

The expression 30i determines that the highest frame or solution rate is not less than 30 HZ or an integer multiple thereof for synchronization with visual systems using the standard TV raster frame rate. For a frame rate of 30 HZ, a Frame will be 33.3333 milliseconds. As an example, for an OFT with visual, the flight equations could be executed at a frame rate of 60 HZ, but the engine equations may require an execution rate of only 10 HZ because of the low natural frequency of the engine.

For this concept various functions are assigned to specific microcomputers to be executed at various rates. Each execution frame that is scheduled by the control microcomputer will involve a group of application microcomputers. Thus, the control microcomputer establishes a total computer system control state for that frame. For the previous example, the multiple microcomputer system would have 60 states.

10. Moore School Report 55-20, University of Pennsylvania, Simulation of a Supersonic Fighter Using a Digital Computer

11. NAVTRADEVEN 594-1, Analog-Digital Computers For Real-Time Simulation

Frame timing (time length of the frame) will be determined by a precision interval timer (or real-time clock) that is initially set, counts down the frame time, develops an interrupt to the control microcomputer, is reset and resumes counting. These interrupts are counted by the control microcomputer in order to establish the system control state and initiate the applications microcomputers that are required to process during that state. Table 4 illustrates such assignments for various frames. The control state assignments shown on Table 4 are very regular and repetitive. In an actual trainer such assignments and states will not necessarily be regular or very repetitive from state to state (or frame to frame).

Each applications microcomputer communicates data with all other applications microcomputers via a common memory connected to the system data bus. Only simulation initialization data, intermediate results and I/O parameters are stored in common memory.

The control microcomputer will be programmed with a series of pointers and state files (or the like) that list the various groups of applications microcomputers that will be scheduled to process in a given frame. (Table 4 as example). The number of application microcomputers (N) required to process an entire real-time simulation program is determined by the performance capability of the "standard" microcomputer, the bandwidth of the data bus, and the execution time of the total control algorithm in the control microcomputer and in the application microcomputers.

Each microcomputer will have its own dedicated local memory in the form of solid state programmable read-only memory (PROMS) and random access memory (RAMS). Since specific simulation and trainer functions are dedicated to a specific microcomputer, ultimately the software can be standardized on a per-function-basis and stored permanently in a PROM. The RAM will be used only for storing intermediate results and local data and constants. A prime objective of this study and analysis was to determine in a relative way effectiveness of the modular multiple microcomputer system concept. The estimated F-18 OFT program previously described was heuristically partitioned into related processing functions that could be dedicated to individual microcomputers that possessed sufficient processing capability to handle the assigned functions within a specific system control state.

The partitioned functions are shown in Table 3 and in Appendix I. The processing requirements of each functional group were derived in the manner previously explained in this report. Table 5 summarizes the processing requirements of the partitioned functional groups and identifies the number of microcomputers with their minimum average instruction execution time requirement.

It should be noted in Appendix I that the solution rates of all routines assigned to a specific microcomputer have been changed from those of Appendix A to the highest rate required within that group. Otherwise, the scheduling control of separate solution rates within a dedicated microcomputer would be

more complex than needed. This procedure provides for reduced local control firmware complexity and also improves the processing efficiency of a given microcomputer and the total system by eliminating this extra local scheduling overhead.

#### MICROCOMPUTER LSI AND VLSI TECHNOLOGY INVESTIGATION

The results of the partitioning function explained in the previous section indicated a required AIET performance range of microcomputers for this system concept to be from 2.5991 usec. to 4.7358 usec. This performance requirement range does not include the requirements of the system control microcomputer or the input-output microcomputer(s) (there may be more than one I/O microcomputer).

Eight modular microcomputer families were investigated to determine an average instruction execution time capability of each in addition to other essential criteria. Early in the exploratory development described in this report, several decisions were made concerning fundamental criteria to be applied in evaluating families of microcomputer modules.

The essential criteria were:

a. They should be microprogrammable to provide very efficient local control functions as well as to provide a latent capability to potentially capture some existing software, and to achieve hardware commonality between the system control microcomputer, the application microcomputers and the I/O microcomputers.

b. The microprogrammable requirement will permit a future consideration of direct execution of the abstract intermediate level language output from a standard High Order Language (HOL) compiler (e.g., the forthcoming DoD standard HOL, ADA, or PASCAL P-Code).

c. The modular families should be available from multiple commercial sources to preclude sole-source procurements, future field support problems and premature obsolescence.

d. Prime consideration would be given to bit-slice families in order to achieve the microprogrammable capability and word lengths of up to 32 bits with identical modular components.

e. The family of modules should be highly flexible in their application in order that the microcomputers required for a given trainer design could be specifically tailored to the requirements.

f. Instruction execution speeds must be adequate to meet processing times.

TABLE 4. MICROCOMPUTER SYSTEM FRAME/CONTROL STATE SCHEDULING (EXAMPLE)

| SYSTEM CONTROL STATE/FRAME NO. | SCHEDULED MICROCOMPUTERS |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1                              | 1,2,3,4,5,8,9            |
| 2                              | 2,3,4,5,6,8,9,10         |
| 3                              | 1,2,3,4,5,8,9            |
| 4                              | 2,3,4,5,6,8,9,10         |
| 5                              | 1,2,3,4,5,8,9            |
| 6                              | 2,3,4,5,6,8,9,10         |
| 7                              | 1,2,3,4,5,8,9            |
| 8                              | 2,3,4,5,6,8,9,10         |
| "                              | "                        |
| ETC                            | ETC                      |
| "                              | "                        |
| 60                             | 2,3,4,5,6,8,9,           |

TABLE 5. SUMMARY - MEMORY AND AIET REQUIREMENTS

| APPLICATION<br>MICROCOMPUTER | ESTIMATED PROGRAM<br>SIZE (WORDS) | ESTIMATED TOTAL<br>MEMORY (WORDS) | REQUIRED<br>AIET (usec) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1                            | 6,784                             | 7,115                             | 4.7358                  |
| 2                            | 4,725                             | 5,325                             | 2.5991                  |
| 3                            | 4,556                             | 5,206                             | 3.0157                  |
| 4                            | 2,717                             | 3,129                             | 4.4215                  |
| 5                            | 3,459                             | 3,747                             | 2.7821                  |
| 6                            | 7,298                             | 8,423                             | 3.2949                  |
| 7                            | 620*                              | 1,500                             | *                       |
| 8                            | 100*                              | 750                               | *                       |
| 9                            | 100*                              | 750                               | *                       |
| 10                           | 1,000*                            | 2,000                             | *                       |
| COMMON MEMORY                |                                   | 16,000                            |                         |

\* Worst-case instruction execution should result in AIET's that are well within the actual AIET of the microcomputer technology analyzed.

The eight different available microcomputer modular families were subjected to a limited performance capability analysis to determine suitability for the concept of Figure 7. A representative average instruction execution time (AIET) was calculated for each. This AIET was derived from a consideration of the microcode required to synthesize the instruction set of Table 1 (less the floating point instructions). The instruction mix (or percent usage) applied in this analysis was that indicated in Appendix H for each family.

Although no precise or exact microcoding was performed, the level to which the instruction synthesis and timing was considered was deemed adequate for this analysis. Figure 8 indicates the modular families considered with a summary qualitative analysis statement for each. A quantitative summary of AIET capability of each microcomputer family analyzed is tabulated in Table 6. Appendix H presents the data used to derive the contents of Table 6. A frame time analysis was performed for the microcomputer approach. The results of this analysis are presented in Appendix J and indicate that the microcomputer family chosen should be capable of performing the necessary OFT processing as described in this report.

#### TECHNOLOGY REQUIREMENTS

The multiple microcomputer system concept embodied in Figure 7 imposes several other technology requirements for successful achievement. These are listed on Figure 9. Local and global storage (memory) in the form of random access memory elements (RAMS), read-only memory elements (ROMS), programmable read-only memory elements (PROMS), erasable programmable read-only memory elements (EPROMS) and magnetic memory modules are commercially available in many sizes (storage capability) and performance ranges (access/cycle times).

The minimum performance requirements and sizes and types of storage will be determined by a detailed design of the system of Figure 7. Of particular importance is the availability of memory elements with 3-state interface. This capability will permit independent connection to busses regardless of the number of elements (within reason) present or absent and not require a consideration of bus loading. The necessary memory technology is reasonably well established and is available to support this concept.

Static type bussing for interconnection of various numbers of microcomputers can be used in a system design (i.e., require no active components for bus driving or load compensation). It would consist of parallel interconnections, cabling, and static terminations. At least three general types of busses will be required to implement the concept of Figure 7. These are (1) a data transfer bus at least one parallel word in width (32 bits) for transfer of data operands between each microcomputer and the indicated common memory, (2) a control bus for the interchange of system control operands for initiating and controlling the processing frame assignments of the separate microcomputers and the transmission and recognition of bus protocol signals, (3) an address bus for the transmission of microcomputer address information for initiation of specific data transfers to and from all applications microcomputers and the common memory.

| MICROCOMPUTER MODULAR FAMILIES INVESTIGATED | ANALYSIS FINDINGS TO DATE                                                            |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| INTEL 3000 BIPOLAR SERIES **                | FAST, TOO INFLEXIBLE IN MICROCODE ADDRESSING                                         |
| TI SPP-9900 SERIES                          | TOO SLOW, TOO INFLEXIBLE, NOT MICROPROGRAMMABLE, AVAILABLE ONLY FROM A SINGLE SOURCE |
| FAIRCHILD 9400 BIPOLAR SERIES               | TOO SLOW, TOO INFLEXIBLE, NOT MICROPROGRAMMABLE, AVAILABLE ONLY FROM A SINGLE SOURCE |
| AM 2900 BIPOLAR SERIES **                   | MICROPROGRAMMABLE, FAST, AVAILABLE FROM AT LEAST 5 COMMERCIAL SOURCES                |
| TI SN74S481 BIPOLAR SERIES **               | FAST, MICROPROGRAMMABLE, NOT REGISTER ORIENTED, AVAILABLE ONLY FROM A SINGLE SOURCE  |
| INTEL 8080A MOS MICROPROCESSOR              | TOO SLOW, NOT MICROPROGRAMMABLE, TOO INFLEXIBLE                                      |
| INTEL 8085 A-2 MOS MICROPROCESSOR           | TOO SLOW, NOT MICROPROGRAMMABLE, TOO INFLEXIBLE                                      |
| MOTOROLA MC 10800 MECL SERIE **             | FAST, MICROPROGRAMMABLE, AVAILABLE ONLY FROM A SINGLE SOURCE                         |

\*\* BIT SLICE MODULAR FAMILIES

Figure 8. Technology Evaluation Summary

TABLE 6. AVERAGE FIXED POINT INSTRUCTION EXECUTION TIMES

| MICROCOMPUTER<br>FAMILY | AIET<br>usec/INST |
|-------------------------|-------------------|
| TI-SPP-9900             | 14.294            |
| INTEL 3000 BIPOLAR SET  | 1.926             |
| FAIRCHILD 9440          | 5.711             |
| INTEL 8080 A            | 52.782            |
| INTEL 8085 A-2          | 21.013            |
| AM2900 FAMILY           | 1.401             |
| MOTOROLA-MC-10800       | 1.209             |
| TI-SN74S481, 482        | 1.531             |

- RAMS, ROMS, PROMS, EPROMS,  
MAGNETIC CORE
  - BUSSING CONCEPTS
  - PACKAGING CONCEPTS
- AVAILABLE IN MANY CAPACITIES WITH  
3-STATE INTERFACES - 50 NS TO 1000 NS  
ACCESS TIMES
- STATIC BUSES APPEAR TO BE MOST  
APPROPRIATE - REQUIRE NO ACTIVE  
COMPONENTS
- COMMERCIALY AVAILABLE IN MANY FORMS  
& CONFIGURATIONS SUITABLE FOR TRAINER  
DESIGNS.

Figure 9. Other Required Hardware Technologies

### NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

Internal to each microcomputer will also be an address bus, a data bus and a simple control bus for communication to and from local (dedicated) memory by each separate microcomputer.

Packaging concepts and packaging technology have progressed to a point that varieties of proven standard packaging techniques and components are commercially available. It becomes a matter of selecting the type most suited for the system to be assembled.

**CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY.** The most critical technology required to implement the system architecture concept of Figure 7 is the development of a suitable system control algorithm. This system control algorithm would be implemented by a combination of stored software, stored firmware (microcode) and hardware features of each microcomputer. Combinations of these three elements would be used to synthesize and implement the necessary system control algorithm. An exhaustive search of available professional literature, industry IR&D summaries, NASA and DoD Work Unit Plans, indicates there is no similar system control algorithm available or under development that can support the functionally-modular multiple microcomputer system concept as described in this report. Not only must such a system control algorithm be developed, it must be breadboarded to demonstrate proof of concept feasibility and to evaluate its suitability for the total system control function.

Optimal partitioning of a given simulation problem for assignment to individual microcomputers must also be determined in a more formal manner to optimize the overall processing through-put of the system concept of Figure 7. However, lack of a formal partitioning methodology will not limit the concept since it can be accomplished heuristically in an a priori manner.

**CONCEPT FEASIBILITY.** Concept feasibility must address both technical and cost issues for full concept acceptance. The conventional general purpose computer approach was described earlier in this report. A life cycle cost model was developed and the GP cost parameters were identified or were derived from vendor price information.

Likewise, a multiple microcomputer concept using the same functions was synthesized and microcomputer cost parameters were applied to the same cost model. The cost model elements and results of cost trade-offs are presented and discussed in the Computer System Ownership Cost Model Section that follows.

#### COMPUTER SYSTEM OWNERSHIP COST MODEL

A life cycle cost model was developed to identify the major cost elements which contribute to the life cycle cost of a trainer computer system. The useful life of a trainer and therefore the computer system was estimated to be ten years. The life cycle cost model (Appendix E) has three major cost factors. These are (1) initial hardware cost, (2) computer system acquisition cost, and (3) ten year support cost of the computer system.

Two different computational system approaches were investigated, analyzed, and configured to meet the OFT processing and storage requirements identified in the earlier discussions. One computational system configuration represents a conventional approach. This system is comprised of three off-the-shelf general purpose computers and associated peripheral equipment that operate in a master-slave configuration. A commercially available computer with hardware floating point was chosen as an analysis baseline since detailed performance data and GSA cost schedules were available. This approach and system configuration concept is depicted in Appendix D. The other computational system approach utilizes ten microcomputers and associated peripherals. This system approach is based on current state-of-the-art microcomputer technology and represents a unique approach in satisfying the stated OFT computational requirements. This approach and system concept was described in a previous section of this report.

The computer system configuration for both approaches was derived by matching the performance of each computational system with the processing and storage requirements summarized in Appendix A. The results of the computer system performance analysis indicates that each system configuration is capable of meeting the baseline OFT computational requirements.

The next step in the analysis was to determine which approach is the most economical system to own and operate for a period of ten years. This was accomplished by utilizing the life cycle cost model identified in Appendix E for each approach. This model provides a realistic basis as well as a systematic approach for identifying the various cost factors which contribute to the total life cycle cost of a typical trainer computer system. Details of the ownership cost analysis for each of the two approaches are provided in Appendices F and G for the conventional system, and Appendices K and L for the multiple microcomputer system. A comparative cost summary of the two for each of the major ownership cost elements is provided below and in Appendix M.

a. Hardware - as of the time of this report preparation (1979), the hardware cost for the conventional computational system for this OFT example is \$447 K compared with \$228 K for the microcomputer system. The microcomputer approach represents a total hardware cost saving of approximately \$219 K or forty-nine percent when compared to the conventional GP approach.

b. Acquisition - this heading consists of the following cost factors (1) simulation software development effort, (2) initial computer system spares, (3) maintenance training and (4) test equipment. The cost for the microcomputer approach represents a savings of approximately \$192 K or forty percent. There are several reasons for this. The simulation software effort for the microcomputer system concept is less extensive since a portion of the overall simulation software program can ultimately be standardized. In addition, this system approach does not utilize an operating system as does the conventional GP approach that requires software modifications to satisfy the real-time simulation task requirements.

The cost of provisioning spare parts for the microcomputer approach is less than the GP system since each microcomputer consists of identical and cheaper hardware modular elements. The attribute which makes each microcomputer system unique for different applications is the software program and control firmware that resides in PROM and ROM for each microcomputer.

The maintenance training cost of this approach is also less since each microcomputer performs a dedicated function. Maintenance personnel troubleshoot the computer system to the functional level and replace the corresponding hardware module(s). This reduces the need for complex and expensive test equipment and reduces the overall system down time.

No attempt has been made to identify potential cost saving attributed to greater system availability due to reduced mean-time to repair. In training systems which operate on a two or three shift schedule, the savings could be substantial.

c. Ten year support - the following cost factors were included under this heading: (1) computer hardware spares, (2) hardware maintenance personnel and (3) software maintenance personnel. The microcomputer system approach is the less costly of the two systems to maintain and support over a ten year period and represents a savings of approximately \$420 K or fifty-two percent. This is due to a high degree of hardware module commonality possible and the reduced level of hardware and software effort required to support this system concept.

SECTION IV

RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The computer system requirements for the baseline OFT were analyzed by a method that is generally accepted for performance determination. The methodology has been described or referenced and the derived data is provided in the appendices. The software requirements for the baseline F-18 example indicates the computer processing capability must be at least 1.24 million operations per second. This equates to an average instruction execution time (AIET) requirement of 0.8071 microseconds.

An actual OFT instruction-use mix was used to derive an AIET capability of a commercially available computer. Comparing the AIET required by the real-time program with the AIET of the baseline computer equipment indicates the OFT computer system must consist of at least three commercial computers to satisfy all real-time computation, simulation and control requirements.

A three computer system was configured and a life cycle cost figure derived from the cost model previously explained.

The same program was partitioned in an heuristic manner by grouping related processing functions. The processing requirements of each of these groups was derived and compared with the capability of the several microcomputers previously analyzed. Since a microcomputer comprised of the AM 2900 series bit-slice modular family provided the necessary capability, the component cost of the required number of microcomputers was determined from vendor supplied application data and price lists.

A total of ten microcomputers was required to meet the program performance requirements for the OFT as partitioned in the manner indicated. Six microcomputers were dedicated to handle the total simulation and processing, three were required to control all input-output functions and one was dedicated as the system processing state controller.

The life cycle cost of the multiple microcomputer approach was derived using the same cost model as for the commercial computer approach.

A relative overall cost comparison for a ten year life cycle indicates a basic saving of approximately \$831 K (forty-eight percent) between the general purpose computer approach using multiple commercial computers and the functionally-modular multiple microcomputer approach. This relative saving figure can change when the concept is applied to different classes of trainers. The less complex the trainer, the less the cost should be.

Technologies required for successful achievement of the multiple microcomputer concept have also been discussed considering availability and technical risk. All major hardware technologies are commercially available. The required technology with the technical risk is the system control algorithm that the dedicated system control microcomputer and applications microcomputers must execute. An exhaustive search and review of current industry IR&D programs, NASA R&D and DoD R&D Work Unit Plans indicates there is no R&D effort either in being or planned that will provide the detailed control capability required for implementing the concept for high performance real-time trainers. The development of a suitable control algorithm is therefore necessary.

It can be concluded that a functionally modular microcomputer system architecture as described in this report and depicted in Figure 7 is conceptually feasible with state-of-the-art technology and will be cost effective.

Project 5741, Phase I exploratory development, has been initiated for research and development of the required hardware-firmware-software control algorithm. A concept feasibility breadboard will also be required (and is planned as Phase II) to demonstrate and evaluate feasibility of the concept.

REFERENCES

1. Bus Interface Unit Design for the Distributed Processor/Memory System - Thesis - AFIT/GE-EE/77-24, Leslie T. Konno - AD-A053269 - (functional decentralization and distributed system concept)
2. A comparison of Tactical Military and Commercial Data Processing Computer Architectural Requirements - NUSC Tech Report 5783 - 23 May 1978, Lynn A. DeNois, Robert L. Gordon - AD A055975 Comparison of Architectural Requirements
3. A 16-Bit Microcomputer for Missile Guidance and Control Applications Dr. Eugene H. Lowe, Martin Marietta Corp., Orlando, FL - Joint Automatic Control Conference, San Francisco, CA, 1977, AIAA Proceedings
4. "Navy Distributed Computer Systems Workshop", Naval Surface Weapons Center, Dahlgren Laboratory (K70) Dahlgren, VA 22448, April 1978, Discussed the impact, payoff and technical issues of distributed computer systems for tactical Navy implementaiton.
5. "Emulation of Computer Networks by Microprogrammable Microcomputers" The Computer and Information Science Research Center, The Ohio State University, Report No. OSU-CISRC-TR-74-4, June 1974
6. Performance & Control of Multiple Microprocess Sys., Computer Design Vol 13 3 (March 1974), pp 81-86
7. "Computer Modules: An Architecture for Large Digital Modules", Proc. First Annual IEEE Symp on Comp Arch, Dec 1973, pp 231-237
8. "Parallel Processor Architectures - Part 1, General Purpose Systems" Kenneth J. Thurber, Sperry Univac, Computer Design, Vol 18 No 1 (January 1979), pp 89-97
9. "Parallel Processor Architectures - Part 2 Special Purpose Systems" Kenneth J. Thurber, Sperry Univac, Computer Design, Vol 18 No 2 (Feb 1979) pp 103-114
10. "Dynamic Problem Oriented Redefinition of Computer Architecture Via Microprogramming" PhD Dissertation, T. G. Rauscher, University of Maryland, 1975
11. Microcomputers and Systems Design, William J. Dejka, 15 Feb 1977, Technical Document 507, Naval Electronics Laboratory Center
12. Future Avionics Systems Architecture, B. A. Zemploich, NAVAIRSYSCOM-AIR 360, Report No. AIR 360:BAZ - 5 Oct 1977

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

13. Microprocessor Arrays for Large Scale Computation, William H. Karitz SRI International, Menlo Park, CA, N78-19816
14. Computing Technology in the 1980's, Harold S. Stone, Visiting Professor, University of California, Berkeley, CA N78-19780
15. Microprogramming Handbook - Second Edition - Advance Micro Devices, Inc., 1977
16. Computer (IEEE Computer Society), February 1979, (1978 Asilomar Workshop on Microprocessors)
17. An Introduction to Microcomputers, Volume II - Some Real Products - June 1977 Revision, Osborne and Associates, Inc., Berkeley, CA
18. Microprocessor Systems Design, Edwin E. Klingman, Prentice Hall, Inc., 1977
19. Microprocessors - Technology, Architecture and Applications, Daniel R. McGlynn - John Wiley & Sons - 1976
20. Microprogramming - Principles and Practices - Samir S. Husson, Prentice Hall -1970
21. The Efficiency of FORTRAN in Simulation Computers, F. A. Sigmund, Goodyear Aerospace Corp., Proceedings, 10th NTEC/Industry Conference, NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-294, Nov 1977
22. NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-262, Computer System Requirements Analysis, Device 2F112, F14 Weapon System Trainer

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

APPENDIX A

BASELINE F-18 PROGRAM PROCESSING AND STORAGE REQUIREMENTS

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

In order to minimize the number of tables/pages and to facilitate the readability and understanding of the various tables, many of the program modules have been grouped together based upon their solution rates and the CPU to which they have been assigned. The modules, their solution rates, and the various program routines which comprise a given module are identified below.

Module 1 (60 hz) This module consists of the Flight Control System, Flight Control/CPU Interface, Aero, Forces and Moments.

Module 2 (60 hz) This module consists of Transformations, aircraft velocities and Accelerations.

Module 3 (60 hz) This module consists of Moments-Body Axis and P,Q,R Dot Integration.

Module 4 (30 hz) This module consists of (A) aircraft angular position, weight and balance, atmospheric simulation, air data computation, TACAN model; and (B) G-Seat and G-Suit.

Module 5 (15 hz) This module consists of (A) On-Board Computer Interface; (B) Strut Deflection Rates, Vertical Strut Forces, Radar Navigation Facilities Simulation and cockpit Instruments; (C) Longitudinal, Lateral Gear Forces, Total Gear Forces, Total Gear Moments, Compass Simulation and Cockpit Instruments (D) Navigation Data Computation, UHF-ADF Simulation, and Radar Altimeter Simulation.

Module 6 (10 hz) This module consists of (A) Propulsion System; (B) Nose Wheel Steering; (C) Ground Turning Rates; (D) Instructor Station; (E) Instructor Displays.

Module 7 (5 hz) This module consists of (A) Brake Forces and (B) Accessory Simulation.

Module 8 (60 hz) Executive and Real-Time Operating System

Module 9 (60 hz) Sub-Executive CPU 1

Module 10 (60 hz) Sub-Executive CPU 2

TABLE A-1. PROGRAM PROCESSING AND STORAGE REQUIREMENTS

| PROGRAM FUNCTION | INSTRUCTION<br>ESTIMATES | CONSTANT &<br>DATA<br>ESTIMATES | TOTAL MEMORY<br>REQUIRED | WORST-CASE<br>INSTRUCTION<br>PER SOL.CYC. | WORST-CASE<br>INSTR. EXEC.<br>RATE |
|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| MODULE 1 (60 HZ) | 4,455                    | 580                             | 5,035                    | 5,872.5                                   | 352,350                            |
| MODULE 2 (60 HZ) | 1,190                    | 215                             | 1,405                    | 1,692.5                                   | 101,555                            |
| MODULE 3 (60 HZ) | 311                      | 85                              | 396                      | 580.5                                     | 34,830                             |
| MODULE 4 (30 HZ) | 4,388                    | 530                             | 4,918                    | 6,682.5                                   | 200,475                            |
| MODULE 5 (15 HZ) | 5,617                    | 900                             | 6,517                    | 7,847.2                                   | 117,907                            |
| MODULE 6 (10 HZ) | 5,670                    | 310                             | 5,980                    | 6,203.3                                   | 62,033                             |
| MODULE 7 ( 5 HZ) | 2,005                    | 105                             | 2,110                    | 2,205.2                                   | 11,027                             |
| MODULE 8 (60 HZ) | 19,575                   | 250                             | 19,825                   | 1,849.5                                   | 110,970                            |
| TOTALS WO/SPARE  | 43,211                   | 2,975                           | 46,186                   | -----                                     | 991,147                            |
| SPARE (25%)      | 10,803                   | 744                             | 11,574                   | -----                                     | 247,787                            |
| TOTALS W/SPARE   | 54,014                   | 3,719                           | 57,733                   | -----                                     | 1,238,943                          |

AVERAGE INSTRUCTION EXECUTION TIME..... 0.8071 MICROSECONDS

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

APPENDIX B

PROCESSING AND STORAGE REQUIREMENTS PER  
PROCESSOR BASED ON F18 OFT REQUIREMENTS

TABLE B-1. PROGRAM PROCESSING AND STORAGE REQUIREMENTS

| PROGRAM FUNCTION<br>CPU # 1 | INSTRUCTION<br>ESTIMATES | CONSTANT &<br>DATA<br>ESTIMATES | TOTAL MEMORY<br>REQUIRED | WORST-CASE<br>INSTRUCTION<br>PER SOL.CYC. | WORST-CASE<br>INSTR. EXEC.<br>RATE |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| MODULE 1 (60 HZ)            | 4,455                    | 580                             | 5,035                    | 5,872                                     | 352,350                            |
| MODULE 9 (60 HZ)            | 810                      | 50                              | 860                      | 810                                       | 48,600                             |
| TOTALS WO/SPARE             | 5,265                    | 630                             | 5,895                    | -----                                     | 400,950                            |
| SPARE                       | 1,316                    | 158                             | 1,474                    | -----                                     | 100,238                            |
| TOTALS W/SPARE              | 6,581                    | 788                             | 7,369                    | -----                                     | 501,188                            |

AVERAGE INSTRUCTION EXECUTION TIME..... 1.9953 MICROSECONDS

TABLE B-2. PROGRAM PROCESSING AND STORAGE REQUIREMENTS

| PROGRAM FUNCTION<br>CPU # 2 | INSTRUCTION<br>ESTIMATES | CONSTANT &<br>DATA<br>ESTIMATES | TOTAL MEMORY<br>REQUIRED | WORST-CASE<br>INSTRUCTION<br>PER SOL.CYC. | WORST-CASE<br>INSTR. EXEC.<br>RATE |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| MODULE 1 (60 HZ)            | 1,190                    | 215                             | 1,405                    | 1,683                                     | 101,555                            |
| MODULE 4 (30 HZ)            | 4,402                    | 540                             | 4,942                    | 6,696                                     | 200,880                            |
| MODULE 10 (60 HZ)           | 810                      | 50                              | 860                      | 810                                       | 48,600                             |
| TOTALS WO/SPARE             | 6,402                    | 805                             | 7,207                    | 9,189                                     | 351,035                            |
| SPARE                       | 1,600                    | 201                             | 1,802                    | ----                                      | 87,759                             |
| TOTALS W/SPARE              | 8,002                    | 1,006                           | 9,009                    | ----                                      | 438,794                            |

AVERAGE INSTRUCTION EXECUTION TIME..... 2.279 MICROSECONDS

TABLE B-3. PROGRAM PROCESSING AND STORAGE REQUIREMENTS

| PROGRAM FUNCTION | INSTRUCTION<br>ESTIMATES | CONSTANT &<br>DATA<br>ESTIMATES | TOTAL MEMORY<br>REQUIRED | WORST-CASE<br>INSTRUCTION<br>PER SOL.CYC. | WORST-CASE<br>INSTR. EXEC.<br>RATE |
|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| CPU # 3          |                          |                                 |                          |                                           |                                    |
| MODULE 3 (60 HZ) | 311                      | 85                              | 396                      | 580                                       | 34,830                             |
| MODULE 5 (15 HZ) | 5,603                    | 890                             | 6,493                    | 7,833                                     | 11,7502                            |
| MODULE 6 (10 HZ) | 5,670                    | 310                             | 5,980                    | 6,203                                     | 62,033                             |
| MODULE 7 (5 HZ)  | 2,005                    | 105                             | 2,110                    | 2,205                                     | 11,027                             |
| MODULE 8 (60 HZ) | 19,575                   | 250                             | 1,9825                   | 1,849                                     | 110,970                            |
| TOTALS WO/SPARE  | 33,164                   | 1,640                           | 34,794                   | ---                                       | 336,362                            |
| SPARE            | 829                      | 410                             | 8,699                    | ---                                       | 84,091                             |
| TOTAL W/SPARE    | 41,455                   | 2,050                           | 43,493                   | -----                                     | 420,453                            |

AVERAGE INSTRUCTION EXECUTION TIME..... 2.3784 MICROSECONDS

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

APPENDIX C

FRAME TIME ANALYSIS - CONVENTIONAL APPROACH

TABLE C-1. EXECUTION TIME PER FRAME - MILLISECONDS

| PROGRAM FUNCTION<br>CPU # 1                          | WORST-CASE<br>INSTRUCTION<br>EXECUTED | FRAME<br>1 THROUGH 60 |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| MODULE 1 (60 HZ)                                     | 5873                                  | 9.232                 |
| MODULE 9 (60 HZ)                                     | 810                                   | 1.273                 |
| TOTAL TIME PER FRAME BASED UPON<br>1.572 u sec AIET. |                                       | 10.505                |

TABLE C-2. EXECUTION TIME PER FRAME - MILLISECOND

| PROGRAM FUNCTION<br>CPU # 2                          | WORST-CASE<br>INSTRUCTION<br>EXECUTED | FRAME<br>ODD<br>1 THROUGH 59 | FRAME<br>EVEN<br>2 THROUGH 60 |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| MODULE 3 (60 HZ)                                     | 1694                                  | 2.662                        | 2.662                         |
| MODULE 4A (30 HZ)                                    | 3376                                  | -----                        | 5.307                         |
| MODULE 4B (30 HZ)                                    | 3308                                  | 5.200                        | -----                         |
| MODULE 10 (60 HZ)                                    | 810                                   | 1.273                        | 1.273                         |
| TOTAL TIME PER FRAME BASED UPON<br>1.572 u sec AIET. |                                       | 9.135                        | 9.242                         |

TABLE C-3. EXECUTION TIME PER

| CPU #3                                                   |       | FRAME           | FRAME           | FRAME           | FRAME           | FRAME           | FRAME           | FRAME           | FRAME           | FRAME           |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| PROGRAM FUNCTION                                         | WCIE* | 1,13, 25,37, 49 | 2,14, 26,38, 50 | 3,15, 27,39, 51 | 4,16, 28,40, 52 | 5,17, 29,41, 53 | 6,18, 30,42, 54 | 7,19, 31,43, 55 | 8,20, 32,44, 56 | 9,21, 33,45, 57 |
| MODULE 3 (60 HZ)                                         | 338   | 0.531           | 0.531           | 0.531           | 0.531           | 0.531           | 0.531           | 0.531           | 0.531           | 0.531           |
| MODULE 5A (15 HZ)                                        | 2700  | 4.244           | -----           | -----           | -----           | 4.244           | -----           | -----           | -----           | 4.244           |
| MODULE 5B (15 HZ)                                        | 1694  | -----           | 2.663           | -----           | -----           | -----           | 2.663           | -----           | -----           | -----           |
| MODULE 5C (15 HZ)                                        | 1765  | -----           | -----           | 2.774           | -----           | -----           | -----           | 2.774           | -----           | -----           |
| MODULE 5D (15 HZ)                                        | 1674  | -----           | -----           | -----           | 2.632           | -----           | -----           | -----           | 2.632           | -----           |
| MODULE 6A (10 HZ)                                        | 2531  | -----           | 3.979           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           | 3.979           | -----           |
| MODULE 6B (10 HZ)                                        | 149   | -----           | -----           | 0.234           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           | 0.234           |
| MODULE 6C (10 HZ)                                        | 149   | -----           | -----           | 0.234           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           | 0.234           |
| MODULE 6D (10 HZ)                                        | 675   | -----           | -----           | 1.061           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           | 1.061           |
| MODULE 6E (10 HZ)                                        | 2700  | -----           | -----           | -----           | 4.244           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           |
| MODULE 7A (5 HZ)                                         | 1931  | -----           | -----           | 3.036           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           |
| MODULE 7B (5 HZ)                                         | 275   | 0.432           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           | -----           |
| MODULE 8 (60 HZ)                                         | 1850  | 2.908           | 2.908           | 2.908           | 2.908           | 2.908           | 2.908           | 2.908           | 2.908           | 2.908           |
| TOTAL TIME PER FRAME<br>BASED UPON 1.572 u sec.<br>AIET. |       | 8.115           | 10.081          | 10.778          | 10.315          | 7.683           | 6.102           | 6.213           | 10.050          | 9.212           |

\* ESTIMATED WORST-CASE INSTRUCTIONS EXECUTED

## NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313


TABLE C-3. EXECUTION TIME PER FRAME - MILLISECONDS

|      | FRAME                 | FRAME                 | FRAME                 | FRAME                 | FRAME                 | FRAME                 | FRAME                 | FRAME                 | FRAME                 | FRAME                  | FRAME                  | FRAME                  |
|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| IE*  | 1,13,<br>25,37,<br>49 | 2,14,<br>26,38,<br>50 | 3,15,<br>27,39,<br>51 | 4,16,<br>28,40,<br>52 | 5,17,<br>29,41,<br>53 | 6,18,<br>30,42,<br>54 | 7,19,<br>31,43,<br>55 | 8,20,<br>32,44,<br>56 | 9,21,<br>33,45,<br>57 | 10,22,<br>34,46,<br>58 | 11,23,<br>35,47,<br>59 | 12,24,<br>36,48,<br>60 |
| 338  | 0.531                 | 0.531                 | 0.531                 | 0.531                 | 0.531                 | 0.531                 | 0.531                 | 0.531                 | 0.531                 | 0.531                  | 0.531                  | 0.531                  |
| 700  | 4.244                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | 4.244                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | 4.244                 | -----                  | -----                  | -----                  |
| 694  | -----                 | 2.663                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | 2.663                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | 2.663                  | -----                  | -----                  |
| 765  | -----                 | -----                 | 2.774                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | 2.774                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                  | 2.774                  | -----                  |
| 674  | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | 2.632                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | 2.632                 | -----                 | -----                  | -----                  | 2.632                  |
| 531  | -----                 | 3.979                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | 3.979                 | -----                 | -----                  | -----                  | -----                  |
| 149  | -----                 | -----                 | 0.234                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | 0.234                 | -----                  | -----                  | -----                  |
| 149  | -----                 | -----                 | 0.234                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | 0.234                 | -----                  | -----                  | -----                  |
| 675  | -----                 | -----                 | 1.061                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | 1.061                 | -----                  | -----                  | -----                  |
| 700  | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | 4.244                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | 4.244                  | -----                  | -----                  |
| 931  | -----                 | -----                 | 3.036                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                  | -----                  | -----                  |
| 275  | 0.432                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                 | -----                  | -----                  | -----                  |
| 1850 | 2.908                 | 2.908                 | 2.908                 | 2.908                 | 2.908                 | 2.908                 | 2.908                 | 2.908                 | 2.908                 | 2.908                  | 2.908                  | 2.908                  |
|      | 8.115                 | 10.081                | 10.778                | 10.315                | 7.683                 | 6.102                 | 6.213                 | 10.050                | 9.212                 | 10.346                 | 6.213                  | 6.071                  |


E INSTRUCTIONS EXECUTED

APPENDIX D

CONVENTIONAL COMPUTER SYSTEM CONFIGURATION



LEGEND - 1 - HIGH SPEED  
 2 - SERIAL DATA  
 3 - CONTROLLER  
 4 - MEMORY CONTROLLER  
 5 - MEMORY INTERFACE  
 6 - DISC UNIT  
 7 - DISC CONTROLLER  
 8 - CARD READER  
 9 - LINE PRINTER



- LEGEND - 1 - HIGH SPEED DATA INTERFACE  
2 - SERIAL DATA INTERFACE  
3 - CONTROLLER  
4 - MEMORY CONTROLLER  
5 - MEMORY INTERFACE  
6 - DISC UNIT  
7 - DISC CONTROLLER  
8 - CARD READER  
9 - LINE PRINTER

## INTERRUPTS

FIGURE D-1. CONVENTIONAL  
COMPUTER SYSTEM CONFIGURATION

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

APPENDIX E

COMPUTER SYSTEM LIFE CYCLE COST MODEL

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

Ownership Cost Model - F-18 OFT Advanced Concept Computer System

1. Initial acquisition cost of processor hardware -  $C_{01}$
2. Initial acquisition cost of memory hardware -  $C_{02}$
3. Initial acquisition cost of interface hardware -  $C_{03}$
4. Initial acquisition cost of peripheral electronics -  $C_{04}$
5. Initial acquisition cost of peripheral hardware -  $C_{05}$
6. Initial acquisition cost of processor hardware documentation -  $C_{06}$
7. Initial acquisition cost of memory hardware documentation -  $C_{07}$
8. Initial acquisition cost of interface hardware documentation -  $C_{08}$
9. Initial acquisition cost of peripheral electronics documentation -  $C_{09}$
10. Initial acquisition cost of peripheral hardware documentation -  $C_{10}$
11. Initial acquisition cost of OFT simulation software -  $C_{11}$
12. Initial acquisition cost of utility software -  $C_{12}$
13. Initial acquisition cost of maintenance spares for processor, memory, and interface hardware. -  $C_{13}$
14. Initial acquisition cost of maintenance spares for peripheral electronics and peripheral hardware. -  $C_{14}$
15. Initial cost of maintenance training -  $C_{15}$
16. Initial cost of SSA programmer orientation -  $C_{16}$
17. Initial cost of test equipment -  $C_{17}$
18. Life cycle maintenance cost (0-5 years) hardware (processor, memory, interface) -  $C_{18}$
19. Life cycle maintenance costs (5-10 years) - hardware (processor, memory, interface) -  $C_{19}$
20. Life cycle maintenance costs (0-5 years) - hardware personnel -  $C_{20}$
21. Life cycle maintenance costs (5-10 years) - hardware personnel -  $C_{21}$
22. Life cycle maintenance costs (0-5 years) - software personnel -  $C_{22}$
23. Life cycle maintenance costs (5-10 years) - software personnel -  $C_{23}$

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

- 24. Life cycle maintenance cost (0-5 years) - peripheral electronics - C<sub>24</sub>  
hardware
- 25. Life cycle maintenance cost (5-10 years) - peripheral electronics - C<sub>25</sub>  
hardware
- 26. Life cycle maintenance cost (0-5 years) - peripheral hardware - C<sub>26</sub>
- 27. Life cycle maintenance cost (5-10 years) - peripheral hardware - C<sub>27</sub>
- 28. Life cycle maintenance cost (0-5 years) - peripheral electronics - C<sub>28</sub>  
hardware personnel
- 29. Life cycle maintenance cost (5-10 years) - peripheral electronics - C<sub>29</sub>
- 30. Life cycle maintenance cost - SSA programmer re-orientation - C<sub>30</sub>

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

APPENDIX F

CONVENTIONAL COMPUTER SYSTEM HARDWARE COST

## COMPUTER SYSTEM HARDWARE COST THREE CPU CONFIGURATION

## A. PROCESSOR HARDWARE COSTS

| No. | Name                  | Unit Cost | Total Cost       |
|-----|-----------------------|-----------|------------------|
| 3   | CPU                   | 21,000    | 63,000           |
| 3   | System Control Panel  | 3,000     | 9,000            |
| 3   | Hex Display           | 600       | 18,000           |
| 3   | Interrupt/RT Clock    | 3,000     | 9,000            |
| 3   | Floating Point Unit   | 25,000    | 75,000           |
| 2   | Extra Logic Chassis   | 1,500     | 3,000            |
| 5   | Logic Power Supply    | 1,500     | 7,500            |
| 3   | AC Distribution Panel | 1,000     | 3,000            |
| 2   | Double CPU Cabinets   | 1,600     | 3,200            |
| 2   | Bay Extender          | 300       | 600              |
|     | Subtotal Costs        |           | <u>\$191,300</u> |

## B. MEMORY HARDWARE COSTS

## SLAVE (16K)

| No. | Name                | Unit Cost | Total Cost      |
|-----|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 2   | Memory Module (8K)  | 6,300     | 12,600          |
| 1   | Memory Chassis      | 1,000     | 1,000           |
| 1   | Memory Power Supply | 2,000     | 2,000           |
| 1   | Memory Controller   | 3,500     | 3,500           |
| 1   | Memory Interface    | 4,000     | 4,000           |
|     | Subtotal Costs      |           | <u>\$23,100</u> |

## NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

## SLAVE (16K)

|   |                     |       |                 |
|---|---------------------|-------|-----------------|
| 2 | Memory Modules (8K) | 6,300 | 12,600          |
| 1 | Memory Chassis      | 1,000 | 1,000           |
| 1 | Memory Power Supply | 2,000 | 2,000           |
| 1 | Memory Controller   | 3,500 | 3,500           |
| 1 | Memory Interface    | 4,000 | 4,000           |
|   | Subtotal Costs      |       | <u>\$23,100</u> |

## MASTER (48K)

|   |                      |        |                 |
|---|----------------------|--------|-----------------|
| 1 | Memory Package (32K) | 17,000 | 17,000          |
| 2 | Memory Modules (8K)  | 6,300  | 12,600          |
| 1 | Memory Chassis       | 1,000  | 1,000           |
| 1 | Memory Power Supply  | 2,000  | 2,000           |
| 1 | Memory Controller    | 3,500  | 3,500           |
|   | Subtotal Costs       |        | <u>\$36,100</u> |

## SHARED (16K)

| No. | Name                | Costs | Total Cost       |
|-----|---------------------|-------|------------------|
| 2   | Memory Modules (8K) | 6,300 | 12,600           |
| 1   | Memory Chassis      | 1,000 | 1,000            |
| 1   | Memory Power Supply | 2,000 | 2,000            |
| 3   | Memory Controller   | 3,500 | 10,500           |
|     | Subtotal Costs      |       | <u>\$ 26,100</u> |
|     | Total Memory Cost   |       | \$108,400        |

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

C. INTERFACE ELECTRONICS

|   |                       |       |          |
|---|-----------------------|-------|----------|
| 3 | High Speed Interface  | 4,000 | 12,000   |
| 1 | Serial Interface      | 3,500 | 3,500    |
| 1 | Serial Data Interface | 4,000 | 4,000    |
|   |                       |       | -----    |
|   | Subtotal Costs        |       | \$19,500 |

D. PERIPHERAL ELECTRONICS

|   |                          |       |          |
|---|--------------------------|-------|----------|
| 3 | Controller               | 3,000 | 9,000    |
| 3 | Disc Controller          | 5,000 | 15,000   |
| 3 | Magnetic Tape Controller | 3,500 | 10,500   |
| 1 | Tape Formatter           | 2,500 | 2,500    |
|   |                          |       | -----    |
|   | Subtotal Costs           |       | \$37,000 |

E. PERIPHERAL ELECTRONICS

| No. | Name                    | Cost   | Total Cost |
|-----|-------------------------|--------|------------|
| 3   | Alphanumeric CRT        | 2,200  | 6,600      |
| 1   | Card Reader             | 6,600  | 6,600      |
| 1   | Line Printer            | 16,000 | 16,000     |
| 1   | Moving HD Disc          | 15,000 | 15,000     |
| 1   | Magnetic Tape Transport | 15,000 | 15,000     |
| 1   | Peripheral Cabinet      | 1,500  | 1,500      |
| 1   | Peripheral Switch       | 20,000 | 20,000     |
|     |                         |        | -----      |
|     | Subtotal costs          |        | \$80,700   |

## NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

COST SUMMARY% OF TOTAL

|                                 |                 |              |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| A/B Processor & Memory Hardware | \$299.7K        | 68.6         |
| C. Interface Electronics        | 19.5K           | 4.4          |
| D. Peripheral                   | 37.0K           | 8.5          |
| E. Peripheral Units             | 80.7K           | 18.5         |
| Total Costs                     | <u>\$436.9K</u> | <u>100.0</u> |

APPENDIX G

LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS FOR CONVENTIONAL  
COMPUTER SYSTEM APPROACH

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

Ownership Costs - F-18 OFT Advanced Concept Computer System

Three CPU Configuration

1. Initial acquisition costs of processor hardware - C<sub>01</sub>

$$C_{01} = \$191,300$$

2. Initial acquisition costs of memory hardware - C<sub>02</sub>

$$C_{02} = \$108,400$$

3. Initial acquisition cost of interface hardware - C<sub>03</sub>

$$C_{03} = \$19,500$$

4. Initial acquisition cost of peripheral electronics - C<sub>04</sub>

$$C_{04} = \$37,000$$

5. Initial acquisition cost of peripheral hardware - C<sub>05</sub>

$$C_{05} = \$80,700$$

6. Initial acquisition cost of processor hardware documentation - C<sub>06</sub>

(Cost of drawings, manuals, etc.)

$$\text{CPU} - \$100.00$$

$$\text{Real-time clock} \\ \text{\& Interrupt} - \$ 20.00$$

$$\text{Turnkey Panel} - \$ 6.00$$

$$\text{System Control Panel} - \$ 15.00$$

$$\text{Logic Power Supply Set} - \$ \underline{10.00}$$

$$\text{Total } C_{06} = \$151.00$$

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

7. Initial acquisition costs of memory hardware documentation - C<sub>07</sub>

Memory Controller - \$15.00

Mem. Mod (32KB) - 10.00

Memory Adaptor - 10.00

---

Total C<sub>07</sub> = \$35.00

8. Initial acquisition costs of interface hardware documentation - C<sub>08</sub>

Serial Interface - \$15.00

High Speed Interface - 30.00

Controller - 15.00

Disc Controller - 15.00

MTC - 15.00

---

Total C<sub>08</sub> = \$90.00

9. Initial acquisition cost of peripheral electronics documentation - C<sub>09</sub>

MTF - \$30.00

---

Total C<sub>09</sub> - \$30.00

10. Initial acquisition cost of peripheral hardware documenation - C<sub>10</sub>

Alphanumeric CRT - \$30.00

Card Reader - 25.00

Printer - 50.00

Magnetic Tape Unit - 30.00

Moving Head Disc Unit - 45.00

---

Total C<sub>10</sub> -\$180.00

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

11. Initial acquisition cost of OFT Simulation Software -  $C_{11}$

Program size estimate - 38,000 instructions

Estimate 1 manhour per instruction

Estimated directed cost - \$8.60 per manhour (Per NTEC Proc.  
Dept Memo)

$$C_{11} = (38,000)(\$8.60) - \$326,800$$

12. Initial acquisition cost of utility software -  $C_{12}$

Operating System - 1500.00

MACRO ASSEMB - 750.00

FORTRAN IV COMPILER - 1500.00

MATH LIBRARY - 250.00

SCIENTIFIC LIBRARY - 250.00

DIAGNOSTIC PROGRAMS - 250.00

MANUALS - 340.00

---

Total  $C_{12}$  = \$4840.00 x 2 (for 2 copies)

= \$9680.00

13. Initial acquisition cost of maintenance spares (for memory, controllers, IOM's)

$$C_{13} - \$57,000$$

14. Initial acquisition cost of maintenance spares (for peripheral -  $C_{14}$  electronics and peripheral hardware)

Card Reader - \$3000.00

Line Printer - 5000.00

Tape Unit - 4000.00

Moving Head Disc - 5000.00

Magnetic Tape Formatter - 1500.00

---

Total  $C_{14}$  = \$18,500.00

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

15. Initial cost of maintenance training - C<sub>15</sub>

|                                |                |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Firmware (2 wks x \$375/wk)    | \$ 750.00      |
| Hardware (4 wks x \$375/wk)    | 1500.00        |
| Peripherals (3 wks x \$375/wk) | 1125.00        |
| Personnel Costs (9 wk salary)  | 3465.00        |
| Per Diem (\$280/wk x 9 wk)     | <u>2520.00</u> |
| Total C <sub>15</sub>          | \$9360.00      |

16. Initial cost of SSA programmer orientation - C<sub>16</sub>

|                                      |                |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| Assembly language (1 wk x \$3750/wk) | \$ 375.00      |
| FORTTRAN (1wk x \$375/wk)            | 375.00         |
| Advanced (1 wk x \$375/wk)           | 375.00         |
| 05 (2 wk x \$375/wk)                 | 750.00         |
| Personnel Cost (5 wk salary)         | 1925.00        |
| Per Diem (\$280/wk x 5)              | <u>1400.00</u> |
| Total C <sub>16</sub>                | \$5200.00      |

17. Initial cost of test equipment - C<sub>17</sub>

|                                |                  |
|--------------------------------|------------------|
| Maintenance panel and adapters | \$10,000.00      |
| Special tools (proc & mem)     | <u>50,000.00</u> |
| Total C <sub>17</sub>          | \$60,000.00      |

18. Life cycle maintenance costs (0-5 years) - hardware - C<sub>18</sub>

(processors, memory, interfaces)

Computed as 10% of acquisition costs of spares (\$57,000 per year)

0-5 years - (5 x \$5700)

C<sub>18</sub> = \$28,500.00

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

19. Life cycle maintenance costs (5-10 years) - hardware -  $C_{19}$   
(processors, memory, interfaces)  
5-10 years - (5 x \$5700)  
 $C_{19} = \$28,500.00$
20. Life cycle maintenance costs (0-5 years) - hardware personnel -  $C_{20}$   
Tech time - 1 manyear @ \$20,000  
5 x \$20,000 = \$100,000.00  
 $C_{20} = \$100,000.00$
21. Life cycle maintenance cost (5-10 years) - hardware personnel -  $C_{21}$   
5 x \$20,000 = \$100,000.00  
 $C_{21} = \$100,000.00$
22. Life cycle maintenance costs (0-5 years) - software personnel -  $C_{22}$   
1 full time engineer - \$32,000/yr  
5 x \$32,000 = \$160,000.00  
 $C_{22} = \$160,000.00$
23. Life cycle maintenance costs (5-10 years) - software personnel -  $C_{23}$   
1 full time engineer - \$32,000.00  
5 x \$32,000 = \$160,000.00  
 $C_{23} = \$160,000.00$
24. Life cycle maintenance costs (0-5 years) - peripheral elec. hardware -  $C_{24}$   
Computed as 6% of acquisition cost of spares (\$18,500) per year  
(.06) (18,500) = \$1110  
5 x \$1110 = \$5550  
 $C_{24} = \$5550.00$

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

25. Life cycle maintenance cost (5-10 years) - peripheral elec. hardware - C<sub>25</sub>  
Computed as 6% of acquisition costs of spares (\$18,500) per year  
 $(.06) (18,500) = \$1110$   
 $5 \times \$1110 = \$5550$   
 $C_{25} = \$5550.00$
26. Life cycle maintenance costs (0-5 years) - peripheral hardware - C<sub>26</sub>  
Computed as 6% of acquisition costs of spares (\$18,500) per year  
 $(.06) (18,500) = \$1110$   
 $5 \times \$1110 = \$5550$   
 $C_{26} = \$5550.00$
27. Life cycle maintenance costs (5-10 years) - peripheral hardware - C<sub>27</sub>  
Computed as 6% of acquisition costs of spares (\$18,500) per year  
 $(.06) (18,500) = \$1110$   
 $5 \times \$1110 = \$5550$   
 $C_{27} = \$5550.00$
28. Life cycle maintenance cost (0-5 years) - peripheral electronics - C<sub>28</sub> and hardware personnel  
1 technician @ \$20,000/manyear  
 $5 \times \$20,000 = \$100,000$   
 $C_{28} = \$100,000.00$
29. Life cycle maintenance cost (5-10 years) - peripheral electronics - C<sub>29</sub> and hardware personnel  
1 technician @ \$20,000/manyear  
 $5 \times \$20,000 = \$100,000$   
 $C_{29} = \$100,000.00$

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

30. Life cycle maintenance costs - SSA Programmer reorientation - C<sub>30</sub>

(New programmer - one time cost - 5th year)

Based on item 16 costs - assumed the same.

C<sub>30</sub> = \$5200.00

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313  
TOTAL GENERAL PURPOSE COMPUTER SYSTEM APPROACH  
F-18 OFT CONVENTIONAL COMPUTER SYSTEM CONCEPT

---

|                                           |           |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------|
| C01 - Initial processor hardware          | \$191,300 |
| C02 - Initial memory hardware             | 108,400   |
| C03 - Initial interface hardware          | 19,500    |
| C04 - Initial peripheral electronics      | 37,000    |
| C05 - Initial peripheral hardware         | 80,700    |
| C06 - Initial processor hdwe doc.         | 151       |
| C07 - Initial memory hdwe doc.            | 35        |
| C08 - Initial interface hdwe doc.         | 90        |
| C09 - Initial peripheral elox. doc.       | 30        |
| C10 - Initial peripheral hdwe doc.        | 180       |
| C11 - Initial OFT simul. software         | 326,800   |
| C12 - Initial utility software            | 9,680     |
| C13 - Initial proc. mem. interface spares | 57,000    |
| C14 - Initial peripheral elox & hdwe sprs | 18,500    |
| C15 - Initial maintenance training        | 9,360     |
| C16 - Initial SSA prog. orientation       | 5,200     |
| C17 - Initial test equipment              | 60,00     |
| C18 - L.C. (0-5 years) hdwe (P,M, I)      | 28,500    |
| C19 - L.C. (5-10 years) hdwe (P,M,I)      | 28,500    |
| C20 - L.C. (0-5 years) hdwe personnel     | 160,000   |
| C21 - L.C. (5-10 years) hdwe personnel    | 160,000   |
| C22 - L.C. (0-5 years) software           | 160,000   |

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

TOTAL GENERAL PURPOSE COMPUTER SYSTEM APPROACH

F-18 OFT CONVENTIONAL COMPUTER SYSTEM CONCEPT (CONT'D)

---

|                                                                |            |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| C <sub>23</sub> - L.C. (0-5 years) software personnel          | \$ 160,000 |
| C <sub>24</sub> - L.C. (5-10 years) periph elex. hdwe maint.   | 5,550      |
| C <sub>25</sub> - L.C. (5-10 years) periph elex hdwe maint.    | 5,550      |
| C <sub>26</sub> - L.C. (0-5 years) periph hdwe maint.          | 5,550      |
| C <sub>27</sub> - L.C. (5-10 years) periph hdwe maint.         | 5,550      |
| C <sub>28</sub> - L.C. (0-5 years) per elex & hdwe personnel   | 100,000    |
| C <sub>29</sub> - L.C. ( 5-10 years) per elex & hdwe personnel | 100,000    |
| C <sub>30</sub> - L.C. SSA Prog. reorientation                 | 5,200      |

---

10 YEAR TOTAL COST    OWNERSHIP    \$1,728,326

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

APPENDIX H

MICROCOMPUTER PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

TABLE H-1. MICROCOMPUTER PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

| MICROCOMPUTER TYPE: INTEL 3000 BIPOLAR SET              |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            |                        |         | CLOCK PERIOD: 100 nsec |  |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------------|--|
| INSTRUCTION TYPE                                        | % USAGE | CLOCK CYCLES | MEMORY ADDRESS CYCLES | MEMORY ACCESS CYCLES | TOTAL CYCLES | CLOCK CYCLE TIME | INSTRUCTION EXECUTION TIME | WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXEC. |         |                        |  |
| LOAD                                                    | .208    | 4            | 4                     | 2                    | 10           | -                | 1.000                      | .209                   |         |                        |  |
| STORE                                                   | .158    | 4            | 4                     | 2                    | 10           | -                | 1.000                      | .158                   |         |                        |  |
| ADD/SUBT                                                | .092    | 9            | 4                     | 2                    | 15           | -                | 1.500                      | .138                   |         |                        |  |
| MULTIPLY                                                | .058    | 41           | 2                     | 1                    | 44           | -                | 4.400                      | .255                   |         |                        |  |
| DIVIDE                                                  | .008    | 109          | 2                     | 1                    | 112          | -                | 11.600                     | .093                   |         |                        |  |
| LOGICAL                                                 | .068    | 7            | 4                     | 2                    | 13           | -                | 1.300                      | .088                   |         |                        |  |
| SHIFT (5 PL)                                            | .020    | 14           | 2                     | 1                    | 17           | -                | 1.700                      | .034                   |         |                        |  |
| COMPARE                                                 | .047    | 7            | 4                     | 2                    | 13           | -                | 1.300                      | .061                   |         |                        |  |
| BRANCH                                                  | .161    | 8            | 4                     | 2                    | 14           | -                | 1.400                      | .225                   |         |                        |  |
| INDEX                                                   | .003    | 14           | 4                     | 2                    | 20           | -                | 2.000                      | .006                   |         |                        |  |
| REG-TO-REG                                              | .031    | 6            | -                     | -                    | 6            | -                | 0.600                      | .019                   |         |                        |  |
| MISCELLANEOUS                                           | .141    | 14           | 2                     | 1                    | 17           | -                | 1.700                      | .240                   |         |                        |  |
| I/O SET-UP + 6 WORDS                                    | .004    | 4            | 3                     | 3                    | 10           | -                | 1.000                      | .400                   |         |                        |  |
| AVERAGE EXECUTION TIME ( MICROSECONDS PER INSTRUCTION ) |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            |                        | 1.926   |                        |  |
| EQUIVALENT INSTRUCTIONS PER SECOND                      |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            |                        | 519,211 |                        |  |

TABLE H-2. MICROCOMPUTER PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

| MICROCOMPUTER TYPE: MC-10800 MECL FAMILY                |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            |                        |         | CLOCK PERIOD: 50 nsec |  |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|-----------------------|--|
| INSTRUCTION TYPE                                        | % USAGE | CLOCK CYCLES | MEMORY ADDRESS CYCLES | MEMORY ACCESS CYCLES | TOTAL CYCLES | CLOCK CYCLE TIME | INSTRUCTION EXECUTION TIME | WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXEC. |         |                       |  |
| LOAD                                                    | .208    | 8            | 6                     | 6                    | 20           | 50               | 1.000                      | 0.209                  |         |                       |  |
| STORE                                                   | .158    | 8            | 6                     | 6                    | 20           | 50               | 1.000                      | 0.158                  |         |                       |  |
| ADD/SUBT                                                | .092    | 8            | 6                     | 6                    | 20           | 50               | 1.000                      | 0.092                  |         |                       |  |
| MULTIPLY                                                | .058    | 20           | 9                     | 9                    | 38           | 50               | 1.900                      | 0.1102                 |         |                       |  |
| DIVIDE                                                  | .008    | 24           | 9                     | 9                    | 42           | 50               | 2.100                      | 0.0168                 |         |                       |  |
| LOGICAL                                                 | .068    | 8            | 6                     | 6                    | 20           | 50               | 1.000                      | 0.068                  |         |                       |  |
| SHIFT (5 PL)                                            | .020    | 8            | 11                    | 11                   | 30           | 50               | 1.500                      | 0.030                  |         |                       |  |
| COMPARE                                                 | .047    | 5            | 9                     | 9                    | 23           | 50               | 1.150                      | 0.0541                 |         |                       |  |
| BRANCH                                                  | .161    | 5            | 9                     | 9                    | 23           | 50               | 1.150                      | 0.1852                 |         |                       |  |
| INDEX                                                   | .003    | 8            | 8                     | 6                    | 20           | 50               | 1.000                      | 0.003                  |         |                       |  |
| REG-TO-REG                                              | .031    | 3            | 3                     | 3                    | 9            | 50               | .450                       | 0.014                  |         |                       |  |
| MISCELLANEOUS                                           | .141    | 20           | 9                     | 9                    | 38           | 50               | 1.900                      | 0.2679                 |         |                       |  |
| I/O SET-UP + 6 WORDS                                    | .004    | 8            | 8                     | 9                    | 25           | 50               | 1.250                      | 0.005                  |         |                       |  |
| AVERAGE EXECUTION TIME ( MICROSECONDS PER INSTRUCTION ) |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            |                        | 1.2093  |                       |  |
| EQUIVALENT INSTRUCTIONS PER SECOND                      |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            |                        | 826.925 |                       |  |



TABLE H-4. MICROCOMPUTER PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

| MICROCOMPUTER TYPE: INTEL 8080A                         |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            |                        |  | CLOCK PERIOD: 2 usec |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--|----------------------|--|--|--|
| INSTRUCTION TYPE                                        | % USAGE | CLOCK CYCLES | MEMORY ADDRESS CYCLES | MEMORY ACCESS CYCLES | TOTAL CYCLES | CLOCK CYCLE TIME | INSTRUCTION EXECUTION TIME | WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXEC. |  |                      |  |  |  |
| LOAD                                                    | .208    | 7            | 1                     | 1                    | 9            | 2                | 18                         | 3.744                  |  |                      |  |  |  |
| STORE                                                   | .158    | 7            | 1                     | 1                    | 9            | 2                | 18                         | 2.844                  |  |                      |  |  |  |
| ADD/SUBT                                                | .092    | 7            | --                    | --                   | 7            | 2                | 18                         | 1.288                  |  |                      |  |  |  |
| MULTIPLY                                                | .058    | 252          | 1                     | 1                    | 254          | 2                | 508                        | 29.464                 |  |                      |  |  |  |
| DIVIDE                                                  | .008    | 262          | 1                     | 1                    | 266          | 2                | 528                        | 4.224                  |  |                      |  |  |  |
| LOGICAL                                                 | .068    | 7            | --                    | --                   | 7            | 2                | 14                         | 0.952                  |  |                      |  |  |  |
| SHIFT (5 PL)                                            | .020    | 20           | --                    | --                   | 20           | 2                | 40                         | 0.800                  |  |                      |  |  |  |
| COMPARE                                                 | .047    | 7            | 1                     | 1                    | 9            | 2                | 18                         | 0.846                  |  |                      |  |  |  |
| BRANCH                                                  | .161    | 10           | 1                     | 1                    | 12           | 2                | 24                         | 3.864                  |  |                      |  |  |  |
| INDEX                                                   | .003    | 16           | 1                     | 1                    | 18           | 2                | 36                         | 0.108                  |  |                      |  |  |  |
| REG-TO-REG                                              | .031    | 5            | --                    | --                   | 5            | 2                | 10                         | 0.310                  |  |                      |  |  |  |
| MISCELLANEOUS                                           | .141    | 11           | 1                     | 1                    | 12           | 2                | 26                         | 3.666                  |  |                      |  |  |  |
| I/O SET-UP + 6 WORDS                                    | .004    | 70           | 7                     | 7                    | 84           | 2                | 168                        | 0.672                  |  |                      |  |  |  |
| AVERAGE EXECUTION TIME ( MICROSECONDS PER INSTRUCTION ) |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            | 52.782                 |  |                      |  |  |  |
| EQUIVALENT INSTRUCTIONS PER SECOND                      |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            | 47,590                 |  |                      |  |  |  |

TABLE H-5. MICROCOMPUTER PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

| MICROCOMPUTER TYPE: AM 2900 SERIES                      |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            |                        |  | CLOCK PERIOD: 100 nsec |  |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|--|
| INSTRUCTION TYPE                                        | % USAGE | CLOCK CYCLES | MEMORY ADDRESS CYCLES | MEMORY ACCESS CYCLES | TOTAL CYCLES | CLOCK CYCLE TIME | INSTRUCTION EXECUTION TIME | WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXEC. |  |                        |  |
| LOAD                                                    | .208    | 8            | 2                     | 3                    | 13           | .100             | 1.3                        | 0.2704                 |  |                        |  |
| STORE                                                   | .158    | 8            | 2                     | 3                    | 13           | .100             | 1.3                        | 0.2054                 |  |                        |  |
| ADD/SUBT                                                | .092    | 8            | 2                     | 3                    | 13           | .100             | 1.3                        | 0.1196                 |  |                        |  |
| MULTIPLY                                                | .058    | 17           | 1                     | 3                    | 21           | .100             | 2.1                        | 0.1218                 |  |                        |  |
| DIVIDE                                                  | .008    | 40           | 2                     | 3                    | 45           | .100             | 4.5                        | 0.0360                 |  |                        |  |
| LOGICAL                                                 | .068    | 8            | 2                     | 3                    | 13           | .100             | 1.3                        | 0.0884                 |  |                        |  |
| SHIFT (5 PL)                                            | .020    | 14           | 1                     | 3                    | 18           | .100             | 1.8                        | 0.0360                 |  |                        |  |
| COMPARE                                                 | .047    | 6            | 2                     | 3                    | 11           | .100             | 1.1                        | 0.0517                 |  |                        |  |
| BRANCH                                                  | .161    | 8            | 2                     | 3                    | 13           | .100             | 1.3                        | 0.2093                 |  |                        |  |
| INDEX                                                   | .003    | 14           | 2                     | 3                    | 19           | .100             | 1.9                        | 0.0057                 |  |                        |  |
| REG-TO-REG                                              | .031    | 3            | -                     | -                    | 3            | .100             | .3                         | 0.0093                 |  |                        |  |
| MISCELLANEOUS                                           | .141    | 12           | 2                     | 3                    | 17           | .100             | 1.7                        | 0.2397                 |  |                        |  |
| I/O SET-UP + 6 WORDS                                    | .004    | 6            | 2                     | 12                   | 20           | .100             | 2.0                        | 0.0080                 |  |                        |  |
| AVERAGE EXECUTION TIME ( MICROSECONDS PER INSTRUCTION ) |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            | 1.4013                 |  |                        |  |
| EQUIVALENT INSTRUCTIONS PER SECOND                      |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            | 713,623                |  |                        |  |

TABLE H-6. MICROCOMPUTER PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

| MICROCOMPUTER TYPE: FAIRCHILD 9440 MICRO FAMILY - 16 BIT BIPOLAR |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            |                        |  | CLOCK PERIOD: 200 nsec |  |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|--|
| INSTRUCTION TYPE                                                 | % USAGE | CLOCK CYCLES | MEMORY ADDRESS CYCLES | MEMORY ACCESS CYCLES | TOTAL CYCLES | CLOCK CYCLE TIME | INSTRUCTION EXECUTION TIME | WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXEC. |  |                        |  |
| LOAD                                                             | .209    |              |                       |                      |              |                  | 3.000                      | 0.627                  |  |                        |  |
| STORE                                                            | .158    |              |                       |                      |              |                  | 4.500                      | 0.711                  |  |                        |  |
| ADD/SUBT                                                         | .092    |              |                       |                      |              |                  | 1.500                      | 0.138                  |  |                        |  |
| MULTIPLY                                                         | .058    |              |                       |                      |              |                  | 23.000                     | 1.334                  |  |                        |  |
| DIVIDE                                                           | .008    |              |                       |                      |              |                  | 25.000                     | 0.200                  |  |                        |  |
| LOGICAL                                                          | .068    |              |                       |                      |              |                  | 5.000                      | 0.340                  |  |                        |  |
| SHIFT (5 PL)                                                     | .020    |              |                       |                      |              |                  | 11.300                     | 0.266                  |  |                        |  |
| COMPARE                                                          | .047    |              |                       |                      |              |                  | 4.500                      | 0.212                  |  |                        |  |
| BRANCH                                                           | .161    |              |                       |                      |              |                  | 7.500                      | 1.208                  |  |                        |  |
| INDEX                                                            | .003    |              |                       |                      |              |                  | 7.500                      | 0.023                  |  |                        |  |
| REG-TO-REG                                                       | .031    |              |                       |                      |              |                  | 1.500                      | 0.047                  |  |                        |  |
| MISCELLANEOUS                                                    | .141    |              |                       |                      |              |                  | 4.500                      | 0.635                  |  |                        |  |
| I/O SET-UP + 6 WORDS                                             | .004    |              |                       |                      |              |                  | 2.500                      | 0.010                  |  |                        |  |
| AVERAGE EXECUTION TIME ( MICROSECONDS PER INSTRUCTION )          |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            | 5.711                  |  |                        |  |
| EQUIVALENT INSTRUCTIONS PER SECOND                               |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            | 175,100                |  |                        |  |

TABLE H-7. MICROCOMPUTER PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

| MICROCOMPUTER TYPE: INTEL 8085A-2                       |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            |                        |  | CLOCK PERIOD: .8 usec |  |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|
| INSTRUCTION TYPE                                        | % USAGE | CLOCK CYCLES | MEMORY ADDRESS CYCLES | MEMORY ACCESS CYCLES | TOTAL CYCLES | CLOCK CYCLE TIME | INSTRUCTION EXECUTION TIME | WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXEC. |  |                       |  |
| LOAD                                                    | .208    | 7            | 1                     | 1                    | 9            | .8               | 7.2                        | 1.4976                 |  |                       |  |
| STORE                                                   | .158    | 7            | 1                     | 1                    | 9            | .8               | 7.2                        | 1.1376                 |  |                       |  |
| ADD/SUBT                                                | .092    | 7            | -                     | -                    | 7            | .8               | 5.6                        | 0.5152                 |  |                       |  |
| MULTIPLY                                                | .058    | 252          | 1                     | 1                    | 254          | .8               | 203.2                      | 11.7856                |  |                       |  |
| DIVIDE                                                  | .008    | 262          | 1                     | 1                    | 266          | .8               | 212.8                      | 1.7024                 |  |                       |  |
| LOGICAL                                                 | .068    | 7            | -                     | -                    | 7            | .8               | 5.6                        | 0.3808                 |  |                       |  |
| SHIFT (5 PL)                                            | .020    | 20           | -                     | -                    | 20           | .8               | 16.0                       | 0.32                   |  |                       |  |
| COMPARE                                                 | .047    | 7            | 1                     | 1                    | 9            | .8               | 7.2                        | 0.3384                 |  |                       |  |
| BRANCH                                                  | .161    | 10           | 1                     | 1                    | 12           | .8               | 9.6                        | 1.5456                 |  |                       |  |
| INDEX                                                   | .003    | 16           | 1                     | 1                    | 18           | .8               | 14.4                       | 0.0432                 |  |                       |  |
| REG-TO-REG                                              | .031    | 5            | -                     | -                    | 5            | .8               | 4.0                        | 0.124                  |  |                       |  |
| MISCELLANEOUS                                           | .141    | 11           | 1                     | 1                    | 12           | .8               | 9.6                        | 1.3536                 |  |                       |  |
| I/O SET-UP + 6 WORDS                                    | .004    | 70           | 7                     | 7                    | 84           | .8               | 67.2                       | 0.2688                 |  |                       |  |
| AVERAGE EXECUTION TIME ( MICROSECONDS PER INSTRUCTION ) |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            | 21.0128                |  |                       |  |
| EQUIVALENT INSTRUCTIONS PER SECOND                      |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  |                            | 47,590                 |  |                       |  |

TABLE H-8. MICROCOMPUTER PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

| MICROCOMPUTER TYPE: TI-SPP-9900                         |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  | CLOCK PERIOD: .3846 usec   |                        |  |
|---------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--|
| INSTRUCTION TYPE                                        | % USAGE | CLOCK CYCLES | MEMORY ADDRESS CYCLES | MEMORY ACCESS CYCLES | TOTAL CYCLES | CLOCK CYCLE TIME | INSTRUCTION EXECUTION TIME | WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXEC. |  |
| LOAD                                                    | .208    | 5            | 3                     | 10                   | 18           | ---              | 6.9228                     | 1.4469                 |  |
| STORE                                                   | .158    | 60           | 4                     | 10                   | 74           | ---              | 28.4604                    | 4.4967                 |  |
| ADD/SUBT                                                | .092    | 28           | 8                     | 10                   | 56           | ---              | 21.5376                    | 1.9814                 |  |
| MULTIPLY                                                | .058    | 52           | 5                     | 20                   | 67           | ---              | 25.7682                    | 1.4946                 |  |
| DIVIDE                                                  | .008    | 124          | 6                     | 10                   | 140          | ---              | 53.8440                    | .4308                  |  |
| LOGICAL                                                 | .068    | 14           | 4                     | 10                   | 28           | ---              | 10.7688                    | .7323                  |  |
| SHIFT (5 PL)                                            | .020    | 52           | 4                     | 10                   | 66           | ---              | 25.3836                    | .5076                  |  |
| COMPARE                                                 | .047    | --           | -                     | --                   | --           | ---              | ---                        | --                     |  |
| BRANCH                                                  | .161    | 12           | 3                     | 10                   | 25           | ---              | 9.6150                     | 1.5480                 |  |
| INDEX                                                   | .003    | 14           | 4                     | 10                   | 28           | ---              | 10.7688                    | .0323                  |  |
| REG-TO-REG                                              | .031    | --           | -                     | --                   | --           | ---              | ---                        | --                     |  |
| MISCELLANEOUS                                           | .141    | 12           | 4                     | 10                   | 26           | ---              | 9.9996                     | 1.4099                 |  |
| I/O SET-UP + 6 WORDS                                    | .004    | 112          | 7                     | 20                   | 139          | ---              | 53.4594                    | .2138                  |  |
| AVERAGE EXECUTION TIME ( MICROSECONDS PER INSTRUCTION ) |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  | 14.2943                    |                        |  |
| EQUIVALENT INSTRUCTIONS PER SECOND                      |         |              |                       |                      |              |                  | 69,958                     |                        |  |

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

APPENDIX I

PROCESSING AND STORAGE REQUIREMENTS PER  
MICROCOMPUTER BASED ON F-18 OFT CONCEPT REQUIREMENTS

MICROCOMPUTER MODULE ASSIGNMENT AND DEFINITION

MICROCOMPUTER #1

MODULE #1 (30 HZ) - INSTRUCTOR STATION, INSTRUCTION STATION DISPLAYS, BRAKE FORCES, NOSE WHEEL STEERING, STRUT DEFLECTION, RATES, GROUND TURNING RATES, VERTICAL STRUT FORCES, LONG/LAT. GEAR FORCES, TOTAL GEAR FORCES, TOTAL GEAR MOMENTS, GROUND CONTACT CHECK.

MICROCOMPUTER #2

MODULE #2 (60 HZ) - AERO, FORCE, MOMENT COMP STABILITY AXIS, ATMOSPHERE, WEIGHT AND BALANCE.

MICROCOMPUTER #3

MODULE #3 (60 HZ) - FLIGHT CONTROL SYSTEM, FLIGHT CONTROL/CPU INTERFACE, PROPULSION SYSTEM.

MICROCOMPUTER #4

MODULE #4 (60 HZ) - STABILITY AXIS-BODY AXIS TRANSFORMATION, BODY-TO-EARTH TRANSFORMATION, EARTH AXIS ACCEL. INTEGRATION, EARTH AXIS VELOCITY INTEGRATIONS, EARTH-BODY AXIS TRANSFORMATION, ROTATIONAL ACCEL.-P,Q,R DOT, MOMENTS-BODY AXIS, P,Q,R INTEGRATION, AIR DATA COMPUTATIONS.

MICROCOMPUTER #5

MODULE #5 (60 HZ) - ANGULAR POSITIONS (QUATERNIONS), G-SEAT & G-SUIT, TACAN MATH MODEL.

MICROCOMPUTER #6

MODULE #6 (30 HZ) - NAVIGATION DATA COMP., RADAR NAV. FACILITIES SIM., COMPASS SIM., COCKPIT INSTRUMENTS, UHF-VHF SIM., DATA LINK-ILS SIM., RADAR ALTIMETER SIM., ACCESSORIES SIM., ON-BOARD COMPUTER INTERFACE.

TABLE I-1. PROCESSING AND STORAGE REQUIREMENTS

| MICROCOMPUTER &<br>MODULE<br>ASSIGNMENT | INSTRUCTION<br>ESTIMATES | CONSTANT &<br>DATA<br>ESTIMATES | TOTAL MEMORY<br>REQUIRED | WORST-CASE<br>INSTRUCTION<br>EXECUTION<br>RATE | AVERAGE<br>INSTRUCTION<br>EXECUTION<br>TIME (usec) |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| MICROCOMPUTER #1<br>MODULE 1 (30HZ)     | 6,784                    | 331                             | 7,115                    | 211,157                                        | 4.7358                                             |
| MICROCOMPUTER #2<br>MODULE 2 (60HZ)     | 4,725                    | 600                             | 5,325                    | 384,750                                        | 2.5991                                             |
| MICROCOMPUTER #3<br>MODULE 3 (60HZ)     | 4,556                    | 651                             | 5,206                    | 331,594                                        | 3.0157                                             |
| MICROCOMPUTER #4<br>MODULE 4 (60HZ)     | 2,717                    | 413                             | 3,129                    | 226,167                                        | 4.4215                                             |
| MICROCOMPUTER #5<br>MODULE 5 (60HZ)     | 3,459                    | 288                             | 3,747                    | 359,438                                        | 2.7821                                             |
| MICROCOMPUTER #6<br>MODULE 6 (30HZ)     | 7,298                    | 1,125                           | 8,423                    | 303,497                                        | 3.2949                                             |
| SYSTEM TOTALS                           | 29,539                   | 3,408                           | 32,945                   | 1,816,603                                      | ---                                                |

AVERAGE INSTRUCTION EXECUTION TIME PER SYSTEM..... 0.5505 MICROSECONDS

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

APPENDIX J

FRAME TIME ANALYSIS - MICROCOMPUTER APPROACH

TABLE J-1. FRAME TIME ANALYSIS - MICROCOMPUTER APPROACH

| MICROCOMPUTER &<br>MODULE         | FRAME<br>RATE<br>(per sec) | FRAME<br>TIME<br>(msec) | FRAME<br>ASSIGNMENTS |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| MICROCOMPUTER #1<br>AND MODULE #1 | 30                         | 8.851                   | 1 THROUGH 30         |
| MICROCOMPUTER #2<br>AND MODULE #2 | 60                         | 8.064                   | 1 THROUGH 60         |
| MICROCOMPUTER #3<br>AND MODULE #3 | 60                         | 6.950                   | 1 THROUGH 60         |
| MICROCOMPUTER #4<br>AND MODULE #4 | 60                         | 4.740                   | 1 THROUGH 60         |
| MICROCOMPUTER #5<br>AND MODULE #5 | 60                         | 7.533                   | 1 THROUGH 60         |
| MICROCOMPUTER #6<br>AND MODULE #6 | 30                         | 12.722                  | 1 THROUGH 30         |

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

APPENDIX K

MICROCOMPUTER HARDWARE COST

## NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

## MICROCOMPUTER SYSTEM COST ESTIMATES

32 BIT DEVICE (USING AMD-2900 SERIES)

| * Assuming 1 Microcomputer<br>System is Manufactured | Cost-Each<br>QTY-10-99 | Quantity<br>Required | Total<br>Cost |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| <b>Processor &amp; Memory Hardware</b>               |                        |                      |               |
| Material Cost (Components)                           | 800                    | 10                   | 4,000         |
| Memory Costs (8K Each)                               | 2000                   | 10                   | 20,000        |
| Power Supplies                                       | 700                    | 4                    | 2,800         |
| Power Supply (Mem)                                   | 600                    | 1                    | 600           |
| Card Cages                                           | 1000                   | 2                    | 2,000         |
| Racks                                                | 1000                   | 1                    | 1,000         |
| Cabling                                              | 500                    | 1                    | 500           |
|                                                      |                        |                      | 24,900        |
| <hr/>                                                |                        |                      |               |
| Design Labor - 1.5 MY @ 25K                          |                        |                      | 37,500        |
| MFG Labor - 1.5 MY @ 15K                             |                        |                      | 22,500        |
| Total Labor                                          |                        |                      | 60,000        |
| <hr/>                                                |                        |                      |               |
| Overhead - Materials 50%                             |                        |                      | 17,450        |
| Overhead - Labor 60%                                 |                        |                      | 36,000        |
| Total Overhead                                       |                        |                      | 53,450        |
| <hr/>                                                |                        |                      |               |
| Subtotal                                             |                        |                      | 148,350       |
| G & A - 12%                                          |                        |                      | 17,802        |
| <hr/>                                                |                        |                      |               |
| Subtotal                                             |                        |                      | 166,152       |
| Profit - 7%                                          |                        |                      | 11,630        |
| <hr/>                                                |                        |                      |               |
| Total Per Unit Cost (EST)                            |                        |                      | \$177,782     |

## INTERFACE ELECTRONICS

| NO. | NAME                      | UNIT COST | TOTAL COST |
|-----|---------------------------|-----------|------------|
| 3   | High Speed Data Interface | \$4,000   | \$12,000   |
| 1   | Serial Data Interface     | 4,000     | 4,000      |
|     |                           |           | -----      |

AD-A108 744

NAVAL TRAINING EQUIPMENT CENTER ORLANDO FL  
AN ANALYSIS OF MICROCOMPUTER TECHNOLOGY FOR APPLICATION TO REAL--ETC(U)  
JUL 79 C F SUMMER, G A WYNDLE  
NAVTRAEQUIPC-IH-313

F/G 9/2

NL


UNCLASSIFIED

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12



END  
DATE  
FILMED

1 82  
DTIC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963-A

## NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

\$16,000

## PERIPHERAL ELECTRONICS

|   |                                       |         |          |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| 1 | Peripheral Controller (Multiple Unit) | \$3,000 | \$ 3,000 |
| 1 | Moving HD Disc Controller             | 5,000   | 5,000    |
|   |                                       |         | -----    |
|   |                                       |         | \$ 8,000 |

## PERIPHERAL HARDWARE

|   |                    |          |          |
|---|--------------------|----------|----------|
| 1 | CRT Terminal       | \$ 3,500 | \$ 3,500 |
| 1 | Line Printer       | 4,000    | 4,000    |
| 1 | Moving HD Disc     | 15,000   | 15,000   |
| 1 | Peripheral Cabinet | 1,500    | 1,500    |
|   |                    |          | -----    |
|   |                    |          | \$24,000 |

## COST SUMMARY

-----

|                        | Quantity  | % of Total |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Processor Hardware     | 177,782   | 79         |
| Interface Electronics  | 16,000    | 7          |
| Peripheral Electronics | 8,000     | 4          |
| Peripheral Units       | 24,000    | 10         |
|                        | -----     | -----      |
|                        | \$225,782 | 100        |

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

APPENDIX L

LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS FOR MICROCOMPUTER SYSTEM

# NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

## OWNERSHIP COST - ADVANCED OFT CONCEPT - COMPUTER SYSTEM

### MICROCOMPUTER APPROACH

|                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------|--------------------|------|------|------|-----|-------------|--|-------------|
| C <sub>01</sub> & C <sub>02</sub> | Initial acquisition cost of processor & memory hardware                                                                                                                                                    |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
|                                   | C <sub>01</sub> & C <sub>02</sub> = \$177,782                                                                                                                                                              |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
| C <sub>03</sub>                   | Initial acquisition cost of interface hardware                                                                                                                                                             |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
|                                   | C <sub>03</sub> = \$16,000                                                                                                                                                                                 |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
| C <sub>04</sub>                   | Initial acquisition cost of peripheral electronics                                                                                                                                                         |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
|                                   | C <sub>04</sub> = \$8,000                                                                                                                                                                                  |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
| C <sub>05</sub>                   | Initial acquisition cost of peripheral hardware                                                                                                                                                            |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
|                                   | C <sub>05</sub> = \$24,000                                                                                                                                                                                 |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
| C <sub>06</sub>                   | Initial acquisition cost of processor hardware documentation                                                                                                                                               |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
|                                   | <table> <tr> <td>Microcomputer System</td><td>\$30</td></tr> <tr> <td>Logic Power Supply</td><td>10</td></tr> <tr> <td>Misc</td><td>30</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td><u>\$70</u></td></tr> </table>          | Microcomputer System | \$30   | Logic Power Supply | 10   | Misc | 30   |     | <u>\$70</u> |  |             |
| Microcomputer System              | \$30                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
| Logic Power Supply                | 10                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
| Misc                              | 30                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
|                                   | <u>\$70</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
|                                   | C <sub>06</sub> = \$70                                                                                                                                                                                     |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
| C <sub>07</sub>                   | Initial acquisition cost of memory hardware documentation                                                                                                                                                  |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
|                                   | Memory System - \$25                                                                                                                                                                                       |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
| C <sub>08</sub>                   | Initial acquisition cost of interface hardware documentation                                                                                                                                               |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
|                                   | <table> <tr> <td>SDI</td><td>- \$15</td></tr> <tr> <td>HDI</td><td>- 30</td></tr> <tr> <td>TLC</td><td>- 15</td></tr> <tr> <td>CDC</td><td>- 15</td></tr> <tr> <td></td><td><u>\$75</u></td></tr> </table> | SDI                  | - \$15 | HDI                | - 30 | TLC  | - 15 | CDC | - 15        |  | <u>\$75</u> |
| SDI                               | - \$15                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
| HDI                               | - 30                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
| TLC                               | - 15                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
| CDC                               | - 15                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
|                                   | <u>\$75</u>                                                                                                                                                                                                |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
|                                   | C <sub>08</sub> = \$75                                                                                                                                                                                     |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
| C <sub>09</sub>                   | Initial acquisition cost of peripheral electronics documentation                                                                                                                                           |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |
|                                   | C <sub>09</sub> = \$30                                                                                                                                                                                     |                      |        |                    |      |      |      |     |             |  |             |

# NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

C<sub>10</sub> Initial acquisition cost of peripheral hardware documentation

|                |   |              |
|----------------|---|--------------|
| CRT            | - | \$ 30        |
| Printer        | - | 50           |
| Moving HD Disc | - | 45           |
|                |   | <u>\$125</u> |

C<sub>10</sub> = \$125

C<sub>11</sub> Initial acquisition cost of OFT simulation software

Program size estimate - (38,000 - 14,000) instructions  
 Estimate 1 manhour per instruction  
 Estimated direct cost - \$8.60 per manhour (per N-6)

C<sub>11</sub> = (26,000) (\$8.60) = \$223,600  
 Standardization of the flight math model is achieved with this approach. This will reduce the number of instructions to be coded by 14,000.

C<sub>12</sub> Initial acquisition cost of utility software

|                |   |                                          |
|----------------|---|------------------------------------------|
| Macro Assemb   | - | \$400                                    |
| Math Library   | - | 150                                      |
| Scientific Lib | - | 150                                      |
| Manuals        | - | 200                                      |
|                |   | <u>\$900</u> x 2 (for 2 copies) = \$1800 |

C<sub>12</sub> = \$1800

C<sub>13</sub> Initial acquisition cost of maintenance spares (for microcomputer memory and the like)

|               |            |                 |
|---------------|------------|-----------------|
| Microcomputer | 1060 x 4 = | \$ 4,240        |
| Memory        | 2000 x 4 = | 8,000           |
| Power Supply  | 650 x 2 =  | <u>1,300</u>    |
|               |            | <u>\$13,540</u> |

C<sub>13</sub> = \$14,540

C<sub>14</sub> Initial acquisition cost of maintenance spares (for peripheral electronics and peripheral hardware)

|                |                |
|----------------|----------------|
| Line printer   | \$5,000        |
| Cartridge Disc | <u>3,000</u>   |
|                | <u>\$8,000</u> |

C<sub>14</sub> = \$8,000

# NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

- C<sub>15</sub> Initial cost of maintenance training
- |                                |               |
|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Firmware (3 wks x \$375/wk)    | \$1125        |
| Peripherals (3 wks x \$375/wk) | 1125          |
| Personnel Cost (6 wks salary)  | 2310          |
| Per Diem (\$280/wk x 6 wks)    | 1680          |
|                                | <u>\$6240</u> |
- C<sub>16</sub> Initial cost of SSA programmer orientation
- |                                     |               |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| Assembly Language (1 wk x \$375/wk) | \$ 375        |
| System training (2 wk x \$375/wk)   | 750           |
| Personnel Cost (3 wk salary)        | 1155          |
| Per Diem (\$280/wk x 3)             | 840           |
|                                     | <u>\$3120</u> |
- C<sub>17</sub> Initial cost of test equipment
- MDS - \$30,000
- C<sub>18</sub> Life cycle maintenance costs (0-5 years) - hardware (processor, memory, interfaces). Computed as 10% of acquisition costs of spares (\$13,540) per year.
- 0 - 5 yrs - 5 x \$1354  
C<sub>18</sub> = \$6770
- C<sub>19</sub> Life cycle maintenance costs (5-10 years) - hardware (processor, memory, interfaces)
- 5 - 10 yrs - 5 x \$1354  
C<sub>19</sub> = \$6770
- C<sub>20</sub> Life cycle maintenance costs (0-5 years) - hardware personnel
- Tech. time - 1/4 man year @ \$20,000/manyear  
5 x \$5,000 = \$25,000  
C<sub>20</sub> = \$25,000
- C<sub>21</sub> Life cycle maintenance costs (5-10 years) - hardware personnel
- 5 x \$5,000 = \$25,000  
C<sub>21</sub> = \$25,000

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

- C<sub>22</sub> Life cycle maintenance costs (0-5 years) - software personnel
- 1 full time engineer - \$32,000/yr  
 1/2 time - \$16,000/yr      Time shared between two training systems
- 5 x \$16,000 = \$80,000
- C<sub>22</sub> = \$80,000
- C<sub>23</sub> Life cycle maintenance costs (5-10 years) - software personnel
- 1 full time engineer - \$32,000/yr  
 5 x \$16,000 = \$80,000
- C<sub>23</sub> = \$80,000
- C<sub>24</sub> Life cycle maintenance costs (0-5 years) - peripheral elec hardware
- Computed as 6% acquisition cost of spares (\$8000) per year  
 (.06) (\$8000) = \$480  
 5 x \$480 = \$2400
- C<sub>24</sub> = \$2400
- C<sub>25</sub> Life cycle maintenance costs (5-10 years) - peripheral elec hardware
- Computed as 6% of acquisition cost of spares (\$8000) per year  
 (.06) (\$8000) = \$480  
 5 x \$480 = \$2400
- C<sub>25</sub> = \$2400
- C<sub>26</sub> Life cycle maintenance cost (0-5 years) - peripheral hardware
- Computed as 6% of acquisition cost of spares (\$8000) per year  
 (.06) (\$8000) = \$480  
 5 x \$480 = \$2400
- C<sub>27</sub> Life cycle maintenance costs (5-10 years) - peripheral hardware
- Computed as 6% of acquisition cost of spares (\$8000) per year  
 (.06) (\$8000) = \$480  
 5 x \$480 = \$2400
- C<sub>28</sub> Life cycle maintenance costs (0-5 years) + (5-10 years) - peripheral electronics
- C<sub>29</sub> Tech time - 3/4 manyear @ \$20,000/manyear  
 5 x \$15,000 + 5 x \$15,000 = \$150,000

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

C<sub>30</sub> Life cycle maintenance costs - SAA Programmer reorientation  
(New programmer - one time cost 5th year)

Based upon standardization of this approach, SSA programmer reorientation consists of familiarizing the programmer with system particularities.

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

APPENDIX M

LIFE CYCLE COST COMPARISON

# NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

## LIFE CYCLE COST COMPARISON

### F-18 COMPUTER SYSTEM LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS COMPARISON AND SUMMARY

#### I. Computer Hardware System Cost

|                                                                    | <u>CONVENTIONAL</u> | <u>MICROCOMPUTER</u> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| a. Hardware C <sub>1</sub> - C <sub>5</sub>                        | 436,900             | 225,782              |
| b. Documentation C <sub>6</sub> -C <sub>10</sub> & C <sub>12</sub> | <u>10,166</u>       | <u>2,125</u>         |
| I. Total                                                           | \$447,066           | \$227,907            |

△ 219K - 49%

#### II. Trainer Computer Acquisition & Development Cost

|                                           |           |           |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| a. Simulation software development effort |           |           |
| C <sub>11</sub>                           | 326,800   | 223,600   |
| b. Initial Computer System Spare          |           |           |
| C <sub>13</sub>                           | 15,000    | 13,540    |
| C <sub>14</sub>                           | 18,500    | 8,000     |
| c. Maintenance training                   |           |           |
| C <sub>15</sub>                           | 9,360     | 6,240     |
| C <sub>16</sub>                           | 5,200     | 3,120     |
| d. Test equipment                         |           |           |
| C <sub>17</sub>                           | 60,000    | 30,000    |
| II. Total                                 | \$476,860 | \$284,500 |

△ 192K - 40%

## NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

## III. Ten year support

## a. Computer hardware spares

|                 |        |       |
|-----------------|--------|-------|
| C <sub>18</sub> | 24,500 | 6,770 |
|-----------------|--------|-------|

|                 |        |       |
|-----------------|--------|-------|
| C <sub>19</sub> | 28,500 | 6,770 |
|-----------------|--------|-------|

## b. Hardware personnel

|                 |         |        |
|-----------------|---------|--------|
| C <sub>20</sub> | 100,000 | 25,000 |
|-----------------|---------|--------|

|                 |         |        |
|-----------------|---------|--------|
| C <sub>21</sub> | 100,000 | 25,000 |
|-----------------|---------|--------|

## c. Software personnel

|                 |         |        |
|-----------------|---------|--------|
| C <sub>22</sub> | 160,000 | 80,000 |
|-----------------|---------|--------|

|                 |         |        |
|-----------------|---------|--------|
| C <sub>23</sub> | 160,000 | 80,000 |
|-----------------|---------|--------|

## d. Peripheral elec. hardware

|                 |       |       |
|-----------------|-------|-------|
| C <sub>24</sub> | 5,550 | 2,400 |
|-----------------|-------|-------|

|                 |       |       |
|-----------------|-------|-------|
| C <sub>25</sub> | 5,550 | 2,400 |
|-----------------|-------|-------|

## e. Peripheral hardware

|                 |       |       |
|-----------------|-------|-------|
| C <sub>26</sub> | 5,550 | 2,400 |
|-----------------|-------|-------|

|                 |       |       |
|-----------------|-------|-------|
| C <sub>27</sub> | 5,550 | 2,400 |
|-----------------|-------|-------|

## f. Peripheral &amp; electronic maint. personnel

|                 |         |        |
|-----------------|---------|--------|
| C <sub>28</sub> | 100,000 | 75,000 |
|-----------------|---------|--------|

|                 |         |        |
|-----------------|---------|--------|
| C <sub>29</sub> | 100,000 | 75,000 |
|-----------------|---------|--------|

## g. SSA programmer reorientation

|                 |       |       |
|-----------------|-------|-------|
| C <sub>30</sub> | 5,200 | 1,310 |
|-----------------|-------|-------|

|            |           |           |
|------------|-----------|-----------|
| III. Total | \$804,400 | \$384,450 |
|------------|-----------|-----------|

420K - 52%

Total Life Cycle Cost \$1,728,326 - \$896,857

△ 831K - 48%

NAVTRAEQUIPCEN IH-313

DISTRIBUTION LIST

|                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                           |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Naval Training Equipment Center<br>Orlando, FL 32813                                                                            | (50) Chief of Naval Research<br>ONR 461<br>800 North Quincy St<br>Arlington, VA 22217                                     |
| Defense Technical Info Center<br>Cameron Station<br>Alexandria, VA 22314                                                        | (12) Naval Air Systems Command<br>AIR 413<br>Washington, DC 20350                                                         |
| University of South Carolina<br>College of Engineering (Dr Pettus)<br>Electrical and Computer Engineering<br>Columbia, SC 29208 | (1) AF ASD/ENE<br>Attn: Mr A. Doty<br>Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433                                                      |
| PM TRADE, US ARMY<br>Attn: SE<br>Orlando, FL 32813                                                                              | (2) AF ASD/ENO<br>Attn: Mr Phil Babel<br>Wright-Patterson AFB, OH 45433                                                   |
| <u>ALL OTHERS RECEIVE ONE COPY</u>                                                                                              |                                                                                                                           |
| Chief of Naval Education & Training<br>Code N-331<br>Pensacola, FL 32508                                                        | Dept of Electrical & Computer<br>Engineering<br>Attn: Dr Harold Stone<br>University of Massachusetts<br>Amherst, MA 01003 |
| Naval Air Systems Command<br>AIR 340D<br>Washington, DC 20350                                                                   | Chief of Naval Operations<br>OP-115<br>Attn: LCDR J. Lawhon<br>Washington, DC 20350                                       |
| Naval Air Systems Command<br>AIR 340F<br>Washington, DC 20350                                                                   |                                                                                                                           |
| Naval Air Systems Command<br>AIR 360B, Attn: B. Zempolich<br>Washington, DC 20350                                               |                                                                                                                           |
| Naval Air Systems Command<br>Library AIR 50174<br>Washington, DC 20350                                                          |                                                                                                                           |
| Chief of Naval Material, Navy Dept<br>Code MAT 08T<br>Washington, DC 20360                                                      |                                                                                                                           |
| Chief of Naval Material, Navy Dept<br>MAT 08Y<br>Washington, DC 20360                                                           |                                                                                                                           |
| Chief of Naval Material, Navy Dept<br>MAT 09Y<br>Washington, DC 20360                                                           |                                                                                                                           |

**DA  
FILM**